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This report presents the results of the physical, chemical and biological studies of the Cedar River in the vicinity of the Duane Arnold Energy Center during the second year of station operation. The Duane Arnold Energy Center Operational Study of the Cedar River was implemented in mid-January, 1974.

Prior to plant start-up, extensive pre-operational data have been collected since April 1971. These pre-operational studies provided "base-line" data which have made it possible to assess the effects of station operation on the limnology and water quality of the Cedar River.

## SITE DESCRIPTION

The Duane Arnold Energy Center, a nuclear fueled electrical generating plant, operated by the Iowa Electric Light \& Power Company is located on the west side of the Cedar River, about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ miles northnortheast of Palo, Iowa in Linn County. The plant employs a boiling water nuclear power reactor producing about 550 MWe of power at full capacity. Waste heat rejected from the turbine cycle to the condenser circulating water is removed by two closed loop induced draft cooling towers, which require a maximum of $11,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ (about 24.5 cfs ) from the Cedar River. A maximum of $7,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ (about 15.5 cfs ) will be lost through evaporation, while $4,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ (about 9 cfs ) will be returned to the river as blowdown water from the cool side of the cooling towers.

## OBJECTIVES

Studies to determine the baseline physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the Cedar River near the Duane Arnold Energy Center prior to plant start-up were instituted in April of 1971. These preoperational studies are described in earlier reports. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ Data from these studies served as a basis for the development of the operational study.

The operational studies were designed to identify and evaluate any significant effects of chemical or thermal discharges from the generating station into the Cedar River as well as the magnitude of impingement on intake screens or entrainment in the condenser make-up water and were first implemented in January 1974.4

The specific objectives of the operational study are threefold:

1. To continue routine water quality determinations in the Cedar River in order to identify any conditions which could result in environmental or water quality problems.
2. To conduct physical, chemical and biological studies in and adjacent to the discharge canal and to compare the results with similar studies above the intake. This will make it possible to determine any water quality changes occurring as the result of chemical additions or condenser passage and to identify any impact of the plant effluent on aquatic communities adjacent to the discharge.
3. To identify and quantify organisms impinged on the intake screens and entrained in the intake water in order to estimate the magnitude and effects of impingement and condenser passage on the ecology of the Cedar River.

STUDY PLAN

During the operational phase of the study sampling sites have been established in the discharge canal and at four locations in the Cedar River (Figure 1): (1) upstream of the plant at the Lewis Access Bridge (Station 1); (2) directly above the plant intake (Station 2); (3) at a point approximately 140 feet below the plant discharge (Station 3); and (4) adjacent to Comp Farm about $\frac{1}{2}$ mile below the plant (Station 4). Samples were also taken from the discharge canal (Station 5).

Samples for general chemical, bacterial and plankton anaysis were taken twice per month while complete chemical analysis and benthic studies were conducted during the spring, summer and fall quarters.

The following specific studies were conducted:

## I. General Water Quality Analysis

A. Frequency: Twice per month
B. Location: At all five sites
C. Parameters measured:


Figure 1. Location of Operational Sampling Sites

| 1. Temperature | 7. Alkalinity | 13. Iron |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Turbidity | 8. pH | 14.Lignins and <br> Tannins |  |
| 3. Color | 9. Hardness series | 15.Chemical oxygen <br> 4. Solids series | 10. Phosphate series |

II. Complete Water Quality Analysis
A. Frequency: Three times per year
B. Location: At all five locations
C. Parameters measured: All general water quality parameters plus:

1. Copper
2. Manganese
3. Zinc
4. Chloride
5. Mercury
6. Sulfate
7. Lead
8. Nitrite
9. Chromium $\left(\mathrm{Cr}^{+6}\right)$
10. Pesticides in fish from two sites above and below the plant

In addition, dissolved oxygen, pH and alkalinity were determined at each site every four hours over a 24 hour period.

## III. Plankton Studies

A. Frequency: Twice per month
B. Location: At all five locations
C. Analyses made: Number and kinds (to genus whenever possible) of organisms present.

## IV. Bacteriological Studies

A. Frequency: Twice per month. Additional determinations of fecal coliforms were conducted on samples from the effluent from the station's wastewater treatment plant.
B. Location: At all five locations
C. Analyses Made:

1. Total plate count $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
2. Total coliform (MF)
3. Fecal coliform (MF)
4. Fecal streptococci (MF)
V. Benthic (bottom organisms) Studies
A. Frequency: Three times per year
B. Location: At four sites (Station 5 in discharge canal omitted)
C. Analysis: Kinds (to genus whenever possible) and numbers of organisms present.

Periphyton studies were conducted during the summer and fall but were not conducted during the spring quarter due to the extremely high river stage present.

METHODS
Analysis for alkalinity, pH , dissolved oxygen and temperature were performed in the field at the time of sampling. Other analyses were performed in the laboratory. A11 laboratory work was performed in the water laboratory of the Energy Engineering Division located in the University Water Treatment Plant. Most of the chemical tests were made in accordance with EPA or Standard Methods 5,6 with a few minor variations that involved the use of reagents prepared by the Hach Chemical Company. Pesticide anaysis utilized methods described in the Pesticide Analytical Manual. ${ }^{7}$ Bacterial counts were made by use of the Millipore Filter Procedure. Plankton counts were made on centrifuged samples by use of the Whipple micrometer disc and the Sedgwick-Rafter slide. Bacterial and plankton procedures are described in Standard Methods. A sample of uncentrifuged water was also examined from each site in order to include those blue-green algae that are lighter than water and are eliminated by the centrifuging process.

## OBSERVATIONS

## Physical Conditions

Hydrology (Table 1)
Flow in the Cedar River during the period January 1975-July 1975, with the exception of the month of February, was above normal. From August through November flows were below normal while December discharge was slightly above the 1941-70 median. Mean monthly discharges at the Cedar Rapids gauging station ranged from $62 \%$ of the $1941-70$ monthly median flow in October to $228 \%$ of the monthly median in April and were classified as excessive (greater than $75 \%$ quartile) from April through June. Maximum flows occurred during April when the mean monthly discharge exceeded 10,750 cfs. A maximum estimated spring flow of 32,200 cfs occurred on March 24. A low flow of 398 cfs occurred on December 19 as a result of upstream construction activities. River flows of less than 1,000 cfs occurred at intervals from September through December. Hydrological data are summarized in Table 1.

Temperature (Tables 2-3)
River water temperatures during the period ranged from $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(32.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ to $27.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(81.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Maximum temperatures were observed at all stations on June 30. The highest discharge canal (Station 5) temperature observed during the period was $28.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(82.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, recorded on July 28. A maximum $\Delta T$ value (Station 2 vs Station 5) of $18.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(33.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ was observed on December 1. A maximum $\Delta T$ value between upstream and downstream temperatures (Station 2 vs Station 3) of $8.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(16^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ was measured on December 15 . However, temperature elevation at the Comp Farm station (Station 2 vs Station 4) at this time was only $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. In general, however, natural variations in river temperatures appeared to overshadow any effects of station discharge. The station was not operational on February 10 and as a result
the differentials frequently observed between the upstream and downstream river temperatures during the winter months were not observed at that time. Temperature differentials between upstream and downstream river locations and between the upstream river station and the discharge canal are summarized in Table 2. Temperature data for all sampling locations are given in Table 3.

Turbidity (Table 4)
Maximum turbidity values of 550 J.T.U. were observed in mid-June. High values also occurred in late March and early April. Turbidity values were generally low during the winter months. Minimum turbidity values of from 4-5 J.T.U. were observed in February. Color (Table 5)

Color values were relatively high ranging from 10-70 standard units. Maximum values occurred during periods of runoff in late March. Low values occurred in the winter.

Solids (Tables 6-14)
Solids determinations included total, total volatile, total fixed, total dissolved, total suspended, suspended fixed, suspended volatile, dissolved fixed and dissolved volatile solids.

In river samples total solids values ranged from 286 to $1,546 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ with the highest values generally occurring in mid-June and directly below the discharge canal (Station 3) on November 17. Total volatile solids values ranged from 70 to $270 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ with highest values usually occurring in early May. Total fixed solids values ranged from 182 to $1,020 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, with high values occurring in June and low values in late March. Total dissolved solids values ranged from 167 to $1,134 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. High values occurred in mid-June and below the discharge on November 17 while low values occurred in March. Total suspended solids values
ranged from 10 to $930 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Highest suspended solids values were observed during June; the lowest concentrations occurred in the winter. Solids values in the discharge canal were consistently higher than in river samples. A maximum total solids concentration of $2,850 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ was observed in the discharge canal on February 25. Levels in excess of 2,000 $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ also occurred in November and December.

Chemical Conditions

## Dissolved Oxygen (Table 15)

Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the river ranged from 4.5 to 15.3 mg/1 during the period. Lowest concentrations were observed in August following the death and subsequent decay of a large plankton bloom. Highest dissolved oxygen concentrations occurred in October accompanying large algal populations. No fish kills or other water quality problems associated with low dissolved oxygen concentrations were observed at any of the sampling stations during the course of the study. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the discharge canal (Station 5) were generally slightly lower than those observed in the river.

Carbon Dioxide (Table 16)
Free carbon dioxide in concentrations ranging from 5.3 to $8.8 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ was present in all river samples taken from January through early May. Concentrations declined during May accompanying increased algal populations and carbon dioxide was present only intermittently for the remainder of the year. Carbon dioxide concentrations were frequently higher in the discharge canal than in the river during the July to December period.

Alkalinity, pH , Hardness (Tables 17-21)
These interrelated factors were influenced by both climatic and biological conditions. In general, highest hardness and total alkalinity values in the river occurred during the winter while low values occurred in late March and early fall. Phenolphthalein alkalinity was present in
most river samples from July through December. Maximum pheno1phthalein alkalinity values of $26 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ occurred in the river in September during a period when large algal populations were present.
pH values ranged from 7.6 in March to 9.4 in November. High pH values accompanied large algal blooms.

In general, hardness values were higher and total alkalinity values lower in the discharge canal in the river. Phosphates (Tables 22 \& 23)

Total phosphate concentrations in river samples ranged from 0.10 to $4.20 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ with highest values occurring in January and early February. Lowest values occurred in late October and early November. Orthophosphate concentrations in river samples ranged from <0.03 to $1.60 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Minimum values occurred in September, probably as a result of uptake by algae.

Phosphate values in the discharge canal were frequently higher than in the river.

Ammonia and Nitrate Nitrogen (Tables 24 \& 25)
Ammonia nitrogen concentrations in the river ranged from trace amounts to $1.24 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Highest values occurred during high river flows in March while low values apparently resulted from uptake of ammonia by algae in September and October. Nitrate nitrogen concentrations were high at all stations from January through mid-July, ranging from $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in late March to $12.2 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ on July 14. Low river flows during the period August-November contributed to reduced nitrate concentrations. Nitrate concentrations were frequently higher in the discharge canal than in river samples. A maximum nitrate nitrogen concentration of $10.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ was observed in the discharge canal on March 10.

Iron (Table 26)
Iron concentrations in the river ranged from 0.02 to $0.94 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Highest concentrations occurred during high water periods in April and May. Low levels occurred in the fall and winter months. A maximum iron concentration of $2.90 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ was observed in the discharge canal on February 25.

Lignins and Tannins (Table 27)
These substances are derived primarily from decaying plant material and varied from trace amounts to $1.70 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in river samples. Low values were observed during periods of low river flow in January and during subsiding river flow in May. Highest concentrations occurred during periods of runoff in January and March. Lignin and tannin concentrations in excess of $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ were occasionally observed in the discharge canal but no consistent pattern of high levels was apparent. Chemical Oxygen Demand (Table 28)

Chemical oxygen demand (COD) values in the river ranged from 4 to $104 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Minimum values occurred during low flow periods in February and following extended runoff in June. Maximum values followed a period of rainfall in late March and early April. High values also accompanied large algal populations in September and November.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (Tab1e 29)
Five-day biochemical oxygen demand $\left(\mathrm{BOD}_{5}\right)$ values in the river ranged from 0.9 to $18.6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Minimum BOD values occurred in early February and December. Maximum values accompanied algal blooms in October. BOD values in the discharge canal were similar to those observed in the river. Threshold Odor Number (Table 30)

Threshold odor values ranged from 5.6 to 24 . Highest values occurred during spring runoff in late March. Large algal populations in the fall
had little influence on odor values. Slightly higher odor values were occasionally noted in the discharge canal.

Biological Conditions

Total Bacteria (Tables 31 \& 32)
Total bacterial populations in the river exhibited wide temporal and spatial fluctuations during the period ranging from 10,000 to $43,000,000$ organisms $/ 100 \mathrm{ml}$ when grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and from $<10,000$ to $1,200,000$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum populations frequently occurred at the beginning of periods of increased runoff. Total bacterial populations in the discharge canal were frequently much larger than river populations and, on occasion, contributed to high leve1s at the downstream DAEC site (Station 3). High bacterial levels in the canal may have resulted from the sloughing off of bacterial slime growths on the cooling towers. Total Coliform Bacteria (Table 33)

The number of total coliform bacteria present in the river samples ranged from <100 to 23,000 organisms/100 m1. Highest counts occurred in mid-June. Minimum values occurred during late October. Total coliform values in the discharge canal were occasionally higher than at river locations.

## Fecal Coliform Bacteria (Tab1e 34)

Fecal coliform populations were considerably lower than total coliform populations, but were sufficiently high to indicate substantial additions of human or animal wastes into the river. Fecal coliform populations in the river ranged from $<10$ to 8,700 organisms $/ 100 \mathrm{ml}$. High counts frequently occurred at the beginning of periods of runoff or rainfall while low numbers of organisms were usually observed in February and during low runoff periods in September and October. Fecal coliform concentrations in the discharge canal were occasionally higher than in river samples. A maximum population of 32,500 organisms $/ 100 \mathrm{ml}$ was observed on November 4.

