

## Executive Summary

A collaborative team of faculty and staff from lowa's public universities, working with contributors from the lowa Department of Education, was charged to conduct a study of the number and proportion of women and minorities enrolled in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics programs, including high school programs such as Project Lead The Way. The report is to include recommendations for improving the number and proportion of women and minorities in STEM university programs. This report looks to answer three questions relevant to the legislative charge:

- What do general indicators suggest about the preparation of high school-age women and students of diversity for science-technology-engineeringmathematics (STEM) study at lowa's public universities?
- What is the current representation of women and minorities in STEM fields at lowa's three public universities?
- What are key recommendations for maintaining and improving the proportion of women and minorities enrolled and degreed in STEM fields at Iowa public universities?

Indicators including the results of standardized tests (the lowa Test of Educational Development and the ACT), advanced course taking, and course grades and grade point averages all suggest that young men and women enjoy similar opportunities and successes in high school STEM fields of study. For lowa's minority students, performance gaps persist, but increased opportunities for advanced coursework help close the gap. Project Lead the Way is a rapidly expanding high school program of engineering-related courses reaching 87 high schools in 2008, though proportional participation of women and minorities lags.

At lowa's public universities the proportion of women and minorities in STEM majors has generally increased over the last nine years. Women comprise the majority of majors in some fields of STEM study, including the biological sciences at the undergraduate level, and veterinary medicine at the graduate/professional level. The numbers of minority students enrolling and earning degrees at the public universities have been on a steady climb. However, the proportion of women choosing STEM fields of study is well below campus populations. Likewise, as percentages of some minority groups increase on the university campuses (e.g., African American and Native American students), matching increases in their numbers in STEM majors are not always seen, particularly in physical science, computer science, and engineering.

A series of recommendations derived from the ample and growing literature regarding best practices in providing for successful study in STEM for women and minorities, indeed all students, is provided. In addition to highlighting existing programs for recruiting and retaining women and minorities in STEM fields, seven recommendations are proposed for increasing the proportion of women and minorities in STEM fields:

1. Seek opportunities for ongoing and new STEM initiatives within the state to increase the number of women and/or minorities participating and succeeding where imbalances exist.
2. Maintain existing STEM diversity programs at lowa public universities and pursue opportunities to expand these programs within and beyond the public university system.
3. Encourage additional collaborations across lowa's public universities, within the universities, and among the universities and the lowa Department of Education, lowa private and community colleges, lowa Department of Economic Development, lowa Workforce Development, regional economic development groups/STEM employers, K-12 schools, AEAs, and other educational institutions and groups on issues and programs addressing women and/or minorities in STEM.
4. Aspire to institutionalize STEM diversity/gender positions and programs within the normal administrative structures of lowa's public universities.
5. Provide opportunities for faculty involvement in programs associated with women and/or minorities in STEM.
6. Provide professional development opportunities for university faculty and staff on research-based best practices for creating teaching/learning experiences in STEM disciplines that most effectively meet the learning needs of all students, particularly those underrepresented in the instructor's field.
7. Provide annual updates on enrollment and graduation of women and minorities in STEM programs to the Board of Regents, State of lowa.
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## Section A

## Background on the Equity and Diversity Challenge in STEM Education

## An Unbalanced Equation

Women and many U.S. minorities are underrepresented in STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) majors and occupations, to the detriment of our technology-reliant economy and globally-connected society. A constellation of factorsincluding unequal opportunities for advanced coursework, and instructional practices that favor some students and groups over others-is likely to figure in to this unbalanced equation. Hard-earned progress, however, is being made in some STEM sectors, while in others an unacceptable chasm of opportunity persists, rooted in gender, race, and ethnicity.

## High School Performance in STEM Fields

Underrepresentation of women and U.S. minorities in some STEM fields at the university level is puzzling given high school performance trends. Data from the lowa Department of Education (2007) indicates that high school young men and women participate and perform nearly equally in math and science coursework.

## 2006-07 school year course enrollment in lowa high schools

- 50 percent of the students enrolled in higher level math courses were female.
- 52.7 percent of the students enrolled in chemistry were female.
- 42.8 percent of the students enrolled in physics were female.

Proficiency on the lowa Test of Educational Development also indicates that the performance of high school men and women in math and science is quite similar.

## Percentage of proficient $11^{\text {th }}$ graders on ITED (2005-06 and 2006-07)

Mathematics proficiency Science proficiency
Females $=77.7$ percent $\quad$ Females $=82.5$ percent
Males $=78.9$ percent
Males $=78.1$ percent
Minorities (African American, Hispanic, Native American) are about 20 percentage points behind on the ITED: Math $=54.2$ percent; science $=60.5$ percent.

## College Entrance Examinations

When it comes to math and physical science components of college entrance exams nationally, males outperform females, on average (Corbett, et. al. 2008). In lowa, on the other hand, for the graduating class of 2008, ACT scores are only a few percentage points apart (IDE, 2007). Two-thirds of lowa high school graduates take the ACT exam.

On the math component of the Scholastic Aptitude Test, boys consistently, though modestly, outscore girls by a 40 point spread (on a 200 to 800 score scale) that has persisted for decades (Halpern et. al. 2007). The greater percentage of women taking both the ACT and SAT broadens the range of abilities, lowering average or aggregate scores (Corbett et. al.).
lowa ACT participation and average scores for the graduating class of 2008

|  | \# of test takers | Math average | Science average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 10,541 | 22.6 (21.6 NtI.) | 22.9 (21.3 NtI.) |
| Females | 12, 013 | 21.2 (21.0 NtI.) | 21.8 (20.4 NtI.) |

The expanding opportunity for advanced coursework in STEM fields is showing results in progress for minority high school students nationally. The percentage of African American and Hispanic students taking the AP exam in chemistry and physics is increasing faster than the rate of increase for non-minority students while the mean gap in math scores between minority and non-minority has closed by over 30 percent over the last 20 years (NCES, 2007).

What goes on between secondary school-where young women get better grades on average than their male counterparts in math and science (NCER, 2007)-and college graduation where women earn slim percentages of physical science and engineering bachelor's degrees (NCES, 2000)? How can it be that at the point of post-secondary enrollment, the proportion of African American students majoring in science and engineering programs is nearly the same as the proportion of white students ( 12 percent to 13 percent) (Babco, 2003), yet only 37.8 percent of minority engineering majors persist to graduation while 46.1 percent of non-minority students graduate (McPhail, 2008). The collegiate study of the STEM fields is differentially experienced by women and minority students, by evidence of proportions majoring in, and finishing, STEM programs.

## STEM Workforce Trends

Considering that women and minorities comprise about 60 percent of the U.S. workforce, their numbers in science and engineering professions come nowhere close to their numbers as a proportion of the U.S. population (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2001). Women make up 46 percent of the U.S. workforce but represent only 27 percent of those employed in physical science and engineering nationally (Halpern, et.al., 2007). In lowa, women comprise 48.5 percent of the "science research and development" category of occupations and 26.9 percent of "architectural and engineering" (Pearson \& Gordon, 2008). African Americans, Hispanics, Native Americans, and other minorities constitute some 30 percent of the U.S. population but only 7 percent of the physical science and engineering labor force (NSF, 2002).

## Factors Associated with Underrepresentation

Factors associated with underrepresentation of women and minorities in some STEM majors and occupations are a mixed collection of promising trends and maddening stagnations. For example, women currently earn about 57 percent of U.S. bachelor's
degrees, and account for over half of the degrees awarded in the social sciences (Corbett, et. al.). At lowa's public universities as well as nationally, over half of biology bachelor's degrees and about half of all chemistry four year degrees are earned by women. These successes account, in part, for the fact that half of all medical school graduates and threefourths of veterinary school graduates are women (Halpern, et.al. 2007). Things are different in the mathematically-based fields of computer science, physics, and engineering at lowa universities where women earn less than one in four bachelor's degrees. Nationally, women earn 25 percent of computer science-related degrees, 22 percent of physics degrees, and 21 percent of engineering degrees (NCER, 2007). The net effect is an aggregated STEM figure that belies an imbalance of participation for women and minorities across the STEM field spectrum. At lowa's public universities, 40 percent of all STEM undergraduate degrees are awarded to women. Minorities, constituting about 8 percent of all enrollees, earned 9 percent of all STEM degrees in 2008. Compared to national proportions of women in selected STEM fields, lowa lags (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Bachelor's Degrees Obtained by Women in Selected STEM Fields Nationally, and for lowa's Public Universities*, 2005-2006
(Source: NCWIT, 2007)

| Degree | Natignal | lowa |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Computer Science | $15 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Physics | $21 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Chemical Engineering | $36 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ |
| Mathematics | $45 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| Chemistry | $52 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| Biology | $62 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ |

*National data from 2005-06; lowa data from fall 2008

Nationally, the most popular bachelor's degree for African American students is business (21 percent of total enrollees) while one of the least frequently enrolled is engineering ( 2.6 percent) (NCES Table 25.2, 2007). An unfortunate but fixable cascade of events, including limited opportunities for advanced coursework at the high school level and the impacted test scores and class grades that ensue in college, create hardship for many African American students with interests in STEM fields (Babco, 2003).

Challenges remain but thoughtful answers to the complex question of imbalance are emerging. Increasingly clear is the importance of previous and challenging, relevant coursework and personal interest in preparing women, minorities, indeed all students, for success in STEM (NCER, 2007). For women particularly, beliefs in ability -strongly linked to teacher actions- equate to accomplishment in STEM-related coursework (Halpern, et.al.). Successful learners encounter and must socially navigate negative stereotypes associated with success in STEM courses (Corbett et. al.).

A report from the U.S. Department of Education (NCES, 2000) highlights many of the factors that can affect women and ethnic minority students' decisions to enroll and persist in STEM related programs. Key factors include the following:

- Student self-confidence in math and science.
- Student attitudes regarding quantitative studies.
- Student attitudes about changing gender roles (anticipated ability to balance career/family).
- Academic preparation.
- Precollege curriculum, instruction, teachers/counselors, and STEM learning opportunities.
- College/university institutional structure and commitment, academic and social climates, and special programs in STEM.


