Community Tree Management Plan For Whitten, IA



Prepared by the Iowa DNR Urban and Community Forestry Program 2019



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
Overview	4
Inventory and Results	
Recommendations	4
Introduction	5
Inventory	5
Inventory Results	6
Annual Benefits	
Annual Energy Benefits	6
Annual Stormwater Benefits	6
Annual Air Quality Benefits	6
Annual Carbon Benefits	6
Annual Aesthetics Benefits	6
Financial Summary of all Benefits	6
	7
Forest Structure	/
Species Distribution	/
Size Class	
Condition: wood and Foliage Health	/
L and Liss and L castion	······/
Laliu Use aliu Location	·······/
	/
Recommendations	8
Risk Management	
Immediate Needs (defer up to 3 years)	
Routine Maintenance (defer up to 6 years)	
Planting	9
Continual Monitoring	9
Pronosed Work Schedule & Estimated Costs	9
	10
Plan Prepared by:	10
Works Cited	
Appendix A: i-Tree Data	
Table 1: Annual Energy Benefits	
Table 2: Annual Stormwater Benefits	
Table 3: Annual Air Quality Benefits	
Table 4: Annual Carbon Stored	
Table 5: Annual Carbon Sequestered	
Table 6: Annual Social and Aesthetic Benefits	
Table 7: Summary of Benefits in Dollars	
Figure 1: Species Distribution	
Figure 2: Relative Age Class	
Figure 3: Foliage Condition	
Figure 4: Wood Condition	
Figure 5: Canopy Cover in Acres	

Figure 6: Land Use of city/park trees	
Figure 7: Location of city/park trees	
	21
Appendix B: ArcGIS Mapping	
Figure 1: Location of Ash Trees	
Figure 3: Location of Poor Condition Trees	
Figure 4: Location of Trees with Recommended Maintenance	23
Figure 5: Maintenance Tasks	
C	
Appendix C: Proposed Emerald Ash Borer Plan	
Ash Tree Removal	3
FAB Quarantines	3
Wood Disposal	3
Canony Replacement	3
Postponed Work	4
Monitoring	<u>Д</u>
Private Ash Trees	Д
1 IIvate Abil 11005	+
Annendix D: Recommended Tree Planting List	5
Appendix D. Recommended Tree Flanding List	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Executive Summary

Overview

This plan was developed to assist the City of Whitten with managing its public tree population, including budgeting and future planning. Trees can provide a multitude of benefits to the community, and sound management of this resource is critical to fully reaping these rewards. Management is especially important considering the serious emerging threats posed by forest pests such as the Emerald Ash Borer (EAB), Gypsy Moth, Asian Longhorned Beetle, and others. With proper planning and management, the costs of removing dead and dying trees can be spread out over time, mitigating the financial burden as well as public safety issues.

Inventory and Results

In June 2018, a tree inventory was conducted using Global Positioning System (GPS) data collectors. The inventory was a complete survey of street and park trees. Below are some key findings of the 23 trees inventoried.

- Whitten's trees provide \$4,889 of benefits annually, at an average of \$213 a tree
- There are at least 12 different species of trees in Whitten
- The top four genus are: Maple 48%, Ash 13%, Poplar 13%, and Spruce 13%
- 10 trees (43% of the population) are in need of some type of maintenance (trimming, removal, etc.)

Recommendations

The core recommendations are detailed in the *Recommendations* section. Some key ones include:

- Begin planting new trees using a diverse mix of species wherever space is available and replacing existing trees that are in poor health to diversify the tree population and buffer against catastrophic tree pests such as EAB
- Address the 10 trees recommended for maintenance
- Begin regularly monitoring ash trees for signs or symptoms associated with EAB

Introduction

This plan was developed to assist Whitten with the management, budgeting and future planning of their community's forest. Across the state, forestry budgets continue to decrease with more and more of that money spent on tree removal. With the anticipated arrival of Emerald Ash Borer (EAB), an invasive pest that kills native ash trees, it is time to prepare for the increased costs of tree removal and replacement planting. With proper planning and management of the current canopy in Whitten, these costs can be extended over years and public safety issues from dead and dying trees mitigated.

