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## ABSTRACT

Shovelnose sturgeon are in high demand as a commercial food fish in the upper Mississippi River. Since little was known about harvest potential and suitability of existing regulations, investigations were conducted to survey commercial harvest, study life history of the species and evaluate the present system for reporting catch statistics. Over 5,204 commercially harvested fish were examined and 4,056 were captured by experimental netting. Age and size distribution of commercial harvest, age and growth, length-weight relationships, maturity, fecundity, ration, movement and population estimates were determined. Exploitation rates determined from tag returns varied from $1.2 \%$ to $42.9 \%$ in the various pools, but total annual mortality from age structure was estimated at nearly $60 \%$. Although present harvest rates do not demand restricted harvests, a size limit may be justified on the basis of differential growth rates of immature us mature fish, market demand for larger fish and greater weight loss among smaller fish during processing. Personal contacts with fishermen implementation of a revised system of collecting catch statistics requiring monthly reporting may have improved accuracy of catch statistics, but their reliability remains a chronic problem.

## INTRODUCTION

Shovelnose sturgeon, Scaphirynchus platorynchus, is the smallest and most numerous sturgeon species found in Iowa. Other cormon colloquial names include sand sturgeon, hackleback, switchtail and flathead sturgeon. Harlan and Speaker (1951) reported they are common, but not abundant, throughout the Mississippi, Missouri and the lower reaches of the Iowa, Cedar and Des Moines Rivers. Locally, they are quite valuable as a food fish in the upper Mississippi River basin with an annual harvest by Iowa commercial fishermen that ranges up to 60,000 lbs. At current wholesale market prices the value of the catch exceeds $\$ 20,000$. Sturgeon are sold primarily as a whole smoked product and demand is seldom satisfied by seasonal availability.

Little was known about the commercial harvest and life history of this species. By and large, harvest regulations are without sound biological basis. The Fisheries Section of the Iowa Conservation Commission conducted a three year study of shovelnose sturgeon in the Mississippi River bordering Iowa during the period 1 July, 1971-30 June, 1974, in cooperation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (PL:88-309). Objectives of the study were to evaluate commercial exploitation of shovelnose sturgeon, examine the reporting system of catch statistics to improve their accuracy and reliability and complete a detailed life history study of shovelnose sturgeon.

## COMMERCIAL FISHING AND CATCH STATISTICS

## history of shovelnose sturgeon utilization

Historically, shovelnose sturgeon were not considered a desirable commercial fish in the upper Mississippi River because of their small size until quite recently. They were originally taken frequently along with lake sturgeon for eggs. Carlander (1954) noted shovelnose sturgeon were considered a nuisance when lake sturgeon were abundant and described a personal interview with Ed Saylor of Guttenberg, who as a boy in about 1895, helped his father dump large numbers of shovelnose sturgeon, head down on sand bars. Coker in "Studies of Common Fishes of the Mississippi River at Keokuk" (Barnickol and Starrett, 1951) wrote that this species was once a nuisance and fishermen destroyed them when taken in nets.

John Putman, Sr., a retired commercial fisherman in Bellevue, told the author he had clear boyhood memories in his teens (1900-1905) of seeing vast

The project number in the subscript on page 1 should be changed to $2-156-\mathrm{R}$.
$1_{\text {Study }}$ was partially financed by Project $4-11-R$; Commercial Fisheries Research and Development Act (PL 88:309) administered by National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.
started smoking sturgeon shortly after this time, but their market did not become popular until the late 1920-30's. Before sturgeon were smoked, they sold for $7 \phi$ whole in Clinton and Davenport and the faw eggs brought $\$ 2.50$ per lbs.

Sturgeon eggs are seldom taken in the modern era. In 1973, only a single market (Davenport Fish Co. of Davenport, Iowa) was known to buy eggs. They reported handling only about 50 lbs of new eggs annually ranging from $75 \phi$ to $\$ 1.00$ per lbs.

Egg processing follows a quite simple procedure. After washing in salt water and pressing through a $1 / 8$ inch screen to remove fat and connective tissue the eggs are bagged in cheese cloth and held under refrigeration for resale to a New York firm at $\$ 4.39$ per lbs. Finished caviar reportedly retails for about $\$ 15.00$ per lbs. Davenport Fish Company did not buy eggs in 1973, apparently a market for this product no longer exists in Iowa.

## COMMERCIAL HARVEST METHODS

Sturgeon are commercially captured with four basic types of commercial fishing gear. Helms (1970) reported the harvest in Iowa from 1960-69 was taken by $44.7 \%$ entrapment, $31.1 \%$ entanglement, $18.1 \%$ encircling, and $2.6 \%$ angling gear. Because of the numerous sharp spiny projections on the body, sturgeon are particularly vulnerable to capture by entanglement devices (Starrett and Barnicol, 1955). As a result, much commercial fishing effort for this species is with trammel nets.

A popular and effective fishing method during May and June is "dead setting" trammel nets below navigation dams. Nets are anchored with a heavy weight near the dam ( 900 ft is the minimum legal distance) and trailed downstream parallel with the current near the river bottom. The downstream end is usually bouyed with plastic jugs. Dimensions of nets fished for sturgeon in this manner are usually 6 ft deep and 100 yds long with the inner web of 2-2 $1 / 2$ inches bar measure, No. 178 or finer twine. Nets are tended each day with three or fewer fished simultaneously. Catches exceeding 300 lbs per net are rare with the average lift nearer 50-75 lbs.

Other methods of fishing trammel nets for sturgeon include setting the nets either parallel or perpendicular to the current in the main channel border during midsummer periods of low flow or by drifting. Nets used for drifting differ from stationary nets in that they are usually much shorter in length, depending largely on size of area to be fished.

Drifting requires current and the bottom cleared of all obstructions and debris. Fishermen using this technique usually choose gravel or sand bottom areas. Most are located in main channel or main channel border habitats and drifted repeatedly once they are cleared.

Drift nets are fished by laying the net perpendicular to the current at the upstream end. The nets are slightly demersal and drag lightly along the bottom as they float with the current. Sometimes nets are attached to a hydrofoil float at each end. The floats, termed "mules" by commercial fishermen, are designed to deflect the current in such a way that it pulls the net downstream


Shovelnose sturgeon are a highly valued food fish in the upper Mississippi River basin.
and keeps it stretched outward. This technique is used most often when stream velocity is insufficient to carry the net. Drifting nets are given constant attendance by fishermen and usually no more than two are fished at once. This method of fishing is currently popular with many commercial fishermen.

Fishing with hoop nets during the spawning season is productive in the upper pools, particularly Pool 9. During late May and early June, hoop nets of $11 / 2$ to 2 inches bar measure are set in the deeper water of the navigation channel and baited with gravid females. The author on one occasion observed a catch of 58 fish from a single net fished in this manner.

Sturgeon harvested by other types of gear appear to be incidental to other species (Helms, 1970).

## COLLECTION OF COMMERCIAL CATCH STATISTICS

The collection of reliable catch statistics is a chronic problem. Commercial fishermen are prone to be poor record keepers. In addition, some are hesitant to report true harvest and are secretive with catch records to protect fishing grounds from competition and various other personal reasons. Generally, misrepresentation of catch statistics are believed to be much below actual harvest. The exact magnitude of false reporting is unknown.

The five states bordering the upper Mississippi River have worked jointly through the auspecies of the UMRCC since the mid-1940's to standardize catch statistics and minimize reporting errors. Although methods of collection still differ somewhat, each state assembles comparable statistics. Illinois fishermen are contacted by department personnel at the end of each year and catch information recorded anonamously. This instills confidence to the fisherman that records won't be traced in a tax audit. Wisconsin enforces monthly reporting under penalty of fines.

Until 1972, Iowa required an annual report enforced by the rule of withholding licenses in ensuing years. Iowa changed in 1972 to a monthly postcard reporting system. Use of the simplified card forms and monthly reporting were expected to reduce error by forgetful fishermen who were negligent in record keeping. Deliberate misrepresentation of harvest, however, continues to be a major problem and is suspected to be in the magnitude of 10 to $25 \% 10 w e r$ than actual values. The best that can be hoped for in this system is that the inaccuracies are consistent from year to year and fishery trends can be determined.

## COMMERCIAL CATCH STATISTICS

Harvest figures from the 1890's showed several hundred thousand pounds of shovelnose sturgeon taken annually in the upper Mississippi River. Catch declined steadily until the last few decades (Table 1). Cause of the decline was undoubtedly a direct result of habitat destruction. Manipulation of the river to enhance navigation through a chain of modifications including construction of 4,6 and 9 foot channel depths by channel stabilization and impoundment of the pools restricted sturgeon habitat to small areas imnediately downstream from navigation dams. Sturgeon harvest exceeded $100,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ only two years (1956 and 1958) since construction of navigation dams.

Table 1. Shovelnose sturgeon harvest in pounds from the upper Mississippi River.

| Year | Pounds harvested |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1894 | 423,000 |
| 1899 | 383,000 |
| 1922 | 119,000 |
| 1931 | 57,000 |
| $1947-50$ | $12,000-19,000$ |
| $1950-59$ | $9,000-120,000$ |
| $1960-69$ | $18,000-37,000$ |
| $1970-73$ | $36,000-48,000$ |

Annual harvest for the past 15 years ranged from 18,385 to $48,096 \mathrm{lbs}$ with a mean of 31,426 . Nearly $75 \%$ was caught in the 313 mile section of river bordering Iowa and half ( $14,921 \mathrm{lbs}$ ) was reported by Iowa fishermen. Of the 11 pools bordering Iowa, Pools 9, 12 and 17 are generally the most productive, but harvest from individual pools fluctuates (Table 2).

## value of the sturgeon harvest

Sturgeon values have more than doubled in the past 20 years. Exvessel values for sturgeon in Iowa has risen from $15 \phi$ in 1954 to $41 \phi$ in 1973. Total value of the lowa harvest ranged from $\$ 1,049$ in 1962 to $\$ 9,960$ in 1958. Harvest during the project was valued at $\$ 8,535$ in 1971, $\$ 5,814$ in 1972 and $\$ 6,241$ in 1973. Value for other years are listed in Table 3.

## DISTRIBUTION OF CATCH BY GEAR

The method of harvest was determined from 174 tagged sturgeon reported by commercial fishermen that specified the type of gear used for fishing. Three different types of gear were used with trammel nets used to catch $82 \%$ and hoop nets to catch $18 \%$. Less than $1 \%$ was taken by seining (Table 4). These figures were heavily biased since greater numbers of tagged fish were available for capture in Pool 13 where fishing for sturgeon was primarily by trammel nets. Tagged sturgeon in Pool 9 were all reportedly captured by hoop net. With exception of Pools 17 and 19 where drifted trammel net was most popular, most fish taken by trammel net were by dead setting.

Only 7\% were taken incidentally while fishing for other commercial food fish species, and all except one of these were caught in hoop nets. The author observed sturgeon being taken by setlines with one fisherman known to have caught tagged sturgeon while fishing for catfish near Bellevue. The sturgeon was not kept nor was the tag number reported.

Table 2. Shovelnose sturgeon harvest in pounds from Mississippi River pools bordering Iowa, $1960-73$.


Table 3. Exvessel values of shovelnose sturgeon harvested in Iowa, 1954-73.

| Year | Pounds <br> marketed | Value <br> per <br> pound | Total <br> commercial <br> value |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 15,223 | $\$ .41$ | $\$, 241$ |
| 1972 | 16,612 | .35 | 5,814 |
| 1971 | 25,863 | .33 | 8,535 |
| 1970 | 19,876 | .30 | 5,963 |
| 1969 | 23,139 | .25 | 5,785 |
| 1968 | 1,076 | .25 | 3,769 |
| 1967 | 13,336 | .25 | 3,284 |
| 1966 | 11,756 | .19 | 2,234 |
| 1965 | 9,790 | .24 | 2,350 |
| 1964 | 9,077 | .23 | 2,088 |
| 1963 | 7,384 | .23 | 1,698 |
| 1962 | 5,249 | .20 | 1,050 |
| 1961 | 10,486 | .18 | 1,887 |
| 1960 | 20,777 | .16 | 3,324 |
| 1959 | 13,919 | .18 | 2,505 |
| 1958 | 58,591 | .17 | 9,960 |
| 1957 | 30,194 | .17 | 5,133 |
| 1956 | 29,939 | .17 | 5,090 |
| 1955 | 21,214 | .15 | 4,031 |
| 1954 | 25,342 | 3,801 |  |

Table 4. Method of harvest by pool for 174 tagged sturgeon recaptured by commercial fishing.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Pool |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method of harvest | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | Combined |
| Sturgeon fishermen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trammel net drift |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 32 |  | 9 | 44 |
| Percent |  |  | 12.5 |  | 1.1 |  |  | 20.0 | 97.0 |  | 90.0 | 25.3 |
| Trammel net set |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  | 5 | 6 | 83 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 98 |
|  |  |  | 62.5 | 66.7 | 94.3 |  |  | 80.0 |  |  |  | 56.3 |
| Hoop net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 15 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | 88.2 | 100.0 |  | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.5 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 15 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 84 |  |  | 5 | 32 |  | 9 | 162 |
|  | 88.2 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 95.5 |  |  | 100.0 | 97.0 |  | 90.0 | 93.1 |
| Other fishermen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoop net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 11 |
|  | 11.8 |  | 25.0 |  | 4.5 | 50.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 10.0 | 6.3 |
| Seine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  | . 6 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 12 |
|  | 11.8 |  | 25.0 |  | 4.5 | 100.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 10.0 | 6.9 |
| Total number | 17 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 88 | 2 |  | 5 | 33 |  | 10 | 174 |

Sport fishing plays a minor roll in sturgeon harvest. Three tagged fish were reported from Pool 9 and two from Pool 11. A11 five were caught in tailwaters from sport fishing barges.

