2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^0]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^1]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^2]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN20: Percentage of students who experienced sexual violence (being forced by anyone to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to do, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11.7 | 10.2 | 12.0 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |
| QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to do, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8.4 | 5.7 | 10.0 | No linear change | Not available | Increased |
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[^4]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^5]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN30: Percentage of students who ever tried cigarette smoking (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39.1 |  |  | 29.1 | 25.0 | 17.4 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |
| QN31: Percentage of students who first tried cigarette smoking before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8.6 | 7.2 | 6.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN32: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.1 |  |  | 9.9 | 6.7 | 4.1 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.8 |  |  | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |

[^6]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^7]$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^8]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN37: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6.2 | 4.9 | 2.3 | Decreased, 2017-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRSKL: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco frequently (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QNDAYSKL: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco daily (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.0 |  |  | 11.6 | 7.8 | 4.6 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^10]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^11]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^12]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^13]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^14]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^16]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^17]${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages | Linear Change* <br> Quadratic Change* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change from |  |
| 2011 |  |

[^18]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14.4 | 11.3 | 8.6 | Decreased, 2017-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN76: Percentage of students who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16.4 | 15.9 | 19.1 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNBK7DAY: Percentage of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 29.7 | 29.7 | 28.0 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

[^19]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Physical Activity |
| 2011 |

[^20]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^21]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN84: Percentage of students who saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 78.5 | 79.8 | 78.0 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNNODNT: Percentage of students who never saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QN86: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 22.9 | 24.7 | 27.4 | Increased, 2017-2021 | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Total Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN99: Percentage of students who have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions (because of a physical, mental, or emotional problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 32.2 | 45.4 | Increased, 2019-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Increased |
| QN100: Percentage of students who have physical disabilities or long-term health problems (meaning 6 months or more) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 12.3 | 10.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^22]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 53.8 | 49.1 | 43.7 | Decreased, 2017-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.6 |  |  | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN13: Percentage of students who carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport such as target shooting, on at least 1 day during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6.6 | 7.0 | 3.9 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |

[^23]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^24]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^25]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^26]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^27]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN27: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.2 |  |  | 10.8 | 10.5 | 11.4 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | No change |
| QN28: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 |  |  | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.3 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.8 |  |  | 3.7 | 3.9 | 1.7 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |

[^28]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Tobacco Use | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN30: Percentage of students who ever tried cigarette smoking (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41.3 |  |  | 28.6 | 24.8 | 18.7 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |
| QN31: Percentage of students who first tried cigarette smoking before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN32: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.2 |  |  | 8.4 | 7.5 | 5.6 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 |  |  | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |

[^29]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | ** |
| QN33: Percentage of students who smoked more than 10 cigarettes per day (on the days they smoked during the 30 days before the survey, among students who currently smoked cigarettes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** | ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QN34: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu]) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 38.6 | 47.0 | 30.7 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |

[^30]$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^31]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Male <br> Tobacco Use

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2019-2021

| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

QN37: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or
dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

| 9.1 | 7.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

3.7

Decreased, 2017-2021
Not available ${ }^{\S}$
Decreased

QNFRSKL: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco frequently (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey)
** **
** **
Not available
**

QNDAYSKL: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco daily (chewing tobacco, snuff,
dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, or Camel Snus], not counting any electronic vapor products, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey)

[^32]2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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10-year Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.2 |  |  | 10.8 | 8.9 | 6.3 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^33]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^34]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^35]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^36]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.5 |  |  | 7.4 | 8.8 | 6.4 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65.5 |  |  | 56.7 | 56.5 | 56.8 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32.6 |  |  | 27.1 | 25.3 | 26.7 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |

[^37]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^38]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4 |  |  | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66.5 |  |  | 57.5 | 58.2 | 58.4 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28.9 |  |  | 25.8 | 25.5 | 23.6 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |

[^39]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^40]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^41]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20.4 | 17.5 | 14.1 | Decreased, 2017-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN76: Percentage of students who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17.8 | 14.9 | 16.5 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNBK7DAY: Percentage of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 32.6 | 33.4 | 33.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

[^42]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^43]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^44]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN84: Percentage of students who saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 79.3 | 78.8 | 77.3 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNNODNT: Percentage of students who never saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QN86: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 24.2 | 24.0 | 30.1 | No linear change | Not available | Increased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Female <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 56.0 | 48.3 | 47.0 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. |  |  | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN13: Percentage of students who carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport such as target shooting, on at least 1 day during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^45]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^46]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^47]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^48]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^49]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^50]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^51]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^52]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^53]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | ** |
| QNTB4: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco or electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.7 |  |  |  | 19.2 | 18.1 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNFRCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars frequently (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.4 |  |  | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | Not available | Not available | Not available |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.6 |  |  | 12.2 | 6.6 | 2.8 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | Not available | Not available | Not available |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^54]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^55]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^56]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^57]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^58]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^59]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^60]${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^61]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^62]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2021 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
lowa High School Survey
10-year Trend Analysis Report