Trees are an important component of any community's infrastructure and one of the greatest assets to the community. The benefits of trees are immense. Trees provide the community with improved air quality, stormwater runoff interception, energy conservation, lower traffic speeds, increased property values, reduced crime, improved mental health, and create a desirable place to live, to name just a few benefits. It is essential that these benefits be maintained for the people of Whitten and future generations through good urban forest management.

Good urban forest management involves setting goals and developing management strategies to achieve these goals. An essential part of developing management strategies is a comprehensive public tree inventory. The inventory supplies information that will be used for maintenance, removal schedules, tree planting, and budgeting. Basing actions on this information will help Whitten maximize the benefits and minimize the costs of the tree canopy.

Inventory

In June 2018, a tree inventory was conducted of all municipally-owned trees on both streets and parks. Tree locations were recorded using a handheld Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver with an accuracy of 3 meters, which can be used as an active GIS data layer. Because the inventory is a digital document the data can be edited and updated with new information and become a working document.

The programming used to collect tree information on the data collectors was written to be compatible with a state-of-the-art software suite called i-Tree. i-Tree was developed by the USDA Forest Service to quantify the structure of community trees and the environmental services that trees provide. The i-Tree suite is a public domain which can be accessed for free.

To quantify the urban forest structure and benefits, specific data was collected for each tree. This data includes: location, land use, species, diameter at 4.5 ft, recommended maintenance, priority of that maintenance, leaf health, and wood condition. Additionally, all ash trees were inspected for signs and symptoms of EAB. The signs and symptoms noted were canopy dieback, epicormic shoots, bark splitting, D-shaped borer exit holes, and wood pecker damage.

Inventory Results

The data collected for the 23 city trees was entered into the USDA Forest service program Street Tree Resource Analysis Tool for Urban forestry Management (STRATUM), part of the i-Tree suite. The following are results from the i-Tree STRATUM analysis.

Annual Benefits

Annual Energy Benefits

Trees conserve energy by shading buildings and blocking winds. Whitten's trees reduce energy related costs by approximately \$1,315 annually (Appendix A, Table 1). These savings are both in Electricity (6.4 MWh) and in Natural Gas (845.5 Therms).

Annual Stormwater Benefits

Whitten's trees intercept about 73,976 gallons of rainfall or snowmelt a year (Appendix A, Table 2). This interception provides \$2,005 of benefits to the city.

Annual Air Quality Benefits

Air quality is a persistent public health issue in Iowa. The urban forest improves air quality by removing pollutants, lowering air temperature, and reducing energy consumption, which in turn reduces emissions from power plants, and emitting volatile organic matter (ozone). In Whitten, it is estimated that trees remove 85.5 lbs of air pollution (ozone (O_3), particulate matter less than 10 microns (PM10), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and sulfur dioxide (SO_2)) per year with a net value of \$241 (Appendix A, Table 3).

Annual Carbon Benefits

Carbon sequestration and storage reduce the amount of carbon in the atmosphere, mitigating climate change. In Whitten, trees sequester about 21,195 lbs of carbon each year with an associated value of \$159 (Appendix A, Table 5). This equates to 340,588 lbs of carbon being stored in Whitten's trees with total benefit of \$2,554 (Appendix A, Table 4).

Annual Aesthetics Benefits

Social benefits of trees are hard to capture. The analysis does have a calculation for this area that includes: aesthetic value, property values, lowered rates of mental illness and crime, city livability and much more. Whitten receives \$1,170 in annual social benefits from trees (Appendix A, Table 6).

Financial Summary of all Benefits

According to the USDA Forest Service i-Tree STRATUM analysis, Whitten's trees provide \$4,889 of benefits annually. Benefits of individual trees vary based on size, species, health and location, but on average each of the 23 trees in Whitten provide approximately \$213 annually (Appendix A, Table 7).

Forest Structure

Species Distribution

Whitten has over 12 different tree species along city streets and parks (Appendix A, Figure 1). The distribution of trees by genus is as follows:

Maple	11	48%
Ash	3	13%
Spruce	3	13%
Poplar	3	3%
Birch	1	4%

Size Class

Most of Whitten's trees (87%) are over 12 inches in diameter at 4.5 ft (Appendix A, Figure 2). This indicates an imbalance in the city's tree population and suggests that as the larger, older trees decline and are removed, there is a lack of younger trees being planted to replace them. Having too many large trees and too few young ones increases the risk for catastrophic storm damage and a long "lag period" following major damage.