In a previous study by Helms (1970), catch of sturgeon by gear was tabulated from commercial harvest statistics. Catch by gear in Iowa from 196669 were as follows: entrapment, $45 \%$; entanglement, $31 \%$; encompassment, $18 \%$; and setlines, $3 \%$. Gear type was not specified for $4 \%$ of the catch.

## SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE CATCH

Seasonal distribution of commercial harvest was calculated from 211 returned tags and results were similar to that determined from catch statistics for the period 1966-69 (Helms, 1970). The catch statistics showed peak harvest occurred in May and June with reduced harvest continuing through October. A preponderance of the tags were returned in June. Lower numbers of tags were returned in May which was attributed to high water conditions that month during the study. Few sturgeon were caught during winter. Table 5 lists the seasonal catch of sturgeon by fishermen and the monthly distribution of recaptured tagged fish.

Table 5. Monthly distribution of the comercial shovelnose sturgeon harvest in Iowa.

|  | Commercial catch <br> distributed <br> in percent | Catch of tagged <br> sturgeon <br> in percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | .1 | .5 |
| February | 1.7 | .5 |
| March | 3.6 | 1.0 |
| Apri1 | 10.3 | 16.6 |
| May | 21.5 | 29.4 |
| June | 21.6 | 13.7 |
| July | 11.6 | 15.2 |
| August | 9.9 | 6.6 |
| September | 8.0 | 15.6 |
| October | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| November | .4 |  |
| December |  |  |

## SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF THE COMMERCIAL CATCH

Samples totaling 5, 204 commercially harvested shove1nose sturgeon from six pools were examined for length and weight distribution. All fish were measured to the nearest . 5 inch and subsamples of fish were individually weighed.

Length frequency distributions differed somewhat between pools. Size of Pool 9 fish was affected by both size limits and method of take. Some fish examined at the Lansing Fisheries were taken by Wisconsin fishermen which were restricted by a 25 inch minimum size limit. The practice of taking spawning fish with hoop nets in this pool also increased the average size of fish caught.

Sturgeon examined from Pool 12 were also larger than average. Samples from this pool were wholly from one fisherman that dead set trammel nets in late summer adjacent to the navigation channel.

Fish measuring 20-24 inches FL and weighing 1-2 lbs dominated the catch contributing about $60 \%$ in both weight and number (Tab1e 6). Few fish $<18$ inches were kept and they contributed only $1.5 \%$ to the catch weight.

## AGE DISTRIBUTION OF THE COMMERCIAL CATCH

Age distribution of 2,045 shovelnose sturgeon from six pools was determined as the product of the age ratio of subsamples within each length intervals and the total number of fish measured. Sample size ranged from 1,014 fish in Pool 9 to 72 fish in Pool 20. Age distribution was similar in all pools with the exception of Pool 9, where the youngest fish in the catch was age IV and all successive age groups through XIII were represented. Age groups II-VIII were represented in samples from all other pools, but two year old fish were proportionately more abundant in the samples from Pools 11 and 17 (Table 7).

Mortality and survival rate of shovelnose sturgeon was calculated according to Rounsefell and Everhart (1958). The age at which all fish in the population entered the fishery was 5 in Pool 9 and 4 in other pools. Annual mortality ( r ) was nearly $60 \%$ in all pools, with the compliment survival rate ( $\mathrm{S}=1-\mathrm{r}$ ) estimated at $40 \%$. Both values in addition to instantaneous mortality rate ( $\Delta$ ) are listed by pool in Table 8.

## EXPLOITATION RATE OF THE COMMERCIAL FISHERY

Marked fish recovered by commercial fishermen were used to determine exploitation rate. During the study, 3,271 fish were marked by tagging and 183 or $5.6 \%$ were recovered. Since some fish measured 11 inches FL when tagged and those under 18 inches were not usually retained in the commercial catch, small fish were excluded. The total number of fish available to commercial harvest was 1,851 and of this number, 52 or $8.2 \%$ were recaptured by commercial fishermen.

Tag loss was a serious problem among fish tagged during the first year, and only 17 or $2.1 \%$ of the 777 fish were recovered (Table 9). In 1972 and 1973 loss of tags was reduced substantially. Most tagging was conducted during or slightly after the major fishing season for sturgeon and the recovery of tags during the first year does not represent full effort. Therefore, first year recoveries resulting from 1972 and 1973 tagging are comparable but do not

Table 6. Length and weight distribution of commercially harvested shovelnose sturgeon from six Mississippi River pools.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ined |
| (FL) | (1bs) | N | Wgt | N | Wgt | N | Wgt | N | Wgt | N | Wgt | N | Wgt | N | Wgt |
| < 18 |  |  |  | 18.5 | 7.3 | . 6 | . 2 | 1.4 | . 6 | 3.4 | 1.6 |  |  | 3.9 | 1.5 |
| 18-19 | . 83 | . 4 | . 9 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 2.8 |
| 19-20 | . 97 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 16.9 | 11.1 | 19.6 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 6.9 |
| 20-21 | 1.16 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 4.9 | 21.5 | 16.8 | 18.9 | 15.7 | 30.5 | 26.3 | 16.4 | 12.2 |
| 21-22 | 1.38 | 18.6 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 18.5 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 17.1 | 15.1 |
| 22-23 | 1.62 | 20.4 | 18.2 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 16.3 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 14.2 | 16.3 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 15.6 |
| 23-24 | 1.88 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 14.0 | 7.3 | 10.2 | 12.2 | 14.8 |
| 24-25 | 2.18 | 13.5 | 16.2 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 14.8 | 17.2 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 11.4 |
| 25-26 | 2.51 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 8.8 |
| 26-27 | 2.87 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 3.9 |  |  | 3.0 | 5.5 |
| 27-28 | 3.27 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.1 | . 4 | . 9 |  |  | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| 28-29 | 3.71 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 3.1 | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  | . 7 | 1.6 |
| 29-30 | 4.19 | . 7 | 1.6 |  |  | . 9 | 2.0 | . 5 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  | . 4 | . 9 |
| > 30 |  | . 3 | . 8 |  |  |  |  | . 3 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | . 1 | . 3 |
| Sample size |  | 1,058 |  | 162 |  | 337 |  | 3,295 |  | 270 |  | 82 |  | 5,204 |  |

Table 9. Annual rate of recovery by commercial fishing for 1,851 tagged shovelnose sturgeon in the Mississippi River during 1971-74.

| Pool | Year | Recaptured |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number <br> tagged | 1971 |  | 1972 |  | 1973 |  | Combined |  |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 9 | 1971 | 93 |  |  | 2 | 2.2 |  |  | 2 | 2.2 |
|  | 1972 | 24 |  |  | 4 | 16.7 | 3 | 12.5 | 7 | 29.2 |
|  | 1973 | 49 |  |  | 7 | 14.3 |  |  | 7 | 14.3 |
| 10 | 1972 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1971 | 83 | 1 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.2 |
|  | 1972 | 7 |  |  | 3 | 42.9 |  |  | 3 | 42.9 |
|  | 1973 | 83 | 2 | 2.4 | 2 | 2.4 |  |  | 4 | 4.8 |
| 13 | 1971 | 281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1972 | 285 | 19 | 6.7 | 2 | . 7 | 1 | . 4 | 22 | 7.8 |
|  |  | 148 | 10 | 6.8 | 25 | 16.9 | 9 | 6.1 | 44 | 29.8 |
|  | 1973 | 219 | 6 | 2.7 | 8 | 3.7 |  |  | 14 | 6.4 |
| 14 | 1971 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 9.1 |  |  | 1 | 9.1 |
|  | 1973 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1971 | 14 |  |  | 1 | 7.1 |  |  | 1 | 7.1 |
| 17 | 1971 | 25 | 8 | 32.0 | 1 | 4.0 |  |  | 8 | 32.0 |
|  | 1972 | 65 |  |  | 13 | 20.0 | 1 | 1.5 | 14 | 21.5 |
|  | 1973 | 60 | 10 | 16.7 | 2 | 3.3 |  |  | 12 | 20.0 |
| 18 | 1971 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1971 | 61 | 3 | 4.9 | 1 | 1.6 |  |  | 4 | 6.5 |
|  | 1972 | 83 | 6 | 7.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 8 | 9.6 |
|  | 1973 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined | 1971 | 777 | 12 | 1.5 | 5 | . 6 |  |  | 17 | 2.1 |
|  | 1972 | 619 | 35 | 5.7 | 48 | 7.8 | 15 | 2.4 | 98 | 15.8 |
|  | 1973 | 455 | 18 | 4.0 | 19 | 4.2 |  |  | 37 | 8.1 |
| Total |  | 1,851 | 65 | 3.5 | 72 | 3.9 | 15 | . 8 | 152 | 8.2 |

represent a complete fishery. Second year recovery fish tagged in 1972 are more representative of true harvest. The percent recovered for that year was $16.7 \%$, $0 \%, 42.9 \%, 17.6 \%, 20 \%, 0 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ for Pools $9,10,11,13,17$ and 19 . The extreme values of $0 \%$ in Pool 10 and $42.9 \%$ in Pool 11 can be explained by the small number of tagged fish in the population. The recovery of $1.2 \%$ of the tags in Pool 19 resulted from low fishing pressure and/or lack of cooperation in returning tags by fishermen. Fishermen and market contacts in this area of the river indicated fishing pressure for sturgeon was quite low and value was considered accurate. Overall mean exploitation rate was estimated at about $18 \%$ for pools with substantial fishing interest for sturgeon.

## ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF PROCESSING FOR FOOD

Shovelnose sturgeon are very highly valued as a delicate food fish and the seasonal supply seldom meets demand. As a result, market values are among the highest for any commercial fish species in the upper Mississippi River basin. Processing by smoking adds considerable cost to the consumer product because of additional labor requirements. The process is also prone to large loss in tissue weight. A survey of processing steps was made to determine loss of weight and the change in marlet value.

Data from the incremental weight loss was collected from 189 specimen. Fish were individually marked by placing a number 3 monel wing band on the dorsal base of the caudal fin. Length, whole weight, dressed weight and smoked weight were obtained for each fish.

No relationship was discernable between body size and weight loss from dressing (Table 10). However, there was a significant change in the loss of weight due to smoking, particularly for smaller sized fish. Loss in body weight for sturgeon 1.25 lbs by smoking averaged $49.8 \%$ while the weight loss for larger fish averaged $42.6 \%$. Presumably the difference resulted from higher evaporation due to greater surface area to body weight for smaller sized fish. The mean weight loss from whole fish to dressed fish was $32.9 \%$ and ranged from $26.2 \%$ to $37 \%$. From dressed to smoked the average weight loss was $27.9 \%$ and varied from $20.4 \%$ to $40.4 \%$. Mean weight loss for complete processing was $52.0 \%$.

Flesh was stripped from 50 smoked sturgeon of various sizes to determine the edible fraction of the finished market product. The inedible waste included skin and cartilage and made up $39.4 \%$ of the smoked weight. For each pound of whole fish processed about . 29 lbs of edible smoked flesh were marketed.

The average price paid to commercial fishermen ranged from 20-48 $\phi$ per lbs, while dressed price ranged from $45-85 \nmid$ per lbs. Smoked sturgeon ranged from $95 \$-\$ 2.25$ per lbs. Most commercial fishermen dress sturgeon before selling. Experienced individuals dress up to 100 lbs of sturgeon in 15-30 minutes, increasing the market value by about $30 \%$. At common market prices for whole, dressed and smoked sturgeon, the total values are $\$ 35$, $\$ 65$, and $\$ 155$ per 100 lbs .


Shovelnose sturgeon are processed for human consumption by smoking.

Table 10. Changes in the body weight per 100 lbs of 189 shovelnose sturgeon during processing for food.

Stage of process

|  | Stage of process |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Whole | Dressed | Smoked | Edible flesh ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Whole weight |  |  |  |  |
| Initial weight in 1 bs | 100 | 67.1 | 48.0 | 29.7 |
| Percent loss |  | 32.9 | 19.1 | 18.3 |
| Cumulative percent loss |  | 32.9 | 52.0 | 70.3 |
| Dressed weight |  |  |  |  |
| Initial weight in lbs |  | 100 | 72.1 | 43.0 |
| Percent loss |  |  | 27.9 | 29.1 |
| Cumulative percent loss |  |  |  | 57.0 |
| Smoked weight |  |  |  |  |
| Initial weight in lbs |  |  | 100 | 61.0 |
| Percent not edible |  |  |  | 39.4 |

${ }^{2}$ Edible portion was based on 50 fish sampled.

## LIFE HISTORY INVESTIGATIONS

Life history studies of shovelnose sturgeon were conducted mostly in Pool 13 near Bellevue. Total number of fish used in the study was 5,204 commercially caught and 4,856 captured by experimental netting. A large portion of these fish were tagged and released for numerical population estimates, determination of intrastream movement and verification of tagging techniques. Data were also collected on the biology of early life stages, fecundity, age and size at maturity, length-weight relationship and other biological parameters.

## EXPERIMENTAL NETTING OF SHOVELNOSE STURGEON

Trammel nets and bottom traw1s were used for collecting sturgeon. The trammel nets were designed for drift fishing. Dimensions of the net were $100 \mathrm{ft} \times 6 \mathrm{ft}$ and 1 and 1-1/2 inches (bar measure) inner web, No. 178 twine. Walling was 10 inches bar measure of No. 9 twine. Float lines contained standard sized plastic floats about 2 ft apart. Lead lines had 3 weights per float. Inner web of the net was hung relatively tight as a loosely hung inner web tended to drag on the bottom collecting debris, mussel shells and rocks. Methods of drifting were comparable to those previously described by commercial fishermen. Trammel nets were permitted to drift until they became "snagged" or approached known obstacles. Drifts were subjectively labeled short, medium or long. Short drifts were usually < 200 ft while long drifts were .5-1 mile
lasting as long as 30 minutes. Fish samples were held in a live tank and processed after the nets were reset.

