



Regional Intersectoral Relations and Demand Projections With Emphasis on the Feed-Livestock Economy of the North Central States

by Wilbur R. Maki and Dean F. Schreiner

Department of Economics and Sociology

Center for Agricultural and Economic Development

AGRICULTURAL AND HOME ECONOMICS EXPERIMENT STATION IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY of Science and Technology

DES MOINES, LOWA

RESEARCH BULLETIN 530

DECEMBER 1964

AMES, IOWA

DES MOINES LOWA

CONTENTS

Summary	206
Introduction	209
Economic information for decision-making in the feed-livestock economy	209
Problems in generating basic data for long-range planning	212
Economic model	212
Functional relationships	212
Leontief system of interindustry transactions	214
Regional differentiation of technical structure	215
Temporal differentiation of technical structure	217
Data sources and requirements	217
Intersectoral transactions table	217
Final demand and primary input sectors	218
Regional and interregional models	220
Data manipulation	220
Projected demands for agricultural products	222
Analytical procedures	222
Aggregate demand and output estimates	223
Regional production equations	224
Regional demand estimates	226
Estimation of intersectoral transactions	229
Constructing the flow matrices	229
The direct requirements matrix	234
Market disbursement matrix	234
The inverse matrices: direct and indirect requirements	235
Use of data in prediction and analysis	235
Evaluating investment prospects in agriculture and related sectors	258
Literature cited	263

SUMMARY

This study deals with the agricultural economy of the North Central States and the transactions that occur among the various sectors and related manufacturing and service businesses in the region. The analytical framework—the intersectoral transactions table—provides a means of organizing a vast amount of data pertaining to the North Central Region. In addition, the data that have been prepared can be used in evaluating major areas of investment opportunities in agriculture and agriculturally related business in the region.

The evaluation of investment opportunities in this study starts with projections of manufacturing and other final demands for the agricultural products of the North Central Region and its subregions. According to the detailed estimates of demand for agricultural products outside of agriculture, for example, the North Central Region would fulfill more than 2.4 billion dollars of the 4.2 billion dollars expected increase (in constant 1955 dollars) in the demand for meat animals over the 20-year period from 1955 to 1975. A substantial part of the total increase in demand —about 1 billion dollars — would be for the meat-animals output of the Western Corn Belt; namely, Iowa, Minnesota and Missouri. The Northern Plains — North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska and Kansas — would account for 745 million dollars of the total regional demand, while the five states in the East North Central Region — Illinois, Wisconsin, Indiana, Ohio and Michigan — would account for the remaining 700 million dollars of the total. Thus, 41 percent of the total increase in the demand for the meat-animals output of north central agriculture would be concentrated in the Western Corn Belt, according to the findings of this study.

Demand expansion for the meat-animals sector of north central agriculture would have important repercussions for all other agricultural sectors in the region. Many of these repercussions cannot be ascertained directly because the expected demand increases for these sectors would result from the production increases in the meat-animals sector. Hence, an intersectoral transactions table has been prepared to estimate the indirect or derived demands for agricultural production that occur because of the production interdependencies among the different agricultural sectors (e.g., the interdependence between meat-animals production and feed-grain production).

Another important segment of north central agriculture is the farm-dairyproducts sector. This study shows, however, that the North Central Region will fulfill only 683 million dollars of the total 2-billion-dollar expected increase in demand for farm dairy products in the United States over the 1955-75 period. A major part of the expected increase in demand for the regional output — 439 million dollars — would be concentrated in the five East North Central States. Thus, a further tendency for agricultural specialization within the North Central Region is suggested by the demand projections. Again, however, the expected increase in the demand for livestock products would have important repercussions in the derived demands for feed crops and forage. The geographical location of the feed and forage thus would be affected in a rather complex way by the differential regional rates of expansion in their derived demands and by transformation of the feed and forage into meat, dairy products and other outputs of livestock agriculture.

To illustrate the nature of the production interdependencies in the agriculture of the North Central Region and its subregions, an input-output table is presented as a major contribution of this study. Exactly the same 18-sector breakdown of agriculture is used in this regional study as was used in a study of intersectoral transactions in United States agriculture that was completed recently by the United States Department of Agriculture.

In this study, the inputs and outputs of each of the 18 agricultural sectors were estimated for each of the three subregions and for the North Central Region as a whole. The input-output analysis covers the 1955 calendar year, which also is the base year for the 20-year demand projections included in the study.

The input-output table and the analysis of flows between agricultural and nonagricultural sectors for the base year illustrate the structure of north central agriculture. A series of input-output coefficients also are presented as a source of information on the specific input structure of the regionally differentiated agriculture. In the North Central States, for example, the meat-animals sector requires inputs from the agricultural segment, composed of 18 sectors; from the industrial segment, composed of 15 manufacturing sectors and 9 services sectors; and from primary factors, composed of 7 sectors. The major input of the meat-animals sector is from the feed-crops sector and amounts to \$404,-771,000 of output. The total inputs from manufacturing and services sectors are \$152,817 per million dollars of output. Likewise, primary inputs totaled \$224,-066 per million dollars of output. The market structure of agriculture is illustrated by the table of market disbursements which shows the market destination of the output of each agricultural sector. Agricultural products are sold to other agricultural sectors, to intermediate processing industries (composed of nine manufacturing sectors and four services sectors) and to a final-use sector. Since this study was concerned with potential market outlets, market disbursements were not defined on a regional basis, but aggregate or national coefficients were used to determine the potential regional processing for any given level of regional production. It is possible, therefore, to trace the complete structure of the meat industry from the primary resources necessary for its agricultural production to the processing of the commodities for final use. The interaction between the meat industry and supporting industries also can be traced.

By assuming constant coefficients of production and linearity of the production functions, the agricultural segment of the economy can be formed into a closed system where direct and indirect input requirements are obtained for any sector. These requirements are used in the sales of goods to the intermediate processing and final-demand sectors. The interdependence coefficients derived for the meat-animals sector of the North Central Region, for example, show that, to deliver \$1,000,000 of output to the intermediate processors and final-use sectors, the gross output of the meat-animals sector must be \$1,256,422, and the output of the feed-crops sector must be \$526,654. The multiplier effect from a million-dollar increase in intermediate and final demand of the meat-animals sector is equal to the column sum of the interdependence coefficients matrix, or \$1,818,067.

By projecting intermediate processor and final use for the agricultural sectors to 1975 and by applying regional allocation rules to these projections, direct and indirect requirements were computed for each region and sector in terms of constant 1955 dollars. From these projected requirements, industrial needs, primary resource needs and potential market outlets were generated.

Related data on livestock marketing adjustments covering the 1955-65 period show the components of the meat-animals sector in substantially greater detail. The detailed data are essential for studies of industrial location. The latter studies, however, deal with partial economic systems. Because of the more comprehensive agricultural input-output study, the partial economic analyses of industrial location and interregional competition can be tied together systematically, and their aggregate implications can be evaluated with reference to a regional economy as a whole. It is in this context that the input-output study of north central agriculture may serve its most useful purpose as a source of basic data for future studies of area economic systems and for investment planning.

The regional models described and fitted in this study are intended to give a broad aggregative view of the input and market structure for north central agriculture. Although much of the data were gathered and computed on a commodity basis, detailed input-output tables were not derived because of the lack of essential data, particularly on the input side. Hence, the aggregation problem has masked some of the differential effects of changing consumer preferences, especially in the meat-animals sector. This problem is not as limiting as might be expected, because of similarity of inputs and market outlets. Nonetheless, for detailed information concerning individual commodities within any one sector, the present study should be extended.

Further studies may quite profitably explore in greater detail the structure of industries closely related to agriculture and include these industries within the interacting matrix. In this way, the total interdependence of agriculture and related industries could be measured. On the national level, this could be done by using presently available interindustry coefficients and by revising them according to other sources of information. Interregional trading patterns also could be computed for the North Central Region and the rest of the United States. Finally, the regional interindustry relations for 1955 and the regional demand projections for 1975 provide useful data for industrialcomplex analyses and studies of interregional competition in agriculture.

Regional Intersectoral Relations and Demand Projections With Emphasis on the Feed-Livestock Economy of the North Central States 1

by Wilbur R. Maki and Dean F. Schreiner

The feed-livestock complex of the North Central Region produces enough beef, pork, lamb and mutton to meet the consumption needs of more than 100 million people — nearly 60 percent of the total national population. Over 30 billion pounds of meat animals are produced by farmers in the 12 states of the North Central Region.²

The concentration of meat-animal production in the North Central Region can be attributed to a corresponding concentration in feed-grain supplies and in technological and managerial capabilities as well as to growth in aggregate demand for meat products. Indeed, the conversion of feed into meat animals has taken on the characteristics of an assembly line production. Nonetheless, according to Allin, "The feed-grain livestock problems will continue to be the most difficult problems to solve and will attract relatively more public attention" (1).

In providing adequate information for production and investment planning in the feed-livestock economy, much more than the production or marketing segments of this complex becomes involved in the data collection and analysis. The location and organization of meat packing and related activities, for example, are influenced by the location and organization of livestock production. Thus, the livestockproducing sector becomes a focus of interest with reference to investment decisions in livestock marketing, transportation and processing facilities. In addition, changing consumption patterns and transportation-rate relationships influence investment decisions of meat packers because of the substantial weight loss in processing and the related transportation economies. Finally, marketing decisions are, at least partly, consumer-oriented insofar as geographical differences in consumer preferences favor small, specialized meat-processing facilities catering to local or metropolitan markets.

When the interdependencies in the livestock sector are examined more closely, meat processing is recog-

nized as only one of several activities involved in the feed-livestock complex. The leather industry, for example, derives its principal raw materials from the meat-packing industry just as do segments of the fats and oils and the pharmaceutical industries. Finally, the meat-products sector of agriculture derives inputs from the feed-grains sector which, in turn, derives its inputs from a variety of sources, including the meatproducts sector. Thus, a vast network of interdependencies influences the meat-processing industry in terms of the direct effects originating from the meat-producing sector and the numerous indirect effects originating from the remaining agricultural input sectors.

Because of the importance of the meat-products sector of agriculture in the investment decisions of meat packing and related businesses, it is one focus of interest in this report. In addition, the interindustry or intersectoral relations in agriculture are investigated insofar as they influence the meat-products sector and, thus, the meat-packing and marketing sectors. This report involves, therefore, a discussion of several agricultural sectors with reference to historical levels of production and projected changes in the demand for the products of these sectors, specifically the products originating in the North Central Region.

ECONOMIC INFORMATION FOR DECISION-MAKING IN THE FEED-LIVESTOCK ECONOMY

Sources of needed information on prospective changes in the marketing places of the feed-livestock economy can be obtained from north central regional research projects on adjustments in the marketing of livestock, dairy products and grain.³ In addition, the North Central Farm Management Research Committee has initiated a research study of the livestockproducing sector. ⁴ Altogether, these studies deal with much of the feed-livestock complex in the North Central Region.

An important segment of this complex is located in Iowa. Iowa is a major area of feed-grain and livestock production, accounting for over 20 percent of

^{1/} Project No. 1460, Iowa Agricultural and Home Economics Experiment Station, Center for Agricultural and Economic De-velopment cooperating. This study was undertaken as an ex-tension of the Iowa contributing project to NCM-25—the north central regional project on "Adjustments in Livestock Marketing in the North Central States to Changing Patterns of Production and Consumption." 2/ The North Central Region includes the 12 North Central States—Kansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota and Wisconsin.

Wisconsin.

^{3/} The titles of these projects are: "Adjustments in Livestock Marketing to Changing Conditions of Production and Consump-tion," NCM-25; "Structural Changes in the Dairy Industry," NCM-26; and "Impact of Changing Conditions on Grain Mar-lecting Institutions and the Structure of Grain Markets," NCM-

[&]quot;Feed Supply Responses - Hog and Pork," NC-54.

the nation's corn production and nearly 14 percent of its farm output of meat animals. Because of the dominant position of the feed-grain complex in Iowa's total economic activity, the production and marketing interrelationships, even in the Iowa economy, must be viewed from the standpoint of a variety of decisionmakers — those in governmental administration as well as those in private business.

Data Requirements in the Marketing Sectors

Data needs in marketing livestock and feed grains are examined, first, to illustrate some informational bases for investment decisions. As pointed out by economists, decisions regarding capital expenditures and capital requirements invariably are based on future prospects.

Two approaches can be postulated: one, in which the future income stream of a business enterprise is estimated under different assumptions regarding the level or rate of investment; the other, in which longrange demand projections are used to confirm workable profit prospects based on recent levels of sales and relatively full use of capacity (3). Demand projections for each of 18 agricultural sectors of the North Central Region have been prepared, therefore, as a basis for confirming favorable and discouraging unfavorable investment prospects in the livestock and grain-marketing sectors of the region.

In addition to forecasting the market demands for the region's agricultural products, long-run trends in labor productivity in agricultural production will be used as part of a related study in converting the demand projections into estimates of future agricultural resources requirements. Thus, given the demand projections and the technical structure of north central agriculture, the gross output estimates can be converted into farm labor and capital requirements. For the marketing sectors, however, independently derived estimates of agricultural resources can be used to estimate the potential supply of agricultural outputs. If the potential supplies substantially exceed the projected demands, price and input adjustments can be expected.

Using Public Information

Estimates of future conditions involve elements of uncertainty; so do decisions that require choices among alternative courses of action. Because decisionmakers are faced with the uncertainty of future outcomes, various methods of discounting uncertainty, of negotiating with the environment so as to reduce uncertainty and of adapting short-term plans to changing conditions have been developed.

To facilitate decision-making with reference to capital expenditures, a variety of public forecasts and projections on the agricultural sectors are prepared periodically by the United States Department of Agriculture. These estimates are offered as bases for making long-range business plans in the face of uncertainty regarding the reaction of other business enterprises to changes in conditions.

Widely accepted public forecasts and projections can be self-confirming insofar as the related business decisions are made on the supposition that the forecast is in fact a goal or common business expectation. In this study, however, the demand and resource input projections are confined to the major agricultural commodities. An additional series of more specialized commodities forecasts are needed for long-range planning among individual businesses or governmental agencies. The estimates of prospective demands for broad categories of agricultural outputs provide a means of establishing consistency among a much larger number of specific commodity forecasts that are being used in long-range business planning.

Another means of achieving consistency in a series of demand and supply projections for agriculture is the intersectoral transactions table. The sales and purchases among the different agricultural and agriculturally-related sectors are illustrated, first, as a flow chart in fig. 1. The total purchases of the livestock sector, for example, which amount to more than 9.3 billion dollars, cover a wide range of industry sources. More than half of the total purchases — 5.4 billion dollars — originate from the agricultural sectors. Manufacturing industries contribute another billion dollars worth of inputs to the livestock sector. On the other hand, most of the sales are to the manufacturing sectors, primarily meat-packing and dairyprocessing plants.

The 1955 data represented in fig. 1, along with certain assumptions about the prospective demands for agricultural products, can be converted into prospective demands for primary resource requirements and other agricultural inputs. Thus, given certain forecasts of consumption and exports, for example, a corresponding series of forecasts of agricultural outputs and inputs can be prepared for the United States and its major agricultural regions.

Since the demand projections and intersectoral relations presented in this report are intended for the use of economic and business analysts in more specialized studies of north central agriculture, the empirical results are viewed initially from a theoretical standpoint. Problems in generating basic data for longrange planning are examined in the context of relevant economic models for data generation, including the input-output model used in this study. Second, a series of national and regional estimates of prospective demands for specified groups of agricultural commodities are presented for later use in the report. Third, the estimation of intersectoral transactions is considered as an outgrowth of the theoretical examination of the Leontief input-output model. Fourth, some applications of the data in prediction and analysis are examined. Finally, the research results are applied to an evaluation of investment prospects in agriculture and related sectors in the North Central Region.



Fig. 1. Interindustry transactions and commodity flows, United States, 1955.

PROBLEMS IN GENERATING BASIC DATA FOR LONG-RANGE PLANNING

The demand and agricultural output projections for the feed-livestock complex are being prepared in several stages. With reference to the meat-animals sector, projections to 1975 are being prepared of livestock marketings and slaughter and of meat consumption on a 26-region basis. These projections will be reported in forthcoming publications of the North Central Livestock Marketing Research Committee. The livestock projections, moreover, are being organized in a meaningful way by use of a spatial equilibrium model of the regionally and functionally differentiated livestock-meat economy.

The implications of the linear programming results, obtained as part of the livestock marketing research, will be studied with reference to the organization and structure of the meat-packing and related industries. In this report, however, the basic sources of change in the meat-packing industry that emanate from the meat-products and related sectors in agriculture are examined closely and thoroughly insofar as they affect the interpretation of the data generated by the regional research in livestock marketing.

Problems in the preparation of the data reported in this study have been twofold. First, an adequate economic model of agricultural interdependencies was needed to organize the vast amounts of data dealing with the technical structure of agriculture. In addition, the regional and sectoral implications of projected levels of aggregate demand for specified agricultural products needed to be examined. Both of these problems were handled through the use of a Leontief-type input-output model of agriculture. With reference to projected levels of agricultural outputs, demand estimates for regional outputs were developed on the basis of historical relationships covering the post-World War II period.

A second problem in data preparation relates to the fitting of the economic model. This problem was more critical several years ago than it is now (see references 2, 18 and 20). An 18-sector study of United States agriculture for the 1955 calendar year was completed recently that has added considerable new data for developing more detailed regional interindustry transaction tables of agriculture (17). In spite of the additional national input-output data and the abundance of state data on agricultural outputs and inputs, considerable judgment was involved in developing several series, because specific information on interregional and intersectoral commodity transfers was lacking. Each of the estimated series is discussed fully with reference to its derivation and apparent shortcomings.

Economic Model

In this section, the basic elements of input-output models are presented for later discussions of the regional input-output approach and data manipulation associated with fitting the more specialized models. The basic elements include the production function, the consumer-demand function, market-price relationships and identities. The organization of these elements into analytical procedures for data analysis is also discussed in this section.

Functional relationships

Production function. The production function is one of the major components of basic input-output theory. In this study, the production function is used in an ex-ante or a planning framework. In essence, the production function shows the opportunities for substitution among the inputs contributing to output variability. It also shows the contribution of each input to output. For this reason, output is considered in a physical sense and an incremental sense. Input variables, for example, are represented in constant dollars; output is represented as a net additional contribution of the particular enterprise or economic sector.

Finally, the effects of technological change may be introduced by using an additional variable representing, essentially, the technological impact on output, given all other inputs. Thus, a linear production function could be represented by the form,

$$X'_{jt} = \alpha_j Z_{1t} + \beta_j Z_{2t} + \gamma_j t, \qquad (1.1)$$

where X'_{jt} equals net additional output or value added, of sector j, t-th year.

 Z_{1t} and Z_{2t} are primary factors of production or primary inputs. The constants α , β and γ represent the constraints on production imposed by the existing state of technology. The trend coefficient, γ_i , may be defined as the year-to-year increase in output as a result of technology.

Consumer demand function. The consumer demand equation is involved implicitly, if not explicitly, in the analyses that follow. In any case, it is used in the conventional sense as the relationship of the quantity consumed per capita with the average price of the given commodity and of a competing or complementary commodity and with consumer income. Again, the function may be expressed linearly, as shown by the form,

$$x_{it} = A_i + B_{i1}P_{it} + B_{i2}P_{jt} + C_iI_t,$$
 (1.2)

where

- x_{it} == the per-capita consumption of the i-th commodity, t-th year.
- P_{it} == the average retail price of the i-th commodity, t-th year.
- P_{jt} = the average retail price of a j-th competing or complementary commodity, t-th year.
 - $I_t =$ the average per-capita income, t-th year.

The coefficients A_i , B_{i1} , B_{i2} and C_i are the constraints on per capita consumption.

Market price relations. Because of the structure of agricultural markets, wholesale rather than retail prices are most responsive to the broad, national, price-making forces, such as aggregate commodity supplies and consumer incomes (14). Over time, retail prices adjust to wholesale price changes as well as to changes in retailing costs. Primary, or local, market prices also respond to the price direction given by the better-organized central or wholesale markets. Thus, the wholesale price generates relevant market information for each major market level. The wholesale price, moreover, is a function of the major price determinants, as shown by the form,

$${}_{j_{it}}^{w} = a_{i} + b_{i}x_{it} + c_{i}x_{jt} + d_{i}I_{t}, \quad (i \neq j) \quad (1.3)$$

where

- p_{it} == the average wholesale price of the i-th commodity, t-th year.
- $x_{it} ==$ the per-capita supply (or consumption) of the i-th commodity, t-th year.
- $x_{jt} =$ the per-capita supply (or consumption) of a j-th competing or complementary commodity, t-th year.
- I_t = the average per-capita consumer income, t-th year.

Again, the regression coefficients, namely, a_i , b_i , c_i and d_i , denote estimates of market parameters based upon time-series or cross-section data on the specified variables.

In addition, a vertical price relation is involved that shows an input price, p_{ijt} , as a function of output price, p_{it} . According to this version of market-price structure, raw material prices follow changes in product output prices; hence,

$$p_{ijt} = f_j + g_j p_{it}, \qquad (1.4)$$

where

- $p_{ijt} = price of the i-th input or raw material, used in the j-th output, t-th year.$
- $p_{it} = price$ of the i-th output commodity, t-th year.
- g_j = vertical price coefficient, j-th output.
- $f_i = fixed margin coefficient, j-th output.$

The vertical price coefficient, g_j , may be more than equal to or less than unity. The relative size of the vertical price coefficient will depend, first, on the units of measurement of inputs and outputs. This, of course, will affect the price per unit of input or output; hence, g_j may be thought of as a conversion factor. In any case, the vertical price coefficient shows the sensitivity of input price to a change in the output price. *Identities.* To anticipate the requirements of the input-output matrix, the inputs purchased from the j-th sector by the i-th producing sector are shown as made up of two components, price and physical quantity. Thus, for any given period, the value of purchases from the j-th sector by the i-th producing sector is shown by the form,

$$\mathbf{X}_{ij} = \mathbf{p}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{ij}. \tag{1.5}$$

Similarly, the total value of output of any sector (i.e., the i-th sector) may be shown as price times quantity; i.e.,

$$\mathbf{X}_{i} = \mathbf{p}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}. \tag{1.6}$$

The technical structure of the input-output matrix includes the coefficient, a_{ij} , which represents the proportion of the total value of purchases of the i-th industry from the j-th sector. Thus, the aggregate input-output coefficient is represented by the form,

$$\mathbf{a}_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}}{\mathbf{X}_i}.$$
 (1.7)

A coefficient of market disbursements may be constructed in the same manner as a coefficient of production in equation 1.7. As an intermediate market flow, the market disbursements represent the same technical structure as the production coefficient, but defined in terms of market outlet per unit of total disbursements. The market disbursement coefficient may be represented as,

$$\mathbf{k}_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}}{\mathbf{X}_i} , \qquad (1.8)$$

where

 $X_i =$ the total output of the i-th sector.

 $X_{ij} =$ market flow from i-th producing sector to jth purchasing, or intermediate demand, sector.

Thus, k_{ij} may be expressed as a function of a_{ij} ;

$$k_{ij} = a_{ij} \left(rac{X_j}{X_i}
ight)$$
, if $i = j$, then $k_{ij} = a_{ij}$.

10

To show the relation between the production function and the quantities involved in the input-output matrix, the total output may be expressed by,

where

- $X_j = physical output of the j-th sector (physical, as in constant dollars).$
- X'_{j} = net additional output of the j-th sector (same as equation 1.1).
- $k_{ij} = coefficient$ of market flow from i-th pro-

ducing sector to j-th purchasing, or intermediate demand, sector.

Equation 1.9 shows the physical quantity of output from the j-th sector as the sum of the physical quantities of inputs and the value added by the j-th producing sector.

Leontief system of interindustry transactions

In general form, the interindustry transactions and related matrices in the Leontief system are represented quite simply by a series of algebraic expressions. The total transactions of an industry (i.e., X_i) include the transactions with other industries,

 ΣX_{ij} , and final users of the industry output, Y_i . j = 1

These two categories are commonly described as intermediate demands and final demands, respectively, and are represented by the form,

$$X_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} + Y_{i}.$$
 (2.1)

The total purchases for any sector (i.e., X_j) are made up of the purchases from other industries in

the interacting sector of the economy,
$$\begin{array}{c} & n \\ \Sigma & X_{ij}, \text{ and} \\ i=1 \end{array}$$

the primary input, V_j . These two types of purchases are represented by the form,

$$X_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} + V_{j}.$$
 (2.2)

The output of the i-th industry or sector available for final consumption, Y_i , may be represented by the form,

$$\mathbf{X}_{i} = \mathbf{X}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{ij} \mathbf{X}_{j}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where

n

 Y_i = the final demand or final consumption of the i-th sector.

 $X_i = total output for sector i.$

 a_{ij} = the production coefficient.

The total purchases of the final demand sectors for the base year may be represented in matrix form by,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X},\tag{2.4}$$

where A = matrix of input-output coefficients, a_{ij} .

Equation 2.4 is not in a predictive form, however. To derive the level of output required that satisfies a given aggregate final demand under specified conditions of production, as represented by the inputoutput technical structure matrix, the identity matrix is used. In matrix form, therefore, the total output of the interacting sectors of the economy is represented by,

$$X = (I - A)^{-1} Y.$$
 (2.5)

For a complete description of matrix inversion or the solution of a Leontief system, refer to Heady and Candler (7) and Dorfman, Samuelson and Solow (5).

Market disbursements. If market disbursement is defined as the distributing of all products technologically capable of being produced with a given technical structure of interacting sectors and a predicted amount of primary factors available for use with the proper organization, a procedure similar to the preceding one may be used. In the one case, primary factors were assumed to be reduntant; in the other case, it is assumed that market outlets are not exhausted.

The productivity of the j-th industry, X'_{j} , is defined as equal to total output of the j-th industry minus the intermediate demands; i.e.,

$$X'_{j} = X_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{ij}X_{j}.$$
 (3.1)

Following the same procedure and a similar set of equations, the measurement of productivity, X', may be used as a predictive tool in the sense that:

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}, \text{ or } \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathbf{X'} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{X}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

Hence:

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})^{-1} \mathbf{X'}$$
(3.4)

Equation 3.4 shows output as a function of intermediate technical structure and productivity.⁵ This formulation of the production relations has relevance to policy decisions. If such decisions were based upon estimates of the total production necessary for the delivery of a specified final demand, an overestimate of production may occur because of an increase in efficiency or organization, and a social waste would result. The output estimated from changes in final demand probably represents an upper limit to total output needed for a specified final demand.

Resource requirements. If data on unit-man-hour requirements (man-hours per unit of gross output) by sector are available, they can be applied to the inverse matrix to estimate the change in man-hour

^{5/} This formulation, however, assumes stability in the trading coefficients, which is less tenable than the corresponding assumption for the input-output coefficients.

requirements resulting from a change in final demand (44). For example, a fixed unit-man-hour requirement can be assumed for each sector; i.e.,

$$\frac{\mathbf{M}_{i}}{\mathbf{X}_{i}} = \mathbf{h}_{ii}, \tag{4.1}$$

or,

$$M_i = h_{ii} X_i, \qquad (4.2)$$

where

- $M_i =$ number of man-hours employed in the production of X_i .
- $h_{ii} =$ man-hour coefficient to produce one unit of X_i .

In matrix notation,

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{X},\tag{4.3}$$

where H is a diagonal matrix representing a series of man-hour coefficients, h_{ii} . Using equation 2.5, and substituting into equation 4.3, results in,

$$M = H(I - A)^{-1} Y.$$
(4.4)

In equation 4.4, M indicates the total number of manhours necessary to deliver the final demand Y.