Fecal Streptococcus Bacteria (Table 35)
Fecal streptococci populations ranged from $<10$ to 7,900 organisms $/ 100 \mathrm{ml}$. Large numbers were observed during mid-January and during periods of runoff in March and June. Lowest counts occurred during periods of low flow or declining runoff in February, September and October.

Plankton (Tab1e 36)
Total plankton populations exhibited fluctuations similar to those observed during the previous year. Largest river plankton populations were present during September and October when total counts were frequently in excess of 100,000 organisms/ml. Maximum counts of ca. 170,000 organisms/ml occurred in early October and high levels persisted through November. Smallest populations were present from January through March. The diatoms, chiefly Cyclotella and Nitzschia, and unidentified flagellates continued to be the dominant organisms observed during the period. A wide variety of green algae and blue-green algae, chiefly Oscillatoria were common from July through September. Operation of the discharge canal appeared to have little effect on downstream plankton populations.

## QUARTERLY STUDIES

In addition to twice monthly studies, extensive studies of the limnology and water quality were conducted during the spring (May 5 June 6), summer (July 22-28) and fall (October 20-28) periods. These studies included additional chemical determinations, diurnal chemical analysis, benthic studies, fisheries studies and fish pesticide residue determinations.

Spring Studies

## Additional Chemical Determinations

Samples for nitrite, sulfate, chloride and heavy metal analysis
were collected at all sampling stations on May 6．With the exception of chlorides，which exhibited a good deal of variation between locations， there was little variation between the stations．Concentrations of all of the above parameters were within the expected ranges．Nitrite con－ centrations did not exceed $0.01 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ，indicating little evidence of recent sewage pollutions．Heavy metal concentrations were usually low， ranging from $2.2 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{m} 1$ for mercury to $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for manganese．Mercury and copper concentrations were slightly higher and zinc concentrations lower than those observed during the comparable period in 1974．The results of chemical determinations are given in Table 37.

Diurnal Variations
Diurnal studies of dissolved oxygen，carbon dioxide，alkalinity， pH and water temperatures were conducted on May 5 and 6 and are summarized in Table 38．Oxygen concentrations ranged from 7.4 to $9.1 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ with the highest values occurring in the late afternoon．Maximum diurnal variation in dissolved oxygen was $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at Station 4 （Comp Farm）．Diurnal vari－ ations in dissolved oxygen concentrations in the canal did not exceed $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ．

## Bottom Fauna

Bottom samples were taken from channel edge areas at all four river locations on June 6 by means of a Ponar dredge．All samples were composed primarily of shifting sand．Samples were returned to the laboratory and sieved through a $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一$ 30 mesh soil sieve．

No organisms were present in the eight samples collected．It appeared that high river flows and shifting bottom conditions present during the spring had greatly reduced benthic populations in the area．

## Fishery Studies

Fisheries studies were conducted by the Iowa State Conservation Commission in cooperation with personnel from the University of Iowa Department of Environmental Engineering during the period June 5 and 6 . Fish were collected upstream and downstream of the plant by means of 22" diameter, 3/4" mesh hoop nets baited with cheese. Electroshocking was carried out at both upstream and downstream locations.

Carpsuckers, carp and channel catfish were the only forms commonly collected. Carpsucker and carp were most commonly collected by shocking at the upstream site while channel catfish were most abundant in the downstream net collections. The results of fisheries studies are summarized in Table 39.

## Fish Pesticide Residues

Pesticide residues found in specimens of carpsucker, carp, largemouth buffalo and northern redhorse collected June 5 and 6 are summarized in Table 40. Breakdown products of DDT were found in highest concentrations. Aldrin, dieldrin, heptachlor, lindane and $\beta$ - $B H C$ were also found. Concentrations were similar to those observed during the previous spring study and no significant differences in pesticide levels were observed between fish taken upstream and downstream of the station.

Summer Studies

## Additional Chemical Determinations

Samples for the summer quarterly chemical analysis were collected at all stations on July 28. Chloride and sulfate concentrations exhibited significant variations between stations. These concentrations were higher in the discharge canal (Station 5) and immediately below the plant (Station 3) than at the other stations due likely to evaporation and subsequent reconcentration in the cooling towers. All other parameters exhibited little
variation between stations. Concentrations of all the parameters were within the expected ranges. Nitrite concentrations were slightly higher than during the spring studies but never exceeded $0.02 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Chloride values ranged from $17.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at Station 4 to $50.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at the discharge canal (Station 5). Sulfates also varied widely ranging from $46.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at Lewis Access (Station 1) to $660.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in the discharge canal. Heavy metals concentrations were generally low. Copper and manganese levels were greater and mercury concentrations lower than in samples taken during the spring studies. Heavy metal concentrations ranged from less than $0.01 \mathrm{\mu g} / 1$ for mercury to $0.2,1 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for manganese. Manganese, lead and mercury concentrations were slightly lower and copper higher than those observed during a comparable period in 1974. The results of chemical determinations are given in Table 37.

## Diurnal Variations

Diurnal variations in dissolved oxygen, carbon dioxide, alkalinity, pH and water temperatures were determined on July 28-29 are are summarized in Table 38.

Dissolved oxygen values exhibited considerable fluctuation during the day, ranging from 6.3 to $14.4 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. As might be expected, lowest dissolved oxygen values occurred shortly after sunrise, while maximum values occurred in late afternoon. There appeared to be greater diurnal variation at the upstream stations. A maximum dissolved oxygen diurnal variation of $7.4 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ occurred at the Lewis Access station (Station 1) while a diurnal variation of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ occurred at Comp Farm (Station 4). These data indicate relatively high photosynthetic activity. Dissolved oxygen values in the discharge canal exhibited far less variation ranging from 6.3 to $8.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. The canal was empty during various times throughout the study. The pH values ranged from 7.8 to 9.0 and 1ittle variation
occurred within stations．

## Bottom Fauna

Samples were taken on August 1， 1975 at all four sites．Samples were taken with a Ponar dredge at the channel edge on the station side of the river，returned to the laboratory and sieved through a $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 八$ 30 mesh soil sieve．

Benthic organisms were scarce in all samples．Samples from stations 1 and 2 contained the chironomidae Chironomous（1）Polypedilum（5），and Procladius（4）on a fine sand bottom type．Station 3 consisted of both fine sand and mud．The chironomidae Chironomous（4），Polypedilum（6）， and Procladius（5）were observed at Station 3．In addition，the tubificidae Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri（8）and $\underline{L}$ ．maumeesusis（6）were also identified at this station．The coarse sand found at Station 4 did not support any benthic organisms．

## Periphyton Studies

Artificial glass substrates were placed above and below the plant （Stations 2 and 3 respectively）on June 19 to determine the size and com－ position of periphyton populations in the area．The substrates were re－ moved July 3 and analyzed for species composition and biomass．Results of the periphyton studies are found in Table 41.

Little variation in either species composition or biomass existed between the upstream and downstream stations．Although periphyton diversity is not great in this area as compared to other Iowa rivers，there is no evidence that operation of the plant affects periphytic communities at the downstream sampling locations．

## Fishery Studies

Fisheries studies were conducted by the Iowa Conservation Commission and University of Iowa personnel during the period July 22－24．Samples
were taken at locations above and below the station by means of cheese baited hoop nets and seining. Electroshocking was not carried out due to mechanical problems with the generator. The results are summarized in Table 39.

Channel catfish continued to be the dominant fish collected with the largest numbers being collected in hoop net sets at the downstream location. Carpsuckers were also relatively common in seine collections at the upstream site. Carp, which are usually common in the area, were not collected during the July study. Seine hauls yielded large numbers of bigmouth shiners, both upstream and downstream.

Black crappie and northern pike were the only game fish collected. These forms were present in very small numbers in the seine hauls and nets.

## Fish Pesticide Residues

Pesticide residues found in specimens of channel catfish, carpsucker and northern pike are summarized in Table 40. Dieldrin and breakdown products of DDT were found in the greatest quantities in all three species. Heptachlor epoxide and heptachlor were also observed in the fish. Concentrations of pesticide residues were higher this year than during the spring quarter of 1974. Dieldrin and breakdown products of DDT were significantly higher in the catfish and carpsucker collected from below the plant than in those collected above. Heptachlor epoxide was also found in higher concentrations in channel catfish from below the plant but carpsucker below the plant contained no heptachlor epoxide. Carpsucker taken upstream of the plant, however, did contain heptachlor epoxide residues. Wide variations in pesticide concentrations are frequently observed and these results do not indicate an adverse effect of station operation on pesticide concentrations in fish since these organisms are highly motile
and can easily move up or down stream from the site.
Fall Studies

## Additional Chemical Determinations

Fall quarterly chemical analyses were conducted on samples from all stations on October 20. The results of these analyses are given in Table 37. Nitrite concentrations at all stations were higher than during the previous 1975 quarterly studies and also during a comparable period during the 1974 study period. Chloride values in the river were also slightly higher than during the July studies. Heavy metals concentrations ranged from $<0.01 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ for lead at all stations and zinc at Lewis Access (Station 1) to $0.36 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ of manganese in the discharge canal. Metals concentrations tended to exhibit little variation between stations. Mercury values were not computed during this period due to contamination of the samples prior to analysis.

## Diurnal Variations

Diurnal variations in dissolved oxygen, carbon dioxide, alkalinity, pH and temperature were determined on $0 c t o b e r 20-21$ and are summarized in Table 38. Dissolved oxygen concentrations varied from 6.3 to $16.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, with the highest values occurring in the late evening. Lowest dissolved oxygen values ( $6.3-7.8 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) were observed in the discharge canal where the highest temperatures were also recorded. Dissolved oxygen values were also low at Station 3 immediately downstream from the plant. Diurnal variation in dissolved oxygen at Stations 1,2 and 4 was $5.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1,4.9 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and $7.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ respectively. Diurnal variation at Station 3 was only $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. the pH values observed during this 24 hour period ranged from 8.1 to 9.0 in the river stations and from 6.2 to 8.1 in the discharge canal. Carbon dioxide was observed in the river only immediately downstream from the plant during early morning hours on October 21,

## Bottom Fauna

Bottom samples were taken at all four river locations on September 28 by means of a Ponar dredge from channel edge areas on the station side of the river．All samples were composed primarily of shifting sand． Samples were returned to the laboratory and sieved through a $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一$ 30 mesh soil sieve．

A few chironomidae larvae were present in samples from Stations 1， 3 and 4．These included the genera Chironomous（9），Pentaneura（5）and Polypedilum（5）．The tubificidae Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri（19）and L． cervix（14）were identified in Stations 3 and 4 ．The presence of these tubificids was probably due to the nature of the bottom type at these stations（a mixture of sand and silt）．No biota was present in samples from Station 2．This absence of organisms was not unusual due to the coarse sand bottom present at this site．

## Periphyton Studies

Fall periphyton studies were conducted from October 27 through December 1 when artifical glass substrates were placed above and below the plant site（Stations 2 and 3 respectively）．Species composition and biomass determinations are found in Table 41.

Results indicate slight variations in species composition with greater diversity occurring upstream of the plant．Biomass values，however， were similar at both stations．Species composition during the fall study was different from that observed during the summer study due to seasonal variations in periphyton communities．Biomass was significantly lower during the fall study，probably as a result of lower water temperatures and the partial scouring of the substrates by floating ice．

## Fishery Studies

Fishery studies were conducted by the Iowa Conservation Commission on October 28. Samples were taken above and below the site by electoshocking. Nets were not used due to low river levels. The results of the sampling are summarized in Table 39.

Carp, carpsucker, channel catfish and northern redhorse were the only fish collected during this period. Carp and carpsucker were the dominant fish taken, although numbers were not as high as during previous studies in 1974. No shiners were collected during the study since seining was not conducted.

Evidence of attraction of fish to the heated waters of the discharge plume was observed during the October 28 study. A congregation of carp, and a few channel catfish were observed at the outlet structure. No dead fish or evidence of abnormal behavior were observed in the area of the discharge plume.

## Fish Pesticide Residues

Pesticide residues were determined in carp, carpsucker and redhorse taken during the October study. The breakdown products of DDT and aldrin were the only pesticide residues observed in these fish. Carp exhibited the highest pesticide residue concentrations.

ADDITIONAL STUDIES
Impingement Studies
A review of the Duane Arnold Energy Center trash basket record during the period of operation January-December 1975 indicates that fish impingement is not a significant problem at the Station. Daily trash basket counts conducted by DAEC personnel indicated that a total of 71 fish were impinged and collected in the trash basket during the January-November period. Four 24-hour trash basket counts were conducted by University of Iowa personnel
during the period. Winter impingement studies were conducted on February 10, at which time two channel catfish, 5.0 and 5.5 centimeters in length and one small largemouth bass 6.5 centimeters in length were removed from the basket. The second 24 -hour trash basket count was conducted on April 7. A total of six fish were taken at this time; one channel catfish ca. 7 centimeters in length and 5 unidentified forms less than 5 centimeters in length. On June 30 the third study was conducted. No fish were impinged at that time. The fourth trash basket study was conducted on November 25. The results of this study are summarized in Table 42.

## Fish Basket Studies

Studies to determine the effects of blowdown discharge from the DAEC on native fish were conducted during the period July 24-25, 1975. Channel catfish were collected from the Coralville Reservoir by the Iowa Conservation Commission and placed in live boxes in the Cedar River and the discharge canal at the DAEC site. Ten fish were placed in each of three live boxes located (1) in the Cedar River about 100 feet upstream of the intake structure (2) in the discharge canal at a point ca. 100 feet upstream of the walkway across the canal and (3) near the bank of the Cedar River ca. 100 feet below the discharge canal, well within the mixing zone for the effluent from the station.

The boxes were left in place for a period of 48 hours. During this period the boxes were periodically checked to determine fish condition and water temperature. Chlorine residuals were also determined during the period of condenser chlorination.

The fish were placed in the canal and river at 0900 hours on July 24 and showed no abnormal behavior during the first five hours. During this period chlorination of the condensers resulted in a maximum total residual chlorine concentration of $<0.05 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ at both the discharge canal box and at the downstream river box at 1430 hours.