Condition: Wood and Foliage Health

Both wood condition and leaf condition are good indicators of the overall health of the urban forest. The survey results for Whitten indicate that 91% of the trees are in either good or fair health, while 9% of the trees are in poor health or are considered dead or dying (Appendix A, Figures 3 & 4 and Appendix B, Figure 3).

The 9% of trees classified as poor, dead, or dying represent opportunity costs to the city where time and space are being sacrificed. Trees in poor health should be promptly removed and replaced with new, healthy trees to diversify and improve the overall health and resiliency of Whitten's urban tree population.

Canopy Cover

The amount of tree canopy cover over Whitten is approximately 1 acres (Appendix A, Figure 5). According to the U.S. Census, Whitten occupies 346 acres of land. Thus the canopy cover on city land is less than 1%. This figure could be improved through increased tree planting.

Land Use and Location

The majority of Whitten's city and park trees are in planting strips in single family residential neighborhoods (Appendix A, Figures 6 & 7).

Management Needs

The following table outlines specific management needs of the street and park trees that were identified during the survey. (Appendix B, Figures 4 & 5).

Maintenance Type Needed	# of Trees	Pct. of Tree Population
Crown Cleaning	9	39%
Crown Reduction	1	4%
Tree Removal	0	0%
Crown Raising	0	0%
Tree Staking/Training	0	0%
Pest/Disease Treatment	0	0%

Definitions: "Crown cleaning" removes dead, diseased, and broken limbs. "Crown reduction" involves shortening or removing individual limbs to avoid interference with nearby structures, utility wires, traffic flow, or other branches. "Removal" implies that the entire tree needs to be removed either for safety or tree health purposes. "Raising" removes lower branches from the tree trunk to eliminate obstructions or clearance issues. "Staking/training" refers to corrective staking or pruning on very young saplings to help develop proper form and prevent future problems. "Pest/Disease Treatment" would imply treating very high value or important landscape trees with preventative pesticides to protect their health.

Recommendations

Risk Management

Hazardous trees and branches can be a significant threat to both people and property. Trees that are dead or dying, or that have large issues such as trunk cracks longer than 18 inches should be removed. Broken branches and branches that interfere with motorist's vision of pedestrians, vehicles, traffic signs and signals, etc should be removed immediately.

Hazardous trees & branches: Critical concerns

No "critical concern" trees were identified during the inventory.

Immediate Needs (defer up to 3 years)

There were 2 trees marked as needing "immediate" maintenance attention, meaning within the next three years. These include: 1 crown reduction and 1 crown cleaning. Refer to the maps in Figures 4 and 5 of Appendix B to view the locations of these trees.

Routine Maintenance (defer up to 6 years)

After addressing the immediate need trees above, there are 8 trees recommended for "routine" maintenance within the next six years (Appendix B, Figures 4 & 5). Of this number, all 8 are recommended for crown cleaning.

Proper pruning can extend the life and good health of trees, as well as reduce public safety issues. It is generally recommended that all trees be inspected for pruning needs every five to ten years.

Planting

Additional tree planting is recommended for Whitten to increase its current canopy cover and expand the benefits that those trees bring to the community. It is important to plant a diverse mix of differing species in the urban forest to maintain canopy health, since most insects and diseases target a single genus of trees (e.g., ash, maple, oak).

Current diversity recommendations advise that:

- No single <u>species</u> should comprise <u>more than 10%</u> of the total tree population in the community (e.g. silver maple, sugar maple, white oak, bur oak)
- No single genus should comprise more than 20% of the total tree population

Presently, Whitten's urban forest is heavily planted with the genus Maple, at 48% (Appendix A, Figure 1). Maples should not be planted until this percentage can be lowered. Also, ash trees have not been recommended since 2002, due to the threat of EAB. Other species to avoid for various reasons include: cottonwood, poplar, boxelder, Chinese elm, evergreens, willow, or black walnut, and any others identified in the city tree code.