By making one more assumption, namely that of profit maximization or equilibrium of the firm, specific values may be determined for the primary resources for any given level of output. From equation 2.4, for any level of final demand, Y, a total requirement vector, X, may be solved. Using this value in equation 3.4, the productivity vector, X', may be found. This may also be done by making equation 2.5 equal to equation 3.4 and solving for X'. Thus,

$$X' = (I - K) (I - A)^{-1} Y.$$
 (4.5)

Equation 4.5 shows that there is a certain level of primary resources, signified by the productivity vector, \mathbf{X}' , that goes along with a specified level of final demand, \mathbf{Y} .

Regional differentiation of technical structure

Since the construction of an interindustry table is expensive, aggregate coefficients based on the 1947 Bureau of Labor Statistics input-output study are used in regional breakdowns of interindustry data (6). The widespread use of the alternative approach merits a careful examination of the probable sources of variability in the aggregate coefficients.

Market relations. As was assumed for the aggregate case, a regional vertical market-price relation for the r-th region shows raw material prices following changes in product output prices; i.e.,

$$p_{ij}^{r} = f_{j}^{r} + g_{j}p_{i}^{r}$$
. (5.1)

The relation between regional output price, p_i , and raw material price, p_{ij} , is given by g_j . The regional fixed margin coefficient, f_j , again would be less than zero to provide a positive wholesaling or marketing margin.

The regional price relation shows a price differential as a result of transportation cost or quality, or both. An identical quality of products sold in the United States as a whole and in each of the regions would differ in price only by the cost of transportation from the surplus region of production to the deficit region of production. Hence, only the coeffici-

ent, \mathbf{T}_{i} , would differ from one region to another. If quality difference occurred also, or if the pricemaking mechanism were less than perfect, then the

regional price relation, m_i , would differ from unity. The regional price relation, therefore, is shown by the form,

$$\mathbf{p}_{i}^{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{T}_{i}^{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{m}_{i} \mathbf{\underline{p}}_{i}, \qquad (5.2)$$

where

- p_i = regional price, i-th output, r-th region
- $p_i = national price, i-th output.$
- $\dot{\mathbf{T}}_{i}$ = average cost of transportation, of i-th output for r-th destination region.
- m_i = coefficient of quality difference or imperfect market structure, i-th output, r-th region.

Substituting equation 5.2 into equation 5.1, the regional input price is shown to follow the national price of the output commodity, thus:

$$p_{ij}^{r} = f_{j}^{r} + g_{j}^{r} (T_{i}^{r} + m_{i}^{r}p_{i}),$$

$$= f_{i}^{r} + g_{i}^{r}T_{i}^{r} + g_{j}^{r}m_{i}^{r}p_{i}.$$
(5.3)

Input-output relations. A unique regional inputoutput coefficient also exists. The regional input-output coefficient will be differentiated from the aggregate input-output coefficient by the superscript r. Hence, the relation of the regional input-output coefficient to the aggregate input-output coefficient is represented by the form,

$$\frac{a_{ij}}{a_{ij}} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} r & r \\ p_{ij}x_{ij} \\ p_{j}x_{j} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} p_{ij}x_{ij} \\ p_{j}x_{j} \end{pmatrix}},$$
(5.4)

where all terms are defined as before.

215

In addition to the vertical price relations and the regional price relations cited earlier, a region's share of the total quantity of inputs purchased by a given sector may be represented by the form,

$$x_{ij}^{r} = h_{ij}^{r} x_{ij},$$
 (i, j = 1, . . ., n) (5.5)

where h_{ij} is the coefficient of regional input to aggregate input.

A region's share of the total quantity of output of the i-th sector similarly may be represented by the form,

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{r} = \mathbf{h}_{i}^{r} \mathbf{x}_{i}, \tag{5.6}$$

where h_i^{r} is the coefficient of regional output to aggregate output on a quantity basis. If the r-th region produces the entire aggregate amount, then h_i^{r} is equal to unity.

Identities. In an effort to analyze regional differences, several relations will be combined to note regional variability. Substituting equations 1.4, 5.1, 5.2, 5.5 and 5.6 into equation 5.4, and simplifying, yields the expression,

$$\frac{\mathbf{a_{ij}}}{\mathbf{a_{ij}}} = \frac{\mathbf{T_i^r} + \mathbf{m_i p_i}}{\mathbf{T_i^r} + \mathbf{m_i p_i}} + \mathbf{g_j^r} \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{h_{ij}} \\ \mathbf{h_j} \end{array} \right) \\ \frac{\mathbf{h_{ij}}}{\mathbf{h_j}} = \frac{\mathbf{T_i^r} + \mathbf{h_i p_i}}{\mathbf{h_j}} \\ \frac{\mathbf{h_j}}{\mathbf{h_j}} + \mathbf{h_j} \end{array}$$
(5.7)

Thus, regional differences from the aggregate input-output coefficients are viewed as originating from differences in (a) vertical price relations within regions, (b) horizontal price relations among regions and (c) the region's share of total inputs and total outputs. If each region's share of total inputs purchased by a given sector is the same as its share of the total outputs of that sector, the market share ratio is unity. Moreover, if price changes are of like magnitudes among regions, then regional and aggregate price coefficients are equal. If there are no quality differences and perfect transmission of price changes between market levels, then the market coefficient is unity. The only difference, then, is the transportation cost and any differences in marketing margins between regions, f_j and f_j . If transportation

costs did not exist and marketing margins were the same among regions, no difference would exist between the two expressions.

To use input-output analysis in a predictive manner, some estimate of final demand must be made. Since consumption is not broken down on a regional basis, final demand must be distributed to the various regions. In this study, an estimation equation was used for the regional distribution of final demand of the form,

$$Y_{it+s}^{r} = Y_{it}^{r} + b_{i}^{r} (Y_{it+s} - Y_{it}),$$
 (5.8)

where

- $\dot{Y_{it}}$ = regional final demand for the i-th sector, t-th year.
- $Y_{it} = aggregate final demand for the i-th sector, t-th year.$

$$Y_{it+s} =$$
 regional final demand for the i-th sector, $(t+s)$ -th year.

$$Y_{it+s} = aggregate final demand for the i-th sector, (t+s)-th year.$$

$$b_i^r$$
 = the regional regression slope for the i-th sector.

The equation yields a least-squares estimate based on annual data. Unfortunately, regional data on final demand are not available on a yearly basis. For agriculture, however, adequate production data can be found on both an aggregate and regional basis.

Following equation 5.6, a corresponding equation for final demand may be written as,

$$\mathbf{Y}_{i}^{r} = \mathbf{n}_{i}^{r} \mathbf{Y}_{i}, \tag{5.9}$$

indicating that regional final demand is a function of aggregate final demand. If h_i^r is equal to n_i^r , then producton data can be used to estimate b_i^r and can be used in equation 5.8. To determine whether h_i^r equals n_i^r , equation 2.3 and a corresponding equation for regional final demand may be substituted into equation 5.9, yielding,

$$X_{i}^{r} = n_{i}^{r}X_{i} - n_{i}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij}^{r},$$

$$j = 1 \qquad j = 1 \qquad (5.10)$$

For n_i^r to equal h_i^r , the form,

must hold; otherwise, the regional intermediate demand must be the same proportion, n_i^r , of the aggregate intermediate demand. If this can be assumed, or if it can be accounted for, production data may be

used to estimate the regression, h_i^r in equation 5.7.⁶

^{6/} Alternatively, the Leontief, or (I-A) matrix, can be postmultiplied by the gross output vector, X, to obtain the final bill of agricultural goods, Y. The individual levels of Y can be evaluated, then, in terms of prospective markets—regional, national and foreign—needea to absorb the expected outputs.

Temporal differentiation of technical structure

Even if both production and consumption relations were stable for the economy, the technical structure may change over time because of changes in the values of the variables in the production and consumption functions. To show the sources of temporal variability in the technical structure of the economy, the components of the input-output matrix were differentiated with respect to time.

Using equations 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 to show the basic operation in describing temporal changes of the technical structure, a partial derivative is obtained of the form,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}a_{ij}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\mathrm{p}_{ij} \mathrm{x}_{ij}}{\mathrm{p}_{j} \mathrm{x}_{j}} \right) \,. \tag{6.1}$$

Equation 6.1 indicates the infinitesimal change occurring in the technical coefficient, assuming a continuous function over time. Furthermore, by carrying out the operation on the right-hand side of equation 6.1 and simplifying, the following form results:

$$\frac{\Delta a_{ij}}{a_{ij}} = \frac{\Delta p_{ij}}{p_{ij}} + \frac{\Delta x_{ij}}{x_{ij}} - \frac{\Delta p_j}{p_j} - \frac{\Delta x_j}{x_j} , \quad (6.2)$$

where the relative change Δa_{ij} , for example, is a

representation of the expression
$$\frac{da_{1j}}{dt}$$
. Equation 6.2

shows, therefore, that a temporal change in the inputoutput coefficient is the sum of the positive changes in input price and input quantities and the negative changes in output price and output quantities.

Market relations. Change from one period to the next may also be observed in the vertical price structure given in equation 1.4. Temporal change in the vertical price structure would be shown by the expression,

$$\Delta p_{ij} = g_j \Delta p_{i,j}. \tag{6.3}$$

Input-output relations. Temporal change in the output variable of the production functions, either for product outputs or factor inputs, is represented by the form,

$$\Delta \mathbf{X}_{j, i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{k}_{ij} \Delta \mathbf{X}_{i} + \Delta \mathbf{X}_{i, j}.$$
(6.4)

Substituting equations 6.3 and 6.4 into equation 6.2 and simplifying, yields the expression,

$$\frac{\Delta a_{ij}}{a_{ij}} = \Delta p_{i,j} \left(\frac{g_j}{p_{ij}} - \frac{1}{p_j} \right) \quad + \frac{\Delta X_{ij}}{X_{ij}}$$

$$-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{ij} \Delta X_{i} + \Delta X_{j,i}}{X_{j,i}}$$
(6.5)

In summary, temporal change in the aggregate or the regional input-output structure may arise from one or more of the following sources: (a) a disproportionate change in input and output prices; (b) a temporal change in the level of physical input purchases; (c) a temporal change in the total output of each sector which is, in turn, a function of its net output that may show temporal change; (d) a temporal change in the net output of the specified sector to which the input-output coefficient applies and which, in turn, is a function of all primary input variables that may show temporal change; or (e) a temporal change in prices of complementary and competing commodities when a price is a function of the prices of complementary or competing products.

Data Sources and Requirements

The numerical or quantitative core of the present analysis is based primarily on the data and procedure used by Masucci (17). The information in the Masucci report on sales and purchases of products between the farm and nonfarm segments of the United States economy and on sales and purchases within these major segments provides the most comprehensive data thus far brought together in this field.

Intersectoral transactions table

According to the intersectoral transactions table used in this study, agriculture is essentially dependent upon itself, upon industrial sectors from which it purchases material inputs and which process its material outputs, and upon the household segment which is the ultimate consumer and provides the primary factors of production. Agriculture is also differentiated regionally according to (a) production methods conditioned by various factors of which natural resources are most relevant and limiting or (b) a commodity basis of which marketing and processing conditions are factors or (c) a combination of the two.

Sectoral classification of agriculture. In inputoutput analyses, the classification of economic sectors consists of classifying industrics into sectors according to uniformity of product output or factor inputs. The goal of classification is to have as much homogeneity of commodities within a sector as is consistent with maintaining a workable system.

Two general types of classification dominate in input-output methods. One is to classify according to type of industry or enterprise. The second is to classify according to commodities. The former case is generally the one occurring in manufacturing, while the latter is consistent with agriculture. Sectoral classification of agriculture for the present study is the same as that given in Masucci's report (17). The agricultural economy is divided into 17 commodity groups plus one additional sector of agricultural services. Each commodity group is composed of one to several individual commodities. Table 1 contains the classification of agricultural commodities by sector name and sector number.

So far, nothing has been said about units of measurement. If each sector were composed of one commodity or if an acceptable index could be derived for a group of commodities, such as an index of nutritional value of different types of meat, physical units could be used in measurements (e.g., pounds of protein). However, since most sectors are composed of more than one commodity and since it is extremely difficult to compute a standard unit of measurement for different commodities, the method of physical measurements is seldom used. In addition to the problem of standard units for any particular sector, physical units are not necessarily additive between sectors. The present study has used producers' value as opposed to the alternative of purchasers' value.

Flow matrix. One of the main attributes of the

Table 1. Classification of agricultural commodities by sector name and input-output sector number.

Input-output sector number	Sector name	Commodities
1	Meat animals	Cattle and calves, hogs sheep and lambs, goats
2	Poultry and eggs	hides and manure Chickens, broilers, turkeys, eggs, other poultry
3	Farm dairy pro-	Milk
4	Other livestock and products	Wool, mohair and other hair, horses and mules honey, package bees,
5	Food crops	Wheat, rice, rye, buck-
6	Feed crops	Corn, hay, oats, barley,
7	Cotton	Cotton, cottonseed
8	Tobacco	Tobacco
9	Oil-bearing crops	Soybeans, peanuts, flaxseed, castor beans
10	Vegetables	Dry edible beans, dry edible peas, potatoes, sweetpotatoes, truck
11	Fruits	Fruits, berries
12	Tree nuts	Tree nuts
13	Legume and grass seeds	Cowpeas, hayseeds, pasture seeds, cover crop
14	Sugar and sirup crops	Sugar beets, sugar cane, maple products, sorgo
15	Miscellaneous crops	Hops, spearmint and peppermint, broomcorn, flax, hemp, popcorn, velvetbeans
16	Forest products	Forest products
17	Greenhouse and nursery products	Horticultural specialties, sod, forest seedlings, roots and herbs
18	Agricultural services	Hatcheries, artificial insemination, animal husbandry, seed certifi-
		cation, soil testing, customwork and machine hire, ginning, sirup tolls

input-output approach is its advantage for organizing a large amount of data in a systematic way. The flow matrix is an outgrowth of the accounting procedure used in input-output analysis. (The mathematical form of the flow matrix was given earlier in equation 2.1.)

The agricultural segment of the economy will be described in this procedure. The agricultural segment may be termed as a subset within the entire economy. The 18 sectors within agriculture represent 18 producing sectors and 18 purchasing sectors. In addition to the 18 agricultural sectors—17 production sectors plus one service sector—one more purchasing sector is established that includes the nonagricultural purchases of farm commodities. Also, one more producing sector or row is added which comprises all additional inputs to agriculture that originate outside of agriculture. These inputs include, not only industrial inputs, but also primary factors such as labor and proprietory income.

Direct requirements matrix. One of the basic assumptions of input-output analysis is that a constant parameter describes the relationship between any input and the corresponding output. The mathematical form of this relationship for a specific inputoutput coefficient is given in equation 1.7. The entire system of equations expressing the technical structure incorporated within the input-output framework is given by equations 2.3 and 2.4.

The inverse: direct and indirect requirements. In the flow matrix of the agricultural interdependence model, total output is a function of agricultural intermediate demand and nonagricultural intermediate and final demand. The direct requirements matrix shows any specific sector's total output as a function of all other agricultural sector's total output and nonagricultural demand. By making the final demand. or what is termed all nonagricultural demand in this model, an exogenous part of the model, total output can be described as a function of the total interdependence matrix and the exogenous portion of the model. Since the total interdependence matrix is composed only of the technical relations constructed for the direct requirements matrix and is considered constant, total output is only a function of this constant times the exogenous part of the model.

Final demand and primary input sectors

The model may be extended now to include the dependence of the 18 agricultural sectors upon the industrial and primary sectors for factor purchases and upon the industrial and final demand sectors for product purchases. These two components are the exogenous parts of the model that can be multiplied by the technical structure to obtain estimates of total production among the 18 agricultural sectors.

Sectoral classification. The industrial classification

of sectors is in two parts. One classification is according to rows, and the other classification is by columns in the input-output tables. The classification for the present study is essentially the same as that given in (17, pp. 29-31). Two main industrial classifications are distinguished, with each disaggregated into a number of rows. One main classification is "Total Manufacturing" which is decomposed into 15 separate rows. The other main group is that of "Total Services," composed of nine separate rows. Each row represents an aggregate of similar industries according to the Standard Industrial Classification (21).

One difference in the present classification from that given by Masucci (17) is in the row sector of chemicals and allied products. This sector is divided into "Chemical Products I," composed of industries designated 2819, 29, 30, 70, 97, 98 and 99 in the Standard Industrial Classification, and "Chemical Products II," composed of Standard Industrial Classification industries 2881, 82, 83, 85 and 86 (the output of the oilmeal industries). The purpose in dividing this sector arises from the importance of the oilmeal industries in the livestock economy. Table 2 gives the row classification of the industrial sectors by sector name and number.

Column classification of industries is similar to that given by Masucci (17), but some sectors have

Table 2. Row classification of industrial sectors by sector name and input-output sector number.

Dee		Gester ment	Standard industrial
Row	v sector	Sector name	classification
Mar	nufacturing:		
$\begin{array}{c}19\\20\end{array}$		Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals (except	1210
21 .		Food and kindred	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\frac{22}{23}$		Textile mill products Finished textile prod-	2220, 2297
		ucts	2393, 94
24 .		Wood products	2440, 45
$\frac{25}{26}$.		Paper products Printing and publish-	2612, 40
0.7		ing i l l l l	2700
41 -		Chemical products 1	2819, 29, 30, 70,
28		Chemical products II	2881 82 83 85 86
29		Petroleum products	2911
30		Rubber products	3011
31 .		Stone, clay and glass	3221 60 74 95
32 .		Fabricated metal	3411, 22, 23, 89, 91, 9920
33 _		Machinery and parts	3521, 27, 59, 9910
Ser	vices:		
34		Itilities	4911 22 25 71
35		Margin industries	N167 N168
00		mangin mansuros	N169.1, N169.3, N171, N173, N174, N175.1, N176, 9010, 9020
36		Telephone	N191
37		Finance	N197.1. N198.3
38		Farm nonresidential	
39		rents Misc farm business	N199.2
00 -		expenses	N203, N211, 9913
40.		Repair services	N205, N206.4
41 _		Nonprofit membership	
an's		organizations	N213
42.		Construction	N244

Table 3. Column classification of industrial sectors by sector name and input-output sector number.

Column sector	Sector name	Standard industrial classification		
Manufacturing	1:			
19 20 21 22 22	Meat packing Poultry, wholesale Processed dairy products All other food and kindred products	$\begin{array}{c} 2010\\ 2015\\ \\ 2020\\ 2032, \ 33, \ 34, \ 35,\\ 37, \ 41, \ 42, \ 43,\\ 44, \ 51, \ 52, \ 61,\\ 63, \ 71, \ 72, \ 82,\\ 83, \ 84, \ 85, \ 90,\\ 92, \ 94, \ 95, \ 96,\\ 99\end{array}$		
23 24 25 26 27	Tobacco manufactur- ing Textile mill products Wood products Chemical and allied products Leather tanning and other misc. industries	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
Services: 28 29 30 31	Eating and drinking places Hospitals and educa- tion Construction All other services	5810 8061, 8210 N244 N167, N170, N173 N169.3, N191, N192		

been disaggregated; in particular, the food and kindred products sector and other sectors have been disaggregated. Table 3 gives the column classification of the industrial sectors.

Primary factors of production represent what is usually termed "value added" in any particular sector. After all material inputs are designated in the production of any commodity, there remain inputs of labor, management, depreciation and other items that may be termed primary resources or those contributed by the "household" sector. Other items that often are included in this sector are foreign inputs, federal, state and local government inputs. These factors are not included in the total interaction matrix but are assumed to be given or forthcoming for any given output that is a direct function of final demand. Table 4 gives the classification of primary input.

Table 4. Classification of final demand and primary inputs by sector name and input-output sector number.

Sector number	Sector name	Standard industrial classification
32	Final demand	
	Foreign trade	9100, 9104
	Federal government	9010
	State and local	
	government	9020
	Gross private capital formation	7935
	Inventory change	9941, 40, 53, 43
	Households	9500
43	Foreign trade	9100
44	Federal government	9010
45	State and local	
	government	9020
46	Households	9500
46.1	Wages and salaries	
46.2	Proprietors' income	
46.3	All other	

Final demand in the Masucci (17) report is decomposed into six sectors. For purposes of this report, final demand will be one sector. Table 4 also gives the classification of final demand.

Factor purchases. Flows of inputs into agriculture from industrial and primary sectors may be read off directly from the flow matrix. Agriculture is an important market for many industrial goods and services and also requires labor and management skills from the primary sectors.

Product sales. Agriculture also is dependent upon the industrial and final demand sectors as market outlets for its products. For the agricultural interdependence model, no differentiation was made between market outlets between the industrial and final demand sectors for agricultural products. However, to establish the potential industrial markets for agricultural products, it is necessary to disaggregate into specific markets. Therefore, the industrial segment was decomposed into 13 sectors according to the column classification given previously.

Regional and interregional models

One of the major objectives of this study is to prepare an intersectoral transactions table for measuring the impact of changes in the agricultural segment and related sectors upon the economic activity of a region. For this reason, the models so far described will be carried out on a regional and subregional basis.

For purposes of this study, the United States was disaggregated into two major regions, the North Central States and all other states. The North Central Region accounts for 51 percent of the total livestock production and 41 percent of the total erop production of the United States. Because of the relative importance of this region for the production of agricultural commodities, any change in the demand for agricultural products will be of tremendous importance, not only to the agricultural segment of the economy, but also to all sectors that deal directly or indirectly with agriculture.

Contrasted to the North Central Region are all other regions combined. Even though total agricultural production is large for the other regions, it is not as important to the over-all regional economy as is production in the North Central Region.

Figure 2 illustrates a further subregional breakdown of the North Central Region. The 12 states in the region are included among three subregions with North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska and Kansas in the Northern Plains subregion; Minnesota, Iowa and Missouri in the Western Corn Belt; and Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana and Ohio in the East North Central subregion.

The Northern Plains subregion is characterized by the production of food crops, such as wheat and rye, and in the production of meat animals, particularly feeder cattle. The Western Corn Belt is a major pro-



Fig. 2. North Central Region and subregions.

ducer of meat animals, with Iowa by far the most important single producer of hogs. Feed crops make up another relatively important sector in this subregion. Dairying and feed-crop production are the more important sectors of the East North Central subregion.

The geographic distribution of agricultural processing establishments is another important factor in considering regional growth patterns. The East North Central subregion has long been established as a major processing center, especially of meat animals. However, new facilities have been established in the area of production of these commodities. Hence, processing plants are being established in the Western Corn Belt and the Northern Plains.

Data Manipulation

To facilitate the location of particular data on intersectoral flows and demands, an abbreviated format of an interindustry transactions table has been prepared (table 5a). In the summary table, each of the intersectoral tables in this report is identified by number. For example, data on gross agricultural output in the North Central Region are summarized in tables 11 through 13, table 17, and tables 38 and 39. Data on intra-agricultural transactions are summarized in tables 18 through 21 and tables 30 through 35. Because of the emphasis on the agricultural sectors, data on intrasectoral commodity flows in the manufacturing and service sectors as well as in the final demand sectors are not included in this report.

The tabular data for the North Central Region have been aggregated and summarized in table 5b simply to illustrate the use of the detailed data in estimating prospective regional requirements for agricultural products. First, however, one modification has been made in the format of table 5a; namely, the breakdown of agriculture into two major sectors animal products and other outputs (primarily crops). According to the summary data, the gross 1955 output (including intrasectoral transfers) of the animal products sectors in the North Central Region was

Table 5a. Location of interindustry transactions tables by number with reference to major categories of data.

			, ÷		
Major producing sectors	Sector numbers	Agri- culture 1-18	Manufacturing and services 19-31	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Final} \\ {\rm demands} \\ {\rm 32} \end{array}$	Total output 1-32
Agriculture Manufactured products	_ 1-18	$18-21 \\ 30-35$	41	14, 15 36, 37	11-13, 17, 38, 39
and services	_ 19-42	22, 24, 26, 28, 40	Not co	vered in this repo	ort.
Primary inputs	_ 43-46	23, 25, 27, 29, 42	Not co	vered in this repo	ort.

Table 5b. Estimated flows of goods and services to and from agriculture in the North Central Region, 1955.

Maine	Sector numbers	In	Intermediate demands			
sector		Animal products 1-4	Crops and other 5-18	Total 1-18	Final demand ^a 19-32	Total output 1-32
Animal products _ Crops and other _	1-4 5-18	(\$1,000) 1,297,061 4,074,157	$(\$1,000)\ 41,483\ 583,486$.\$1,000) 1,338,544 4,657,643	$(\$1,000) \\ 8,010,127 \\ 4,182,687$	(\$1,000) 9,348,671 8,840,330
and services Primary inputs Total purchases _	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,908,542 2,068,911 9,348,671	3,060,047 5,155,314 8,840,330	$4,968,589 \\7,224,225 \\18,189,001$		

a/ Final demand including market disbursements.

\$9,348,671,000 while the corresponding output for the crop and other output sectors was \$8,840,330,000. Only \$1,338,544,000 of the animal products output was utilized within the agricultural sectors; the remainder of this output was utilized by different manufacturing and service sectors, and, also, by households making purchases directly from the agricultural sectors. On the other hand, the animal products sector acquired \$1,297,061,000 worth of its own output and an additional \$4,074,157,000 worth of products from other agricultural sectors. Purchases from the manufacturing and service sectors and the primary input sectors were about equally divided, as shown in table 5b.

As indicated in the discussion of the Leontief system of interindustry transactions, the estimates of gross output are based on the use of the inverse, $(I-A)^{-1}$. First, however, the purchases from each sector specified in table 5b are divided by the total purchases of a given sector to obtain the input-output coefficients cited in equation 1.7 (see table 5c). The input-output coefficients now denote the levels of specified purchases per million dollars of gross output. For example, the summary data show that the animal products sector acquires \$138,743 worth of

Table 5c. Direct requirements coefficients of agricultural sectors in the North Central Region, 1955.

Major producing sectors	Sector numbers	Animal products 1-4	Crops and other 5-18
Animal products	_ 1-4	\$ 138,743	\$ 4,692
Crops and other Manufactured products	_ 5-18	435,801	66,003
and services	- 19-42	204,151	346,146
Primary inputs	_ 43-46	221,305	583,159
Total purchases	_ 1-46	1,000,000	1,000,000

products from its own detailed sectors per million dollars of total purchases.

To illustrate the use of the data in the two tables in the context of equation 2.1, the total purchases of the j-th sector are multiplied by the corresponding input-output coefficient (which is divided by 10° as a coding procedure) and then added to the estimated final demand. The outcome of the multiplication is the gross output, which is equal to total purchases.

Alternatively, the input-output coefficient may be subtracted first from "one" or "zero" and then multiplied by gross output to obtain final demand, as shown:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8,010,127\\4,182,687 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.861257 & -0.004692\\-0.435801 & 0.933997 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 9,348,671\\8,840,330 \end{bmatrix}$$

The multiplication is prescribed by the matrix equation

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{X},$$

which can be represented numerically by the procedure,

$$8,010,127 = (0.861257) (9,348,671) - (0.004692) (8.840,330)$$

and

$$4,182,687 = -$$
 (0.435801) (9,348,671) + (0.933997) (8,840,330).

In this report, final demand projections are presented for 1975, along with the technical structure of agriculture for 1955. Thus, the final demand is given, while gross output is estimated by use of equation 2.4. To illustrate the procedure using 1955 final demand estimates, it is necessary, first, to obtain the inverse of the Leontief or (I-A) matrix. The inverse, $(I-A)^{-1}$, is then multiplied by the final demand vector, Y, to obtain gross output.

