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Period Covered:
1 July, 1977 through 30 June, 1978

ABSTRACT: Smallmouth bass population dynamics were studied in two areas of the Maquoketa River, Deloware County. Bass were captured in spring and auturn by electrofishing. Length, weight, and scale samples were taken from many bass while some were tagged prior to release. These data were supplemented by an expandable sport fishery survey. Estimates of smallmouth bass populations were computed. Spring sampling accounted for 2,956 bass while the auturn catch was 1,156, $23 \%$ were 200 mm ( 7.9 in ) in length or greater. Bass growth was superior to that of fish in most streams in Iowa averaging 105, 194, 267, 325, 374, 411, 481,510 and $529 \mathrm{~mm}(4.1,7.7,10.5,12.9,14.8,16.2,19.0,20.1$ and 20.9 in ) for ages I-IX. Density of smallmouth bass in Area I was $88 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}(35.6 / a c)$ and standing stock was $9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(8.0 \mathrm{Zbs} / a c)$ while in Area II the density and standing stock was $741 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{h} \alpha$ and $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(300.1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ and $40.1 \mathrm{Zbs} / a c)$, respectively. Densities of bass < $200 \mathrm{~mm}(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ were 70 and 695 bass/ha (28.3 and 281.3 bass/ac) for Areas I and II, respectively; while density of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ $(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ was 6 and $15 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(5.4$ and $13.4 \mathrm{Zbs} / a c$ ), respectively. Information from 696 angler interviews in Area I and 2,173 contacts in Area II estimated a total harvest of 79 smallmouth bass or $3.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(3.2 \mathrm{Zbs} / a c)$ from Area $I$ and 713 bass or $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(17.8 \mathrm{Zbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) from Area II. Catch rates were .02 and .08 bass/hr for Area I and II, respectively. Exploitation of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 7.9 in ) was $37 \%$ in Area I and 55\% in Area II while total annual mortality was $62 \%$ and $66 \%$, respectively. Critical size of smallmouth bass in Area I was 348 mm (13.7 in), ages $I V-V$; and $520 \mathrm{~mm}(20.5 \mathrm{in})$, ages VIII-IX, for Area II.
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To identify the physical, chemical, and biological factors that influence smallmouth bass population abrondance and structure in the Maquoketa River, and similar northeast Iowa streams and utilize these factors to develop strategies for experimental management of the smallmouth bass fishery.

JOB 1 OBJECTIVE

To determine the numerical population size, production and reproductive success, mortality and angler exploitation of smallmouth bass from two separate study areas of the Maquoketa River in Deloware Comty.

## INTRODUCTION

Approximately 4,500 miles of streams flow through northeast Iowa and contain a diversity of fish species. A statewide survey of Iowa anglers in 1975 documented the importance of stream fishing in this region. Among the fish species sought by fishermen was smallmouth bass.

Fish management personnel identified two elements that have significant impact on smallmouth bass populations, these are overharvest and habitat deterioration (personal communication; Dave Moeller, Don Degan and Gaige Wunder). The importance of these factors in controlling abundance of smallmouth bass must be quantified prior to development of a management plan for the species.

## STUDY BACKGROUND

Smallmouth bass are an important native fish species in northeast Iowa streams. A recent survey of anglers in Iowa indicated fishermen preferred to fish in streams over all others. Regard for the smallmouth bass fishery was expressed as early as the 1940's when food habits and growth of bass were studied (Tate, 1949a and 1949b). This investigation revealed $99 \%$ of the bass in the study streams were age IV or younger and downstream drift appeared responsible for the lack of older fish. Other studies documented the importance of tributary streams for smallmouth bass reproduction and the deleterious impact of heavy precipitation during post-spawning periods (Cleary, 1956). Creel survey data indicated smallmouth bass comprised up to $2 \%$ of the catch in the Wapsipinicon River, $11 \%$ in the Maquoketa River and $15 \%$ in the Iowa River (Schacht, 1965a and 1965b).

Recent smallmouth bass life history investigations in the Turkey River revealed few fish were age $V$ or older and that fish growth was comparable to other midwestern streams (Ackerman, 1974). The study also revealed smallmouth bass comprised $6 \%$ of the total electrofishing and net gear catch.

Another life history investigation of smallmouth bass in the Upper Iowa River (Wunder, 1976) showed a population density of $163 \mathrm{bass} / \mathrm{km}(263 / \mathrm{mi}$ ) from $76-483 \mathrm{~mm}(3-19 \mathrm{in})$. Few smallmouth bass fishermen were found, but their catch and success was reported similar to that of other midwestern streams.

The Maquoketa River was selected as the primary study stream; it is a typical river in northeast Iowa containing a variety of habitat. Smallmouth bass abundance in the river varies from pool to pool and angling pressure and access is similar to other streams.

Maquoketa River headwaters and about $50 \%$ of its watershed are found in the Iowan Surface (Figure 1), to the north and east lies the Palezoic Platueau. While the remaining $50 \%$ passes through the Southern Iowa Drift Plain. The Maquoketa River traverses 225 km ( 140 miles ) and five counties from its origin to its mouth at the Mississippi River and drains $4,474 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{km}(1,843 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{mi})$.

Two study areas were selected on the Maquoketa River in Delware County (Figure 2). Area I located at Pin Oak County Conservation Board Park, was 5 km ( 3 mi ) south of Manchester. The study area is about $1.44 \mathrm{~km}(.89 \mathrm{mi}$ ) in length and contains 3.97 ha ( 9.81 ac ). Area II, located $3 \mathrm{~km}(2 \mathrm{mi}$ ) west of Delhi, begins at the base of Delhi Dam and extends $3.54 \mathrm{~km}(2.2 \mathrm{mi})$ downstream. Area II contained 10.03 ha ( 24.77 ac ). Area I was subdivided into two segments and Area II into 10. Each segment was comprised of a single pool and riffle.

## METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Smallmouth bass were captured with a 230 V AC boomshocker during spring (April-early May) and autumn (late September-early October), weighed and measured in total length (TL). Bass $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 7.9 in) were marked by clipping the bottom caudal fin and larger bass received a left pelvic clip (half of fin). About 20\% of the bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 7.9 in) were also tagged with serially numbered Floy anchor tags. Scale samples were collected from about 200 fish. All bass were released.

A numerical population estimate was calculated for each segment by the Chapman modification of the Schnabel multiple census function

$$
\hat{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{\sum\left(\mathrm{M}_{t} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)}{\sum \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}+1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{N} & =\text { population estimate } \\
M_{t} & =\text { total number of marked fish at large on day } t \\
C_{t} & =\text { the total catch for day } t, \text { and } \\
R_{r} & +1=\text { the total number of recaptures }+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Variance of N was computed by treating the medium sized R sample as a normally distributed variable (Ricker, 1975)

$$
V(1 / N)=\frac{R+1}{\sum\left(C_{t} M_{t}\right)^{2}}
$$




Figure 2. Maquoketa River Study Areas I and II, Delaware County.

Confidence intervals were also calculated. Total population estimates for each study area was derived by an independent estimate.

Scale impressions were made on cellulose acetate slides and viewed on a scale projector at 40X. Annuli were counted and measurements made along the anterior scale radius. Scale measurements, lengths, and weights were processed by computer (Mayhew, 1973).

An expandable sport fishery survey was conducted by the Fish Management Branch to determine angler catch and harvest of smallmouth bass. Exploitation was expressed as the ratio of marked fish observed in the creel to the number at large. Instantaneous total mortality was computed from age distribution.

## FINDINGS

SMALLMOUTH BASS SIZE STRUCTURE
Spring sampling accounted for a catch of 2,956 smallmouth bass; of which, a length frequency distribution was constructed for 897 fish (Table 1). Bass ranged from $65 \mathrm{~mm}(2.6 \mathrm{in})$ to 530 mm TL ( 20.9 in ). Weights ranged from $2 \mathrm{~g}(<.01$ lbs) to 2 kg ( 4.4 lbs ). Autumn sampling accounted for a catch of 1,156 bass and a length frequency distribution was tabulated for 1,124 ranging from 35 mm ( 1.4 in ) to 529 mm TL ( 20.8 in ) (Table 1). Weights ranged from $1 \mathrm{~g}(<.01 \mathrm{lbs})$ to 2.2 kg (4.9 1bs).

WEIGHT-LENGTH RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTORS (K)
The weight-length relationship of smallmouth bass from Area I was

$$
\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-5.22+3.15 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}
$$

and bass from Area II was

$$
\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-5.35+3.19 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}
$$

The $K$ factors of bass, arranged in 10 mm (. 4 in ) class intervals, sampled in Area I ranged from . 93 to 2.11 , with a mean of 1.35 , while $K$ factors of bass in Area II ranged from 1.10-1.67, with a mean of 1.34. Statistical comparisons of weight-length relationships and $K$ factors showed no significant difference between study areas.

## GROWTH

Scale samples and lengths were collected from 81 smallmouth bass in Area I and 136 bass in Area II.