## National Imperative, Local Action

Underrepresentation, by a sizable degree, of broad sects of the American population in STEM coursework and resultant careers is unfair and unsustainable for our twenty-first century society. As Irving Pressley McPhail, C.O.O. of the National Action Council for Minorities in Engineering states, "... science and engineering have, to a large extent, failed to recognize that diversity and inclusion drive innovation, and that their absence imperils our designs, our products, and most of all, our creativity" (McPhail, 2008). This report is an important step in contributing to a state and national urgency to level the field of access and opportunity in STEM fields at lowa's public universities.

## Section B

## 2000-2008 Enrollment and Degree Data for Women and Minorities

Iowa's public universities are actively committed to increasing the diversity of the enrollment in STEM fields. While the universities have made progress, there is still plenty of room for improvement of the representation of women and minorities in STEM. Appendix I lists the STEM majors included in this report.

## Enrollment in STEM Fields

Representation of women and minority students in STEM fields at the three state universities has seen modest increases since 2000. Women account for 40 percent of all students enrolled in STEM fields in fall 2008 compared to 37 percent in fall 2000, while minority students account for 9 percent of all STEM students in fall 2008 compared to 7 percent in fall 2000. The greatest percentage increases for women occurred in the professional schools (medical, dental, and veterinary medicine), where the percentages increased from 51 percent to 57 percent. At the undergraduate level, the percentage increase was more modest from 37 percent to 39 percent, while the graduate student percentage increased from 38 percent to 41 percent. Increases in minority percentage were fairly consistent across all three classifications of students (undergraduate, graduate, and professional). Figure 2 provides the number of women and minorities enrolled in STEM for undergraduate, graduate, and professional studies at lowa's public universities over the last nine years.

Figure 2
Total Enrollment of Women and Minorities in STEM Fields at lowa Regent Universities, 2000 to 2008



Some differences in the representation of women and minority students in STEM fields among the three universities can be attributed to the overall demographics of the institutions (for minority students) and the degree programs offered (for example, majors offered which may bring more women students). For fall 2007, the overall percentage of minorities in the campus population is 8.9 percent at SUI, 9 percent at ISU, and 5.7 percent at UNI (Figure 3). Therefore, the fact that minority student enrollment in STEM follows a similar trend is expected. Minority students account for 11 percent of the STEM students at SUI, 8 percent at ISU, and 6 percent at UNI. Women account for 48 percent of the STEM students at SUI, 44 percent at UNI, and 34 percent at ISU (figures 4 through 19). Since engineering is significantly underrepresented by women and engineering accounts for half of the STEM students at lowa State, it is no surprise that lowa State's overall percentage of women in STEM is significantly lower than SUI and UNI, based on engineering enrollments. The University of lowa also sees an increase in percentage of women, as women are attracted and enrolled in medical related majors (medical school, biomedical engineering, etc.) at levels equal to or greater than men. Iowa State University sees a similar situation in the College of Veterinary Medicine (which accounts for all 'professional' students at ISU and is 75 percent women).

Figure 3: Current Undergraduate Enrollments at Iowa Public Universities by Race/Ethnicity

|  | SUI |  | ISU |  | UNI |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Count | $\%$ | Count | $\%$ | Count |  |
| Race/Ethnicity | 18,649 | $89.2 \%$ | 18,357 | $87.4 \%$ | 10,081 | $91.6 \%$ |
| White \& No Response | 465 | $2.2 \%$ | 597 | $2.8 \%$ | 287 | $2.6 \%$ |
| African American | 747 | $3.6 \%$ | 678 | $3.2 \%$ | 133 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Asian American | 98 | $0.5 \%$ | 67 | $0.3 \%$ | 34 | $0.3 \%$ |
| American Indian | 544 | $2.6 \%$ | 543 | $2.6 \%$ | 177 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | 1,854 | $8.9 \%$ | 1,885 | $9.0 \%$ | 631 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Race/Ethnic US minority Subtotl | 404 | $1.9 \%$ | 762 | $3.6 \%$ | 298 | $2.7 \%$ |
| International Students | 20,907 | $100.0 \%$ | 21,004 | $100.0 \%$ | 11,010 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Institutional Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(Source: Board of Regents, State of lowa Fall 2007 Enrollment Report, as reproduced in the Report of the Regents Inter-institutional Task Force on Retention, Graduation, and Diversity, 2008)

## Nine-year Enrollment Trends: Women and Minorities

Increasing populations of women and minorities on campus translate into increases in the overall number of women and minorities enrolled in STEM at the state universities. The total number of women in STEM fields system-wide has increased from 8,002 to 9,258, while the total number of minority students in STEM fields has increased from 1,570 to 2,007.

Another way to consider the enrollment of women and minorities in STEM is in relation to the overall enrollment at the institutions, answering the question, "What percentage of our overall enrollment for a particular group is in STEM fields?" In fall 2008, 34 percent of
all students enrolled at an lowa public university were enrolled in a STEM field. Of the minority students enrolled at the universities in fall 2008, 33 percent were enrolled in STEM fields. So, minority students are enrolling in STEM fields at our universities at about the same rate as the institutional average. The same does not hold true for students based on gender. For fall 2008, 27 percent of the women enrolled at lowa public universities were in STEM fields, while of all men enrolled at the universities, 41 percent were in STEM fields.

A wealth of data resides in the enrollment and graduation tables provided as Appendix II (STEM ENROLLMENT DATA TABLES) and Appendix III (STEM DEGREES DATA TABLES). The overview provided by this report provides a framework for specific inquiries as to STEM performance and degree by ethnicity or race, and by gender. For example, the consideration of women of color in STEM fields is illuminating. Across the state universities, Latinas/Hispanic women and African American women are represented at a higher rate than other racial/ethnic groups when comparing representation by gender within a race/ethnic population. Of the undergraduate Hispanic students enrolled in STEM fields at the universities, 45 percent are female; and within undergraduate African American STEM students, women account for 42 percent of the students. In contrast, women account for 39 percent of the Asian American undergraduate students in STEM, 38 percent of Caucasian undergraduate STEM students, and, 38 percent of the Native American/American Indian undergraduate STEM students.

Figure 4: Women Enrolled in STEM Fields at SUI


At the University of lowa, the percentage of women studying STEM fields at the undergraduate level (represented by squares) has steadily increased over the most recent nine-year period even though the percentage of STEM majors who are women (diamonds)
has declined slightly. An overall increase in STEM enrollment accounts for the difference. The percentage of women in STEM at the graduate level (circles) and the percentage of graduate STEM majors who are women (triangles) have both risen at SUI.

Figure 5: Women Enrolled in STEM Fields at ISU


At ISU, graduate and professional enrollments of women in STEM have steadily climbed, as have the percentage of undergraduate majors who are women. Also increasing is the number of women who major in STEM fields. A slight recent decline is observed in the percentage of graduate/professional women in STEM majors.

Figure 6: Women Enrolled in STEM Fields at UNI


A slight recent dip in the percentage of STEM majors who are women at UNI (Figure 6) coincides with the 1 percent downturn in the percentage of women who choose to study STEM, in an otherwise steadily increasing participation rate over the most recent nineyear period.

Figure 7: Women enrolled in STEM Fields at Iowa Regent Universities


Over the nine-year period of the twenty-first century, lowa's public universities show steady increases in the proportion of STEM majors who are women, and the proportion of women who choose to study STEM fields.

## Nine-year Degree Trends: Women

The data on the enrollment of women and minorities in STEM is promising, while still identifying opportunities for improvement. Since the goal of most students enrolling in STEM programs is to graduate with a STEM degree, tracking degrees awarded in STEM is equally, if not more, important.

Figure 8: Women degreed in STEM Fields at SUI


Undergraduate and graduate degrees awarded to women have unevenly increased at SUI while professional degrees have remained flat (Figure 8).

Figure 9: Women degreed in STEM Fields at ISU


The number of graduate and professional degrees awarded to women at ISU has remained steady over the decade while the number of undergraduate degrees awarded to women has dipped following a recent peak in 2003-04.

Figure 10: Women degreed in STEM Fields at UNI


At UNI the number of STEM degrees awarded to women has recently spiked while graduate degrees have steadily climbed.

Figure 11: Women degreed in STEM Fields at Iowa Regent Universities


Overall across the university system, graduate STEM degrees awarded to women have increased markedly while undergraduate degree counts show a net increase over the decade. Professional degrees in STEM for women remain steady. Summarily, modest increases are evident in the number and percentage of STEM degrees awarded to women over the time period: for undergraduates the percentage has risen from 37 percent to 40 percent. For graduate degrees the percentage has grown from 39 percent to 46 percent. And for professional degrees, the percentage awarded to women has risen from 44 percent to 51 percent. The total number of degrees awarded to women in STEM increased from 1,724 in the 1999-2000 academic year to 2,057 in the 2007-08 academic year.

## Nine-year enrollment trends: Minorities

The percentage of minority students enrolled in STEM fields at lowa's public universities has remained relatively flat over the most recent decade. Proportionally, minority participation in STEM fields slightly over-represents the minority population in the university system. However, it is important to note that great variance exists in the participation rates of disaggregated subpopulations of minorities. For Native Americans and African Americans the proportion of enrollees in STEM fields under-represents student populations in the public university system.

Figure 12: Minorities enrolled in STEM Fields at SUI


A slight increase in the percentage of graduate and professional minority enrollees in STEM at SUI contrasts to a level participation rate of 11 percent among undergraduates. Of minority students as a sub-population, the percent enrolling in STEM majors has seen a slight increase (21 to 24 percent).

Figure 13: Minorities enrolled in STEM Fields at ISU


Similarly to SUI, ISU enjoys a gradual increase in the percentage of minorities enrolling in STEM programs at the graduate/professional level (Figure 13). At the undergraduate level, the percentage of STEM majors who are minorities has not risen while the percentage of minorities enrolled in STEM fields has declined.

Figure 14: Minorities enrolled in STEM Fields at UNI


For UNI, the percentage of STEM majors who are minorities has not changed over the decade, but the proportion of minorities entering STEM fields has increased by 5 percent over nine-years.

Figure 15: Minorities enrolled in STEM Fields at lowa Regent Universities


Overall, the proportion of minority students choosing STEM fields at lowa public universities has held steady. Slight increases across the board at both the graduate and undergraduate levels indicate that a greater proportion of minority students are choosing STEM, and of all STEM majors, a greater percentage are minority students.

## Nine-year degree trends: Minorities

As with enrollment patterns, the percentage of degrees in STEM awarded to minority students over the same time period has remained fairly stable. Undergraduate degrees for minorities in STEM have risen from 6 percent in 1999-2000 to 7 percent in 2007-08. For graduate students, the figure holds steady at 6 percent. At the professional school level, a slight decline is observed from 11 percent to 9 percent.