In summary, therefore, equation 2.4 can be represented by the matrix form,

ך 9,348,671 ך	$\lceil 1.164052$	ך 0.005848	ך 8,010,127 ך
8,840,330	= [0.543144	1.073395	4,182,687],

or by the numerical procedure,

$$9,348,671 = (1.164052) (8,010,127) + (0.005848) (4.182,687)$$

and

$$8,840,330 = (0.543144) (8,010,127) + (1.073395) (4,182,687).$$

Finally, the inverse matrix contains the short-run regional multipliers that can be used to relate changes in final demands to both direct and indirect changes in agricultural outputs. For example, a \$1 increase in the final demands (including manufacturing and services) for the outputs of the animal products sector would result in a \$1.16 *direct* increase in the gross output of animal products and a \$0.543 *indirect* increase in the gross of crops and other products. The \$1.16 *direct* increase in output is necessary because of the occurrence of intrasectoral transfers of \$0.138 per \$1 of gross output. Thus, to deliver \$1 of gross output into the final demand sectors, at least \$1.138 of total output must be produced.

As a result of the increase in animal products output to meet the \$1 increase in the demand for animal products, the crop and other output sectors will experience an increase in their derived demands because of the technical interdependencies among the two major sectors (see table 5b). For example, the \$1.138 first-round *direct* increase in total animal products output would require a \$0.617 (since \$1.138 x \$0.543) = \$0.617) *indirect* increase in the output of the second major sector. The later increase, in turn, requires an additional small increase in the output of the first sector because of the technical interdependencies. The second-round *direct* increase in output requires a further *indirect* increase in output, which, again, results in further incremental adjustments until the iterative process "zeros-in" on the equilibrium levels (namely, the estimates given in the inverse matrix). In this way, the 1955 interindustry transactions matrix can be used in estimating the total direct and *indirect* requirements to meet a projected level of final demand (including manufacturing) for each of the specified agricultural sectors.

The use of a 1955 pattern of interindustry relations gives, of course, a series of output projections based on the assumption of fixed input-output coefficients for the projection period. The assumption of stability in input-output coefficients is one that can be modified to some extent by projecting secular changes in these coefficients. However, data were not available for this study to prepare an input-output table based on projected changes in the pattern of interindustry transactions in each of the regions. Hence, the projected agricultural outputs can be translated into estimates of specific input requirements only in terms of the given input-output structure.

PROJECTED DEMANDS FOR AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS

To use the technical data in projecting north central agriculture for some future period, say 1975, the final demand vector must be estimated. Thus, given the projected final demands for north central agricultural products and the technical structure of north central agriculture, the gross agricultural output for the region can be obtained.

In this report, we assume that the 1955 technical structure of agriculture is a reasonably close approximation of the future agricultural structure. In any case, the final demand estimates are the primary basis for the 1975 estimates of agricultural output. These estimates are presented, first, for the United States and, finally, for the North Central Region and the three subregions — East North Central, Western Corn Belt and Northern Plains.

Analytical Procedures

Rogers and Barton (19) have estimated national requirements from agriculture by 1975 for many of the more important commodities. In that study, the change in projected requirements for 1975 from the base period of 1956-58 is a function of the projected change in personal disposable income, population numbers and distribution, export and import balance, trends in consumer preferences, industrial needs and the demand from the government sector of the economy.

Since Rogers's and Barton's agricultural production estimates are used for this study, their specific assumptions will be given. The projections were based on 1957 price levels. The increase in demand in 1975 over the base period was computed chiefly from the projected increase in population. A moderate increase in over-all per-capita use of farm products was projected after considering demand characteristics of various farm products, the projected increase in disposable personal income and projections of trends in consumer tastes. The requirements were based on a United States population of 230 million. The level of exports of farm commodities was projected on the assumption of approximately 1956 world price levels for major export crops.

Projected requirements for livestock production are 45 percent above actual production in 1956-58. Crop production would need to rise by 25 percent above 1956-58. This difference is due in part to the tendency for meat consumption to rise with income. The smaller increase projected for crop production also is due to production in excess of market utilization of a number of major crops during the base period as well as to the projected increase in efficiency of feed use by livestock.

The estimates of agricultural requirements for 1975 are, for the most part, based on national estimates prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture. Where estimates were not available, however, per-capita consumption was explained by a linear regression model that included personal disposable income and a trend variable. If per-capita consumption did not vary from year to year, average consumption was used with the projected population by 1975.

The next step was to establish some regional production allocation rules. As has been mentioned, consumption was defined only on a national level, but production was defined by regions. Since final demand for purposes of this study has been defined as that portion going to the intermediate processing sectors as well as that going for final use, the regional production rules are assumed to hold also for the regional distribution of final demand.

The model used in this study may be expressed by four equations: a behavioral equation, expressing a region's production in terms of the national production; a definitional equation, describing final demand; a regional market-share equation; and an equation expressing projected regional final demand in 1955 base year prices. Each agricultural commodity then is represented by the four equations:

$$X_t^r = a + b^r X_t^{US}$$
(7.1)

where

 \mathbf{X}_{t} = regional physical production in year t,

- X_t^{US} = national physical production in year t,
- b = coefficient of change in regional production associated with a 1-unit change in national production,

a = constant term or intercept value.

$$\mathbf{Y}_{1955}^{r} = \mathbf{X}_{1955}^{r} - \mathbf{I}_{1955}^{r}, \qquad (7.2)$$

where

- Y_{1955}^{r} = regional physical final demand in the base year,
- $X_{1955}^{'}$ = regional physical production in the base year,

 $I_{1955} =$ sum of the regional intermediate demand in the base year.

$$Y_{1975}^{r} = Y_{1955}^{r} + b^{r} (Y_{1975}^{US} - Y_{1955}^{US}),$$
 (7.3)

where

$$Y_{1975}^{US} = estimated national requirements in 1975,$$

$$b^{r}(Y_{1975}^{US} - Y_{1955}^{US}) =$$
 the region's market share of the increased national requirements,

$$I_{1975}$$
 = estimated regional final de mand in 1975.

$$Y_{1975}^{r^*} = p_{1955}^{r} Y_{1975}^{r},$$
 (7.4)

where

 $p_{1955} =$ regional price of the commodity in 1955,

 $Y_{1975} = 1975$ projected regional final demand valued at 1955 prices.

Aggregate Demand and Output Estimates

The agricultural projections are broken down into livestock products and crops. In both cases, estimated farm output is shown. Later, the output data will be used to estimate prospective regional demands for agricultural products.

Livestock and livestock products

The four sectors included under this major category are identified further by a detailed commodity classification in table 6. The commodity estimates are on a physical basis. Each series of commodity estimates is described with reference to data sources and the underlying assumptions.

Meat animals. The gross output estimates of cattle, calves, hogs and sheep include (a) farm production, (b) inshipments and (c) inter-farm sales. Inshipments and interfarm sales are based on the Masucci study (17). Projected total production for 1975 is based, moreover, on the same ratio as that existing between the estimated 1955 slaughter and the projected 1975 slaughter.

According to the estimates reported in table 6, the gross farm output of cattle in 1955 was nearly twice as large as the gross farm output of hogs. By 1975, the ouput disparity is expected to be even greater: Total farm production of cattle and calves is estimated at 62,895,000,000 pounds liveweight compared with 30,827,000,000 pounds liveweight of hogs. Because of the double counting in the gross output estimates, they would be larger than the slaughter

Table 6. Estimated production of specified livestock items, United States, 1955 and 1975.

Sector	Item	Units	Estimated 1955	Projected 1975	Projected change 1955-75	Projected 1975 as percent of estimated 1955
1	Meat animals (gross output)			6		
•	Cattle and calves Hogs	Mil. lbs. Mil. lbs.	39,477 20,274 226	62,895 30,827 2106	23,418 10,553	$159.3 \\ 152.1 \\ 128.9$
1	Meat animals (slaughter)	MIII. 105.	2,230	3,100	010	199.9
	Cattle and calves Hogs Sheep and lambs	Mil. lbs. Mil. lbs. Mil. lbs.	27,747 19,271 1,585	44,207 29,302 2,202	$16,460 \\ 10,031 \\ 617$	$159.3 \\ 152.1 \\ 138.9$
2	Poultry and eggs Farm chickens Broilers Turkeys	Mil. lbs. Mil. lbs. Mil. lbs.	1,632 3,309 1,090 1,050	813 7,283 2,232	-819 3,974 1,142	$\begin{array}{r} 49.8 \\ 220.1 \\ 204.8 \\ \end{array}$
3	Other poultry Farm dairy products Other livestock Wool Mohair and other hair Horses and mules Honey	Mil. doz. Thou. dol. Mil. lbs. Thou. dol. Thou. dol. Thou. dol. Thou. dol. Thou. dol.	4,938 36,996 123,128 305,027 130,015 13,912 14,431 45,031 2,248	6,976 43,084 171,500 352,168 179,634 13,912 7,215 49,534	$2,018 \\ 6,088 \\ 48,372 \\ 47,141 \\ 49,619 \\ 0 \\ -7,216 \\ 4,503 \\ 202$	$140.7 \\ 116.5 \\ 139.3 \\ 115.5 \\ 138.2 \\ 100.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 110.0$
	Beeswax Package bees Miscellaneous animals	Thou. dol. Thou. dol. Thou. dol.	2,348 1,007 98,283	2,583 1,007 98,283	235 0 0	$110.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0$

estimates (but only slightly larger in the case of hogs).

Poultry and eggs. Farm production of poultry and eggs includes farm chickens, broilers, turkeys and miscellaneous products as well as eggs. The estimates by Daly (4) serve as a basis for the projected output of farm chickens and broilers (reported together in the Daly estimates). In this study, a prediction equation, Y = 4,009 - 0.3947X, was used to estimate the farm production of chickens, Y, given the combined output of farm chickens and broilers, X. Thus, farm chickens are expected to make up a smaller and smaller proportion of the total output of chickens.

Since national estimates of turkey production were not available from other sources, the 1955 to 1975 percentage increase of chicken production times an additional growth factor of 1.3 was used to obtain the projected 1975 turkey production. Finally, the miscellaneous poultry products were assumed to increase in the same proportion as the aggregate poultry and eggs sector.

Farm dairy products. With reference to farm dairy products, an inelastic demand means a relatively low rate of increase in milk consumption—a rate that is roughly equivalent to population growth. Hence, only a 39.3 percent increase in aggregate milk production was assumed for purposes of the regional estimates of milk requirements.

Other livestock. Miscellaneous livestock and livestock products are of secondary importance. Thus, the relative change in the secondary products was assumed to be the same as for the corresponding primary products. However, mohair and other hair along with miscellaneous fur animals were assumed to remain constant. Horses and mules were expected to decrease by 50 percent from 1955 to 1975. For all items, producers' dollar value, rather than a measure of physical output, was used.

Crops and miscellaneous items

Estimated 1975 output of crops and other items were obtained from Rogers and Barton (19). Since these estimates are discussed elsewhere, they are merely summarized in table 7.

Regional Production Equations

As indicated in equations 7.1-7.4, a homothetic model was used to estimate regional output, given the projected national output. Time series data for the 1949-60 period were used in fitting the simple regression model.

Livestock and livestock products

A prediction equation was prepared for each of the livestock classes cited in table 8. For the most part, the correlation between the regional variable and the corresponding national variable was quite satisfactory, as revealed by the high values of the correlation coefficients and the close fit of the individual annual observations, illustrated in fig. 3. The projected levels of regional output are represented in fig. 3 as extrapolations of the trend line to its intersection with the coordinate denoting the estimated 1975 level of the particular production item.

Data limitations forced modifications in the estimation procedures. Where adequate data were available on a state basis, the homothetic function, equation 7.1, was estimated with physical production data. However, if aggregation problems occurred, or if physical data were not available, only the estimated cash receipts from farm marketings remained as a basis for estimating the functional relationships. If year-to-year variations in the data were not sufficiently explained by the prediction equation (i.e., if a low correlation coefficient occurred), an estimated average level of output for a specified time period was used. The latter procedure was used only with

Sec	etor Item	Units	Estimated 1955	Projected 1975	Projected change 1955-75	Projected 1975 as percent of estimated 1955
5	Food grains					
0	Wheat	Thou bu	928 159	1 090 020	151 861	116 9
	Rice	Thou cyt	55 902	57 960	2 058	103 7
	Bye	Thou bu	29 187	28 050	-1,137	96 1
	Buckwheat	Thou, bu	2 055	2 0 5 5	-1,157	100.0
6	Feed crops	inou. bu.	2,005	2,000	0	100.0
0	Corn	Thou bu	3 1 8 4 8 3 6	4 411 830	1 996 994	138 5
	Hay	Thou tons	109 697	143 668	33 971	131.0
	Oats	Thou, bu	1 575 736	1 599 860	24 1 24	101.5
	Barley	Thou bu	390 969	706 420	315 451	180.7
	Sorghum	Thou, bu	232 638	381 520	148 882	164.0
7	Cotton	inour su	202,000	001,010	110,002	10110
	Cotton	Thou, lbs.	7.360.500	9,096,800	1.736.300	123.6
	Cottonseed	Thou, tons	5.800	7.168	1 368	123.6
8	Tobacco	Thou, lbs.	2,193,033	2,689,200	496,167	122.6
9	Oil-bearing		_,,	_,,		11110
	Sovbeans	Thou, bu,	373.522	549.010	175.488	147.0
	Peanuts	Thou, lbs.	1.575.840	2.115.000	539,160	134.2
	Flaxseed	Thou, bu,	41.243	48,960	7.717	118.7
	Castor beans and tung nuts	Thou, dol.	1,429	1.786	357	125.0
10	Vegetables		-,	-,		
	Dry edible beans	Thou. cwt.	17.287	21,255	3,968	123.0
	Dry edible peas	Thou. ewt.	2,525	3,370	845	133.5
	Potatoes	Thou. cwt.	227.046	261,240	34,194	115.1
	Sweetpotatoes	Thou. cwt.	20,946	24,750	3,804	118.2
	Truck crops	Thou. dol.	1,634,669	2,342,106	707.437	143.3
11	Fruits	Thou. dol.	1,239,455	1.740.838	501.383	140.5
12	Nuts	Thou. dol.	128,137	183,112	54,975	142.9
13	Miscellaneous crops	Thou. dol.	1,526,750	1,971,846	445,096	129.2
14	Agricultural services	Thou. dol.	1,128,926	1,594,278	465,352	141.2

Table 8. Prediction equations for specified livestock and estimated changes in production, North Central Region, 1955-75.ª

Item	Region and	Regression coefficient	Projected change in production	Average farm	Estimated value of change in production
	subregion	(units)	(units)	(\$)	(\$1.000)
Cattle and calves	North Central N. Plains W. Corp. Polt	$0.4796 \\ 0.1762 \\ 0.1765$	11,231 4,126 4,122	0.1673 0.1648 0.1752	1,878,946 679,965
Hogs	E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$0.1269 \\ 0.7285 \\ 0.1684$	4,133 2,972 7,688 1,777	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1733 \\ 0.1617 \\ 0.1463 \\ 0.1433 \end{array}$	480,572 1,124,754 254,644
Sheep and lambs	W. Corn Belt E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$0.3274 \\ 0.2327 \\ 0.5189 \\ 0.2298$	$3,456 \\ 2,455 \\ 451 \\ 200$	$0.1437 \\ 0.1505 \\ 0.1707 \\ 0.1740$	496,627 369,478 76,986 34,800
Farm chickens	W. Corn Belt E. North Central –– North Central N. Plains	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1766 \\ 0.1125 \\ 0.5472 \\ 0.1130 \end{array}$	$153 \\ 98 \\ -448 \\ -93$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1727 \\ 0.1652 \\ 0.1670 \\ 0.1460 \end{array}$	26,423 16,190 -74,816 -13,578
Commercial broilers	W. Corn Belt E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$0.1853 \\ 0.2489 \\ 0.0541 $	$-152 \\ -203 \\ 215 \\ 82$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1560 \\ 0.1870 \\ 0.2510 \\ 0.2550 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -23,712 \\ -37,961 \\ 53,965 \\ 20,910 \end{array}$
Turkeys	W. Corn Belt E. North Central – North Central N. Plains	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0205 \\ 0.0344 \\ 0.6001 \\ 0.0312 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 49\\84\\685\\36\\26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2440 \\ 0.2530 \\ 0.3000 \\ 0.2910 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 11,956\\ 21,252\\ 205,500\\ 10,476 \end{array}$
Egg production	W. Corn Belt E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$0.3883 \\ 0.1807 \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 443\\ 206\\ 2,313,333\\ 443,667\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2970 \\ 0.3090 \\ 33.00 \\ 29.70 \\ \end{array}$	$131,571 \\ 63,654 \\ 763,400 \\ 131,769 \\ 200$
Milk production	W. Corn Belt E. North Central North Central N. Plains	0.4293	$911,167 \\ 958,499 \\ 20,766 \\ -199$	$31.90 \\ 35.50 \\ 3.190 \\ 2.710$	290,662 340,267 662,435 -5,393
Other livestock	w. Corn Beit E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt E. North Central	$0.1794 \\ 0.2701 \\ 0.4653 \\ 0.0880$	8,367 12,598 21,935 -2,694 -11,289 35,918		245,990 432,111 21,935 -2,694 -11,289 25,918

a/ Units refer to those specified in table 6.

the products accounting for a small proportion of total production.

Prediction equations thus were prepared for individual commodities within each of the 18 agricultural sectors. The data for the prediction equations were obtained on a state-by-state basis and then summarized on a subregion basis. Sources of data are much the same as given by Masucei (17) in his work tables of the individual sectors. Total physical production, where it is used, was multiplied by the average price received by farmers in the subregion to obtain the total value of production of each commodity in that region.

The projected change in production for a particular



Fig. 3. Regional trends in selected livestock production, 1949-75

region is obtained by multiplying the projected change in national production (table 8) by the regression coefficient, b^r, for the specified region. If the projected national production is given by physical units, then the product of the variable and the coefficient is multiplied by the specified average farm price for the item. In this way, projected changes in gross output were obtained for the North Central States and for each of its three subregions.

An examination of the regression coefficients in table 8 will reveal a high degree of concentration of livestock production in the North Central States. For example, 48 percent of the projected increase in cattle and 73 percent of the projected increase in hogs occur in the North Central Region, according to the prediction equations. The region's production of sheep and lambs, farm chickens, turkeys, milk and other livestock also is quite important nationally.

Within the North Central Region, sharp geographical differences occur in the relative importance of different classes of livestock. The Western Corn Belt, for example, is expected to have 33 percent of the national increase in hog production and 39 percent of the national increase in turkey production. The Northern Plains, however, has only half of the increase of the Western Corn Belt in hog production and less than one-twelfth of its increase in turkey production.

Besides geographical differences in total output, table 8 shows geographical differences in average farm prices. The latter are the result of differences in the quality of livestock and location with reference to final consumption. Given an identical quality of livestock and perfect market knowledge, the geographical price differences would be based entirely on transportation cost differentials. Assuming that agricultural markets are reasonably competitive, the data suggest that both quality and transportation cost factors account for the estimated geographical price differentials.

Grain crops and hay

An abundance of grain and hay is a primary factor in the geographical concentration of livestock production in the North Central Region. Moreover, with reference to projected increases in grain and hay crops, the North Central Region is expected to become even more important in 1975 than it was in 1955 (table 9). Sixty-two percent of the projected increase in wheat, 90 percent of the projected increase in corn and oats and 67 percent of the projected increase in hay are expected to occur in the North Central Region. These trends portend for this region an even greater emphasis on cattle feeding in the long run than in 1955 or even in 1975.

Miscellaneous items

In the case of most other crops, the North Central Region accounts for only a small percentage of the national production. However, a major part of the projected increase in the production of soybeans, flaxseed and dry edible beans is expected to occur in the region (table 10).

Regional Demand Estimates

Using the regional estimates of farm output and the procedure discussed with equation 5.9 and equations 7.1-7.4, regional estimates of final demand were prepared for the 18 agricultural sectors. Thus, the regression coefficient representing regional output as a function of national output is assumed to also represent a corresponding relationship in the case of final demand.

As pointed out in the discussion of equation 5.9, regional estimates of final demand for different agricultural outputs are not available; hence, the alternative approach has been offered as a means of estimating prospective changes in regional demands. The regional estimates that were derived are presented for the 18 sectors under the three major commodity groups used earlier.

Livestock and livestock products

Generally, the projected percentage change in the final demand for livestock and livestock products for the North Central States is somewhat smaller than it is for the United States (as shown by a comparison of the last columns in tables 6 and 11). On a sub-regional basis, however, the rate of growth in output may exceed the national rate (e.g., cattle and calves in the Western Corn Belt and hogs in the Northern Plains) even though the average regional rate of growth is below the national level.

For several commodities, the projected regional change is substantially above the corresponding change in national output. The final demand for turkeys, farm dairy products and wool, for example, is expected to increase more rapidly in the North Central Region than in the country as a whole.

	Table 9.	Prediction	equations	for	specified	grain	crops	and	hay,	and	estimated	changes	in	production,	North	Central	states,	1955-75	j.a
--	----------	------------	-----------	-----	-----------	-------	-------	-----	------	-----	-----------	---------	----	-------------	-------	---------	---------	---------	-----

Item	Region and subregion	Regression coefficient b	Projected change in production	Average farm price	Estimated value of change in production
		(units)	(units)	(\$)	(\$1,000)
Wheat	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt F. North Central	0.6153 0.5088	93,440 97,487 -8,365 4,318	2.07 2.12 2.03 1.96	193,421 206,672 -16,981 8462
Rice	North Central W. Corn Belt	0.0061	13	4.50	58
Rye	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	0.6747 0.6128	$-767 \\ -252 \\ -157$	$1.02 \\ 1.04 \\ 1.01$	$-782 \\ -262 \\ -159$
Buckwheat	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$0.\overline{2588}$	$-358 \\ 194 \\ 15 \\ 45$	0.93	-333 194 15 45
Corn	E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\overline{1777}\\ 0.9034\\ \overline{}\end{array}$	$134\\1,108,466\\178,103$	$1.38 \\ 1.39$	$134 \\1,529,683 \\247,563$
Hay	W. Corn Belt E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3847\\ 0.3664\\ 0.6734\\ 0.3022\\ 0.2413 \end{array}$	$476,515 \\ 453,848 \\ 22,876 \\ 12,745 \\ 10,176$	$1.42 \\ 1.34 \\ 19.40 \\ 19.23 \\ 17.77$	$676, 651 \\ 608, 156 \\ 443, 794 \\ 245, 086 \\ 180, 828$
Oats	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$0.\overline{\overline{8964}}$ $0.\overline{\overline{3618}}$	$-45 \\ 21,625 \\ 44,863 \\ -12,755$	$20.79 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.58 \\ 0.60$	$-936 \\ 12,975 \\ 26,021 \\ -7,653$
Barley	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2974 \\ 0.3544 \\ 0.3184 \end{array}$	-10,484 111,796 122,048 -5,388	$\begin{array}{c} 0.61 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -6,395\\ 102,852\\ 109,843\\ -5,119\end{array}$
Sorghum grain	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt E. North Central	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\overline{5}\overline{0}69\\ 0.4371\\ 0.0651\\ 0.0047\end{array}$	-4,864 75,468 65,076 9,692 700	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \\ 1.08 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.12 \\ 1.20 \end{array}$	-4,572 81,505 69,631 10,855 840

a/ Units refer to those specified in table 7.

Table 10. Prediction equations for specified crops and estimated changes in production, North Central States, 1955-57.ª

	Region and	Regression coefficient	Projected change in	Average farm	Estimated value of change in
Item	subregion	b	production	price	production
		(units)	(mil. units)	(\$)	(\$1,000)
Cottonseed	North Central	0.0384	53	40.98	2,172
Cotton production	W. Corn Belt North Central	$0.0384 \\ 0.0372$	$53 \\ 64,590$	$40.99 \\ 32.68$	2,172 21,108
Tobacco	W. Corn Belt North Central	0.0372	$64,590 \\ 22,106$	32.68	$21,108 \\ 22,106$
	N. Plains W. Corn Belt F. North Control		-78 3,473		-78 3,473 18711
Tobacco products	North Central N. Plains		7,736 -28	40.8 49.6	$ \begin{array}{r} 18,711 \\ 315,629 \\ -1.389 \end{array} $
	W. Corn Belt E. North Central		848 6,916	$\begin{array}{c} 49.0 \\ 40.1 \end{array}$	41,552 277,332
Soybeans	North Central N. Plains	$0.6939 \\ 0.0430 \\ 0.2182$	121,771 7,546 5,546	2.22 2.08	$270,332 \\ 15,696 \\ 121,721$
Flaxseed	E. North Central	$0.3182 \\ 0.3326 \\ 0.9437$	55,840 58,367 7,283	2.18 2.25 2.84	121,731 131,326 20,683
	N. Plains W. Corn Belt	0.6566	5,644 1,563	$\begin{array}{r} 2.82 \\ 2.89 \end{array}$	$15,916 \\ 4,517$
Dry edible peas	E. North Central North Central N Plains		$\begin{array}{c} 76\\29\\24 \end{array}$	$2.77 \\ 4.29 \\ 4.17$	$\begin{array}{c} 211\\ 124\\ 100 \end{array}$
Dry edible beans	W. Corn Belt North Central	$0.7\overline{2}60$	2,881	$4.34 \\ 7.21$	20,772 20,772
Potatoes	E. North Central North Central	$0.0842 \\ 0.6414$	-235 3,116 9,207	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.54 \\ 7.39 \\ 1.95 \end{array} $	-1,537 23,027 17,954
	N. Plains W. Corn Belt		$4,141 \\ 2,158 \\ 0.000$	$1.88 \\ 2.08$	7,785 4,489
Sweetpotatoes	E. North Central North Central N. Plains	$0.\overline{0}\overline{2}34$	2,908 89 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.92 \\ 4.40 \\ 4.15 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 5,583\\ 392\\ 21 \end{array} $
Truels evens	W. Corn Belt E. North Central	0 1081	$42 \\ 42 \\ 140 \\ 142 \\ $	4.55	191
Truck crops	N. Plains W. Corn Belt				4,209 16,209
Fruits	E. North Central North Central	$\substack{0.1550\\0.0578}$	$119,725 \\ 32,157 \\ 906$		119,725 32,157 906
	W. Corn Belt E. North Central	0.0585	$3,098 \\ 28,152$		3,098 28,152
Other crops	North Central E. North Central	$0.1650 \\ 0.1220$	$73,441 \\ 54,302$		$73,441 \\ 54,302$
	100 A.4		- NP	A4.	

a/ Units refer to those in table 7.

÷.