Body-scale regression for bass from the Area I sample was

$$
\mathrm{TL}=48.49+1.83 \mathrm{ScR}
$$

Table 1. Length frequency distribution of smallmouth bass captured in the Maquoketa River, spring and autumn, 1977.

| Class interval |  | Spring |  | Autumn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mm) | (inches) | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 30-49 | 1.2-1.9 |  |  | 1 | $<1$ |
| 50-69 | 2.0-2.7 | 1 | $<1$ | 4 | < 1 |
| 70-89 | 2.8-3.5 | 24 | 3 | 86 | 8 |
| 90-109 | 3.6-4.3 | 159 | 18 | 118 | 10 |
| 110-129 | 4.3-5.1 | 163 | 18 | 78 | 7 |
| 130-149 | 5.1-5.9 | 32 | 4 | 353 | 31 |
| 150-169 | 5.9-6.7 | 36 | 4 | 231 | 21 |
| 170-189 | 6.7-7.5 | 93 | 10 | 89 | 8 |
| 190-209 | 7.5-8.2 | 114 | 13 | 52 | 5 |
| 210-229 | 8.3-9.0 | 67 | 7 | 21 | 2 |
| 230-249 | 9.1-9.8 | 50 | 6 | 17 | 2 |
| 250-269 | 9.9-10.6 | 27 | 3 | 17 | 2 |
| 270-289 | 10.7-11.4 | 31 | 3 | 25 | 2 |
| 290-309 | 11.4-12.2 | 26 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| 310-329 | 12.2-13.0 | 23 | 3 | 10 | 1 |
| 330-349 | 13.0-13.8 | 18 | 2 | 2 | $<1$ |
| 350-369 | 13.8-14.6 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 370-389 | 14.6-15.4 | 9 | 1 | 3 | < 1 |
| 390-409 | 15.4-16.1 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| 410-429 | 16.2-16.9 |  |  | 1 | < 1 |
| 430-449 | 17.0-17.7 | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| 450-469 | 17.8-18.5 | 2 | $<1$ |  |  |
| 470-489 | 18.6-19.3 | 2 | < 1 |  |  |
| 510-529 | 20.1-20.9 |  |  | 1 | $<1$ |
| 530-549 | 20.9-21.7 | 1 | $<1$ |  |  |
| Total |  | 897 |  | 1,124 |  |

while the relationship for bass in the Area II sample was

$$
\mathrm{TL}=48.44+2.00 \mathrm{ScR}
$$

These relationships were used to back-calculate total body length at each annulus. Average TL for bass ages I-VI in Study Area I were 106, 185, 280, 331, 364 and $382 \mathrm{~mm}(4.2,7.3,11.0,13.0,14.3$ and 15.0 in ), respectively (Figure 3). Average TL for bass ages I-IX in Study Area II were 105, 178, 254, 320, 384, $440,481,510$ and $529 \mathrm{~mm}(4.1,7.0,10.0,12.6,15.1,17.3,18.9,20.1$ and $20.8 \mathrm{in})$, respectively (Figure 3).

## POPULATION ESTIMATES AND STANDING STOCK

Smallmouth bass population estimates were computed during spring and autumn for each segment and area. In addition, estimates were further partitioned by computing estimates of bass $<200 \mathrm{~mm}(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ and larger bass (Table 2).


Figure 3. Back calculated total lengths at annulus for smallmouth bass in Areas I and II, Maquoketa River, 1977, sample size in parenthesis.

Table 2. Population estimates and estimated standing stock of smallmouth bass $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ in study segments of the Maquoketa River, spring 1977.

|  | < 200 mm |  |  |  | $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population size (N) | Confidence interval | Standing stock |  | Population size (N) | Confidence interval | Standing stock |  |
|  |  |  | (kg/ha) | ( $1 \mathrm{bs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) |  |  | (kg/ha) | (1bs/ac) |
| Area I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment 1 | 166 | 121- 260 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 26 | 19-40 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| Segment 2 | 110 | 68- 288 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 109 | 46- $\infty$ | 180.4 | 161.0 |
| Total | $276{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 218-422 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 73 | 59-111 | 6.1 | 5.4 |
| Area II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment 1 | 497 | 353-841 | 17.7 | 15.8 | 22 | 13-57 | 5.8 | 5.2 |
| Segment 2 | 842 | 639-1,235 | 29.2 | 26.0 | 26 | 16-64 | 17.1 | 15.3 |
| Segment 3 | 1,833 | 1,432-2,546 | 53.9 | 48.1 | 46 | 28-133 | 8.6 | 7.7 |
| Segment 4 | 1,162 | 906-1,621 | 49.7 | 44.3 | 53 | 23-154 | 11.4 | 10.2 |
| Segment 5 | 486 | 315-1,064 | 94.0 | 83.9 | 93 | 59-213 | 88.0 | 78.5 |
| Segment 6 | 9 | $6-\infty$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | -- | --- | --- | --- |
| Segment 7 | 579 | 465-767 | 78.4 | 70.0 | 56 | 34-147 | 31.0 | 27.7 |
| Segment 8 | 428 | 311- 687 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 57 | 41-95 | 16.2 | 14.5 |
| Segment 9 | 773 | 501-1,693 | 24.7 | 22.0 | 32 | 18-125 | 11.0 | 9.8 |
| Segment 10 | 140 | 93- 283 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 52 | 29-263 | 9.1 | 8.1 |
| Total | 6,969 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6,206-7,946 | 30.3 | 27.0 | 463 | 381-590 | 14.9 | 13.3 |

[^0]Standing stock of smallmouth bass was calculated from the numerical population estimates and mean bass weight in each segment (Table 2). Total standing stock was computed from the mean weight of bass in each area and population estimate.

Smallmouth bass sampling during autumn was not as effective as spring; consequently, population estimates calculated during the later season had wide confidence intervals and many could not be computed. An estimate of 1670 -age smallmouth bass or $.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ (.5 lbs/ac) was calculated for Segment 1 of Area I from catching 82 and recapturing 13. A total catch of 1560 -age bass in Area II and the recapture of 8 yielded an estimate of 1,3450 -age smallmouth bass or $1.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(1.2 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac})$. A total catch of 23 bass from $120-200 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TL}$ ( $4.7-7.9 \mathrm{in}$ ) in Segment 1 of Area I and the recapture of 4 resulted in an estimate of 35 bass, while a catch of 765 and recapture of 20 resulted in an estimate of 9,938 bass in Study Area II, 991 bass/ha ( $401 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ ). Bass estimates $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}(7.9 \mathrm{in}$ ) were unsuccessful in both areas and although most meet criteria established by Robson and Regier (1964) recaptures were so few it is doubtful the few estimates calculated are accurate.

## SMALLMOUTH BASS MOVEMENT

Sixty-two smallmouth bass were tagged during the first trial of the spring sampling period; of these, 32 were recaptured at least once. Tagged bass were recaptured 46 times, four fish were recaptured three times and one fish was recaptured four times. Fourteen bass were tagged in Area I, of which, 14 recaptures occurred for 8 fish. Only one bass was recaptured outside the segment of original capture; however, the fish was captured a week later back in the segment of original capture.

Smallmouth bass sampled in Area II exhibited greater movement as compared to fish sampled in Area I. Of the 32 recaptured marked bass $47 \%$ were captured outside of the segment of original capture, about $33 \%$ were one segment upstream or downstream, the remainder had moved further (Table 3). Of the bass that moved 33 percent were bass tagged in Segment 5 of Area II.

Movement of bass upstream from Area I was not determined but electrofishing for bass downstream from the area was made with no detectable movement. Upstream travel by bass in Area II was impossible because of the Delhi Dam. Downstream movement was not important. Captures of approximately 75 smallmouth bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ (7.9 in) downstream from Area II revealed three marked fish while a catch of 100 smaller fish provided no recaptures. Population estimates were not adjusted because of movement.

## ANGLER CATCH AND EXPLOITATION

Sport fishery survey data from Areas I and II of the Maquoketa River were used to determine smallmouth bass harvest, catch rates, angler pressure, and exploitation. An estimated 4,549 fishermen fished 3,257 hours in Area $I$ and an estimated 10,020 anglers fished 10,502 hours in Area II (Table 4). Smallmouth bass harvest and catch rate was higher in Area II than Area I; 713 bass and .08 bass $/ \mathrm{hr}$ compared to 79 bass and $.02 \mathrm{bass} / \mathrm{hr}$. Bass weight was similar, $4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(3.6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac})$ for Area I compared to $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(17.8 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac})$ for Area II.

Exploitation of smallmouth bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ was computed by expanding the number of fin clipped bass observed during the sport fishery survey. In Area I fishermen harvested 11 smallmouth bass of which 3 were marked providing an estimated harvest of 19 bass of 51 marked fish at large for an exploitation of 37 percent. Estimated exploitation was 55 percent for bass in Area II. The survey clerk saw 152 bass during the survey period of which 30 were fin clipped, providing an estimated harvest of 138 marked fish of 250 at large.

## AGE STRUCTURE OF HARVEST

Age class composition to harvest in Areas I and II was obtained by scale sample analysis from 121 angler captured smallmouth bass. Smallmouth bass entered the catch in their second year of life and approximately $86 \%$ of the bass caught were age I-III (Table 5). Size ranged from $150-450 \mathrm{~mm}$ (5.9-17.7 in). Average length was 259 mm ( 10.2 in ) and 242 mm ( 9.5 in ) for Areas I and II, respectively.

Table 5. Length frequency distribution of angler caught smallmouth bass in Areas I and II of the Maquoketa River, 1977.

| Class interval |  |  | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (mm) | (inches) |  |  |
|  | 150-169 | 5.9-6.6 | 10 | 6 |
|  | 170-189 | 6.7-7.4 | 8 | 5 |
|  | 190-209 | 7.5-8.2 | 11 | 7 |
|  | 210-229 | 8.3-9.0 | 16 | 10 |
|  | 230-249 | 9.1-9.8 | 18 | 11 |
|  | 250-269 | 9.9-10.6 | 27 | 16 |
|  | 270-289 | 10.7-11.4 | 22 | 13 |
|  | 290-309 | 11.5-12.2 | 18 | 11 |
|  | 310-329 | 12.2-13.0 | 11 | 7 |
|  | 330-349 | 13.0-13.8 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 350-369 | 13.8-14.5 | 10 | 6 |
|  | 370-389 | 14.6-15.3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 390-409 | 15.4-16.1 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 450-469 | 17.8-18.5 | 1 | 1 |
| Total |  |  | 165 |  |

ANNUAL MORTALITY

Age distribution provided an estimated instantaneous total mortality of .99 for Area I, ages II-VI, and 1.07 for Area II, ages II-IX (Figure 4). Total annual mortality was $62 \%$ for Area I and $66 \%$ for Area II.


Figure 4. Age structure for smallmouth bass, Areas I and II, Maquoketa River, 1977.