The bottom trawl was a standard 16 ft semi-balloon design modified by the attachment of a $6-\mathrm{ft}$ extension of $1 / 8$ inch Ace web. Towing was usually in an upstream direction. Downstream and cross current towing occurred only in areas known to be free of bottom obstructions. A standard trawl haul was $21 / 2$ minutes pulled at full throttle by a 16 ft boat powered with a 40 hp outboard motor.

During many sampling periods two trammel nets were fished simultaneously, either one behind the other or side by side. While these nets were drifting, one or two trawl hauls could be made either beside or between the trammel nets. Using this technique, up to 20 drifts and 15 tows were accomplished in a work day.

## CATCH SUCCESS AND STATISTICS OF EXPERIMENTAL NETTING

Catch effort (C/E) by drifting trammel nets and trawling was examined for 1972-73. Excluding young-of-year and minnow species, 4,043 fish were caught in 789 trammel net drifts and 503 in 313 trawl hauls. Success was slightly higher for both types of gear in the first year, but catch composition was similar.

Shovelnose sturgeon contributed $54 \%$ of the total catch by trammel net capturing fish at the rate of 2.8 per drift. Other major species captured included channel catfish, freshwater drum, pike perch and mooneye contributing $13 \%, 11 \%, 7 \%$ and $5 \%$ to the catch, respectively. Flathead catfish, carp, buffalo, carpsucker species, blue sucker, gizzard shad, redhorse species, northern pike, paddlefish, bullhead species, gar, white bass, and crappie contributed $<3 \%$ each (Table 11).

Mean catch per net drift was 5.1 for all species and ranged from 7.8 in Pool 17 to 3.7 in Pool 14. Pool 14 also had the lowest catch rate for sturgeon, .2 per drift. Low catch in this pool may have resulted in part from the small amount of effort expended. Some initial experimentation was usually necessary to locate productive areas. Disregarding the catch in Pool 14, catch rate for sturgeon was progressively higher downstream. Catch rates for individual pools were as follows: Pool 9, .6; Pool 10, 1.3; Pool 11, 2.0; Pool 13, 3.4; Pool 17, 4.3 ; and Pool 18, 5.3 per drift.

Sturgeon were generally caught in greater numbers than other fish species, being equalled or exceeded only by channel catfish, drum, pike perch and mooneye in Pool 9, drum in Pool 11, and channel catfish, drum and pike perch in Pool 14.

With the exception of tailwaters, sturgeon catch rates were similar for all types of river habitat. Tailwater C/E was 5.3 per drift compared to $2.9,2.5$ and 3.0 for main channe1, main channel border and side channel (Tab1e 12). Slack water habitats (i.e., slough, lake) could not be sampled by this method, but these habitats are generally considered unproductive for sturgeon by commercial fishermen.

Contribution of sturgeon to total catch was lowest in side channe1 ( $35 \%$ ) which resulted primarily from higher catch rates of channel catfish, drum and pike perch. In contrast, sturgeon contributed $80 \%$ to the total catch in tailwater

Table 11. Species composition and catch effort ( $C / E$ ) of shovelnose sturgeon by drifting trammel nets in Mississippi River pools.

|  | Number <br> of <br> drifts | Number <br> of <br> fish |  | Shovelnose <br> sturgeon | Catfish | Freshwater <br> drum | Pike <br> perch | Mooneye |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 11. (Continued).

|  | Number <br> of <br> drifts | Number <br> of <br> fish |  | Carpsucker | Buffalo | F1athead <br> catfish | Others | Combined |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^0]Table 12. Species composition and catch effort ( $C / E$ ) of shovelnose sturgeon by drifting trammel nets in different Mississippi River habitats.

| Habitat | Number of drifts | Number of fish |  | Shovelnose sturgeon | Catfish | Freshwater drum | Pike perch | Mooneye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main channel | 240 | 1,186 | $\stackrel{\%}{\circ}$ | 58 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 3 |
|  |  |  | C/E | 2.9 | . 8 | . 5 | . 3 | . 2 |
| Main channel border | 484 | 2,365 | \% | 52 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 7 |
|  |  |  | C/E | 2.6 | . 6 | . 5 | . 4 | . 3 |
| Side channel | 33 | 282 | \% | 35 | 20 | 24 | 10 |  |
|  |  |  | C/E | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | . 9 |  |
| Tailwater | 32 | 210 | \% | 80 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | C/E | 5.3 | . 1 | . 4 |  | . 1 |
| Total | 789 | 4,043 | \% | 54 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  |  | C/E | 2.8 | . 7 | . 6 | . 4 | . 3 |

Table 12. (Continued).

| Habitat | Number <br> of <br> drifts | Number <br> of <br> fish | Minnow | Carpsucker | Buffalo | Flathead <br> catfish | Others | Combined |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Less }}$ than $.5 \%$ and less than $.05 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$.
habitat while main channel and main channel border catches consisted of $58 \%$ and $52 \%$ sturgeon.

Trawling was generally less successful in capturing fish than trammel nets, but was especially useful for sampling young-of-year sturgeon in Pool 13. Consequently, sufficient effort was not expended to make valid catch comparisons between pools and habitats (Tables 13 and 14). Sturgeon were caught in greater numbers than any other fish species comprising $31 \%$ of the total catch with a mean catch rate of .5 fish per tow. Channel catfish, carp and mooneye each contributed $15 \%$ to the catch. Other species captured by trawling included drum, pike perch, flathead catfish, buffalo, carpsucker, redhorse, gar, white bass, crappie and bluegill.

## STURGEON TAGGING STLDIES

Two methods were used to tag sturgeon in 1971. Both used serially numbered, Floy (FD-67) anchor tags colored international orange. Tags were initially anchored with a model FD-67 gun in the mid-section midway between the dorsal and lateral rows of bony plates. After marking 477 fish in this manner, it became apparent tag loss was quite high. An additional 890 fish were tagged in 1971 by anchoring tags between the bony plates along the lateral line. Although tag shedding was not as rapid by the later method, there was progressive erosion through the adjacent posterior plate until the tag fell out.

Methods of tagging was again altered in 1972. Schmulbach ${ }^{1}$ (personal communication) indicated high success with monel tags attached to the pectoral fin. Number 3 monel wing band tags were placed over the anterior fin rays close to the body. This method was used in combination with a Floy tag anchored through the pectoral girdle dorsal to the fin. Cursory examination of the first 13 recaptured fish tagged by this method indicated little chance of either tag being lost. After 502 fish were combination tagged the monel tag was discontinued. An additional 613 were marked with Floy tags in the pectoral girdle in 1972 and 819 in 1973.

Most tagging effort was expended in Pool 13, but a goal of 100 marked fish was set in odd numbered pools each year to determine commercial fishing exploitation rates. This goal was not attained in Pool 15 because bottom topography precluded effective sampling. Neither goal was met in Pools 9 and 11 in 1972. In a11, 3,271 shovelnose sturgeon were tagged and 326 ( $10 \%$ ) were recaptured (Table 15).

## TAG RETENTION

Tag loss from marked sturgeon was a major problem. Shedding began within a few weeks following side tagging above the lateral row of bony plates, and the longest interval between marking and recovery was 92 days.

Placement between lateral plates improved retention, but loss was still too rapid for long term study. Loss was observed to occur via erosion through the
${ }^{1}$ Schmulbach, J. University of South Dakota, Vermillion. Letter dated 18 October, 1971 relating to tagging methods.

Table 13. Species composition and catch effort (C/E) of shovelnose sturgeon by trawling in Mississippi River pools.

| Pool | Number of tows | Number of fish |  | Shovelnose sturgeon | Catfish | Mooneye | Minnow | Freshwater drum | Pike perch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 22 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | $4_{\text {a }}$ | $39$ | ${ }^{13} .1$ | $21$ | $4_{a}$ | $9$ |
| 10 | 5 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ${ }^{19} \quad .8$ |  |
| 13 | 282 | 455 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & .5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ .2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .1 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}^{1}$ |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 2 |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{25} .5$ | ${ }^{25} .$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 313 | 503 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ .5 \end{array}$ |  | $14_{.2}$ | ${ }^{15} .2$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ .1 \end{gathered}$ |  |

Table 13. (Continued).

| Pool | Number <br> of tows | Number of fish |  | Carpsucker | Buffalo | Flathead catfish | Others | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 22 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | 4 a |  |  | 4 a | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 1.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | 5 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$ |
| 13 | 282 | 455 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{6} .1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & .1 \end{aligned}$ | 2 a | $2_{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 19 | 2 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{25} .$ |  | ${ }^{25} .$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$ |
| Tota1 | 313 | 503 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{6} .1$ | ${ }^{6} .$ | 2 a | 2 a | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 1.6 \end{gathered}$ |

a
Less than $.05 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$.

Table 14. Species composition and catch effort ( $C / E$ ) of shovelnose sturgeon by trawling in various Mississippi River habitats.

| Habitat | Number of tows | Number of fish |  | Shovelnose sturgeon | Channel catfish | Mooneye | Minnow | Freshwater drum | Pike perch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main channel | 107 | 174 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{45} .$ | ${ }^{21} .$ | 7 <br> .1 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .1 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{6} .1$ | 1 a |
| Main channel border | 203 | 325 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{24} .4$ | $\stackrel{13}{.}{ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{18} .$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ .3 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{4} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & .1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Side channel | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ${ }^{25} .$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ .7 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{25} .$ |
| Total | 313 | 503 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ C / E \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{31} .$ | ${ }^{15} .$ | $14$ $.2$ | ${ }^{15} .$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | $4$ |

Table 14. (Continued).

|  | Number <br> of <br> tows | Number <br> of <br> fish | Carpsucker | Buffalo |  | Flathead <br> catfish | Others | Combined |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Less than $.05 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$.


Tag loss was a serious problem with shovelnose sturgeon. The photograph depicts various stages of tag retention. Photograph $A$ is 72 days after tagging, $B$ is 149 days, $C$ is 378 days, $D$ is 751 days, $E$ is 693 days and $F$ is 768 days.

Tab1e 15. Summary of the number of shovelnose sturgeon tagged in Mississippi River pools.

adjacent posterior plate until the tag simply fell out. Of the 890 fish tagged by this method, only 49 were recaptured. The maximum time at large was 322 days.

Tagging in 1972 was altered by inserting Floy anchor tags through the pectoral girdle. Tag retention was tested by placing a No. 3 monel wing band tag over the anterior rays of the pectoral fin near its base on the first 502 marked fish. Initial observations indicated both tags hold quite well and use of the monel tag was discontinued.

Eighty-three ( $17 \%$ ) of these fish were subsequently recaptured. Both tags were retained by $81 \%$; $12 \%$ had lost the Floy and $6 \%$ had lost the monel. Although others surely passed unnoticed, only one fish ( $<1 \%$ ) was known to have lost both tags (Table 16).

Tag loss increased rapidly after the first twelve months and nearly half the fish reported in 1974 had lost anchor tags. Actual Floy tag loss was probably much greater because monel tags were becoming overgrown with body tissue and were not visable. Hence, fish with only monel tags remaining were being missed by fishermen. The author examined one tagged fish on October, 1974 and obtained body measurements. Upon checking records, it was discovered the fish had also been tagged with a monel band. Further examination of the fish revealed the tag had become completely encased with skin tissue and appeared as a raised area on the fin.

Table 16. Rate of tag loss among 83 recaptured shovelnose sturgeon tagged with Floy anchor tags in combination with monel wing band tags during 9 May-12 July, 1972.

| Time period | Tag status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of recaptured fish | Both present | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F1oy } \\ & \text { missing } \end{aligned}$ | Mone 1 missing | Both missing |
| May-June, 1972 | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (100 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| July-December, 1972 | 13 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (92 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| January-June, 1973 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (86 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| July-December, 1973 | 14 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (43 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (29 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (29 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| January-June, 1974 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (67 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (33 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| July-December, 1974 | 6 | $\stackrel{2}{(33 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (50 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 83 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ (81 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

The manner in which tags were shed from the pectoral girdle are depicted in photographs of preserved specimens. Observe the location of the T-anchor of the Floy tags as they drifted posteriorly. Scars from lost tags were readily identifiable. Caddis fly cases were often heavily encrusted on the tube and had to be removed to facilitate identification of the serial number. Overgrown flesh was also removed from monel tags. The last number digits were sometimes difficult to read and resulted in error by fishermen reporting tag numbers.

Both Floy and monel tags continue to be returned at this time. The greatest amount of time at large was 887 days for a speciman carrying both tags. Both were anchored securely.

Tagging by the Floy-pectoral girdle method was concluded to offer reliable data only during the first year. After that, loss was significant and may result in error exceeding $50 \%$. Monel tags placed on the pectoral fin appear to hold
well, but required close examination because of overgrown tissue after the first year.

## MOVEMENT OF TAGGED FISH

Movement data were obtained from 279 recaptured shovelnose sturgeon. Experimental netting resulted in the recovery of 122 and the remainder were obtained from commercial fishermen. All fish except one were captured in the same pool where tagging occurred. Movements of tagged fish in upstream and downstream directions were nearly equal and $15.6 \%$ were recaptured at the site of original capture. Mean upstream and downstream distances were 1.6 and . 5 miles (Table 17).

Movement of 155 sturgeon recovered by conmercial fishermen differed considerably from those recovered by experimental netting. Sixty-six percent moved upstream, $25 \%$ moved downstream and $9 \%$ were taken at the tagging site. Mean upstream and downstream distances were 9.5 and 1.2 miles (Table 18). Movement calculated from the commercial fishermen recovered tags were biased by the high proportion of returns from Pool 13 where the major commercial fishing grounds are located a substantial distance upstream from the primary marking and release site.

Distance did not increase significantly with time at large for either source of recapture, but movement patterns differed during the final year of study. During the first two years, all fish were recaptured in the pool in which they were tagged, but from 1 July, 1973 through 30 June, 1974, 25 were recaptured in pools other than where they were tagged. One was by experimental netting and two were by sport fishermen. The remaining 22 resulted from commercial fishing. In every instance, inter-pool movement was in an upstream direction. Greatest distance traveled was 120 miles by 4 fish moving from Pool 13 to Pool 9. They were recaptured 265-724 days after tagging. Movement between pools from commercial recovered fish are presented in Table 19.