The first evidence of deteriorated fish condition was observed in the discharge canal box at 1400 hours when fish activity decreased markedly. The first dead fish was observed in the discharge canal and at the upstream box at 2100 hours on July 25 at which time three of 10 were dead in the upstream box and one of 10 was dead in the discharge canal. A summary of fish mortality is given below:

## Mortality

| Time Elapsed | Upstream | Downstream | Canal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | $30 \%$ | 0 | $10 \%$ |
| 40 | $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 44 | $90 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 48 | $90 \%$ |  | $60 \%$ |

Fish mortality was approximately equal in the upstream and downstream boxes, although no mortality was observed in the latter for 44 hours. Fish mortality in the discharge canal box was significantly less than at the other two boxes.

Water temperatures determined during the study ranged from $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(57.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ to $27.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(81.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Maximum $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ values between upstream river and canal temperatures was $2.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(4.9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Dissolved oxygen values ranged from 14.3 to $6.6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ and no significant differences were observed between canal and river samples.

The approximate equal mortality rate at the upstream and downstream stations after 48 hours indicates that factors unrelated to plant operation were the actual cause of death. One such factor could be the increased stress resulting from the pectoral spine catching and breaking off in the live box mesh holding the catfish in captivity. The stress of captivity
alone could be a significant factor in the mortality rates.

## Therma1 Plume Mapping

Thermal plume studies were conducted on the Cedar River below the discharge canal at the Duane Arnold Energy Center on October 16. River discharge was approximately 800 cfs . At the time of the study station ouput was ca. $80 \%$ of capacity. Water temperatures in the canal were $20.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(68.9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ at the beginning of the study and $20.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(69.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ at the conclusion. Ambient (upstream) river temperature was $13.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(56.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Temperatures were determined with a Mode1 T-4 Marine Hydrographic Thermometer, manufactured by Hydrolab Corporation of Austin, Texas, at the surface and at a 2 foot depth. No water deeper than 4 feet was found in the plume area.

In general, the plume from the station was relatively homogeneous in temperature from the surface to the bottom and tended to hug the bank of the river. The maximum extent of the $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ excess isotherm was ca. 250 feet downstream and ca. 50 feet offshore.

The $1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ excess isotherm extended ca. 500 feet downstream and 60 feet offshore. The estimated area of the river subjected to a $\Delta T$ of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or greater was ca. 0.14 acres. Less than 0.35 acres of the river was subjected to a $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ or more.

## Entrainment Studies

Three entrainment studies were conducted at the Duane Arnold Energy Center during the 1975 study period to obtain estimates of the volume and composition of plankton and larval fish entrained in the closed cycle cooling system.

On June 19 ten liters of water were collected just in front of the intake structure and zooplankton was concentrated by means of a plankton net.

Zooplankton and larval fish sampling was also attempted by positioning a plankton net in front of the intake screens. Because of the current patterns
in this area the plankton net collections were not successful. A sample of unconcentrated water was also collected at this time and phytoplankton determinations were made from this sample. Velocity of the water at the traveling screens was less than 0.5 fps. River flow at this time was $10,860 \mathrm{cfs}$ and high turbidities in the river made accurate enumeration difficult. The results of entrainment studies are summarized in Table 43. The majority of the organisms collected were diatoms and flagellates with some blue-green algae. Crustaceans, rotifers and larval fish were not observed in any of the samples.

The second entrainment study was conducted on July 19. The plankton net was positioned in front of the intake structure for 5 minutes. Intake velocity was 0.21 fps during the study. A total volume of 623 liters were filtered through the net. No larval fish were collected and few zooplankton were observed in the sample. The results are summarized in Table 43.

On November 25 the plankton net was placed in front of the intake structure for 3 minutes for determination of the fall entrainment. Velocity was calculated as 0.24 fps at the intake structure and a total of 427 1iters were filtered through the net. No larval fish were collected and few zooplankton were found in the sample. The results are summarized in Table 43. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Results of the second year operational survey (January-December) 1975) are consistent with the earlier pre-operational and operational studies. As in previous years the major factor affecting the water quality of the Cedar River in the vicinity of the station was runoff from agricultural land. Turbidity, color, solids, phosphate, ammonia, nitrate, lignins and tannins, COD threshold odor and bacterial values frequently increased during high flow periods while minimum values usually occurred during low flow periods in the fall or winter. Differences in water
quality between the 1974 and 1975 operational studies could also be attributed to hydrological differences between the two years. During the period August-December 1975, flow in the Cedar River was lower than during the comparable period in 1974. As might be expected several water quality parameters related directly to runoff, notably total solids, phosphate, nitrate and iron were also lower during the August-December 1975 period.

The effects of station operation on the water quality of the river were confined to a small area directly below the discharge canal. Because of evaporation from the cooling towers and the resulting concentrations of many parameters studied were frequently higher in the discharge canal and at river Station 3 (DAEC downstream), 140 feet downstream from the discharge canal than at upstream locations. However, concentrations of all parameters in samples from Station 4 (Comp Farm) about $\frac{1}{2}$ mile below the station were generally similar to levels observed at the upstream sites. Statistical analysis of water quality data was carried out to determine if significant differences existed between the four river sampling stations. Parameters compared statistically are listed in Table 44 along with the observed range and mean for each variable.

A one-way analysis of variance with the student-Newman Keuls multiple comparison test as well as linear combination of averages of sites 1 and 2 (upstream) against averages of sites 3 and 4 (downstream) were employed to test for differences $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05)$ in the concentrations of those parameters 1isted in Table 44. Table 45 summarizes the results of these tests. As can be seen, only site 3 (DAEC downstream) exhibited significantly altered water quality, with sites 1,2 and 4 being indistinguishable. Since site 3 was located directly in the discharge plume prior to mixing with the river it is nto unexpected to note altered water quality at this location. Blowdown
discharge had become mixed with the river at Station 4 (Comp Farm) and the water quality had returned to the level observed in the river upstream of the Duane Arnold Energy Center.

Agricultural land runoff and the morphometry of the Cedar River appeared to be the major factors influencing the nature of the benthic (bottom fauna) community in the vicinity of the station. Limited numbers of chironomid (midge) arvae and tubificid worms were the only benthic organisms collected during the 1975 studies. This is not surprising considering the nature of the benthic habitat in the study area. The heavy sediment loads carried by the river and the lack of quiet backwater or rocky bottom areas have resulted in a benthic habitat characterized by limited diversity and consisting primarily of shifting sand and silt substrates. In previous studies samples taken from the few rocky areas in the vicinity of the station have contained a greater diversity or organisms including caddisfly larvae and mayfly nymphs, organisms generally indicative of fair to good water quality. None of the studies to date have indicated that the operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center has affected the size or composition of the benthic community in the vicinity of the station.

During the 1975 period the composition of the fishery appeared to be similar to that observed in earlier operational and pre-operational surveys. Catch per unit of effort was somewhat lower than during the previous operational survey but no significant differences in the composition of the fishery between upstream and downstream sampling locations were observed. Carp and carpsucker were taken in greater abundance at the upstream stations during June while channel catfish were more abundant in the downstream locations in July. Carp, carpsucker and channel catfish were the dominant forms collected. Shiners were common in sein collections in July. As in previous years, other game fish were uncommon
in the collections. The only evident effect of station operation on the fishery of the river was the attraction of some fish, primarily carp, to the warmer waters at the mouth of the discharge canal during the fall of 1975. The design of the discharge structure is such, however, that fish are unable to swim into the discharge canal where they would be most subject to thermal shock. No signs of thermal shock or other evidence of damage to the fishery as a result of the chemical or physical characteristics of the blowdown discharge were observed in the vicinity of the canal during the period. The results of the July live box studies also indicate that the impact of the blowdown discharge on the fishery of the river is minimal.

The results of the impingement and entrainment studies conducted during 1975 are comparable to the 1974 studies and indicate the the intake of make up water has little effect upon the limnology or the fishery of the river. The low intake velocity, small volume of water used and the design of the intake structure contributed to a minimal rate of impingement during the period.

Entrainment of planktonic organisms by the station is a minimal problem, due largely to the small volume of water entrained in relation to river flow. In addition, the majority of the fish species found in the Cedar River do not have a pelagic larval stage. Thus the number of immature fish available for entrainment is insignificant when compared to the total number of young produced.

Table 1

SUMMARY OF HYDROLOGICAL CONDITIONS CEDAR RIVER AT CEDAR RAPIDS*

| Date <br> 1975 | Mean Monthly <br> Discharge (cfs) | Percent of <br> 1941-1970 <br> Median Discharge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | 1,622 | 179 |
| February | 1,228 | 75 |
| March | 8,516 | 140 |
| April | 10,775 | 228 |
| May | 8,861 | 188 |
| June | 8,658 | 209 |
| July | 3,952 | 132 |
| August | 1,254 | 72 |
| September | 1,251 | 73 |
| October | 818 | 67 |
| November | 1,490 | 78 |
| December |  | 141 |

*Data obtained from U. S. Geological Survey records.

Table 2
SUMMARY OF WATER TEMPERATURE DIFFERENTIALS AND STATION OPERATIONAL DATA DURING PERIODS OF CEDAR RIVER SAMPLING 1975

| Date | $\Delta \mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}$ River (Sta.2) vs Disch. Canal (Sta.5) | ```\|T(O}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{C})\textrm{U}/\textrm{S}\mathrm{ River (Sta.2) vs D/S River (Sta.3)``` | Station Output \% of full power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 17.0 | 5.6 | 60 |
| Jan. 27 | ---- | --- | 65 |
| Feb. 10 | ---- | -0.2 | 0 |
| Feb. 25 | 10.6 | 1.3 | 80 |
| Mar. 10 | 18.4 | 0.9 | 87 |
| Mar. 24 | 6.7 | 0 | 57 |
| Apr. 7 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 92 |
| Apr. 25 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |
| May 6 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0 |
| May 19 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 50 |
| June 2 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 50 |
| June 16 | -1.1 | -0.1 | 0 |
| June 30 | -2.9 | 0.5 | 0 |
| July 14 | -4.1 | 0 | 0 |
| July 28 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 76 |
| Aug. 11 | --- | -0.2 | 62 |
| Aug. 25 | -0.1 | -0.6 | 64 |
| Sep. 8 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 62 |
| Sep. 22 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 79 |
| Oct. 6 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 80 |
| Oct. 20 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 80 |
| Nov. 4 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 4 |
| Nov. 17 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 80 |
| Dec. 1 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 82 |
| Dec. 15 | 16.1 | 8.9 | 22 |

TEMPERATURE
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Table 3


TURBIDITY
J.T.U.

Table 4

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 13 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 10 |
|  | 27 | 13 | 9 | 17 | * 420 | 13 |
| Feb. | 10 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | -- |
| Mar. | 25 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 12 | *270 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 14 |
|  | 24 | *260 | *235 | *210 | *230 | *165 |
| Apr. |  | *260 | *270 | *270 | *250 | *210 |
|  | 25 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 26 |
| May | 6 | 39 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 35 |
| June | 19 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 25 | 29 |
|  |  | 29 | 29 | 25 | 31 | 46 |
|  | 16 | *550 | *500 | *480 | * 480 | *410 |
| July | 30 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 35 | 18 |
|  |  | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 16 |
|  | 28 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 |
| Aug. | 11 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 11 | -- |
|  | 25 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 23 |
| Sep. | 8 | 14 | 14 | 32 | 14 | 46 |
| Oct. | 22 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 22 |
|  | 6 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 23 |
|  | 20 | 15 | 25 | 24 | 14 | 30 |
| Nov. | 4 | 15 | 20 | 26 | 9 | 33 |
|  | 17 | 11 | 14 | 42 | 18 | 40 |
| Dec. | 1 | 22 | 17 | 34 | 13 | 84 |
|  | 15 | 8 | 8 | 20 | 8 | 21 |

*Read on Jackson apparatus

Table 5

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 <br> Comp Farm | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 20 | 25 | 20 | 30 | 20 |
|  |  | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 15 |
| Feb. |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | -- |
| Mar. |  | 10 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 15 |
|  |  | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Apr. |  | 40 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 40 |
|  |  | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 |
| May | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| June |  | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 45 |
|  |  | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 70 |
| Luly |  | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 |
|  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
|  |  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| Aug. |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | -- |
|  |  | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 |
| Sep. | 8 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 30 |
| Oct. |  | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
|  | 6 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 40 |
|  |  | 50 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 40 |
| Nov. | 4 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 40 |
|  |  | 20 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 60 |
| Dec. |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 40 |
|  | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
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TOTAL SOLIDS
mg/1
Table 6

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 320 | 355 | 434 | 428 | 584 |
| 27 | 410 | 390 | 430 | 950 | 860 |
| Feb. 10 | 430 | 420 | 420 | 410 | - |
| 25 | 398 | 392 | 392 | --- | 2,854 |
| Mar. 10 | 366 | 378 | 374 | 442 | 822 |
| 25 | 330 | 286 | 310 | 320 | 358 |
| Apr. 7 | 590 | 575 | 600 | 560 | 822 |
| 25 | 430 | 420 | 430 | 360 | 420 |
| May 6 | 540 | 550 | 530 | 600 | 480 |
| 19 | 500 | 530 | 520 | 540 | 610 |
| June 2 | 440 | 430 | 410 | 440 | 990 |
| 16 | 1,240 | 1,140 | 1,170 | 1,140 | 800 |
| 30 | 540 | 580 | 520 | 540 | 450 |
| Ju1y 14 | 480 | 510 | 470 | 510 | 430 |
| 28 | 340 | 340 | 880 | 370 | 1,350 |
| Aug. 11 | 360 | 370 | 320 | 330 | - |
| 25 | 344 | 412 | 534 | 344 | 1,202 |
| Sep. 8 | 298 | 306 | 772 | 306 | 1,280 |
| 22 | 328 | 336 | 574 | 358 | 726 |
| Oct. 6 | 298 | 308 | 624 | 328 | 788 |
| 20 | 308 | 304 | 520 | 328 | 726 |
| Nov. | 384 | 404 |  | 340 | 1,178 |
|  | 372 | 364 | 1,548 | 400 | 2,022 |
| Dec. | 408 388 | 394 382 | 654 470 | 370 382 | 2,080 |
|  | 388 | 382 | 470 | 382 | 520 |