Figure 16: Minorities degreed in STEM Fields at SUI


The number of undergraduate and graduate degrees awarded to minorities at SUI has risen significantly over the decade. The decrease in the percentage of professional degrees at SUI chiefly accounts for the decline in minority professional degrees systemwide. The percentage of minority degrees in STEM fields at SUI went from 16 percent in 1999-2000, to a peak of 21 percent in 2004-05, down to 12 percent in 2007-08.

Figure 17: Minorities degreed in STEM Fields at ISU


ISU graduate degrees in STEM for minority students show no gains over the decade, while undergraduate degrees for minorities have increased by almost 30 percent.

Figure 18: Minorities degreed in STEM Fields at UNI


At UNI, the comparatively low number of minority students earning degrees in some STEM fields creates the likelihood of exaggerated fluctuations: just a few enrollees in a program can change proportions significantly. Unique challenges face programs seeking to build diverse student enrollments from the ground level.

Figure 19: Minorities degreed in STEM Fields at lowa Regent Universities


System wide, undergraduate and graduate degrees earned by minority students have increased steadily. While percentages of degrees awarded in STEM have remained flat or decreased, the overall number of degrees awarded to minority students has increased over the time period from 286 degrees to 354 degrees, an increase of 23.8 percent. Improvements are being seen, but the pace of gain in drawing women and minorities into STEM majors, particularly physical and computer science, and engineering, needs to be accelerated.

## Section C

## High School Preparatory Program: Project Lead The Way

This report is to include "...data on the number and proportion of women and minorities enrolled in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics programs, including high school programs such as project lead the way." The writing committee considered Project Lead The Way to be a unique program for its integration within schools as taught by school teachers. Loosely comparable programs are described in Section D, including the Program for Women in Science and Engineering (PWSE), Science Bound, Women in Science and Engineering (WISE), and The lowa Mathematics and Science Academy (IMSA).

Project Lead The Way is a program designed to offer college credit through hands-on STEM classes to high school students and to provide a seamless transition to higher education. Project Lead The Way is a set of up to eight engineering-related courses. Each course is a college level, project-based class designed to provide high school students with an interactive lesson using math, science, and engineering concepts.

## Enrollment

The project began in 2004 as a joint venture of the lowa Department of Education, the public universities, and lowa's 15 community colleges. The first cohort of students enrolled in lowa Project Lead The Way in fall 2005. At the time, seven high schools were offering the program. In 2008, 28 high schools will be participating in Project Lead The Way (Figure 21).

In the 2005-2006 academic year, 233 students were enrolled in the program. In 20062007, the number of sites doubled and enrollment quadrupled to 1,044 students. By 2007-08 enrollment quadrupled to 1,782 students. Females make up only 15 percent of enrollment in PLTW. Figure 20 shows the representation of the students in Project Lead the Way compared the statewide percentages for each category of student.

Minorities currently make up 9.9 percent of Project Lead The Way enrollment. Statewide, minorities are 14.4 percent of total student enrollment. Asian American students were over-represented in Project Lead The Way. African American students were underrepresented, 5.4 percent (statewide average) to 2.9 percent (enrollment in Project Lead The Way); as were Hispanic Americans, 6.3 to 3.9 percent; and American Indians, 0.6 to 0.4 percent.


Figure 20: Proportion of Women \& Minorities in Project Lead The Way: 2007


Source: lowa Department of Education, Project EASIER and Iowa Department of Education, 2007

Project Lead The Way has been implemented in areas poised to increase the share of minorities in STEM-related programs. In 2006-2007, 18 of the 23 participating high schools had a higher concentration of minorities than the statewide average. In particular, the Des Moines Independent (40 percent), Sioux City (39 percent), and Waterloo (38 percent) school districts have the largest share of minority enrollment in the state and are Project Lead The Way participants. The percentage of minority students participating in PLTW at those high-diversity schools does not match the percentage of minority students within the school, but gains are an objective of the program.

# Section D 

## Recommendations, including successful models

## Convergent reports

Over the eight year period of the early $21^{\text {st }}$ century lowa's public universities show increases in the percentages of women and minorities enrolling and graduating in STEM fields generally, though particular program participation rates call for heightened awareness and effort toward facilitating the success of students of diversity. Some of these recommendations for improving the numbers of women and minorities in STEM programs at the public universities intersect with and echo the identified promising practices and recommendations made for increasing campus diversity as proposed in the 2008 Report of the Regents Inter-institutional Task Force on Retention, Graduation, and Diversity. In particular, these general recommendations of the 2008 report bear repeating for their relevance to this STEM field report:

- "Publicize and promote efforts to support the success of students from underrepresented minority groups." (promising practices 5, pg.8)
- "Formalize inter-institutional cooperation" and "Plan joint programs" to recruit and educate students of underrepresented groups. (recommendation B, pg. 19, and recommendation 2, pg. 20)
- "...it is also important to provide strong central leadership, to ensure opportunities are coordinated and appropriately leveraged for maximum benefit,..." (promising practice 2, pg. 5)
- "Success for underrepresented minority students cannot be the job of a few units within the universities. Instead, the responsibilities have to be distributed throughout the organization, as must the associated leadership." (promising practice 2, pg. 5)
- "Build faculty and staff members' competencies to work with a diverse student body."(promising practice 6, pg. 9)


## Recommendations for increasing the proportion of women and minorities in STEM fields at lowa's public universities

1. Seek opportunities for ongoing and new STEM initiatives within the state to increase the number of women and/or minorities participating and succeeding where imbalances exist.
2. Maintain existing STEM diversity programs at the universities and pursue opportunities to expand these programs within and beyond the university system.
3. Encourage additional collaborations across the public universities, within universities, and among the universities and the lowa Department of Education, lowa private and community colleges, lowa Department of Economic Development, lowa Workforce Development, regional economic development groups/STEM employers, K-12 schools, AEAs, and other educational institutions and groups on issues and programs addressing women and/or minorities in STEM.
4. Aspire to institutionalize STEM diversity/gender positions and programs within the normal administrative structures of the public universities.
5. Provide opportunities for faculty involvement in programs associated with women and/or minorities in STEM.
6. Provide professional development opportunities for university faculty and staff on research-based best practices for creating teaching/learning experiences in STEM disciplines that most effectively meet the learning needs of all students, particularly those underrepresented in the instructor's field.
7. Provide annual updates on enrollment and graduation of women and minorities in STEM programs to the Board of Regents, State of lowa.

## Resources for Supporting Recommendations

The collaborative initiative of lowa's public universities, the lowa Mathematics and Science Education Partnership (IMSEP) is an appropriate vehicle through which to provide the additional funds required to support the recommendations of this report. IMSEP aspires to ten objectives, one of which is to Increase the number and diversity of students who major in math and science fields at lowa's public universities. A targeted expansion of IMSEP funding for FY 2010 and beyond, dedicated exclusively to STEM programs diversity, will permit the growth and replication of successful programs, the facilitation of further collaborations, the institutionalization of STEM diversity/gender positions, the professional development of faculty toward inclusive practices, and other recommendations.

Existent programs and initiatives targeting Underrepresented groups in STEM The following summaries of programs (many with Internet links for further information) are provided as examples of what currently exists at lowa's public universities for improving the participation of women and underrepresented minorities in STEM fields. Many additional programs exist which are not included here. Programs such as these and others enjoy proven track records, and may best serve the universities and the state in expanded and replicated form.

## Iowa State University

## - Program for Women in Science and Engineering

The Program for Women in Science and Engineering (PWSE) provides programming to support and encourage women to pursue STEM education from pre-K through undergraduate students. Outreach programming (that reaches over 6,300 students a year) includes one-day career conferences for $6-12$ grade students, undergraduate student role models visiting classrooms across the state, and transitional programming for students in high school or community college students preparing to enter lowa State. Programs to support undergraduate women in STEM fields include multiple residential and course-based learning communities, scholarship programs, academic support programs, leadership development programs, and research summer programs. Demand for programming from PWSE continues to grow. In fact demand for the outreach programs exceeds the capacity of the program. PWSE is funded by a combination of state funding, private/corporate gifts, grants, and participant fees. www.pwse.iastate.edu

## - Science Bound

Science Bound attracts, motivates, and prepares ethnic minority students to pursue science/technical disciplines in college. The Science Bound program serves more than 250 students in grades 8-12 in the Des Moines, Marshalltown, and Denison school districts each year. The program:

- Educates students and parents about college and career planning
- Raises teachers' expectations of students' academic abilities and career aspirations
- Provides students with more rigorous course expectations and academic support
- Identifies, develops, and implements learning opportunities to meet students' specific academic needs
- Provides experiences for students to observe and participate in STEM careers and interact with STEM professionals
- Provides tuition scholarships for students who complete the program and enroll at lowa State in a science-related field


Students meet regularly in small groups at their home school and also participate in activities on the lowa State campus. Science Bound is funded by a combination of institutional and private/corporate support. www.sciencebound.iastate.edu

## - LEAD Program within the College of Engineering

The Leadership through Engineering Academic Diversity (LEAD) program within the College of Engineering provides programs that support the successful transition to college and retention of multicultural students and female students in engineering. Programs include an eight-week transition program for students the summer prior to their freshman year in engineering, skill development workshops for gateway science and mathematics courses, academic support through help rooms and tutoring, a living-learning community, and various social and academic programs throughout the year. The LEAD program also supports numerous diversity student organizations within the College, including Society of Women Engineers (SWE), National Society of Black Engineers, (NSBE), American Indian Science and Engineering Society (AISES), Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers (SHPE), Society of Mexican American Engineers and Scientists (MAES), and the National Organization for the Professional Advancement of Black Chemists and Chemical Engineers (NOBCChE). www.eng.iastate.edu/lead

- ISU ADVANCE: Increasing the Participation and Advancement of Women in Academic Science and Engineering Careers
The ISU ADVANCE Program, supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) is focused on creating institutional transformation that results in the full participation of women faculty in STEM fields. The ISU model focuses on transforming departmental cultures (views, attitudes, norms, and shared beliefs), practices (what people say and do), and structures (physical and social arrangements) as well as university policies through active participation of individuals at all levels of the university. Faculty in nine focal departments, chosen from the College of Engineering, the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, and the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, form the core department-level working groups. Although the efforts of the ISU ADVANCE Program are focused on faculty, it is anticipated that the changes in department culture will also positively impact students within the department. www.advance.iastate.edu


