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## INTRODUCTION*

Significant changes have occurred in Iowa's population during the past decade. The rural population has continued to decline while the urban and suburban population has increased. Iowa birth rates have been declining since 1965.

The trend toward fewer and larger farms, lower birth rates and net outmigration has created social and economic impacts which have affected assets, viability, taxes and services in many communities throughout the state.

Primary purpose of this publication is to provide leaders and citizens with information about the major social changes that are occurring in Region V. The region includes six counties in North Central Iowa: Calhoun, Hamilton, Humboldt, Pocahontas, Webster and Wright. Region V has been suggested by the Office for Planning and Programming for planning purposes. Region V was selected by the State Rural Development Advisory Council as the focal point for the research and education activity under Title $V$ of the Rural Development Act of 1972. This information will assist leaders and citizens in making decisions which will affect the growth and development of communities within the region.

The analysis focuses on population trends of cities, towns and counties within the region. It includes an analysis of the components of population change: birth rates, death rates and migration. It also examines characteristics of the population including age structure, dependency ratios, race distribution, density per square mile and family income. Major trends of the past decade are summarized.

In addition, some emphasis is given to the implications these trends have for individuals, families, communities, counties and the region.

## POPULATION TRENDS

Between 1950 and 1970, the population of the United States increased 34.8 percent; the population of Iowa increased 7.8 percent; and the population of Region $V$ decreased 5.4 percent (Table 1).

Iowa's population growth rate has not kept pace with the national growth rate. Region $V$ experienced its first decline in population during the 1960-70 decade.

[^0]TABLE 1. Population trends, 1950-1970.

| Year | United States | Iowa | Region $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | $150,697,361$ | $2,621,073$ | 129,091 |
| 1960 | $179,323,175$ | $2,757,537$ | 130,602 |
| 1970 | $203,211,926$ | $2,825,041$ | 123,603 |
| Percent Change |  |  |  |
| $1950-60$ +19.0 | +5.2 | +1.2 |  |
| Percent Change |  |  |  |
| $1960-70$ | +13.3 | +2.4 | -5.4 |

Source: U.S. Census of Population.
${ }^{a}$ Calhoun, Hamilton, Humboldt, Pocahontas, Webster and Wright counties.

## County Population Trends

A11 of the counties in Region $V$ have smaller populations now than in 1900 , except Webster. But most counties grew in population until 1940 or 1950 , when population began to decline (Table 2).

Population in Webster County increased 52.4 percent between 1900 and 1970 . In $1970,4.4$ percent of the state's population was located in Region V. This is a slight decline from 1900 when the region had 5.5 percent of the state's population.

TABLE 2. Region V population trends by county, 1900-1970.

| County | 1900 | Percent of State Total (1900) | 1910 | 1920 | 1930 | 1940 | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | Percent of State Total (1970) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca1houn | 18,569 | 0.8 | 17,090 | 17,783 | 17,605 | 17,584 | 16,925 | 15,923 | 14,287 | 0.5 |
| Hamilton | 19,514 | 0.9 | 19,242 | 19,531 | 20,978 | 19,922 | 19,660 | 20,032 | 18,383 | 0.7 |
| Humboldt | 12,667 | 0.6 | 12,182 | 12,951 | 13,202 | 13,459 | 13,117 | 13,156 | 12,519 | 0.4 |
| Pocahontas | 15,339 | 0.7 | 14,808 | 15,602 | 15,687 | 16,266 | 15,496 | 14,234 | 12,729 | 0.5 |
| Webster | 31,757 | 1.4 | 34,629 | 37,611 | 40,425 | 41,521 | 44,241 | 47,810 | 48,391 | 1.7 |
| Wright | 18,227 | 0.8 | 17,951 | 20,348 | 20,216 | 20,038 | 19,652 | 19,447 | 17,294 | 0.6 |
| Area | 116,073 | 5.2 | 115,902 | 123,826 | 128,113 | 128,790 | 129,091 | 130,602 | 123,603 | 4.4 |

## Rura1-Urban Population Trends

According to the 1970 census definition, the urban population comprises all persons living in urbanized areas and in places of 2,500 inhabitants of more outside urbanized areas. The population not classified as urban constitutes the rural population.

