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# A Supplemental Report on the Performance Levels of Iowa's Adult Basic Education Target Populations 

## Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide performance data on lowa's adult basic education target populations based on the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS) Employment Competency System (ECS) Appraisal Form 130 in the areas of reading and mathematics. ${ }^{1}$ This report is designed to supplement the data reported in the study titled A Workforce Basic Skills Norming Study of lowa's JTPA and PROMISE JOBS Target Populations. The Norming Study was designed to provide critical information about the basic skill levels required for lowa's basic skills target populations to successfully pursue employment and further education (i.e., taking and passing the GED Tests) and enter vocational/technical training programs. ${ }^{2}$

## Background

lowa's statewide adult basic education (ABE) program has adopted the CASAS ECS Appraisal Form 130 as the standard appraisal instrument for those ABE programs who are adopting competency based approaches for assessment, instruction and curriculum paradigms. The initial Norming Study for lowa's basic skills target populations was the third and final study in the lowa Adult Basic Skills Survey (IABSS) series. ${ }^{3}$ Since the completion of that study in October 1996, the majority of lowa's community college based adult basic education programs have continued to use the CASAS ECS Appraisal Form 130 as an initial indicator of basic skills proficiency.

[^0]
## Overview of Data

Since the publication of the lowa Norming Study, an additional 518 ECS scannable answer sheets have been received by CASAS, scanned and added to the lowa Norming database of 819. This brings the total number of study participant data records in the lowa database to 1,337.

## Data Methods

## Years of Education

Data is self reported. Three study participants indicated years of education of less than six years (one indicated two, one indicated three, and one indicated five). It is more likely that these three study participants did not report accurate educational background information. It was decided to recode the years of education data for these three study participants as System Missing. As a result they were not included in the analysis.

For the purpose of reporting years of education in this analysis, certain grade levels were combined in order to create more equal groups for analysis. Study participants reporting 7 and 8 years of education were combined into one group while students with 13 or more years of education were combined into another group. Study participants with completed years of education of 9,10, 11, 12, 13 years and higher all comprised their own groups.

## Reading and Mathematics Scores

A total of 5 study participants achieved a reading raw score of zero while nine students achieved a zero score on the mathematics test. Among these study participants three had raw scores of zero on both tests. Analysis of these cases indicate that study participants achieving zero raw scores did not respond to any of the questions on the test and, therefore, an assumption was made that they either did not take or were not administered the test but did complete an ECS 130 answer sheet. For the purpose of analysis, zero scores were coded as missing and not considered in the computation of mean scores.

Approximately 8 percent of the study participants, in the analysis, were given the CASAS form 400 ECS Appraisal while all others were given the CASAS form 130 ECS Appraisal. The effect of having different tests used in the analysis should be minimal. Both tests provide scores from the lower end of the CASAS scale ( 180 s and 190s). The ECS 130 test does allow for higher scores than those returned by the 400 form due to the fact that the reading and mathematics tests have five additional items that have difficulties that extend beyond the difficulty levels of the 400 appraisal.

## Results

## Education Levels

Based on the methods described above, the number of students with usable years of education data was 1,301 . Their distribution is presented in Table 1. The data indicated that the majority (49\%) had completed grade levels 11 and 12.

| Table 1 <br> Distribution of Years of Education Completed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Education | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent |
| 7th \& 8th | 126 | 9.7 |
| 9th | 193 | 14.8 |
| 10th | 232 | 17.8 |
| 11th | 275 | 21.1 |
| 12th | 364 | 28.0 |
| 13th \& Higher | 111 | 8.5 |
| Total | 1,301 | 100.0 |

## Reading Scores

There were a total of 1,332 reading scale scores collected from the study participant data ( 5 were missing due to zero scores thus equaling 1,337 ). The average reading score was 238.8 with a standard deviation of 12.4 (see Table 2). ${ }^{4}$ The average reading score fell in the CASAS level D range. ${ }^{5}$ When viewed against years of education, there were data for a total of 1,298 study participants available for analysis.

## Mathematics Scores

There were a total of 1,328 mathematics scale scores collected from the study participant data ( 9 were missing due to zero scores thus equaling 1,337). The average mathematics score was 224.1 with a standard deviation of 12.5 (see Table 3). The average mathematics score fell in the CASAS level C range. When viewed against years of education, there were data for a total of 1,294 study participants available for analysis.

## Mean Scores

Tables 2 and 3 show the mean reading and mathematics scale scores for the different years of education levels.