Smallmouth bass critical size (Ricker, 1945) was estimated to determine the length and age at which a year class of bass attains maximum biomass. Critical length was $331-364 \mathrm{~mm}(13-14.3 \mathrm{in})$ and ages $I V$ and $V$ for bass in Area $I$ and of 510-529 mm (20.1-20.8 in) and ages VIII-IX for bass in Area II (Tables 6 and 7).

Table 6. Back calculated length and weight and instantaneous growth (G) and natural mortality rate (M) for smallmouth bass, Maquoketa River, Area I.

| Age | Length <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | G | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 106 | 14 | 1.7548 | .398 |
| II | 185 | 84 | 1.3056 | .398 |
| IV | 280 | 308 | .5266 | .398 |
| V | 331 | 522 | .2996 | .398 |
| VI | 364 | 704 | .1522 | .398 |

Table 7. Back calculated lengths and weights and instantaneous growth (G) and natural mortality rate (M) of smallmouth bass, Maquoketa River, Area II.

| Age | Length <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Weight <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | G | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 105 | 5 | 1.6836 | .178 |
| III | 178 | 67 | 1.1341 | .178 |
| IV | 254 | 210 | .7369 | .178 |
| V | 320 | 783 | .5816 | .178 |
| VII | 480 | 1,210 | .2834 | .178 |
| VIII | 481 | 1,950 | .1934 | .178 |
| IX | 510 | 2,176 | .1108 | .178 |

## DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Growth of bass in the Naquoketa River was superior to that of most Iowa streams. Smallmouth bass grew at a rate slower than that of fish in the Des Moines River (Reynolds, 1964) for the first four years of life then exceeded it. Growth was greater than that in the Turkey River (Ackerman, 1974), Upper Iowa River (Wunder, 1975), and Coffin Creek (Tate, 1947), and was comparable to that of the same population in an earlier investigation (Degan, 1976).

Comparison of population estimates between areas and segments demonstrated dramatic changes in bass abundance within short distances. In general, the density of bass in Area II was 10 -fold greater than that of Area I, most of the difference is indicative of changes in the proportion of smallmouth bass habitat as demonstrated in Job 3 of this report.

Movement of bass < 200 mm (7.9 in) is not serious because of their sedentary nature, but movement of larger fish influenced population estimates in each segment. Over $50 \%$ of the recaptured bass in Area II were within the segment of original capture, many other bass were recaptured within one segment of original capture but $33 \%$ of the movement was of bass tagged in Segment 5. Movement of marked bass from Pool 5 reduced the numerical estimates of other pools and increased the
estimate in Pool 5; thus, movement accounts for the exceptionally high density and standing stock of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ in this pool. Movement of bass in Area I was unimportant.

Catches of smallmouth bass $>200 \mathrm{~mm}$ (7.9 in) during the autumn sampling period was seriously biased by movement of bass into deep pools. Segments that were inhabited by all size ranges of bass during spring electrofishing provided similar catches during early autumn sampling; however, catches of larger bass decreased as water temperature dropped, 10 bass $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ( 26 September) at about $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 6.8 bass $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ( 6 October) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Catch of larger bass on the last trial were limited to Segments $1-4$ of Area II. Segments $1-4$ contained the three deepest pools of Area II: Segment 2 was 4.9 m ( 16 ft ), Segment 1 was 3.7 m ( 12.1 ft ) and Segment 4 was $1.9 \mathrm{~m}(6.2 \mathrm{ft})$.

Survival of 0 -age bass may have been supressed by the preceding age class. A strong 1976 year class was evident during spring and fall sampling. During mid-spring schools of 0-age smallmouth bass in the "black fry" stage could be observed at the river margin and were frequently accompanied by an adult male. 0 -age bass were also associated with cobble and gravel substrate, the same region occupied by age I bass. During fall sampling 0 -age bass were relatively uncommon in comparison to age I fish and were captured in the same habitat type. Intraspecific competition for food or space and cannibalism were the probable reasons for failure of the 1977 year class.

Standing stock of smallmouth bass in Area II was exceptional in comparison to other streams. Standing stock computed for Area II was $45 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( $40 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) while an estimate of about $9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(8 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) was calculated for Area I. Ranges of 52 to $83 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 46 to $74 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) of smallmouth bass were recorded for Livingston Branch, Wisconsin (Brynildson and Troug, 1965) while a standing stock of $33 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ (29 $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) was determined for Jordan Creek, Illinois (Larimore et al., 1962). A mean of 17.5 ( $15 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and $15.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( $13 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) smallmouth bass were estimated in the Plover and Red Cedar Rivers, Wisconsin (Paragamian and Coble, 1975), respectively,; $17.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{( } 15 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) in the Potomac River, Maryland (Sanderson, 1958) and 9.0 and $8.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 8 and $8 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for smallmouth bass in Huzzah and Courtois Creek, Missouri (Fajen, 1972).

Fishing pressure of 820 and $1,050 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 332 and $425 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for Areas $I$ and II, respectively, was greater than that supported by other streams in North America. Surber and Seaman (1949) estimated fishing pressure at $143 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( $58 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for the South Branch Potomac River, Maryland; Sanderson (1958) estimated 74 and $98 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( 30 and $40 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for Potomac River, Marlyland; Funk and Fleener (1966) found a range of $77-133 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}(31-54 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for Niangua River, Missouri; Fleener (1971) estimated ranges of $353-477 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}(143-193 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and $264-469 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ (107$190 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for Huzzah and Courtois Creeks, Missouri; while Paragamian and Coble (1975) found $318 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ha}$ ( $129 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) for Red Cedar River, Wisconsin. Easy public access to most of the stream and lack of public fishing waters nearby are the most important reasons for the attraction to the study areas. It should be noted most fishermen were seeking crappie and channel catfish but caught and readily accepted smallmouth bass during their endeavor.

A substantial portion of the numerical density and weight of the smallmouth bass population was comprised of fish ages I and II. About $94 \%$ of the numerical population estimate was comprised of bass under 200 mm ( 7.9 in) while about $66 \%$ of the total weight was also of small bass. Such size structure places heavy reliance of the fishery on the younger segment of the population. Eighty-six
percent of the angler catch in the Maquoketa River were age I-III while the mean size was $259 \mathrm{~mm}(10.2 \mathrm{in})$ and 242 mm ( 9.5 in ) for Areas I and II. These findings were similar to other sport fishery surveys conducted on rivers with no minimum length limits (Brown, 1960; Paragamian and Coble, 1975; and Fleener, 1975).

Fishing mortality accounted for a substantial segment of total annual mortality of smallmouth bass in the Maquoketa River. Total annual mortalities of 62 and $66 \%$ were computed for Areas I and II, respectively, while angler exploitation was $37 \%$ and $55 \%$.. Harvests up to $20 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}(17.8 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) were greater than total standing stocks of many bass populations across North America (Paragamian and Coble, 1975).

The large critical size of sma11mouth bass in the Maquoketa River, 331-364 mm (13-14.3 in) and $510-529 \mathrm{~mm}$ (20.1-20.8 in) for Areas I and II, was due to excellent growth and low natural mortality, . 398. The latter is a serious underestimate of true natural mortality (M). Since exploitation of bass older than age I was high it is very difficult to measure true natural mortality with precision. In a similar case, natural mortality of bass in Huzzah Creek, Missouri, was immeasureable since angler exploitation nearly equalled total annual mortality before implementation of a 305 mm (12 in) size limit (Otto Fajen, Missouri Department of Conservation, personal communication).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue study as outlined in this report with the following exception. Marking of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ (7.9 in) will be done by excising the right pelvic fin and those $<200(7.9 \mathrm{in})$ by removing a small portion of the top caudal fin. Survival of smallmouth bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 7.9 in ) will be determined by identifying bass marked in 1977 and 1978 in the creel survey and electrofishing, thus the proportions of bass marked in the two years can be used to estimate survival.
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To determine the effect of habitat variation and temporal change of the numerical density and distribution of benthos, zooplankton, and forage fish in two study areas of the Maquoketa River in Deloware County.

## INTRODUCTION

The objective of this study segment was to identify those organisms associated with smallmouth bass populations, their spacial and temporal distribution, and relative importance. A basic knowledge of macro and micro organisms associated with a fish population aids in further understanding the stream ecosystem.

## METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Young-of-the-year fish and small forage fish populations were sampled with a $15.2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $50 \mathrm{ft} \times 6 \mathrm{ft} \times .25 \mathrm{in}$ ) bag seine at biweekly intervals commencing in mid-June, for eight sampling periods. Three seine haul sites were established in each study area (Figures 5 and 6). Area I, site 1 was located below a riffle and contained cobble and gravel substrate, site 2 was silt and sand, site 3 was immediately below a lowhead dam and contained sand and cobble. Area II, site 1 was above a riffle, comprised of cobble and gravel, site 2 was comprised primarily cobble, substrate at site 3 was sand and was located below a riffle. Seine hauls consisted of one radial sweep from the stream margin, all fish, with the exception of some juvenile cyprinids, were identified and enumerated.

Fish populations were also systematically sampled with a 230 V AC electrofishing unit during August. Catch per effort hour (C/E) was calculated for each segment to determine relative abundance. Species composition by number, weight, and distribution was also determined. Sampling was conducted by traversing the margins of each segment and the elapsed time used to compute (C/E), captures were limited to fish age $I$ and older.

Benthic populations were sampled in April and August at three stations (A, B, and C) in each area. Generally stations in each area varied in habitat and replicated samples were taken from each station. In Area I station A was a bedrock riffle, B was silt and sand region while $C$ was a sandy region. At Area II A was a rock-riffle area, B was gravel and cobble, while C was sand. Samples were taken with a $381 \times 381 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $15 \times 15 \mathrm{x} .05 \mathrm{in}$ ) frame drift net with 1 mm mesh. The net was placed flush on the substrate, an area approximately the size of the net opening was disturbed down to about $75 \mathrm{~mm}(2.8 \mathrm{in})$ when possible. Samples were preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol and the organisms identified and enumerated under $1.5-6 \mathrm{X}$ mangification.