Iowa became an urban state (more than half the people living in urban places) in 1960. Since 1960, the percentage of the population living in urban communities in Iowa has continued to increase while the percentage of the population classified as rural has continued to decrease.

TABLE 3. Rura1-urban change, Iowa and Region V.
Place
Iowa
Region $V$
Source: Population Trends of Incorporated Places in Iowa,

## Population Trends of Incorporated Places

Sixty-one incorporated cities and towns were located in Region V in 1970. Forty-eight of these incorporated places were under 1000 in population during the 1960-70 decade. Most of the population decline within the region (90 percent) occurred in cities and towns under 1,000 in population (Table 4).

Population changes of incorporated places within Region V during the 1960-70 decade ranged from a 17.9 percent increase in Vincent (Webster County) to a 33.3 percent decline in Galt (Wright County). Both Galt and Vincent are rather small towns -- around 200 population -- and while percentage changes were sizable, the population changes in actual number were comparatively small.

Generally, population growth occurs in the larger incorporated places, or those located near a growth center (Table 4).

TABLE 4. Region $V$ population change by size of town.

|  | Total Number <br> of Towns <br> in 1970 | Number Towns <br> Increased | Number Towns <br> Decreased <br> $1960-70$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of Town |  | $1960-70$ |  |
|  | 35 | 7 | 27 |
| Under 500 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| $500-999$ | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $1,000-1,499$ | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| $1,500-2,499$ | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| $2,500-4,999$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $5,000-9,999$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $10,000-49,999$ | $61 *$ | 20 | 40 |
| Total |  |  |  |

Source: Population Trends of Incorporated Places in Iowa, 1900-1970.
*One town remained the same for the 1960-70 decade (Blairsburg).

## Rura1-Town Population Trends

A comparison of rural and town population trends in Region $V$ for the past two decades reveals some significant trends. The population of incorporated places within the region increased by 8,931 or 11.8 percent since 1950. During the same period, the rural population or the population living outside the corporate limit of cities and towns has decreased 14,419 or 27.2 percent.

Town population in the region increased more rapidly during the 1950-60 decade while rural population decreased more rapidly during the 1960-70 decade (Table 5).

TABLE 5. Region V rural and town population trends by county, 1950-70.

| County | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | \% Change $1950-60$ | \% Change $1960-70$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | 16,925 | 15,923 | 14,287 | - 5.9 | -10.3 |
| Town ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9,009 | 8,754 | 8,585 | - 2.8 | - 1.9 |
| Rura1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7,916 | 7,169 | 5,702 | - 9.4 | -20.5 |
| Hamilton | 19,660 | 20,032 | 18,383 | + 1.9 | - 8.2 |
| Town | 11,355 | 12,536 | 12,440 | +10.4 | - 0.8 |
| Rural | 8,305 | 7,496 | 5,943 | - 9.7 | -20.7 |
| Humboldt | 13,117 | 13,156 | 12,519 | + 0.3 | - 4.8 |
| Town | 6,470 | 7,088 | 7,501 | + 9.6 | + 5.8 |
| Rural | 6,647 | 6,068 | 5,018 | - 8.7 | -17.3 |
| Pocahontas | 15,496 | 14,234 | 12,729 | - 8.1 | -10.6 |
| Town | 7,358 | 7,253 | 7,388 | - 1.4 | + 1.9 |
| Rural | 8,138 | 6,981 | 5,341 | -14.2 | -23.5 |
| Webster | 44,241 | 47,810 | 48,391 | $+8.1$ | + 1.2 |
| Town | 30,023 | 33,959 | 37,195 | +13.1 | + 9.5 |
| Rural | 14,218 | 13,851 | 11,196 | -2.6 | -19.2 |
| Wright | 19,652 | 19,447 | 17,294 | - 1.0 | -11.1 |
| Town | 11,784 | 12,300 | 11,821 | $+4.4$ | - 3.9 |
| Rural | 7,868 | 7,147 | 5,473 | - 9.2 | -23.4 |
| Region V | 129,091 | 130,602 | 123,603 | $+1.2$ | - 5.4 |
| Town | 75,999 | 81,890 | 84,930 | + 7.8 | + 3.7 |
| Rural | 53,092 | 48,712 | 38,673 | - 8.2 | -20.6 |
| Source: $\quad \frac{\text { Population Trends }}{\text { Census of } \text { Population }}$ Incorporated Places in Iowa, 1900-1970; U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\text {Town }}$ population includes all incorporated towns and cities. |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{\text {Rural }}$ population inc1udes all population outside the corporate 1 imits of towns and cities and was derived by subtracting the town population from the total population of the county. |  |  |  |  |  |