TOTAL VOLATILE SOLIDS
mg/1
Table 7

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 106 | 137 | 146 | 150 | 140 |
|  | 27 | 90 | 120 | 100 | 160 | 670 |
| Feb. |  | 140 | 130 | 130 | 100 | --- |
| Mar. | 25 | 142 | 150 | 148 | --- | --- |
|  |  | 70 | 118 | 106 | 106 | 166 |
|  | 24 | 89 | 94 | 102 | 100 | 82 |
| Apr. | 7 | 128 | 116 | 156 | 106 | 204 |
|  | 25 | 150 | 130 | 130 | 150 | 130 |
| May | 6 | 170 | 180 | 270 | 270 | 150 |
| June | 19 | 210 | 230 | 180 | 240 | 140 |
|  | 2 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 120 | 190 |
|  | 16 | 220 | 220 | 230 | 160 | 160 |
| July | 30 | 130 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 90 |
|  | 14 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 160 | 120 |
|  | 28 | 100 | 120 | 220 | 120 | 350 |
| Aug. |  | 90 | 90 | 70 | 60 | --- |
|  | 25 | 140 | 84 | 112 | 144 | 204 |
| Sep. | 8 | 136 | 106 | 244 | 110 | 236 |
| Oct. | 22 | 88 | 104 | 152 | 106 | 166 |
|  | 6 | 98 | 106 | 152 | 114 | 166 |
|  | 20 | 110 | 96 | 148 | 100 | 190 |
| Nov. | 4 | 110 | 128 | 220 | 130 | 264 |
|  | 17 | 118 | 88 | 414 | 138 | 500 |
| Dec. |  | 80 | 84 | 128 | 84 | 362 |
|  | 15 | 86 | 78 | 108 | 82 | 126 |

TOTAL FIXED SOLIDS
Table 8

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ 1975 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 214 | 218 | 288 | 278 | 444 |
| 27 | 320 | 270 | 330 | 790 | 190 |
| Feb. 10 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 310 | --- |
| Mar. $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 10 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 256 | 242 | 244 | --- | --- |
|  | 296 | 260 | 268 | 336 | 656 |
|  | 241 | 182 | 208 | 220 | 276 |
| Apr. ${ }_{2}$ | 462 | 459 | 444 | 454 | 618 |
|  | 280 | 290 | 300 | 210 | 290 |
| May 6 | 370 | 370 | 260 | 330 | 330 |
| June $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 16\end{array}$ | 290 | 300 | 340 | 300 | 470 |
|  | 330 | 320 | 300 | 320 | 800 |
|  | 1,020 | 920 | 940 | 980 | 640 |
| July $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 28\end{aligned}$ | 410 | 460 | 400 | 420 | 360 |
|  | 340 | 380 | 350 | 350 | 310 |
|  | 240 | 220 | 660 | 250 | 1,000 |
| Aug. 11 Sep. | 270 | 280 | 250 | 270 | ----- |
|  | 204 | 328 | 422 | 200 | 998 |
|  | 162 | 200 | 478 | 196 | 1,044 |
| Oct. ${ }_{2}$ | 240 | 232 | 422 | 252 | 560 |
|  | 200 | 202 | 472 | 214 | 522 |
|  | 198 | 208 | 372 | 228 | 536 |
| Nov. 1 | 274 | 276 | 644 | 210 | 914 |
|  | 254 | 276 | 1,134 | 262 | 1,522 |
| Dec. 1 | 328 | 310 | 526 | 286 | 1,718 |
|  | 302 | 304 | 362 | 300 | 394 |

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
mg/1
Table 9

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 304 | 337 | 418 | 328 | 570 |
|  | 27 | 370 | 370 | 410 | 200 | 830 |
| Feb. |  | 420 | 400 | 400 | 400 |  |
| Mar. | 25 | 375 | 372 | 376 | --- | --- |
|  |  | 360 | 360 | 347 | 357 | 751 |
|  | 24 | 175 | 200 | 175 | 167 | 265 |
| Apr | 7 | 310 | 288 | 308 | 288 | 642 |
|  | 25 | 335 | 330 | 340 | 275 | 380 |
| May | 6 | 400 | 420 | 400 | 470 | 400 |
| June | 19 | 325 | 310 | 320 | 310 | 410 |
|  | 2 | 360 | 330 | 340 | 340 | 930 |
|  | 16 | 310 | 320 | 350 | 450 | 290 |
| July | 30 | 440 | 440 | 420 | 460 | 380 |
|  | 14 | 410 | 440 | 400 | 440 | 380 |
|  | 28 | 280 | 260 | 720 | 310 | 1,270 |
| Aug. | 11 | 270 | 260 | 260 | 240 | ----- |
|  | 25 | 308 | 294 | 340 | 300 | 944 |
| Sep. |  | 224 | 242 | 570 | 222 | 876 |
| Oct. | 22 | 274 | 282 | 520 | 313 | 667 |
|  |  | 230 | 230 | 539 | 262 | 701 |
|  | 20 | 264 | 260 | 456 | 298 | 670 |
| Nov. |  | 319 | 326 | 782 | 321 | 1,098 |
|  | 17 | 330 | 318 | 1,423 | 376 | 1,916 |
| Dec. |  | 357 | 358 | 491 | 356 | 1,696 |
|  | 15 | 369 | 364 | 426 | 372 | 455 |

TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS
mg/1
Table 10

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 100 | 14 |
| 27 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 750 | 30 |
| Feb. 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 10 | -- |
| 25 | 23 | 20 | 16 | -- | 2,575 |
| Mar. 10 | 6 | 18 | 27 | 85 | 71 |
| 24 | 155 | 86 | 135 | 53 | 93 |
| Apr. 7 | 280 | 287 | 292 | 272 | 180 |
| 25 | 95 | 90 | 90 | 85 | 60 |
| May 6 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 80 |
| 19 | 175 | 220 | 200 | 230 | 200 |
| June 2 | 80 | 100 | 70 | 100 | 60 |
| 16 | 930 | 820 | 820 | 690 | 510 |
| 30 | 100 | 140 | 100 | 80 | 70 |
| July 14 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 50 |
| 28 | 60 | 80 | 160 | 60 | 80 |
| Aug. 11 | 90 | 110 | 60 | 90 | --- |
| 25 | 36 | 118 | 194 | 44 | 258 |
| Sep. 8 | 74 | 64 | 152 | 84 | 404 |
| 22 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 45 | 59 |
| Oct. 6 | 68 | 78 | 85 | 66 | 87 |
| 20 | 44 | 44 | 64 | 30 | 56 |
| Nov. 4 | 65 | 78 | 82 | 19 | 80 |
| 17 | 42 | 46 | 125 | 24 | 106 |
| Dec. 1 | 51 | 36 | 163 | 14 | 384 |
| 15 | 19 | 18 | 44 | 10 | 65 |

Table 11

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 90 | 10 |
| 27 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 710 | 25 |
| Feb. 10 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 5 | -- |
| Mar. $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 10 \\ & 24\end{aligned}$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | -- | -- |
|  | 5 | 17 | 25 | 78 | 77 |
|  | 128 | 66 | 105 | 123 | 66 |
| Apr.MayM | 236 | 245 | 244 | 228 | 156 |
|  | 75 | 75 | 75 | 70 | 50 |
|  | 115 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 60 |
| June $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 16\end{array}$ | 135 | 180 | 170 | 190 | 160 |
|  | 60 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 50 |
|  | 810 | 690 | 700 | 610 | 450 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \\ \text { July } & 3 \\ 1 \\ & 2\end{array}$ | 80 | 120 | 80 | 70 | 60 |
|  | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 40 |
|  | 40 | 50 | 120 | 40 | 50 |
| Aug. $\frac{1}{2}$ | 50 | 70 | 20 | 50 | -- |
|  | 32 | 100 | 180 | 34 | 228 |
| Sep. 8 | 52 | 50 | 124 | 64 | 374 |
| Oct. ${ }_{2}$ | 41 | 42 | 38 | 32 | 39 |
|  | 41 | 50 | 53 | 39 | 56 |
|  | 30 | 28 | 40 | 16 | 31 |
| Nov. | 52 | 59 | 59 | 10 | 57 |
|  | 26 | 28 | 80 | 10 | 74 |
| Dec. 1 | 44 | 31 | 150 | 12 | 346 |
|  | 14 | 13 | 42 | 8 | 58 |

SUSPENDED VOLATILE SOLIDS
mg/1
Table 12

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 4 |
|  | 27 | 10 | <5 | <5 | 40 | 5 |
| Feb. |  | <5 | <5 | <5 | <5 | - |
| Mar. | 25 | 17 | 16 | 13 | -- | - |
|  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
|  | 24 | 27 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 27 |
| Apr. <br> May | 7 | 44 | 42 | 48 | 44 | 24 |
|  | 25 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 |
|  | 6 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| June | 19 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 40 | 40 |
|  | 2 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
|  | 16 | 120 | 130 | 120 | 80 | 60 |
| July | 30 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 14 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 10 |
|  | 28 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 30 |
| Aug. Sep. |  | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | -- |
|  | 25 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 30 |
|  | 8 | 22 | 14 | 28 | 20 | 30 |
| Oct. | 22 | 13 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 20 |
|  | 6 | $27$ | 28 | 32 | 27 | 31 |
|  | 20 | 14 | 16 | 24 | 14 | 25 |
| Nov. |  | 13 | 19 | 23 | 9 | 23 |
|  | 17 | 16 | 18 | 45 | 14 | 32 |
| Dec. | 1 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 38 |
|  | 15 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 |

Table 13

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 201 | 204 | 274 | 188 | 434 |
| 27 | 290 | 255 | 315 | 80 | 165 |
| Feb. 10 | 285 | 275 | 275 | 305 | --- |
| Mar. $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 255 | 238 | 241 | --- | --- |
|  | 291 | 243 | 243 | 258 | 579 |
|  | 113 | 116 | 103 | 97 | 210 |
| Apr. | 226 | 214 | 200 | 226 | 462 |
|  | 205 | 215 | 225 | 140 | 260 |
| May 6 | 255 | 265 | 155 | 225 | 270 |
| June ${ }^{19}$ | 155 | 120 | 170 | 110 | 310 |
|  | 270 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 750 |
|  | 210 | 230 | 240 | 370 | 190 |
| Ju1y 14 | 330 | 340 | 320 | 350 | 300 |
|  | 290 | 330 | 300 | 300 | 270 |
|  | 200 | 170 | 540 | 210 | 950 |
| Aug. 11 | 220 | 210 | 230 | 220 | --- |
|  | 172 | 228 | 242 | 166 | 770 |
| Sep. 8 | 110 | 150 | 334 | 132 | 670 |
| Oct. | 199 | 190 | 384 | 220 | 521 |
|  | 159 | 152 | 419 | 175 | 566 |
|  | 168 | 180 | 332 | 212 | 505 |
| Nov. | 222 | 217 | 585 | 200 | 857 |
|  | 228 | 248 | 1,054 | 252 | 1,448 |
| Dec. | 284 | 279 | 376 | 274 | 1,372 |
|  | 288 | 291 | 320 | 292 | 336 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 103 | 133 | 144 | 140 | 136 |
|  | 27 | 80 | 115 | 95 | 120 | 665 |
| Feb. |  | >135 | >125 | >125 | >95 | -- |
| Mar. | 25 | 125 | 134 | 135 | -- | --- |
|  |  | 69 | 117 | 104 | 99 | 164 |
|  | 24 | 62 | 74 | 72 | 70 | 55 |
| Apr. |  | 84 | 74 | 108 | 62 | 180 |
|  | 25 | 103 | 115 | 115 | 135 | 120 |
| May | 6 | 145 | 155 | 245 | 245 | 130 |
| June | 19 | 170 | 190 | 150 | 200 | 100 |
|  | 2 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 180 |
|  | 16 | 100 | 90 | 110 | 80 | 100 |
| Ju1y | 30 | 110 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 80 |
|  |  | 120 | 110 | 100 | 140 | 110 |
|  | 28 | 80 | 90 | 180 | 100 | 320 |
| Aug. |  | 50 | 50 | 30 | 20 | --- |
|  | 25 | 136 | 66 | 98 | 134 | 174 |
| Sep. |  | 114 | 92 | 216 | 90 | 206 |
| Oct. | 22 | 75 | 92 | 136 | 93 | 146 |
|  | 6 | 71 | 78 | 120 | 87 | 135 |
|  | 20 | 96 | 80 | 124 | 86 | 165 |
| Nov. |  | 97 | 109 | 197 | 121 |  |
|  | 17 | 112 | 70 | 369 | 124 | 468 |
| Dec. |  | 73 | 79 | 115 | 82 | 324 |
|  | 15 | 81 | 73 | 106 | 80 | 119 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 9.2 |
| 27 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 8.6 |
| Feb. 10 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 12.2 | --- |
| Mar. 1 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 6.0 |
|  | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
|  | 9.6 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 8.7 |
| Apr. | 10.1 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 8.9 |
|  | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 10.6 |
| May 6 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 8.7 |
| June ${ }^{1}$ | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.3 |
|  | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
|  | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 8.6 |
| July $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & 14 \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 8.4 |
|  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 9.6 |
|  | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 8.1 |
| Aug. 1 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 7.7 | --- |
|  | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| Sep. 8 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 7.0 |
| Oct. | 13.9 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 8.3 |
|  | 15.3 | 13.2 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 6.8 |
|  | 12.0 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 12.9 | 7.8 |
| Nov. | 15.2 | 14.2 | 9.7 | 15.7 | 7.8 |
|  | 10.7 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 6.2 |
| Dec. | 12.3 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 5.0 |
|  | 11.2 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 7.6 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } 1 \\ \text { Lewis Access } \end{gathered}$ | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
|  |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Feb. |  | 8.8 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7. |
| Mar. |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
|  |  | 7.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 |
|  |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Apr. |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
|  |  | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 |
| May |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 8.8 |
| June |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.0 |
| Aug. <br> Sep. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.3 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
| Nov. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TOTAL ALKALINITY
(as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) - mg/1
Table 17