Collaborative Research: STEM Student Enrollment and Engagement through Connections (SEEC)
Iowa State University (ISU) and Des Moines Area Community College (DMACC) are partnering on this NSF sponsored project to increase the number of students graduating with a bachelor's degree in engineering at ISU and the number of students in STEM areas of study at DMACC. One of the foci of the grant is to broaden the participation of students, by increasing the number of women and underrepresented ethnic minority students in STEM fields both at ISU and DMACC. Program components include:

- A learning village (or meta-learning community).
- A review of first-year and gateway engineering courses and academic advising to better engage and support transfer students.
- A new recruitment initiative with ISU Extension aimed at attracting students from every county in lowa. www.eng.iastate.edu/seec


## University of lowa

## - Women in Science \& Engineering (WISE) at the University of Iowa

- Peer Mentoring Program - Has served 200 undergraduate women in STEM majors every year since 1995 with a laudable four-year retention rate in STEM varying between 60 percent and 81 percent compared to national rates of 30 percent to 46 percent. Last year 54 percent of volunteer mentors had also been mentees during their first-year at SUI and 33 percent of mentors were serving for a second or third year.
- Living-Learning Community - An academic living-learning community at SUI (first of its kind, initiated 1996-97) provides academic and social support to 85 first- and second-year women in STEM majors annually. Last year's figures are 100 percent retention of majors into second year studies in science, and 92.3 percent in engineering. Second to third year retention for both science and engineering was 100 percent.
- Graduate/Post-doctoral Professional Development Workshops - For female post-comprehensive Ph.D. candidates and post-doctoral fellows in STEM, these workshops provide access to information and faculty/staff expertise on such vital topics as Negotiating Your First Tenure-Track Faculty Position or Developing a Research Statement and Teaching Philosophy.
- WISE research - The Visual/Spatial Abilities Research Project is a six year study looking at relative skill levels of entering first-year engineering students' on tasks of mental rotation, a skill considered to be a strong indicator of future success in certain fields of engineering. Findings mirror the literature: Females enter the College of Engineering with statistically higher GPA's than their male counterparts, but exhibit significantly less

well-developed visual/spatial/rotational skills ( $p<0.001$ ). Interventions are being developed. www.uiowa.edu/~wise
- The Ethnic Inclusion Effort for lowa Engineering |el| ${ }^{2}$
$|\mathrm{el}|^{2}$ develops integrative programming to position the UI College of Engineering as an inclusion leader through cultural awareness, a welcoming environment, and other activities which integrate diversity awareness throughout the curriculum at the graduate and undergraduate levels. Supported by federal, state, corporate, and campus-based funding, efforts include ethnic inclusion professional development seminars and active participation of graduate fellows in outreach and recruiting at professional meetings. Students are encouraged to give back to the community through mentoring undergraduate students and through K-12 outreach. Diverse engineering graduate students collaborate with students in the STEM disciplines to provide tutoring in math and science and to introduce students to the engineering professions. The College of Engineering fosters a welcoming environment for all students and continues to remain a national leader in its inclusion effort at the doctorate level. http://www.engineering.uiowa.edu/ethnicinclusion/


## - Office of Graduate Ethnic Inclusion (OGEI) - Graduate College

OGEI focuses on increasing diversity and building community among students, particularly improving recruitment and retention of students in STEM areas through summer research opportunities for minority students, for example. For 20+ years, the Graduate College has hosted a CIC Summer Research Opportunities Program (SROP) with 25-30 undergraduates working closely with UI faculty for 8 weeks. The SUI McNair Scholars Program was modeled after the lowa SROP, and we hosted 18 students for research internships during summer 2008. We will host 27 McNair scholars for summer 2009. The SROP/McNair initiative recruits back ~20-30 percent of its scholars to lowa for doctoral, masters, or professional studies in STEM. OGEI coordinates with other UI programs involved in minority STEM recruitment - e.g., IBA, WISE, AGEP, Ethnic Inclusion in Engineering at lowa $\left(|\mathrm{el}|^{2}\right)$.

## University of Northern lowa

- The lowa Mathematics and Science Academy (IMSA)

IMSA recruits minority students, students from low-income families, and first generation college-bound students for immersion in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) through two components: academic year and summer. The academic year component is designed around monthly academic advising meetings and an option of either a scientific research project or 40 hours of volunteer experience in a STEM-related business or organization. The summer residential component is a six-week residential experience on the UNI
campus where participants take courses in Chemistry, Physics, Biology, Statistics, and College Writing and Research. The summer component of the IMSA project allows students to experience academic aspects of college life while still in high school. http://www.uni.edu/eop/ubms/

## - IMSEP I-Teach recruitment

I -Teach is a pilot program to recruit diverse, talented math and science majors into teaching. Students are recruited from introductory majors' lectures and at social events including those that enhance the freshman experience for students of diversity, including UNI's Jump Start Orientation Program. After the completion of a one-semester seminar, "Exploring Math \& Science Teaching," students qualify for scholarships, internships, and mentorships that sustain them through the major. I-Teach was piloted in partnership with North Iowa Area Community College in fall 2008, to be launched at ISU and elsewhere in spring 2009. http://www.iowamathscience.org/projects.shtml

## - MCaPS Scholarships

The College of Natural Sciences at UNI has been awarded a multi-year NSF grant to provide financial support for students in the fields of Mathematical, Computational, and Physical Sciences. The scholarship program is open to students (women and minorities are particularly encouraged to apply) majoring in these areas of study and provides funds to cover the costs of not only tuition but also research related expenses, such as travel to conferences, as well as publication and equipment costs. In the first year of funding, seven scholarships were awarded, four to women. http://www.cns.uni.edu/MCaPSinfo.html

## - AGEP at UNI

UNI is a subcontractor on the lowa AGEP grant (detailed below). The Mathematics Department is currently the only participating department, but the Chemistry and Psychology Departments will be included in the renewal application. Since 2004 the department has recruited 12 minority graduate students, awarded them fellowships, and provided student development support. Key to the effort has been mentoring of the students by faculty. Five students have graduated, one will finish this fall, one switched to the department of education and has since graduated, two are currently in the program, and three dropped out. Of those who graduated, two are in the Ph.D. program in statistics at ISU, and one is in the Ph.D. program in mathematics at SUI. http://www.uni.edu/math/projects/agep/

## Collaborative Program across Institutions

## Iowa AGEP Program, and the National Alliance

Alliance for Graduate Education and Professoriate. In 1995, SUI Department of Mathematics faculty members made a commitment to substantially increase the number
of underrepresented minority doctoral students enrolled in the department. By 2007 the department could boast of a graduate program whose student body consists of 20-25 percent U.S. underrepresented minority students, and the training of 13 U.S. underrepresented minority Ph.D.s since 2002, about 7 percent of the national total during this period. Leaders of this effort were able to institutionalize its success through National Science Foundation grants to support the National Alliance for Doctoral Studies in the Mathematical Sciences, a consortium consisting of faculty in math and science departments at the three public universities together with approximately fifty mathematics faculty at over twenty minority serving undergraduate and Masters granting institutions nationally (http://math.uiowa.edu/alliance/). A separate NSF AGEP grant was obtained by SUI on behalf of all three public universities in 2002, with the goal to substantially increase the number of U.S. underrepresented minority students receiving the doctoral degree in STEM fields. The ISU Department of Statistics along with the ISU Department of Mathematics was one of the founding departments of the National Alliance. The UNI Department of Mathematics was one of the founding departments of both the National Alliance and the lowa AGEP. Funding to continue and to expand this program derives from campus-based support while external funding is sought. A focus on minority graduate education leads to a focus on the practices in this area that are currently in place at our universities, a focus that can lead to modernizing our curriculum and fostering cooperation and community.
www.grad-college.iastate.edu/agep

## Conclusion

- lowa high school-age women and men perform approximately equally on standardized tests of math and science, take similar course loads, and earn about the same grades.
- lowa minority students lag in measures of math and science achievement but the differential has much to do with advanced coursework opportunities. When advanced coursework is available and taken, minority students make encouraging gains on the achievement gap in math and science.
- Project Lead The Way (PLTW) is a promising high school program of engineering-related courses adopted by increasing numbers of lowa secondary schools. Although the participation of young women and minorities in PLTW is proportionally low, expansion of the program into lowa's diverse communities will help to address the current imbalance.
- At lowa's public universities the proportion of women and minorities in STEM majors has generally increased over the last decade. Women comprise the majority of majors in some fields of STEM study, including the biological sciences at the undergraduate level, and veterinary medicine at the graduate/professional level.
- The numbers of minority students enrolling and earning degrees at the state's public universities have been on a steady climb. However, the proportion of women choosing STEM fields of study is well below campus populations.
- Likewise, as percentages of some minority groups increase on the university campuses (e.g., African American and Native American students), matching increases in their numbers in STEM majors are not always seen, particularly in physical science, computer science, and engineering.
o Many talented and dedicated professionals at each university are at work to broaden the opportunities for women and minorities in STEM fields. One series of recommendations of this report focuses on maintaining and building upon the successes of those existing programs.
- Another key factor in increasing the proportion of women and minorities in STEM fields is to involve more faculty members (especially those of fields underrepresented by women and minorities) in programs and efforts to improve student diversity in these fields of study.
- Steady gains characterize the early twenty-first century for improving the percentage of women and minorities in STEM fields at lowa's public universities. These gains are not across the board, and they are not always consistent within programs. Collaborations, program expansions, and best practices implementation across the university system hold promise to increase the proportions of women and minorities in STEM fields at lowa's public universities.