## POPULATION CHANGE COMPONENTS

Three major components are involved in population change -- births, deaths and migration. When births exceed deaths, a "natural increase" in population occurs. If deaths exceed births a "natural decrease" in population occurs. Migration, the third component of population change, is a two-way process. People move in and out of counties, areas and states. If the number moving into an area exceeds the number moving out of an area, net in-migration occurs. If the number moving out of an area exceeds the number moving into an area, net out-migration occurs.

## Births and Deaths

Birth rates began to decline in the United States and Iowa in 1965. As a result, the average number of children in the completed family has declined from over 3 to a current rate of 1.9. If this trend continues, population within the United States and Iowa will eventually reach a zero rate of growth. However, this will take a number of years to accomplish due to the large number of females within the population who are of child bearing age.

Death rates, on the other hand, have remained quite stable. The combination of declining births and stable death rates has provided less "natural increase" in population in many counties in Iowa.

If present trends continue, some counties in Region $V$ may experience a "natural decrease" in population during the 1970-80 decade. Fifty-three percent of the "natural increase" in population which occurred in Region V during the 1960-70 decade occurred in Webster County.

TABLE 6. Region V births, deaths and natural increase or decrease, by county, $1960-70^{a}$.

|  | Births | Deaths | Natural <br> Increase or Decrease |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | 2,392 | 1,813 | +579 |
| Calhoun | 3,213 | 2,113 | $+1,100$ |
| Hamilton | 2,167 | 1,341 | +826 |
| Humboldt | 2,333 | 1,448 | +885 |
| Pocahontas | 9,862 | 5,132 | $+4,730$ |
| Webster | 2,887 | 2,032 | +855 |
| Wright |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13,879 | $+8,975$ |
| Region $V$ | 22,854 |  |  |

Source: Iowa Vital Statistics, Iowa Department of Health, Annual Reports.
$a_{\text {The }}$ births, deaths and natural increase or decrease by county are for the ten-year period 1960-70 beginning April 1, 1960 to March 31, 1970.

## Migration

If there had been no migration during the 1960-70 decade, the population in Region V would have increased to 139,577 in 1970. However, the actual population in Region $V$ was 123,603 . This indicates the region experienced a net out-migration of 15,974 during the last decade or 12.2 percent. In other words, 133 people migrated out of Region $V$ each month during the last decade.

Based on the population trends of the rural population presented in Table 5, it appears that most of the outmigration within the region occurred in the rural areas as a result of the shift to larger farms and fewer farmers.

Net out-migration occurred in all of the counties in the region. Pocahontas County experienced the greatest percentage of net out-migration and Webster County the least.