A list containing generally acceptable and recommended trees for planting in Iowa is provided with this plan (Appendix D). Ensure each individual planting is tailored for the environmental conditions, available space, and other factors.

Continual Monitoring

Due to the threat of EAB, it is important to continuously check the health of ash trees. It is recommended that all ash trees which are showing any signs or symptoms of EAB be checked annually with a visual survey for tree death and for additional symptoms (canopy dieback, epicormic shoots, bark splitting, D-shaped borer exit holes, and wood pecker damage). All other ash trees in the city which aren't exhibiting these symptoms should still be routinely monitored as time allows.

Proposed Work Schedule & Estimated Costs

The trees in Whitten represent an important part of its overall infrastructure and quality of life for its residents. By budgeting for routine maintenance, replacement, and removals now, the city can be proactive and preventative rather than reactive when this pest arrives.

The following is a proposed 6-year work plan that would address the highest priority issues at this time. Estimated costs are based on \$700/tree average for removal, \$25/tree average for trimming*, and \$150/tree average for planting. *Individual homeowners are presumed to be responsible for light trimming and staking/training of young trees in the City right-of-way. For new tree plantings & replacements, it is recommended that Whitten apply for grants. Utility Company grants are usually between \$500 and \$10,000 for community-based, tree-

planting projects that include parks, gateways, cemeteries, nature trails, libraries, nursing homes, and schools.

Estimated Costs
\$1500
\$250
\$1500
\$250
\$2100
\$700
\$150
\$500

Plan Prepared by:

Inventory and report prepared by Joe Herring, Iowa DNR District Forester 524 Lawler St Iowa Falls, IA 50126 641-648-6304 joe.herring@dnr.iowa.gov

Maps and figures provided by Emma Hanigan, Iowa DNR Urban Forestry Coordinator.

All data and information used for this report may be obtained by contacting the Iowa DNR Forestry Bureau.

Works Cited

Census Bureau. 2000. http://censtats.census.gov/data/IA/1601964290.pdf (April, 2010)

USDA Forest Service, et al. 2006. i-Tree Software Suite v1.0 User's Manual. Pp. 27-40.

McPherson EG, Simpson JR, Peper PJ, Gardner SL, Vargas KE, Ho J, Maco S, Xiao Q. 2005b. City of Charleston, South Carolina, municipal forest resource analysis. Internal Tech Rep. Davis, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Center for Urban Forest Research. p. 57 Nowak, D.J. and J.F. Dwyer. 2007. Understanding the benefits and costs of urban forest ecosystems. In: Kuser, J. (ed.) Urban and Community Forestry in the Northeast. New York: Springer. Pp. 25-46.

Peper, Paula J.; McPherson, E. Gregory; Simpson, James R.; Vargas, Kelaine E.; Xiao, Qingfu 2009. Lower Midwest community tree guide: benefits, costs, and strategic planting. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-GTR-219. Albany, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station. p.115

Appendix A: i-Tree Data

Table 1: Annual Energy Benefits

Whitten

Annual Energy Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

	Total Electricity	Electricity	Total Natural	Natural	Total	Standard	% of Total	% of	Avg.
Species	(MWh)	(\$)	Gas (Therms)	Gas (\$)	(\$)	Error	Trees	Total \$	\$/tree
Sugar maple	1.5	117	203.7	200	317	(N/A)	17.4	24.1	79.27
Ash	0.8	60	106.4	104	164	(N/A)	13.0	12.5	54.80
Blue spruce	0.3	20	39.9	39	59	(N/A)	13.0	4.5	19.75
Black maple	0.8	61	100.0	98	159	(N/A)	13.0	12.1	52.86
Norway maple	0.6	49	94.8	93	142	(N/A)	8.7	10.8	70.84
Black poplar	0.7	55	90.1	88	143	(N/A)	8.7	10.9	71.43
Birch	0.2	18	29.5	29	47	(N/A)	4.3	3.6	46.78
Cottonwood	0.5	37	63.1	62	99	(N/A)	4.3	7.5	98.63
Sweetgum	0.1	7	13.7	13	21	(N/A)	4.3	1.6	20.64
Silver maple	0.4	29	49.5	49	78	(N/A)	4.3	5.9	77.56
Red maple	0.3	19	30.1	29	49	(N/A)	4.3	3.7	48.95
Apple	0.2	14	24.7	24	38	(N/A)	4.3	2.9	38.13
Total	6.4	486	845.5	829	1,315	(N/A)	100.0	100.0	57.15