Upstream movement between pools may be explained by habitat distribution within pools. Upstream portions are generally more lotic, particularly in the tailwater. Since sturgeon apparently prefer stream to lake conditions, they tend to congregate in these areas. During high water years, such as 1973, the dam control gates are out of operation much of the time and are not barriers to upstream movement. Once in the lentic environment above dams, sturgeon probably continue to wander seeking the upstream lotic waters.

## POPULATION ESTIMATES

Two population estimates based on the Schnabel method (Rounsefell and Everhart, 1958) were conducted in Pool 13 near Bellevue. The first was based on 43 recaptured fish of 835 tagged in 1971 by the two tagging methods previously described. Estimates initially stabilized between 6,000 and 7,000, but increased to 13,000 by the end of the 1971 fishing season (Table 20).

Recruitment, emigration of tagged fish, tag loss and mortality are possible explanations for the increase. Since recruitment was minimized by adjusting for growth, and tag returns initially indicated little movement, the increasing estimate was attributed mostly to tag loss and mortality of tagged fish. Seven sturgeon were recaptured with identifiable scars or lesions where tags had been

Table 17. Movement of tagged shovelnose sturgeon recaptured by commercial fishing.

|  |  | 1 | 2 | Time at large in days |  |  |  | 64 | 128 | Number returned in year |  |  | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 |  | 8 | 16 | 32 | 1st year |  |  | 2nd year | 3rd <br> year |  |
| Upstream | Number |  | 1 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 36 | 74 | 26 | 2 | 102 |
|  | Mean distance (miles) | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 12.2 | 3.2 | 15.6 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 9.5 |
|  | Percent | 33.3 | 71.4 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 46.7 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 63.2 | 60.7 | 83.9 | 100.0 | 65.8 |
| Downstream | Number |  |  |  |  | 4 | 13 |  | 20 | 37 | 2 |  | 39 |
|  | Mean distance (miles) |  |  |  |  | 3.1 | . 3 |  | 1.7 | 1.1 | 3.2 |  | 1.2 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  | 26.7 | 86.7 |  | 35.1 | 30.3 | 6.5 |  | 25.2 |
| No movement | Number | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 11 | 3 |  | 14 |
|  | Percent | 66.7 | 28.6 | 22.2 |  | 26.7 |  |  | 1.8 | 9.0 | 9.7 |  | 9.0 |
|  | Total | 3 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 57 | 122 | 31 | 2 | 155 |

Table 18. Movement of tagged shovelnose sturgeon recaptured by experimental netting.


Table 19. Interpool distribution of tagged shovelnose sturgeon recaptured by commercial fishing.

| Pool | Tota 1 number tagged | Total number recaptured | Percent recaptured | 9 | 10 | 11 | Number recaptured in pool |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |  |
| 9 | 207 | 16 | 7.7 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 226 | 10 | 4.4 |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 2,101 | 102 | 5.0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 41 | 2 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 37 | 1 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 316 | 49 | 15.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 46 |  |  |
| 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 327 | 15 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| Sum | 3,271 | 195 |  | 18 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 88 | 2 |  | 5 | 48 | 1 | 11 |

Table 20. Population estimates of shovelnose sturgeon based on tagged fish recovered within a four mile segment of river in Pool 13 near Bellevue, 1971.

| Date | Number captured | Number marked | Number recaptured | Population estimate | 95\% Confidence interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> limit | Upper 1imit |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 16 | 13 | 2 | 672 | 282 | $\infty$ |
| 28 | 47 | 47 | 0 | 2,951 | 1,237 | $\infty$ |
| 29 | 117 | 115 | 2 | 5,688 | 2,872 | 284,387 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 5,379 | 2,867 | 43,519 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5,433 | 2,896 | 43,962 |
| 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5,709 | 3,042 | 46,187 |
| 9 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 6,100 | 3,251 | 49,359 |
| 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6,273 | 3,343 | 50,752 |
| 13 | 58 | 50 | 6 | 4,380 | 2,753 | 10,705 |
| 14 | 152 | 98 | 8 | 5,256 | 3,626 | 9,548 |
| 15 | 53 | 45 | 4 | 5,351 | 3,799 | 9,048 |
| 16 | 55 | 53 | 2 | 5,986 | 4,300 | 9,845 |
| 19 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 5,920 | 4,277 | 9,617 |
| 30 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 6,212 | 4,488 | 10,092 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 15 | 14 | 1 | 6,292 | 4,569 | 10,103 |
| 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 6,398 | 4,645 | 10,272 |
| 11 | 67 | 61 | 3 | 7,044 | 5,188 | 10,969 |
| 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6,878 | 5,088 | 10,615 |
| 27 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 6,883 | 5,112 | 10,532 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 7,561 | 5,616 | 11,569 |
| 20 | 45 | 44 | 1 | 8,248 | 6,150 | 12,520 |
| 28 | 86 | 0 | 2 | 9,537 | 7,163 | 14,261 |
| October |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 112 | 109 | 4 | 10,615 | 8,079 | 15,469 |
| 15 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 10,906 | 8,326 | 15,803 |
| 29 | 94 | 0 | 3 | 11,953 | 9,203 | 17,048 |
| November |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 12,741 | 9,809 | 18,172 |

lost. Some were difficult to recognize by mid-August and probably some were overlooked.

The second estimate was based on 22 recaptured fish from the 502 double tagged fish in 1972. No tag loss was noted the first year from using this method and population estimates ranged as high as 19,622 with a final estimate of 16,870 . Confidence limits $(\propto=.05)$ were 11,899 and 28,978 (Table 21).

The higher 1972 estimate resulted largely from expanding the fishing grounds. Tagging during 1971 was conducted throughout a 4 -mile stretch of river downstream from the Bellevue lock and dam, while fishing effort in 1972 extended 10 miles downstream from the dam.

## GROWTH OF TAGGED FISH

Growth of recaptured sturgeon was difficult to evaluate because growth rate in length varied considerably by body size and season. All sizes were tagged and recaptured throughout the year.

Growth data were obtained from 107 sturgeon recaptured by experimental netting and commercial fishermen. Most were recovered in Pool 13. Results were tabulated by body length at time of tagging and weeks at large (Table 22). Calculated weekly growth increments between time of tagging and recapture were $.23, .13, .17, .08, .06, .05$ and .08 inches for fish at 2 inch intervals ranging 10-24 inches FL. Fish at large over the winter months were excluded from calculations. Small sample size precluded interpretation of seasonal growth.

## AGE AND GROWTH OF SHOVELNOSE STURGEON IN POOL 13

Aging technique. Age structure of shove1nose sturgeon populations were vital to several biological parameters. Although aging techniques using sectioned bony structures have been widely used for other species of sturgeon (Cuerrier, 1951; Cuerrier and Roussow, 1951; Probst and Cooper, 1955), their reliability for shovelnose sturgeon has never been evaluated. Anterior pectoral fin rays are most often used in aging lake sturgeon. The validity of age marks in fin rays of this species was reported by Probst and Cooper (1955).

Zweiacker (1967) used anterior pectoral fin rays to determine the age of 288 shovelnose sturgeon from the Missouri River. Ages ranged from 8 to 27 years and fork length (FL) ranged from 48 to 55 cm (18-22 inches).

Fogle (1963) used sectioned pectoral rays to age and calculate prior growth of 35 shovelnose sturgeon from Oahe Reservoir, South Dakota. Sturgeon in this study ranged from 3 to 10 years of age and ranged in size from 18 to 23 inches total length ( $16-20.5 \mathrm{FL}$ ).

The studies of Zweiacker (1967) and Fogle (1963) did not contain young fish and both lacked means of verifying age.

Specimens for computation of age and growth statistics were collected from 29 October-5 November, 1971 from Pool 13. Anterior fin rays were removed and body measurements recorded from 110 fish. Fin rays were air dried in coin envelopes and sectioned with an electric sectioning saw. Sections measuring $<.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness were cut approximately 12 mm from the basal joint and were mounted between microscope slides and moistened with alcohol for examination.

Table 21. Population estimates of shovelnose sturgeon based on double tagged fish recovered within a 10 mile segment of river in Pool 13 near Bellevue, 1972.

95\% Confidence interval

| Date | Number captured | Number marked | Number recaptured | Population estimate | 95\% Confidence interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower <br> limit | Upper |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 64 | 50 | 1 | 8,256 | 2,789 | $\infty$ |
| 6 | 109 | 93 | 2 | 9,256 | 4,342 | $\infty$ |
| 12 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 12,791 | 6,002 | $\infty$ |
| 13 | 47 | 28 | 1 | 13,107 | 6,619 | 655,350 |
| 14 | 39 | 25 | 1 | 13,036 | 6,947 | 105,470 |
| 16 | 120 | 82 | 1 | 17,903 | 9,946 | 89,517 |
| 21 | 25 | 19 | 1 | 16,896 | 9,707 | 65,127 |
| 27 | 37 | 15 | 3 | 13,503 | 8,337 | 35,507 |
| 29 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13,830 | 8,539 | 36,368 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 49 | 33 | 2 | 13,437 | 8,582 | 30,942 |
| 12 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 13,443 | 8,710 | 29,446 |
| 28 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 13,342 | 8,756 | 28,013 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 12,112 | 8,129 | 23,750 |
| 17 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 13, 020 | 8,739 | 25,531 |
| 22 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 14,054 | 9,432 | 27,557 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 15,682 | 10,525 | 30,749 |
| 11 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 16,214 | 10,882 | 31,793 |
| 19 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 18,719 | 12,563 | 36,705 |
| 22 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 18,149 | 12,301 | 34,592 |
| October |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 18,856 | 12,780 | 35,941 |
| 12 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 19,622 | 13,300 | 37,401 |
| 19 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 18,866 | 12,905 | 35,060 |
| November ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 16,119 | 11,369 | 27,688 |
| 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16,483 | 11,626 | 28,313 |
| 30 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 16,870 | 11,899 | 28,978 |

Table 22. Mean growth increments of shovelnose sturgeon between the time of tagging and recapture by size structure and time at large. Sample size is listed in parenthesis.


Table 22. (Continued).

| Weeks <br> at <br> large | Number <br> of <br> fish | 11 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Fish at large over the winter months were excluded from calculations.

Criteria used in assigning ages were similar to those described by Cuerrier (1951). Fin sections were examined and aged with a 10X dissecting microscope by one person then aged by a second person with a microprojector. Values were subsequently compared and a common age determined. Back calculation of FL at the end of each year of life was accomplished with a direct proportion nomograph with the intercept set at 0,0 .

Validity of annuli at true year marks. Validity of annuli as true year marks was established by comparing assessed age of individual fish with the sample length frequency distribution (Table 23). Samples not fitting into the length frequency distribution were re-examined. Although false annuli caused some initial error, with experience most year marks were easily identified and no samples were eliminated because they could not be interpreted.

Biweekly length frequency distributions of earlier 1971 catches (Table 24) supported validity of annuli. Growth of the smallest group could be traced from the first fish measuring 2.2 inches captured 24 June through the last biweekly sample when the range was $7.4-9.9$ inches. These were identified as age 0 by the spine sectioning technique. Distribution of this group did not overlap with the next in any of the biweekly samples. Ages I and II, however, were superimposed toward the end of the season, and subsequent age groups became progressively more difficult to separate by the length frequency distribution.

Growth in body length and weight. Fork length of the sample ranged from 7.4 to 23.7 inches and weight ranged from .06 to 2.02 lbs. Sexes were combined because only two mature fish were included in the sample. Both were males and measured 22.0 inches. Mean fork lengths at the time of capture were 8.9, 13.7, 18.9 and 22.0 inches for ages 0, I, II, and III (Table 25). Calculated annual growth increments were $8.2,5.8$ and 4.7 inches.

Table 23. Length frequency distribution of 110 aged shovelnose sturgeon collected from Pool 13, 29 October-5 November, 1971.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class mark } \\ & \text { (FL) } \end{aligned}$ | Age group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | I | II | III | Combined |
| 7.5 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8.5 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 9.5 | 6 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.5 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |
| 12.5 |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 13.5 |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |
| 14.5 |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |
| 15.5 |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |
| 16.5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 17.5 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 5 |
| 18.5 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 19.5 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| 20.5 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 21.5 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| 22.5 |  |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| 23.5 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Total | 9 | 59 | 22 | 20 | 110 |

Table 24. Length frequency distributions of shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 13, 1971.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class mark } \\ & \text { (FL) } \end{aligned}$ | Date of sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4/18 | 5/2 | 5/16 | 5/30 | 6/13 | 6/27 | 7/11 | 7/25 | 8/8 | 8/22 | 9/5 | 9/19 | 10/3 | 10/17 | 10/31 |
| 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  | 2 |
| 8.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4 |  | 2 |
| 9.5 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 10.5 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 11.5 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |
| 12.5 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 7 |  | 6 | 29 | 9 |  | 17 |
| 13.5 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 40 | 15 |  | 23 |
| 14.5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 18 |  | 18 |
| 15.5 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
| 16.5 | 1 | 2 |  | 13 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 3 | 5 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 17.5 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 10 | 14 | 34 | 1 | 11 |  | 2 | 10 | 6 |  | 3 |
| 18.5 | 4 | 5 |  | 16 | 11 | 14 | 35 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 | 17 | 11 |  | 13. |
| 19.5 | 12 | 11 |  | 30 | 21 | 44 | 64 | 3 | 13 |  | 6 | 6 | 7 |  | 6 |
| 20.5 | 11 | 3 |  | 23 | 11 | 56 | 63 | 7 | 13 |  | 3 | 10 | 8 |  | 7 |
| 21.5 | 5 | 6 |  | 14 | 10 | 17 | 25 | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 18 | 13 |  | 5 |
| 22.5 | 1 | 5 |  | 3 | 4 | 8 | 4 |  | 3 |  | 2 | 7 | 2 |  | 11 |
| 23.5 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 3 |
| 24.5 | 3 | 6 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 25.5 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26.5 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 27.5 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 28.5 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.5 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 30.5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 31.5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 47 | 58 | 0 | 117 | 96 | 190 | 336 | 27 | 77 | 0 | 33 | 189 | 116 | 0 | 124 |

Table 25. Calculated fork lengths (FL) and increments for each year of life for 110 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 13.