## Legislation

House File 2679
Pg. 15 of 53 - of the House File
$82^{\text {nd }}$ General Assembly
Governor Chester Culver
Patrick J. Murphy
Speaker of the House

Sec. 13. BOARD OF REGENTS MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE
251 COLLABORATIVE STUDY == WOMEN AND MINORITIES IN STEM PROGRAMS.
252 1. The state board of regents shall conduct a mathematics 253 and science collaborative study. The purpose of the study
254 shall be to collect data and report on the number and
255 proportion of women and minorities enrolled in science,
256 technology, engineering, and mathematics programs, including
257 high school programs such as project lead the way. The study
258 shall develop and submit to the board recommendations for
259 science, technology, engineering, and technology-related
2510 programming measures for improving the number and proportion
2511 of women and minorities in science, technology, enqineering,
2512 and mathematics university programs. The state board of
2513 regents shall submit the data and its findings and
2514 recommendations in a report to the general assembly by January
2515 15, 2009.
2516 2. The state board of regents shall direct the
2517 universities it governs to take every reasonable measure to
2518 improve the number and proportion of women and minorities in
2519 university science, technology, engineering, and mathematics
2520 programs and colleges.
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## Description of report-writing process

At the September 2008 Council of Provosts meeting, House File 2679 of the $82^{\text {nd }}$ General Assembly, Section 13, entitled Board of Regents Mathematics and Science Collaborative Study - Women and Minorities in STEM Programs was assigned to the newly formed lowa Mathematics and Science Education Partnership (IMSEP). IMSEP Director Jeffrey Weld sought suggestions of faculty and staff at each public university who would likely be interested in contributing to such a report. An invitation was extended to 36 faculty and staff, as well as to officials of the lowa Department of Education and the lowa Commission on the Status of Women. For efficiency, contributors were invited to involve themselves directly in the writing process or as reviewers of emergent drafts. Seven dedicated professionals chose to meet frequently via teleconference to write the report. It was sectioned and assigned to relevant teams (for example, ISU, SUI, and UNI registrars worked closely and frequently to generate the data represented in Section B). At several stages, the draft report was sent to equally dedicated reviewers who provided additional data, narrative, and editing input.

It was the collective commitment to diversity in the study of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics at lowa's public universities that sustained and inspired the work of this committee.

STEM Majors at the lowa Public Universities Grouped by CIP_Code Categories

| CIP CODE | Major Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Sciences |  |
| 010000 | Agriculture |
| 010104 | Agricultural Studies |
| 010106 | Seed Technology and Business |
| 010201 | Agricultural Systems Technology |
| 010701 | Global Resources |
| 010801 | Agricultural Education and Studies |
| 010901 | Animal Science |
| 010905 | Dairy Science |
| 011001 | Culinary Science |
| 011001 | Food Science |
| 011102 | Agronomy |
| 011103 | Horticulture |
| 011105 | Pest Management, Plant Health and Protection |
| 011199 | Seed Science |
| 019999 | Sustainable Agriculture |
| Natural Resources |  |
| 030103 | Environmental Studies |
| 030104 | Environmental Sciences |
| 030104 | Environmental Technology |
| 030199 | Biorenewable Resources \& Technology |
| 030201 | Natural Resource Ecology and Management |
| 030502 | Forestry |
| 039999 | Water Resources |
| Computer/Information Technology |  |
| 110101 | Computer Science |
| 110103 | Human Computer Interaction |
| 110199 | Computer Information Systems |
| 110401 | Informatics |
| 110701 | Computer Science, Computer Science Education |
| 110901 | Networking \& System Administration |
| 111003 | Information Assurance |
| Personal Services |  |
| 120301 | Funeral Services \& Mortuary Science |
| Education |  |
| 130604 | Educational Assessment, Research, Statistics |
| 131301 | Agricultural Education |
| 131309 | Industrial Technology, Technology Education |
| 131311 | Mathematics Teacher Education |
| 131316 | Science Teacher Education |
| 131319 | Technical Teacher Education |
| 139999 | Computer Applications in Education |

## Engineering

140101
Engineering
140201 Aerospace Engineering
$140301 \quad$ Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering
$140501 \quad$ Biomedical Engineering
140601
140701
140801
140901
140903
141001
141201
141301
141801
141901
142001
142701
143301
143501
149999
Ceramic Engineering
Chemical and Biological Engineering
Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering
Computer Engineering
Software Engineering
Electrical Engineering
Applied Physics/Engineering
Engineering Science
Materials Science and Engineering
Mechanical Engineering
Metallurgical Engineering
Systems Engineering
Construction Engineering
Industrial Engineering
Engineering Applications

## Engineering Related Technology

150000 Industrial/Engineering Technology
150303 Electrical, Elec \& Comm Eng Tech
150399 Electrical \& Electronic Tech
150403 Electromechanical Technology
150612 Industrial Technology
150613 Manufacturing Technology
151306 Industrial Tech: Machine Design \& Drafting Tech.
159999 Technology: Tech Ed \& Training
Human Sciences
190501
Nutrition \& Food Science
190504 Food Science and Human Nutrition
Biological Sciences
260101 Biology, Biological Sciences
260102 Biomedical Sciences, General
260202 Biochemistry
260203 Biophysics
260204 Molecular and Cellular Biology
260209 Radiation Biology/Radiobiology
260210 Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology
260299 Biochemistry and Biophysics
260301 Botany
$260305 \quad$ Plant Pathology
260307 Plant Physiology, Plant Biology
260407 Anatomy and Cell Biology
$260499 \quad$ Molecular Cellular and Developmental Biology
260502 Microbiology
260507 Immunology
260701 Zoology
260702 Entomology, Insect Science
260801 Genetics
260806 Public Health Genetics

260901
260906
261001
261004
261102
261103
261201
261301
261309
261399
269999

## Mathematics

270101
270301
270303 Computational Mathematics
270399 Industrial Mathematics
270501 Statistics
270599
Military Sciences
28030
Interdisciplinary
300101 Science, Science Education
301901 Dietetics, Nutritional Sciences
302401 Neuroscience

## Recreation Sciences

| 310501 | Exercise Science |
| :--- | :--- |
| 310505 | Kinesiology and Exercise Science |
| 310599 | Diet and Exercise |

Physical Sciences
400201 Astronomy
400401 Geological and Atmospheric Sciences
400404 Meteorology
400501 Chemistry
$400599 \quad$ Chemistry - Marketing
400601 Geology/Earth Science
400699 Earth Science, Geology - (Air Quality, Etc.)
400801 Physics
400802 Nanoscience \& Nanotechnology
400899 Applied Physics

## Medical/Health Sciences

| 510101 | Pre-Chiropractic |
| :--- | :--- |
| 510401 | Dentistry |
| 510501 | Operative Dentistry |
| 510503 | Stomatology |
| 510504 | Dental Public Health |
| 510507 | Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery |
| 510508 | Orthodontics |
| 510599 | Oral Science |
| 510602 | Oral Health Science |
| 510905 | Nuclear Medicine Technology |

510401 -
$510501 \quad$ Operative Dentistry
510503 Stomatology
510504 Dental Public Health
$510507 \quad$ Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery
510508 Orthodontics
$510599 \quad$ Oral Science
$510905 \quad$ Nuclear Medicine Technology

| 510911 | Radiation Sciences, Radiologic Technology |
| :--- | :--- |
| 510912 | Physician Assistant |
| 510913 | Athletic Training |
| 511002 | Cytotechnology/Cytotechnologist |
| 511005 | Clinical Laboratory Science/Medical Technology/Technologist |
| 511101 | Pre-Dentistry Studies |
| 511102 | Pre-Med/Pre-Medical Studies |
| 511103 | Pre-Pharmacy Studies |
| 511104 | Pre-Professional: Veterinary |
| 511105 | Pre-Prof: Nursing |
| 511199 | Pre-Professional Health Programs |
| 511201 | Medicine |
| 511401 | Pathology |
| 511601 | Nursing |
| 511608 | Nursing Science |
| 511616 | Clinical Nurse Specialist |
| 511701 | Pre-Professional: Optometry |
| 512001 | Pharmacy |
| 512003 | Pharmaceutics |
| 512004 | Med \& Nat Prod Chemistry |
| 512008 | Clinical \& Admin Pharmacy |
| 512099 | Pharmacy |
| 512101 | Pre-Prof: Podiatry |
| 512201 | Public Health, General |
| 512202 | Environmental, Occupation, and Agricultural Health |
| 512208 | Community \& Behavioral Health |
| 512211 | Health Services Administration |
| 512308 | Physical Therapy \& Rehab Science |
| 512399 | Rehabilitation Studies, Cardiac Rehabilitation Science |
| 512401 | Veterinary Medicine |
| 512502 | Veterinary Anatomy |
| 512503 | Vet Physiology \& Pharmacology |
| 512504 | Veterinary Microbiology \& Preventative Medicine |
| 512505 | Veterinary Pathology |
| 512599 | Veterinary Clinical Science, Veterinary Diagnostics, and Production Animal |
| 512703 | Medicine |
| 513101 | Biological/Pre-Medical Illustration |
| 519999 | Dietetics |
| Actuarial Science | Medical, Other - (Education, Informatics, Etc.) |
| 521304 |  |

Appendix II Stem Enrollment Data Tables

TABLE 1: University of Iowa STEM Major Enrollment Counts
The University of lowa
STEM Major Enrollment Counts
by Session, Level, and Gender
Fall Census data 2000-2008

| Session | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | Graduate | Female | 2 | 27 | 23 | 28 | 545 | 266 | 19 | 910 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 17 | 26 | 40 | 440 | 490 | 16 | 1030 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 35 | 29 | 46 | 553 | 7 | 12 | 686 |
|  | Professional | Male | 7 | 13 | 28 | 54 | 612 | 1 | 23 | 738 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 9 | 28 | 37 | 78 | 1264 | 41 | 55 | 1512 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 11 | 26 | 35 | 103 | 1595 | 47 | 83 | 1900 |
|  |  | Total | 34 | 146 | 178 | 349 | 5009 | 852 | 208 | 6776 |
| 2001 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 543 | 284 | 15 | 920 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 15 | 26 | 31 | 432 | 495 | 26 | 1026 |
|  | Professional | Female | 5 | 31 | 34 | 53 | 551 | 11 | 10 | 695 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 9 | 26 | 57 | 593 | 2 | 19 | 710 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 7 | 44 | 46 | 72 | 1365 | 47 | 70 | 1651 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 8 | 30 | 38 | 89 | 1535 | 44 | 78 | 1822 |
|  |  | Total | 26 | 154 | 197 | 327 | 5019 | 883 | 218 | 6824 |
| 2002 | Graduate | Female | 4 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 549 | 329 | 21 | 986 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 9 | 28 | 24 | 435 | 501 | 29 | 1028 |
|  | Professional | Female | 7 | 31 | 31 | 43 | 559 | 9 | 15 | 695 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 10 | 29 | 49 | 551 | 4 | 30 | 677 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 9 | 37 | 35 | 77 | 1400 | 38 | 70 | 1666 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 5 | 36 | 42 | 90 | 1572 | 40 | 70 | 1855 |
|  |  | Total | 31 | 148 | 193 | 313 | 5066 | 921 | 235 | 6907 |
| 2003 | Graduate | Female | 4 | 31 | 27 | 32 | 631 | 338 | 27 | 1090 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 3 | 12 | 27 | 27 | 493 | 484 | 38 | 1084 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 27 | 33 | 36 | 583 | 7 | 20 | 710 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 15 | 29 | 38 | 529 | 7 | 37 | 659 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 7 | 45 | 38 | 68 | 1403 | 26 | 48 | 1635 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 7 | 39 | 52 | 86 | 1570 | 40 | 70 | 1864 |
|  |  | Total | 29 | 169 | 206 | 287 | 5209 | 902 | 240 | 7042 |
| 2004 | Graduate | Female | 5 | 40 | 24 | 41 | 673 | 348 | 30 | 1161 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 11 | 30 | 24 | 530 | 481 | 35 | 1112 |
|  | Professional | Female | 5 | 18 | 29 | 36 | 594 | 8 | 18 | 708 |
|  | Professional | Male | 6 | 16 | 38 | 45 | 523 | 4 | 31 | 663 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 10 | 32 | 35 | 93 | 1394 | 16 | 41 | 1621 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 8 | 40 | 49 | 98 | 1589 | 43 | 71 | 1898 |
|  |  | Total | 35 | 157 | 205 | 337 | 5303 | 900 | 226 | 7163 |