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ 1975 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 13 | 208 | 208 | 186 | 200 | 140 |
|  | 27 | 222 | 224 | 206 | 236 | 124 |
| Feb. |  | 244 | 242 | 248 | 240 | 12 |
| Mar. | 25 | 222 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 196 |
|  |  | 220 | 214 | 226 | 212 | 140 |
|  | 24 | 82 | 80 | 80 | 82 | 74 |
| Apr. | 7 | 164 | 168 | 168 | 166 | 148 |
|  | 25 | 180 | 178 | 180 | 182 | 208 |
| May | 6 | 196 | 190 | 180 | 184 | 204 |
| June | 19 | 206 | 208 | 200 | 200 | 100 |
|  | 2 | 184 | 194 | 184 | 188 | 44 |
|  | 16 | 176 | 186 | 180 | 180 | 202 |
| Ju1y | 30 | 216 | 210 | 212 | 202 | 222 |
|  | 14 | 226 | 214 | 226 | 226 | 234 |
|  | 28 | 212 | 212 | 204 | 216 | 176 |
| Aug. | 11 | 126 | 126 | 124 | 126 | --- |
|  | 25 | 160 | 162 | 162 | 154 | 48 |
| Sep. | 8 | 116 | 114 | 84 | 112 | 70 |
| Oct. | 22 | 156 | 158 | 110 | 150 | 76 |
|  | 6 | 130 | 130 | 94 | 120 | 76 |
|  | 20 | 136 | 148 | 104 | 148 | 60 |
| Nov. | 4 | 182 | 172 | 102 | 162 | 50 |
|  | 17 | 200 | 210 | 280 | 200 | 162 |
| Dec. |  | 226 | 196 | 188 | 198 | 44 |
|  | 15 | 222 | 212 | 240 | 224 | 272 |

PHENOLPTHALEIN ALKALINITY

$$
\left(\text { as } \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{mg} / 1
$$

Table 18


| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Date } \\ 1975 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | 27 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Feb. |  | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | --- |
| Mar. | 25 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | 10 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.9 |
|  | 24 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Apr. | 7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
|  | 25 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
| May | 6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| June | 19 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
|  | 2 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
|  | 16 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.6 |
| Ju1y | 30 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.8 |
|  | 14 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.8 |
|  | 28 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 7.8 |
| Aug. |  | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.5 | --- |
|  | 25 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.7 |
| Sep. | 8 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.3 |
| Oct. | 22 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.6 |
|  | 6 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 8.0 |
|  | 20 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.1 |
| Nov. | 4 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 8.1 |
|  | 17 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Dec. | 1 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.1 |
|  | 15 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 8.8 |

TOTAL HARDNESS
(as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) - mg/1
Table 20

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 364 | 320 | 324 | 320 | 404 |
|  | 27 | 292 | 280 | 328 | 384 | 504 |
| Feb. |  | 376 | 324 | 296 | 304 |  |
| Mar. | 25 | 308 | 260 | 276 | 276 | 296 |
|  |  | 316 | 284 | 276 | 276 | 500 |
|  | 24 | 180 | 184 | 144 | 164 | 196 |
| Apr. |  | 184 | 228 | 216 | 216 | 420 |
|  | 25 | 268 | 248 | 268 | 264 | 296 |
| May | 6 | 264 | 268 | 264 | 284 | 272 |
| June | 19 | 272 | 284 | 296 | 300 | 416 |
|  | 2 | 268 | 272 | 264 | 276 | 404 |
|  | 16 | 288 | 308 | 264 | 296 | 272 |
| July | 30 | 280 | 276 | 276 | 280 | 272 |
|  |  | . 292 | 264 | 256 | 276 | 276 |
|  | 28 | 240 | 228 | 468 | 228 | 692 |
| Aug. |  | 160 | 180 | 168 | 176 | --- |
|  | 25 | 212 | 212 | 236 | 236 | 476 |
| Sep. |  | 160 | 140 | 296 | 144 | 408 |
| Oct. | 22 | 188 | 224 | 388 | 220 | 396 |
|  | 6 | 160 | 180 | 340 | 188 | 432 |
|  | 20 | 196 | 180 | 288 | 212 | 380 |
| Nov. | 4 | 196 | 220 | 440 | 208 | 464 |
|  | 17 | 260 | 272 | 892 | 264 | 1,720 |
| Dec. |  | 260 | 268 | 312 | 292 | 1,080 |
|  | 15 | 284 | 264 | 240 | 280 | 228 |

CALCIUM HARDNESS
(as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) $-\mathrm{mg} / 1$
Tab1e 21

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 248 | 268 | 272 | 236 | 308 |
|  | 27 | 200 | 200 | 224 | 204 | 360 |
| Feb. |  | 252 | 208 | 244 | 236 | --- |
| Mar . | 25 | 200 | 204 | 192 | 196 | 276 |
|  |  | 204 | 184 | 188 | 200 | 352 |
|  | 24 | 80 | 88 | 116 | 100 | 176 |
| Apr. | 7 | 148 | 144 | 152 | 144 | 312 |
|  | 25 | 196 | 200 | 192 | 196 | 200 |
| May | 6 | 184 | 204 | 196 | 200 | 180 |
| June | 19 | 244 | 252 | 224 | 216 | 308 |
|  | 2 | 188 | 180 | 184 | 180 | 368 |
|  | 16 | 272 | 180 | 198 | 264 | 196 |
| Ju1y | 30 | 196 | 204 | 200 | 196 | 180 |
|  | 14 | 192 | 204 | 180 | 200 | 192 |
|  | 28 | 160 | 152 | 292 | 144 | 464 |
| Aug. |  | 88 | 84 | 80 | 84 | --- |
|  | 25 | 120 | 124 | 148 | 116 | 360 |
| Sep. | 8 | 76 | 60 | 188 | 68 | 304 |
| Oct. | 22 | 140 | 164 | 216 | 160 | 292 |
|  | 6 | 88 | 97 | 208 | 84 | 280 |
|  | 20 | 100 | 96 | 160 | 132 | 212 |
| Nov. | 4 | 124 | 136 | 296 | 124 | 400 |
|  | 17 | 160 | 172 | 612 | 200 | 920 |
| Dec. |  | 180 | 172 | 208 | 172 | 708 |
|  | 15 | 200 | 232 | 204 | 208 | 200 |

TOTAL PHOSPHATE
mg/1
Table 22

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 13 | 4.20 | 2.70 | 3.40 | 4.10 | 4.50 |
|  | 27 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.80 | 3.20 | 5.90 |
| Feb. |  | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 5. |
| Mar. | 25 | 2.40 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.35 | 1.65 |
|  |  | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 2.16 | 2.49 |
|  | 24 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Apr. | 7 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 1.23 |
|  | 25 | 0.84 | 1.03 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.72 |
| May | 6 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.71 |
| June | 19 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.49 |
|  |  | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.58 |
|  | 16 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| July | 30 | 0.59 | 0.69 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 0.58 |
|  | 14 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.45 |
|  | 28 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 0.35 | 0.79 |
| Aug. | 11 | 1.13 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.99 | ---- |
|  | 25 | 0.84 | 1.02 | 1.15 | 0.71 | 1.57 |
| Sep. | 8 | 0.80 | 0.61 | 1.31 | 0.52 | 1.90 |
| Oct. | 22 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 1.07 |
|  | 6 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 0.27 | 1.59 |
|  | 20 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.51 |
| Nov. | 4 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 0.55 |
|  | 17 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.83 |
| Dec. | 1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 1.16 |
|  | 15 | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.39 |

ORTHOPHOSPHATE
mg/1
Table 23

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 1.40 | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
|  |  | 1.50 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.00 | 1.60 |
| Feb. |  | 1.60 | 1.38 | 1.55 | 1.52 | 1.6 |
| Mar. | 25 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 1.05 | 1.15 | 1.30 |
|  |  | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.40 | 1.50 |
|  | 24 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.79 | 0.61 |
| Apr. | 7 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 1.05 |
|  | 25 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.48 |
| May | 6 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| June | 19 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
|  |  | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.55 |
|  | 16 | 0.34 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.48 |
| July | 30 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.42 |
|  |  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.39 |
|  | 28 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| Aug. Sep. |  | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.11 | ---- |
|  | 25 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.91 |
|  |  | $<0.03$ | <0.03 | $<0.03$ | <0.03 | <0.03 |
| Oct. | 22 | 0.03 | $<0.03$ | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 |
|  |  | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.34 |
|  | 20 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| Nov. |  | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.40 |
|  | 17 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.71 |
| Dec. |  | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.74 |
|  | 15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.22 |

Table 24

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 0.63 | 0.55 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.28 |
| 27 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.19 |
| Feb. 10 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 0.75 | . |
| Mar. $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 10 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.20 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 0.72 |
|  | 1.24 | 1.20 | 1.12 | 1.20 | 0.72 |
| Apr. | 0.72 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.20 |
|  | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.12 | T |
| May 6 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| June 1 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.09 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.16 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.24 |
| July | 0.20 | 0.28 | T | 0.12 | 0.08 |
|  | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
|  | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.20 | ---- |
|  | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.11 |
|  | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Oct. ${ }^{2}$ | T | T | T | T | T |
|  | $0.24$ | $0.04$ | 0.08 | 0.16 | $0.08$ |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |
| Nov. | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.10 |
|  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.90 |
| Dec. 1 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.48 |
|  | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.26 |

NITRATE
(as N ) $-\mathrm{mg} / 1$
Table 25


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.77 | 0.45 |
|  | 27 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.41 | ---- | 0.68 |
| Feb. |  | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.68 |
| Mar. | 25 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 2.90 |
|  |  | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.14 |
|  | 24 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.24 |
| Apr. | 7 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
|  | 25 | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 0.54 | 0.73 |
| May | 6 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| June | 19 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.53 |
|  | 2 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
|  | 16 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.75 |
| July | 30 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.24 |
|  |  | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.22 |
|  | 28 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.52 |
| Aug. |  | 0.51 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.51 | ---- |
|  | 25 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.38 |
| Sep. |  | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.48 |
| Oct. | 22 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.13 |
|  |  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.32 |
|  | 20 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.20 |
| Nov. |  | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.21 |
|  | 17 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| Dec. |  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.36 |
|  | 15 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.14 |

LIGNINS \& TANNINS
$\mathrm{mg} / 1$
Table 27

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 1.20 | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 1.30 |
| 27 | 0.05 | T | 0.55 | 0.10 | 2.65 |
| Feb. 10 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.75 | ---- |
| 25 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Mar. 10 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 1.10 | 1.00 |
| 24 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.15 | 1.70 | 0.78 |
| Apr. 7 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 25 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.70 |
| May 6 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| 19 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.50 |
| June 2 | 1.03 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 0.70 | 2.62 |
| 16 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.05 |
| 30 | 0.55 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.47 |
| Ju1y 14 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| 28 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 1.60 |
| Aug. 11 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.25 | ---- |
| 25 | 0.72 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.77 |
| Sep. 8 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.32 |
| 22 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Oct. 6 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.66 |
| 20 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| Nov. 4 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.58 |
| 17 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.42 | 0.90 |
| Dec. 1 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 1.07 |
| 15 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 |

-56-
CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND
mg/1
Table 28

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 <br> Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 24 | -- | 32 | 48 | ---- |
|  | 27 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 55 | 14.0 |
| Feb. |  | 18 | 15 | 10 | 20 | ---- |
| Mar. | 25 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 44 |
|  |  | 14 | 14 | 36 | 14 | 54 |
|  | 24 | 97 | 40 | 15 | 32 | 58 |
| Apr. | 7 | 56 | 88 | 104 | 80 | 48 |
|  | 25 | 16 | 40 | 48 | 32 | 12 |
| May | 6 | 44 | 60 | 84 | 52 | 40 |
| June | 19 | 56 | 56 | 60 | 64 | 68 |
|  | 2 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 43.6 | 48 |
|  | 16 | 53 | 39 | 39 | 53 | 15 |
| July | 30 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 16 |
|  | 14 | 8 | 20 | 16 | 24 | 12 |
|  | 28 | 8 | 8 | 36 | 36 | 56 |
| Aug. |  | 48 | 40 | 40 | 52 | -- |
|  | 25 | 32 | 36 | 44 | 52 | 76 |
| Sep. |  | 68 | 52 | 84 | 60 | 132 |
| Oct. | 22 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
|  | 6 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 88 |
|  | 20 | 40 | 32 | 48 | 20 | 48 |
| Nov. |  | 97 | 96 | 96 | 60 | 116 |
|  | 17 | 36 | 40 | 48 | 44 | 40 |
| Dec. |  | 20 | 12 | 28 | 20 | 88 |
|  | 15 | 40 | 28 | 20 | 8 | 24 |

BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND

$$
\text { (5 Day) }-\mathrm{mg} / 1
$$

Table 29

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| 27 | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
| Feb. 10 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | --- |
| Mar. 1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Apr. | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
|  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| May 6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| June | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
|  | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
|  | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| July $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 20\end{aligned}$ | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 7.3 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 3.9 |
|  | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 |
| Aug. 11 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 10.0 | --- |
|  | 5.6 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 9.2 |
| Sep. 8 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 13.2 |
| Oct. | 11.0 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 11.8 |
|  | 16.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 17.0 |
|  | 18.0 | 13.8 | 18.6 | 13.2 | 16.5 |
| Nov. | 14.2 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 9.4 | 14.0 |
|  | 5.8 | 6.0 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 9.4 |
| Dec. | 3.2 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 8.2 |
|  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.6 |