[^1]Table 2
Mean Reading Appraisal Scale Scores by Years of Education Completed

| Years of Education | Mean | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 7th \& 8th | 231.5 |  |  |
| 9th | $235.1^{*}$ | 126 | 9.7 |
| 10th | $237.7^{*}$ | 230 | 14.8 |
| 11th | $240.2^{*}$ | 274 | 17.7 |
| 12th | 242.0 | 364 | 21.1 |
| 13th \& Higher | 244.1 | 111 | 28.0 |
| Total | 239.0 | 1,298 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| N= 1,298 |  |  |  |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 | level. |  |  |

Table 3
Mean Mathematics Appraisal Scale Scores
by Years of Education Completed

| Years of Education | Mean | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 7th \& 8th | 218.5 | 126 |  |
| 9th | 220.3 | 192 | 9.7 |
| 10th | $223.9^{*}$ | 230 | 17.8 |
| 11th | $224.0^{*}$ | 273 | 21.1 |
| 12th | 226.6 | 362 | 27.9 |
| 13th \& Higher | $232.1^{*}$ | 111 | 8.5 |
| Total | 224.3 | 1,294 | 100.00 |
| N=1,298 | $\bar{X}=224.1$ | S.D. $=12.5$ |  |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. |  |  |  |

## Correlation Analysis

Data presented in Tables 2 and 3 show a positive relationship between years of education and reading and mathematics score test performance. Correlation results indicate that the relationships between education and standard scores are positive but not extremely strong. This is expected since most study participant's reading skills are usually higher than their mathematics skills. The Pearson Correlation coefficient between scale scores and years of education was .31 for reading and .29 for mathematics.

## Analysis of Means

The standard T-test of independence of means was used to determine the following.

## Reading Scale Scores

Analysis of the reading scale score means indicated: (1) the 11th year group did score significantly higher than the 10th year's group, (2) the 10th year group scored significantly higher than the 9th year group, (3) the 9th year group scored significantly higher than the 7th \& 8th year group. As for the reading scores among study participants with 11 years, 12 years, and more than 13 years, differences were observed but were not statistically significant (See Table 2).

## Mathematics Scale Scores

Statistical analyses of the mathematics mean scores indicated three areas of statistical difference at the .05 level. First, study participants with less than 10 years of education did score significantly lower than those with at least 10 years. Second, study participants with 10 and 11 years of education scored significantly lower than study participants with at least 12 years of education. Finally, study participants with 13 or more years of education did score significantly higher than those with only 12 years of education (See Table 3).

## Gender

The subjects in this study included 395 males, 918 females, and 24 subjects who failed to complete the gender portion of the answer form (see Tables 4 and 5). Representative percentages of the total were 30 percent male, and 70 percent female.

| Table 4 <br> Reading Mean Scale Scores by Gender |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Number | Percentage | Mean | S.D. |
| Male | 395 | 30 | 238.0 | 12.62 |
| Female | 916 | 70 | 239.3 | 12.20 |
| Total | 1,311 | 100 | 238.9 |  |


| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Mean Scale Scores by Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | Number | Percentage | Mean | S.D. |
|  | 391 | 30 | $225.2^{*}$ | 11.85 |
| Male | 916 | 70 | $223.7^{*}$ | 12.82 |
| Female | 1,307 | 100 | 224.1 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. |  |  |  |  |

## Analysis of Means

The standard T-test of independence of means was used to determine the following.

## Reading Scaled Scores

There were a total of 1,311 reading scores viewed against gender, with an average reading score of 238.0 for males and a standard deviation of 12.62 . Females scored an average of 239.3 with a standard deviation of 12.20 . Statistical analysis of the reading scaled score means indicates no significant difference between males and females based on mean scaled scores (at <. 05 level). The average reading score for both genders fell in the CASAS level D range.

## Mathematics Scaled Scores

Mathematics scores from 1,307 study participants were compared with gender. Males averaged a 225.2 scaled score with a standard deviation of 11.85 , while females scored an average of 223.7 with a standard deviation of 12.85 . Statistical analysis of the mathematics scaled score means indicates a significant difference (at <. 05 level) between males and females. The average mathematics score for both genders fell in the CASAS level C range.

## Age

There were a total of 1,304 reading scaled scores and 1,300 mathematics scaled scores viewed against age taken from a grand total of 1,337 age responses ( 30 individuals did not respond to the age question). Age distribution among respondents indicates 86 percent reporting ages below 39 years and approximately 54 percent equal to or below 25 years of age. Twenty-one percent of respondents reported being between 30 to 39 years old and 14 percent were over the age of 40 (see Tables 6 and 7 ).