Table 2: Annual Stormwater Benefits

Whitten

Annual Stormwater Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

Species	Total rainfall interception (Gal)	Total (\$)	Standard Error	% of Total Trees	% of Total \$	Avg. \$/tree
Sugar maple	23,715	643	(N/A)	17.4	32.1	160.67
Ash	6,583	178	(N/A)	13.0	8.9	59.46
Blue spruce	3,823	104	(N/A)	13.0	5.2	34.54
Black maple	6,075	165	(N/A)	13.0	8.2	54.87
Norway maple	7,529	204	(N/A)	8.7	10.2	102.01
Black poplar	8,704	236	(N/A)	8.7	11.8	117.95
Birch	1,409	38	(N/A)	4.3	1.9	38.19
Cottonwood	7,239	196	(N/A)	4.3	9.8	196.17
Sweetgum	608	16	(N/A)	4.3	0.8	16.47
Silver maple	6,022	163	(N/A)	4.3	8.1	163.19
Red maple	1,604	43	(N/A)	4.3	2.2	43.46
Apple	667	18	(N/A)	4.3	0.9	18.06
Citywide total	73,976	2,005	(N/A)	100.0	100.0	87.16

2019 Community Tree Management Plan

Table	3:	Annual	Air	Ouality	/ Benefits
TUNIC	э.	Annual		Quanty	Denents

Whitten

Annual Air Quality Benefits of Public Trees

		D	eposition	(lb)	Total		Avoid	led (lb)		Total	BVOC	BVOC	Total	Total Standard	% of Total	Ava
Species	03	NO ₂	PM_{10}	so 2	Depos. (\$)	NO $_2$	PM 10	VOC	so 2	Avoided (\$)	Emissions (lb)	Emissions (\$)	(lb)	(\$) Error	Trees	\$/tree
Sugar maple	4.0	0.7	1.9	0.2	21	7.3	1.1	1.0	7.0	46	-3.1	-12	20.1	55 (N/A)	17.4	13.87
Ash	1.3	0.2	0.6	0.1	7	3.8	0.6	0.5	3.6	24	-0.3	-1	10.4	29 (N/A)	13.0	9.81
Blue spruce	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1	3	1.3	0.2	0.2	1.2	8	-1.4	-5	2.6	6 (N/A)	13.0	2.06
Black maple	1.4	0.2	0.7	0.1	7	3.7	0.5	0.5	3.6	23	-0.5	-2	10.3	29 (N/A)	13.0	9.68
Norway maple	1.7	0.3	0.8	0.1	9	3.1	0.5	0.4	2.9	19	-0.4	-1	9.5	27 (N/A)	8.7	13.58
Black poplar	1.7	0.3	0.8	0.1	9	3.4	0.5	0.5	3.3	21	0.0	0	10.4	30 (N/A)	8.7	14.99
Birch	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1	1.1	0.2	0.2	1.1	7	-0.1	0	2.8	8 (N/A)	4.3	7.92
Cottonwood	1.6	0.3	0.7	0.1	8	2.3	0.3	0.3	2.2	14	0.0	0	7.7	23 (N/A)	4.3	22.55
Sweetgum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.4	3	0.0	0	1.1	3 (N/A)	4.3	2.99
Silver maple	1.1	0.2	0.5	0.0	6	1.8	0.3	0.3	1.7	11	-0.5	-2	5.4	15 (N/A)	4.3	15.14
Red maple	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	2	1.2	0.2	0.2	1.2	7	-0.1	0	3.1	9 (N/A)	4.3	8.75
Apple	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	1	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.8	5	0.0	0	2.3	7 (N/A)	4.3	6.56
Citywide total	14.1	2.4	6.8	0.7	75	30.3	4.4	4.2	29.0	189	-6.3	-24	85.5	241 (N/A)	100.0	10.48