## Increment

| 1971 | 0 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1970 | I | 59 |
| 1969 | II | 22 |
| 1968 | III | 20 |


| $(8.9)^{b}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.8 | $(5.9)$ |  |
| 8.4 | 6.0 | $(4.5)$ |
| 8.5 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| 8.2 | 5.8 | 4.7 |
| 8.2 | 14.0 | 18.7 |

Mean increments
Sum of increments
8.2
14.0
18.7
a Observed FL at time of capture.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated growth increment during current growing season.

The length-weight relationship for sturgeon in Pool 13 was computed from the logarithmic transformation of the exponential function $W=C L^{n}$, where $W$ was weight in 1 bs and $L$ was fork length in inches. The equation $\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-4.292+$ $3.307 \log _{10} \mathrm{FL}$ best described the relationship. Standard deviations of the coefficients were $\pm .046$ and $\pm .038$, respectively. Correlation coefficient for this regression was .986. Condition factors (C) averaged 11.6 and ranged from 9 to 17.

Eighteen biweekly samples of fin rays from 1,160 fish were examined from Pool 13 during 1972. Back calculation of FL at the end of each year of life for ages I through XI from subsmaples of 461 fish was $8.3,12.4,16.1,19.1,21.3$, $23.6,25.4,26.2,27.6,28.5$ and 28.8 inches. Subsamples of fin rays were also examined to determine seasonal growth. No discernable growth occurred until
mid-May with greatest growth occurring in June, July and early August. After this time sampling error masked true growth rate (Table 26).

Table 26. Cumulative growth increments for shovelnose sturgeon of ages I-V at biweekly samples in Pool 13. Figures in parenthesis represent sample size.

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | I |  | II |  | III |  | IV |  | V |  |
| 4/5 |  |  | 0 | (1) | 0 | (3) | 0 | (9) |  |  |
| 4/19 |  |  | 0 | (2) | 0 | (2) | 0 | (7) |  |  |
| 5/3 | 0 | (1) |  |  | 0 | (2) | 0 | (18) |  |  |
| 5/17 | . 9 | (2) | 0 | (7) | 0 | (2) | 0 | (8) | 0 | (1) |
| 5/31 | 1.5 | (9) | 0 | (1) | 0 | (5) | 0 | (4) |  |  |
| 6/14 | 2.2 | (11) | 1.7 | (5) | 1.1 | (4) | . 4 | (4) |  |  |
| 6/28 | 3.2 | (15) | 1.8 | (4) | 1.5 | (4) | 1.4 | (5) |  |  |
| 7/12 | 3.5 | (6) | 3.5 | (4) | 1.8 | (3) | 2.8 | (3) |  |  |
| 7/26 | 3.6 | (2) | 2.5 | (10) | 2.1 | (4) | 2.0 | (2) |  |  |
| 8/9 |  |  | 3.4 | (3) | 2.5 | (4) | 2.2 | (3) |  |  |
| 8/23 |  |  | 4.2 | (10) | 3.5 | (14) | 2.6 | (13) | 1.9 | (1) |
| 9/6 |  |  | 4.3 | (7) | 3.1 | (22) | 3.0 | (33) | 2.4 | (3) |
| 9/20 | 5.6 | (9) | 4.4 | (36) | 4.0 | (18) | 2.5 | (14) | 2.3 | (2) |
| 10/4 | 4.2 | (1) | 3.9 | (12) | 4.4 | (2) | 2.8 | (2) | 3.4 | (2) |
| 10/18 | 5.4 | (1) | 4.1 | (5) | 2.8 | (4) | 3.3 | (5) |  |  |
| 11/1 | 5.8 | (2) | 4.2 | (11) | 3.1 | (5) | 2.8 | (4) | 3.4 | (1) |
| 11/15 | 5.3 | (1) | 3.4 | (5) | 3.0 | (5) | 3.6 | (4) |  |  |
| 11/29 | 3.3 | (1) | 4.6 | (6) | 2.7 | (4) | 2.8 | (4) | 2.4 | (2) |
| Mean FL at last annulus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.9 | (61) | 12.4 | (139) | 16.9 | (107) | 20.4 | (142) | 23.1 | (12) |

Age and growth in other pools. Age and growth was also determined for Pools 9, 10, 11, 17 and 19 from samples collected during 1972.

Pool 9 Pool 9 samples of stugeon were principally from commercial harvested fish examined at markets. Smaller fish captured for tagging studies were used for supplemental age and growth data. As a result, size distribution was disproportionately influenced to include a high percentage of fish > 20 inches FL.

The largest fish measured was 30.5 inches FL , but was not weighed. Age IXII grand average calculated lengths at each year of life were: 8.4, 12.5, 16.1, $18.8,20.7,22.5,23.8,25.0,25.9,26.7,27.1$, and 27.4 inches.

Pool 10 Seventeen fish were captured in July at Pool 10. Twelve were tagged and released. Five were preserved and fin rays collected for age determination. Ages I-IV and VI were represented in the sample. Grand average calculated lengths at each year of life were $8.6,12.9,16.9,19.7,21.8$ and 23.0 .

Pool 11 Fin rays were taken from 17 of the 30 fish collected for tagging during September in Pool 11. Ages I-IV and VI were represented in the sample. Grand average calculated lengths at each year of life were $7.8,12.9,16.9,19.5$, 21.8 and 22.7 inches.

Pool 17 A sample of 117 sturgeon were captured by drifting a trammel net in Pool 17 on 28-29 September, 1972. All fish captured were weighed, measured, tagged, and the anterior pectoral fin ray removed. Two fish had tail damage from unknown causes and one was a young-of-year. Growth was computed from the remaining 114 specimens.

Length frequency by age are presented in Table 27. Although length distribution within age groups was normal, overlap between ages was sufficient to prevent verification of age from the distribution.

Table 27. Length frequency distribution by age for 115 shovelnose sturgeon collected 28-29 September, 1972 in Pool 17.

| Length group | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | I | II | III | IV | V | Combined |
| 9-10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 12-13 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13-14 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 14-15 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 15-16 |  | 9 | 5 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 16-17 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 17-18 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 18-19 |  |  | 14 | 4 |  |  | 18 |
| 19-20 |  |  | 3 | 7 |  |  | 10 |
| 20-21 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 2 |  | 16 |
| 21-22 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 7 |
| 22-23 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 7 |
| 23-24 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 24-25 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 25-26 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 22 | 48 | 32 | 10 | 2 | 115 |

Body condition (C) range was 9-19 and increased with body size (Table 28). Length-weight relationship was

$$
\log _{10} W=-4.560+3.526 \log _{10} \mathrm{FL}
$$

Standard deviations for the regression coefficients were $\pm .083$ and $\pm .066$. Correlation coefficient for this fit was . 981.

Grand average calculated fork lengths at the end of each year of life were $8.2,13.2,16.9,19.6$ and 22.6 inches.

Table 28. Body condition (C) of 114 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 17.

| Length <br> group | Number <br> in <br> group | Fork <br> length <br> (inches) | Weight <br> (lbs) | Condition <br> (C) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-13$ | 1 | 12.5 | .18 | 9 |
| $12-14$ | 4 | 13.7 | .30 | .36 |
| $14-15$ | 8 | 14.6 | .43 | .58 |
| $15-16$ | 14 | 15.5 | .69 | 11 |
| $16-17$ | 11 | 16.7 | .79 | 11 |
| $17-18$ | 14 | 17.6 | .97 | 12 |
| $18-19$ | 18 | 19.4 | 1.20 | 12 |
| $19-20$ | 10 | 20.6 | 1.36 | 12 |
| $20-21$ | 16 | 21.5 | 1.64 | 13 |
| $21-22$ | 7 | 22.4 | 1.93 | 13 |
| $22-23$ | 4 | 23.5 | 2.98 | 14 |
| $23-24$ | 5 | 24.8 | 3.02 | 14 |
| $24-25$ | 1 | 25.7 |  | 19 |
| $25-26$ | 1 |  |  | 18 |

Pool 19 One hundred ten shovelnose sturgeon were captured on 26-27 September, 1972. The fish were weighed, measured, tagged, released and the right anterior pectoral fin ray removed for aging. Five fish were young-ofyear. The remaining 105 were used for computation of growth.

Length frequency distribution showed considerable overlap between ages (Table 29). Condition factors (C) ranged from 10 to 18 (Table 30). Fish of similar sizes in Pools 17 and 19 had similar condition factors.

The length-weight relationship of sturgeon from Pool 19 was

$$
\log _{10} W=-3.988+3.083 \log _{10} \mathrm{FL}
$$

Table 29. Length frequency distribution by age for 110 shovelnose sturgeon collected 26-27 September, 1972 in Pool 19.

| Length group | 0 | I | II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV | V | VI | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 9-10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 12-13 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 13-14 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14-15 |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 15-16 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 16-17 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 17-18 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 18-19 |  |  | 7 | 2 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 19-20 |  |  | 11 | 16 |  |  |  | 27 |
| 20-21 |  |  | 2 | 20 | 1 |  |  | 23 |
| 21-22 |  |  | 1 | 14 | 1 |  |  | 16 |
| 22-23 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 6 |
| 25-26 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 26-27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 5 | 9 | 34 | 55 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 110 |

Table 30. Body condition (C) of 105 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 19.

| Length <br> group | Number <br> in group | Fork <br> length <br> (inches) | Weight <br> (lbs) | Condition <br> (C) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12-13$ | 1 | 12.7 | .23 | 11 |
| $13-14$ | 1 | 13.5 | .27 | 10 |
| $14-15$ | 8 | 14.5 | .45 | 11 |
| $15-16$ | 4 | 15.6 | .61 | 10 |
| $16-17$ | 5 | 16.5 | .79 | 13 |
| $17-18$ | 3 | 17.8 | 1.85 | 13 |
| $18-19$ | 9 | 19.5 | 1.06 | 13 |
| $19-20$ | 27 | 20.5 | 1.34 | 13 |
| $20-21$ | 16 | 22.4 | 1.60 | 13 |
| $21-22$ | 6 | 26.0 | 3.23 | 13 |
| $22-23$ | 1 | 26.2 | 3.33 | 18 |
| $25-26$ | 1 |  |  | 18 |
| $26-27$ |  |  |  |  |

with standard deviation for the regression coefficient of $\pm .167$ and $\pm .130$, respectively. Correlation coefficient for this fit was . 920 .

Grand average calculated fork lengths at the end of each year of life were $8.5,13.5,17.3,20.2,22.6$ and 25.0.

Conversion of body length. Measurements of fork, standard and total lengths were taken from 635 fish. Fork length (FL) was measured from tip of snout to the fork in caudal fin. Standard length (SL) was measured to the tip of upper lobe of the caudal fin. Total length (TL) included the caudal filament or whip located on the dorsal lobe of the caudal fin.

The ratio SL:FL was 1.12 and did not change significantly with increased body size (Table 31). The ratio TL:FL changed as fish size increased. Small fish (FL < 8 inches) had a ratio of 1.5 while the ratio for large fish averaged 1.15 (Table 32) resulting mainly from disproportionately slower growth of the filament. The filament is highly subject to loss by injury and caused extreme variation in TL:FL ratios. As a result, TL for small fish varied $\pm 3$ inches $(P<.05)$, and large fish varied $\pm 2$ inches.

Summary of age and growth. Total sample size of sturgeon examined for growth in all pools was 857. Grand average body length (FL) at each year of life was $8.3,12.9,16.7,19.5,21.8,23.4,24.6,25.6,26.7,27.5,28.0$ and 27.4 inches for the first 12 years of life. Downstream pools generally tended to show slightly faster growth rates, but the difference between pools was not significant (Table 33).

## SEXUAL DEVELOPMENT

Biweekly samples of 188 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 13 were collected from 5 April-29 November, 1972, to determine the age and size at maturity and seasonal sexual development. Each fish was measured, weighed, and aged. Gonads were removed, measured volumetrically and visually examined for sexual development.

Testes were separated from gonadal fat, and volumes were determined both with and without the attached fat tissue. Separating the ovaries from fatty tissues was not practical, so it was included in the ovary weight.

Gonads of immature males appeared as dark yellow longitudinal bands, $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide on the dorsal surface of the gonadal fat and comprised $5 \%$ of the whole organ. Developing male gondads made up $15-30 \%$ of the organ, while fully developed gonads exceeded 10 mm in diameter and were equal to or greater in volume than the attached fat. Mature testes were grey color and appeared as a homogeneous mass.

In contrast, ovaries had a laminated appearance. Very small specimens were white or grey along the dorsal surface but, being laminated, were easily distinguished from testes. Eggs in developing ovaries first appeared as minute yellow specks, graded to combinations of yellow and white, white, white and black, and all black eggs. The presence of yellow or white with black eggs was conmonly referred to as "salt and pepper".