Zooplankton populations were sampled identical to benthos samples. Replicate samples were collected at each station by straining two 1.1 liter units of water with a Kemmerer bottle through a Wisconsin style plankton net with No. 00 silk
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Figure 5. Maquoketa River, Area I, seine haul sites 1, 2 and 3, and benthos and zooplankton sampling stations A, B and C.


Figure 6. Maquoketa River, Area II, seine haul sites 1, 2 and 3 and benthos and zooplankton sampling stations A, B and C.
bolting. Samples were preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. For identification and enumeration the concentrated sample was diluted to about 200 ml . Counts of zooplankton were made in five aliquots in a 1 ml Palmer nanoplankton cell. A mean of the five counts and two samples was calculated and expanded to express zooplankton density in number per liter ( $\mathrm{N} / \ell$ ).

## FINDINGS

Seine hauls captured 28,549 fish at Study Area I and 170 from Area II. The catch consisted of 32 species from Area I and 12 from Area II (Table 8).

Young orange-spotted sunfish was the most abundant species captured in Area I ( $76 \%$ ) followed in importance by six species of Notropis ( $12 \%$ ) and brook silversides (7\%). Twenty-two 0-age smallmouth bass accounted for < $1 \%$ of the total catch. 0 -age smallmouth bass was the most numerous species captured in Area II comprising $39 \%$ of the catch followed in importance by brook silversides, $38 \%$, and Notropis sp., $16 \%$.

Electrofishing effort accounted for 257 fish from 16 species in Area I and 723 fish of 19 species from Area II. Total catch in weight was 86 kg ( 189 lbs ) and 272 kg ( 595 lbs ), respectively (Table 9). Catch per hour of effort ranged from 1-126 fish/hr for Area I and < 1-56 fish/hr for Area II. Biomass of both areas, was comprised primarily of non-sport species, about $90 \%$ of 86 kg ( 189 lbs ) in Area I and $92 \%$ of $272 \mathrm{~kg}(595 \mathrm{lbs}$ ) in Area II. Quillback carpsucker, golden redhorse, and carp contributed most of the weight in the catch, while smallmouth bass was the most important sport fish.

Variations in C/E and weight of species within and between segments were obvious (Tables 10, 11 and 12). Also, the number in the catch and C/E provide the same information on population abundance. However, when annual comparisons are made C/E will provide a meaningful index to changes in relative abundance.

Four to eight orders of invertebrates were identified in samples collected during April and August at Areas I and II. Samples from three stations in Area I produced 743 invertebrates in April and 1,968 in August while in Area II benthic invertebrate totals of 591 and 91 were collected for the same periods. Individual totals for each family or genera were used to compute densities (Tables 13 and 14).

Rotifera and Cladocera were found in both sampling areas, but Copepoda and C. nauplii were sampled only in Area II (Table 15). Zooplankton density was greatest in Area II. Zooplankton density in Area I averaged $230 \mathrm{~N} / \ell$ in April and $8 \mathrm{~N} / \ell$ in August. Samples from Area II produced densities of $1,267 \mathrm{~N} / \ell$ and $174 \mathrm{~N} / \ell$ for April and August.

Table 8. Species composition of seine hauls at each seine site from study areas on Maquoketa River, June through September, 1977.

|  | Area I |  |  |  |  |  | Area II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site 1 |  | Site 2 |  | Site 3 |  | Site 1 |  | Site 2 |  | Site 3 |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| R trout |  |  |  |  | 1 | . 004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q carpsucker |  |  | 65 | 8.4 | 280 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H sucker | 2 | . 2 | 20 | 2.6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3.7 | 2 | 2.2 |
| W sucker | 2 | . 2 | 3 | . 4 | 77 | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G redhorse | 3 | . 3 | 1 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4.3 |
| S redhorse |  |  | 1 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carp |  |  |  |  | 10 | . 04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stoneroller | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 1 | 37 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $B$ minnow | 1 | . 1 | 69 | 8.9 | 145 | . 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H chub |  |  |  |  | 9 | . 03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NC chub |  |  |  |  | 14 | . 05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C shiner | 53 | 6.0 | 61 | 7.9 | 104 | . 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notropis sp. | 798 | 89.9 | 486 | 63.0 | 2,046 | 7.6 |  |  | 3 | 11.1 | 25 | 26.9 |
| B bullhead |  |  |  |  | 157 | . 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S catfish |  |  |  |  | 9 | . 03 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.1 |
| S bass | 7 | . 8 | 12 | 1.6 | 3 | . 01 | 25 | 50.0 | 19 | 70.4 | 22 | 23.7 |
| L bass |  |  |  |  | 60 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G sunfish | 2 | . 2 | 1 | . 1 | 22 | . 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pumpkinseed | 1 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 sunfish | 1 | . 1 | 6 | . 8 | 21,561 | 80.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 4 | . 5 | 3 | . 4 | 7 | . 03 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 7.4 |  |  |
| R sunfish | 3 | . 3 | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R bass |  |  | 1 | . 1 | 6 | . 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $B$ and $W$ crappie | 1 | . 1 |  |  | 229 | . 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B silversides | 1 | . 1 | 1 | . 1 | 2,059 | 7.7 | 24 | 48.0 | 2 | 7.4 | 39 | 42.0 |
| Y bass |  |  |  |  | 29 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W bass |  |  |  |  | 10 | . 04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $J$ darter | 8 | . 9 | 39 | 5.1 | 14 | . 05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 888 |  | 771 |  | 26,890 |  | 50 |  | 27 |  | 93 |  |

[^1]Table 9. Species composition, weight, and catch per hour of electrofishing effort (C/E) for Study Areas I and II, Maquoketa River, August, 1977.

|  | Area I |  |  |  |  | Area II |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  | Total weight (kg) | \% total weight | $\mathrm{C} / \xi$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Total weight (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { total } \\ \text { weight } \end{gathered}$ | C/ $\xi$ |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 11 | . 76 |
| Y bass | 1 | . 4 | $<.1$ | . 03 | 1.33 | 4 | . 6 | . 8 | . 29 | 1.52 |
| W bass | 2 | . 8 | . 1 | . 04 | 2.67 | 3 | . 4 | . 8 | . 29 | 1.14 |
| S bass | 14 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 3.36 | 18.70 | 121 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 5.44 | 46.01 |
| R bass | 29 | 11.3 | 3.3 | 3.83 | 38.70 | 17 | 2.4 | 1.6 | . 59 | 6.46 |
| L bass | 2 | . 8 | . 7 | . 81 | 2.70 | 3 | 4.1 | 1.1 | . 41 | 1.14 |
| G sunfish | 10 | 3.9 | . 6 | . 70 | 13.30 | 6 | . 8 | . 4 | . 15 | 2.28 |
| Bluegill | 1 | . 4 | < . 1 | . 03 | 1.30 | 12 | 1.7 | 1.2 | . 44 | 4.56 |
| B crappie | 1 | . 4 | . 1 | . 04 | 1.30 | 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 07 | . 38 |
| W crappie | 7 | 2.7 | . 8 | . 93 | 9.30 | 4 | . 6 | . 8 | . 29 | 1.52 |
| 0 sunfish | 1 | . 4 | < . 1 | . 03 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carp | 34 | 13.3 | 19.6 | 22.74 | 45.30 | 20 | 2.8 | 33.4 | 12.28 | 7.60 |
| W sucker | 32 | 12.5 | 10.1 | 11.72 | 42.70 | 101 | 14.0 | 22.7 | 8.35 | 38.40 |
| Q carpsucker | 12 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.57 | 16.00 | 124 | 17.2 | 64.0 | 23.54 | 47.15 |
| H sucker | 12 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 6.15 | 16.00 | 49 | 6.8 | 15.7 | 5.77 | 18.63 |
| G redhorse | 95 | 37.1 | 37.4 | 43.39 | 126.70 | 146 | 20.2 | 51.0 | 18.76 | 55.51 |
| S redhorse | 2 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.39 | 2.70 | 96 | 13.3 | 39.7 | 14.60 | 36.50 |
| B lamprey | 1 | .4 | . 1 | . 03 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C catfish |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 2.10 | 2.66 |
| B buffalo |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 8 | 17.6 | 6.47 | 2.28 |
| B bullhead |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | . 1 | < . 1 | . 03 | . 38 |
| Total | 256 | 100.1 | 86.2 |  | 341.30 | 723 | 103.9 | 271.9 |  | 274.88 |

Table 10. Species composition, weight, and catch per hour of electrofishing effort (C/E) for segments of Study Area I, Maquoketa River, August, 1977.

|  | Segment 1 |  |  | Segment 2 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | C/E | Total <br> weight <br> (kg) |  | C/E |

Table 11. Species composition and catch per effort hour (C/E) by electrofishing segments of Study Area II.