2 ° 4		Estir	nated 1955 product	tion	Projected	1975 final demand
Item	Region and subregion	Total	Intermediate demand	Final demand	Total	Proportion of 1955 final demand
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(percent)
1: Cattle and calves N	lorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	3,498,803 1,136,457 1,307,366	1,148,830 287,550 494,584 266	2,349,973 848,907 812,782	3,674,878 1,326,741 1,322,186	$156.4 \\ 156.3 \\ 162.7 \\ 140.1$
Hogs N	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	1,054,980 2,377,870 323,193 1,100,720	26,599 5,342 14,273	2,351,271 317,851 1,086,447	1,025,951 3,416,556 559,916 1,558,412	145.1 145.3 176.2 143.4
Sheep and lambs N	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	953,957 158,380 54,489 61,330	6,984 58,100 22,353 24,266	$\begin{array}{c} 946,973 \\ 100,280 \\ -32,136 \\ 37,064 \end{array}$	1,298,228 155,236 56,812 55,877	137.1 154.8 176.8 150.8
2: Farm chickens N	E. North Central Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$42,561 \\ 147,876 \\ 25,523 \\ 51,036$		$31,080 \\ 147,876 \\ 25,523 \\ 51,036$	$\begin{array}{r} 42,547\\72,002\\11,918\\27,143\end{array}$	$136.9 \\ 48.7 \\ 46.7 \\ 53.2$
Broilers N	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$71,317 \\90,446 \\4,016 \\24,485$		71,317 90,446 4,016 24,485	$32,941 \\ 144,872 \\ 4,053 \\ 44,332$	$\begin{array}{r} 46.2 \\ 160.2 \\ 100.9 \\ 181.1 \end{array}$
Turkeys N	E. North Central forth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$\begin{array}{r} 61,945\\ 134,828\\ 12,411\\ 76,770 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{r} 61,945 \\ 134,828 \\ 12,411 \\ 76,770 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 96,487\\341,000\\22,793\\208,690\end{array}$	$155.8 \\ 252.9 \\ 183.7 \\ 271.8$
Eggs N	E. North Central Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$\begin{array}{r} 45,647\\778,328\\131,155\\299,821\end{array}$	$33,\overline{825}$ 3,773 12,519	45,647 744,503 127,382 287,302	$\begin{array}{r} 109,517\\ 865,996\\ 165,425\\ 322,111\\ \end{array}$	$239.9 \\ 116.3 \\ 129.9 \\ 112.1 \\ 12.$
Other poultry N	E. North Central Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$347,352 \\ 17,852 \\ 2,760 \\ 6,977 \\ 6,977$	17,533 8,624 1,064 4,480	329,819 9,228 1,696 2,497	378,460 11,634 2,055 3,438	$114.7 \\ 126.1 \\ 121.2 \\ 137.7 \\ 120.0 \\ 0$
3: Farm Dairy Products N	E. North Central Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	8,115 2,024,287 211,384 556,358	3,080 62,244 7,866 14,882	5,035 1,962,043 203,518 541,476	$ \begin{array}{r} 6,141 \\ 2,644,570 \\ 193,450 \\ 795,010 \\ 795,010 \\ \end{array} $	122.0 134.8 95.1 146.8
4: Other livestock N	E. North Central Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$1,256,545 \\ 110,990 \\ 14,370 \\ 29,442$	39,496	1,217,049 110,990 14,370 29,442	1,656,110 129,676 22,572 34,988	$136.1 \\ 116.8 \\ 157.1 \\ 118.8 $
Wool N	E. North Central forth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$67,178\\33,143\\10,582\\10,330$		$67,178 \\ 33,143 \\ 10,582 \\ 10,330$	$72,116 \\ 52,128 \\ 19,112 \\ 15,910$	$107.4 \\ 157.3 \\ 180.6 \\ 154.0$
Mohair and other N	E. North Central forth Central	$12,231 \\ 58$		$12,231 \\ 58$	$17,106 \\ 58$	$\substack{139.9\\100.0}$
Horses and mules N	W. Corn Belt Iorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$58 \\ 4,046 \\ 987 \\ 1,410$		$58\\4,046\\987\\1,410$	$58 \\ 2,023 \\ 494 \\ 705 $	$100.0 \\ 50.0 \\ 50.1 \\ 50.0$
Honey N	E. North Central Jorth Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	1,649 19,422 1,796 7,292		1,649 19,422 1,796 7,292	$\begin{array}{r} 824\\ 824\\ 21,364\\ 1,976\\ 8.021\end{array}$	50.0 110.0 110.0 110.0 110.0
Beeswax N	E. North Central Jorth Central N. Plains W. Corn. Belt	$10,334 \\ 1,034 \\ 105 \\ 423$		$10,334 \\ 1,034 \\ 105 \\ 423$	$11,367 \\ 1,138 \\ 116 \\ 465$	110.0 110.1 110.5 109.9
Miscellaneous N	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt E. North Central	$5\overline{0}\overline{6}$ 52,965 874 9,829 42,262		$506 \\ 52,965 \\ 874 \\ 9,829 \\ 42,262$	557 52,965 874 9,829 42,262	$\begin{array}{c} 110.1 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$
				,	, _ 0 _	

Table 11. Distribution of 1955 production of specified livestock and livestock products and estimated 1975 final demand, by subregion, North Central States.

Because of the estimation procedures, the projected percentage increases in final demand may differ from projected percentage increases in output. As shown in table 8, a 1-unit change in national output of cattle and calves was associated with a 0.4796-unit change in output in the North Central States over the 1949-60 period. The two west North Central subregions were about equally responsive in total output, but, because of the lower level of intermediate demand in the Northern Plains, the change in residual or final demand is expected to be somewhat larger in the Northern Plains than in the Western Corn Belt. Other commodity categories are affected similarly so that the projected percentage in final demand will differ from the projected percentage change in gross output or total farm production of a particular commodity.

Grain crops and hay

The 1955 and 1975 regional data on grain crops and hay summarized in table 12 show substantial variability among the subregions in expected changes in final demand for individual commodities. Also, the projected percentage changes differ considerably from the corresponding changes in national production (see table 7). Again, farm production data for the 1949-60 period were used to estimate the prediction relationships (table 9).

It is quite possible that the projected changes in feed and forage supplies (table 12) are not consistent with the projected changes in meat-animal supplies (table 11). Since each commodity estimate is based on the relationship between regional and national production, a change in the level of exports or in feed conversion rates could affect the feed-livestock bal-

10

Table 12.	Distribution of	1955	production	of	specified	grain	crops	and	forage,	and	estimated	1975	final	demand,	by	subregion,	North
	Central States.							1.95.00									

	Est	imated 1955 produ	iction	Projected 1975 final demand		
Item	Region and subregion	Total output	Intermediate demand	Final demand	Total	Proportion of 1955 final demand
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(percent)
5: Wheat	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$1,182,939 \\ 737,964 \\ 132,152$	$111,923 \\ 62,129 \\ 14,123$	$1,071,016 \\ 675,835 \\ 118,029$	$1,244,018 \\ 832,858 \\ 107,547$	$116.2 \\ 123.2 \\ 91.1$
Rice	E. North Central North Central W. Corn Belt	$312,823 \\ 628 \\ $	$35,671 \\ 31 \\ 31 \\ 31$	277,152 597 597 597	$303,613 \\ 651 \\ 651$	$109.5 \\ 109.0 \\ 109.0$
Rye	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt E. North Central	$24,565 \\ 16,662 \\ 3,065 \\ 4,838$	9,032 3,432 1,458 4,142	$15,533 \\ 13,230 \\ 1,607 \\ 696$	$15,111 \\ 13,827 \\ 1,222 \\ 61$	$97.3 \\ 104.5 \\ 76.0 \\ 8.8$
Buckwheat	North Central W. Corn Belt E. North Central	695 205 490	4,142 414 90 324	$ 281 \\ 115 \\ 166 $	$281 \\ 115 \\ 166$	$100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 100.0$
6: Corn	North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	3,364,490 341,708 1,339,638	2,313,045 378,750 1,019,564	$1,051,445 \\ -37,042 \\ 320,074 \\ 410$	$1,616,624 \\51,540 \\570,754 \\000$	$153.8 \\ -139.1 \\ 178.3 \\ 1004$
Hay	North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	1,683,144 1,117,673 328,208 316,100	$\begin{array}{r} 914,731\\ 1,034,688\\ 327,697\\ 287,020 \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{r} 994,330 \\ 122,688 \\ 17,356 \\ 42,456 \end{array} $	$129.4 \\ 147.8 \\ 3,396.5 \\ 146.0$
Oats	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$473,365\\768,484\\140,965\\307,842$	$419,971 \\ 601,115 \\ 90,099 \\ 258,735$	$53,394 \\ 167,369 \\ 50,866 \\ 49,107$	62,876 98,603 26,100 39,792	$117.8 \\ 58.9 \\ 51.3 \\ 81.0$
Barley	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	$319,677 \\ 151,027 \\ 96,912 \\ 38,477$	$252,281 \\ 51,067 \\ 31,987 \\ 7,633$	67,396 99,960 64,925 30,844	$32,711 \\ 178,568 \\ 148,958 \\ 29,335$	$48.5 \\ 178.6 \\ 229.4 \\ 95.1$
Sorghum grain	E. North Central North Central N. Plains W. Corn Belt	15,638 46,354 43,700 2,612	11,447 27,292 25,259 2,032	4,191 19,062 18,441 580	275 75,596 66,846 8124	6.6 396.6 362.5 1 400 7
	E. North Central	42	2,032	41	626	1,526.8

ance in the North Central States. To ascertain the degree of inconsistency, if any, that occurs between the two series of estimates, the regional input-output structures can be used along with the data for projected production and final demand. This procedure is followed later.

Miscellaneous items

The projected final demands for miscellaneous agricultural outputs of the North Central States are summarized in table 13. Because of the low production of many miscellaneous items, the regional regression coefficients (table 10) were less satisfactory for estimating final demands than in the case of meat animals and feed grains. Accordingly, more conservative projection rules were used which generally resulted in rather small intraregional or subregional differences in the percentage changes in final demand.

Final demands

Regional and subregional estimates of final demands for each of the 18 agricultural sectors are listed in tables 14 and 15. These estimates include intermediate or processing demands as well as final consumption and exports. The major sources of demand are not identified with respect to region; they may originate in the North Central States or entirely outside these states. Only the geographical sources of farm outputs are specified in the two tables.

When examining the summary estimates of final demand, the final use of the legume-and-grass-seeds sector for 1955 is observed to be negative for the North Central Region (thus indicating a deficit region for intermediate agricultural use). The legumeand-grass-seeds sector produces primarily for the agricultural sectors, with very little going to final-demand use. Therefore, the North Central Region is shown to consume more within the region than is produced; hence, it must import from other regions.

Likewise, the agricultural-services sector would have a deficit final demand for all other regions. The deficit is due to the transfer of chicks between the two major regions. As in sector 13, no increase in national final demand was projected because of the limited use of the commodities and services of the agricultural services sector.

ESTIMATION OF INTERSECTORAL TRANSACTIONS

The most time-consuming procedure in the inputoutput method is that of constructing the flow matrix. This also is the step upon which all further analyses are based. In this section, therefore, the production data used in preparing demand estimates are presented in terms of their use in fitting the input-output model.

Constructing the Flow Matrices

Two tables of regional data summarize several of the individual commodity estimates presented earlier. Table 16 shows the total value of production of meat animals as the sum of the commodities included in this sector for 1955 by regions. According to the data in this table, the North Central Region accounted for 63 percent of the total value of production of meat animals in 1955. In terms of the specific com-

Table 13.	Distribution of 1955 p	production of other	crops and services	and estimated final	demand, by	y subregion, North	Central States.

1			E	stimat	ed 1955 pro	oduction	Projected	1975 final demand
Iter	n	Region and subregion	Total output	I	ntermediate demand	e Final demand	 Total	Proportion of 1955 final demand
			(\$1,000)		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(percent)
7:	Cottonseed	North Central	6,872		361	6,511	8,643	132.7
		W. Corn Belt	6,846		361	6,485	8,617	132.9
		E. North Central	26		0	26	26	100.0
	Cotton Prod	North Central	67,295			67,295	88,421	131.4
		F North Control	67,010			67,010	88,130	100.0
8 .	Tobacco	North Central	23 117		10	23 107	26 991	116.8
0.	1000000	N. Plains	57		10	20,101	41	71.9
		W. Corn Belt	2,000		1	1,999	2,472	123.7
	~ .	E. North Central	21,060		9	21,051	24,478	116.3
9:	Soybeans	North Central	683,490		46,880	636,610	888,185	139.5
		N. Plains W. Corp. Bolt	19,557		2,349	245 901	31,804	146 4
		E North Central	397 180		23,679	373 501	496 419	132.9
	Flaxseed	North Central	109,595		10.881	98.714	117,413	118.9
		N. Plains	85,708		8,132	77,576	92,203	118.9
		W. Corn Belt	23,715		2,729	20,986	24,883	118.6
10.	Deer edible sees	E. North Central	172		20	152	327	215.1
10:	Dry edible peas	North Central	253		37	216	359	201 8
		W Corn Belt	178		23	155	181	116.8
	Dry edible beans	North Central	42.661		1,970	40.691	60,907	149.7
		N. Plains	8,151		260	7,891	10,005	126.8
		E. North Central	34,510		1,710	32,800	50,902	155.2
	Potatoes	North Central	74,438		15,008	59,430	70,440	118.5
		W. Corn Bolt	19 605		4,017	17,200	17 716	
		E. North Central	33 116		6 580	26 536	29 754	112.1
	Sweetpotatoes	North Central	757		67	690	1.011	146.5
		N. Plains	257		24	233	281	120.6
		W. Corn Belt	500		43	457	730	159.7
	Truck crops	North Central	303,995			303,995	444,135	146.1
		N. Plains W. Corp. Polt	25,558			25,558	30,216	118.2
		E North Central	199 368			199 368	309 005	155.0
11:	Fruits	North Central	82,404			82,404	111.394	135.2
		N. Plains	1,065			1,065	2,014	189.1
		W. Corn Belt	4,315			4,315	7,523	174.3
12.	Logumo and	E. North Central	77,024			77,024	101,857	132.2
10.	grass seeds	North Central	55 210		53 220	1 990	-8.107	-407 4
	Brass secas	N. Plains	16.274		15,687	587	279	47.5
		W. Corn Belt	20,790		20,041	749	632	84.4
	~	E. North Central	18,146		17,492	654	-9,018	-1,378.9
14:	Sugar and sirup	North Central	38,036		398	37,638	52,036	138.3
		N. Plains W. Comp. Polt	13,818		194	13,004	12 207	100.0
		E North Central	14 497		148	14 349	19 838	138.3
15:	Miscellaneous crops	North Central	12.350		161	12,189	12,950	106.2
	and the second second second second	N. Plains	619		10	609	696	114.3
		W. Corn Belt	1,557		22	1,535	1,646	107.2
10.	Forest products	E. North Central	10,174		129	10,045	10,608	105.6
10:	Forest products	North Central	50,112		-01,773	121,885	7 925	128.8
		W Corn Belt	19 534		-20.064	39 598	42 416	107.1
		E. North Central	37.744		-38.826	76,570	90,859	118.7
17:	Greenhouse							
	and nursery	North Central	188,139		29,837	158,302	178,764	112.9
		N. Plains	9,556		1,837	7,719	10,067	130.4
		W. Corn Belt E. North Control	35,645		0,843	29,802	32,786	119.5
18.	Ag. services	North Central	372 978		339 659	33 319	33 319	100.0
-0.		N. Plains	80.315		79,366	949	949	100.0
		W. Corn Belt	124,589		107,619	16,970	16,970	100.0
		E. North Central	168,074		152,674	15,400	15,400	100.0
-							 	

1

 Table 14.
 Estimated final demand for specified agricultural outputs in constant 1955 dollars, United States and North Central Region, 1955 and 1975.

Table 15. Estimated final demand for specified agricultural outputs,in constant 1955 dollars, by subregions of the NorthCentral Region, 1955 and 1975.

		United	States	North	Central
Sec	etor	1955	1975	1955	1975
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
1		7,733,139	11,951,452	4,810,535	7,251,948
2		3,299,231	5,294,379	1,126,881	1,435,502
3		4.661.659	6.671.350	1,962,043	2.644.570
4		304.020	351,161	110.668	129.676
5		1 977 406	2 261 642	1 087 427	1 260 061
6		1 839 759	2 752 212	1 420 825	2 092 080
7		2 619 249	2 220 882	72 806	97 064
ė		1 150 051	1 411 220	13,800	00,004
0		1,100,801	1,411,339	23,107	26,991
9		1,037,311	1,471,519	734,874	1,005,598
10		2,173,251	2,975,753	405,018	576,852
11		1,239,455	1,740.838	82,404	111.394
12		128.137	183.112		
13		27.043	27 043	-8107	-8.107
14		202 358	289 647	27 628	52 026
15		46 108	50 710	19 190	12,050
10		40,108	50,719	101 005	141,950
10		468,607	585,759	121,885	141,209
17		496,176	620,220	158,302	178,763
18		2,865	2,865	33,319	33.319

	Northern	Plains	Weste: B	rn Corn elt	East 1 Cent	North tral
Sector	1955	1975	1955	1975	1955	1975
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
1	1,201,369	1,946,207	1,939,305	2,937,222	1,669,861	2,368,519
2	171,028	206,244	442,096	605,714	513,763	623,546
3	203,518	193,450	541,476	795,010	1,217,049	1,656,110
4	14,344	22,572	29,342	34,988	66,982	72,116
5	689,055	846,685	120.348	109,535	278.014	303,840
6	97,703	310,800	429,687	690,461	893,435	1,090,818
7			73,495	96.753	311	311
8	57	41	1,999	2,472	21,051	24,478
9	94.784	124.067	266.887	384.785	373,203	496.746
10	50.943	63,650	95.371	123.541	258.704	389.661
11	1.065	2.014	4.315	7.523	77.024	101.857
12						
13	279	279	632	632	-9.018	-9.018
14	13.664	18.891	9,625	13.307	14.349	19.838
15	609	696	1,535	1,646	10.045	10.608
16	5.717	7.935	39.598	42,416	76.570	90.859
17	7.719	10.067	29,802	32.786	120.781	135,911
18	949	949	16,970	16,970	15,400	15,400

Table 16. Total value of production of meat-animals sector, by region, 1955.

Continental United States	l North Central Region	Northern Plains	Western Corn Belt	East North Central
(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
6,221,612 3.051.245	3,498,803 2,377,870	1,136,457 323,193	1,307,366 1.100.720	1,054,980
366,542	158,380	54,489	61,330	42,561
2,000 20,567 1,250	8,359 601	$2,\overline{272}$	2,767	3,320
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Continental} \\ \text{United} \\ \text{States} \\ \hline (\$1,000) \\ 6,221,612 \\ 3,051,245 \\ 3,051,245 \\ 2,685 \\ 20,567 \\ 1,250 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Table 17. Total value of production of the agricultural sectors, by regions, 1955.

Ingout	put- tput etor	Continental United States	North Central Region	Northern Plains	Western Corn Belt	East North Central
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ \end{array} $		$\begin{array}{c} 9,664,010\\ 3,477,711\\ 4,789,679\\ 305,027\\ 2,205,173\\ 8,464,175\\ 2,631,291\\ 1,151,342\\ 1,122,125\\ 2,258,960 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,044,064\\ 1,169,330\\ 2,024,287\\ 110,990\\ 1,208,827\\ 5,448,028\\ 74,167\\ 23,117\\ 793,085\\ 422,104 \end{array}$	$1,516,614 \\ 175,865 \\ 211,384 \\ 14,370 \\ 754,626 \\ 951,493 \\ -57 \\ 105,265 \\ 55,758 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,472,428\\ 459,089\\ 556,358\\ 29,442\\ 136,050\\ 2,004,669\\ 73,856\\ 2,000\\ 290,468\\ 99,352 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,055,022\\ 534,376\\ 1,256,545\\ 67,178\\ 318,151\\ 2,491,866\\ 311\\ 21,060\\ 397,352\\ 266,994 \end{array}$
11		1,239,455	82,404	1.065	4.315	77.024
$12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 $		$\begin{array}{c} 128,137\\ 170,582\\ 206,620\\ 50,569\\ 468,607\\ 630,372\\ 1,128,926\end{array}$	$55,\overline{210}$ 38,036 12,350 121,885 188,139 372,978	$16,\overline{27}4 \\ 13,818 \\ 619 \\ 5,717 \\ 9,556 \\ 80,315$	$20,\overline{790}$ 9,721 1,557 39,598 35,645 124,589	$18,\overline{146}\\14,497\\10,174\\76,570\\142,938\\168,074$

modities and in dollar value, 56 percent of the cattle and calves, 78 percent of the hogs and 43 percent of the sheep and lambs were produced in the North Central Region. Finally, in table 17, the total 1955 value of regional production for all agricultural sectors is summarized for each of the 18 agricultural sectors.

Sectoral and regional allocation of inputs

As mentioned earlier, full use was made of the Masucci report (17) for the allocation of inputs, both sectorally and regionally. With only a few exceptions (mentioned later), the specified data are being used in this report for the national model and are then disaggregated for the regional analysis.

Agricultural factor inputs. The most detailed estimation of factor inputs took place in the agricultural interdependence model. For certain sectors, agriculture is the major purchaser of other agricultural products. As an example of this relationship, the meat-animals sector is the major purchasing agent from the feed-erop sector.

For the regional estimation of the agricultural interdependence model, Masucci's national data were disaggregated by region. However, one alternation was made in Masucci's data. Animal work-power, which consists of work performed by horses and mules on farms, was shown to consume 10 percent of the total output of the feed-crops sector in 1955. Using other data from the United States Department of Agriculture (9), this estimate appears much too high. Computing a new quantity according to amount of feed fed to horses and mules on farms in 1955, an estimate of 3.4 percent was shown to be more realistic. Hence, a new estimate was used in allocating feed inputs to the various sectors.

Earlier, a discussion was presented on regional differentiation of technical structure where the regional input-output coefficient was depicted as some function of the national input-output coefficient. This relationship can be of the form,

$$a_{ij}^{r} = b_{ij}^{r} a_{ij}, \qquad (8.1)$$

with all quantities defined as before and with b_{ij} indicating the relation between the regional and national coefficient. Decomposing the terms, as was done in equation 5.5, we have,

$$\frac{p_{ij}r_{ij}}{p_{j}x_{ij}} = b_{ij}^{r} \left(\frac{p_{ij}x_{ij}}{p_{j}x_{j}}\right) \quad .$$

$$(8.2)$$

Two elements of equation 8.2 are selected and analyzed for expected deviations of b^r from unity. The first element is the price ratio,

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}_{ij}}{\mathbf{p}_{j}} = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\mathbf{r}*} \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{ij}}{\mathbf{p}_{j}}\right) \quad . \tag{8.3}$$

Equation 8.3 signifies that the price ratio between inputs and outputs may be different for a region as compared with the nation. These differences include (a) quality differences in inputs or outputs demanding higher or lower prices, (b) transportation costs between excess and deficit regions and (c) market imperfections resulting in price discrepancies from

that of perfect competition. The value of b_{ij} in equation 8.3 may be evaluated quite easily because of adequate data on prices by regions, especially in the agricultural segment.

The second element causing differences in the regional and national coefficients is the physical relation between inputs and outputs in the expression,

$$\frac{\overset{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{x}_{ij}}}{\underset{\mathbf{x}_{j}}{\overset{\mathbf{r}}{=}}} = \overset{\mathbf{b}_{ij}}{\overset{\mathbf{r}**}{\left[\frac{\mathbf{x}_{ij}}{\mathbf{x}_{j}}\right]}} \quad . \tag{8.4}$$

Equation 8.4 denotes the quantity of input necessary for a unit of output which may be different from the region as compared with the nation and may be measured by b_{ij} . These differences include (a) quality of the inputs affecting quantity outputs, (b) efficiency of production due to organization, (c) climatical conditions affecting production and (d) relative importance of inputs for certain regions (which may not be due to inefficiencies but merely r** to the locational attributes of the input). The b_{ij} value of equation 8.4 is more difficult to estimate than the b_{ij} value of equation 8.3, because input data are not available by states or regions except for select years.

One of the problems for the present study was that of estimating feed inputs for the livestock sectors by regions. Detailed data are available for national estimates of feed inputs by species of livestock and types of feed inputs by years. However, these are not broken down by states or regions. There are extensive data for 1949-50 given by Jennings (9) for state allocations of feed inputs to the livestock species, but these

data do not extend to 1955. Nonetheless, the b_{ij} values were estimated from these data and analyzed for any changes that had occurred during the interim.

Another problem in estimating the inputs of the agricultural interdependence model pertained to factor input valuation. Two approaches could have been used. One method would be to value the agricultural input at regular market price or what is termed as the average price received by the farmer. The other method would be to compute some imputed price for the commodity. The first of the two methods was used in this analysis. However, the use of average prices received by farmers has certain drawbacks in that it tends to overvalue inputs such as feed crops that are used by the livestock sector. In other words, a farmer may realize more profit as a firm by feeding the grain to livestock than by selling his grain on the open market. The enterprise as a whole will show more profit, but, by valuing the grain at market price, a higher profit will be shown in the feed-crop sector relative to the livestock sector. The alternative of imputing a price to feed crops used on the farm where grown was beyond the scope of this project; hence, the market price was used.

Agricultural inputs so far have been valued only at producers' value. However, agricultural inputs not produced on the farm also have a purchasers' value, and the difference between the two is allocated to the so-called margin industries. This will be discussed more fully under allocation of industry and primary factor inputs.

Industry and primary factor inputs. To complete the production function, nonagricultural inputs from the industrial and primary sectors must be estimated. The row sector classification has been given in the previous section by sector description and by industries covered by the Standard Industrial Classification (21).

Agricultural expenditures for manufacturing goods and services were first classified into broad categories by type of expenditure. The Masucci report (17) has the following broad classification of expenditures in the explanatory notes to input tables: Veterinary

1

services, drugs and medicines, professional services; pesticides, insecticides, fungicides, chemicals and chemical products; binding materials; irrigation; miscellaneous dairy supplies; miscellaneous livestock marketing charges; telephone; electric light and power; miscellaneous farm business expenses; miscellaneous expenses of greenhouse and nursery; containers; miscellaneous hardwares: fertilizer and lime; farm nonresidential rents; repair and operation of motor vehicles; and maintenance and construction. The primary factors of production were also classified by type of expenditure, whether federal government, state and local government, or households. Each broad category of expenditures is composed of a number of specific inputs. For example, the category of fertilizer and lime is composed of individual inputs, such as crushed and broken limestone, phosphate rock, inorganic chemicals, potash, soda, borate minerals, fertilizer minerals and lime.

Once inputs were specified for agriculture as a whole, the next problem was that of allocation among specific agricultural sectors. Although input data are generally unavailable for specific agricultural sectors, the Masucci report (17) provided a basis for distributing many inputs or expenses of production by type of farm reported in (43). In general, input allocations were made by first distributing the estimated total for each specific item of expense for all of agriculture as estimated by the Farm Production Expenditure Unit, Farm Income Branch, and by type of farm or other sources on the basis of the distribution shown in (43). These type-of-farm distributions of each input or expense were then allocated to specific agricultural sectors on the basis of the distribution of sales, the value of production of specific commodities or both by type of farm. In short, the following pattern of transformation was used in deriving specific sector input estimates: (a) specific expense for all of agriculture to (b) specific expense by type of farm to (c) specific expense, by type of farm, allocated to specific commodities for each type to (d) specific expense allocated to specific commodities on commodity groups, which are obtained as the summation of the allocations to each commodity or commodity group mentioned in (c).

The classification of inputs by industry and then by specific agricultural input sectors was extremely useful in terms of the regional allocation of inputs to agriculture. The first step in the disaggregation of national inputs to regional inputs was in the state allocation of agricultural expenditures.

In the next stage of data preparation, the expenditures were disaggregated according to region. A further step was to allocate the regional expenditures according to sector use within the region. A first approximation of regional use within a sector was obtained by allocating the national sector use to the regions by value of production of that sector. After allocation among all sectors had taken place, a summation was computed across all sectors to obtain the regional expenditure. However, this computed regional expenditure probably would not be the same as the regional expenditure obtained from (43). Therefore, regional sector use was decreased or increased proportionally to agree with the survey estimate. An iterative process was used to obtain a unique estimate for each sector by region, with the constraint that the expenditure must add to the national expenditure within the sector and that the expenditure must sum across sectors within a region to obtain the total regional expenditure obtained through survey data.

Much of the basic data dealing with industrial inputs for agriculture were in terms of purchasers' values; that is, what farmers paid for them. If the expenditure is a service, such as utilities or repair services, the producers' and purchasers' values are the same. When the initial expenditures were in purchasers' value, the allocation was carried out in that form and then converted to producers' value on the basis of the ratio of producers' to purchasers' value shown in the 1947 Bureau of Labor Statistics Interindustry Study (6). The difference between producers' and purchasers' value is allocated to the margin industries which are composed of the retail and wholesale industries as well as of certain transportation and other miscellaneous sectors.