TABLE 7. County, Region and Iowa migration changes, 1960-70.

| County | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ 1960 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ 1970 \end{gathered}$ | Actual Change | Percent Change | Natural <br> Increase | Potential <br> Population | Net Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Net } \\ \text { Migration } \\ 1960-70 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | 15,923 | 14,287 | - 1,636 | - 10.3 | 579 | 16,502 | - 2,215 | - 13.9 |
| Hamilton | 20,032 | 18,383 | - 1,649 | - 8.2 | 1,100 | 21,132 | - 2,749 | - 13.7 |
| Humboldt | 13,156 | 12,519 | - 637 | - 4.8 | 826 | 13,982 | - 1,463 | - 11.1 |
| Pocahontas | 14,234 | 12,729 | - 1,505 | - 10.6 | 885 | 15,119 | - 2,390 | - 16.8 |
| Webster | 47,810 | 48,391 | + 581 | + 1.2 | 4,730 | 52,540 | - 4,149 | - 8.7 |
| Wright | 19,447 | 17,294 | - 2,153 | - 11.1 | 855 | 20,302 | - 3,008 | - 15.5 |
| Region V | 130,602 | 123,603 | - 6,999 | - 5.4 | 8,975 | 139,577 | -15,974 | - 12.2 |
| Iowa | 2,757,537 | 2,825,041 | +67,504 | + 2.5 | 247,544 | 3,005,081 | -180,040 | - 6.5 |

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

In addition to the decline in population, the impacts of declining birth rates, net out-migration and declines in the "natural increase" in population are reflected in the composition of the population within the region.

## Age

Significant shifts occurred in the age composition of the population in Region V between 1960 and 1970. The $0-9$ age group declined 26 percent. This reflects the decline in births occurring in the region. The $30-49$ age group declined 15 percent. This was probably due to out-migration since it is those of younger working ages who tend to migrate. Significant increases occurred in the over 70 age group (Table 8).

Shifts in the age composition of the population will create shifts in demand for public and private goods and services such as education, health, housing and recreation.

TABLE 8. Age change in population by age group, Region V, 1950-1970.

| Age Group | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | Number Change 1950-60 | Number Change 1960-70 | \% Change 1950-60 | \% Change $1960-70$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-9 | 25,994 | 29,070 | 21,581 | +3,076 | -7,489 | +11.8 | -25.8 |
| 10-19 | 19,448 | 21,958 | 25,295 | +2,510 | +3,337 | +12.9 | +15.2 |
| 20-29 | 17,841 | 12,846 | 12,823 | -4,995 | - 23 | -28.0 | - 0.2 |
| 30-39 | 17,624 | 15,815 | 12,250 | -1,809 | -3,565 | -10.3 | -22.5 |
| 40-49 | 15,242 | 15,603 | 14,408 | + 361 | -1,195 | + 2.4 | - 7.7 |
| 50-59 | 14,064 | 13,175 | 13,922 | - 889 | + 747 | - 6.3 | $+5.7$ |
| 60-69 | 10,814 | 11,693 | 11,095 | + 879 | - 598 | + 8.1 | - 5.1 |
| 70-84 | 7,318 | 9,350 | 10,571 | +2,032 | +1,221 | +27.8 | +13.1 |
| 85+ | 746 | 1,092 | 1,658 | + 346 | + 556 | +46.4 | +51.8 |
| Total | 129,091 | 130,602 | 123,603 | +1,511 | -6,999 | $+1.2$ | - 5.4 |

Source: U.S. Census of Population, Genera1 Population Characteristics, Iowa, 1960, 1970.

## Dependency

The dependency ratio is the number of persons under 18 , and 65 and over, per 100 persons between 18 and 64. The first category is the dependent group, while the second is the productive group.

The dependency ratio was higher in Region V in 1960 and 1970 than the average for the state. The youth dependency ratio declined between 1960 and 1970. There was a sharp decline in the $0-9$ age group and an increase in the 10-19 age group. However, the decline was great enough in the $0-9$ age group to produce an over-all decline in the number of youth between $0-19$ years of age.