Percent of body weight contributed by gonads (fat included) for the 88 males and 100 females were examined by age and period. Contribution of testes to body

Table 31. Conversion of fork length to standard 1ength.

| Length group | Number | Mean fork length | Mean standard length | ```Standard length/ fork length``` | Standard deviation of ratio (SL/FL) | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Confidence } \\ \text { limits } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.0-7.9 | 1 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 1.13 |  |  |
| 8.0-8.9 | 1 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 1.14 |  |  |
| 11.0-11.9 | 3 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 12.0-12.9 | 5 | 12.3 | 14.1 | 1.15 | . 015 | $\pm .4$ |
| 13.0-13.9 | 14 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 1.13 | . 019 | $\pm .5$ |
| 14.0-14.9 | 10 | 14.5 | 16.5 | 1.14 | . 009 | $\pm .3$ |
| 15.0-15.9 | 20 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 1.14 | . 029 | $\pm .9$ |
| 16.0-16.9 | 20 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 1.14 | . 011 | $\pm .4$ |
| 17.0-17.9 | 39 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 1.12 | . 027 | $\pm .9$ |
| 18.0-18.9 | 41 | 18.5 | 20.9 | 1.13 | . 019 | $\pm .7$ |
| 19.0-19.9 | 53 | 19.5 | 22.2 | 1.14 | . 020 | $\pm .8$ |
| 20.0-20.9 | 56 | 20.5 | 23.4 | 1.14 | . 020 | $\pm .8$ |
| 21.0-21.9 | 66 | 21.5 | 24.3 | 1.13 | . 024 | $\pm 1.0$ |
| 22.0-22.9 | 72 | 22.4 | 25.1 | 1.12 | . 019 | $\pm .9$ |
| 23.0-23.9 | 61 | 23.4 | 26.2 | 1.12 | . 018 | $\pm .8$ |
| 24.0-24.9 | 51 | 24.4 | 27.1 | 1.11 | . 023 | $\pm 1.1$ |
| 25.0-25.9 | 39 | 25.4 | 28.2 | 1.11 | . 027 | $\pm 1.3$ |
| 26.0-26.9 | 42 | 26.4 | 29.6 | 1.12 | . 018 | $\pm .9$ |
| 27.0-27.9 | 20 | 27.3 | 30.6 | 1.12 | . 019 | $\pm 1.0$ |
| 28.0-28.9 | 14 | 28.4 | 31.5 | 1.11 | . 018 | $\pm 1.0$ |
| 29.0-29.9 | 4 | 29.5 | 33.0 | 1.12 | . 015 | $\pm .9$ |
| 30.0-30.9 | 1 | 30.5 | 33.7 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 31.1-31.9 | 1 | 31.0 | 34.5 | 1.11 |  |  |
| 33.0-33.9 | 1 | 33.6 | 37.3 | 1.11 |  |  |

Table 32. Conversion of fork length (FL) to total length (TL).

| Length group | Number | Mean fork length | Mean total 1ength | ```Total length/ fork length``` | Standard deviation of ratio (TL/FL) | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \text { Confidence } \\ & \text { limits } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.0-7.9 | 1 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 1.42 |  |  |
| 8.0-8.9 | 1 | 8.7 | 13.1 | 1.51 |  |  |
| 11.0-11.9 | 3 | 11.7 | 17.0 | 1.45 | . 193 | $\pm 4.4$ |
| 12.0-12.9 | 5 | 12.3 | 18.1 | 1.47 | . 113 | $\pm 2.7$ |
| 13.0-13.9 | 14 | 13.4 | 19.7 | 1.47 | . 126 | $\pm 3.3$ |
| 14.0-14.9 | 10 | 14.5 | 20.6 | 1.42 | . 153 | $\pm 4.3$ |
| 15.0-15.9 | 20 | 15.6 | 20.9 | 1.34 | . 102 | $\pm 3.1$ |
| 16.0-16.9 | 20 | 16.5 | 21.8 | 1.32 | . 084 | $\pm 2.7$ |
| 17.0-17.9 | 39 | 17.5 | 22.8 | 1.30 | . 076 | $\pm 2.6$ |
| 18.0-18.9 | 41 | 18.5 | 23.3 | 1.26 | . 072 | $\pm 2.6$ |
| 19.0-19.9 | 53 | 19.5 | 24.0 | 1.23 | . 055 | $\pm 2.1$ |
| 20.0-20.9 | 56 | 20.5 | 24.2 | 1.18 | . 049 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 21.0-21.9 | 66 | 21.5 | 26.0 | 1.21 | . 049 | $\pm 2.1$ |
| 22.0-22.9 | 72 | 22.4 | 26.4 | 1.18 | . 045 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 23.0-23.9 | 61 | 23.4 | 27.4 | 1.17 | . 038 | $\pm 1.8$ |
| 24.0-24.9 | 51 | 24.4 | 28.1 | 1.15 | . 041 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 25.0-25.9 | 39 | 25.4 | 29.0 | 1.14 | . 049 | $\pm 2.5$ |
| 26.0-26.9 | 42 | 26.4 | 30.1 | 1.15 | . 039 | $\pm 2.0$ |
| 27.0-27.9 | 20 | 27.3 | 31.1 | 1.14 | . 027 | $\pm 1.4$ |
| 28.0-28.9 | 14 | 28.4 | 32.4 | 1.14 | . 029 | $\pm 1.6$ |
| 29.0-29.9 | 4 | 29.5 | 33.9 | 1.15 | . 033 | $\pm 1.9$ |
| 30.0-30.9 | 1 | 30.5 | 34.3 | 1.12 |  |  |
| 31.0-31.9 | 1 | 31.0 | 34.5 | 1.11 |  |  |
| 33.0-33.9 | 1 | 37.3 | 39.8 | 1.18 |  |  |

Table 33. Grand average calculated fork lengths of shovelnose sturgeon at each year of life from Mississippi River pools.

| Pool | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { fish } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Year <br> 6 | f life 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 137 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 16.1 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 22.5 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 26.7 | 27.1 | 27.4 |
| 10 | 17 | 8.6 | 12.9 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 21.8 | 23.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 17 | 7.8 | 12.9 | 16.9 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 461 | 8.3 | 12.4 | 16.1 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 23.6 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 27.6 | 28.5 | 28.8 |  |
| 17 | 115 | 8.2 | 13.2 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 22.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 110 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 17.3 | 20.2 | 22.6 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | length | 8.3 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 23.3 | 24.6 | 25.6 | 26.7 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 27.4 |

weight increased with age in males through age IV and stabilized in older fish at about 6\%. All age II and III males were immature. Forty percent of age IV were mature or developing. The preponderance of mature and developing fish of this age appeared after the 1972 spawning season. Only 5 age $V$ fish were included in the samples, and 3 were immature. Three males from age VI and older were mature (Table 34).

Table 34. Size of testes expressed as percent of body weight by period and age for 88 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 13, 1972. Sample size is in parenthesis.


Contribution of ovaries to body weight for ages II, III and IV was comparable to the testes. However, contrary to testes the size of female gonads continued to increase after age IV. Overall contribution to body weight was $2 \%$, $3.3 \%, 6.6 \%, 7.5 \%, 11.6 \%, 12.5 \%$ and $15 \%$ for ages I-VII and VII+ (Table 35). The seasonal change in the ratio of ovary weight to body weight resulted from spawning.

Table 35. Size of ovaries expressed as percent of body weight by period and age for 100 shovelnose sturgeon from Pool 13, 1972. Sample size is in parenthesis.

| Date | I I |  | III |  | IV |  | V |  | VI |  | VII |  | VIII+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/19 |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/19 |  |  | 3.2 | (1) | 4.7 | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 | (1) |
| 5/17 |  |  | 4.8 | (1) | 7.1 | (1) |  |  |  |  | 16.1 | (1) |  |  |
| 5/31 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 3.7 | (3) |  |  |  |  | 19.9 | (1) | 15.0 | (2) |
| 6/14 |  |  | 3.0 | (2) | 5.1 | (2) |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | (1) | 19.8 | (3) |
| 6/28 | 1.4 |  | 2.9 | (3) | 5.4 | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/12 | 1.4 | (2) | 4.6 | (2) | 6.5 | (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/26 | 1.2 |  | 4.5 | (1) | 8.5 | (1) |  |  |  |  | 6.7 | (1) |  |  |
| 8/9 | 3.0 | (1) | 1.6 | (2) | 7.9 | (3) |  |  | 17.9 | (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 8/23 | 4.0 | (3) | 1.8 | (1) | 5.6 | (2) | 3.4 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/6 | 2.4 | (1) | 2.3 | (2) | 7.6 | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.6 | (1) |
| 9/20 | 2.2 |  | 4.1 | (2) | 5.3 | (2) | 9.3 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/4 | 2.0 | (1) | 3.4 | (2) | 8.0 | (1) | 5.8 | (1) | 7.3 | (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 10/18 | 2.0 |  | 2.3 | (2) | 9.8 | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/1 |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | (2) | 9.5 | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/15 |  |  | 4.0 | (1) | 10.0 | (1) |  |  | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/29 | 1.1 | (1) |  |  | 8.3 | (1) | 9.5 | (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 2.0 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.6 |  | 7.5 |  | 11.6 |  | 12.5 |  | 15.0 |  |
| Number of fish | 17 |  | 22 |  | 41 |  | 6 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |
| ```Percent mature or develop- ing``` | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 17 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |

All females younger than age $V$ were immature, and only one age $V$ fish was found with developing ova. Age VI fish contained developing ova, while ages VII and older displayed various stages of egg development. Black eggs were observed in ages VII or older from 30 May-14 June. The first spawned sturgeon was captured on 24 May (Table 36).

Table 36. Ovarian development of 20 shovelnose sturgeon of age $V$ and older from Pool 13, 1972.

| Age | Date of capture | Fork <br> length (inches) | Weight (1bs) | Gonad weight/ body weight (\%) | Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 8/23 | 25.6 | 2.34 | 3.4 | Immature |
| 5 | 9/20 | 26.6 | 3.36 | 9.3 | Immature |
| 5 | 10/4 | 26.6 | 2.80 | 5.8 | Immature |
| 5 | 11/1 | 25.7 | 3.39 | 9.5 | Immature |
| 5 | 11/29 | 26.9 | 3.02 | 11.2 | White eggs |
| 5 | 11/29 | 25.3 | 2.45 | 7.8 | Immature |
| 6 | 8/9 | 26.5 | 3.56 | 17.9 | Salt \& pepper |
| 6 | 10/4 | 27.2 | 3.29 | 7.3 | Salt \& pepper |
| 6 | 11/15 | 28.0 | 3.23 | 9.7 | Yellow eggs |
| 7 | 5/17 | 26.2 | 3.32 | 16.1 | Black eggs |
| 7 | 5/24 | 27.2 | 3.49 | 19.9 | Black eggs |
| 7 | 6/6 | 28.8 | 3.77 | 7.3 | Spawned out |
| 7 | 7/26 | 28.8 | 3.84 | 6.7 | Spawned out |
| 8 | 6/14 | 26.9 | 3.19 | 21.9 | B1ack eggs |
| 8 | 9/6 | 29.5 | 4.47 | 8.6 | Salt \& pepper |
| 9 | 5/31 | 28.0 | 3.72 | 19.5 | Black eggs |
| 9 | 6/7 | 28.8 | 4.61 | 25.9 | Black eggs |
| 10 | 5/24 | 30.7 | 5.25 | 10.5 | Spawned out |
| 10 | 6/14 | 27.8 | 4.17 | 11.5 | Salt \& pepper |
| 11 | 5/3 | 28.8 | 4.32 | 16.5 | Black eggs |

Based on these observations, it appeared initial spawning commenced for female sturgeon at age VII, while most males spawn at age V. Monson and Greenbank (1946) also examined shovelnose sturgeon size and maturity in the upper Mississippi River. They sampled 503 females and 374 males during MaySeptember, 1946. Although age was not determined, results of their study were
similar and males matured at a smaller size than females. Frequency of spawning could not be clearly determined. However, the presence of varied stages of ovarian development strongly suggest females do not spawn every year.

## SIZE COMPARISONS OF GONADS

In examining gonads, it appeared in most instances the left gonad of both sexes was slightly larger than the right. As a result, size data were separated. Observations were made on 84 males and 95 females (Table 37). Comparison by a Student's t test indicated the size difference between left and right was not significant (. $10<\mathrm{P}<.20$ ). In males, the left gonad averaged $55.5 \%$ of the total volume while in females, the 1 eft gonad averaged $54.2 \%$.

Table 37. Preponderance of size differences between left and right gonads of shovelnose stugeon.

| Number of fish | 84 | 95 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left > right | 76 | 82 |
| Right $>$ left | 3 | 6 |
| Left $=$ right | 5 | 7 |

## FECUNDITY

Fecundity data were collected at commercial fish landings in Pools 9 and 13 during May and June each year. Absolute fecundity was determined from 25 ml sample counts of 24 gravid females ranging in body size from 24.2 inches FL $(2.36 \mathrm{lbs})$ to 33.6 inches ( 6.20 lbs ). Ovaries averaged $18.1 \%$ of the body weight with a range of $12.2-27.1 \%$, and while fat was virtually absent in some, the maximum fat content was $45 \%$.

Ova measured 87-178 per m1 and total counts ranged from 13,908 to 51,217 averaging 27,592 with a standard deviation of $+9,474$ (Table 38). Ova counts increased with body size and $50 \%$ of the variation was attributable to body length. Weight accounted for $54 \%$ of the variation, slightly better than length.