Finally, labor expenditures, which are reported by states, were allocated to the various sectors by the same procedure as used in allocating other farm expenditures. Depreciation of capital items—such as farm machinery, tools and buildings—was computed according to value of such items or, as in the case of vehicles, by number reported in each state. Short-term and farm-mortgage interest also were allocated by sectors and regions.

Farm proprietors' income was computed as a residual. It constitutes the difference existing after all expenditures have been subtracted from the total value of output of a sector. In the short run, with fixed capital assets and where capital depreciation is included as an expense, farm proprietors' income may show a loss for any particular sector. However, since most farm units include several enterprises (sectors in this case), a profit may exist for the farm unit as a whole, while any one enterprise may incur a loss.

Empirical results

Each of the completed flow matrices, presented now in tabular form, represents the flow of goods into and out of agricultural sectors by industry of origin and destination for 1955. The tabular material is summarized for the North Central Region and each of its subregions.

The entries in each row of intersectoral transactions table for the North Central Region (table 18) show in producers' value the dollar amount purchased from the sector at the right by the sector at the top.

For example, the meat-animals sector disposed of \$1,233,529,000 worth of products to itself as intrasector flows consisting mainly of feeder livestock. The meat-animals sector sold no other products to agricultural sectors. The feed-crops sector, however, disposed of \$2,446,464,000 worth of products to the meatanimals sector, \$259,295,000 to the poultry-and-eggs sector, \$1,197,743,000 to the farm-dairy-products sector, \$10,823,000 to the other-livestock-and-products sector-for a total of \$3,914,325,000 worth of products to the livestock sectors. Continuing across the row, the feed-crops sector sold products to most other crop sectors as an indirect input through animal workpower. In addition, the feed-crops sector sold to itself as an intrasector flow in the form of seed inputs.

The lower part of table 18 shows the flows from the manufacturing or service sectors to the agricultural sectors. However, for purposes of this report, the lower part of table 18 has been consolidated.

Tables 19, 20 and 21 show the intersectoral flows for the three subregions in the North Central States. Row entries have the same meaning as in the regional matrix.

Each column of table 18 presents a sector's input structure. The entries in each column represent the purchases of that sector from all other sectors. Using column 1 of table 18 as an illustration, it is shown that the meat-animals sector procured goods and services from itself and from the farm-dairy-products sector, the food-crops sector, the feed-crops sector, the oil-bearing-crops sector, the vegetables sector and the legume-and-grass-seeds sector in the agricultural segment. Purchases from the meat-animals and feedcrops sectors have been explained previously. Purchase or acquisition of \$62,244,000 worth of products from the farm-dairy-products sector represents milk fed to calves. The small quantities purchased from the other sectors (food-crops, oil-bearing crops, vegetables and legume and grass seeds) represent products grown and fed on farms where grown.

Major purchases from the manufacturing sectors by the meat-animals sector include \$206,693,000 worth of products from the food and kindred products sector, which is mainly prepared animal feeds. Other product acquisitions by the meat-animal sector include: \$94,568,000 worth of chemical products, mainly oil-seed meal products; \$274,358,000 from margin industries, which are retail and wholesale markups; \$123,323,000 from farm nonresidential rents, which refers to transactions relating to the farm rental services on buildings and land; \$51,586,000 from the construction sector, which includes outlays for soil and water conservation facilities, roads, irrigation facilities and maintenance of buildings. Altogether, \$923,633,000 worth of manufactured goods and services were acquired by the meat-animals sector (table 22). Other inputs are purchased from the primary factor sectors. Similarly, the purchases of the agricultural sectors in the three subregions are represented in tables 19 to 22.

The relative magnitudes of the flows from industry into agriculture for the various regions, represented in tables 22 through 29, may be of great importance because of the effect on location of new and existing plants of the industry sectors. As an illustration, the manufacturing sectors sold \$157,471,000 worth of products to the livestock sectors in the Northern Plains (total of columns 1-4 in table 24), \$404,125,000 worth of products to the livestock sectors in the Western Corn Belt (table 26) and \$422,220,000 worth of products to the livestock sectors in the East North Central subregion (table 28). These quantities may be compared with the actual sales occurring in these regions in 1955 to evaluate new plant locations. In this way, the needs for some future date can be anticipated and plans can be made accordingly. This procedure will be analyzed more fully in the following section.

One additional entry in table 25 needs an explanation. The farm-dairy-products sector, column 3, shows a negative \$1,902,000 as total primary inputs for this sector — largely the result of proprietory income losses. As explained earlier, a sector may show negative proprietors' income in the short run. Several reasons may be given for negative returns to the farm-dairy sector of this region. Dairying is unimportant in the Northern Plains, and it does not constitute a major enterprise on the average farm. Since the typical farm combines several enterprises, any one enterprise, in this case dairving, may show negative returns. Additional analysis of this sector showed that, relative to other subregions in the North Central Region, the Northern Plains was not necessarily less efficient in dairy production in terms of feed required to produce a hundred pounds of milk. However, the price received by farmers per hundred pounds of milk sold was different for this subregion compared with the other subregions (see table 8). Milk prices were low in 1955 compared with other years, but the price in the Northern Plains was even lower than in the other regions. One reason for this may be difference in market organization in this region; for example, very little "grade A" milk is sold in this region.

The Direct Requirements Matrix

Method of construction

A technical coefficient matrix was constructed for each flow matrix described previously. A basic assumption of input-output is that a linear relationship exists between any endogenous input and the corresponding output. This assumption, however, need not apply to primary resource inputs.

The method of construction merely entails dividing each entry or input of a sector column by its corresponding gross domestic outlay or production. The data may be shown then as either dollar inputs per dollar of output or amount of input per million dollars of output.

Empirical results

The direct requirements matrices appear in tables 30 through 33 which correspond to the flow matrices. These tables show the direct purchases of each sector from every other sector per million dollars of output in 1955. Using table 30 as an illustration, the meat-animals sector in the North Central Region, column 1, requires the following amount of goods and services from the agricultural sectors per million dollars of output: \$204,089 from the meat-animals sector, \$10,298 from the farm-dairy-products sector, \$1,162 from the food-crops sector, \$404,771 from the feed-crops sector, \$348 from the oil-bearing-crops sector, \$1,057 from the vegetables sector and \$1,390 from the legume-and-grass-seeds sector.

Other column sectors have similar interpretations. Each column sector then is interpreted as a production function requiring specific inputs for the production of a given level of output. The coefficient matrix is used in the following section when projected needs of the agricultural sectors are analyzed.

Subregional coefficient matrices are compared as to input structures for the production of various agricultural sectors. In the Northern Plains, for example, \$391,251 of feed-crop inputs are required to produce \$1,000,000 of meat-animals (table 31); whereas, in the Western Corn Belt, it takes \$428,207 (table 32). This does not mean that farming in the Northern Plains is inefficient compared with that in the Western Corn Belt; it means only that the input structure of meat-animal production differs. The poultry-andeggs sector also differs in the amount of feed crops fed per unit of output for the two subregions; \$305,-200 compared with \$240,082 for the Northern Plains and the Western Corn Belt, respectively. Further, the amount of input required from the manufacturing sectors by all the crop sectors differs for the three subregions.

Market Disbursement Matrix

The market disbursements from agriculture are given by Masucci (17). Hence, a market-disbursements matrix is not presented here since it can be obtained from that report. Moreover, no regional flows were estimated in this study since only a potential market analysis was undertaken.

The agricultural output represented in the disbursements matrix includes the total amount of the product available rather than the amount produced in 1955. It includes, therefore, any inventories available at the beginning of the period and any imported products from other countries. Wool, a major commodity of this sector comes both from national production and large import stocks. Therefore, the quantity of wool sold to the textile-mill-products sector is larger than the total domestic production.

The Inverse Matrices: Direct and Indirect Requirements

The interdependence matrices appear in tables 34 through 37. Using table 34 for the North Central Region as an illustration, delivery per \$1,000,000 of meat animals to intermediate processing and finaldemand sectors required an increase in output of \$1,256,422 internally, \$1,202 from poultry and eggs, \$12,939 from farm-dairy products, \$1,603 from food crops, \$526,654 from feed crops, \$471 from oil-bearing crops, \$1,363 from vegetables, \$6,587 from legume and grass seeds, \$28 from greenhouse and nursery products and \$10,798 from agricultural services.

Subregional requirements may be illustrated by the Northern Plains (table 35), where delivery of \$1,000,-000 of farm-dairy products to intermediate processing and final-demand sectors require an increase in output of \$1,557 from poultry and eggs, \$62 from food crops, \$855,091 from feed crops, \$11,565 from legume and grass seeds, \$27,157 dollars from agricultural services, as well as the \$1,000,000 delivery from the farm-dairy-products sector.

USE OF DATA IN PREDICTION AND ANALYSIS

Limiting assumptions must be made when using the input-output procedure for purposes of prediction. Final-demand predictions must be assumed to be of the same "mix" within any sector as during the base period. Even though sectors are disaggregated for predicting individual final demands (because of the assumption of constant coefficients of production), the aggregated quantity must act as a unit. For agricultural commodities, this may not be an especially severe limiting assumption because of similarity of inputs for production.

The most limiting assumption is that of fixed coefficients of production. In other words, the input structure for the predicted period must be the same as that for the base period. Technological change is ignored in the closed portion of the model. However, this is not a limiting factor for exogenous variables, such as primary resource inputs.

Direct and Indirect Demand Requirements From the Agricultural Sectors

One of the objectives of this study was to determine the requirements from agriculture to meet a projected final demand for 1975. Using the regional finaldemand projections of tables 14 and 15 and the corresponding inverse matrices as given in tables 34-37, an estimate of direct and indirect requirements from agriculture by 1975 is given in tables 38 and 39 by sectors.

First, the total derived 1975 requirement from the meat-animals sector for the United States is given in table 38. For the same year, the total requirement from the North Central Region is \$9,111,507,000, while, from all other regions, the total requirement is \$5,820,820,000. On the subregional level, the total

derived requirements from the meat-animals sector by 1975 are as follows: \$2,456,901,000 in the Northern Plains, \$3,744,676,000 in the Western Corn Belt and \$2,914,827,000 in • the East North Central States (table 39). The subregion totals do not add up exactly to the North Central Region requirements, and, likewise, the North Central Region and the all other regions total do not add up exactly to the United States total. Even though the regional final-demand estimates add to the total when applied to the inverse matrices, the differential effects of the regional interdependence coefficients will prevent the regional total requirements from adding to the United States total. Another factor, of course, is the occurrence of rounding errors.

One additional comment may be made concerning the predicted total requirements: It concerns the assumption of linearity and stability. The limitation of this assumption has been explained previously, but it has not been linked to actual estimates. The constant coefficients assumption implies no changes in the level of technology that existed in the base year, 1955. Wherever applicable, a priori information may be used to revise the estimates generated by the model. One case may be in the estimates of total feed crops required by 1975. One assumption is that livestock efficiency in feed utilization will increase by 10 percent over the base year by 1975 (19). Therefore, applying the 10-percent increase in livestock feed efficiency to the direct requirements from the feed-crops sector of \$9,948,100,000 (see ref. 17), a savings of \$994,810,000 is obtained for the United States as a whole. Decreasing the total direct and indirect requirements of feed crops by \$994,800,000 will leave \$11,706,000,000 as a revised estimate of total requirements from the feed-crop sector by 1975.

Direct Demand Requirements From Nonagricultural Sectors

The effect on industries furnishing factor inputs to agriculture of a change in total agriculture output is traced through the direct requirements matrices. In a predictive sense, total requirements from agriculture in 1975, given in tables 40 and 41, are multiplied by the technical or input-output coefficient matrix to determine the requirements from the industrial sectors in 1975.

Table 40 gives the requirements from each manufacturing and service sector by region to produce the predicted agricultural output of that region in 1975. This does not mean that the production of the industrial goods must take place in the designated region, but it does mean that the agricultural demand for the industrial goods originates in that region. The analysis may be used, therefore, to determine where potential market areas are located for specific goods as a basis for plant location.

To illustrate, the requirements from the food and kindred products sector to meet the total agricultural

Table 18. Estimated total inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the North Central Region and the sectoral origin of the inputs, 1955.

Sector number	Item	${f Meat} {animals} 1$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$_{\rm 6}^{\rm Feed}$	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products	1,233,529 62,244	966							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops	7,026 2,446,464	$\begin{array}{r} 28,091\\ 259,295\end{array}$	1,197,743	$\begin{array}{c} 322\\ 10,823 \end{array}$	$86,283 \\ 3,746$	104,782	$397 \\ 361$	96	2,356
$ \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 9 \\ $	Obacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables	$2,106 \\ 6,389$						501	10	56,105
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Equip Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops	8,404	57	2,820	4	2,290	35,479	142	53	1,697
16 17 18	Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays	3,766,162 923,633 1,354,269 6,044,064	$\begin{array}{r} 82,744\\ 371,153\\ 627,135\\ 171,042\\ 1,169,330 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 22,191\\ 1,222,754\\ 349,709\\ 451,824\\ 2,024,287\end{array}$	$11,149\\8,065\\91,776\\110,990$	$64,014 \\ 156,333 \\ 487,066 \\ 565,428 \\ 1,208,827$	101,125 241,386 1,988,989 3,217,653 5,448,028	8,826 9,726 25,793 38,648 74,167	$\begin{array}{r} 825\\984\\7,750\\14,383\\23,117\end{array}$	39,840 99,998 249,658 443,429 793,085

TABLE 18. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
1 2 4 5 6 7 9 10	 Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Cotton Othearing crops Vegetables 	615 10,697	54	262	147	12	127	284	41,483	$1,233,529\\42,449\\62,244\\322\\121,400\\4,027,203\\361\\10\\58,211\\17,086$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Legume and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops	656	1	11,622	$\begin{array}{c} 82\\ 398\end{array}$	161	3	7		$63,317 \\ 398 \\ 161$
16 17 18	 Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays 	7,8678,09227,92791,487 $302,690422,104$	$1,415 \\ 1,189 \\ 2,659 \\ 17,249 \\ 62,496 \\ 82,404$	5,533 17,417 17,155 20,638 55,210	$\substack{1,908\\2,535\\13,778\\21,723\\38,036}$	100 273 2,423 9,654 12,350	$1,278 \\ 2,407 \\ 3,815 \\ 5,388 \\ 112,682 \\ 121,885$	$\begin{array}{r} 19,277\\ 865\\ 20,433\\ 33,914\\ 133,792\\ 188,139\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 41,483\\ 119,397\\ 212,098\\ 372,978\end{array}$	29,837 339,659 5,996,187 4,968,589 7,224,225 18,189,001

Table 19. Estimated total inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the Northern Plains and the sectoral origin of the inputs, 1955.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Meat}\\ {\rm animals}\\ 1\end{array}$	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	$_{5}^{\rm Food}$	$_{6}^{\rm Feed}$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9	Vegetables
(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
315,245	239							
1,625	6,497	170.010	26	57,449	24.820		151	20
593,377 157	53,674	176,016	3,173	2,490	24,339	1	10,324	2 0 0 2
2,114	9	294		1,429	9,481		225	2,333
922,206 226,124 368,284	11,585 72,004 79,988 23,873	2,173 178,483 34,803 -1902	$3,199 \\ 1,121 \\ 10,050$	39,600 100,968 296,204 357,454	17,386 51,206 347,999 552,288	2 12 42	5,198 16,218 37,520 51,527	$\begin{array}{r} 662\\ 1,046\\ 4,876\\ 11,317\\ 39,565\end{array}$
	Meat animals 1 (\$1,000) 315,245 7,866 1,625 593,377 1,822 2,114 922,206 226,124 368 284	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

TABLE 19. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
1 2 3 4 5 6 8	 Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Tobacco 	1	82	54	1	6	16	4,598	315,245 4,837 7,866 26 65,571 853,790
9 10	Oil-bearing crops Vegetables								$\substack{10,481\\4,815}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- Fruits - Legume and grass seeds - Sugar and sirup crops - Miscellaneous crops		2,327	$\begin{smallmatrix}&30\\154\end{smallmatrix}$	10				$\begin{array}{r}15,995\\154\\10\end{array}$
10 17 18	 Greenhouse and nursery products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays 	$18\\14\\33\\217\\815\\1,065$	$1,614 \\ 4,023 \\ 5,173 \\ 7.978 \\ 16,274$	$590 \\ 828 \\ 4,860 \\ 8,130 \\ 13,818$	$ \begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 16 \\ 112 \\ 491 \\ 619 \\ \end{array} $	$177 \\ 110 \\ 293 \\ 299 \\ 5,125 \\ 5,717$	$980 \\ 43 \\ 1,039 \\ 1,818 \\ 6,699 \\ 9,556$	4,598 27,587 48,130 80,315	$1,837 \\79,366 \\1,359,993 \\1,075,154 \\1,477,649 \\3,912,796$

Table	20.	Estimated total	inputs of	specified	agricultural	sectors in the	e Western Corn	Belt and	d the sectoral or	igin of	the inputs,	1955.

Secto	or ber Item	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	${}^{\rm Food}_{{\rm crops}}$	Feed crops 6	${\rm Cotton}_7$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$ \begin{array}{r} 1 & - \\ 2 & - \\ 3 & - \\ 4 \end{array} $	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products	533,123 14,882	296		100					
5 - 6 - 7 -	Food crops Feed crops Cotton	$\substack{\textbf{1,472}\\\textbf{1,058,710}}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&5,886\\110,219\end{smallmatrix}$	362,379	2,760	$\substack{8,344\\543}$	38,601	$\begin{array}{c} 396\\ 361 \end{array}$	12	992
$ \begin{array}{c} $	Oil-bearing crops Control Control Con	$\substack{903\\1,506}$							1	22,678
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products	3,434	22	776	1	258	10,704	141	4	622
18 _	Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays	$1,614,030\ 378,613\ 479,785\ 2,472,428$	$\begin{array}{r} 29,322\\ 145,745\\ 259,700\\ 53,644\\ 459,089\end{array}$	5,722 368,877 117,248 70,233 556,358	2,861 2,209 24,372 29,442	7,140 16,285 54,542 65,223 136,050	$36,630 \\ 85,935 \\ 684,216 \\ 1,234,518 \\ 2,004,669$	8,790 9,688 25,681 38,487 73,856	$\begin{array}{r} 69\\ 86\\ 645\\ 1,269\\ 2,000\end{array}$	$\substack{14,344\\38,636\\87,971\\163,861\\290,468}$

TABLE 20. (continued)

Sec	ctor mber	Item	÷	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
				(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ \end{array} $		Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Cotton Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Ermits		134 2,475	3	103	33	2	41	54	16,703	$\begin{smallmatrix} & 533,123 \\ & 16,999 \\ & 14,882 \\ & 100 \\ & 15,702 \\ & 1,574,982 \\ & 361 \\ & 23,581 \\ & 3,981 \end{smallmatrix}$
$11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16$		Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops		154		4,019	$20 \\ 96$	22	1	2		$\begin{array}{c} 20,158\\ 96\\ 22 \end{array}$
17 18		Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays		2,047 1,863 6,673 20,405 72,274 99,352	74621398873,2894,315	2,0626,1846,2998,30720,790	679 828 3,455 5,438 9,721	$12\\36\\294\\1,227\\1,557$	$\begin{array}{r} 67\\766\\875\\1,641\\37,082\\39,598\end{array}$	3,655 158 3,869 6,283 25,493 35, 6 45	$16,703 \\ 41,724 \\ 66,162 \\ 124,589$	5,843 107,619 2,317,450 1,691,813 2,350,664 6,359,927

Table 21. Estimated total inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the East North Central subregion and the sectoral origin of the inputs, 1955.

Sector	er Item	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products	385,161 39,496	431							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Cotton	$\substack{3,929\\794,377}$	15,708 95,402	659,348	196 4,890	$\begin{array}{r} 20,500\\713\end{array}$	41,842	1	83	893
$ \begin{array}{c} 8 & \\ 9 & \\ 10 & \\ \end{array} $	Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables	1,046 3,061							9	23,103
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products	2,856	26	1,750	3	603	15,294	1	49	850
18	Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays	$\substack{1,229,926\\318,896\\506,200\\2,055,022}$	$\begin{array}{r} 41,837\\ 153,404\\ 287,446\\ 93,526\\ 534,376\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,296\\675,394\\197,653\\383,498\\1,256,545\end{array}$	5,089 4,735 57,354 67,178	$\begin{array}{r} 17,274\\39,090\\136,316\\142,745\\318,151\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47,109\\104,245\\956,770\\1,430,851\\2,491,866\end{array}$	$36\\38\\111\\162\\311$	$754 \\ 895 \\ 7,093 \\ 13,072 \\ 21,060$	$20,298 \\ 45,144 \\ 124,168 \\ 228,040 \\ 397,352$

TABLE 21. (continued)

Sector number	Item	$rac{Vegetables}{10}$	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellan- eous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops	392	50	77	60	9	80	214	20,182	385,161 20,613 39,496 196 40,137 1,598,431
7 8 9 10	Cotton Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables	5,229								$24,149 \\ 8,290$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops	416	1	5,276	$\substack{32\\148}$	129	2	5		27,157 155 129
10 17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing and services Total primary inputs Gross domestic outlays	5,158 5,183 16,378 59,766 190,850 266,994	$1,323 \\ 1,113 \\ 2,487 \\ 16,144 \\ 58,393 \\ 77,024$	1,8577,2105,6845,25218,146	$\begin{array}{r} 639 \\ 879 \\ 5,461 \\ 8,157 \\ 14,497 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 83\\221\\2,017\\7,936\\10,174\end{array}$	$1,034 \\ 1,531 \\ 2,647 \\ 3,447 \\ 70,476 \\ 76,570$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,642\\ 664\\ 15,525\\ 25,813\\ 101,600\\ 142,938 \end{array}$	$20,182 \\ 50,087 \\ 97,805 \\ 168,074$	$\begin{array}{r} 22,157\\ 152,674\\ 2,318,754\\ 2,201,607\\ 3,395,917\\ 7,916,278\end{array}$

Table 22. Estimated inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the North Central Region, obtained from manufacturing and service sectors, 1955.

Sector number Item	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$\frac{\rm Feed}{\rm crops}_{6}$	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 20 Mining of nonmetallic minerals (except fuels)	562	$82 \atop 4$	189		2,602	19,495	70	16	355
21 Food and kindred products 22 Textile mill products 23 Finished textile products 24 Wood products	206,693	441,272	89,245	$\frac{114}{223}$	$\substack{2,952\\129}$	$\substack{\textbf{16,869}\\\textbf{18,494}}$	217	$\begin{array}{c} 51 \\ 162 \end{array}$	$\substack{1,757\\52}$
25 Paper products 26 Printing and publishing 27 Chemical products I 28 Chemical products II 29 Petroleum products II 29 Rubber products 30 Rubber products 31 Stone, clay and glass products 32 Fabricated metal products 33 Machinery products 34 Utilities 35 Margin industries 36 Telephone 37 Farm nonresidential rents 39 Miscellaneous business expenses 40 Repair services 41 Nonprofit membership organizations 42 Construction Total manufacturing and services	$\begin{array}{c} 1,842\\ 19,942\\ 74,626\\ 33,589\\ 8,392\\ 3,099\\ 12,236\\ 360,981\\ 20,781\\ 274,358\\ 11,391\\ 31,738\\ 123,323\\ 26,234\\ 20,609\\ 2,632\\ 51,586\\ 562,652\\ 923,633\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 5,017\\ 263\\ 4,918\\ 3,438\\ 6,499\\ 1,098\\ 1,474\\ 3,882\\ 467,947\\ 3,267\\ 118,862\\ 1,809\\ 4,397\\ 15,514\\ 1,193\\ 6,197\\ 3,266\\ 7,643\\ 306\\ 7,643\\ 159,188\\ 627,135\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 631\\ 807\\ 4,874\\ 18,909\\ 12,076\\ 3,070\\ 5,008\\ 14,361\\ 4,102\\ 153,272\\ 11,213\\ 67,916\\ 4,841\\ 12,462\\ 43,860\\ 19,693\\ 6,969\\ 9,850\\ 19,633\\ 196,437\\ 349,709\end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 37\\ 23\\ 12\\ 513\\ 107\\ 423\\ 120\\ 1,615\\ 252\\ 1,254\\ 126\\ 428\\ 3,240\\ 116\\ 246\\ 428\\ 3,240\\ 116\\ 246\\ 424\\ 704\\ 6,450\\ 8,065 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 467\\ 39,334\\ 265\\ 37,491\\ 4,282\\ 78\\ 1,238\\ 16,946\\ 105,784\\ 4,904\\ 73,992\\ 3,134\\ 21,331\\ 228,143\\ 2,045\\ 34,794\\ 631\\ 12,308\\ 381,282\\ 487,066\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,831\\ 292,217\\ 1,598\\ 178,731\\ 18,708\\ 681\\ 4,820\\ 640,164\\ 8,067\\ 424,681\\ 12,753\\ 54,320\\ 600,077\\ 9,176\\ 180,358\\ 2,661\\ 56,732\\ 1,348,825\\ 1,988,989\end{array}$	$50 \\ 2,663 \\ 14 \\ 1,103 \\ 153 \\ 30 \\ 428 \\ 4,731 \\ 396 \\ 2,669 \\ 2,669 \\ 114 \\ 658 \\ 15,734 \\ 876 \\ 395 \\ 876 \\ 395 \\ 876 \\ 481 \\ 21,062 \\ 25,793 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18\\787\\66\\511\\55\\20\\109\\1,727\\78\\961\\47\\626\\3,665\\28\\218\\14\\386\\6,023\\7,750\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 223\\ 6,209\\ 173\\ 29,199\\ 2,982\\ 162\\ 888\\ 14,224\\ 56,224\\ 2,953\\ 47,962\\ 1,67\\ 92,138\\ 1,217\\ 29,725\\ 337\\ 7,937\\ 193,434\\ 249,658\end{array}$

TABLE 22. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals (except fuels)	335	59	10	52	20		$\substack{1,553\\1}$		$\substack{\textbf{1,635}\\23,770}$
21 22	Food and kindred products Textile mill products Finished taxtile products	424	29	206	127	9	72	$\begin{array}{c} 195 \\ 230 \end{array}$	7,780	760,232 26,847 5,465
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wood products Paper products	10,022	1,591	50	10			631	4,649	$11,613 \\ 10,928$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Chemical products I Chemical products I	$\begin{smallmatrix}&152\\17,151\\&37\end{smallmatrix}$	4,270	$\substack{\textbf{1,562}\\\textbf{16}}$	1,712 $1,712$ 10	$329 \\ 1$		$ \begin{array}{r} 215 \\ 551 \\ 19 \end{array} $	2,508	$ \begin{array}{r} 6,181 \\ 399,077 \\ 99,135 \end{array} $
29 30	Petroleum products Rubber products Stone clay and glass products	5,236 659 25	$\substack{1,315\\171}{4}$	$1,659 \\ 354$	$\substack{1,046\\117\\4}$	59 8 1	$\begin{smallmatrix} 634 \\ 77 \end{smallmatrix}$	2,290 245 1.657	17,277 2,661 80	$329,228 \\ 43,139 \\ 8128$
32 33	Fabricated metal products Machinery products Total manufacturing	185 $2,335$ $41,802$	$3\overline{7}$ 606 8 225	$\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 494 \\ 4.402 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 32\\ 458\\ 3577\end{array}$	6 26 459	$50 \\ 145 \\ 1.051 $	69 484 8 140	$3,551 \\ 8,058 \\ 46,570$	29,921 151,373 1906 672
34 35 	Utilities Margin industries	1,174 17,575	3,225 405 3,834 227	720 3,243	$157 \\ 2,145 \\ 25$	23 298	1,031 155 1,173	397 12,121	3,828 33,220	1,306,072 58,770 1,086,264
36 37 38	Finance Farm nonresidential rents	2,092 20,025	689 1,676	1,441 4,189	$332 \\ 5,976$	$87 \\ 1,459$	$167 \\ 252 \\ 1,545 $	1,025 2,255	4,183	145,552 1,162,819
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Miscellaneous business expenses Repair services Nonprofit membership organizations	$356 \\ 4,815 \\ 156$	$\substack{238\\1,248\\126}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 233 \\ 912 \\ 86 \end{array} $	946 20	56 1	$\begin{smallmatrix}103\\376\\41\end{smallmatrix}$	$5,100 \\ 953 \\ 246$	$13,259 \\ 17,411$	$79,148 \\ 306,707 \\ 17,188$
42	Construction Total services Total manufacturing and services	2,706 49,684 91,487	$581 \\ 9,024 \\ 17,249$	$1,510 \\ 12,753 \\ 17,155$	$\begin{array}{r} 484 \\ 10,201 \\ 13,778 \end{array}$	$30 \\ 1,964 \\ 2,423$	$525 \\ 4,337 \\ 5,388$	$2,710 \\ 25,774 \\ 33,914$	$\begin{array}{r} 247 \\ 72,827 \\ 119,397 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 166,203\\ 3,061,917\\ 4,968,589\end{array}$

240

Table 23. Estimated primary inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the North Central Region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Foreign trade Federal government State and local government Households Wages and salaries Proprietors' income All others Fotal primary inputs	7,461 138,418 1,208,390 166,363 852,300 189,727 1,354,269	$737 \\ 14,386 \\ 155,919 \\ 9,574 \\ 109,133 \\ 37,212 \\ 171,042 \\$	2,210 46,645 402,969 102,922 165,759 134,288 451,824	503 1,735 89,538 7,984 76,238 5,316 91,776	$\begin{array}{c} 12,682\\ 38,961\\ 513,785\\ 21,517\\ 326,805\\ 165,463\\ 565,428 \end{array}$	$15,812 \\ 149,259 \\ 3,052,582 \\ 216,581 \\ 1,873,271 \\ 962,730 \\ 3,217,653$	$387 \\ 1,117 \\ 37,144 \\ 7,355 \\ 25,008 \\ 4,781 \\ 38,648$	$277 \\ 489 \\ 13,617 \\ 1,914 \\ 10,426 \\ 1,277 \\ 14,383 \\ $	$\begin{array}{r} 2,378\\ 18,640\\ 422,411\\ 17,074\\ 250,921\\ 154,416\\ 443,429\end{array}$

TABLE 23. (continued)

Sector number Item	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43 Foreign trade 44 Federal government 45 State and local government 46 Households 46.1 Wages and salaries 46.2 Proprietors' income 46.3 All others Total primary inputs	$\begin{array}{c} 1,727\\ 6,373\\ 294,590\\ 29,100\\ 243,628\\ 21,862\\ 302,690\end{array}$	$370 \\ 2,259 \\ 59,867 \\ 10,943 \\ 42,332 \\ 6,592 \\ 62,496$	$\begin{array}{r} 90\\ 5,197\\ 15,351\\ 1,932\\ 7,730\\ 5,689\\ 20,638\end{array}$	$106 \\ 1,022 \\ 20,595 \\ 4,862 \\ 8,514 \\ 7,219 \\ 21,723$	$101 \\ 562 \\ 8,991 \\ 1,068 \\ 6,682 \\ 1,241 \\ 9,654$	$\begin{array}{r} 499\\772\\1111,411\\7,738\\75,140\\28,533\\112,682\end{array}$	$351 \\ 750 \\ 2,775 \\ 129,916 \\ 2,204 \\ 124,099 \\ 3,613 \\ 133,792$	3,449 3,322 205,327 49,320 89,359 66,648 212,098	$\begin{array}{c} 351\\ 49,539\\ 431,932\\ 6,742,403\\ 658,451\\ 4,287,345\\ 1,796,607\\ 7,224,225\end{array}$

Table 24. Estimated inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the Northern Plains obtained from manufacturing and service sectors, 1955.