THRESHOLD ODOR NUMBER
Table 30

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 7.5 | 18 | 10 | 18 | 24 |
|  | 27 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 | -- | 13 |
| Feb. |  | 10 | 13 | 13 | 10 | -- |
| Mar. | 25 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 7.5 | 13 |
|  |  | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
|  | 24 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 18 | 24 |
| Apr. | 7 | 7.5 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 13 |
|  | 25 | 10 | 10 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 10 |
| May | 6 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 10 | 10 |
| June | 19 | 10 | 7.5 | 13 | 10 | 13 |
|  | 2 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 18 |
|  | 16 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 |
| July | 30 | 13 | 7.5 | 13 | 13 | 18 |
|  | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 10 |
|  | 28 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 7.5 | 13 |
| Aug. |  |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | -- |
|  | 25 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Sep. |  | 7.5 | 13 | 10 | 7.5 | 10 |
| Oct. | 22 |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | 6 | 7.5 | 10 | 13 | 7.5 | 18 |
|  | 20 | 5.6 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 18 |
| Nov. | 4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 18 | 10 |  |
|  | 17 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 24 |
| Dec. | 1 | 7.5 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
|  | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 |

TOTAL BACTERIA $37^{\circ}$ organisms/100 ml

Table 31

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | >10,000, 000 | 300,000 | >10,000,000 | 1,500,000 | >10,000,000 |
|  | 27 | 290,000 | 200,000 | 520,000 |  | 1,030,000 |
| Feb. | 10 | 100,000 | 110,000 | 210,000 | 30,000 |  |
| Mar. | 25 | 30,000 | 170,000 | 100,000 | 170,000 | 2,900,000 |
|  |  | 210,000 | 320,000 | 260,000 | 280,000 | 400,000 |
|  | 24 | 800,000 | 1,400,000 | 600,000 | 700,000 | 3,300,000 |
| Apr. | 7 | 140,000 | 6,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 220,000 | 300,000 |
|  | 25 | 80,000 | 100,000 | 110,000 | 150,000 | 140,000 |
| May | 6 | 150,000 | 110,000 | 100,000 | 230,000 | 120,000 |
| June | 19 | 80,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 420,000 |
|  | 2 | 120,000 | 320,000 | 180,000 | 330,000 | 340,000 |
|  | 16 | 2,200,000 | 2,600,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,700,000 |
| Ju1y | 30 | 140,000 | 110,000 | 150,000 | 280,000 | 130,000 |
|  | 14 | 290,000 | 1,530,000 | 290,000 | 150,000 | 780,000 |
|  | 28 | 470,000 | 740,000 | 7,700,000 | 950,000 | 560,000 |
| Aug. | 11 | 1,420,000 | 250,000 | 150,000 | 30,000 |  |
|  | 25 | 1,800,000 | 2,200,000 | 3,400,000 | 800,000 | 13,000,000 |
| Sep. | 8 | 200,000 | 210,000 | 670,000 | 1,500,000 | 29,300,000 |
| Oct. | 22 | 150,000 | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 | 300,000 | 2,400,000 |
|  | 6 | 10,000 | 30,000 | 1,280,000 | 150,000 | 2,500,000 |
|  | 20 | 110,000 | 40,000 | 900,000 | 80,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Nov. | 4 | 40,000 | 930,000 | 5,100,000 | 270,000 | 16,200,000 |
|  | 17 | 300,000 | 1,100,000 | 43,000,000 | 190,000 | 67,200,000 |
| Dec. |  | 270,000 | 240,000 | 480,000 | 760,000 | 92,000,000 |
|  | 15 | 170,000 | 150,000 | 480,000 | 450,000 | 1,240,000 |

TOTAL BACTERIA $20^{\circ}$
organisms/100 m1
Tab1e 32

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ 1975 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. <br> Apr. |  | 700,000 | 500,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |
|  |  | 50,000 | <10,000 | 20,000 | 80,000 | 100,000 |
|  | 25 | 90,000 | 80,000 | 130,000 | 40,000 | 150,000 |
|  | 6 | 300,000 | 210,000 | 360,000 | 200,000 | 150,000 |
|  | 19 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 50,000 |
| June | 2 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 70,000 | 210,000 | 220,000 |
| July | 16 | 1,000,000 | 1,200,000 | 1,100,000 | 100,000 | 1,000,000 |
|  | 30 | <10,000 | <10,000 |  | <10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | 14 | <10,000 | <10,000 | $<10,000$ | <10,000 | <10,000 |
| Aug. | 28 | $<10,000$ | <10,000 | $<10,000$ | $<10,000$ | <10,000 |
|  |  | 30,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 |  |
|  | 25 | 810,000 | 720,000 | 270,000 | 190,000 | 1,900,000 |
| Sep. Oct. |  | $<10,000$ | 10,000 | 30,000 | <10,000 | 30,000 |
|  | 22 | 60,000 | <10,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 | 360,000 |
|  | Oct. 6 | 20,000 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 20,000 | 120,000 |
| Nov. | 20 | $<10,000$ | $<10,000$ | $<10,000$ | $<10,000$ | <10,000 |
|  |  | 30,000 | 90,000 | 280,000 | 50,000 | 150,000 |
|  | 17 | 120,000 | 160,000 | 250,000 | 90,000 | 300,000 |
| Dec. |  | 30,000 | 40,000 | 110,000 | 170,000 | 260,000 |
|  | 15 | 80,000 | 120,000 | 130,000 | 80,000 | 90,000 |

TOTAL COLIFORM organisms/100 m1

Table 33

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | Station 4 Comp Farm | Station 5 <br> Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. |  | 10,000 | 2,000 | 9,000 | 1,700 | 1,900 |
|  | 27 | 9,600 | 4,400 | 3,700 |  | 600 |
| Feb. 1 |  | 2,500 | 1,800 | 2,300 | 2,100 | --- |
| Mar. | 25 | 6,200 | 1,000 | 4,700 | 2,000 | 10,000 |
|  |  | 2,800 | 3,000 | 3,400 | 2,700 | 3,100 |
|  | 24 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,500 | 3,000 | 3,200 |
| Apr. | 7 | 3,200 | 3,400 | 2,800 | 4,500 | 1,200 |
|  | 25 | 1,300 | 2,300 | 2,700 | 2,200 | 3,200 |
| May | 6 | 3,200 | 2,700 | 2,600 | 1,600 | 2,700 |
| June | 19 | 1,500 | 400 | 1,000 | 800 | 400 |
|  | 2 | 2,000 | 2,600 | 2,100 | 2,600 | 3,600 |
|  | 16 | 15,000 | 23,000 | 12,000 | 23,000 | 13,000 |
| July | 30 | 3,200 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 1,900 | 1,500 |
|  | 14 | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
|  | 28 | 200 | 300 | 500 | 100 | 500 |
| Aug. |  | 1,900 | 900 | 1,400 | 1,000 | --- |
|  | 25 | 800 | 500 | 700 | 500 | 300 |
| Sep. | 8 | 1,500 | 500 | 2,600 | 100 | 2,600 |
| Oct. | 22 | 600 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 700 |
|  | 6 | 200 | 300 | 3,200 | 1,000 | 4,500 |
|  | 20 | 300 | <100 | 400 | <100 | 1,900 |
| Nov. | 4 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 20,000 | <100 | 35,000 |
|  | 17 | 2,400 | 2,100 | 18,000 | 2,500 | 28,000 |
| Dec. | 1 | 12,000 | 9,000 | 16,000 | 6,000 | 40,000 |
|  | 15 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 700 | 400 |

FECAL COLIFORM organisms/100 m1

Table 34

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 1 Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Station } 5 \\ & \text { Discharge } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 Sewage <br> Effluent  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 13 | 1,000 | 600 | 500 | 600 | 600 | 7,000 |
| 27 | 390 | 90 | 140 | -- | 30 | 180,000 |
| Feb. 10 | 320 | 10 | 80 | 10 | -- |  |
| Mar. | 1,100 | $<10$ | 350 | 40 | 200 | <1,000 |
|  | 190 | 120 | 420 | 170 | 360 | 8,000 |
|  | 1,180 | 1,000 | 990 | 750 | 1,000 | 26,000 |
| Apr. | 360 | 460 | 300 | 610 | 180 | 6,000 |
|  | 860 | 670 | 840 | 620 | 450 | 1,000 |
| May 6 | 110 | 200 | 170 | 160 | 260 | 1,000 |
| June 1 | 110 | 140 | 80 | 80 | 160 | 2,000 |
|  | 710 | 960 | 910 | 850 | 1,220 | <1,000 |
|  | 8,700 | 5,200 | 8,300 | 8,300 | 800 | 2,000 |
| July 1 | 260 | 100 | 300 | 410 | 310 | 4,000 |
|  | 170 | 180 | 120 | 160 | 100 | 8,000 |
|  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 60 | 12,000 |
| Aug. $\frac{1}{2}$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | 60 | -- | 4,000 |
|  | 200 | 200 | -- | 300 | <10 | 20,000 |
| Sep. 8 | 210 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 700 | 12,000 |
| Oct. | 520 | $<10$ | 10 | 10 | $<10$ | 7,000 |
|  | 150 | 160 | 500 | 40 | 1,500 | 3,000 |
|  | 100 | <10 | <10 | <10 | 50 | 3,000 |
| Nov. |  | 800 |  |  |  | 3,000 |
|  | 180 | 290 | <10 | 140 | <10 | 5,000 |
| Dec. | 3,400 | 2,800 | 4,800 | 4,300 | 15,200 | 4,000 |
|  | 380 | 360 | 430 | 560 | 330 | 5,000 |

FECAL STREPTOCOCCUS organisms/100 m1

Table 35

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Date } \\ & 1975 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 13 | 7,000 | 5,400 | 5,800 | 6,600 | 4,100 |
|  | 27 | 200 | 160 | 120 |  | 80 |
| Feb. |  | 160 | 100 | 40 | 10 | -- |
| Mar. | 25 | 230 | 130 | 210 | 80 | 100 |
|  |  | 190 | 110 | 180 | 180 | 60 |
|  | 24 | 2,100 | 3,000 | 2,600 | 2,500 | 300 |
| Apr. |  | 60 | 60 | 40 | 40 | 10 |
|  | 25 | 210 | 100 | 100 | 240 | 50 |
| May | 6 | 60 | 100 | 50 | 90 | 100 |
| June | 19 | 50 | 50 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
|  |  | 200 | 240 | 270 | 240 | 210 |
|  | 16 | 4,400 | 6,300 | 4,800 | 6,800 | 4,900 |
| July | 30 | 320 | 360 | 310 | 350 | 190 |
|  | 14 | 70 | 100 | 20 | 60 | 80 |
|  | 28 | 200 | 210 | 270 | 210 | 260 |
| Aug. | 11 | 2,500 | 1,250 | 970 | 1,210 | --- |
|  | 25 | 60 | 170 | 120 | 190 | 530 |
| Sep. | 8 | <10 | 20 | 180 | 40 | 140 |
| Oct. | 22 | 10 | $<10$ | 30 | 10 | 30 |
|  | 6 | 20 | <10 | 40 | 30 | 90 |
|  | 20 | <10 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 190 |
| Nov. | 4 | 20 | 70 | 120 | 40 | 520 |
|  | 17 | 90 | 50 | 340 | 60 | 850 |
| Dec. | 1 | 8,700 | 9,100 | 8,400 | 5,300 | 8,400 |
|  | 15 | 230 | 240 | 350 | 330 | 410 |

total plankton
organisms/m1
Tab1e 36

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Date } \\ 1975 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Station 1 <br> Lewis Access | Station 2 <br> DAEC Upstream | Station 3 <br> DAEC Downstream | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } 4 \\ & \text { Comp Farm } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Station 5 Discharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | 13 | 800 | 1,120 | 1,310 | 930 | 1,020 |
|  | 27 | 1,700 | 1,520 | 1,790 | --- | 2,180 |
| Feb. | 10 | 600 | 820 | 620 | 620 | ----- |
| Mar. | 25 | 1,020 | 980 | 800 | 1,070 | 24,320 |
|  |  | 800 | 780 | 730 | 1,020 | 3,500 |
|  | 24 | 5,120 | 3,680 | 4,160 | 4,960 | 2,430 |
| Apr. |  | 2,080 | 3,520 | 3,200 | 3,360 | 4,640 |
|  | 25 | 4,220 | 3,460 | 2,940 | 3,330 | 3,460 |
| May | 6 | 15,810 | 16,480 | 19,400 | 15,490 | 12,800 |
| June | 19 | 26,410 | 27,720 | 22,630 | 22,450 | 29,060 |
|  |  | 8,870 | 9,660 | 10,830 | 7,950 | 15,550 |
| July | 30 | 8,300 | 10,200 | 10,570 | 7,350 | 6,590 |
|  |  | 41,480 | 31,320 | 35,810 | 31,030 | 25,480 |
|  | 28 | 24,610 | 34,380 | 41,830 | 32,760 | 45,400 |
| Aug. | 11 | 61,270 | 44,030 | 47,050 | 56,480 |  |
|  | 25 | 15,520 | 17,770 | 17,750 | 7,790 | 34,180 |
| Sep. | 8 | 100,020 | 86,640 | 108,463 | 104,370 | 135,470 |
| Oct. | 22 | 101,850 | 91,490 | 105,080 | 84,170 | 113,790 |
|  |  | 109,410 | 122,860 | 169,420 | 150,720 | 173,180 |
|  | 20 | 83,650 | 85,600 | 106,690 | 107,610 | 119,740 |
| Nov. |  | 82,190 | 83,320 | 121,360 | 65,300 | 160,320 |
|  | 17 | 37,320 | 39,880 | 94,270 | 39,880 | 159,360 |
| Dec. |  | 13,130 | 15,650 | 11,370 | 12,510 | 68,960 |
|  | 15 | 6,340 | 5,600 | 9,540 | 5,070 | 11,460 |