A sharp decline also occurred in the productive group age 30-39.
There was a large percentage increase in the age group $85+$ but in actual numbers these changes were rather small.

Dependency ratios declined in the region because of the decline in young people but remains high in comparison with the state because of a larger number of people over 65.

TABLE 9. Region V Dependency ratios by county, 1960-70.

| County | Number <br> Under 18 | Number <br> 65 and over | Dependent Total | Productive Total | Dependency Ratio | Youth Dependency Ratio | Aged Dependency Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1960 |  |  |  |  |
| Calhoun | 5,730 | 2,200 | 7,930 | 7,993 | 99.2 | 71.7 | 27.5 |
| Hamilton | 7,236 | 2,422 | 9,658 | 10,374 | 93.1 | 69.8 | 23.3 |
| Humboldt | 4,951 | 1,606 | 6,557 | 6,599 | 99.4 | 75.0 | 24.3 |
| Pocahontas | 5,423 | 1,770 | 7,193 | 7,041 | 102.2 | 77.0 | 25.1 |
| Webster | 17,758 | 5,562 | 23,320 | 24,490 | 95.2 | 72.5 | 22.7 |
| Wright | 7,203 | 2,470 | 9,673 | 9,774 | 99.0 | 73.7 | 25.3 |
| Region V | 48,301 | 16,030 | 64,331 | 66,271 | 97.1 | 72.9 | 24.2 |
| Iowa | 987,268 | 327,685 | 1,314,953 | 1,442,584 | 91.2 | 68.5 | 22.7 |
|  |  |  | 1970 |  |  |  |  |
| Calhoun | 4,697 | 2,460 | 7,157 | 7,130 | 100.4 | 65.9 | 34.5 |
| Hamilton | 6,182 | 2,519 | 8,701 | 9,682 | 89.9 | 63.9 | 26.0 |
| Humboldt | 4,510 | 1,714 | 6,224 | 6,295 | 98.9 | 71.6 | 27.2 |
| Pocahontas | 4,581 | 2,003 | 6,584 | 6,145 | 107.1 | 74.5 | 32.6 |
| Webster | 17,170 | 6,069 | 23,239 | 25,152 | 92.4 | 68.3 | 24.1 |
| Wright | 5,770 | 2,634 | 8,404 | 8,890 | 94.5 | 64.9 | 29.6 |
| Region V | 42,910 | 17,399 | 60,309 | 63,294 | 95.3 | 67.8 | 27.5 |
| Iowa | 974,937 | 350,293 | 1,325,230 | 1,499,811 | 88.4 | 65.0 | 23.4 |

Source: U. S. Census of Population, General Population Characteristics, Iowa, 1960-1970.

## Race Distribution

The population of Region $V$ is predominantly white with less than one percent blacks and other minority groups. Region $V$ has fewer blacks and other races represented in the population than other more urban regions of the state.

Most of the blacks within the region live in Fort Dodge. The black population within Fort Dodge increased 75 percent between 1960 and 1970 .

TABLE 10. Race distribution of Iowa, Region V by counties, 1960-1970.

| County | Total <br> Population | Black |  | White |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number |  | Number | $\%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number | $\%^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calhoun | 15,923 | 11 | 0.1 | 15,901 | 99.9 | 11 | 0.1 |
| Hamilton | 20,032 | 15 | 0.1 | 19,927 | 99.5 | 90 | 0.5 |
| Humboldt | 13,156 | 0 | 0.0 | 13,155 | 100.0 | 1 | . . |
| Pocahontas | 14,234 | 1 | -..- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14,233 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Webster | 47,810 | 350 | 0.7 | 47,451 | 99.3 | 9 |  |
| Wright | 19,447 | 5 | . . | 19,434 | 99.9 | 8 |  |
| Region V | 130,602 | 382 | 0.3 | 130,101 | 99.6 | 119 | 0.1 |
| Iowa | 2,757,537 | 25,354 | 0.9 | 2,728,709 | 99.0 | 3,474 | 0.1 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calhoun | 14,287 | 16 | 0.1 | 14,256 | 99.8 | 15 | 0.1 |
| Hamilton | 18,383 | 5 | - | 18,359 | 99.9 | 19 | 0.1 |
| Humboldt | 12,519 | 2 | - | 12,504 | 99.9 | 13 | 0.1 |
| Pocahontas | 12,729 | 1 | -• | 12,711 | 99.9 | 17 | 0.1 |
| Webster | 48,391 | 636 | 1.3 | 47,657 | 98.5 | 98 | 0.2 |
| Wright | 17,294 | 1 | . . | 17,249 | 99.7 | 44 | 0.3 |
| Region V | 123,603 | 661 | 0.5 | 122,736 | 99.3 | 206 | 0.2 |
| Iowa | 2,825,041 | 32,596 | 1.2 | 2,783,427 | 98.5 | 9,018 | 0.3 |