| Table 6 <br>  <br> Reading Mean Scale Scores by Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Age Group | Number | Percentage | Mean |
| $<=18$ | 321 | 25 | 236.3 |
| $19-25$ | 380 | 29 | $240.7^{*}$ |
| $26-29$ | 146 | 11 | 240.6 |
| $30-39$ | 276 | 21 | 239.2 |
| $40-49$ | 116 | 9 | 240.3 |
| $50-59$ | 36 | 3 | 237.6 |
| $60+$ | 29 | 2 | $227.8^{*}$ |
| Total | 1,304 | 100 | 238.9 |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. |  |  |  |


|  | Table 7 <br> Mathematics Mean Scale Scores by Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Number | Percentage | Mean |
| $<=18$ | 320 | 25 | 223.6 |
| $19-25$ | 379 | 29 | 225.1 |
| $26-29$ | 146 | 11 | 224.0 |
| $30-39$ | 274 | 21 | 223.5 |
| $40-49$ | 116 | 9 | 225.9 |
| $50-59$ | 36 | 3 | 224.1 |
| $60+$ | 29 | 2 | 222.3 |
| Total | 1,300 | 100 | 224.3 |

## Analysis of Means

The standard T-test of independence of means was used to determine the following.

## Reading Scores

Statistical analysis of the reading scores indicates those learners from 19 to 25 years old (240.7) scored significantly higher than study participants who were 18 years old or below (236.3). Study participants 60 years old or greater scored significantly lower (227.8) than study participants indicating ages between 50 to 59 years old (237.6). Reading mean scores for study participants in the group 26 to 29 years scored differently than the groups 19 to 25 years, and 30 to 39 years but not at a significant level. Differences were also observed between age groups 30 to 39, 40 to 49, and 50 to 59, but were not statistically significant (see Table 6). The average reading scores for age cohorts 18 through 59 fell in the CASAS D level range. The average reading score for age cohort $60+$ fell in the CASAS level C range.

## Mathematics Scores

Differences of mean mathematics scores were observed between the various age groups, however the differences were not statistically significant (see Table 7). The average mathematics reading score for all age cohorts fell in the CASAS level C range.

## Ethnicity

There were a total of 1,299 reading scaled scores and 1,295 mathematics scaled scores viewed against ethnicity taken from a grand total of 1,301 ethnicity responses ( 36 individuals did not respond to the ethnicity question). Ethnicity distribution among respondents indicates 87 percent were White, approximately seven percent Black, four percent Hispanic, and two percent reporting other ethnic backgrounds (see Tables 8 and 9).

| Table 8 <br>  <br>  <br> Reading Mean Scale Scores by Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Mean |
|  |  |  |  |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 1,124 | 87 | 240.0 |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 95 | 7 | $230.8^{*}$ |
| Hispanic | 51 | 4 | 232.6 |
| Other | 29 | 2 | 236.6 |
| Total | 1,299 | 100 | 238.9 |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. |  |  |  |


| Table 9 9 <br>  <br> Mathematics Mean Scale Scores by Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Mean |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 1,122 |  |  |
| Black (Non-Hispanic) | 95 | 87 | 225.2 |
| Hispanic | 50 | 7 | $216.0^{*}$ |
| Other | 28 | 4 | $218.7^{*}$ |
| Total | 1,295 | 2 | 225.1 |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. | 100 | 224.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Table 10 <br>  <br>  <br> Reading Mean Scale Scores by Ethnic Groups |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Mean |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 1,124 |  |  |
| All Other Groups | 175 | 86 | 240.0 |
| Total | 1,299 | 14 | $232.3^{*}$ |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. | 100 | 239.0 |  |


| Table 11 <br> Mathematics Mean Scale Scores by Ethnic Groups |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Number | Percentage | Mean |
| White (Non-Hispanic) | 1,122 |  |  |
| All Other Groups | 173 | 86 | 225.2 |
| Total | 1,295 | 14 | $218.2^{*}$ |
| *Statistically significant differences at the .05 level. | 100 | 224.3 |  |

## Analysis of Means

The standard T-test of independence of means was used to determine the following.

## Mean Scores

In both reading and mathematics, Whites (reading mean 240.0; mathematics 225.2) scored significantly higher than Blacks (reading mean 230.8; mathematics 216.0) at the .05 level. However, in reading and mathematics, no significant differences were observed between Blacks and Hispanics. Interestingly, Hispanics (218.7) scored significantly lower in mathematics than those who indicated the category "Other" ethnic backgrounds (225.1) (see Tables 8 and 9). The average reading score for all ethnic groups fell in the CASAS level D range. The average mathematics score for the White and Other ethnic categories fell in the CASAS level C range. The average mathematics score for the Black and Hispanic ethnic categories fell in the CASAS level B range.