Table 37
QUARTERLY CHEMICAL ANALYSES

| Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nitrogen } \\ \text { as } \mathrm{NO}_{2} \\ \mathrm{mg} / 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C1}^{-} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4}= \\ & \mathrm{mg}{ }_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mn} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Zn} \\ \mathrm{mg} / 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \\ \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cr}^{+6} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pb} \\ \mathrm{mg} / 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hg} \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 6, 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Lewis Access | $<0.001$ | 19.0 | 33.0 | $<0.05$ | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 2.0 |
| 2. Upstream | <0.001 | 22.5 | 32.0 | <0.05 | $<0.01$ | 0.03 | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | 2.0 |
| 3. Downstream | $<0.001$ | 46.0 | 28.0 | <0.05 | $<0.01$ | 0.02 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 2.2 |
| 4. Comp Farm | <0.001 | 44.0 | 24.5 | $<0.05$ | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 1.1 |
| 5. Discharge | 0.005 | 65.5 | 23.0 | <0.05 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 1.1 |
| July 28, 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Lewis Access | 0.02 | 18.5 | 46.0 | 0.20 | 0.015 | 0.085 | $<0.01$ | 0.019 | 0.2 |
| 2. Upstream | 0.02 | 18.0 | 49.0 | 0.15 | 0.015 | 0.080 | $<0.01$ | 0.017 | 0.1 |
| 3. Downstream | 0.02 | 31.5 | 425.0 | 0.21 | 0.015 | 0.060 | $<0.01$ | 0.019 | <0.1 |
| 4. Comp Farm | 0.02 | 17.5 | 58.0 | 0.16 | 0.012 | 0.075 | $<0.01$ | 0.015 | <0.1 |
| 5. Discharge | 0.02 | 50.5 | 660.0 | 0.15 | 0.015 | 0.085 | <0.01 | 0.021 | <0.1 |
| October 20, 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Lewis Access | 0.04 | 30.0 | 66.7 | 0.25 | <0.010 | 0.124 | 0.055 | <0.01 | --- |
| 2. Upstream | 0.06 | 31.1 | 75.5 | 0.27 | 0.014 | 0.110 | 0.023 | <0.01 | --- |
| 3. Downstream | 0.08 | 37.0 | 55.0 | 0.27 | 0.013 | 0.084 | 0.025 | <0.01 | --- |
| 4. Comp Farm | 1.84 | 17.8 | 43.3 | 0.31 | 0.012 | 0.082 | 0.014 | $<0.01$ | --- |
| 5. Discharge | 0.50 | 52.2 | 167.0 | 0.36 | 0.012 | 0.089 | 0.014 | <0.01 | --- |

Table 38
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
May 5-6, 1975

| Station | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} .0 . \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alka } \\ \text { as } \\ \text { Phth. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nity } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | pH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Water } \\ \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. } .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lewis Access | 1800 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 0 | --- | --- | 17.4 | 28 |
|  | 2115 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 0 | 192 | --- | 16.2 | 17 |
|  | 0100 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 0 | 186 | --- | 15.2 | 14 |
|  | 0345 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 0 | 176 | --- | 15.0 | 11 |
|  | 0735 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 0 | 180 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 12 |
|  | 1045 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 0 | 196 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 18 |
|  | 1400 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 0 | 184 | 7.9 | 16.1 | 20 |
|  | 1715 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 192 | 8.0 | 16.4 | 18 |
| 2. DAEC Upstream | 1840 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 0 | --- | --- | 16.8 | 28 |
|  | $2140$ | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 | 162 | --- | 16.2 | 17 |
|  | 0130 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 0 | 174 | --- | 14.6 | 14 |
|  | 0415 | 8.5 | 10.6 | 0 | 184 | --- | 14.0 | 11 |
|  | 0800 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 180 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 12 |
|  | 1115 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 0 | 190 | 8.0 | 15.5 | 18 |
|  | 1420 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 0 | 184 | 8.0 | 16.1 | 20 |
|  | 1735 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 182 | 8.0 | 16.2 | 18 |
| 3. DAEC Downstream | 1850 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 0 | --- | --- | 17.2 | 28 |
|  | $2145$ | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 | 180 | --- | 16.4 | 17 |
|  | 0135 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 0 | 174 | --- | 14.8 | 14 |
|  | 0420 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 0 | 186 | --- | 14.4 | 11 |
|  | 0805 | 8.5 | 5.3 | 0 | 182 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 12 |
|  | 1125 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 180 | 7.9 | 16.0 | 18 |
|  | 1430 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 0 | 186 | 8.0 | 16.0 | 20 |
|  | 1740 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 0 | 178 | 8.2 | 16.8 | 18 |

Table 38 (con't)
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
May 5-6, 1975

| Station | Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { D.O. } \\ \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{mg} \not{ }_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alka } \\ \text { as } \\ \text { Phth. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aity } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \text { Tota1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | pH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. John Comp Farm | 1920 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 0 | --- | --- | 16.8 | 28 |
|  | 2215 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0 | 172 | --- | 16.4 | 17 |
|  | 0200 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 0 | 166 | --- | 15.2 | 14 |
|  | 0450 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 0 | 194 | --- | 15.0 | 11 |
|  | 0820 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 0 | 176 | 7.8 | 14.9 | 12 |
|  | 1150 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 0 | 184 | 8.0 | 15.8 | 18 |
|  | 1450 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 0 | 184 | 8.0 | 16.3 | 20 |
|  | 1800 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 0 | 186 | 8.2 | 16.4 | 18 |
| 5. Discharge Canal | 1855 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 0 | --- | --- | 20.4 | 28 |
|  | 2140 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 0 | 184 | - | 19.0 | 17 |
|  | 0130 | 8.3 | 10.6 | 0 | 200 | --- | 16.4 | 14 |
|  | 0415 | 8.3 | 12.3 | 0 | 172 | --- | 16.2 | 11 |
|  | 0805 | 8.8 | 5.3 | 0 | 204 | 8.0 | 17.2 | 12 |
|  | 1130 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 0 | 204 | 8.0 | 18.9 | 18 |
|  | 1430 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 0 | 208 | 8.0 | 18.0 | 20 |
|  | 1740 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 0 | 200 | 8.2 | 18.1 | 18 |

Table 38 (con't)
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
July 28-29, 1976

| Station | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} .0 . \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nity } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | pH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. } \end{array}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lewis Access | 0600 | 7.0 | 0 | 14 | 212 | 8.7 | 25.6 | 18.0 |
|  | 0915 | 8.8 | 0 | 22 | 212 | 8.8 | 26.2 | 24.4 |
|  | 1230 | 11.6 | 0 | 22 | 212 | 8.9 | 27.7 | 35.0 |
|  | 1545 | 14.4 | 0 | 26 | 196 | 9.0 | 29.2 | ---- |
|  | 1945 | 13.2 | 0 | 18 | 172 | 8.8 | 28.6 | 26.0 |
|  | 2300 | 10.3 | 0 | 16 | 170 | 8.8 | 27.2 | 22.5 |
|  | 0215 | 8.7 | 0 | 10 | 164 | 8.6 | 26.2 | 18.0 |
|  | 0515 | 7.0 | 0 | 12 | 164 | 8.8 | 25.3 | 16.0 |
| 2. DAEC Upstream | 0624 | 7.2 | 0 | 14 | 196 | 8.3 | 25.4 | 18.0 |
|  | 0927 | 9.3 | 0 | 20 | 212 | 8.6 | 26.9 | 24.4 |
|  | 1242 | 11.7 | 0 | 24 | 212 | 8.9 | 27.7 | 35.0 |
|  | 1555 | 12.9 | 0 | 26 | 196 | 8.9 | 29.0 | ---- |
|  | 2010 | 12.0 | 0 | 16 | 156 | 8.8 | 28.2 | 26.0 |
|  | 2330 | 10.3 | 0 | 10 | 164 | 8.7 | 27.8 | 22.5 |
|  | 0240 | 8.3 | 0 | 10 | 172 | 8.6 | 26.6 | 18.0 |
|  | 0540 | 7.1 | 0 | 10 | 164 | 8.8 | 25.6 | 16.0 |
| 3. DAEC Downstream | 0630 | 7.5 | 0 | 18 | 208 | 8.6 | 25.5 | 18.0 |
|  | 0935 | 8.4 | 0 | 8 | 204 | 8.5 | 27.0 | $24.4$ |
|  | 1301 | 10.9 | 0 | 14 | 166 | 8.7 | 27.5 | 35.0 |
|  | 1610 | 10.6 | 0 | 24 | 164 | 8.8 | 29.4 | , |
|  | 2020 | 8.8 | 0 | 8 | 110 | 8.5 | 29.1 | 26.0 |
|  | 2340 | 9.0 | 0 | 8 | 120 | 8.5 | 27.4 | 22.5 |
|  | 0250 | 8.5 | 0 | 10 | 166 | 8.6 | 26.1 | 18.0 |
|  | 0550 | 7.0 | 0 | 8 | 164 | 8.7 | 25.0 | 16.0 |

Table 38 (con't)
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
July 28-29, 1975

| Station | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} .0 . \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{mg}{ }_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Alka as Phth. | nity $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ Total | pH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. } \end{array}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. John Comp Farm | 0641 | 8.2 | 0 | 18 | 210 | 8.3 | 25.6 | 18.0 |
|  | 0955 | 10.1 | 0 | 14 | 216 | 8.8 | 26.2 | 24.4 |
|  | 1330 | 12.1 | 0 | 20 | 202 | 8.9 | 28.0 | 35.0 |
|  | 1627 | 12.2 | 0 | 26 | 192 | 8.9 | 28.4 | - |
|  | 2030 | 12.0 | 0 | 14 | 150 | 8.7 | 28.5 | 26.0 |
|  | 2400 | 9.6 | 0 | 10 | 152 | 8.6 | 27.4 | 22.5 |
|  | 0310 | 8.5 | 0 | 10 | 162 | 8.6 | 26.0 | 18.0 |
|  | $0605$ | 7.2 | 0 |  | 164 | 8.8 | 25.6 | 16.0 |
| 5. Discharge Canal | 0635 | --- | - | - | --- | --- | ---- | 18.0 |
|  | 0940 | 8.1 | 18 | 0 | 176 | 7.8 | 28.2 | 24.4 |
|  | 1300 | 8.1 | 12 | 0 | 34 | 7.8 | 28.8 | 35.0 |
|  | 1605 | 8.5 | 0 | 14 | 144 | 8.8 | 29.6 | --- |
|  | 2017 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 0 | 58 | 8.1 | 28.6 | 26.0 |
|  | 2335 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 0 | 70 | 8.1 | 27.4 | 22.5 |
|  | 0255 | . | -- |  | -- | 8. | , | 18.0 |
|  | 0555 | -- | -- | - | -- | --- | ---- | 16.0 |

Table 38 (con't)
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
October 20-21, 1975

| Station | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. } 0 . \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{mg} \text { } 11 \end{aligned}$ | A1ka as Phth. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nity } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | pH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. } \end{array}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Lewis Access | 0600 | 10.4 | 0 | 10 | 138 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 8.0 |
|  | 0915 | 12.0 | 0 | 18 | 136 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 13.4 |
|  | 1230 | 14.5 | 0 | 20 | 148 | 8.8 | 12.9 | 20.0 |
|  | 1545 | 15.9 | 0 | 26 | 134 | 9.0 | 13.4 | 21.8 |
|  | 2050 | 15.5 | 0 | 22 | 138 | 8.8 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
|  | 2235 | 13.6 | 0 | 22 | 128 | 8.9 | 12.2 | 8.5 |
|  | 0205 | 11.9 | 0 | 14 | 132 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 6.0 |
|  | 0500 | 11.0 | 0 | 12 | 132 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 7.0 |
| 2. DAEC Upstream | 0630 | 10.7 | 0 | 12 | 146 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
|  | 0950 | 11.3 | 0 | 20 | 148 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 13.4 |
|  | 1250 | 13.7 | 0 | 24 | 148 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 20.0 |
|  | 1605 | 14.5 | 0 | 24 | 148 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 21.8 |
|  | 2005 | 15.0 | 0 | 22 | 136 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
|  | 2300 | 12.5 | 0 | 20 | 130 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 8.5 |
|  | 0230 | 11.3 | 0 | 16 | 128 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 6.0 |
|  | 0530 | 10.1 | 0 | 12 | 120 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 7.0 |
| 3. DAEC Downstream | 0640 | 9.1 | 0 | 2 | 102 | 8.3 | 13.2 | 8.0 |
|  | 1000 | 9.4 | 0 | 8 | 104 | 8.4 | 15.4 | 13.4 |
|  | 1300 | 9.8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 8.5 | 17.8 | 20.0 |
|  | 1610 | 8.4 | 0 | 10 | 90 | 8.7 | 18.5 | 21.8 |
|  | 2015 | 10.4 | 0 | 10 | 98 | 8.4 | 16.4 | 13.5 |
|  | 2315 | 9.8 | 0 | 8 | 94 | 8.5 | 15.7 | 8.5 |
|  | 0235 | 9.0 | 1.8 | 0 | 94 | 8.2 | 15.1 | 6.0 |
|  | 0535 | 9.4 | 1.8 | 0 | 92 | 8.1 | 14.2 | 7.0 |