| Session | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | Graduate | Female | 5 | 45 | 34 | 47 | 656 | 332 | 31 | 1150 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 14 | 36 | 22 | 578 | 462 | 32 | 1146 |
|  | Professional | Female | 5 | 19 | 31 | 29 | 591 | 6 | 23 | 704 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 19 | 37 | 42 | 526 | 4 | 33 | 665 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 7 | 36 | 35 | 101 | 1454 | 19 | 35 | 1687 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 10 | 42 | 50 | 101 | 1697 | 38 | 75 | 2013 |
|  |  | Total | 33 | 175 | 223 | 342 | 5502 | 861 | 229 | 7365 |
| 2006 | Graduate | Female | 6 | 44 | 37 | 41 | 676 | 325 | 39 | 1168 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 21 | 31 | 24 | 596 | 439 | 35 | 1147 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 23 | 34 | 36 | 595 | 5 | 31 | 728 |
|  | Professional | Male | 3 | 16 | 36 | 48 | 516 | 5 | 26 | 650 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 10 | 41 | 54 | 106 | 1497 | 26 | 38 | 1772 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 10 | 41 | 51 | 117 | 1774 | 41 | 79 | 2113 |
|  |  | Total | 34 | 186 | 243 | 372 | 5654 | 841 | 248 | 7578 |
| 2007 | Graduate | Female | 8 | 43 | 27 | 44 | 769 | 295 | 43 | 1229 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 592 | 396 | 42 | 1101 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 29 | 32 | 43 | 556 | 4 | 33 | 701 |
|  | Professional | Male | 3 | 15 | 35 | 49 | 551 | 4 | 31 | 688 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 6 | 34 | 52 | 102 | 1507 | 44 | 53 | 1798 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 9 | 38 | 48 | 121 | 1833 | 44 | 86 | 2179 |
|  |  | Total | 31 | 184 | 218 | 380 | 5808 | 787 | 288 | 7696 |
| 2008 | Graduate | Female | 8 | 46 | 27 | 45 | 816 | 288 | 59 | 1289 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 24 | 23 | 27 | 637 | 390 | 59 | 1161 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 31 | 35 | 57 | 533 | 6 | 43 | 709 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 21 | 32 | 49 | 553 | 3 | 32 | 694 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 5 | 45 | 57 | 110 | 1525 | 65 | 59 | 1866 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 8 | 43 | 57 | 129 | 1888 | 83 | 104 | 2312 |
|  |  | Total | 30 | 210 | 231 | 417 | 5952 | 835 | 356 | 8031 |

TABLE 2: Iowa State University STEM Major Enrollment Counts

## Iowa State University <br> STEM Major Enrollment Counts by Session, Level, and Gender <br> Fall Census data 2000-2008

| Session | Level | Gender | Native <br> American | African <br> American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic <br> American | White | Non <br> Citizen | Other/not <br> reported | Total |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Session | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 19 | 11 | 9 | 312 | 387 | 23 | 762 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 716 | 742 | 46 | 1560 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 301 | 2 | 13 | 323 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 5 | 108 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 10 | 97 | 87 | 91 | 2393 | 86 | 117 | 2881 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 23 | 136 | 215 | 125 | 5399 | 246 | 316 | 6460 |
|  |  | Total | 34 | 273 | 334 | 246 | 9224 | 1463 | 520 | 12094 |
| 2006 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 328 | 367 | 27 | 771 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 25 | 30 | 21 | 708 | 756 | 49 | 1590 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 305 | 1 | 15 | 328 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 4 | 111 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 10 | 89 | 98 | 98 | 2380 | 98 | 119 | 2892 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 19 | 127 | 221 | 141 | 5326 | 234 | 272 | 6340 |
|  |  | Total | 31 | 267 | 368 | 270 | 9154 | 1456 | 486 | 12032 |
| 2007 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 323 | 335 | 22 | 724 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 38 | 35 | 22 | 796 | 774 | 59 | 1726 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 332 | 1 | 22 | 368 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 0 | 8 | 124 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 13 | 88 | 95 | 94 | 2559 | 106 | 108 | 3063 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 18 | 134 | 201 | 146 | 5504 | 279 | 251 | 6533 |
|  |  | Total | 34 | 281 | 353 | 277 | 9628 | 1495 | 470 | 12538 |
| 2008 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 21 | 21 | 5 | 314 | 339 | 24 | 725 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 6 | 36 | 41 | 23 | 835 | 785 | 64 | 1790 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 345 | 2 | 43 | 401 |
|  | Professional | Male | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 114 | 0 | 12 | 130 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 12 | 78 | 101 | 125 | 2764 | 113 | 101 | 3294 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 20. | 127 | 210 | 144 | 5569 | 318 | 243 | 6631 |
|  |  | Total | 41 | 263 | 376 | 306 | 9941 | 1557 | 487 | 12971 |

* Graduate College enrollments do not include post-docs.

TABLE 3: University of Northern lowa STEM Major Enrollment Counts
University of Northern lowa
STEM Major Enrollment Counts
by Session, Level, and Gender
Fall Census data 2000-2008
 2000

| Graduate | Female | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 42 | 10 | 1 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Graduate | Male | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 25 | 8 | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Undergraduate | Female | 1 | 18 | 5 | 6 | 655 | 3 | 31 | $\mathbf{7 1 9}$ |
| Undergraduate | Male | 2 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 941 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 39 | $\mathbf{1 0 4 2}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 0}$ |

2001

| Graduate | Female | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 2 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduate | Male | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 25 | 2 | 87 |
| Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Undergraduate | Female | 3 | 18 | 7 | 6 | 645 | 11 | 30 | 720 |
| Undergraduate | Male | 1 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 918 | 19 | 38 | 1011 |
|  | Total | 4 | 37 | 18 | 17 | 1659 | 62 | 72 | 1869 |

2002

| Graduate | Female | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 3 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduate | Male | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 49 | 25 | 1 | 79 |
| Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Undergraduate | Female | 1 | 28 | 5 | 9 | 648 | 12 | 23 | 726 |
| Undergraduate | Male | 2 | 25 | 6 | 20 | 890 | 23 | 44 | 1010 |
|  | Total | 3 | 56 | 13 | 29 | 1618 | 66 | 71 | 1856 |
| Graduate | Female | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 11 | 3 | 46 |
| Graduate | Male | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 25 | 2 | 69 |
| Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Undergraduate | Female | 2 | 29 | 8 | 12 | 647 | 6 | 26 | 730 |
| Undergraduate | Male | 6 | 27 | 6 | 23 | 894 | 22 | 40 | 1018 |
|  | Total | 8 | 58 | 15 | 35 | 1612 | 64 | 71 | 1863 |


| 2004 | Graduate Graduate | Female <br> Male | 0 0 | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | 1 | 62 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 0 | 24 | 5 | 14 | 671 | 10 | 28 | 752 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 5 | 26 | 8 | 20 | 858 | 23 | 46 | 986 |
|  |  | Total | 5 | 60 | 13 | 34 | 1619 | 68 | 81 | 1880 |


| Session | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 2 | 59 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 14 | 4 | 72 |
|  | Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 0 | 23 | 6 | 10 | 718 | 9 | 21 | 787 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 1 | 27 | 14 | 13 | 839 | 29 | 38 | 961 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 62 | 20 | 25 | 1646 | 60 | 65 | 1879 |
| 2006 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 4 | 60 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 56 | 13 | 4 | 79 |
|  | Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 4 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 733 | 17 | 17 | 817 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 1 | 30 | 12 | 18 | 859 | 40 | 37 | 997 |
|  |  | Total | 5 | 61 | 24 | 29 | 1695 | 77 | 62 | 1953 |
| 2007 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 12 | 5 | 83 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 30 | 5 | 110 |
|  | Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 5 | 27 | 14 | 12 | 838 | 17 | 17 | 930 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 3 | 28 | 16 | 16 | 907 | 64 | 45 | 1079 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 64 | 30 | 28 | 1877 | 123 | 72 | 2202 |
| 2008 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 14 | 1 | 97 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 33 | 9 | 105 |
|  | Professional | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Professional | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| - | Undergraduate | Female | 3 | 24 | 17 | 10 | 792 | 10 | 21 | 877 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 5 | 30 | 12 | 25 | 984 | 57 | 45 | 1158 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 60 | 29 | 36 | 1914 | 114 | 76 | 2237 |