Sector number	ltem	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 20 21 22 23 24	Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals (except fuels) Food and kindred products Textile mill products Finished textile products Wood products	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\41,046\end{array}$	12 53,590	4,953	27 75	892 2,293 81	$1,301 \\ 4,920 \\ 5,327$		$\begin{smallmatrix}&17\\407\\&3\end{smallmatrix}$
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Paper products Printing and publishing Chemical products I Chemical products II Petroleum products Rubber products Stone, clay and glass products Fabricated metal products Machinery and parts Total manufacturing	$\begin{array}{r} 448\\ 4,239\\ 20,994\\ 11,547\\ 2,484\\ 1,373\\ 4,040\\ 86\\ 231\end{array}$	$940 \\ 38 \\ 726 \\ 244 \\ 1,408 \\ 197 \\ 297 \\ 797 \\ 58 \\ 250 \\ 58 \\ 250 \\ 100 \\$	$78 \\ 80 \\ 457 \\ 1,818 \\ 1,859 \\ 377 \\ 616 \\ 1,856 \\ 590 \\ 12 690 $	$egin{array}{c} 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 97 \\ 177 \\ 44 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 284\\ 15,495\\ 196\\ 27,051\\ 2,853\\ 30\\ 998\\ 11,947\\ 62120\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 306\\ 21,694\\ 420\\ 44,401\\ 3,873\\ 51\\ 1,518\\ 20,445\\ 104,256\end{array}$	1	28 443 34 $5,683$ 471 9 244 $2,590$ $9,879$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Utilities Margin industries Telephone Finance	4,530 73,645 2,641 6,773	$ \begin{array}{r} 403 \\ 403 \\ 16,017 \\ 248 \\ 543 \end{array} $	956 9,259 456 1.023	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&&2\\&&26\\&&23\\&&&20\\&&&20\\&&&44\end{smallmatrix}$	2,794 49,014 1,871 11,932	1,529 86,236 2,036 7,786	1	$320 \\ 8,760 \\ 202 \\ 1.020$
38 39 40	Farm nonresidential rents Miscellaneous business expenses Repair services Nonprofit membership organizations	28,007 6,849 6,693 606	2,094 182 1,363 42	4,116 2,915 979 1,014	$3\overline{78} \\ 17 \\ 38 \\ 5$	$136,029 \\ 1,316 \\ 24,347 \\ 371$	94,370 1,695 42,373 417	9	10,969 172 5,398 41
42	Construction Total services Total manufacturing and services	$10,149 \\ 139,893 \\ 226,124$	$\begin{array}{r} 846\\ 21,738\\ 79,988\end{array}$	1,395 22,113 34,803	$\substack{ & 63 \\ & 821 \\ 1,121 }$	6,410 234,084 296,204	$7,301 \\ 243,743 \\ 347,999$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&1\\11\\12\end{smallmatrix}$	$759 \\ 27,641 \\ 37,520$

TABLE 24. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19	Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals							78		90
21 22 23 24	(except fuels) Food and kindred products Textile mill products Finished textile products Wood products	$\begin{array}{r} 16\\104\\693\\1.324\end{array}$	1	1 91	8 69	1	7	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 12 \end{array}$	1,790	$\begin{array}{r} 2,301 \\ 107,529 \\ 7,213 \\ 768 \\ 1.342 \end{array}$
25 26 27	Paper products Printing and publishing Chemical products I 	20 1,039	$1 \\ 34$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&2\\2\\3&5&0\\\\&7\end{smallmatrix}$	357 57	5	1	$\begin{smallmatrix}&3&2\\&1&0\\&2&2\\&2\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 498\\ 512\end{array}$	1,548 1,249 45,376 23,732
29 30 31	Petroleum products II Petroleum products Rubber products Stone, clay and glass products	$\substack{\textbf{1,005}\\101\\2}$	$\begin{array}{c}26\\3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 656\\ 121\end{array}$	$\substack{492\\47\\1}$	4	45 4	$\begin{smallmatrix} 17\widetilde{6}\\ 15\\ 83\end{smallmatrix}$	5,107 727 8	$ \begin{array}{r} 23,132 \\ 99,508 \\ 11,290 \\ 844 \end{array} $
32 33 34	Fabricated metal products Machinery and parts Total manufacturing Utilities	$51\\418\\4,781\\126$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\10\\94\\8\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&13\\&187\\1,448\\&187\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&17\\&207\\1,210\\&59\end{smallmatrix}$	1 11 14	5 9 73 6	$9 \\ 35 \\ 494 \\ 17$	$744 \\ 2,231 \\ 11,617 \\ 349$	$7,136 \\ 43,529 \\ 353,455 \\ 11,324$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Margin industries Telephone Finance Farm nonresidential rents	$2,531 \\ 93 \\ 217 \\ 2,390$	$55 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 19$	$1,156 \\ 114 \\ 363 \\ 1,124$	$ \begin{array}{r} 866 \\ 28 \\ 102 \\ 2.004 \end{array} $	13 3 66	$91 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 66$	$\begin{array}{r} 693\\ 45\\ 41\\ 104 \end{array}$	$8,575 \\ 70 \\ 894$	$257,142 \\ 7,834 \\ 30,758 \\ 281,745$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 Miscellaneous business expenses Repair services Nonprofit membership organizations 	$52 \\ 866 \\ 18 \\ 242$	$\begin{array}{c}4\\22\\1\\4\end{array}$	$71 \\ 335 \\ 23 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 25 \\ 2$		4		$\begin{array}{c} 259\\67\\10\end{array}$	$1,426 \\ 4,629$	14,986 87,562 2,557
42	Total services Total manufacturing and services	$6,536 \\ 11,317$	$\begin{array}{c}123\\123\\217\end{array}$	$352 \\ 3,725 \\ 5,173 $	$3,650 \\ 4,860$	$\begin{array}{c}101\\112\end{array}$	$226 \\ 299$	$1,324 \\ 1,818$	15,970 27,587	721,699 1,075,154

242

Sector number	Item		${f Meat} {animals} 1$	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$\frac{\rm Feed}{\rm crops}_{6}$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
			(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	.\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43	Foreign trade									
44	Federal government		2,130	89	116	54	3,922	2,245		160
45	State and local government	110	41,340	2,605	5,778	266	26,025	31,144	1	2,972
46	Households		324,814	21,179	-7,796	9,730	327,507	518,899	41	48,395
46.1	Wages and salaries		45,671	1,563	11,270	1,081	13,689	40,796	4	2,440
46.2	Proprietors' income		218,754	12,255	-37,802	7,738	198,341	258,993	32	18,834
46.3	All others		60,389	7,361	18,736	911	115,477	219,110	5	27,121
	Total primary inputs		368,284	23,873	-1,902	10,050	357,454	552,288	42	51,527

Table 25. Estimated primary inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the Northern Plains, 1955.

TABLE 25. (continued)

Sector number	Item	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Vegetables} \\ 10 \end{array}$	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43	Foreign trade							18		18
44	Federal government	215	5	29	37	5	16	37	369	• 9,429
45	State and local government	987	35	1,801	423	32	44	167	793	114,413
46	Households	38,363	775	5,248	7,670	454	5,065	6,477	46,968	1,353,789
46.1	Wages and salaries	3,990	139	618	1,857	53	385	114	6,937	130,607
46.2	Proprietors' income	30,555	518	2,535	2,617	316	2,202	6,077	22,723	744,688
46.3	All others	3,818	118	2,095	3,196	85	2,478	286	17,308	478,494
	Total primary inputs	39,565	815	7,078	8,130	491	5,125	6,699	48,130	1,477,649

Table 26. Estimated inputs of specified sectors in the Western Corn Belt obtained from manufacturing and service sectors, 1955.

Sector number Item	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Meat} \\ {\rm animals} \\ 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \ \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	${}^{\rm Food}_{{}^{\rm crops}_{5}}$	Feed crops 6	Cotton 7	Tobacco S	Oil-bearing crops 9
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 Bituminous coal 20 Mining of nonmetallic minerals	206	32 1	38		340	5,800	69	1	101
21 Food and kindred products 22 Textile mill products 23 Finished textile products 24 Wood products	97,001	185,466	40,875	36 70	$\begin{smallmatrix} 266\\ 14 \end{smallmatrix}$	6,699 6,318	216	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\12\end{array}$	$\substack{725\\22}$
25 Paper products 26 Printing and publishing 27 Chemical products I 28 Chemical products I 29 Petroleum products 30 Rubber products 31 Stone, clay and glass products 32 Fabricated metal products 33 Machinery and parts 34 Total manufacturing 34 Utilities 36 Fabricated metal products 38 Machinery and parts 34 Total manufacturing 34 Utilities 35 Margin industries 36 Farm nonresidential rents 39 Miscellaneous business expenses 40 Repair services 41 Nonprofit membership organizations 42 Construction Total services Total services	$\begin{array}{c} 742\\ 8,017\\ 23,719\\ 11,980\\ 2,963\\ 1,002\\ 4,285\\ 149,915\\ 8,011\\ 113,156\\ 4,699\\ 12,226\\ 53,305\\ 11,237\\ 7,307\\ 1,023\\ 17,734\\ 228,698\\ 278,613\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,998\\ 101\\ 1,886\\ 1,457\\ 2,340\\ 377\\ 556\\ 1,354\\ 195,568\\ 1,174\\ 49,157\\ 708\\ 1,566\\ 6,380\\ 488\\ 2,181\\ 111\\ 2,367\\ 64,132\\ 2597000 \end{array}$	$188\\214\\1,235\\5,011\\3,113\\726\\1,495\\4,255\\1,009\\58,159\\2,704\\24,846\\1,311\\2,985\\12,651\\1,6,244\\1,731\\2,677\\3,938\\59,089\\1,7248$	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ 5\\ 3\\ 125\\ 224\\ 172\\ 11\\ 28\\ 483\\ 379\\ 4483\\ 379\\ 400\\ 905\\ 34\\ 59\\ 100\\ 137\\ 1.726\\ 2.900\end{array}$	$52 \\ 5,281 \\ 21 \\ 3,105 \\ 377 \\ 9 \\ 80 \\ 1,400 \\ 10,945 \\ 541 \\ 7,177 \\ 369 \\ 2,386 \\ 28,630 \\ 2,947 \\ 68 \\ 1,239 \\ 43,597 \\ 54,542 \\ 8,542 \\ 54,$	$\begin{array}{c} 658\\ 88,536\\ 5,542\\ 59,545\\ 5,968\\ 205\\ 1,587\\ 28,028\\ 203,886\\ 2,575\\ 142,903\\ 4,680\\ 18,171\\ 232,124\\ 3,603\\ 58,882\\ 9,09\\ 16,483\\ 480,330\\ 684 216\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 2,649\\ 14\\ 1,098\\ 152\\ 30\\ 426\\ 4,707\\ 2,657\\ 114\\ 654\\ 15,672\\ 872\\ 399\\ 478\\ 20,974\\ 75\\ 681\end{array}$	$1\\52\\1\\4\frac{1}{5}\\9\\9\\133\\6\\82\\4\\45\\332\\3\\18\\1\\1\\21\\512\\645$	$\begin{array}{c} 78\\ 2,004\\ 600\\ 9,892\\ 952\\ 46\\ 299\\ 4,650\\ 18,829\\ 979\\ 16,450\\ 3,117\\ 35,336\\ 3,117\\ 35,336\\ 478\\ 9,818\\ 1113\\ 2,243\\ 69,142\\ 87,971\end{array}$

TABLE 26. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 20	Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals	57	2	4	12	2		296		■ 328 ● 6,633
$\begin{array}{c} 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	Food and kindred products Textile mill products Finished textile products	118 1.234	2	74	30	2	29	50 44	3,001	$331,596 \\ 9,411 \\ 1.304$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wood products Paper products Printing and publishing	2,359	73	27	5		12	$120 \\ 38$	1,878	2,432 4,184 2,034
27 28	Chemical products I Chemical products II	3,439	236	728	462	27	100	131	841	115,536 30,850 30,850
29 30 31	Rubber products Stone, clay and glass products	1,138 132 4	68 8	115	$220 \\ 25 \\ 1$	i	199 22	$418 \\ 40 \\ 316$	5,217 850 22	12,737 2,273
32 33	Fabricated metal products Machinery and parts Total manufacturing	$\begin{smallmatrix}&40\\&485\\9.048\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&3\\&&29\\&429\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&8\\157\\1.651\end{smallmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 95 \\ 859 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}1\\3\\43\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18 \\ 44 \\ 334 \end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&13\\&82\\1,551\end{smallmatrix}$	$1,422 \\ 2,580 \\ 15,817$	9,333 44,664 672,357
34	Utilities Margin industries Telephone	242 3,935 183	$16 \\ 208 \\ 12$	256 1,139 160	$\begin{array}{r} 34\\490\\22\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\\36\\1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 44\\336\\54\end{array}$		1,881 10,842 290	18,980 376,125 13,438
37	Finance Farm nonresidential rents	428 4,969	31 91	515 1,677	1,646	9 194	70 521	$165 \\ 448 \\ 085$	1,573	44,121 394,881
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Repair services Nonprofit membership organizations	$1,012 \\ 33$	61 5	$295 \\ 31$	$200 \\ 5$	1 6	112 11	$ \begin{array}{r} 985 \\ 166 \\ 41 \end{array} $	5,900	28,981 91,567 5,079
42	Construction Total services Total manufacturing and services	$\begin{array}{r} 463\\ 11,357\\ 20,405\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&20\\458\\887\end{smallmatrix}$	$482 \\ 4,648 \\ 6,299$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&103\\2,596\\3,455\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&3\\251\\294\end{smallmatrix}$	$123 \\ 1,307 \\ 1,641$	$352 \\ 4,732 \\ 6,283$	$\begin{array}{r}98\\25,907\\41,724\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 46,284 \\ 1,019,456 \\ 1,691,813 \end{array}$

244

Sector number Item	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$_{\rm 6}^{\rm Feed}$	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43 Foreign trade									
44 Federal government	2,564	221	253	133	3,264	6,322	385	29	991
45 State and local government	48,158	4,862	10,868	387	3,353	46,886	1,112	35	5,864
46 Households	429,063	48,561	59,112	23,852	58,606	1,181,310	36,990	1,205	157,006
46.1 Wages and salaries	57,015	3,125	22,716	1,697	1,890	65,832	7,313	125	5,156
46.2 Proprietors' income	301,727	31,714	1,171	20,821	41,844	785,736	24,916	971	98,394
46.3 All others	70,321	13,722	35,225	1,334	14,872	329,742	4,761	109	53,456
Total primary	479,785	53,644	70,233	24,372	65,223	1,234,518	38,487	1,269	163,861

Table 27. Estimated primary inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the Western Corn Belt, 1955.

TABLE 27. (continued)

Sector number Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43 Foreign trade							67		67
44 Federal government	414	18	38	27	11	203	145	1,393	a 16,411
45 State and local government	1,258	97	1,643	211	56	218	441	1,143	126,592
46 Households	70,602	3,174	6,626	5,200	1,160	36,661	24,840	63,626	2,207,594
46.1 Wages and salaries	5,446	433	605	1,000	103	2,044	327	16,384	191,211
46.2 Proprietors' income	60,297	2,400	4,110	2,595	906	32,482	24,266	24,102	1,458,452
46.3 All others	4,859	341	1,911	1,605	151	2,135	247	23,140	557,931
Total primary	72,274	3,289	8,307	5,438	1,227	37,082	25,493	66,162	2,350,664

Table 28. Estimated inputs of specified sectors in the East North Central subregion obtained from manufacturing, service and primary input sectors, 1955.

Sector number Item	$\substack{\text{Meat}\\ \text{animals}\\1}$	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$\frac{\text{Feed}}{6}$	$ ext{Cotton}_{7}$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 20 Mining of nonmetallic miner	cals 296	38	145		1,370	12,394	1	15	237
21 Food and kindred products 22 Textile mill products 23 Finished textile products 24 Wood products	68,646	202,216	43,417	51	$\begin{smallmatrix}&393\\&34\end{smallmatrix}$	$5,250 \\ 6,848$	1	$\begin{array}{c} 44\\151\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 625\\ 27 \end{smallmatrix}$
24 Paper products 25 Printing and publishing 26 Printing and publishing 27 Chemical products I 28 Chemical products II 29 Petroleum products II 29 Rubber products 30 Rubber products 31 Stone, clay and glass products 32 Fabricated metal products 33 Machinery and parts 34 Total manufacturing 34 Telephone 37 Finance 38 Farm nonresidential rents 39 Miscellaneous business expect 40 Repair services 41 Nonprofit membership organ 42 Construction 41 Total services	$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,079\\ 124\\ 2,306\\ 1,737\\ 2,751\\ 524\\ 620\\ 1,730\\ 214,128\\ 1,690\\ 53,688\\ 3,688\\ 2,288\\ 7,040\\ 523\\ 2,288\\ 7,040\\ 523\\ 2,653\\ 1,53\\ 4,430\\ 73,318\\ 287,446\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 365\\ 513\\ 3,182\\ 12,080\\ 7,104\\ 1,967\\ 2,897\\ 8,250\\ 2,503\\ 82,423\\ 7,553\\ 33,806\\ 3,076\\ 3,806\\ 3,076\\ 1,553\\ 10,534\\ 4,259\\ 6,157\\ 14,300\\ 115,230\\ 197,653\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24\\ 15\\ 6\\ 291\\ 266\\ 207\\ 22\\ 168\\ 645\\ 108\\ 1,957\\ 65\\ 149\\ 254\\ 1,957\\ 504\\ 3,903\\ 4,735\end{array}$	$131 \\ 18,558 \\ 48 \\ 7,335 \\ 1,052 \\ 399 \\ 32,719 \\ 1,569 \\ 17,797 \\ 894 \\ 7,013 \\ 63,484 \\ 489 \\ 7,500 \\ 192 \\ 4,559 \\ 103,597 \\ 136,316 \\ 3,16 \\ 192 \\ 103,597 \\ 136,316 \\ 3,16 \\ 192 \\ 103,597 \\ 136,316 \\ 3,16 \\ 102 \\ 10$	$\begin{array}{r} 867\\ 181,987\\ 636\\ 74,785\\ 8,867\\ 425\\ 1,717\\ 38,247\\ 332,023\\ 3,963\\ 195,537\\ 6,037\\ 28,363\\ 273,583\\ 273,583\\ 273,583\\ 3,878\\ 79,103\\ 1,335\\ 32,948\\ 624,747\\ 956,770\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 24 \\ 224 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 62 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 87 \\ 87 \\ 11 \\ 11 \end{array} $	$17\\735\\466\\50\\29\\100\\1,594\\872\\877\\3581\\3,324\\25\\200\\13\\64\\5,499\\7.093$	$\begin{array}{c} 117\\ 3,762\\ 79\\ 1,654\\ 1,559\\ 1,07\\ 345\\ 6,984\\ 27,516\\ 1,654\\ 22,753\\ 86\\ 45,833\\ 5,354\\ 45,833\\ 5,567\\ 14,509\\ 1,835\\ 4,935\\ 96,652\\ 124,168\end{array}$

TABLE 28. (continued)

Sector	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	${f Miscellane-}\ {f ous\ crops}\ {f 15}$	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
19 20	Bituminous coal Mining of nonmetallic minerals	262	57	5	32	18		$\substack{1,179\\1}$		1,217 14,836
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Food and kindred products Textile mill products Finished taxtile products	202 3 316	26	41	28	6	36	$\substack{125\\174}$	2,989	$321,107 \\ 10,223 \\ 3395$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wood products Paper products Paper and publishing	6,339	1,500 131	24	7		25	$480 \\ 167$	2,273	7,839 5,197 2,898
	Chemical products II Chemical products II	12,673		483	892	297 1	18	397 14	1,155	$238,162 \\ 44,553 \\ 100,000$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Petroleum products Rubber products Stone, clay and glass products	3,093 426 19	$\begin{array}{c} 1,221\\ 160\\ 4 \end{array}$	118	$334 \\ 45 \\ 2$	48 7 1	390 51	1,696 190 1,258		$130,678 \\ 19,112 \\ 5,011$
32 33	Fabricated metal products Machinery and parts Total manufacturing	$\substack{94\\1,432\\27,975}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&33\\567\\7.702\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&8\\150\\1.303\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&8\\156\\1.507\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&5\\&22\\405\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27\\92\\643\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 47\\367\\6,095\end{array}$	$1,385 \\ 3,247 \\ 19,136$	$13,454 \\ 63,180 \\ 880,862$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Utilities Margin industries Telephone	$806 \\ 11,109 \\ 509 \\ 1447$	$381 \\ 3,570 \\ 212 \\ 651$	$277 \\ 949 \\ 145 \\ 562 $	$\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 788 \\ 33 \\ 150 \end{array}$	249575	$105 \\ 746 \\ 106 \\ 172$	$316 \\ 9,096 \\ 743 \\ 810$	$1,598 \\ 13,803 \\ 319 \\ 1,716$	$28,466 \\ 452,978 \\ 17,992 \\ 70,672$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Finance Farm nonresidential rents Miscellaneous business expenses Repair services	1,447 12,666 212 2,937	1,566 220 1,165	$1,388 \\ 69 \\ 282$	2,326 20 319	$\substack{\textbf{1,199}\\3\\46}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 172 \\ 958 \\ 61 \\ 243 \end{array} $	1,703 3,856 720	6,510 6,882	$ \begin{array}{r} 10,073\\ 486,193\\ 35,182\\ 127,580 \end{array} $
41 42	Nonprofit membership organizations Construction Total services Total manufacturing and services	$105 \\ 2,000 \\ 31,791 \\ 59,766$	$120 \\ 557 \\ 8,442 \\ 16,144$	$32 \\ 676 \\ 4,381 \\ 5,684$	$ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 246 \\ 3,954 \\ 5,461 \end{array} $	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&1\\&&26\\1,612\\2,017\end{smallmatrix}$	$28 \\ 385 \\ 2,804 \\ 3,447$	$195 \\ 2,270 \\ 19,718 \\ 25,813$	$123 \\ 30,951 \\ 50,087$	9,552 92,129 1,320,745 2,201,607

246

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	$\substack{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ 2 \end{array} } \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	${}^{\rm Feed}_{\rm crops}_{\rm 6}$	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43	Foreign trade									
44	Federal government	2,767	427	1,841	316	5,496	7,245	2	248	1,227
45	State and local government	48,920	6,919	29,999	1,082	9,583	71,229	5	453	9,804
46	Households	454,513	86,180	351,658	55,956	127,666	1,352,377	155	12,371	217,009
46.1	Wages and salaries	63,677	4,886	68,936	5,206	5,938	109,953	42	1,785	9,478
46.2	Proprietors' income	331,819	65,165	202,395	47,679	86,614	828,546	93	9,423	133,692
46.3	All other	59,017	16,129	80,327	3,071	35,114	413,878	20	1,163	73,839
	Total primary inputs	506,200	93,526	383,498	57,354	142,745	1,430,851	162	13,072	228,040

Table 29. Estimated primary inputs of specified agricultural sectors in the East North Central subregion, 1955.