Table 38 (con't)
TWENTY-FOUR HOUR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
October 20-21, 1975

| Station |  |  | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D. } 0 . \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{mg} / 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { qity } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | pH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Water } \\ \text { Temp. }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Air } \\ \text { Temp. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | John Comp | Farm | 0710 | 9.8 | 0 | 12 | 146 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.0 |
|  |  |  | 1030 | 12.9 | 0 | 20 | 148 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 13.4 |
|  |  |  | 1320 | 13.8 | 0 | 24 | 156 | 9.0 | 13.7 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  | 1635 | 10.7 | 0 | 28 | 134 | 9.1 | 14.4 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  | 1935 | 16.3 | 0 | 26 | 134 | 9.0 | 12.8 | 13.5 |
|  |  |  | 2335 | 12.7 | 0 | 10 | 134 | 8.7 | 11.8 | 8.5 |
|  |  |  | 0305 | 8.8 | 0 | 10 | 126 | 8.5 | 11.2 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  | 0600 | 9.6 | 0 | 8 | 122 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 7.0 |
| 5. | Discharge | Canal | 0645 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 0 | 66 | 8.1 | 17.0 | 8.0 |
|  |  |  | 1010 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 0 | 60 | 8.1 | 20.2 | 13.4 |
|  |  |  | 1300 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 0 | 56 | 8.0 | 23.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  | 1610 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 0 | 56 | 8.1 | 23.5 | 21.8 |
|  |  |  | 2015 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 0 | 62 | 6.4 | 20.6 | 13.5 |
|  |  |  | 2315 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 0 | 62 | 6.2 | 19.6 | 8.5 |
|  |  |  | 0235 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 0 | 58 | 6.3 | 19.0 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  | 0535 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 0 | 62 | 6.2 | 18.6 | 7.0 |

Table 39
Fishery Survey - Species Composition
June 5-6, 1975


Table 39 ( $\operatorname{con}^{\gamma} t$ )
Fishery Survey - Species Composition July 22-24, 1975

| Species | ABOVE DAEC |  |  | BELOW DAEC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NETS |  | SEINES | NETS | SEINES |
|  | (6 Net | Days) | (3 Hauls) | (8 Net Days) | (3 Hauls) |
|  | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weight } \\ \text { (lbs) } \end{array}$ | Number | NumberWeight <br> (1bs) | Number |
| Carpsucker | 3 | 2.31 | 120 | 10.31 | 14 |
| Channe1 Catfish | 5 | 0.56 | - | 15428.25 | -- |
| Flathead Catfish | - | - | - | $1 \quad 0.75$ | -- |
| Black Crappie | 1 | 0.25 | - | $3 \quad 0.50$ | -- |
| Northern Redhorse | 1 | 1.13 | 9 | $3 \quad 3.75$ | 1 |
| Bigmouth Shiner | - | - | 53 | - - | 91 |
| Bluntnose Minnow | - | - | 23 | - - | -- |
| Gizzard Shad | - | - | 7 | - - | -- |
| Spotfin Shiner | - | - | 3 | - - | -- |
| Northern Pike | - | - | - | - - | 1 |

Table 39 (con't)
Fishery Survey - Species Composition
October 28, 1975

| Species | ABOVE DAEC <br> SHOCKING |  | BELOW DAEC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SHOCKING |  |
|  | (25 minutes) |  | (25 minutes) |  |
|  | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \text { (lbs) } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weight } \\ \text { (lbs) } \end{array}$ |
| Carp | 10 | 22.0 | 13 | 24.31 |
| Carpsucker | 12 | 9.25 | 11 | 9.31 |
| Channel Catfish | 5 | 9.38 | 4 | 4.56 |
| Northern Redhorse | - | - | 2 | 3.25 |

Table 40
Fish Pesticide Analysis
Spring-June 1975

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Location } \\ \text { Above/Below } \\ \text { Site } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Length (in) | Weight <br> (grams) | PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN PARTS PER BILLION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Pp 'DDD | pp'DDE | Aldrin | Dieldrin | Heptach1or | Lindane | B-BHC |
| Carpsucker | Above | 12.0 | 411.2 | 82.2 | 64.2 | 19.2 | --- | 3.3 | --- | ---- |
| Carpsucker | Above | 10.5 | 251.0 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 1.9 | --- | 1.0 | --- | ---- |
| Largemouth Buffalo | Above | 14.5 | 714.5 | 33.5 | 29.0 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 | ---- |
| Largemouth Buffalo | Above | 15.0 | 723.4 | 15.1 | 32.5 | 1.8 | --- | 1.3 | 1.9 | 24.0 |
| Carp | Below | 12.0 | 472.0 | ---- | ---- | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | ---- |
| Northern Redhorse | Below | 11.5 | 272.2 | 13.9 | 33.3 | 2.5 | --- | 4.0 | --- | ---- |
| Largemouth Buffalo | Below | 15.5 | 965.2 | 77.2 | 56.1 | --- | --- | 3.6 | --- | ---- |
| Largemouth Buffalo | Below | 17.0 | 1357.6 | 42.9 | 29.4 | 6.4 | - | 4.2 | --- | ---- |

Table 40 (con't)
Fish Pesticides Analysis
Summer-July 1975

| Species | Location(Above/BelowSite | Length <br> (in) | Weight (grams) | PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN PART PER BILLION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | pp ${ }^{\prime}$ DDT | pp ' ${ }^{\text {d }}$ D | pp ' ${ }^{\text {d }}$ E | A1drin | Dieldrin | Heptachlor | Heptachlor Epoxide |
| Channel Catfish | Above | 8.5 | 67.8 | 4.8 | --- | 8.8 | --- | 2.4 | --- | 2.7 |
| Channe1 Catfish | Above | 9.5 | 86.1 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 11.6 | 2.0 | 14.4 | 1.0 | 10.0 |
| Carpsucker | Above | 10.5 | 330.0 | 10.7 | --- | 9.3 | --- | 11.1 | Trace | 5.5 |
| Carpsucker | Above | 11.0 | 368.1 | 48.0 | --- | 34.0 | 6.8 | 26.0 | 2.0 | 19.2 |
| Northern Pike | Above | 27.0 | 1460.0 | 36.0 | 96.0 | 65.6 | --- | 53.2 | --- | 14.9 |
| Channel Catfish | Below | 19.0 | 1408.0 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 57.0 | 14.7 | 147.4 | 6.7 | 53.6 |
| Channel Catfish | Below | 9.5 | 124.0 | 13.3 | --- | 11.1 | 2.0 | - | 1.8 | 16.1 |
| Carpsucker | Below | 10.0 | 213.0 | 73.7 | - | 33.2 | 2.6 | - | 4.0 | -- |
| Carpsucker | Below | 10.0 | 203.3 | 24.7 | 6.7 | 33.3 | --- | 44.0 | 5.3 | -- |

Table 40 (con't)
Fish Pesticide Analysis
Fal1-October 1975

| Species | Location (Above/Below Site | Length(in) | Weight (grams) | PESTICIDE RESIDUES <br> IN PARTS PER BILLION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pp}^{\prime} \mathrm{DDD}$ | $\mathrm{pp}^{\prime} \mathrm{DDE}$ | Aldrin |
| Carp | Above | 15.0 | 794.3 | ---- | 102.0 | --- |
| Carpsucker | Above | 12.0 | 418.1 | ---- | 25.3 | 0.8 |
| Carp | Below | 13.5 | 536.8 | --- | 77.9 | 0.6 |
| Carpsucker | Below | 12.0 | 415.6 | --- | 4.8 | 0.6 |
| Northern Redhorse | Below | 13.0 | 443.5 | 39.5 | 14.7 | 0.6 |

Table 41
Summer Periphyton Study June 19-July 3, 1975

| Upstream DAEC <br> Species | Downstream DAEC <br> Species |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anacystis | Anacystis |
| Cyclotella | Cyclotella |
| Gyrosigma | Gomphonema |
| Melosira | Gyrosigma |
| Navicula | Melosira |
| Nitzschia | Navicula |
| Oocystis | Nitzschia |
| Scenedesmus | Oocystis <br> Scenedesmus <br> Scenedesmus <br> Biomass |
| Ash-free weight | Biomass |
| 0.0091 gms/area slide | Ash-free weight |
|  |  |

Fall Periphyton Studies
October 27-December 1, 1975

| Upstream DAEC <br> Species | Downstream DAEC <br> Species |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Ankistrodesmus | Melosira |
| Cosmarium | Microcystis |
| Cymbella | Navicula |
| Melosira | Nitzschia |
| Microcystis | Protozoa (ciliate unidentified) |
| Navicula | Tabellaria |
| Nitzschia | Unidentified filamentous, green, |
| Phormidium | probably Batrachospermum (in re- |
| Protozoa (ciliate, unidentified) | productive state) |
| Vorticella |  |
|  |  |
| Biomass | Biomass |
| Ash-free weight | Ash-free weight |
| 0.004 gms/area slide | 0.004 gms/area slide |
|  |  |

Table 42
Impingement Study November 1975

| Species | Length (mm) | Weight (gms) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel Catfish | 120 | 14 |
| " " | 110 | 10 |
| " " | 115 | 11 |
| " " | 85 | 5 |
| " " | 80 | 4 |
| " " | 70 | 4 |
| " " | 75 | 4 |
| " " | 70 | 4 |
| " " | 80 | 5 |
| " " | 80 | 5 |
| " " | 70 | 4 |
| " " | 60 | 3 |
| " " | 60 | 3 |
| " " | 60 | 3 |
| " " | 70 | 4 |
| " " | 60 | 2.5 |
| " " | 60 | 2.5 |
| " " | 60 | 2.5 |
| " " | 65 | 3 |
| " " | 65 | 3 |
| " " | 65 | 2.5 |
| " " | 60 | 2 |
| " " | 60 | 2 |
| " " | 60 | 2 |
| " " | 75 | 4 |
| " | 65 | 3 |
| " " | 50 | 2 |
| White Crappie | 90 | 10 |
| White Sucker | 100 | 10 |
| Dace | 35 | 1 |
| Notropis (Topminnow) | 65 | 3 |
| Notropis | 40 | 1 |
| Notropis | 40 | 1 |

Table 43
Entrainment Studies Duane Arnold Energy Center

June 19, 1975
River Discharge $-10,860 \mathrm{cfs} \quad * \%$ of river flow entering plant -0.22

Plankton at Intake
Units/100 ml
Blue-green algae
Oscillatoria 31,100 (trichomes)
Diatoms
Cyclotella 144,000 (organisms)
Melosira 16,000 (filaments)
Nitzschia 160,000 (organisms)
Navicula 16,000 (organisms)
Stephanodiscus 16,000 (organisms)
Unidentified 32,000 (organisms)
Flage11ates
Unidentified 288,000 (organisms)
Other
Ciliates 32,000 (organisms)
**Crustaceans
None
**Rotifera
None
**Larval fish None
*Assuming intake volume of 24.5 cfs .
**None collected by plankton net in the raw unconcentrated sample.

Table 43 (con't)
Entrainment Studies Duane Arnold Energy Center
July 10, 1975
River Discharge - 4,850 cfs *\% of river flow entering plant - 0.5
Organisms/10 1iters
Plankton at Intake
Crustaceans
Copepods ..... 3
Cladocerans ..... 5
Rotifera ..... 2
Larval fish None
*Assuming intake volume of 24.5 cfs .

# Table 43 (con't) <br> Entrainment Studies <br> Duane Arnold Energy Center 

November 25, 1975
River Discharge $-1,200$ cfs $\quad * \%$ of river flow entering plant -2.0
Plankton at Intake Organisms/10 1iters

## Crustaceans

Copepods 2
Cladocerans 2
Rotifera 1
Larval fish None
*Assuming intake volume of 24.5 cfs .

Table 44
Mean and Range Values of Water Quality Parameters Determined for Each of the Five Sampling Sites in the Cedar River Above and Below DAEC for A11 Data Collected From January 1975 to December 1975.

| Parameter |  | Sta. 1 | Sta. 2 | Sta. | Sta. | Discharge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 44 (continued)

| Parameter |  | Sta. 1 | Sta. 2 | Sta. | Sta. | Discharge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canal |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 44 (continued)

| Parameter | Sta. 1 | Sta. 2 | Sta. |  | Sta. 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 45

Summary of One-Way ANOVA, Linear Contrast and Student-Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparisons On Water Quality Parameters at the Four Sampling Sites in the Cedar River Above (Stations 1 and 2) and Below (Stations 3 and 4) DAEC For Data Collected From January 1975 to December 1975.

| Paraneter L | One-Way ANOVA |  | Linear Contrast | Student-Newman Keu1s Multiple Comparison (Only those comparisons of $\mathrm{P}-0.05$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | P | Average of Sta. 1 \& 2 against |  |
|  | Among Locations | (Significant at $\mathrm{P}-0.05$ ) | average of St. $3 \& 4$ F P (significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) |  |
| Temperature | 10.72 | <. 01 | $11.17<.01$ | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Tot. Solids | 6.22 | $<.01$ | $9.13<.01$ | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Tot. Vol. Sol. | . 5.57 | $<.01$ | $9.30<.01$ | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Tot. Fixed Sol. | 1. 5.52 | $<.01$ | $7.82<.01$ | Sta. 1, 2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Tot. Dis. Sol. | . 7.32 | <. 01 | $8.00<.01$ | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Dis. Fixed Sol. | 1. 6.75 | <. 01 | 5.87 . 05 | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Dis. Vo1. Sol. | . 6.34 | $<.01$ | 12.38 <.01 | Sta. 1, 2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Dis. Oxygen | 4.30 | . 01 | 5.92 . 05 | Sta. 3 <Sta. 1,2, 4 |
| Carbon Dioxide |  | . S. | 4.63 . 05 | N.S. |
| P-Alkalinity | 4.65 | $<.01$ | 6.19 .01 | Sta. $3<$ Sta. 1,2, 4 |
| pH | 5.41 | <. 01 | N.S. | Sta. $3<$ Sta. 1,2, 4 |
| Tot. Hardness | 4.42 | . 01 | 5.54 .05 | Sta. 1, 2, 4 <Sta. 3 |
| Calcium Hard. | 5.16 | $<.01$ | 5.59 .05 | Sta. 1,2, $4<$ Sta. 3 |
| Iron |  | . S. | 4.11 .05 | Sta. 1, 2, 4 <Sta. 3 |
| Biochem Oxygen Demand |  |  |  | Sta. 4 Sta. $<1,2,3$; |
|  | 2.90 | . 05 | N.S. | Sta. 1,2, 4 <Sta. 3 |

Table 45 (continued)
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