## TABLE 4: Iowa Public Universities STEM Major Enrollment Counts

## Iowa Public Universities STEM Major Enrollment Counts by Session, Level, and Gender <br> Fall Census data 2000-2008

| Session | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | Graduate | Female | 5 | 37 | 33 | 35 | 875 | 620 | 43 | 1648 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 39 | 50 | 53 | 1128 | 1316 | 74 | 2661 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 35 | 31 | 47 | 792 | 7 | 19 | 935 |
|  | Professional | Male | 8 | 14 | 28 | 54 | 747 | 1 | 29 | 881 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 23 | 124 | 132 | 145 | 4592 | 207 | 196 | 5419 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 33 | 166 | 234 | 239 | 8317 | 462 | 414 | 9865 |
|  |  | Total | 74 | 415 | 508 | 573 | 16451 | 2613 | 775 | 21409 |
| 2001 | Graduate | Female | 4 | 40 | 42 | 34 | 870 | 637 | 42 | 1669 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 40 | 46 | 42 | 1148 | 1292 | 74 | 2643 |
|  | Professional | Female | 6 | 31 | 38 | 54 | 777 | 13 | 34 | 953 |
|  | Professional | Male | 5 | 10 | 26 | 57 | 723 | 2 | 29 | 852 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 22 | 142 | 159 | 156 | 4691 | 222 | 225 | 5617 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 23 | 192 | 246 | 239 | 8389 | 504 | 454 | 10047 |
|  |  | Total | 61 | 455 | 557 | 582 | 16598 | 2670 | 858 | 21781 |
| 2002 | Graduate | Female | 6 | 45 | 45 | 39 | 890 | 686 | 44 | 1755 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 34 | 48 | 39 | 1175 | 1331 | 83 | 2712 |
|  | Professional | Female | 8 | 31 | 36 | 44 | 795 | 12 | 41 | 967 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 11 | 29 | 49 | 667 | 4 | 41 | 805 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 21 | 154 | 143 | 166 | 4707 | 191 | 225 | 5607 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 28 | 222 | 248 | 244 | 8378 | 485 | 465 | 10070 |
|  |  | Total | 69 | 497 | 549 | 581 | 16612 | 2709 | 899 | 21916 |
| 2003 | Graduate | Female | 7 | 60 | 41 | 45 | 978 | 716 | 55 | 1902 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 4 | 35 | 45 | 42 | 1286 | 1334 | 93 | 2839 |
|  | Professional | Female | 5 | 27 | 37 | 36 | 841 | 10 | 49 | 1005 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 16 | 29 | 38 | 634 | 8 | 44 | 773 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 19 | 161 | 131 | 172 | 4597 | 141 | 215 | 5436 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 32 | 219 | 276 | 235 | 8175 | 415 | 480 | 9832 |
|  |  | Total | 71 | 518 | 559 | 568 | 16511 | 2624 | 936 | 21787 |
| 2004 | Graduate | Female | 7 | 71 | 35 | 53 | 1041 | 750 | 54 | 2011 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 37 | 47 | 40 | 1292 | 1307 | 94 | 2818 |
|  | Professional | Female | 6 | 18 | 35 | 37 | 854 | 11 | 46 | 1007 |
|  | Professional | Male | 6 | 16 | 38 | 45 | 622 | 4 | 41 | 772 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 20 | 140 | 133 | 197 | 4475 | 123 | 215 | 5303 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 37 | 207 | 268 | 248 | 7978 | 366 | 469 | 9573 |
|  |  | Total | 77 | 489 | 556 | 620 | 16262 | 2561 | 919 | 21484 |


| Session | Level | Gender | Native <br> American | African American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Non } \\ \text { Citizen } \end{array}$ | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | Graduate | Female | 6 | 72 | 45 | 56 | 1009 | 727 | 56 | 1971 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 38 | 53 | 43 | 1342 | 1218 | 82 | 2778 |
|  | Professional | Female | 5 | 20 | 35 | 31 | 892 | 8 | 36 | 1027 |
|  | Professional | Male | 4 | 19 | 37 | 42 | 629 | 4 | 38 | 773 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 17 | 156 | 128 | 202 | 4565 | 114 | 173 | 5355 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 34 | 205 | 279 | 239 | 7935 | 313 | 429 | 9434 |
|  |  | Total | 68 | 510 | 577 | 613 | 16372 | 2384 | 814 | 21338 |
| 2006 | Graduate | Female | 7 | 70 | 53 | 49 | 1051 | 699 | 70 | 1999 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 51 | 61 | 46 | 1360 | 1208 | 88 | 2816 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 25 | 37 | 38 | 900 | 6 | 46 | 1056 |
|  | Professional | Male | 3 | 16 | 36 | 48 | 623 | 5 | 30 | 761 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 24 | 154 | 164 | 214 | 4610 | 141 | 174 | 5481 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 30 | 198 | 284 | 276 | 7959 | 315 | 388 | 9450 |
|  |  | Total | 70 | 514 | 635 | 671 | 16503 | 2374 | 796 | 21563 |
| 2007 | Graduate | Female | 9 | 64 | 45 | 50 | 1156 | 642 | 70 | 2036 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 3 | 70 | 59 | 43 | 1456 | 1200 | 106 | 2937 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 31 | 36 | 50 | 888 | 5 | 55 | 1069 |
|  | Professional | Male | 3 | 15 | 35 | 51 | 665 | 4 | 39 | 812 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 24 | 149 | 161 | 208 | 4904 | 167 | 178 | 5791 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 30 | 200 | 265 | 283 | 8244 | 387 | 382 | 9791 |
|  |  | Total | 73 | 529 | 601 | 685 | 17313 | 2405 | 830 | 22436 |
| 2008 | Graduate | Female | 9 | 69 | 48 | 51 | 1209 | 641 | 84 | 2111 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 7 | 64 | 64 | 50 | 1531 | 1208 | 132 | 3056 |
|  | Professional | Female | 4 | 32 | 38 | 64 | 878 | 8 | 86 | 1110 |
|  | Professional | Male | 6 | 21 | 32 | 51 | 667 | 3 | 44 | 824 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 20 | 147 | 175 | 245 | 5081 | 188 | 181 | 6037 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 33 | 200 | 279 | 298 | 8441 | 458 | 392 | 10101 |
|  |  | Total | 79 | 533 | 636 | 759 | 17807 | 2506 | 919 | 23239 |
| * Graduate College enrollments do not include post-docs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix III Stem Degrees Data Tables

TABLE 5: University of lowa STEM Major Degree Counts
The University of Iowa
STEM Degree Counts by Session, Level, and Gender
Annual data 1999-2007
Sessions (Summer, Fall, Spring)

| Year | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-2000 | Graduate | Female | 2 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 206 | 50 | 0 | 281 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 169 | 109 | 4 | 299 |
|  | Professional | Female | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 118 | 1 | 1 | 142 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 3 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 378 | 12 | 15 | 436 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 3 | 6 | 4 | 29 | 329 | 14 | 16 | 401 |
|  |  | Total | 10 | 23 | 30 | 89 | 1269 | 186 | 36 | 1643 |
| 2000-2001 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 188 | 64 | 7 | 278 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 144 | 113 | 8 | 281 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 93 |
|  | Professional | Male | 3 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 125 | 1 | 8 | 160 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 2 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 335 | 8 | 8 | 387 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 0 | 3 | 8 | 26 | 316 | 13 | 12 | 378 |
|  |  | Total | 6 | 25 | 31 | 90 | 1182 | 199 | 44 | 1577 |
| 2001-2002 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 210 | 67 | 5 | 305 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 164 | 114 | 5 | 306 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
|  | Professional | Male | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 124 | 0 | 2 | 146 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 1 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 333 | 8 | 14 | 393 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 2 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 342 | 9 | 12 | 388 |
|  |  | Total | 4 | 20 | 45 | 75 | 1246 | 198 | 38 | 1626 |
| 2002-2003 | Graduate | Female | 3 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 192 | 65 | 2 | 289 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 135 | 100 | 5 | 259 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
|  | Professional | Male | 1 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 103 | 0 | 3 | 126 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 2 | 2 | 9 | 21 | 452 | 14 | 23 | 523 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 2 | 3 | 5 | 24 | 363 | 5 | 13 | 415 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 19 | 40 | 92 | 1312 | 184 | 46 | 1701 |
| 2003-2004 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 229 | 93 | 5 | 350 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 129 | 114 | 8 | 270 |
|  | Professional | Female | 1 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 72 | 0 | 2 | 96 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 99 | 0 | 5 | 113 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 1 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 402 | 11 | 11 | 462 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 342 | 11 | 15 | 399 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 30 | 46 | 58 | 1273 | 229 | 46 | 1690 |


| Year | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004-2005 | Graduate | Female | 0 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 233 | 88 | 8 | 358 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 169 | 131 | 11 | 327 |
|  | Professional | Female | 1 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 71 | 0 | 2 | 94 |
|  | Professional | Male | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 125 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 2 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 391 | 9 | 7 | 437 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 1 | 9 | 4 | 21 | 339 | 8 | 7 | 389 |
|  |  | Total | 5 | 32 | 39 | 79 | 1303 | 236 | 36 | 1730 |
| 2005-2006 | Graduate | Female | 3 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 318 | 86 | 10 | 453 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 177 | 115 | 11 | 319 |
|  | Professional | Female | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 93 |
|  | Professional | Male | 2 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 90 | 0 | 5 | 117 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 0 | 10 | 3 | 19 | 419 | 3 | 12 | 466 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 1 | 6 | 8 | 23 | 363 | 7 | 13 | 421 |
|  |  | Total | 10 | 38 | 42 | 76 | 1440 | 212 | 51 | 1869 |
| 2006-2007 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 266 | 102 | 10 | 417 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 0 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 209 | 128 | 12 | 378 |
|  | Professional | Female | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 90 | 0 | 4 | 110 |
|  | Professional | Male | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 91 | 0 | 3 | 106 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 1 | 7 | 6 | 27 | 407 | 1 | 13 | 462 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 0 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 368 | 12 | 22 | 437 |
|  |  | Total | 2 | 34 | 53 | 83 | 1431 | 243 | 64 | 1910 |
| 2007-2008 | Graduate | Female | 1 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 303 | 93 | 12 | 446 |
|  | Graduate | Male | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 206 | 127 | 11 | 368 |
|  | Professional | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 77 | 0 | 4 | 86 |
|  | Professional | Male | 1 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 8 | 121 |
|  | Undergraduate | Female | 3 | 9 | 10 | 26 | 446 | 6 | 15 | 515 |
|  | Undergraduate | Male | 3 | 5 | 11 | 24 | 400 | 9 | 14 | 466 |
|  |  | Total | 10 | 33 | 49 | 86 | 1525 | 235 | 64 | 2002 |