The linear regression equations for fork length on ova (Figure 1) and weight on ova (Figure 2) based on the functions

$$
Y=a+b F L
$$

and

Table 38. Total egg count and ovarian-body weight ratio of 24 shovelnose sturgeon from Mississippi River Pools 9 and 13.

| Date | Fork length (inches) | Body weight (lbs) | Ovary wt/ body wt (\%) | Fat in gonad (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { eggs/m1 } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated number of eggs/female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 May | 28.8 | 4.32 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 87 | 25,000 |
| 17 May | 26.2 | 3.32 | 15.9 | 18.5 | 112 | 21,807 |
| 24 May | 27.2 | 3.49 | 19.7 | 16.3 | 140 | 36,624 |
| 29 May | 28.0 | 3.72 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 139 | 36,063 |
| 7 June | 28.8 | 4.61 | 25.7 | 17.7 | 97 | 43,814 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 May | 25.2 | 2.21 | 15.2 | 19.7 | 114 | 13,908 |
| 31 May | 25.8 | 2.58 | 14.3 | 10.1 | 109 | 16,384 |
| 31 May | 25.9 | 2.65 | 18.5 | 20.0 | 104 | 18,635 |
| 31 May | 26.0 | 2.56 | 15.8 | 2.2 | 132 | 23,760 |
| 31 May | 27.1 | 3.32 | 17.3 | 20.0 | 95 | 19,718 |
| 31 May | 28.2 | 4.26 | 17.2 | 5.0 | 96 | 30,288 |
| 31 May | 33.6 | 6.20 | 20.8 | 5.0 | 92 | 51,217 |
| 11 June | 24.2 | 2.36 | 27.1 | 35.2 | 143 | 26,922 |
| 11 June | 24.8 | 2.71 | 16.7 | 4.9 | 120 | 23,400 |
| 11 June | 25.0 | 2.62 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 105 | 14,531 |
| 11 June | 25.4 | 2.57 | 14.4 | 5.1 | 110 | 17,513 |
| 11 June | 25.8 | 2.69 | 18.8 | 5.0 | 123 | 26,876 |
| 11 June | 25.9 | 2.65 | 20.8 | 10.0 | 134 | 30,128 |
| 11 June | 27.0 | 3.12 | 17.6 | 24.6 | 120 | 22,601 |
| 11 June | 27.8 | 3.99 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 95 | 25,949 |
| 11 June | 30.5 | 4.76 | 20.8 | 45.0 | 111 | 27,374 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 June | 29.1 | 4.20 | 17.8 | 20.0 | 129 | 35,088 |
| 7 June | 30.9 | 5.50 | 14.8 | 20.0 | 122 | 36,112 |
| 9 June | 26.6 | 3.16 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 175 | 38,500 |
| Mean | 27.2 | 3.48 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 117 | 27,592 |
| Standard deviation | 2.22 | 1.05 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 20 | 9,474 |



Figure 1. Regression of number of ova on fork length of shovelnose sturgeon.


Figure 2. Regression of number of ova on body weight of shovelnose sturgeon.

$$
Y=a+b W g t
$$

where $\mathrm{Y}=$ ova counts, $\mathrm{FL}=$ body fork 1 ength and Wgt = body weight were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ova }=3,034 \mathrm{FL}-55,063 \\
& \text { Ova }=6,580 \mathrm{Wgt}+4,678 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiple regression of length and weight on ova ( $Y=a+b_{1} F L+b_{2}$ Wgt) was

$$
\text { Ova }=5,624-47 \mathrm{FL}+6,676 \mathrm{Wgt} .
$$

Again, $54 \%$ of the variation was explained by the combined variables.
These results differed considerably from findings at the Missouri River near Vermillion, South Dakota. Zweiacker (1967) reported a mean of 9,210 eggs per fish with a range of 6,709-15,637 for 30 fish. These fish were much smaller than those from the Mississippi River, ranging from 312 to 780 g (.7-1.7 1bs). No mature females this small were observed from the Mississippi River.

## FOOD HABITS

Food habits of shovelnose sturgeon have been reported by other investigators. Hoops (1960) and Held (1969) examined specimens from the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers captured during summer and autumn. Modde (1973) conducted a complete year food habit study in the Missouri River and correlated stomach contents with availability of food organisms. While chironomids, caddis flies and burrowing mayflies were reported to comprise the major portion of their diet, Modde found sturgeon to be mostly indiscriminate, opportunistic feeders. He also found highest stomach biomass during late autumn and winter attributing this to reduced water levels increasing the availability and vulnerability of food items.

In the current study, data on feeding habits were collected from 118 shovelnose sturgeon sampled biweekly during 5 April- 30 November, 1972 and 6 February, 1973. Sample size ranged from 2 to 11 fish measuring 19-24 inches FL. Fish were measured to the nearest. 1 inch and weighed to the nearest . 01 lbs . Stomachs were removed and their contents measured volumetrcially to the nearest .1 ml .

Although stomach contents were not identified, cursory examination indicated a preponderance of caddis flies, mayflies and chironomids. Fish from the 3 May sampling were gorged with eggs. Stomachs at this time also confirmed large quantities of sand. Sand was not a prominent part of stomach contents in other samples.

Food consumption had a direct relationship to body condition. The ponderal index (C) increased from 13 to 15 in the spring. During May and early June, moderately low food consumption resulted in a drop in the $C$ value to 12. Increased volume of stomach contents was accompanied by an increase in $C$ to a peak value of 16 on 6 September. Beginning 22 September, food intake was markedly reduced. C decreased to 13 by 30 November and remained until 6 February, 1973 (Table 39).

Food consumption appeared to be unrelated to temperature. The first samples were taken from 11 fish captured 5 April at water temperature $36^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and averaged


The smallest shovelnose sturgeon speciman measured 1.7 inches (FL) and was collected from wing dam in Mississippi River Pool 13 by trawling 5 July, 1974.

Table 39. Volumes of stomach content (ration) and ration-body weight ratio for 118 shovelnose sturgeon sampled biweekly (5 April-30 November, 1972 and 6 February, 1973) from Pool 13.

| Date | Water temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) | Tailwater stage at Bellevue TW (ft) | Number | Mean FL | Mean wgt | Condition factor (C) | Mean volume of stomach (ml) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Content/ } \\ & \text { lbs } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/5 | 36 | 12.41 | 11 | 20.7 | ---- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 11.2 | --- |
| 4/19 | 51 | 11.07 | 5 | 21.2 | 1.25 | 13 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
| 4/26 | 48 | 14.47 | 2 | 20.9 | 1.19 | 13 | 4.3 | 3.6 |
| 5/3 | 53 | 14.99 | 11 | 21.6 | 1.48 | 15 | 13.6 | 15.1 |
| 5/16 | 65 | 11.52 | 10 | 21.7 | 1.42 | 14 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| 5/17 | 66 | 11.22 | 1 | 19.2 | . 82 | 12 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 5/30 | 74 | 8.27 | 9 | 20.5 | 1.11 | 13 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| 6/13 | 71 | 7.41 | 4 | 21.3 | 1.29 | 13 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 6/14 | 74 | 7.69 | 2 | 21.3 | 1.33 | 14 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 6/27 | 74 | 6.91 | 7 | 21.9 | 1.44 | 14 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| 7/12 | 74 | 5.52 | 5 | 21.6 | 1.41 | 14 | 4.4 | 3.1 |
| 7/28 | 76 | 7.65 | 6 | 20.7 | 1.21 | 14 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| 8/9 | 70 | 12.57 | 4 | 22.8 | 1.81 | 15 | 3.5 | 1.9 |
| 8/22 | 83 | 8.36 | 5 | 21.9 | 1.53 | 15 | 6.7 | 4.5 |
| 9/6 | 71 | 9.10 | 5 | 22.9 | 1.94 | 16 | 10.9 | 5.7 |
| 9/22 | 70 | 6.03 | 6 | 20.6 | 1.24 | 14 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 10/5 | 59 | 12.37 | 4 | 21.2 | 1.36 | 14 | . 8 | . 6 |
| 10/19 | 47 | 7.60 | 5 | 22.1 | 1.55 | 14 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 11/2 | 45 | 9.27 | 5 | 22.1 | 1.55 | 14 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 11/15 | 39 | 11.53 | 3 | 21.9 | 1.49 | 14 | . 3 | . 2 |
| 11/30 | 33 | 6.76 | 6 | 22.1 | 1.40 | 13 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 2/6 | 32 | 8.20 | 2 | 20.9 | 1.21 | 13 | . 1 | . 8 |

Weight was not recorded for fish sampled on 5 April, 1972.
11.2 ml per stomach. Ration varied during the summer months ranging from 1.3 to 13.6 ml through 6 September. Beginning 22 September, at a water temperature of $70^{\circ}$ and continuing through 30 November when water temperature had dropped to $33^{\circ}$, food consumption was greatly lowered. Samples collected during this period contained a high proportion of fish with empty or with only trace amounts of residual material in their stomachs.

Neither was there a relationship between river discharge and food intake. High and low gage height readings were not associated with high or low volumes of stomach contents. Rather, the amount of the ratio was speculated to be controlled by availability as concluded by Modde (1973) in Missouri River populations. In the Mississippi River, food availability is probably controlled by a combination of seasonal abundance and vulnerability, competition and changing water levels.

## EARLY LIFE HISTORY

Attempts were made to collect larval sturgeon each year from 1971-73 for the purpose of locating spawning grounds. Sampling in 1971 was conducted by towing a cone shaped net measuring one meter in diameter near the surface at a speed of 5 knots. Mesh size on the tow net was 12.6 meshes per cm .

Three, 5 minute upstream tows were made at each of two sites, three times a week for 10 weeks ( 5 May-12 July). Station Ml was located in the tailwater of Lock and Dam 12 and Station M2 was located seven miles downstream in main channel border habitat at the lower end of a one-mile long gravel bar known locally as Sand Prairie.

Meter net sampling was continued in 1972 during a 20 week period (6 April17 August). Stations M1 and M2 were identical to those sampled in 1971. Number of samples differed as collections were made weekly rather than three times a week.

Efforts were expanded in 1972 to include bottom drift net collecting. Cone shaped drift nets 1.2 m in length with aperatures .61 x .46 m were anchored on the bottom for 10 minute periods. Mesh size of drift nets was also 12.6 meshes per cm. Three drift net stations were sampled. Stations D1 and D3 were adjacent to meter net Stations M1 and M2. Station D2 was located at the junction of main channel and main channel border habitats at the end of a submergent rock wing dike. Triplicate samples were taken weekly for 12 weeks (13 April-29 June) in conjunction with meter net sampling.

Only drift net samples were taken in 1973. Two sites at each station were sampled three times a week for six weeks (30 April-8 June). Sites D1a, D2a and D3a corresponded to Stations D1, D2 and D3 in 1972. Sites D1b, D2b and D3b were located adjacent to the sites designated as "a" but were nearer to shore. Dlb and D2b were at submerged rock wing dikes.

During the three year period, 300 meter net samples and 216 drift net samples captured 88,375 larval fish (Table 40). Included were 15 identifiable genera, a composite group of unidentified minnows and suckers and an unknown group of fish. Identifiable genera were: Aplodinotus, (freshwater drum); Cyprinus, (carp); Dorosoma, (gizzard shad); Esox, (northern pike); Hiodon, (mooneye); Ictalurus, (channel catfish); Lepomis, (bluegill and sunfish species); Lepisosteus, (gar);

Table 40. Summary of meter and drift net sampling and total fish collected during 1971-73.

| Samp1e <br> period | Year | Number <br> of <br> sampling site | Type <br> of <br> sample | Total <br> samples | Total <br> fish |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 May-12 July | 1971 | 2 | Meter net | 180 | 63,525 |
| 6 April-17 August | 1972 | 2 | Meter net | 120 | 15,278 |
| 13 April-29 June | 1972 | 3 | Drift net | 108 | 6,279 |
| 30 April-8 June | 1973 | 6 | Drift net | 108 | 3,293 |
| Grand total |  |  | 516 | 88,375 |  |

Micropterus, (black bass); Noturus, (stone cat and/or madtoms); Percina, (log perch and darters); Polyodon, (paddlefish); Pomoxis, (black and white crappie; Morone, (white bass); and Stizostedion, (walleye and sauger).

Although no larval sturgeon were taken by either sampling techniques, 0 -age sturgeon measuring as small as 1.7 inches FL were taken each year during late June near Station D2 by trawling. A11 successful traw1 tows were rather far from shore and usually located in main channel or main channel border habitat. The most successful hauls were those associated with submerged rock wing dams and either crossed or paralleled immediately above or below.

Wing dams were also highly productive fishing grounds for adults. Their rocky consistancy apparently provided excellent habitat not only for protective cover and current diversion structure, but a suitable substrate for primary food organisms. Wing dams may also function as spawning grounds.

## DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Populations of shovelnose sturgeon are undoubtedly much lower today than when the Mississippi River was a natural unimpounded water course. Further indications are that in this stream sturgeon populations have probably attained an equilibrium state with the environment and seem capable of supporting a moderate commercial harvest for food fish. Numerical catch restrictions are currently unwarranted for the sole purpose of protecting populations from over exploitation by the fishery. Total annual mortality was estimated from the age structure at about $60 \%$, but mortality from fishing ranged from $5-25 \%$ and did not affect total mortality. From these data the conclusion must be drawn that present levels of commercial harvest have no affect on sturgeon populations. According to the estimated total
mortality, exploitation could be increased from two to twelvefold before changes in numerical abundance would be expected. The only indication of extraordinarily heavy harvest affecting stock in the past two decađes was in the 1956-58 period when the harvest may have been a contributing factor for low catches in the early 1960's by reducing the number of mature sturgeon in certain pools to the level where year class strength was lowered.

Currently few restrictions on the harvest of shovelnose sturgeon exist in the Iowa section of the upper Mississippi River. Wisconsin maintains a 25 inch (TL) minimum length limit while Iowa and Illinois have no limits. There are no closed seasons or catch limits. Gear restrictions, although not specifically designed for sturgeon, never the less, control sturgeon harvest to some degree. Gill nets cannot be used because the large minimum mesh size renders them ineffective. Trammel nets, however, are often used as gill nets. Minimum mesh size for this gear is 2 inches (bar measure) in Iowa and Illinois and unrestricted in Wisconsin. Sturgeon fishermen generally use $21 / 2$ inch mesh which allows easier fish removal.

Trammel nets used in Illinois requires immediate attendance during daylight hours. Wisconsin allows trammel net drifting only and nets can be fished only from one hour before sunrise to 30 minutes after sunset. Iowa does not restrict the method nor time for tramnel net fishing. All three states have a restricted zone extending 900 ft below navigation dams, an area where sturgeon often congregate.