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Walleye |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y bass | 12 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W bass |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S bass | 32 | 40 | 92 | 80 | 36 | 24 | 40 | 32 | 28 | 58 |
| R bass |  |  | 24 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 32 |  |
| L bass |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| G sunfish | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |
| B1uegill |  | 20 | 12 |  |  | 4 | 12 |  |  |  |
| B crappie |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W crappie | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Carp |  | 24 | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| W sucker | 16 | 32 | 12 | 20 | 80 | 12 | 20 | 19 | 52 | 109 |
| Q carpsucker |  | 48 | 62 | 104 | 28 |  | 32 | 83 | 36 | 38 |
| H sucker | 4 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 52 |  | 8 | 16 | 32 | 32 |
| G redhorse | 32 | 40 | 64 | 72 | 108 | 12 | 44 | 58 | 88 | 42 |
| S redhorse | 20 | 36 | 68 | 80 | 36 | 40 | 32 |  | 12 | 48 |
| C catfish |  |  | 8 | 8 |  |  | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| B buffalo | 12 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullhead |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total | 184 | 284 | 372 | 388 | 344 | 92 | 224 | 224 | 308 | 333 |

Table 12. Total weight (kg) of electrofishing catch in segments of Study Area II.

|  | Segment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Walleye |  | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y bass | . 6 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W bass |  | . 4 | . 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S bass | . 4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | . 4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| R bass |  |  | . 6 | . 1 |  |  | . 1 |  | . 8 |  |
| L bass |  |  |  |  | . 6 |  |  |  | . 5 |  |
| G sunfish | $<.1$ | $<.1$ |  |  |  |  |  | $<.1$ | < . 1 |  |
| B1uegill |  | . 3 | . 4 |  |  | . 1 | . 4 |  |  |  |
| B crappie |  | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W crappie | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 2 | . 4 |  |
| Carp |  | 13.3 | 5.9 |  |  |  | 5.8 | 5.0 | . 9 | 2.5 |
| W sucker | . 9 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 4.2 | . 4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 5.3 |
| Q carpsucker | 5.9 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 13.2 | 3.5 |  | 5.0 | 13.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| H sucker | . 2 | . 2 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 4.2 |  | . 7 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 2.3 |
| G redhorse | 2.8 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 10.2 | . 4 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| S redhorse | 2.1 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.9 |  | 1.6 | 5.1 |
| C catfish |  |  | 1.2 | 2.3 |  |  | 1.4 |  | . 8 |  |
| B buffalo | 9.8 | 5.4 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullhead |  |  |  |  |  |  | < . 1 |  |  |  |
| Total | 23.0 | 36.1 | 36.7 | 37.9 | 28.4 | 6.0 | 24.7 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 24.4 |

Table 13. Mean numerical density ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{t}^{2}$ ), per station, of macroinvertebrates, Maquoketa River, Areas I and II, April, 1977.


Table 14. Mean numerical density ( $N / m$ and $N / f t$ ), per station, of macroinvertebrates, Maquoketa River, Areas I and II, August, 1977.

|  | Area I <br> Station |  |  |  |  |  | Area II <br> Station |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | C |  | A |  | B |  | C |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $N / f t^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $N / f t^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chironomidae | 2,025 | 188 | 269 | 24 | 448 | 42 | 158 | 15 | 103 | 10 | 124 | 12 |
| Simulidae | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chaoboridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chaoborus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 4 |  |  |
| Tipulidae | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeroptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ephemeridae |  |  | 48 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caenidae |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| Baetidae | 909 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tricorythidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tricomy thodes | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coleoptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stene Imis | 41 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 3 |
| Tricoptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydropsychidae | 9,507 | 884 |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydroptilidae | 41 | 4 |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coleoptera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corixidae |  |  | 193 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amphipoda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gamamis | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nematoda |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 1 | 103 | 10 | 76 | 7 |
| Total density | 12,558 | 1,169 | 524 | 48 | 476 | 44 | 186 | 17 | 254 | 25 | 228 | 22 |

Table 15. Zooplankton density ( $\mathrm{N} / \ell$ ), mean of combined sites by area, and period, Maquoketa River, 1977.

|  | Area I |  | Area II |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | April | August | Apri1 | August |
| Rotifera | 200 | 4 | 1,237 | 156 |
| Cladocera | 30 | 4 | 4 | 14 |
| Copepoda | 0 | 0 | 18 | 4 |
| C. nauplii | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Total | 230 | 8 | 1,267 | 174 |

## DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Total seine catch at Area I was over 100 -fold greater than that of Area II despite the fact Area II had considerably more habitat diversity. Several factors were responsible, about $96 \%$ of the catch in Area I was taken at site 3. Site 3, located approximately 8 m ( 26 ft ) downstream of a lowhead dam formed a barrier to upstream movement of small fish, had higher oxygen level in comparison to other regions of the stream, and contained habitat diversity. Thus, site 3 was not representative of Area $I$ as were sites 1 and 2 which were predominately silt and sand. A second factor was the greater abundance of smallmouth bass in Area II versis Area I, 741 bass/ha ( $307 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) and 88 bass/ha ( $36 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ ), respectively. The high predator density in Area II undoubtedly resulted in a high predation. In addition 2 of three sample sites of Area II was comprised of cobble and boulder substrate. The larger rock of this area made it impossible to make a seine haul without snagging the net on the numerous rocks, as a consequence many fish escaped during the process of dislodging the net. Difficulty in making seine hauls was also experienced at site 1 of Area I because of some cobble substrate however the high predator base was absent from this region.

Non-sport fish dominated the species composition and biomass of the Maquoketa River study area, which is typical of streams inhabited by smallmouth bass (Fajen, 1972; Ackerman, 1974; and Fleener et al., 1974). Golden redhorse, quillback carpsucker, white sucker, hog sucker, carp, and other non-game species comprised $60 \%$ by number and $90 \%$ of the weight in the electrofishing catch. Smallmouth bass contributed $14 \%$ by number and $5 \%$ by weight.

The greater numerical abundance and diversity of macroinvertebrates at gravel, cobble, and/or bedrock sample sites in comparison to silt and sand regions of the Maquoketa River is typical of benthic communities. Hynes (1972) concluded that as the stones of the substratum increased in size it added to the complexity of the benthic habitat and in turn produced a more diverse invertibrate fauna, he added that sand was a poor habitat thus offered little to diversity or abundance. Factors not studied in this investigation that are also important to the abundance of macroinvertebrates include current velocity, temperature, oxygen and other water chemistry parameters.

From this limited study it appears that as a family Chironomidae are the least specialized in habitat needs, occupying all segments of the stream that were studied. While Chironomids were found at all sample sites their greatest abundance was found at rocky sites. Only Nematoda were found at a similar abundance in sand as well as rock. Fauna that were specific to rock substrate were Baetidae, Caenidae, and Hydropschidae.

Species composition of the benthic community was dominated by Chironomidae and to a lesser extent by Baetidae and Hydropschidae. It was not possible to determine whether one or more Chironomidae species were dominant during the two study periods. One shortcoming of a qualitative sampling scheme was apparent by the change in the abundance of Hydropschidae and Simulidae between April and August. It is common knowledge that some organisms will appear and disappear during a series of sampling periods depending on whether or not the organism is multi- or univoltine.

Microinvertebrate sampling in the Maquoketa River indicated a typical lotic fauna comprised primarily of Rotifera and secondarily of Cladocera and Copepoda (Eddy, 1932 and Hynes, 1972). Hynes (1972) noted that Cladocerans and Copepoda are seldom abundant in running waters.

Differences in zooplankton densities between study areas was due to planktonic life in Hartwick Lake. Zooplankton densities of Area II were nearly six-fold greater than Area I in April and 19 times greater in August. This also is a common phenomenon (Eddy, 1932 and Cushing, 1964).

Most of the zooplankton produced in the Maquoketa River undoubtedly originates in backwaters. Hynes (1972) believes that even active zooplankton cannot maintain themselves against slight flows of several millimeters per second thus most zooplankton populations cannot develop.

Several factors influenced the seasonal abundance of zooplankton in the Maquoketa River. Discharge rate during April sampling was not sufficiently different from August to cause a flushing effect of life in backwater regions. The most probable reason was due to natural seasonal population trends as demonstrated by Walburg, et al. (1971) and Mayhew (1977).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Continue sampling of fish populations as outlined in this report. Collections of macro- and micro-invertebrates should be terminated since the objective was accomplished. Qualitative determination of the taxa present and their distribution was completed.
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To measure the physical characteristics and chemical composition of two study areas of the Maquoketa River and associate these variables to the abrudance of smallmouth bass.

## INTRODUCTION

General habitat requirements for smallmouth bass are common knowledge, however, the association between habitat quality, quantity and abundance of smallmouth bass is poorly documented.

Water chemistry characteristics in most northeast Iowa streams are similar, species composition of micro- and macro-organisms are generally the same, and soil types are generally common to the streams. The greatest difference appears to be the diversity of the various substrates and stream size. This study segment aids in devising a stream classification system based on physical and chemical components.

## methods and procedures

Study areas were mapped and gradients measured with an alidade, transit, plane table and stadia rod using standard survey procedures. The prevailing stream margin was measured and at 10 m ( 35 ft ) intervals a perpendicular transect to the adjacent bank was taken. Each transect was subdivided into 1 m (3.3 ft) intervals to sample substrate and measure depth. This information as well as other structure was recorded on maps. Substrate type was identified by 7 particle size ranges (Table 16). Particle samples were visually inspected or squeezed between fingers to determine texture and then assigned to the category most fitting.

Table 16. Substrate classification system and numerical code.

| Particle size <br> interval | Code <br> number | Name of loose aggregate |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5 | .6 mm | B |
| $>256 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 | Boulders |
| $64-256 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2 | Boulder-gravel |
| $16-64 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 3 | Cobble-gravel |
| $2-16 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 4 | Coarse pebble gravel |
| $.2-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 5 | Fine pebble grave1 |
| $<~ .2 ~ m m$ | 6 | Sand |

Maps were brought into the laboratory to measure area, width, lengths, compute gradient, and to place depth contours at $0-.5,1,1.5,2$, and $>2 \mathrm{~m}$ intervals ( $0-1.6,3.3,4.9,6.6$ and $>6.6 \mathrm{ft}$ ). This was accomplished with a planimeter and a metric ruler. Each study area was subsequently divided into segments and each segment was distinguished by a riffle-pool. Study segments were further measured with grid paper to determine proportion of substrate types and the area within depth contours.

Monthly discharge rates for 1976 and 1977 were obtained from USGS. Two water collection sites were established on the Maquoketa River, one at Pin Oak County Conservation Board Park, centrally located in Study Area I, and a second 20 m ( 66 ft ) downstream from Delhi Dam in Area II. Water samples were transported to the laboratory for immediate analysis utilizing a Hach kit. Dissolved oxygen (DO) was analyzed on site. Additional parameters measured were: pH , alkalinity, hardness, nitrates, nitrites, orthophosphate, metaphosphate, total inorganic phosphate, total organic phosphate, and total phosphate.