TABLE 29. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellan- eous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agriculture
		(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)
43	Foreign trade							266		266
44	Federal government	1,098	347	23	42	85	280	568	1,687	23,699
45	State and local government	4,128	2,127	1,753	388	474	510	2,167	1,386	190,927
46	Households	185,624	55,919	3,476	7,727	7,377	69,686	98,599	94,732	3,181,025
46.1	Wages and salaries	19,664	10,371	709	2,005	912	5,309	1,763	25,999	336,633
46.2	Proprietors' income	152,775	39,415	1,084	3,304	5,460	40,457	93,756	42,533	2,084,210
46.3	All other	13,185	6,133	1,683	2,418	1,005	23,920	3,080	26,200	760,182
	Total primary inputs	190,850	58,393	5,252	8,157	7,936	70,476	101,600	97,805	3,395,917

Table 30. Agricultural sector transactions, direct purchases per million dollars of output, North Central Region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \ \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	$\frac{\text{Cotton}}{7}$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products 	204,089 10,298	826		2,901					
5 =	Food crops Feed crops Cotton Tobacco	$\substack{1,162\\404,771}$	$\underset{221,747}{\overset{24,023}{}}$	591,686	97,513	$71,377 \\ 3,099$	19,233	$5,353 \\ 4,867$	4,153 433	2,971
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits	$\substack{348\\1,057}$								70,743
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products 	1,390	49	1,393	36	1,894	6,512	1,915	2,293	2,140
18	Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	623,115 59,724 93,091 224,065	70,762 317,407 400,184 136,136 146,274	$\begin{array}{r} 10,962\\ 604,041\\ 75,717\\ 97,040\\ 223,202 \end{array}$	$100,450 \\ 14,549 \\ 58,112 \\ 826,885$	$\begin{array}{r} 52,955\\ 129,325\\ 87,509\\ 315,416\\ 467,749\end{array}$	$18,562 \\ 44,307 \\ 117,504 \\ 247,580 \\ 590,609$	$\begin{array}{r} 119,002\\ 131,137\\ 63,788\\ 283,980\\ 521,095 \end{array}$	$35,688 \\ 42,567 \\ 74,708 \\ 260,544 \\ 622,183$	50,234 126,088 70,893 243,901 559,120

TABLE 30. (continued)

Sector number	ltem	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agi	ricultural ervices 18
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)		(\$)
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{array} $	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Prod groups									111,221
6 7 8	Food crops Food crops Cotton Tobacco Oil-bearing crops	1,457	655	4,746	3,865	972	1,042	1,510		
10	Vegetables	25,342								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous Forest products	1,554	12	210,505	$\substack{2,156\\10,464}$	13,036	25	37		
17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$\begin{array}{c} 18,638\\ 19,171\\ 66,162\\ 99,035\\ 117,706\\ 717,099 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 17,171\\ 14,429\\ 32,267\\ 99,813\\ 109,510\\ 758,410 \end{array}$	100,217 315,468 79,732 230,990 373,809	50,163 66,648 94,042 268,194 571,117	8,097 22,105 37,166 159,029 781,701	10,485 19,748 31,300 8,625 35,583 924,495	102,461 4,598 108,606 43,266 136,995 711,134		111,221 124,859 195,257 568,661

Table 31. Agricultural sector transactions, direct purchases per million dollars of output, Northern Plains region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Meat}\\ {\rm animals}\\ 1\end{array}$	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	$_{5}^{\rm Food}$	$_{\rm crops}^{\rm Feed}$	${f Tobacco} {8 \over 8}$	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\\\4\end{array}$	- Meat animals - Poultry and eggs - Farm dairy products - Other livestock and products	207,8 61 5,187	1,359		1,809				
5 6 8	– Food crops – Feed crops – Tobacco	$1,071 \\ 391,251$	$\begin{array}{r} 36,943\\ 305,200\end{array}$	832,684	220,807	$76,129 \\ 3,300$	25,580	17,544	4,474
$\begin{array}{c}9\\10\\11\end{array}$	- Oil-bearing crops - Vegetables - Fruits	$\begin{smallmatrix}&104\\1,201\end{smallmatrix}$							98,076
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous Forest products Greenbouse and nursery products	1,394	51	1,391		1,894	9,964		2,137
18	Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$608,069 \\ 56,858 \\ 92,241 \\ 242,832$	$\begin{array}{r} 65,874 \\ 409,427 \\ 331,219 \\ 123,608 \\ 135,745 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 10,280\\ 844,355\\ 60,030\\ 104,611\\ -8,998\end{array}$	$222,616 \\ 20,876 \\ 57,133 \\ 699,374$	$\begin{array}{r} 52,476\\ 133,799\\ 82,319\\ 310,198\\ 473,683\end{array}$	$18,272 \\ 53,816 \\ 109,570 \\ 256,168 \\ 580,443$	$35,088 \\ 52,632 \\ 17,543 \\ 192,981 \\ 736,841$	$\begin{array}{r} 49,380\\ 154,067\\ 93,846\\ 262,585\\ 489,499\end{array}$

TABLE 31. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
1 2 3 4 5 6	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops	1,596	939	5,039	3,908	1,616	1,050	1,674	57,250
8 9 10	Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits	53,678							
13 =	- Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products	1,542		142,989	$2,171 \\ 11,145$	16,155			
17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$\begin{array}{c} 11,873\\ 18,760\\ 87,450\\ 85,745\\ 117,222\\ 709,583\end{array}$	$16,901 \\ 13,146 \\ 30,986 \\ 88,263 \\ 115,493 \\ 767,136$	99,177247,20588,977228,894434,927	$\begin{array}{r} 42,698\\59,922\\87,566\\264,149\\588,364\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8.078\\ 25,849\\ 17,772\\ 163,168\\ 793,215 \end{array}$	$30,960 \\ 19.241 \\ 51,251 \\ 12,769 \\ 39,533 \\ 896,449$	102,5534,500108,72751,695138,550701,026	57,250 144,644 198,842 599,266

249

Table 32. Agricultural sector transactions, direct purchases per million dollars of output, Western Corn Belt region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	$_{6}^{\rm Feed}$	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products	215,627 6,019	645		3,397	61.000				
b	Food crops Feed crops Cotton Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits	428,207 365 609	12,821 240,082	651,341	93,744	61,330 3,991	19,256	5,362 4,888	6,000 500	3,415 78,074
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 France Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products 	1,389	48	1,395	34	1,896	5,340	1,909	2,000	2,141
18	Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	652,811 60,633 92,500 194,054	63,870 317,466 425,991 139,693 116,849	$\begin{array}{r} 10,285\\ 663,021\\ 104,536\\ 106,205\\ 126,238\end{array}$	97,175 16,407 58,624 827,796	$52,481 \\119,698 \\80,447 \\320,448 \\479,404$	$\begin{array}{r}18,272\\42,868\\101,705\\239,604\\615,821\end{array}$	$119,015 \\131,174 \\63,733 \\283,986 \\521,108$	$34,500 \\ 43,000 \\ 66,500 \\ 256,000 \\ 634,500$	$\begin{array}{r} 49,382\\ 133,012\\ 64,823\\ 238,037\\ 564,128\end{array}$

TABLE 32. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\$	- Meat animals - Poultry and eggs - Farm dairy products - Other livestock and products								1 34,065
8 8 9	- Food crops - Food crops - Cotton - Tobacco - Oil-bearing crops	1,349	695	4,954	3,395	1,285	1,035	1,515	
10	_ Vegetables	24,911							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products 	1,550		193,314	$2,057 \\ 9,876$	14,130	25	56	
17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$\begin{array}{c} 20,604\\ 18,752\\ 67,166\\ 91,071\\ 114,311\\ 727,454 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 17,149\\ 14,368\\ 32,212\\ 99,420\\ 106,141\\ 762,225 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 99,182\\ 297,450\\ 79,414\\ 223,570\\ 399,567\end{array}$	$69,849 \\ 85,177 \\ 88,365 \\ 267,051 \\ 559,407$	$7,707 \\ 23,122 \\ 27,618 \\ 161,207 \\ 788,054$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,692\\ 19,344\\ 22,096\\ 8,436\\ 33,006\\ 936,462\end{array}$	$102,539 \\ 4,433 \\ 108,543 \\ 43,512 \\ 132,753 \\ 715,192$	134,065 126,954 207,941 531,042

Table 33. Agricultural sector transactions, direct purchases per million dollars of output, East North Central Region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
-		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs	187,424	807							
3	Farm dairy products Other livestock and products	19,219			2,918					
$ \begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{array} $	Food crops Feed crops	$\substack{1,912\\386,554}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&29,395\\178,530\end{smallmatrix}$	524,731	72,792	$\substack{64,435\\2,241}$	16,791	3,215	3,941	2,247
8	Tobacco Oil-bearing crops	509							427	58.142
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}$	Vegetables Fruits	1,490								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products	1,390	49	1,393	45	1,895	6,138	3,215	2,327	2,139
17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$598,498 \\ 60,746 \\ 94,431 \\ 246,322$	$78,291 \\ 287,072 \\ 400,707 \\ 137,204 \\ 175,019$	11,377 537,501 65,595 91,703 305,201	75,755 12,383 58,100 853,761	54,295 122,866 102,839 325,622 448,671	$18,905 \\ 41,834 \\ 133,243 \\ 250,713 \\ 574,209$	$115,756 \\ 122,186 \\ 77,168 \\ 279,742 \\ 520,900$	$35,802 \\ 42,497 \\ 75,686 \\ 261,112 \\ 620,703$	51,083 113,611 69,247 243,241 573,899

TABLE 33. (continued)

Sector number	Item	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Vegetables} \\ 10 \end{array}$	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup products 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultura: services 18
M		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(3)
$\begin{smallmatrix}1&&&\\2&&\\3&&\\4&&\\&&\\4&&\\&&\\\end{smallmatrix}$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products								120,078
5 6 7 9	Food crops Feed crops Cotton Tobacco Oil-bearing crops	1,468	649	4,243	4,139	885	1,045	1,497	
10	Vegetables	19,585							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops	1,558	13	290,753	$\substack{2,207\\10,209}$	12,679	26	35	
10 17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Total agriculture Total manufacturing Total services Total primary inputs	$19,319\\19,412\\61,342\\104,778\\119,070\\714,810$	$\begin{array}{r} 17,176\\ 14,450\\ 32,288\\ 99,994\\ 109,603\\ 758,115\end{array}$	102,337 397,333 71,806 241,430 289,430	$\begin{array}{r} 44,078\\ 60,633\\ 103,952\\ 272,747\\ 562,667\end{array}$	8,158 21,722 39,806 158,442 780,027	$\begin{array}{r} 13,504\\ 19,995\\ 34,570\\ 8,397\\ 36,620\\ 920,413 \end{array}$	$102,436 \\ 4,645 \\ 108,613 \\ 42,639 \\ 137,948 \\ 710,798$	120,078 113,855 184,152 581,916

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \ \text{eggs} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	Food crops 5	Feed crops 6	Cotton 7	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{smallmatrix}1&&&\\2&&&\\3&&&\\3&&&\\\end{array}$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products	$\substack{\textbf{1,256,422}\\\textbf{1,202}\\\textbf{12,939}}$	1,009,426	$\begin{smallmatrix}&2,564\\1,000,000\end{smallmatrix}$	218	6,439	2,220	13,465	4,050	6,109
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{array} $	Food crops Feed crops Cotton	$\substack{\textbf{1,603}\\526,654}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&26,113\\&228,319\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66\\ 603,902 \end{array}$	1,002,909 6 99,768	$1,077,030 \\ 4,872$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&57\\1,020,153\end{smallmatrix}$	$348 \\ 8,542 \\ 1,004 891$	$\substack{\textbf{105}\\\textbf{5,167}}$	$\substack{158\\4,656}$
8 9 10	Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Emuits	$\begin{array}{c} 471 \\ 1,363 \end{array}$						1,001,001	1,000,433	1,076,129
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops	6,587	2,009	6,746	869	2,624	8,415	2,510	2,949	2,956
$ \begin{array}{c} 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ \end{array} $	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&28\\10,798\end{smallmatrix}$	77,251	23,033	1,955	57,843	19,939	120,965	36,387	54,882

Table 34. Agricultural interdependence: direct and indirect requirements per million dollars of delivery to processing and final demand, North Central Region, 1955.

TABLE 34. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables	Fruits	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup crops 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	• (\$)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Cotton	2,245 2,075	1,632 1,066	14,265 369 9,356	5,731 148 5,292	923 24 $1,213$	2,226 1,584	579 15 1,847	112,269 2,904 25,394
$\begin{smallmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ 10 & & & & & \\ 10 & & & & & \\ 11 & & & & & \\ 13 & & & & & \\ 14 & & & & & \\ 15 & & & & & \\ 15 & & & & & \\ 15 & & & & & \\ 15 & & & & & \\ 16 & & & & & \\ 17 & & & & & \\ 17 & & & & & \\ 18 & & & & \\ 18 & & & & & \\ 18 & & & & & \\ 18 & & & & & \\ 18 & & & & & \\ 18 & & & & & \\ 18 & & $	Oil-bearing crops Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Wiscellaneous crops	1,026,001 2,038	1,000,000 25	1,266,711	2,804 1,010,575	10	46	68	223
16 17 18	Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services	$\substack{21,306\\20,172}$	$19,131 \\ 14,657$	128,149	51,486	8,294	1,000,000 11,682 19,996	$1,114,158 \\ 5,206$	1,008,592

Table 36.	Agricultural interdependence:	direct and indirect	requirements pe	er million dollars	of delivery to final demand	, Western Corn Belt Region, 1955.

Sector number	Item	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Food} \\ \mathbf{crops} \\ 5 \end{array}$	Feed crops 6	$\frac{\text{Cotton}}{7}$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{smallmatrix}1&&&\\2&&\\3&&\\4&&\\\end{array}$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livertook, and products	$\substack{1,274,904\\1,491\\7,674}$	1,010,026	$\substack{3,118\\1,000,000}$	246	7,615	2,614	16,241	4,723	7,301
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{array} $	Food crops Cotton Tobacco	$\begin{array}{r} 829\\562,139\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&13,796\\&247,215\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&43\\664,924\end{smallmatrix}$	1,003,409 3 95,974	$\substack{1,065,441\\6,213}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&36\\1,020,308\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&222\\9,483\\1,004,912\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{r} 65\\7,290\\1,000,500\end{array}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&100\\5,579\end{smallmatrix}$
9 = 10 = 11 = 11	Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits	$\begin{smallmatrix}505\\796\end{smallmatrix}$							1,000,500	1,084,686
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products	5,935	1,730	6,131	678	2,546	6,754	2,442	2,529	2,916
17 18	Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services	$\begin{smallmatrix}&18\\11,118\end{smallmatrix}$	69,925	23,244	1,837	56,768	19,482	121,064	35,206	54,427

TABLE 36. (continued)

Sector number	Item	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup crops 14	Miscellane- ous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Cotton	2,648 36 2,105	1,959 1,218 27 1,218	16,665 228 10,342	9,596 131 5,859	1,062 15 1,589	2,624 36 $1,701$	674 $1,887$	135,409 1,850 33,156
$\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \end{array}$	Tobacco Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops	1,025,547 1,986	$\substack{1,000,000\\10}$	1,239,710	$2,615 \\ 1,009,974$	11	43	90	232
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Miscentaneous crops Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services	$\begin{array}{c} 23,545\\ 19,742 \end{array}$	$\substack{19,108\\14,602}$	124,222	71,532	1,014,332	$1,000,000 \\ 1,885 \\ 19,557$	$\substack{1,114,254\\5,026}$	1,009,374

Sector number Item	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Meat}\\ {\rm animals}\\ 1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Poultry} \\ \text{and} \\ 2 \end{array}$	Farm dairy products 3	Other live- stock and products 4	$\begin{array}{c} Food \\ crops \\ 5 \end{array}$	Feed crops 6	${ m Cotton}_7$	Tobacco 8	Oil-bearing crops 9
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
1 Meat animals 2 Poultry and eggs 3 Farm dairy products 4 Other livestick and products	$\substack{1,230,654\\1,281\\23,652}$	1,010,965	$\begin{smallmatrix}&2,688\\1,000,000\end{smallmatrix}$	179	7,086	2,444	14,116	4,398	6,630
5 Food crops 6 Feed crops 7 Cotton	2,555 496,733	$\substack{31,764\\183,650}$	$\substack{84\\534,209}$	1,002,926 6 74,287	$1,069,096 \\ 3,736$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&77\\1,017,560\end{smallmatrix}$	$\substack{\substack{444\\5,854\\1,000,000}}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&138\\4,823\end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix}&208\\3,645\end{smallmatrix}$
o Oil-bearing crops 0 Vegetables 11 Fruits	$\begin{smallmatrix}&665\\1,870\end{smallmatrix}$							1,000,427	1,061,731
13 Legume and grass seeds 14 Sugar and sirup crops 15 Miscellaneous crops 16 Forest products	6,770	1,744	6,588	707	2,889	8,807	4,586	3,325	3,235
17 Greenhouse and nursery products 18 Agricultural services	$\begin{smallmatrix}&40\\10,662\end{smallmatrix}$	84,525	22,365	1,491	58,968	20,334	117,465	36,601	55,167

Table 37. Agricultural interdependence: direct and indirect requirements per million dollars of delivery to final demand, East North Central Region, 1955.

TABLE 37 (continued)

Sector number Item	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup crops 14	Miscellane- ous 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
1 Meat animals 2 Poultry and eggs 3 Farm dairy products 4 Other livestock and products 5 Food crops 6 Feed crops 7 Cotton 8 Cotton	2,448 2,011	1,767 56 $1,010$	17,531 551 9,269	5,455 171 5,258	1,005 32 $1,094$	2,439 77 1,529	633 20 $1,812$	121,395 3,814 22,052
8	1,019,976 2,259 21,954 20,367	1,000,600 28 19,136 14,702	1,410,029 145,876	3,190 1,010,314 45,395	10 1,012,842 8,365	$51\\1,000,000\\15,045\\20,294$	$71 \\ 1,114,127 \\ 5,267$	209 1,010,150

	Unit	ed States	Nor	th Central	All o	ther regions
Sector	Total	Percent change 1955-1975	Total	Percent change 1955-1975	Total	Percent change 1955-1975
	(\$1,000)		(\$1,000)		(\$1,000)	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,935,586\\ 5,547,182\\ 6,869,206\\ 352,324 \end{array}$	$54.5 \\ 59.5 \\ 43.4 \\ 15.5$	$9,111,507\\1,490,706\\2,738,403\\130,053$	$50.8 \\ 27.5 \\ 35.3 \\ 17.2$	$5,820,820\\4,062,920\\4,132,542\\222,269$	$ \begin{array}{r} 60.8 \\ 76.0 \\ 49.4 \\ 14.5 \end{array} $
Total livestock	27,704,298	51.9	13,470,669	44.1	14,238,551	60.2
$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,558,668\\ 12,700,312\\ 3,254,662\\ 1,411,400\\ 1,592,383\\ 3,100,127\\ 1,740,838\\ 183,112\\ 235,129\\ 295,747\\ 57,471\\ 57,471\\ 585,759\\ 797,740\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.0\\ 50.0\\ 23.7\\ 22.6\\ 41.9\\ 37.2\\ 40.5\\ 42.9\\ 37.8\\ 43.1\\ 13.6\\ 25.0\\ 26.6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,406,874\\ 7,905,981\\ 97,539\\ 27,003\\ 1,085,569\\ 601,735\\ 111,394\\ \overline{83},894\\ 52,586\\ 13,121\\ 141,209\\ 215,444 \end{array}$	$16.4 \\ 45.1 \\ 31.5 \\ 16.8 \\ 36.9 \\ 42.6 \\ 35.2 \\ 52.0 \\ 38.3 \\ 6.2 \\ 15.9 \\ 14.5 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,} \textbf{148,} \textbf{385} \\ \textbf{4,} \textbf{694,} \textbf{114} \\ \textbf{3,} \textbf{157,} \textbf{126} \\ \textbf{1,} \textbf{384,} \textbf{937} \\ \textbf{507,} \textbf{044} \\ \textbf{2,} \textbf{500,} \textbf{357} \\ \textbf{1,} \textbf{629,} \textbf{444} \\ \textbf{183,} \textbf{112} \\ \textbf{152,} \textbf{482} \\ \textbf{243,} \textbf{185} \\ \textbf{44,} \textbf{611} \\ \textbf{444,} \textbf{550} \\ \textbf{582,} \textbf{310} \end{array}$	$15.3 \\ 55.6 \\ 23.5 \\ 22.8 \\ 54.1 \\ 36.1 \\ 40.8 \\ 42.9 \\ 32.2 \\ 44.3 \\ 16.7 \\ 28.2 \\ 31.7 \\$
Total crops	28,513,348	37.6	11,742,349	38.7	16,671,657	36.0
18	1,594,278	41.2	485,255	30.1	1,129,228	49.4
Total agriculture	57,811,924	44.2	25,698,273	41.3	32,039,436	46.3

Table 38. Direct and indirect 1975 requirements from agriculture, in constant 1955 dollars, and percent change from 1955 to 1975, by major region.

Table 39. Direct and indirect 1975 requirements from agriculture, in \$1,000, and percent change from 1955 to 1975, by subregion, North Central States.

	Norther	n Plains	Weste: Corn B	rn elt	East 1 Cent	North ral
Sector	1975 requirement	Percent change	1975 requirement	Percent change	1975 requirement	Percent change
	(\$1,000)		(\$1,000)		(\$1,000)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,456,901 212,596 206,194 22,613	62.0 20.9 -2.5 57.4	$3,744,676 \\ 628,598 \\ 817,550 \\ 35,107$	$51.5 \\ 36.9 \\ 46.9 \\ 19.2$	2,914,827 649,378 1,712,130 72,327	$41.8 \\ 21.5 \\ 36.3 \\ 7.7$
Total livestock	2,898,304	51.1	5,225,931	48.6	5,348,662	36.7
$\begin{array}{c} 5 \\$	$\begin{array}{r}927,803\\1,557,504\\\hline\\-\\41\\137,842\\70,378\\2,014\\\hline\\2\overline{5},347\\19,104\\707\\7,935\\12,460\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.9\\ 63.7\\ -2\overline{8.1}\\ 30.9\\ 26.2\\ 89.1\\ 5\overline{5.8}\\ 38.3\\ 14.2\\ 38.8\\ 30.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 127,654\\ 3.042,207\\ 97,228\\ 2.473\\ 418,854\\ 129,035\\ 7.523\\ \hline 30,757\\ 13,440\\ 1,670\\ 42,416\\ 39,717\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -6.2 \\ 51.8 \\ 31.6 \\ 23.6 \\ 44.2 \\ 29.9 \\ 74.3 \\ \hline \\ -7.9 \\ 38.3 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.1 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 351,125\\ 3,295,784\\ 311\\ 24,488\\ 528,986\\ 401,874\\ 101,857\\ \hline 2\overline{8},507\\ 20,043\\ 10,744\\ 90,859\\ 163,388 \end{array}$	$10.4 \\ 32.3 \\ 16.3 \\ 33.1 \\ 50.5 \\ 32.2 \\ \overline{57.1} \\ 38.3 \\ 5.6 \\ 18.7 \\ 14.3 \\ \end{array}$
Total crops	2,761,135	44.2	3,952,974	45.4	5,017,966	30.8
18	105,918	31.9	167,682	34.6	210,762	25.4
Total agriculture	5,765,357	47.3	9,346,587	47.0	10,577,390	33.6

demand of 1975 is \$3,408,794,000 from the continental United States, of which \$1,027,118,000 originates in the North Central Region, and \$2,408,838,000 originates in the remaining states. The north central demand for food and kindred products originates in the subregions as follows: \$147,963,000 in the Northern Plains, \$473,120,000 in the Western Corn Belt, \$411,211,000 in the East North Central States.

Table 41 contains the market disbursements of agricultural commodities to intermediate processing industries in 1975 by regions. The estimates show the potential volume of processing that could be carried on within the specified regions where the actual production occurs. Assuming a minimum of institutional restraints and sufficient quantities of primary resources, processing of the raw materials probably would shift to the regions of production. This is true especially for perishable or bulky commodities such as meat and dairy products. However, labor-intensive processing industries, such as textile-mill-products industries, will continue to center around areas of abundant and cheap labor. Direct purchases by service sectors from the agricultural sectors will naturally occur at the place of the service.

Illustrating the quantities given in table 41, it can be seen that, with constant coefficients of market disbursements, meat packing will be a market outlet for \$11,086,777,000 worth of agricultural products in 1975 (valued at 1955 prices). It also indicates that, potentially, \$6,751,529,000 of the total production would occur in the North Central Region and that \$4,332,844,000 would occur in the remaining states.

	Table	40.	Direct requirements	from the	industrial	sectors to	meet the	predicted	agricultural	output	, in	\$1,00	0, b	y regions,	197	75.
--	-------	-----	----------------------------	----------	------------	------------	----------	-----------	--------------	--------	------	--------	------	------------	-----	-----

Sector number	Item	Continental United States	North Central Region	Northern Plains Region	Western Corn Belt Region	East North Central
				4		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bituminous coal Mining of non-metallic minerals	3,725	1,883	116	374	1,394
	(except fuels)	92,989	33,686	3.385	9.828	19,401
21	Food and kindred products	3,408,794	1.027.118	147,963	473.120	411.211
22	Textile mill products	94.042	37,638	11,198	13,737	13,252
23	Finished textile products	63,161	7.734	993	1.686	5.076
24	Wood products	104.017	16,438	1.705	3,191	11.525
25	Paper products	44,570	14,021	1,911	5,673	6.422
26	Printing and publishing	14,470	8,683	1.827	2,980	3,856
27	Chemical products I	1,632,349	564,939	66.461	170.211	313,708
28	Chemical products II	373,428	145,490	37.088	46,261	62,077
29	Petroleum products	949.152	459,982	145.743	145,507	172,008
30	Rubber products	141,495	60.516	16.491	18,690	25,331
31	Stone, clay and glass products	26,012	10.627	926	3.179	6.461
32	Fabricated metal products	120,408	40.899	9,550	13,527	17,998
33	Machinery and parts	425.123	211.575	63,791	65,642	83,091
	Total manufacturing	7,493,735	2,641,229	509,148	973,606	1.152.811
34	Utilities	293,844	82,194	16.210	27.556	38,214
35	Margin industries	3,582,528	1,528,057	379,604	551,995	598,646
36	Telephone	124,694	55.246	11,451	19,719	23.954
37	Finance	462,972	202,615	43,691	64,260	93,237
38	Farm nonresidential rents	2,726,567	1,607,604	396,480	572.897	634.899
39	Miscellaneous business expenses	284,281	109,835	21,244	42,017	46,461
40	Repair services	865.770	428,486	128.341	134,500	167,647
41	Nonprofit membership organization	54,818	23,731	3,309	7.470	12,899
42	Construction	601.134	235.164	40.974	68,268	123.127
	Total services	8,996,608	4,272,932	1,041,304	1,488,682	1,739,084
	Total manufacturing and services	16,490,343	6,914,161	1,550,452	2,462,288	2,891,895

Table 41. Market disbursements of agricultural commodities to intermediate processing industries, in \$1,000, by regions, 1975.

Sector number	Item	Continental United States	North Central Region	Northern Plains Region	Western Corn Belt Region	East North Central
19	Meat packing Poultry wholesale Processed dairy products All other food and kindred products Tobacco manufacturing Textile mill products Wood products Chemical and allied products Leather tanning and other miscellaneous	$\begin{array}{c} 11,086,777\\ 1,390,129\\ 6,018,677\\ 5,072,521\\ 1,056,890\\ 2,360,787\\ 321,406\\ 1,416,839 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,751,529\\ 373,572\\ 2,368,469\\ 2,144,380\\ 20,781\\ 251,378\\ 77,184\\ 758,699 \end{array}$	$\substack{1,818,866\\53,277\\180,353\\793,384\\259\\33,778\\4,335\\94,007}$	$2,772,601 \\ 157,527 \\ 710,519 \\ 527,087 \\ 2,007 \\ 107,208 \\ 23,214 \\ 292,718 \\$	2,163,680 162,735 1,475,437 805,904 18,515 108,598 49,636 364,931
28 29 30 31	Total manufacturing and other informations industries Total manufacturing Eating and drinking places Hospitals and education Construction All other service Total services Total manufacturing and services	$121,792 \\ 28,845,818 \\ 759,187 \\ 87,777 \\ 260,469 \\ 14,071 \\ 1,121,504 \\ 29,967,322 \\$	$58,138 \\ 12,804,130 \\ 174,723 \\ 19,409 \\ 70,372 \\ 6,658 \\ 271,162 \\ 13,075,292 \\$	$\begin{array}{r}10,348\\2,988,607\\2,963\\2,531\\4,097\\1,230\\30,821\\3,019,428\end{array}$	$\substack{16,050\\4,608,931\\61,246\\6,969\\12,994\\2,479\\83,688\\4,692,619}$	$\begin{array}{r} 24,318\\ 5,173,754\\ 90,467\\ 9,904\\ 53,321\\ 2,940\\ 156,632\\ 5,330,386\end{array}$

Table 42. Direct requirements from the primary resource sectors to meet the predicted agricultural output, 1975, in \$1,000, by regions.