Though not immediately necessary as a harvest control measure, length limits have merit and would fit well into the biological aspects of the species and economics of the fishery. Age and growth studies show sturgeon grow quite rapidly until maturity. Permitting fish to approach maturity before harvest might increase both adult population level and permit the period of maximum growth rate to be completed.

Greatest market demand is for large fish. Supply, however, is nearly always insufficient to satisfy total demand and small fish are accepted. Some markets grade sturgeon and pay less for dressed fish under one pound. There is also less profit for smaller fish. Small fish may loose up to $40 \%$ during smoking compared to $25 \%$ for larger fish.

A length limit suitable for meeting these criteria is 22 inches (FL). Fish of this minimum length weight about 1.5 lbs , dressed weight about 1.05 lbs and smoked weight about . 75 lbs. Male fish of this size would be approaching maturity and within two years females would mature. Both attain maximum growth rate near the end of this age.

Under present harvest conditions fish < 22 inches contribute about $54 \%$ by number but only $38 \%$ of the total harvest weight. Harvest in Pool 9 consisted of larger fish and the percentages of fish $<22$ inches in that pool would be even smaller.

Vital statistics of shovelnose sturgeon at other theoretical length limits ranging from 18-24 inch FL are presented in Table 41. The percent change in the catch by number would be $3.9 \%$ at a minimum length limit of 18 inches to nearly $81 \%$ at 24 inches. By weight, the harvest would be reduced by $1.5 \%$ at 18 inches and nearly $69 \%$ at 24 inches.

Table 41. Vital statistics of shovelnose sturgeon at theoretical minimum size limits of 18-24 inches FL. Figures in parenthesis indicate confidence limits at the 95\% level.

Fork length (FL) in inches

|  | Fork length (FL) in inches |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 |
| Standard length (SL) | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ ( \pm .7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ ( \pm .8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.6 \\ ( \pm .9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \\ ( \pm 1.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total length (TL) | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ ( \pm 2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ ( \pm 2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \\ ( \pm 2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \\ ( \pm 2.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Live weight (lbs) | . 75 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 |
| Dressed weight | . 53 | . 70 | 1.05 | 1.40 |
| Smoked weight | . 33 | . 42 | . 75 | . 98 |
| Age range | III-IV | III-V | IV-VI | IV-VII |
| Years to maturity | 1-4 | 1-3 | 0-2 | 0-1 |
| Percent of smaller fish contributing to harvest by number | 3.9 | 20.2 | 53.7 | 80.9 |
| Percent of smaller fish contributing to harvest by weight | 1.5 | 11.2 | 38.5 | 68.9 |

Length limits would be more meaningful for this species if fork length or standard lengths were used rather than total lengths. Since total 1ength includes the fragile caudal filament and is a source of considerable variation. A 22 inch (FL) fish might vary $\pm 2$ inches in total length (24.4-28.4). The caudal filament of small fish is also longer in proportion to body length and 18 inch FL fish may measure as much as 24.6 inches (TL), overlapping in TL with a fish 22 inches (FL) having lost the filament.

Some sort of standardization of the rules governing the sturgeon fishery is most warranted at this time. By and large, Wisconsin imposes the most severe restriction on harvest by a minimum size limit. In comparison, Illinois and Iowa have few rules which actually restrict numerical harvest. Standardization would require general agreement and some changes in legal statutes and departmental rules. It appears only a strong effort by an interstate cooperating committee, such as the UMRCC, would solve the problem, and should command high priority.

Improvement of the commercial fishery reporting system remains a chronic problem despite personal encouragement to fishermen contacted during the study. Under reporting of the catch is particularly serious because it could result in overharvest while the reports show lower catch values. Most commercial fishermen are concerned about protection of fishing grounds and income tax audits. The monthly report card system initiated in the middle of this study improved accuracy considerably because a fisherman no longer must rely upon his memory for nearly a one year period. Evaluation of the improvement by use of the card system is vital for reliable catch statistics.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge all those who contributed to the success of this study. Tom Boland and Leo Schlunz assisted in experimental netting, collection and tabulation of field data and analyzed specimens. Jim Mayhew offered technical assistance throughout the study and edited the manuscript. Mrs. Kathy Schlutz typed the manuscript and Kenneth Formanek offered technical assistance in preparation of photographs. Figures were drawn by Richard Davidson. The author is particularly grateful to the fine cooperation extended by commercial fishermen and marketing personne1, especially for their help in recovering and reporting tagged fish.

## LITERATURE CITED AND REFERENCES

Shovelnose sturgeon have in the past and perhaps shall continue to be overshadowed by larger and more spectacular sturgeon species. As a result, little research has heretofore been conducted on this species, and few references are available. A selected list of publications pertaining to the species and related subjects are therefore included with literature cited in order to help future studies of this interesting but neglected remnant.

Bailey, R. and F. Cross. 1954. River sturgeon of the American genus, Scaphirhynchus: Characteristics, distribution, and synonomy. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts and Letters, Vol. 39, Part II (Zoology), 169-208.

Barney, R. 1924. Notes from the Fairport (Iowa) Station. Fisheries Service Bulletin No. 105, February, 1924, p. 2. U.S. Bureau of Fisheries, Washington.

Barnicko1, P. and W. Starrett. 1951. Commercial and sport fishes of the Mississippi River between Caruthersville, Missouri and Dubuque, Iowa. Bul1. I11. Nat. History Surv. $25(5): 267-350$.

Boland, T. 1974. Sturgeon - A prehistoric remnant. Iowa Conservationist $33(10): 8-9,11$.

Carlander, H. 1954. A history of fish and fishing in the upper Mississippi River. Upper Miss. River Conserv. Comm. 96 p.

Carlander, K. 1969. Handbook of freshwater fishery biology, Vol. I, 3rd ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press. 752 p.

Christenson, L. 1970. Wisconsin's other sturgeon. Wisc. Conserv. Bull. $35(3): 10-11$.

Coker, R. 1914. Water-power development in relation to fishes and mussels of the Mississippi. Appendix VIII, Report, U.S. Commissioner of Fisheries for 1913 (1914). Bur. of Fish. Doc. No. 805, 28 p., VI P1s. Washington.
$\qquad$ . 1930. Studies of common fishes of the Mississippi River at Keokuk. Bull. U.S.D.A. Bur. of Fish., Vol. XLV, 1929.

Cuerrier, J. 1951. The use of pectoral fin rays for determining age of sturgeon and other species of fish. Can. Fish. Cult. 11:10-17.
and G. Roussow. 1951. Age and growth of lake sturgeon from Lake
St. Francis, St. Lawrence River. Report on material collected in 1947. Can. Fish. Cult. 10:17-29.

Dees, L. 1961. Sturgeons. U.S. Fish and Wild1. Serv., Fishery Leaflet 526, 8 p.

Everman, B. 1899. Report on investigations by the United States Fish Commission in Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas, in 1897. Report, U.S. Commissioner of Fish and Fisheries for 1898 (1899), pp. 285-310, pls, 8-36. Washington.

- 1902. Description of a new species of shad (Alosa ohiensis) with notes on other food fishes of the Ohio River. Report, U.S. Commissioner of Fish and Fisheries for 1901 (1902), pp. 273-288, 6 text figs. Washington.

Fogle, N. 1961. Report of fisheries investigations during the second year of impoundment of Oahe Reservoir, South Dakota, 1959. S.D. Dept. of Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-9 (Jobs 12-14): 43 p.
$\qquad$ - 1961. Report of fisheries investigations during the third year of impoundment of Oahe Reservoir, South Dakota, 1960. S.D. Dept. of Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-10 (Jobs 9-12): 57 p.
. 1963a. Report of fisheries investigations during the fourth year of impoundment of Oahe Reservoir, South Dakota, 1961. S.D. Dept. of Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-11 (Jobs 10-12): 43 pp.

- 1963b. Report of fisheries investigations during the fourth year of impoundment of Oahe Reservoir, South Dakota, 1962. S.D. D-J Proj., F-1-R-12 (Jobs 10-12): 43 p . (The title is "fourth year", but the report apparently refers to the fifth year of impoundment).

Forbes, S. and R. Richardson. 1905. On a new shovelnose sturgeon from the Mississippi River. I11. Lab. Nat. History Bul. 7(4):37-44.
and $\qquad$ . 1908. The fishes of Illinois. Nat. Hist. Surv. of Illinois, State Lab. of Nat. Hist., Vol. III, 1908, 357 p. 76 figs. and many plates, partly colored. Danville, Ill.

Goode, G. 1884. The fisheries and fishery industries of the United States. Sec. I, Text, 895 p . Washington.

Green, W. 1935. The distribution of Wisconsin fishes. Wisc. Conserv. Comm., Madison, Wisc. 235 p.

Harlan J. and E. Speaker. 1959. Iowa fish and fishing. 4th ed. Iowa Cons. Comm., Des Moines, Iowa. 365 p.

Held, J. 1969. Some early summer foods of the shovelnose sturgeon in the Missouri River. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 98 (3):514-517.

Helms, D. 1970. Sturgeon harvest in the Mississippi River bordering Iowa. Iowa Cons. Comm., Quart. Biol. Repts., 22(4):55-60.
. 1972. Progress report on the first year study of shovelnose sturgeon in the Mississippi River. Iowa Cons. Comm., Ann. Prog. Rept. for Proj. 2-156-R-1, 22 p.
. 1973. Progress report on the second year study of shovelnose Sturgeon in the Mississippi River. Iowa Cons. Comm., Prog. Rept. for Proj. 2-156-R-2, 33 p.
. 1974. Age and growth of shovelnose sturgeon, Scaphirynchus platorynchus (Rafinesque), in the Mississippi River. Proc. Ia. Acad. Sci., 81(2): 73-75.

Hoopes, D. 1960. Utilization of mayflies and caddis flies by some Mississippi River fish. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc., 89 (1):32-34.

Jordan, D. and B. Everman. 1896. The fishes of North and middle America. U.S. Nat. Hist. Mus. Bull. No. 47. 1240 p.
and $\qquad$ . 1908. American food and game fishes. Doubleday, Page and Co. 571 p .
$\qquad$ , and H. Clark. 1930. Check list of the fishes and fish-1ike vertebrates of North and middle America north of the northern boundary of Venezuela and Columbia. Rep. U.S. Comm. Fish. 670 p.

Katz, M. 1954. Reproduction of fish. Data for Handbook of Biological Data. 22 p.

Keenlyne, K. (ed). 1974. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee investigational reports. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee. Rock Island, Il1. 179 p.

Kirsch, P., and M. Fordice. 1890. A review of the American species of sturgeons (Acipenseridae). Proc. Acad. of Nat. Sci. of Philadelphia, Vol. XLI, 1889 (1890), pp. 245-257. Philadelphia.

Minckley, W. 1959. Fishes of the Big Blue River Basin, Kansas. Univ. Kans. Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist., $11(7): 401-442$.

Modde, T. 1973. Food selectivity of the shovelnose sturgeon, Scaphirynchus platorynchus, in the Missouri River. M.A. Thesis. Univ. of S.D., Vermillion, S.D. 109 p.

Monson, M. and J. Greenbank. 1946. Size and maturity of hackleback sturgeon. U.M.R.C.C. Invest. Rept. No. 9, 6 p.

Nelson, W. 1961. Report of fisheries investigations during the sixth year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1960. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-10 (Jobs 2-4): 59 p.
$\qquad$ . 1962. Report of fisheries investigations during the seventh year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1961. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-11 (Jobs 1-3,7): 40 p.

Probst, R. and E. Cooper. 1955. Age, growth, and reproduction of the lake sturgeon, Acipenser flavescens, in the Lake Winnebago Region, Wisconsin. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 87:207-227.

Rounsefell, G. and W. Everhart. 1958. Fishery science, Its methods and applications. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 444 p.

Schmulbach, J. 1974. An ecological study of the Missouri River prior to channelization. Completion Report, Proj. No. B-024-SDAK, Univ. of S.D., Vermillion, S.D. 34 p.

Shields, J. 1955. Report of fisheries investigations during the second year of impoundment of Fort Randall Reservoir, South Dakota, 1954. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks. 100 p. (Mimeo).
$\qquad$ - 1956. Report of fisheries investigations during the third year of impoundment of Fort Randa11 Reservoir, South Dakota, 1955. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-6: 60 p.

- 1957a. Report of fisheries investigations during the second year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1956. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J. Proj., F-1-R-6: 34 pp.
. 1957b. Report of fisheries investigations during the fourth year of impoundment of Fort Randall Reservoir, South Dakota, 1956. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-6: 60 pp.
. 1958. Report of fisheires investigations during the third year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1957. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-7: 48 p.

Sprague, J. 1959. Report of fisheries investigations during the fourth year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1958. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks. 42 pp.
. 1960. Report of fisheries investigations during the fifth year of impoundment of Gavins Point Reservoir, South Dakota, 1959. D-J Proj., F-1-R-9: 47 p. (Mimeo).
1961. Report of fisheries investigations during the seventh year of impoundment of Fort Randall Reservoir, South Dakota, 1959. S.D. Dept. Game, Fish and Parks, D-J Proj., F-1-R-9 (Jobs 5-8): 49 p.
Starrett, W. and P. Barnickol. 1955. Efficiency and selectivity of commercial fishing devices used on the Mississippi River. I11. Nat. Hist. Surv. Bull. $26(4): 325-366$.
Sternberg, R. 1971. Upper Mississippi River habitat classification survey. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee, Fish Tech. Sec. Report.
Trautman, M. 1957. The fishes of Ohio. Ohio St. Univ. Press. 683 p.
Walburg, C. 1964. Fish population studies, Lewis and Clark Lake, Missouri River, 1956 to 1962. Spec. Sci. Rep., U.S. Fish Wild1. Serv., 482:1-27.
Zweiacker, P. 1967. Aspects of the life history of the shovelnose sturgeon, Scaphirynchus platorynchus (Rafinesque), in the Missouri River. M.A. Thesis, Univ. of S.D., Vermillion, S.D. 46 p.



[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Less than $.5 \%$ and less than $.05 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$.