FINDINGS

## STUDY AREA AND SEGMENT DIMENSIONS

Study Area I contained 3.97 ha ( 9.8 ac ) and was $1.44 \mathrm{~km}(.9 \mathrm{mi})$ length. Study Area II was 10.02 ha ( 24.8 ac ) and was $3.54 \mathrm{~km}(2.2 \mathrm{mi}$ ) 1ong (Table 17). Area I was divided into two segments with mean depths of .76 (2.5 ft) and $.25 \mathrm{~m}(.9 \mathrm{ft})$. Area II contained ten segments with mean depths ranging from $.30-1.02 \mathrm{~m}(1-3.3 \mathrm{ft})$. Maximum depths of Area I were $.8 \mathrm{~m}(2.6 \mathrm{ft})$ and 3.0 m (9.8 ft) for Segments 1 and 2, respectively; while, maximum depths in Area II ranged from $.6 \mathrm{~m}(2.0 \mathrm{ft})$ for Segment 6 to $4.9 \mathrm{~m}(16 \mathrm{ft})$ for Segment 1.

## DEPIH CONTOURS

Proportion of surface area within $.5 \mathrm{~m}(1.6 \mathrm{ft})$ depth intervals were also computed (Table 18). Segment 1 of Area I contained the greater proportion of water, of the two segments, deeper than $1 \mathrm{~m}(3.3 \mathrm{ft})$, $11 \%$ as opposed to none. Of the ten segments in Area II, Segment 2 in Area II contained the greatest amount of water deeper than $1 \mathrm{~m}, 39 \%$ of the surface area. Conversely, Segments 6 and 10 were devoid of a water deeper than $1 \mathrm{~m}(3.3 \mathrm{ft})$.

## SUBSTRATE

Substrate aggregates were combined into subgroups to simplify analysis of substrate proportions and distribution (Table 19). All study segments contained the same types of substrate but the proportions and combinations varied considerably

## DISCHARGE RATES

Mean monthly discharge rates in 1976 ranged from 11.17 CMS ( 394 CFS) in March to 2.02 CMS ( 71 CFS) in September (Table 20). Mean values in 1977 ranged from 4.55 CMS (160 CFS) in October to 1.07 CMS ( 38 CFS) in January.

Table 17. Physical dimensions of the Maquoketa River study areas and segments.

| Area | Segment | Length <br> $(\mathrm{Km})$ | Mean <br> width <br> (M) | Surface <br> area <br> (ha) | Mean <br> depth <br> (M) | Maximum <br> depth <br> (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | .94 | 29.0 | 2.73 | .76 | 3.0 |
| I | 2 | .49 | 23.9 | 1.23 | .26 | 0.67 |
| Total |  | 1.44 |  | 3.97 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 1 | .26 | 38.7 | 1.06 | .77 | 4.9 |
| II | 2 | .27 | 28.2 | 0.77 | 1.02 | 3.7 |
| II | 3 | .33 | 32.9 | 1.08 | .47 | 0.9 |
| II | 4 | .56 | 27.1 | 1.59 | .40 | 1.9 |
| II | 5 | .18 | 23.4 | 0.42 | .44 | 1.2 |
| II | 6 | .19 | 26.2 | 0.54 | .30 | 0.6 |
| II | 7 | .20 | 19.5 | 0.50 | .77 | 1.2 |
| II | 8 | .62 | 24.7 | 1.60 | .54 | 1.8 |
| II | 9 | .26 | 23.4 | 0.81 | .46 | 1.8 |
| II | 10 | .62 | 25.3 | 1.60 | .30 | 0.9 |
| Total |  | 3.54 |  | 10.02 |  |  |

Table 18. Proportion of segment surface area within .5 m depth intervals.

| Area | Segment | 0-. 5 | . 5-1 | 1-1.5 | 1.5-2 | $2+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | 49.8 | 38.5 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
|  | 2 | 97.2 | 2.8 | --- | --- | --- |
| II | 1 | 48.6 | 35.4 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 9.4 |
|  | 2 | 33.2 | 28.2 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 14.1 |
|  | 3 | 57.7 | 42.6 | 0.5 | --- | --- |
|  | 4 | 76.0 | 19.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | --- |
|  | 5 | 62.5 | 36.8 | 0.7 | --- | --- |
|  | 6 | 89.6 | 10.4 | - | --- | --- |
|  | 7 | 62.5 | 33.3 | 4.7 | --- | --- |
|  | 8 | 48.2 | 45.5 | 5.7 | 0.6 | --- |
|  | 9 | 67.2 | 24.2 | 7.4 | 1.2 | --- |
|  | 10 | 90.0 | 10.0 | --- | --- | --- |

Table 19. Substrate composition in each segment of Areas I and II of the Maquoketa River, 1977.

| Area | Segment | Percent Silt - Sand | Fine | Percent <br> Gravel - Cobble | Percent <br> Cobble - Boulder | Percent bedrock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | 92.9 |  | 22.4 | 12.3 | 9.8 |
|  | 2 | 74.0 |  | 25.4 | 3.7 | 36.9 |
| II | 1 | 1.9 |  | 77.8 | 44.4 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 3.3 |  | 89.2 | 16.0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 31.6 |  | 92.3 | 25.5 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 77.2 |  | 71.5 | 24.7 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 69.2 |  | 94.7 | 12.8 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 86.9 |  | 95.1 | 3.8 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 93.8 |  | 85.5 | 6.2 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 94.9 |  | 67.4 | 4.7 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 80.8 |  | 90.2 | 16.6 | 0 |
|  | 10 | 81.6 |  | 64.7 | 0 | 0 |

Table 20. Estimated discharge rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$, cubic meters per second (CMS) for the Maquoketa River at De1hi Dam, 1976 and 1977.

| Month | 1976 |  |  | 1977 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (CMS) } \end{aligned}$ | Minimum | Maximum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { (CMS) } \end{aligned}$ | Minimum | Maximum |
| January | 2.48 | 2.02 | 3.41 | 1.07 | 0.92 | 1.23 |
| February | 6.80 | 2.02 | 21.63 | 4.41 | 1.14 | 16.19 |
| March | 11.17 | 5.39 | 66.41 | 3.78 | 2.35 | 5.75 |
| April | 7.39 | 4.12 | 16.06 | 2.99 | 2.43 | 3.21 |
| May | 6.33 | 4.33 | 10.56 | 2.79 | 2.15 | 4.10 |
| June | 3.55 | 2.85 | 4.92 | 1.83 | 1.49 | 4.90 |
| July | 2.75 | 2.30 | 5.51 | 3.22 | 1.43 | 15.24 |
| August | 2.41 | 2.08 | 3.31 | 3.18 | 1.83 | 6.77 |
| September | 2.02 | 1.31 | 3.38 | 3.94 | 1.92 | 19.86 |
| October | 2.09 | 1.28 | 2.54 | 4.55 | 3.14 | 6.25 |
| November | 2.14 | 1.55 | 2.85 | 3.81 | 2.01 | 4.74 |
| December | 1.43 | 0.85 | 2.40 | 3.16 |  |  |

a Based on measurements taken at gauging station at Maquoketa, Iowa. Values were then derived by computing the watershed area at Delhi as $22.3 \%$ of that at Maquoketa.

## STREAM GRADIENT

Stream gradients of the two study areas were similar but varied within segments. Area I had a total fall of $.74 \mathrm{~m}(2.4 \mathrm{ft})$ or a gradient of $.51 \mathrm{~m} /$ $1,000 \mathrm{~m}(.51 \mathrm{ft} / 1,000 \mathrm{ft})$ while Area II dropped $2.03 \mathrm{~m}(6.66 \mathrm{ft})$ with a gradient of $.57 \mathrm{~m} / 1,000 \mathrm{~m}(.57 \mathrm{ft} / 1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ ) (Table 21). Segment 2 of Area I had the most acute gradient of all study segments, $1.33 \mathrm{~m} / 1,000 \mathrm{~m}(1.33 \mathrm{ft} / 1,000 \mathrm{ft})$, while Segment 1 of Area II had the least with a gradient of $.13 \mathrm{~m} / 1,000 \mathrm{~m}(.13 \mathrm{ft} /$ $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$ ).

## WATER CHEMISTRY

Fourteen water chemistry parameters were monitored monthly since November, 1977 (Tables 22 and 23). Dissolved oxygen and temperature showed the greatest temporal variation.

Table 21. Gradient of the Maquoketa River study areas and segments, in meters (m).

| Area | Segment | Fall (m) <br> Riffle |  | Pool | Segment <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1 | - | .08 | .08 | fal $1 / 1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | 2 | $.27^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .40 | .66 | .08 |
| Area total fall |  |  |  | .74 | 1.33 |
| Total gradient |  |  |  |  | .51 |

II

| 1 | $37.13^{b}$ | .03 | $.03^{c}$ | .13 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | .10 | .01 | .10 | .38 |
| 3 | .10 | .07 | .17 | .49 |
| 4 | .23 | .14 | .37 | .65 |
| 5 | .11 | .05 | .16 | .87 |
| 6 | .11 | .08 | .18 | .92 |
| 7 | .26 | .01 | .27 | 1.31 |
| 8 | .20 | .08 | .27 | .44 |
| 9 | .16 | $<.01^{d}$ | .16 | .59 |
| 10 | .27 | .05 | .32 | .51 |

Area total fall
2.03

Total gradient
$\mathrm{a}_{\text {Head }}$ at low head dam.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Head }}$ at De1hi Dam.
${ }^{c}$ Does not include head of Delhi Dam.
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Fall was unmeasurable. }}$

Table 22. Water chemistry parameters in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ at Area I, Maquoketa River, November, 1977-June, 1978.

|  | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | Jume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate nitrogen | 5.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| Nitrite nitorgen | .05 | .04 | .04 | .03 | .05 | .04 | .03 | .07 |
| pH | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total alkalinity | 205.0 | 137.0 | 240.0 | 240.0 | 188.0 | 154.0 | 172.0 | 205.0 |
| Ortho phosphate | .16 | .30 | .82 | .40 | .90 | .40 | .50 | .34 |
| Meta phosphate | .14 | .30 | .12 | .20 | .20 | .19 | .10 | .16 |
| Organic phosphate | .16 | .10 | .08 | .10 | .10 | .20 | .30 | .70 |
| Hardness $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)$ | 274.0 | 205.0 | 257.0 | 257.0 | 188.0 | 223.0 | 223.0 | 223.0 |
| Dissolved oxygen | 13.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 |