Source: ㄴ. S. Census of Population, General Population Characteristics, Iowa, 1960-1970.

## ${ }^{a}$ Indicates less than $0.1 \%$

 rounding errors.

## Population Density

Region $V$ is primarily an agricultural area and thus more sparsely populated than many regions in Iowa and the United States. Net outmigration, primarily from the rural areas, resulted in declines in the density of the population in all counties within the region except Webster during the last decade. The sharpest decline in density occurred in Wright County followed by Pocahontas and Calhoun. The population density per square mile increased slightly in Webster County between 1960 and 1970.

TABLE 11. Population density per square mile in the United States, Iowa, Region V and counties, 1960-70.

|  | Per Square Mile |  | Percent Change <br> $1960-70$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | 1960 | 25.0 | -10.1 |
| Calhoun | 27.8 | 31.9 | -8.1 |
| Hamilton | 34.7 | 28.8 | -10.6 |
| Humboldt | 30.2 | 21.9 | +1.2 |
| Pocahontas | 24.5 | 67.4 | -11.0 |
| Webster | 33.6 | 30.0 | -5.6 |
| Wright | 37.8 | 35.7 | +2.6 |
| Region V | 49.2 | 50.5 | +13.6 |
| Iowa | 50.6 | 57.5 |  |
| United States |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census of Population, Number of Inhabitants, 1960-1970.

## Place of Work

All counties within the region experienced a shift to a larger percentage of the work force working outside their county of residence between 1960 and 1970. The greatest shift occurred in Hamilton, Pocahontas and Wright counties with the smallest shift in Webster.

This shift in mobility of the labor force could provide more stability in employment within the region in the future since it indicates an increasing number of residents are willing to commute to job opportunities.

In 1960 about 5 1/2 percent of the region's residents reported they worked outside the county where they lived. This increased to more than 9 percent in 1970.

TABLE 12. Place of work by counties, 1960-70.

| County | \% Worked <br> in County of Residence 1960 | Outside County of Residence 1960 | \% Place Not Reported 1960 | \% Worked <br> in County of Residence 1970 | Outside County of Residence 1970 | \% Place <br> Not Reported 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CaLhoun | 86.6 | 11.4 | 2.0 | 78.2 | 15.1 | 6.7 |
| Hamilton | 92.7 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 82.7 | 14.5 | 2.8 |
| Humboldt | 89.5 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 81.9 | 12.5 | 5.6 |
| Pocahontas | 89.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 83.1 | 12.2 | 4.7 |
| Webster | 94.6 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 91.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 |
| Wright | 91.6 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 82.7 | 12.4 | 4.9 |
| Region V | 91.8 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 85.7 | 9.4 | 4.9 |

Source: U. S. Census of Population, General Social and Economic Characteristics, 1960, 1970.

## Family Income

Webster County was the only county in the region in 1970 with median family income above the state median of $\$ 9018$. Webster County was above the state median family income in both 1960 and 1970. Median family income in Calhoun and Pocahontas Counties were approximately $\$ 1300$ below the state median in 1970.