Table 4: Annual Carbon Stored

Whitten

Stored CO2 Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

	Total Stored	Total	Standard	% of Total	% of	Avg.
Species	CO2 (lbs)	(\$)	Error	Trees	Total \$	\$/tree
Sugar maple	122,314	917	(N/A)	17.4	35.9	229.34
Ash	21,528	161	(N/A)	13.0	6.3	53.82
Blue spruce	3,230	24	(N/A)	13.0	0.9	8.07
Black maple	15,194	114	(N/A)	13.0	4.5	37.98
Norway maple	28,560	214	(N/A)	8.7	8.4	107.10
Black poplar	59,654	447	(N/A)	8.7	17.5	223.70
Birch	3,624	27	(N/A)	4.3	1.1	27.18
Cottonwood	55,982	420	(N/A)	4.3	16.4	419.86
Sweetgum	1,035	8	(N/A)	4.3	0.3	7.76
Silver maple	22,806	171	(N/A)	4.3	6.7	171.04
Red maple	3,624	27	(N/A)	4.3	1.1	27.18
Apple	3,037	23	(N/A)	4.3	0.9	22.78
Citywide total	340,588	2,554	(N/A)	100.0	100.0	111.06

Table 5: Annual Carbon Sequestered

Whitten

Annual CO Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

	Sequestered	Sequestered	Decomposition	Maintenance	Total	Avoided	Avoided	Net Total	Total Standard	% of Total	% of	Avg.
Species	(lb)	(\$)	Release (lb)	Release (lb)	Released (\$)	(lb)	(\$)	(lb)	(\$) Error	Trees	Total \$	\$/tree
Sugar maple	4,659	35	-587	-19	-5	2,595	19	6,648	50 (N/A)	17.4	31.4	12.46
Ash	1,142	9	-103	-7	-1	1,329	10	2,360	18 (N/A)	13.0	11.1	5.90
Blue spruce	224	2	-16	-5	0	445	3	649	5 (N/A)	13.0	3.1	1.62
Black maple	966	7	-73	-7	-1	1,339	10	2,226	17 (N/A)	13.0	10.5	5.56
Norway maple	740	6	-137	-7	-1	1,077	8	1,673	13 (N/A)	8.7	7.9	6.27
Black poplar	924	7	-286	-8	-2	1,206	9	1,836	14 (N/A)	8.7	8.7	6.88
Birch	386	3	-17	-2	0	395	3	762	6 (N/A)	4.3	3.6	5.71
Cottonwood	479	4	-269	-6	-2	813	6	1,017	8 (N/A)	4.3	4.8	7.63
Sweetgum	209	2	-5	-1	0	159	1	361	3 (N/A)	4.3	1.7	2.71
Silver maple	1,681	13	-109	-4	-1	642	5	2,209	17 (N/A)	4.3	10.4	16.57
Red maple	483	4	-17	-2	0	431	3	895	7 (N/A)	4.3	4.2	6.71
Apple	268	2	-15	-2	0	308	2	560	4 (N/A)	4.3	2.6	4.20
Citywide total	12,161	91	-1,635	-70	-13	10,738	81	21,195	159 (N/A)	100.0	100.0	6.91

Table 6: Annual Social and Aesthetic Benefits

Whitten

Annual Aesthetic/Other Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

		Standard	% of Total	% of Total	Avg.
Species	Total (\$)	Error	Trees	\$	\$/tree
Sugar maple	427	(N/A)	17.4	36.5	106.87
Ash	110	(N/A)	13.0	9.4	36.59
Blue spruce	62	(N/A)	13.0	5.3	20.71
Black maple	132	(N/A)	13.0	11.3	43.93
Norway maple	63	(N/A)	8.7	5.4	31.46
Black poplar	74	(N/A)	8.7	6.4	37.21
Birch	39	(N/A)	4.3	3.3	39.16
Cottonwood	29	(N/A)	4.3	2.4	28.57
Sweetgum	29	(N/A)	4.3	2.4	28.56
Silver maple	124	(N/A)	4.3	10.6	123.87
Red maple	66	(N/A)	4.3	5.6	65.89
Apple	15	(N/A)	4.3	1.3	15.48
Citywide total	1,170	(N/A)	100.0	100.0	50.87