Sector number Item	Continental United States	North Central Region	Northern Plains Region	Western Corn Belt Region	East North Central
43 Foreign trade	1,489	402	23	75	304
44 Federal government	195,121	66,829	13,401	22,274	30,253
45 State and local government	1,239,533	612,075	169,111	187,136	255,843
46 Households	23,953,931	9,448,408	2,021,558	3,223,976	4,213,288
46.1 Wages and salaries	3,974,598	928,926	194,100	279,749	451,586
46.2 Proprietors' income	14, 443, 769	6,005,412	1,125,406	2,121,916	2,760,044
46.3 All other	5,535,564	2,514,070	702,052	822,311	1,001,658

Primary resources are necessary directly for the production of the agricultural commodities. These include wages and salaries, proprietors' income and depreciation expense used in the production function of each agricultural sector. However, agricultural output, in turn, generates a demand for primary resources through the production of factor inputs and through processing of its products. Total employment generated by the agricultural segment of the economy for any region, therefore, must include all three phases.

Substitution between primary resources is another factor in considering total resource requirements for any region. By fitting the production function given by equation 1.1, marginal rates of substitution between resources and productivity increases may be estimated.

For purposes of this report, total resource require-

ments are estimated for 1975 by assuming constant coefficients for the agricultural sectors. This should be taken only as a first approximation of resource use. Table 42 contains the direct requirements from the primary resource sectors to meet the predicted agricultural output in 1975 by regions. It is seen that the households sector, which includes both labor and depreciation expense, will be required to furnish \$23,953,931,000 dollars worth of resources with \$9,448,408,000 originating in the North Central Region and \$14,502,100,000 in the remaining states.

EVALUATING INVESTMENT PROSPECTS IN AGRICULTURE AND RELATED SECTORS

The pragmatic interest in this study—the generation of basic data for investment planning in the feedlivestock complex and other agricultural sectors—has been discussed generally in preceding sections of the report. However, the specific use of the findings may not be entirely clear from the introductory discussion on data needs for decision-making or from later discussions of the input-output matrices and related projections. To outline some uses of the data in investment planning, with particular reference to the feed-livestock complex in the North Central States. the economic implications of the results are reviewed briefly: first, in the context of total agricultural production; second, with reference to related studies of prospective shifts in the location of livestock slaughter; and, third, in terms of area economies.

Agricultural Production in the North Central States

With reference to the national agricultural economy, the projected 44-percent increase in total agricultural production cited earlier implies certain economic adjustments in the basic needs and desires of society. For example, differential preferences for food and fiber are implied, as suggested by the percentage change in the direct and indirect requirements from the 18 agricultural sectors, as shown in tables 38 and 39. According to these data, the meat-animals sector in the United States is expected to increase total activity by 54.5 percent by 1975. Likewise, the poultry-and-eggs sector and the farm-dairy-products sector are expected to increase total activity by 59.5 and 43.4 percent, respectively. On the other hand, the food-crops sector would increase total activity by only 16 percent, indicating a lower social preference for cereal foodstuffs relative to meat, eggs and milk.

The average annual rate of growth of slightly more than 2 percent in the total output of the agricultural segment of the economy also indicates certain basic requirements from society in the form of primary resources (table 42). Additional employment of primary resources is required in the processing of agricultural commodities and from the production of industrial inputs into agriculture because of the increases in agricultural activity summarized in table 17.

Regional average annual growth rates of the agricultural segment of the economy, as given in tables 14 and 15, are slightly more than 2 percent for both the North Central Region and all other states. Even though the annual growth rate is greater for other states than for the North Central Region, the absolute difference remains large for the meat-animals and the feed-crops sectors. The high growth rates of states outside the North Central Region are influenced substantially by the large population growth in such areas as the West Coast, the Eastern Seaboard and the population agglomerations encountered in the Gulf States. Finally, the meat-animals sector is expected to experience an annual growth rate of nearly 3 percent over the 20-year span, with the North Central Region expanding at a slightly slower rate than the rest of the nation.

Implications of Regional Agricultural Projections for Investment Planning in Livestock and Meat Sectors

With reference to the meat-animals sector, more detailed projections of regionally differentiated marketings, slaughter and meat consumption have been prepared for 1955 and 1965 for the major livestock classes—cattle, calves and hogs (15).⁷ These data are presented now on the basis of the regional delineation used in this study in terms of farm marketings and interregional shipments.

Farm marketings

According to the projected 1975 requirements for meat animals, a slightly slower rate of growth is envisioned for the North Central States than for the rest of the United States. The annual rates of change differ somewhat from the 1955 and 1965 estimates of farm marketings of cattle and hogs (summarized in tables 43 and 44). These estimates differ because of the underlying assumptions. While the 1975 estimates are based on regional projections of production trends, the 1955 and 1965 estimates are based, not on net farm production estimates, but on a more detailed series of estimates of farm inventories and marketings. Most important, however, the input-output estimates are based on dollar values, while the estimates of farm marketings are based on physical quantities. Regional differences exist in the value of livestock per hundredweight.

Two sets of figures on the physical volume of farm marketings are presented to illustrate regional differences in the composition of livestock sales according to intended use; i.e., slaughter or nonslaughter. Total farm marketings, which include both classes of livestock, are 25 to 30 percent above the volume of slaughter marketings in the case of cattle and calves and not quite as much above slaughter marketings in

^{7/} Although these projections were not extended to 1975, they do show trends in marketings and slaughter that can be used when comparing the two sets of estimates. The average annual change from 1955 to 1965, for example, can be extended to 1975, and the total change can be compared with the projected 1955-75 change shown in table 15.

 Table 43.
 Estimated farm marketings of all cattle, calves and hogs, in million pounds liveweight, by region, 1955 and 1965.

	Catt	tle and	calves		Hogs	
Region	Percen cha 1955 1965 195		centage change 1955-65	1955	Per 1965	centage change 1955-65
North Control:						
East North Central Western Corn Belt	5,043 6 160	6,339 8,539	25.7	5,786 7 173	7,13	1 23.2
Northern Plains _ Total	6,205 17,408	7,320 22.198	18.0 27.5	2,050 15,009	2,34 17.98	$\begin{array}{ccc} 7 & 14.5 \\ 3 & 19.8 \end{array}$
OtherAll regions	$16,048 \\ 33,456$	$18,702 \\ 40,900$	$\begin{smallmatrix}16.5\\22.3\end{smallmatrix}$	$2,595 \\ 17,604$	$3,53 \\ 21,51$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 44. Estimated farm marketings of slaughter cattle, calves and hogs, in million pounds liveweight, by region, 1955 and 1965.

	Cat	tle and	calves		Hogs				
Region	1955	Percentage change 1965 1955-6		1955	Percentage change 1965 1955-65				
North Central: East North Central Western Corn Belt Northern Plains - Total	$4,664 \\ 5,633 \\ 4,742 \\ 15,039$	5,984 7,959 5,296 19,239	$28.3 \\ 41.3 \\ 11.7 \\ 27.9$	4,874 7,173 2,050	7,13 8,50 2,34 17,98	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 46.3 \\ 5 & 18.6 \\ 7 & 14.5 \\ 2 & 27.6 \\ \end{array} $			
OtherAll regions	11,850 26,889	$12,111 \\ 31,350$	$\begin{array}{c}21.5\\2.2\\16.6\end{array}$	3,507 17,604	3,53 21,51	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			

the case of hogs. As geographical specialization in livestock breeding and feeding increases, interfarm transfers also increase, thus contributing to a more rapid growth in the total volume of farm marketings than in farm production or slaughter marketings.

Interregional shipments

Because of year-to-year variability in the patterns of interstate shipments of livestock and meat, the "from-to" or regional trading coefficients from a multiregion input-output table are likely unstable. For example, livestock regions differ in average size of packing plants, degree of excess capacity and general competitive position; thus, the regional shares of total livestock slaughter also vary at different stages of the livestock cycles.

Among the interregional livestock shipment patterns, the one for feeder cattle shows the largest extent of cross hauling (table 45). To obtain the specified pattern of interregional shipments, the level of feeder-cattle outshipments and inshipments was first estimated for each of 26 regions. These estimates were

Table 45. Regional summary of estimated least-cost pattern of shipments of feeder cattle between 26 livestock regions, in million pounds liveweight, 1955.

	Feeding regions									
		North	Cent	ral						
Producing regions	Ea No Cen	West ast ern rth Corn tral Bel	Other l reg.	All reg.						
North Central:										
East North Central	370			370		370				
Western Corn Belt	7	198	279	484		484				
Northern Plains _		1,061	189	1,250	201	1,451				
Total	377	1.259	468	2,104	201	2,305				
Other	886	413	527	1.826	2.321	4.147				
All regions	1,263	1.672	995	3,930	2,522	6,452				

based on gross rather than net marketings; thus, a region could be represented as having both outshipments and inshipments. According to these estimates, the North Central States accounted for 2,305,000,000 pounds liveweight, or 36 percent, of the total outshipments. At the same time, this region accounted for 3,930,000,000 pounds, or 61 percent, of the total inshipments.

The specified levels of interregional trade were derived by use of an efficiency model of the livestockmeat economy. Transportation costs were minimized, given the location of calf production and cattle feeding. Actual shipment patterns, on the other hand, would not be the result of a minimizing procedure. Rather, cattle shipments are made on a week-to-week basis, given the short-run demand and supply conditions. In this extremely short-run context, transportation costs probably are minimized. In the longrun or annual context, however, considerable crosshauling occurs because of the pervasive nature of the supply and demand restrictions on interregional cattle shipments. Consequently, the actual levels of shipments generally exceed the least-cost levels by substantial margins.

The least-cost pattern of interregional shipments of slaughter cattle and hogs is summarized in table 46. In the case of slaughter livestock, the North Central Region is the origin of 75 percent of the interregional shipments. Only 29 percent of the slaughter cattle and hogs in interregional trade are destined for markets in the North Central States.

Most of the livestock slaughtered in the North Central States also originate in these states. Moreover, very few livestock slaughtered in this region originate from other states. Thus, the pattern of interregional shipments for slaughter livestock differs radically from the shipment pattern for feeder cattle.

Fresh-meat shipments are substantially larger in the normatively competitive economy than in reality because of weight loss in slaughtering and processing together with near equivalence in the cost of shipping livestock and meat. The economies of plant location dictate proximity to livestock supplies rather than to consumer markets.

Table 46. Regional summary of estimated least-cost pattern of shipments of slaughter cattle, including calves and hogs, in million pounds liveweight, 1955.

	Slaughtering regions										
-		North	Central								
Feeding regions	East North Central	Wester Corn Belt	n Norther Plains	n Total	Other regions	All regions					
North Central: East North											
Central	100	Sector Sector		100	1,331	1,431					
Corn Belt	684	30	340	1,054	2,103	3,157					
Plains	756	325		1,081	203	1,284					
Other	$1,540 \\ 58$	355	340	$2,235 \\ 58$	$3,637 \\ 1,941$	$5,872 \\ 1,999$					
All regions	1,598	355	340	2,293	5,578	7,871					

A recapitulation of the data in tables 17, 43 and 44 should tie together the data from two different sources — the input-output estimates in 1955 dollars and the liveweight estimates of farm marketings. Of the \$4,218,000,000 increase in demand for meat animals, for example, \$2,442,000,000, or 58 percent, is attributed to the North Central States. Of the 12,-825,000,000 pounds increase in marketing of slaughter cattle, calves and hogs for the shorter period, from 1955 to 1965, 8,575,000,000 pounds, or 67 percent, is attributed to the North Central States. The higher percentage in the latter case is guite plausible in the light of (a) the difference in definitions and (b) the substantial increase in shipments into the North Central States. Table 17 pertains to net farm production, while tables 43 and 44 pertain only to farm marketings. Accordingly, the 1955 levels of farm marketings in the North Central States, listed in table 43, are a larger fraction of total farm marketings than the corresponding farm production estimates in table 17.

Implications of Regional Agricultural Prospects for Area Marketing Systems

The input-output tables of the agricultural economy in the North Central Region are basic data for studies of subregional economic systems inasmuch as agriculture is an important part of the state and subregional economies of the North Central Region. For example, a current study of Iowa business responses to agricultural change is based partly upon the data generated by this study, particularly the technical coefficients that are used to generate data on agricultural purchases in the North Central States, in general, and Iowa, in specific. The regional data thus can serve as a basis for estimating the state-level input-output coefficients.

The use of input-output data in subregional analyses is illustrated in the sequence of tables that follow. First, an interindustry transactions table was prepared for Iowa agriculture (table 47). Estimates of gross output and interindustry transactions were obtained for 15 of the 18 sectors cited in the national table. In 1955, these 15 sectors accounted for a total agricultural output of \$3,071,890,000 of which \$1,-506,098,000 originated from the meat-animals sector.

Subsequently, the 15 sectors were consolidated into six sectors for reporting. The technical, or inputoutput coefficients, were computed as shown in table 48, before preparation of the interdependence matrix (table 49). The latter table shows, for example, the requirements from the meat-animals sector (\$1,352, 750), the poultry-and-eggs sector (\$708), the farmdairy-products sector (\$4,524) and so on, per million dollars of delivery to the industrial and final-demand sectors. Altogether the direct and the indirect requirements total \$1,970,199 of agricultural products per million dollars of final delivery.

The substantial multiplier effects of deliveries to

industrial and final-demand sectors arises from the technical structure of Iowa agriculture. First of all, a million-dollar increase in demand for meat animals requires an additional \$260,765 of deliveries within the meat-animals sector (see table 48). For each million dollars of meat-animals output, \$3,344 of farmdairy products are required or are forthcoming because of the complementarity between dairying and livestock production. Since the total output of meat animals covers the intrasector deliveries, direct purchases must be multiplied by a factor greater than one. Since total output now exceeds 1 million dollars, intrasectoral deliveries also must be increased, albeit less than in the first round. This iterative process must continue until the direct and indirect requirements converge to the values shown in table 49.

An increase in the demand for meat animals has the largest multiplier effect on the Iowa agricultural economy (as indicated by the sum of the direct and indirect requirements in table 49). The meat-animals sector is an intermediate stage in the processing of feed grains, thus depicting a rather high degree of vertical integration and resulting in a correspondingly high degree of technical interdependence.

With reference to the economy-wide study of Iowa. the availability of data encouraged use of the 1954 base year. In 1954, the gross agricultural output in Iowa was somewhat larger than in 1955. Nonetheless, the technical coefficients from the 1955 table generally were applicable to the 1954 table, particularly in the initial estimates of intersectoral transactions. However, additional sources of information were consulted in the Iowa economic study to adjust some of the technical coefficients. The level of intrasectoral transactions in the meat-animals sector, for example, was reduced. As a result of the lower proportion of total output required for intrasectoral transactions, the direct multiplier effect of deliveries to final demand was reduced. Thus, the 1954 Iowa interindustry transactions table is more correctly viewed as a "from-to" rather than an "input-output" table. The purchases of the Iowa sectors are from other Iowa sectors. An additional constraint thus is introduced into the economy-wide analysis.

The direct and indirect requirements per million dollars of delivery to final demand in the 7-sector Iowa study are summarized in table 50. In this table, a million-dollar delivery to the final-demand sector for meat animals requires only a \$1,166,260 gross output to cover both the final demand and the intrasectoral requirements. However, a million-dollar delivery to the final-demand sector for food manufacturing, largely meat and dairy products, requires a gross output for that sector of \$1,156,072, plus an additional gross livestock production of \$729,864. Final demand for meat livestock and livestock products, thus, would occur in two forms-as direct deliveries to final demand or out-of-state markets and as direct deliveries to the food-manufacturing sector in Iowa.

Table 47. Agricultural product shipments among intermediiate demand sectors, in \$1,000, Iowa, 1955.

		Purchasing sectors										
Producing sector	Input- output sector	Meat animals 1	Poultry and eggs 2	Farm dairy products 3	Other livestock products 4	Food crops 5	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Feed} \\ \mathbf{crops} \\ 6 \end{array}$	Oil- bearing crops 9	Vegetables 10	Fruits 11		
Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products	$\frac{1}{2}$	392,737 5,036	129		9.1							
Food crops Feed crops Oil-bearing crops Vegetables	4 5 6 9 10	$\begin{array}{r} 647, 144 \\ 647, 970 \\ 400 \\ 74 \end{array}$	577 43,772	110,496	31 1,087	$565 \\ 8$	16,422	$\begin{smallmatrix}&137\\7,561\end{smallmatrix}$	$\frac{13}{131}$			
Fruits Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products	$11 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16$	2,092	9	226		14	4,556	215	39			
Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Subtotal Other purchases Total purchases	17 18	1,048,453 457,645 1,506,098	$12,363 \\ 56,850 \\ 127,701 \\ 184,551$	$1,668 \\ 112,390 \\ 49,798 \\ 162,188$	1,118 8,789 9,907	$386 \\ 973 \\ 6,377 \\ 7,350$	$\substack{18,351\\39,329\\964,994\\1,004,323}$	4,956 12,869 87,490 100,359	$\begin{array}{r} 621\\ 470\\ 1,274\\ 23,785\\ 25,059\end{array}$	$12 \\ 10 \\ 22 \\ 668 \\ 690$		

TABLE 47 (continued)

-					Purchasir	ng sectors				
Producing sector	Input- output sector	Legume and grass seeds 13	Sugar and sirup crops 14	Miscel- laneous crops 15	Forest products 16	Greenhouse and nursery products 17	Agricultural services 18	Total agri- cultural sales	Industrial and final demand	Total production
Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Other livestock and products Food crops Feed crops Oil-bearing crops Vegetables Fruits	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{array} $	10	2	1	2	7	4,851	392,737 4,980 5,036 31 1,286 819,927 7,961 205	$1,113,361\\179,571\\157,152\\9,876\\6,064\\184,396\\92,398\\24,854\\690\\$	$1,506,098 \\ 184,551 \\ \bullet 162,188 \\ 9,907 \\ 7,350 \\ 1,004,323 \\ 100,359 \\ 25,059 \\ 690 \\ 690 \\ \end{array}$
Legume and grass seeds Sugar and sirup crops Miscellaneous crops Forest products Greenhouse and nursery products Agricultural services Subtotal Other purchases Total purchases	13 14 15 16 17 18	1,626 596 2,232 3,782 6,014	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ 259 \\ 264 \\ 694 \\ 958 \\ \end{array} $	15 $ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 24 \\ 1,034 \\ 1,058 \end{array} $	$28 \\ 105 \\ 135 \\ 5,301 \\ 5,436$	$1,298\\56\\1,362\\11,297\\12,659$	4,851 40,389 45,240	$\begin{array}{r} 8,780\\ 1\\ 15\\ 39,228\\ 1,282,146\\ 1,789,744\\ 3,071,890\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -2,766\\ 957\\ 1,043\\ 5,436\\ 10,700\\ 6,012\\ 1,789,744\\ -2\\ -2\end{array}$	6,014 958 1,058 5,436 12,659 45,240 3,071,890

Table 48. Direct purchases of specified agricultural sectors per million dollars of output, Iowa, 1955.

Producing sector	Meat animals	Poultry and eggs	Farm dairy products	Feed crops	Oil-bearing crops	Other
	(\$)	(\$)	∉ (\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Meat animals	260,765					
Poultry and eggs		699				42,415
Farm dairy products	3.344					
Feed crops	430,231	237.181	681.283	16,350	1.365	9.880
Oil-bearing crops	266				75.340	
Other	1.534	70.165	11.678	22.808	51,525	54.857
Subtotal	696.140	308.045	692,961	39.158	128,230	107.152
Other purchases	303,860	691,955	307,039	960,842	871,770	892,848

Table 49. Direct and indirect requirements per million dollars of delivery from agricultural sectors to specified industrial and final demand, Iowa, 1955.

	Industrial and final demand								
Producing sector	Meat animals	Poultry and eggs	Farm dairy products	Feed crops	Oil-bearing crops	Other			
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)			
Meat animals Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products Feed crops Oil-bearing crops Other	$1,352,750 \\708 \\4,524 \\595,141 \\389 \\16,687 \\1070100$	1,004,112 242,923 80,405 1,227,440	$12,384 \\1,000,000 \\693,199 \\-29,176 \\1,724,750$	1,045 1,017,122 24,623 1,042,790	2,513 2,701 1,081,478 59,209 1,145,001	45,072 21,534 1,061,907			

Table 50. Direct and indirect requirements per million dollars of delivery from major economic sector to specified final demands, Iowa, 1954.

	Agrie	culture		Manufacturing			
Producing sector	Livestock	Crops	Food	Farm machinery	Other	Regulated industries	Trade and services
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Livestock production	1,166,260	7,826	729,864	3,235	12,983	3,628	8,140
Crop production Food manufacturing Farm machinery b	$521,319 \\ 85,277 \\ 2,651$	$\substack{1,127,308\\11,740\\3,739}$	$\substack{407,468\\1,156,072\\2,112}$	$17,525\\4,864\\1,074,299$	$77,523 \\ 19,930 \\ 5,340$	$5,838 \\ 5,311 \\ 444$	$8,649 \\ 9,706 \\ 509$
Other manufacturing Regulated industries Trade and services Totals	$47,575 \\ 64,739 \\ 171,688 \\ 2,059,509$	$\begin{array}{r} 67,383\\38,121\\229,195\\1,485,312\end{array}$	$75,430 \\ 74,889 \\ 156,999 \\ 2,602,834$	$294,720 \\ 43,156 \\ 58,600 \\ 1,496,399$	$\substack{1,321,037\\54,989\\78,979\\1,570,779}$	$58,492 \\ 1,073,452 \\ 81,451 \\ 1,228,616$	$64,997 \\ 57,138 \\ 1,144,227 \\ 1,293,366$

^a Including agricultural services.

b Including mining.

- Allin, Bushrod W. Major agricultural policy questions of the next 15 years. Paper presented at the 1959 Agricultural Policy Conference, Estes Park, Colorado, Sept. 17, 1959.
- 2. Carter, Harold A. and Earl O. Heady. An input-output analysis emphasizing regional and commodity sectors of agriculture. Iowa Agr. and Home Econ. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 469. 1959.
- Cyert, Richard M. and James G. March. A behavioral theory of the firm. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York. 1963.
- Daly, Rex F. Prospective domestic demands for food and fiber. In: Policy for commercial agriculture. U. S. Govt. Print. Off., Washington, D. C. 1957. p. 110.
- Dorfman, Robert, Paul A. Samuelson and Robert M. Solow. Linear programming and economic analysis. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York. 1958.
- Evans, W. Duane and Marvin Hoffenberg. The interindustry relations study for 1947. Rev. Econ. and Stat. 34: 97-142. 1952.
- Heady, Earl O. and Wilfred V. Candler. Linear programming methods. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. 1958.
- 8. Isard, Walter. Interregional and regional input-output analysis: A model of a space economy. Rev. Econ. and Stat. 33: 318-328. 1951.
- Jennings, R. D. Consumption of feed by livestock, 1909-56. U. S. Dept. Agr., Prod. Res. Rept. 21. 1958.
- ——. Feeds consumed by livestock, 1949-50, by states. U. S. Dept. Agr. Stat. Bul. 145. 1954.
- 11. Koffsky, Nathan M. Potential demand for farm products of the next quarter century. In: Dynamics of Land Use—Needed Adjustment. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. 1961. pp. 40-48.
- Leontief, Wassily. The structure of the American economy, 1919-1939. Second ed. Oxford University Press, New York. 1951.
- _____. Studies in the structure of the American economy. Oxford University Press, New York. 1953.
- 14. Maki, Wilbur R. Forecasting beef cattle and hog prices by quarter years. Iowa Agr. and Home Econ. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 473. 1959.
- 15. ———. Transportation costs and the location of the meat packing industry. In: Private and unregulated carriage. Transportation Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill. 1963. pp. 96-127.
- 16. ——, Charles F. Liu and William C. Motes. Interregional competition and prospective shifts in the location of livestock slaughter. Iowa Agr. and Home Econ. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 511. 1962.
- 17. Masucci, Robert H. Dollar volume of agriculture's transactions with industry. U. S. Dept. Agr. Marketing Res. Rept. 375. 1959.
- Peterson, G. A. and Earl O. Heady. Application of input-output analysis to a simple model emphasizing agriculture. Iowa Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 427, 1955.
- Rogers, Robert O. and Glen T. Barton. Our farm production potential 1975. U. S. Dept. Agr. Inf. Bul. 233. 1960.
- 20. Schnittker, John Alvin and Earl O. Heady. Application of input-output analysis to a regional model emphasizing agriculture. Iowa Agr. and Home Econ. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 454. 1958.
- 21. Standard Industrial Classification Manual. Prepared by the Technical Committee on Industrial Classifica-

tion, Office of Statistical Standards, U. S. Govt. Print. Off., Wash., D. C. 1957.

- U. S. Bureau of the Census. Census of Agriculture, 1950. General Report, Vol. 2. 1950.
- 23. ———. Census of Agriculture, 1954. General Report, Vol. 2. 1954.
- 24. ———. Census of Agriculture, 1954. Special Reports, Vol. 3. Part II. 1954.
- 25. ——. Statistical abstract of the United States, 1956.
 U. S. Govt. Print. Off., Wash., D. C. 1956.
- U. S. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Statistics, 1954-1959. 1955-1960.
- 27. ———. Major statistical series. Vol. 3: Gross and net farm income. Agriculture Handbook 118. 1957.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Cumulative supplement for 1945-57 to wool statistics and related data. U. S. Dept. Agr. Stat. Bul. 142. 1958.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Improving the marketing of tung nuts. U. S. Dept. Agr. Marketing Res. Rept. 281. 1958.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Chickens and eggs, farm production disposition, cash receipts, gross income by states, 1954-55. 1956.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Chickens and eggs, farm production, disposition, cash receipts, gross income by states, 1955-56. 1956.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Cotton and cottonseed production, 1956. 1957.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Crop production: acreage, yield and production of principal crops by states, 1956. 1956.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Field and seed crops: farm production, farm disposition and value, by states, 1954-55. 1956.
- 35. ——. Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Field and seed crops: farm production, farm disposition and value, by states, 1955-56. 1957.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Honey, annual summary, 1957. 1958.
- 37. ——. Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Meat animals, farm production, disposition and income, by states, 1955-56. 1957.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Milk, farm production, disposition and income, 1955-56. 1957.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Livestock and Meat Statistics. U. S. Dept. Agr. Stat. Bul. 230. 1957.
- 40. ——. Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Potatoes and sweet potatoes: estimates by states and seasonal groups, crops of 1955 and 1956. 1957.
- 41. ———. Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Seed crops, annual summary, 1956. 1956.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Crop Reporting Board. Vegetables: fresh market, annual summary, 1956. 1956.
- Agricultural Marketing Service. Farmers' expenditures in 1955 by regions. U. S. Dept. Agr. Stat. Bul. 224. 1958.
- Waldorf, William H. Input-output analysis as a tool in agricultural marketing research. Agr. Econ. Res. 14: 95-111, 1962.