TABLE 6: lowa State University STEM Major Degree Counts

Iowa State University
STEM Degree Counts by Session, Level, and Gender
Annual data 1999-2007
Sessions (Summer, Fall, Spring)

| Year | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-2000 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 83 | 79 | 4 | 178 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 191 | 213 | 18 | 439 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 2 | 59 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 40 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 2 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 464 | 30 | 13 | 543 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 1 | 19 | 30 | 7 | 1065 | 99 | 34 | 1255 |
|  |  | Total | 4 | 36 | 60 | 22 | 1898 | 421 | 73 | 2514 |
| 2000-2001 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 88 | 89 | 7 | 187 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 170 | 188 | 14 | 384 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 472 | 33 | 14 | 545 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 2 | 12 | 31 | 10 | 975 | 102 | 30 | 1162 |
|  |  | Total | 3 | 23 | 48 | 22 | 1801 | 412 | 66 | 2375 |
| 2001-2002 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 83 | 77 | 3 | 172 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 161 | 221 | 10 | 402 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 63 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 4 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 466 | 30 | 14 | 553 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 16 | 31 | 17 | 1037 | 72 | 53 | 1226 |
|  |  | Total | 6 | 35 | 55 | 31 | 1842 | 400 | 82 | 2451 |
| 2002-2003 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 80 | 95 | 5 | 182 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 194 | 183 | 10 | 404 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 4 | 21 | 9 | 550 | 47 | 16 | 648 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 3 | 10 | 21 | 19 | 1119 | 102 | 56 | 1330 |
|  |  | Total | 4 | 18 | 52 | 36 | 2032 | 427 | 91 | 2660 |
| 2003-2004 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 80 | 77 | 6 | 181 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 181 | 201 | 13 | 406 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 4 | 66 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 37 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 3 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 552 | 40 | 20 | 662 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 3 | 16 | 30 | 17 | 1050 | 116 | 44 | 1276 |
|  |  | Total | 7 | 46 | 52 | 42 | 1958 | 435 | 88 | 2628 |


| Year | Level | Gender | Native <br> American | African <br> American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004-2005 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 93 | 86 | 2 | 196 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 198 | 220 | 13 | 444 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 15 | 64 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 29 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 529 | 43 | 26 | 621 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 1 | 23 | 36 | 26 | 1040 | 94 | 59 | 1279 |
|  |  | Total | 4 | 39 | 57 | 40 | 1928 | 445 | 120 | 2633 |
| 2005-2006 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 97 | 8 | 215 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 225 | 176 | 10 | 430 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 5 | 82 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 17 | 24 | 11 | 523 | 21 | 22 | 619 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 5 | 31 | 38 | 18 | 1130 | 74 | 74 | 1370 |
|  |  | Total | 7 | 60 | 70 | 39 | 2075 | 369 | 120 | 2740 |
| 2006-2007 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 85 | 96 | 7 | 196 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 199 | 167 | 11 | 391 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 4 | 74 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 2 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 488 | 18 | 27 | 593 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 3 | 19 | 43 | 17 | 1048 | 43 | 59 | 1232 |
|  |  | Total | 5 | 45 | 66 | 48 | 1913 | 324 | 108 | 2509 |
| 2007-2008 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 96 | 74 | 8 | 194 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 188 | 166 | 15 | 382 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 2 | 74 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 2 | 11 | 24 | 13 | 460 | 25 | 30 | 565 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 5 | 22 | 29 | 26 | 1041 | 53 | 52 | 1228 |
|  |  | Total | 8 | 47 | 64 | 45 | 1882 | 318 | 111 | 2475 |

TABLE 7: University of Northern lowa STEM Major Degree Counts

## University of Northern Iowa <br> STEM Degree Counts by Session, Level, and Gender

Annual data 1999-2007
Sessions (Summer, Fall, Spring)

| Year | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-2000 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 20 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 3 | 124 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 183 | 3 | 5 | 197 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 322 | 18 | 8 | 360 |
| 2000-2001 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 28 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 192 | 1 | 13 | 212 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 325 | 17 | 22 | 377 |
| 2001-2002 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 23 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 29 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 112 | 1 | 6 | 125 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 187 | 0 | 2 | 193 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 333 | 13 | 12 | 370 |
| 2002-2003 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 22 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 22 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 107 | 5 | 5 | 120 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 187 | 2 | 12 | 204 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 329 | 15 | 18 | 368 |
| 2003-2004 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 23 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 95 | 2 | 4 | 106 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 182 | 4 | 8 | 199 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 300 | 16 | 14 | 342 |


| Year | Level | Gender | Native <br> American | African <br> American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004-2005 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 20 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 28 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 111 | 3 | 4 | 123 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 200 | 2 | 10 | 220 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 338 | 21 | 16 | 391 |
| 2005-2006 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 24 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 24 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 113 | 1 | 6 | 127 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 184 | 2 | 7 | 204 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 327 | 14 | 16 | 379 |
| 2006-2007 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 21 |
|  | Professional Professional | FEMALE MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 1 | 119 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 169 | 8 | 9 | 193 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 312 | 11 | 11 | 353 |
| 2007-2008 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 27 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 32 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Professional | MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 141 | 4 | 2 | 150 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 169 | 4 | 7 | 186 |
|  |  | Total | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 353 | 17 | 13 | 395 |

TABLE 8: Iowa Public Universities STEM Major Degree Counts

Iowa Public Universities<br>STEM Degree Counts by Session, Level, and Gender<br>Annual data 1999-2007<br>Sessions (Summer, Fall, Spring)

| Year | Level | Gender | Native American | African American | Asian American | Hispanic American | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Non } \\ \text { Citizen } \end{array}$ | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999-2000 | Graduate | FEMALE | 3 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 304 | 133 | 4 | 478 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 12 | 7 | 14 | 371 | 331 | 22 | 758 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 126 | 0 | 2 | 143 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 156 | 1 | 3 | 182 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 6 | 13 | 27 | 27 | 955 | 44 | 31 | 1103 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 4 | 26 | 37 | 38 | 1577 | 116 | 55 | 1853 |
|  |  | Total | 15 | 63 | 95 | 113 | 3489 | 625 | 117 | 4517 |
| 2000-2001 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 284 | 159 | 15 | 481 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 329 | 311 | 24 | 693 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 137 | 0 | 1 | 156 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 3 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 158 | 1 | 9 | 194 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 4 | 14 | 16 | 33 | 917 | 41 | 28 | 1053 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 2 | 15 | 42 | 39 | 1483 | 116 | 55 | 1752 |
|  |  | Total | 10 | 50 | 86 | 115 | 3308 | 628 | 132 | 4329 |
| 2001-2002 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 11 | 7 | 14 | 309 | 149 | 9 | 500 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 343 | 342 | 18 | 737 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 134 | 0 | 2 | 151 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 2 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 158 | 0 | 2 | 181 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 6 | 23 | 33 | 25 | 911 | 39 | 34 | 1071 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 2 | 17 | 42 | 32 | 1566 | 81 | 67 | 1807 |
|  |  | Total | 11 | 58 | 106 | 108 | 3421 | 611 | 132 | 4447 |
| 2002-2003 | Graduate | FEMALE | 3 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 291 | 162 | 8 | 493 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 6 | 13 | 17 | 345 | 289 | 15 | 685 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 123 | 0 | 1 | 148 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 1 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 136 | 0 | 6 | 163 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 3 | 8 | 30 | 31 | 1109 | 66 | 44 | 1291 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 5 | 15 | 26 | 44 | 1669 | 109 | 81 | 1949 |
|  |  | Total | 12 | 41 | 92 | 130 | 3673 | 626 | 155 | 4729 |
| 2003-2004 | Graduate | FEMALE | 2 | 12 | 9 | 19 | 319 | 173 | 11 | 545 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 2 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 323 | 322 | 23 | 699 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 1 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 133 | 0 | 6 | 162 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 133 | 1 | 6 | 150 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 5 | 23 | 31 | 34 | 1049 | 53 | 35 | 1230 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 6 | 24 | 41 | 31 | 1574 | 131 | 67 | 1874 |
|  |  | Total | 16 | 79 | 103 | 103 | 3531 | 680 | 148 | 4660 |


| Year | Level | Gender | Native <br> American | African <br> American | Asian <br> American | Hispanic American | White | Non Citizen | Other/not reported | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004-2005 | Graduate | FEMALE | 0 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 337 | 180 | 12 | 574 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 383 | 361 | 24 | 799 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 115 | 2 | 17 | 158 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 124 | 0 | 6 | 154 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 3 | 11 | 16 | 28 | 1031 | 55 | 37 | 1181 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 3 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 1579 | 104 | 76 | 1888 |
|  |  | Total | 10 | 77 | 100 | 124 | 3569 | 702 | 172 | 4754 |
| 2005-2006 | Graduate | FEMALE | 4 | 16 | 13 | 20 | 434 | 186 | 19 | 692 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 12 | 8 | 14 | 416 | 299 | 23 | 773 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 3 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 147 | 2 | 5 | 175 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 2 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 113 | 0 | 6 | 141 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 1 | 32 | 27 | 32 | 1055 | 25 | 40 | 1212 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 6 | 42 | 48 | 45 | 1677 | 83 | 94 | 1995 |
|  |  | Total | 17 | 112 | 114 | 121 | 3842 | 595 | 187 | 4988 |
| 2006-2007 | Graduate | FEMALE | 1 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 367 | 199 | 17 | 633 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 0 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 423 | 297 | 24 | 790 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 160 | 0 | 8 | 184 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 114 | 0 | 3 | 129 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 3 | 28 | 25 | 51 | 1007 | 19 | 41 | 1174 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 3 | 29 | 53 | 39 | 1585 | 63 | 90 | 1862 |
|  |  | Total | 7 | 91 | 122 | 135 | 3656 | 578 | 183 | 4772 |
| 2007-2008 | Graduate | FEMALE | 2 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 422 | 170 | 21 | 667 |
|  | Graduate | MALE | 1 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 414 | 299 | 29 | 782 |
|  | Professional | FEMALE | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 146 | 0 | 6 | 160 |
|  | Professional | MALE | 1 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 121 | 0 | 12 | 153 |
|  | Undergraduate | FEMALE | 5 | 20 | 36 | 40 | 1047 | 35 | 47 | 1230 |
|  | Undergraduate | MALE | 9 | 28 | 41 | 53 | 1610 | 66 | 73 | 1880 |
|  |  | Total | 19 | 84 | 116 | 135 | 3760 | 570 | 188 | 4872 |
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