## Conclusions

The ECS Appraisal Form 130 has been utilized by lowa's community college based adult basic education program as the statewide appraisal instrument to determine instructional preparedness with identified basic skills target populations. The results of this report indicated:

- a significant percentage of lowa's basic skills target populations are capable of beginning instruction at CASAS levels C and D certification levels in reading; ${ }^{6}$
- a significant percentage of lowa's basic skills target populations are capable of beginning instruction at CASAS levels B and C certification levels in mathematics;
- the ECS Appraisal Form 130 is a reliable and accurate indicator of the performance levels of lowa's basic skills target populations;
- the results of this supplemental report reinforce the initial results reported in the report titled $A$ Workforce Basic Norming Study of lowa's JTPA and PROMISE JOBS Target Populations;
- the performance trends observed on the CASAS scale as reported in the initial Norming Study and Supplemental Report for the variables of years of education completed, gender, and age reflect similar performance trends as reported in the lowa State Adult Literacy Survey (IASALS) for the Prose, Document, and Quantitative scales.

[^2]
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## Appendix A

Charts and Graphs to Accompany the Supplemental Performance Report

## Graph 1

## A Comparison of Mean CASAS Reading and Mathematics ECS 130 Appraisal Scale Scores by Years of Education Completed


$\mathrm{N}=1,298$
$\overline{\bar{X}}$ Reading Score $=238.8$ (CASAS Level D range)
$\bar{X}$ Mathematics Score $=224.1$ (CASAS Level C range)
Mean reading and mathematics scores increase as years of education increase.

Source: A Supplemental Report on the Performance Levels of lowa's Adult Basic Education Target Populations: Tables 2 and 3. CASAS, San Diego, CA.

Graph 2

## A Comparison of Mean CASAS Reading and Mathematics ECS 130 Appraisal Scale Scores by Gender



Reading $\mathrm{N}=1,311$
Mathematics $N=1,307$
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ Reading Score $=238.9$ (CASAS Level D range)
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ Mathematics Score $=224.1($ CASAS Level C range $)$
Male and female mean scores were approximately the same for each respective subject area.

Source: A Supplemental Report on the Performance Levels of lowa's Adult Basic Education Target Populations: Tables 4 and 5. CASAS, San Diego, CA.

Graph 3

## A Comparison of Mean CASAS <br> Reading and Mathematics ECS 130 Appraisal Scale Scores by Age



Reading $\mathrm{N}=1,304$
Mathematics $N=1,300$
$\overline{\bar{X}}$ Reading Score $=238.9$ (CASAS Level D range)
$\bar{X}$ Mathematics Score $=224.3($ CASAS Level C range $)$
Reading and mathematics mean scores hold constant from age cohorts 19-49 but declined for age cohorts 50-59 and 60+.

Source: A Supplemental Report on the Performance Levels of lowa's Adult Basic Education Target Populations. Tables 6 and 7. CASAS, San Diego, CA.

## Graph 4

## A Comparison of Mean CASAS Reading and Mathematics ECS 130 Appraisal Scale Scores by Ethnicity



Reading $\mathrm{N}=1,299$
Mathematics $\mathrm{N}=1,295$
$\overline{\bar{X}}$ Reading Score $=238.9$ (CASAS Level D range)
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ Mathematics Score $=224.3($ CASAS Level C range $)$
There were significant differences in the mean reading and mathematics scores between White and all other ethnic groups.

Source: A Supplemental Report on the Performance Levels of lowa's Adult Basic Education Target Populations. Tables 8, 9, 10 and 11. CASAS, San Diego, CA.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reader is referred to the publication titled Extending the Ladder: From CASAS to Work Keys Assessments (1997, pp. 9-13) for background information on the CASAS ECS Appraisal Form 130 or consult the CASAS website at http://www.CASAS.org.
    ${ }^{2}$ The reader is referred to the newsletter titled Iowa's Adult Basic Education Priority Target Populations (August 1995, pp. 1-6) for a thorough discussion of the target population characteristics.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reader is referred to the CASAS/IABSS publications for the years 1995a, 1995b, 1996 for an overview of the three studies contained in the IABSS series.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ CASAS uses scaled score ranges to describe levels of functional literacy within an employment context that range from "Pre-Literacy" through "Advanced Adult Secondary". Scaled scores and functional descriptors are more valuable than grade completion levels (GCLs) or grade level equivalents (GLEs) as an indicator of basic workforce literacy competency attainment. The reader is referred to the study titled A Workforce Basic Skills Norming Study of Iowa's JTPA and PROMISE JOBS Target Populations (pp. 23-24) for a discussion of scaled score ranges and GLEs.

    5 The reader is referred to the publication titled Extending the Ladder: From CASAS to Work Keys Assessments (1997, p.13) for a description of the CASAS basic skill levels and standard score ranges or consult the CASAS website at http://www.CASAS.org.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ The lowa basic skills certification program is referenced in the publication titled Basic Skills Certification Manual: Guidelines for lowa's Adult Basic Education Program (August 1997).