Income growth in three of the six counties was at a higher percentage rate then the state average between 1960 and 1970.

TABLE 13. Median family income, by counties and Iowa, 1960-70.

| County | Median Family <br> Income 1960 | Median Family <br> Income 1970 | Percent Change 1960-70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | \$4,244 | \$7,741 | +82.4 |
| Hamilton | \$4,582 | \$8,333 | +81.9 |
| Humboldt | \$4,751 | \$8,268 | +74.0 |
| Pocahontas | \$4,220 | \$7,686 | +82.1 |
| Webster | \$5,494 | \$9,136 | +66.3 |
| Wright | \$4,712 | \$8,333* | +76.8 |
| Iowa | \$5,069 | \$9,018 | +77.9 |

Source: $\frac{\text { U. S. }}{\text { Characteristics }}, \frac{\text { Census }}{\text { of }}$ Population, General Social and Economic
*Median Family Income in Wright County was adjusted due to an error in the census.

In 1970, 3,423 families in Region $V$ had incomes of less than $\$ 3000$. The percentage of families with less than $\$ 3,000$ income was slightly above the average for the state. However, there were 1,274 families in the region that had incomes of $\$ 25,000$ or more. This is a larger percentage than for the state.

The wide differences in family income occurring within the region indicate that there probably are large differences in demand for goods and services within the region and that there are vast differences in family needs in every community in the region.

TABLE 14. Family income by categories, Region V and Iowa, 1970.

|  | Region V Area |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Income Categories | Number of <br> Families | Percentage | Iowa <br> Percentage |
| Under \$1,000 | 510 | 1.6 |  |
| $1,000-1,999$ | 1,018 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| $2,000-2,999$ | 1,895 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| $3,000-3,999$ | 1,885 | 5.9 | 5.4 |
| $4,000-4,999$ | 1,705 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| $5,000-5,999$ | 2,384 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| $6,000-6,999$ | 2,471 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| $7,000-7,999$ | 2,744 | 8.5 | 7.9 |
| $8,000-8,999$ | 2,537 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
| $9,000-9,999$ | 2,359 | 7.3 | 26.6 |
| $10,000-14,999$ | 7,749 | 24.1 | 12.7 |
| $15,000-24,999$ | 3,603 | 11.2 | 3.5 |
| 25,000 and over | 1,274 | 4.0 |  |
|  |  |  | 100.0 |
| Total | 32,134 |  |  |

Source: $\begin{aligned} & \text { U. } \cdot \frac{\text { S }}{\text { teristics, Iowa }} \text { Census of } \frac{\text { Population, General }}{1970 .} \text { Social and Economic Charac- }\end{aligned}$

## EDUCATIONAL CHANGES

The number of school years completed by the population within a region is related to occupations simply because one cannot practice some professions without an advanced degree. As a result, there is a consistent trend between the median school years completed by the population and general levels of occupations.

However, this does not mean that occupational status cannot be attained without a great deal of formal schooling or that a certain amount of schooling will guarantee a job at a certain occupational level.

The number of school years completed by persons 25 years and over in Region V is almost identical with the average for the state of Iowa.

TABLE 15. Years school completed by persons 25 years and over, Region V and Iowa, 1970.

|  | Numbers <br> Region $V$ | Percent <br> Region $V$ | Numbers <br> Iowa | Percent <br> Iowa |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Years completed | 286 | 0.4 | 8,513 | 0.6 |
| None | 17,631 | 25.1 | 392,819 | 25.5 |
| Elementary 1-8 years | 58,275 | 54.3 | 827,683 | 53.7 |
| High Schoo1 9-12 years | 38,2 | 311,573 | 20.2 |  |
| College 13-16 + years | 14,182 | 20.2 | $1,540,588$ | 100.0 |
| Total | 70,374 | 100.0 | 12.2 |  |
| Median years completed | 12.3 |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census of Population.