[^63]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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QN13: Percentage of students who carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport such as target shooting, on at least 1 day during the 12 months before the survey)

| 3.8 | 4.3 | 2.3 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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[^67]
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*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu], on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9.7 | 21.0 | 14.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFREVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1.4 | 8.9 | 6.5 | Increased, 2017-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QNDAYEVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 6.8 | 5.2 | Increased, 2017-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QNTB5: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or used electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14.1 | 21.5 | 14.8 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from$2019-2021^{8}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {dr }}$ | ** |
| QNTB4: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco or electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17.5 | 22.0 | 14.8 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| QNFRCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars frequently (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
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*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15.9 | 12.1 | 9.6 | Decreased, 2017-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | No change |
| QN76: Percentage of students who did not eat breakfast (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14.3 | 14.0 | 17.4 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNBK7DAY: Percentage of students who ate breakfast on all 7 days (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 32.1 | 31.6 | 29.8 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
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| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)
** ** **
Linear Change $^{\dagger} \quad$ Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ Change from
2019-2021 ${ }^{8}$

| ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {If }}$ | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |

QN13: Percentage of students who carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport such as target shooting, on at least 1 day during the 12 months before the survey)

| 5.7 | 5.1 | Not available | Not available | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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[^94]
## Black* <br> Injury and Violence

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Quadratic Change $^{\dagger} \quad$ Change from
2019-2021 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$

| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to do, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| ** | 0.0 | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
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| Black* <br> Tobacco Use | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Black* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Change from
2019-2021 ${ }^{8}$

| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN48: Percentage of students who ever used synthetic marijuana (one or more times during their life)
** $\quad * * \quad * * \quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {Ill }} \quad$ **

QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or
differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin,
Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life)
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## Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change $^{\dagger} \quad$ Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$| Change from |
| :---: |
| $2019-2021$ |

2019-2021 ${ }^{8}$

| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

```
** ** ** **
```

**

Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$
**

QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)
$46.0 \quad 60.1 \quad 58.9 \quad$ Not available $\quad$ No change

QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 25.7 | 33.6 | 20.4 | 30.0 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 47.8 | 43.0 | 43.7 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
| QN13: Percentage of students who carried a gun (not counting the days when they carried a gun only for hunting or for a sport such as target shooting, on at least 1 day during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |
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[^121]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^122]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^123]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | ** |
| QN34: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu]) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46.6 |  |  |  | 49.8 | 47.5 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu], on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8.8 | 19.2 | 23.2 | Increased, 2017-2021 | Not available | No change |
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[^126]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ** |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | 0.0 | Not available | Not available | Not available |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN43: Percentage of students who reported that the largest number of drinks they had in a row was 10 or more (within a couple of hours, during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ** | ** | ** | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | ** |
| QN44: Percentage of students who usually got the alcohol they drank by someone giving it to them (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who currently drank alcohol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49.2 |  |  | 28.0 | 35.5 | 41.4 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN45: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43.9 |  |  | 30.6 | 36.5 | 29.4 | Decreased, 2011-2021 | Not available | No change |
| QN46: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** |  |  | ** | ** | ** | ** | Not available | ** |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
**Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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[^130]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^131]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^132]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^133]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^134]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^136]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^137]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^138]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
$* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.
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| Hispanic Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2019-2021 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| QN99: Percentage of students who have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions (because of a physical, mental, or emotional problem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 39.2 | 53.3 | Increased, 2019-2021 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Increased |
| QN100: Percentage of students who have physical disabilities or long-term health problems (meaning 6 months or more) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 9.6 | 8.8 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^1]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^2]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^3]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^4]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^5]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^6]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^7]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate

[^8]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^9]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^10]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^11]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^12]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^13]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^14]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^15]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^16]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^17]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^18]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^19]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^20]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^21]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^22]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^23]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^24]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^25]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^26]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^27]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^28]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^29]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^30]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate

[^31]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^32]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^33]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^34]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^35]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^36]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^37]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^38]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^39]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^40]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^41]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^42]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^43]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^44]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^45]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^46]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^47]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^48]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^49]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^50]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^51]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^52]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^53]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^54]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^55]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^56]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^57]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^58]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^59]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^60]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^61]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^62]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^63]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^64]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^65]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^66]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^67]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^68]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^69]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^70]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^71]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^72]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^73]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^74]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^75]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^76]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^77]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^78]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^79]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^80]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{4}$ IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^81]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^82]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^83]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^84]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^85]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^86]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^87]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^88]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^89]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^90]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^91]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^92]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^93]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^94]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^95]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^96]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^97]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^98]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^99]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^100]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^101]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^102]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^103]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^104]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^105]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^106]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {IIN}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^107]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^108]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^109]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
    **Not enough years of data to calculate.
    ***Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^110]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^111]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^112]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^113]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^114]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {IN}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^115]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^116]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {IN}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^117]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    "Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^118]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^119]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
    **Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and/or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^120]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^121]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^122]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^123]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^124]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^125]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^126]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^127]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^128]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^129]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^130]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^131]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^132]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^133]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^134]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^135]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
    $* *$ Data is suppressed due to a numerator of $<6$, a denominator of $<30$, and $/$ or a relative standard error of $>30 \%$.

[^136]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^137]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^138]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