Table 7: Summary of Benefits in Dollars

Whitten

Annual Aesthetic/Other Benefits of Public Trees

1/10/2019

		Standard	% of Total	% of Total	Avg.
Species	Total (\$)	Error	Trees	\$	\$/tree
Sugar maple	427	(N/A)	17.4	36.5	106.87
Ash	110	(N/A)	13.0	9.4	36.59
Blue spruce	62	(N/A)	13.0	5.3	20.71
Black maple	132	(N/A)	13.0	11.3	43.93
Norway maple	63	(N/A)	8.7	5.4	31.46
Black poplar	74	(N/A)	8.7	6.4	37.21
Birch	39	(N/A)	4.3	3.3	39.16
Cottonwood	29	(N/A)	4.3	2.4	28.57
Sweetgum	29	(N/A)	4.3	2.4	28.56
Silver maple	124	(N/A)	4.3	10.6	123.87
Red maple	66	(N/A)	4.3	5.6	65.89
Apple	15	(N/A)	4.3	1.3	15.48
Citywide total	1,170	(N/A)	100.0	100.0	50.87





Silver maple

Figure 1: Species Distribution



Figure 2: Relative Age Class



Figure 3: Foliage Condition



Figure 4: Wood Condition



Figure 5: Canopy Cover in Acres



Figure 6: Land Use of city/park trees



Figure 7: Location of city/park trees

Appendix B: ArcGIS Mapping



Figure 1: Location of Ash Trees



Figure 3: Location of Poor Condition Trees



Figure 4: Location of Trees with Recommended Maintenance



Figure 5: Maintenance Tasks

Appendix C: Proposed Emerald Ash Borer Plan

Ash Tree Removal

Ash trees that become infested with EAB will die within 6-8 years and can present very serious risks to life and property. Once branches or trees die, the tissue can become very brittle and unpredictable within 1-2 years, making removal more dangerous and expensive for tree services. Therefore, it is recommended that ash trees be removed immediately as soon as signs and symptoms of EAB are detected in those trees. Dead, dying, and hazardous ash trees should be prioritized for removal first to mitigate public safety and risk. Next should be all ash in poor condition and displaying early signs and symptoms of EAB. *City ownership of the tree recommended for removal should be verified prior to any removal*

EAB Quarantines

In order to stay ahead of this hard to detect beetle, the USDA is attempting to contain the beetle before it spreads beyond its known positions by regulating articles. A "regulated article" under the USDA's quarantine includes any of the following items:

- Emerald ash borer
- Firewood of all hardwood species (for example ash, oak, maple and hickory)
- Nursery stock and green lumber of ash

• Any other ash material, whether living, dead, cut or fallen, including logs, stumps, roots, branches, as well as composted and not composted chips of the genus ash (Mountain ash is not included).

In addition, any other article, product or means of conveyance not listed above may be designated as a regulated article if a USDA inspector determines that it presents a risk of spreading EAB.

The entire State of Iowa is under a quarantine which prohibits the transport of regulated articles to areas outside the federal quarantine area. For a current quarantine map, please visit <u>http://www.emeraldashborer.info/moving-firewood.php</u>. County-to-county transport of regulated articles inside the State of Iowa is permitted, but discouraged.

Wood Disposal

Wood waste can be disposed of by typical means, such as chipping, composting, burning, etc. Cities and residents are encouraged to destroy ash materials promptly to prevent their spread to other neighboring communities and counties. Firewood from ash trees should be kept and used locally as much as possible.

Canopy Replacement

Replace all ash trees promptly with a diverse species mix as budgets allow. Encourage local residents to plant trees on their adjoining properties. All trees should meet the guidelines in the City Code.

Postponed Work

While finances, staffing and equipment are focused on the management of ash, usual services may be delayed. Tree removal requests on trees other than ash will be prioritized by hazardous or emergency situations only.

Monitoring

It is recommended that ash trees be checked with a visual survey every year for the following signs and symptoms: canopy dieback, epicormic shoots, bark splitting, D-shaped borer exit holes, and wood pecker damage.

Private Ash Trees

It is strongly recommended that private property owners start removing ash trees on their property either before or upon arrival of EAB. Preventative treatments using insecticides are available to protect highly valuable ash trees but must be administered for the remainder of the tree's life. Treatments are formulated either for homeowner use or via professionally-applied trunk injections, depending on tree size, in accordance with ISU Extension bulletin PM-2084 (available online for free).