Table 23. Water chemistry parameters in $\mathrm{mg} / \ell$ at Area II, Maquoketa River, November, $1977-J u n e, 1978$.

|  | November | December | January | February | March | April | May | June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nitrate nigrogen | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 |
| Nitrite nitrogen | . 09 | . 03 | . 02 | . 02 | . 03 | . 02 | . 04 | . 10 |
| pH | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
| Total alkalinity | 172.0 | 188.0 | 205.0 | 240.0 | 205.0 | 120.0 | 154.0 | 205.0 |
| Ortho phosphate | . 10 | . 28 | . 36 | . 40 | . 44 | . 40 | . 70 | . 30 |
| Meta phosphate | . 60 | . 22 | . 14 | . 40 | . 06 | . 20 | . 10 | . 10 |
| Organic phosphate | . 10 | . 10 | . 10 | . 20 | . 06 | . 20 | . 90 | . 90 |
| Hardness ( $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | 274.0 | 257.0 | 257.0 | 240.0 | 257.0 | 188.0 | 305.0 | 257.0 |
| Dissolved oxygen | 15.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 |
| Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 18.0 |

## HABITAT PREFERENCE OF BASS

Habitat preference of smallmouth bass was determined by simple regression analysis. Density and standing stock of two size groups of bass were compared to the proportion of various substrate aggregates and to proportions of other physical features of segments. Two segments were omitted from testing, Segment 2 of Area I was not used because of a serious bias in population estimates and Segment 6 of Area II was not used because it lacked pool structure and fish.

The best predictor found was a transformed simple linear regression of bass abundance on proportion of gravel and cobble aggregates (code sizes 4, 3, and 2). The model took the form

$$
\log _{e} Y=\alpha+\beta X
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log _{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{Y} & =\text { transformed density or standing stock of smallmouth bass } \\
\alpha & =\text { intercept } \\
\beta & =\text { slope } \\
\mathrm{X} & =\text { substrate proportion }
\end{aligned}
$$

Four accurate predictor models were computed, two for bass densities and two for standing stocks (Table 24). Statistical testing in a t-distribution rejected the null hypothesis, $\beta=0$, and the regression of bass abundance on proportion of gravel and cobble was significant ( $P<.05$ ). Increases in the proportions of bass habitat increased the abundance of smallmouth bass in a curvilinear fashion.

Association of other variables were not statistically important in predicting smallmouth bass abundance in this study.

## DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Study areas of the Maquoketa River contained substrate that ranged in size from silt to bedrock, the distribution and quantities of these components varied between segments. Study Area I is characterized as marginal smallmouth bass habitat containing vast stretches of silt and sand with little associated physical structure. Area II can be distinguished as having excellent smallmouth bass habitat. The area is comprised primarily of cobble and gravel substrate with numerous boulders, a minimal quantity of sand and silt, and distinct pools and riffles. The arbitrary classification of these study areas is substantiated by the findings of Job 1 .

A small change in the proportion of cobble and gravel substrate could increase the abundance of smallmouth bass at a curvilinear rate. This phenomenon was most evident in the comparisons of smallmouth bass $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( 7.9 in ) to the proportion of cobble and gravel. Using the predictor equation a segment of river could contain $50 \%$ smallmouth bass habitat with a corresponding density 149 bass/ha ( $61 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ ), a $25 \%$ increase in gravel and cobble would increase the density to

Table 24. Predictive models of proportion gravel and cobble-sized substrate to density and standing stock of smallmouth bass and values used in their statistical analysis.

| Population parameter vs substrate size | $\log _{e} Y=a+b X$ | $S_{b}$ | 95\% C.I. | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Density of bass < 200 mm vs proportion 4, 3, and 2 | $\log _{e} Y=2.63+4.75 \mathrm{X}^{\text {a }}$ | . 85 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.71 \\ & 2.79 \end{aligned}$ | 79\% |
| Density of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs proportion 4, 3, and 2 | $\log _{e} Y=1.52+2.87 \mathrm{X}^{\text {b }}$ | . 96 | 5.08 .66 | 52\% |
| Standing stock of bass < 200 mm vs proportion 4, 3, and 2 | $\log _{e} Y=-.43+4.69 \mathrm{x}^{\text {a }}$ | 1.01 | 7.02 2.37 | 72\% |
| Standing stock of bass $\geq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs proportion 4, 3, and 2 | $\log _{e} Y=.52+2.71 \mathrm{x}^{\text {b }}$ | 1.14 | 5.34 .08 | 41\% |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Significant at . 01 leve1.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Significant }}$ at . 05 level.

89 bass/ha ( $200 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ac}$ ). With a $25 \%$ increase in habitat smallmouth bass density could increase $300 \%$. Comparisons for larger bass were also statistically significant, but not as evident. Other factors important to bass abundance and distribution are pool depth, exploitation and natural mortality.

Analysis of boulder and cobble substrate to the abundance of bass did not provide significant predictors. Although all age groups of smallmouth bass were captured among large boulders, cobble, and gravel young bass were not as abundant among boulders as they were in areas of cobble. The most likely reason for this observation is that the habitat is more diverse in smaller particle sized cobble and gravel and more young bass existed among these rocks than larger boulders, particles of a size smaller than gravel were too small to provide cover.

The qualitative association of smallmouth bass to gravel, cobble and boulder substrate as well as pools of moderate current is well documented in the 1iterature (Larimore et a1., 1952; Fajen, 1962; Munther, 1970; and Paragamian, 1976).

With the preponderance of information linking smallmouth bass to habitat it is evident that habitat is usually the limiting factor as to whether or not bass are present while fishing may ultimately determine population structure. Thus, protection of the stream enviornment is of paramount importance. Aiken (1936) recognized the principal need in order to preserve the native fauna of streams in Iowa was to protect stream habitat from agricultural siltation, via poor land use.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Mapping of the Maquoketa River is complete and the objectives accomplished with the exception of measuring current velocities. Current velocities will be measured.

## LITERATURE CITED

Aiken, w. 1936. The relation of soil erosion to stream improvement and fish 1ife. J. For. 34 (12):1059-1061.

Fajen, 0. 1962. The influence of stream stability on homing behavior of two smallmouth bass populations. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 91(4):346-349.

Larimore, R., Q. Pickering, and L. Durham. 1952. An inventory of fishes of Jordan Creek, Vermillion County, Illinois. Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Biol. Notes. No. 29. 26 pp .

Munther, G. L. 1970. Movement and distribution of smallmouth bass in the Middle Snake River. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 99(1):44-53.

Paragamian, V. 1976. Population characteristics of northern pike in the Plover River, Wisconsin. Prog. Fish Cult. 38(3):160-163.

## annual performance report

## RESEARCH PROJECT SEGMENT



## NAME: Population Dynamics of Smallmouth Bass <br> in the Maquoketa River and Other Iowa <br> Streams

TITLE: Population characteristics of sma11mouth bass in other northeast Iowa
streams

Period Covered: 1 July, 1977 through 30 June, 1978

ABSTRACT: Smallmouth bass populations were sampled in Coffins Grove, Volga, Upper Iowa, and Turkey Rivers to determine population characteristics by electrofishing. Fish were measured, weighed and scale samples collected prior to release. Average back calculated growth rates for bass in Coffins Grove were 94, 176, 260, and $307 \mathrm{~mm}(3.7,6.9,10.2$ and 12.1 in$)$, for ages I-IV; 87, 156, 243, and 309 mm (3.4, 6.1, 9.6 and 12.2 in ) for ages I-IV in Volga River; 98, 166, 219, 278, 318, 342,412 , and $430 \mathrm{~mm}(3.9,6.5,8.6,10.9,12.5,13.5,16.2$, and 16.9 in ) for ages I-VIII in Upper Iowa; and 111, 196, 277, 340, 387, and $460 \mathrm{~mm}(4.4,7.7$, $10.9,13.4,15.2$ and 18.1 in$)$ for ages I-VI in the Turkey River. Estimated bass growth for this investigation was greater than presented by earlier investigators. Annual mortality ranged from $42 \%$ in the Upper Iowa River to $55 \%$ in the Turkey River. Populations were comprised primarily of small, young fish. Catch per hour of electrofishing effort ranged from 73 bass/hr in the Upper Iowa River to 3.5 bass/hr in Coffins Grove Creek.
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To assess the abundance, growth, condition, age structure, size structure, and mortality of smallmouth bass in study areas of Coffins Grove Creek, Upper Iowa, Volga, and Turkey Rivers and compare these statistics with those in the Maquoketa River study area.

## INTRODUCTION

Smallmouth bass are indigenous to nearly all northeast Iowa rivers and many smaller streams. While smallmouth bass are native to these waters relative abundance varies widely. This segment of study documents the vital population characteristics of bass in several streams.

## LOCATION OF STUDY AREAS

Coffins Grove, located in Delaware County, is a tributary of the Maquoketa River. The study area (T89N, R6W, Sec. 26 and 27) was bounded by a combination of timbered and pastured land. Substrate ranged from silt to boulder with sand predominant. Three sampling locations were established on the Turkey River, two in Fayette County (T94N, R7W, Sec. 3 and 14) and one in Clayton County ( T 93 N , R5W, Sec. 34). Study areas in Fayette County contain smallmouth bass habitat with intermittent pools and riffles comprised of grave1, cobble, and sand. Land use was mainly corn row crops. The Clayton County study area was located upstream from the Elkader impoundment and the substrate was comprised of silt and sand and devoid of pool structure. One study area on the Upper Iowa River was located in Winneshiek County (T95N, R7W, Sec. 8 and 9) and the other in Allamakee County (T99N, R6W, Sec. 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, and 11). This region of the Upper Iowa River is characterized by rugged landscape, primarily pasture and timber. Substrate was primarily cobble with intermittent pools and riffles. A single sampling station was established on the Volga River located at the Volga River Recreation Area in Fayette County (T39N, R3W, Sec. 14). This segment of the Volga River is in forest land. Riffle substrate is cobble, boulders, and gravel while boulders and silt predominate in the pools. The Volga River is tributary to the Turkey River.

## METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Smallmouth bass populations were sampled during June, 1977 with a 220 V AC boom shocker in the Upper Iowa and Turkey Rivers and a 110 V DC stream shocker in Coffins Grove Creek and the Volga River. Bass were measured in total length (TL), weighed, scale sample taken from 100 fish or the entire sample, and released. Electrofishing catch effort (C/E) was computed by maintaining a record of time elapsed between trials and the numerical catch.

Visual observations were used to determine bottom type.

Scale impressions were made on cellulose acetate slides, . $76 \times 75 \times 130 \mathrm{~mm}$ (. $04 \times .04 \times 5.1 \mathrm{in}$ ), at $3,000 \mathrm{psi}$ on a scale press. Scale impressions were viewed on a scale projector at a magnification of 40 X , annuli counted and measurements made along the anterior scale radius (ScR). Scale aging was verified by a second experiened viewer, little disparity was encountered, however, in such a case a brief discussion was ended by the decision of the second reader, the biologist. Scale measurements, lengths and weights were processed through the SHAD computer program (Mayhew, 1973).

Annual mortality and instantaneous total mortality were calculated from sample age distribution.

## FINDINGS

CATCH
During the season 41 smallmouth bass ages I-IV were captured in the Volga River (Table 25). Electrofishing in the Turkey River resulted in a catch of 133 bass ranging from ages I-VI. Sampling of the Upper Iowa River yielded a catch of 184 bass ages I-VI with one age VIII. Effort in Coffins Grove Creek produced a catch of 38 bass ages I-IV.

## WEIGHT-LENGTH RELATIONSHIPS AND CONDITION FACTORS

Weight-length relationships computed for each population are listed in Table 26. K-factors were computed for each 15 mm (. 6 in ) class interval of each sample and the population mean computed from these data (Table 26).

Differences between the weight-1ength relationships of smallmouth bass populations were significant ( $\mathrm{P}<.05$ ) (Table 27). A statisitcal comparison of the $b$ values in a $t$-distribution revealed bass in the Turkey and Upper Iowa Rivers had different slopes than those of the Volga River and Coffins Grove Creek.

GROWTH
Body-scale regressions for smallmouth bass were best described by the least squares equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{TL}=37.48+2.03 \mathrm{ScR} \\
& \mathrm{TL}=54.04+1.80 \mathrm{ScR} \\
& \mathrm{TL}=45.83+1.82 \mathrm{ScR} \\
& \mathrm{TL}=43.23+1.94 \mathrm{ScR}
\end{aligned}
$$

Volga River
Turkey River
Upper Iowa River
Coffins Grove River

These relationships were used to back calculate total length at each annulus by age class for each bass population (Figure 7).

Table 25. Length frequency distribution for smallmouth bass captured by electrofishing the Volga, Turkey, and Upper Iowa Rivers and Coffins Grove Creek, 1977.


Table 26. Weight-length relationship, mean $K$-factors, and range of $K$-factors for smallmouth bass, June, 1977.

|  | Weight-1ength relationship | Range of <br> K-factor | Mean K <br> factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volga River | $\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-5.01+3.03 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}$ | $1.01-1.08$ | 1.16 |
| Turkey River | $\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-5.25+3.15 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}$ | $1.00-1.51$ | 1.29 |
| Upper Iowa River | $\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-5.26+3.17 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}$ | $1.27-1.82$ | 1.45 |
| Coffins Grove Creek | $\log _{10} \mathrm{~W}=-4.94+3.01 \log _{10} \mathrm{TL}$ | $1.05-1.54$ | 1.27 |

Table 27. Statistical comparison of weight-length relationship of smallmouth bass sampled from four Iowa rivers.

|  | b | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | N | t |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volga River | 3.03 | .021 | 43 | $2.014^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Turkey River | 3.15 | .013 | 121 | 1.980 |
| Upper Iowa River | 3.17 | .015 | 119 | 1.980 |
| Coffins Grove Creek | 3.01 | .043 | 36 | $2.030^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Significant at the $95 \%$ leve1.


Figure 7. Average back calculated lengths of smallmouth bass in four streams in Iowa, 1977, sample size in parenthesis.

Relative abundance of smallmouth bass varied considerably between rivers and within regions (Table 28). Greatest C/E of bass was recorded in the Upper Iowa River, 61.2 bass/hr, while the lowest was recorded in Coffins Grove Creek, 3.5 bass/hr. Differences in C/E values were most pronounced for the Turkey River where C/E ranged from 64 bass/hr to 16.8 bass/hr.

Table 28. Catch effort (bass/hr) of smallmouth bass in four study waters in northeast Iowa, 1977.

|  | Sampling <br> segment | Catch | Catch effort <br> bass/hr |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Volga River | A | 44 | 31.1 |
| Turkey River | A | 49 | 39.2 |
| Total | B | 32 | 64.0 |
| Upper Iowa River | C | 7 | 16.8 |
| Total | A | 88 | 40.7 |
| Coffins Grove Creek |  | a |  |

${ }^{\text {a Catch effort could not be calculated because of mechanical problems with }}$ electroshocker.

MORTALITY
Estimates of annual mortality, calculated from catch curves, were $50 \%$ for bass ages I-IV in the Volga River, $55 \%$ for fish ages II-VI in the Turkey River, $42 \%$ for bass ages I-VIII in the Upper Iowa River, and $54 \%$ for bass ages I-IV in Coffins Grove Creek (Table 29).

Table 29. Annual mortality, instantaneous total mortality, and correlation coefficient of smallmouth bass in four study rivers.

|  | A | Z | r |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volga River | $50 \%$ | -.69 | .80 |
| Turkey River | $55 \%$ | -.79 | .99 |
| Upper Iowa River | $42 \%$ | -.53 | .83 |
| Coffins Grove Creek | $54 \%$ | -.76 | .99 |

## DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Smallmouth bass populations of four study streams were similar to those reported in other investigations (Tate, 1949; Ackerman, 1974; Wunder, 1976; Degan, 1977). These populations were comprised primarily of small, young bass; $86 \%$ of the bass in the Volga River, $75 \%$ of the bass in the Turkey River, $85 \%$ of the bassin the Upper Iowa River, and $79 \%$ of the bass in Coffins Grove Creek were ages I and II. The severe loss of older bass is though to be due to angling mortality. Bass in these Iowa streams reach an acceptable length to many fishermen by their second year of life, $175-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $6.9-7.9 \mathrm{in}$ ). It was also noted that large bass $300+\mathrm{mm}$ ( 11.8 in ) were seldom captured within $.5 \mathrm{~km}(.3 \mathrm{mi})$ of fishing access.

Growth of smallmouth bass in streams of this investigation were greater than that computed by other invesitgators for bass in the same waters. Calculations of grwoth for bass in Coffins Grove was the same at age I as reported by Tate (1947) but were 25 mm ( 1 in ) greater at age II and about 50 mm ( 2 in ) more at ages II and IV in this investigation. Bass in the Turkey River attained the same length at age I in this and Ackerman's investigation (1974) but back calculations for older fish were longer, $50 \mathrm{~mm}(2 \mathrm{in})$, in this study. Calculations of growth in this study for bass taken from the Upper Iowa River were about 25 mm ( 1 in ) greater during their first two years of life than that reported by Wunder (1976) but were similar at older ages.

Variations in year calss strength of smallmouth bass were apparent in several bass populations. The 1975 year class of bass in the Turkey and Upper Iowa Rivers were well represented comprising $46 \%$ and $37 \%$ of the catch, respectively. In addition, the 1972 year class in the Upper Iowa River represented $7 \%$ of the catch at age V. The 1974 year class of bass from the Volga and Upper Iowa Rivers was poorly represented, $5 \%$ an- $2 \%$ of the catch, respectively. Preliminary information indicates some uniformity in year class strength between streams regionally. A better understanding of factors affecting year calss strength will be attained later in the study.

Abundance of cobble and gravel substrate within pools was the primary factor influencing smallmouth bass distribution and catch success. Pools comprised primarily of silt and sand contained few, if any, bass. The Upper Iowa River contained the preferred substrate and the highest $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ of $72 \mathrm{bass} / \mathrm{hr}$ on one segment, versus 16.8 bass/hr at the Elkader impoundment on the Turkey River. The lowest catch effort was on Coffins Grove Creek, 3.5 bass $/ \mathrm{hr}$, however this information was seriously blased because of mechanical problems with the stream electroshocker.

The only fish older than age IV were found in the Turkey and Upper Iowa Rivers. Gear avoidance by larger bass was felt to be the reason. Mechanical problems were encountered with the stream electrofishing unit and as a result several large bass were seen but not caught. Habitat selection offers yet another explanation, larger bass may have occupied the deepest pools that were about $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft})$ and could not be sampled efficiently with the stream electrofishing unit. Two other possible reasons are severe cropping of bass in the small streams by anglers and insufficient habitat for larger bass.

Variations in turbidity affected catch success. Although turbidity was not measured it was difficult to see stunned bass in turbid waters and in clear water many bass were observed swimming around the perceptive zone of the electrofishing unit. Reynolds (1978) found catch success of largemouth bass was unimodally related to water turbidity. Catches of bass were lowest at high turbidities and very clear water with the greatest catches generally being taken at a point between the two extremes.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Coffins Grove Creek and the Volga River should be resampled to obtain a suitable sample of the population. Sampling of the Turkey and Upper Iowa Rivers should be terminated since sufficient information was taken from bass populations of these rivers.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a_{62}} .5 \%$ area age .
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 91.9 \%$ are age I.

[^1]:    a Includes spotfin, spot tail, emerald, young-of-year common, red, and golden shiner, plus unidentified shiners.