Appendix D: Recommended Tree Planting List

IOWA TREE LIST							
*This is a statewide list; Species survivability may vary by location.							
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Cultivars/ Selections				
	Black Maple	Acer nigrum	Durante Della, De d'Ourset, Candat Javad				
	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	Redpoint, Somerset				
Sugar Maple		Acer saccharum	Commemoration, <u>Crescendo, Endowment</u> , Fall Fiesta, Legacy, Green Mountain				
	River Birch	Betula nigra Retula populifelio	Hentage Whitespire Sr				
	White-barked birch	Betula populitolia	Villespile St. Jofforson, Prairio Expedition (Lowis and				
	American Elm	Ulmus Americana	Clark), Princeton				
	Shellbark Hickory	Carva laciniosa					
	Shagbark Hickory	Carva ovata					
	Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis	Chicagoland, Prairie Pride, Windy City				
	Yellowwood	Cladrastis kentuckea					
	Turkish Filbert	Corylus columa					
	Ginkgo (male only)	Ginkgo biloba	Autumn Gold, Golden Colonnade, Halka, Magyar, Presidential Gold, Princeton Sentry				
S	Thornless Honeylocust	Gleditisia triacanthos	Northern Acclaim, Skyline, Shademaster				
	Kentucky Coffeetree	Gymnocladus dioicus					
~	Sweetgum	Liquidambar styraciflua					
	Iuliptree Cusumbertree Magnelia	Liriodendron tulipitera					
8	Cucumbertree Magnolia	Magnolla acuminata					
A	London Planetree	Platanus y acertolia	Bloodgood Exclamation				
L I	White Oak	Quercus alba					
••	Swamp White Oak	Quercus bicolor					
	Scarlet Oak	Quercus coccinea					
	Shingle Oak	Quercus imbricaria					
	Bur Oak	Quercus macrocarpa					
	Chinkapin Oak	Quercus muehlenbergii					
	Pin Oak	Quercus Palustris					
	Northern Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Paulavard Front Vard Lagond American				
	American Linden	Tilia americana	Sentry				
	Silver Linden	Tilia tomemtosa					
	Littleleaf Linden	Tilia Cordata	Glenleven				
			Autumn Brilliance, Cole's Select, Cumulus,				
ø	Serviceberry	Amelanchier spp.	Princess Diana, Strata				
ž	American Hornbeam	Carpinus caroliniana					
Ξ.,	Eastern Redbud	Cercis canadensis					
O S	Pagoda Dogwood	Cornus alternifolia					
W-GR			Adirondack, Cardinal, David, Donald Wyman, Doublooms, Floribunda, Golden Raindrops, Harvest Gold, Indian Magic, Louisa, Mary Potter, Purple Prince, Red Jewel, Royal Fountain, Royal				
2	Flowering Crabapple	Malus spp.	Raindrops, Sugar Tyme				
_	American Hophornbeam	Ostyra virginiana					
	American Plum	Prunus americana					
	Japanese Tree Lilac	Syringa reticulata	Ivory Silk, Summer Snow				
RS	VVNILE FIF	Ables concolor Disca abias					
	White Spruce	Picea dauca					
	Black Hills Spruce	Picea glauca var. densata					
ш	Serbian Spruce	Picea omorika					
Ę	White Pine	Pinus strobus					
ō	Arborvitae	Thuja occidentalis					
Ö	Canadian Hemlock	Tsuga canadensis					
	Larch	Larix decidua					
	Bald Cypress	Taxodium distichum					

The State of Iowa is an Equal Opportunity Employer and provider of ADA services.

Federal law prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of race, color, age, religion, national origin, sex or disability. State law prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, national origin, religion, pregnancy, or disability. State law also prohibits public accommodation (such as access to services or physical facilities) discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, national origin, or disability. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity or facility as described above, or if you desire further information, please contact the Iowa Civil Rights Commission, 1-800-457-4416, or write to the Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wallace State Office Bldg., 502 E. 9th St., Des Moines, IA 50319.

If you need accommodations because of disability to access the services of this Agency, please contact the Director at 515-281-5918.