Approximately 29,000 pupils were enrolled in 31 school districts in Region V during the 1972-73 school year. Enrollment per school district within the region ranged from a low of 227 in Palmer Consolidated (Pocahontas County) to a high of 7,372 in Fort Dodge Community School. See Appendix C. Enro11ments in Region V declined about 4 percent more than the average for the state between 1968 and 1972.

Costs per pupil increased $\$ 187$ or 21.9 percent during the $1968-73$ period. Cost per pupil in Region $V$ increased about 8 percent less than the average increase for the state. Costs per pupil ranged from a low of $\$ 744$ in the Dayton Community School to a high of $\$ 1241$ in Cedar Valley Community School in Calhoun County in 1972-73. See Appendix C.

TABLE 16. Educational Changes, Region V and Iowa, 1968-73.

| Year | $1968-69$ | $1972-73$ | Change <br> $1968-73$ | Percent Change <br> $1968-73$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| REGION V |  |  |  |  |
| No. Schoo1 districts <br> Average Daily Membership <br> Cost per Pupil | 30,734 | 28,770 | $-1,964$ | 0 |
| IOWA |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## POPULATION PROJECTIONS

Population projections for each county in Region V are presented in Table 17. These projections indicate that population will continue to decline in the region and that the sharpest declines will occur in Calhoun and Pocahontas counties.

TABLE 17. Population Projections by Counties, Region V, 1970-1980.

|  | 1970 Actua1 <br> Population | 1980 Projected <br> Population* | Number <br> Change <br> $1970-1980$ | Percent <br> Change <br> County |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | 14,287 | 12,177 | $-2,110$ | -14.8 |
| Hamilton | 18,383 | 17,286 | $-1,097$ | -1980 |
| Humboldt | 12,519 | 11,764 | -755 | -6.0 |
| Pocahontas | 12,729 | 10,653 | $-2,076$ | -16.0 |
| Webster | 48,391 | 48,578 | +187 | +0.4 |
| Wright | 17,294 | 15,662 | $-1,632$ | -9.4 |

Source: H. C. Chang, 1973, Population Projections by age and sex for state and counties of Iowa, 1975-1990. PM-568. Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station and the Cooperative Extension Service, Iowa State University.
U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1970. Census of Population. General Population Characteristics, Iowa. PC(1)- $\overline{\mathrm{B} 17}$.
*Projections are based on the assumptions of an ultimate completed fertility rate of 2.450 and future migration the same as the 19501960 experience (Series 4). For Hamilton, Webster and Wright counties, the author has adjusted the projections based upon the 1970 census enumerated population.

Care must be exercised in the use and interpretation of these projections. the future population of counties should not be regarded as inevitable or certain but rather as rough indications of future trends based on assumed conditions.

Population projections without assumptions are meaningless figures. However, the assumptions of completed fertility rates and/or migration trends may not hold true in the future. If the assumptions provided in Table 17 hold true, populations in most of the counties in Region $V$ will decline between 1970 and 1980.

Population projections by age groups for Region V are presented in Table 18. These projections indicate a decline in the 0-19 age group, an increase in the $20-40$ age group and a decline in all age groups over 40 years of age.

TABLE 18. Population projections by age group, Region V, 1970-1980.

| Age group | 1970 Actual <br> Population | 1980 Projected <br> Population* | Number <br> Change <br> $1970-1980$ | Percent <br> Change <br> $1970-1980$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-9$ | 21,581 | 20,353 | $-1,228$ | -5.7 |
| $10-19$ | 25,295 | 20,248 | $-5,047$ | -20.0 |
| $20-29$ | 12,823 | 17,506 | $+4,683$ | +36.5 |
| $30-39$ | 12,250 | 13,704 | $+1,454$ | +11.9 |
| $40-49$ | 14,408 | 9,757 | $-4,651$ | -32.3 |
| $50-59$ | 13,922 | 11,968 | $-1,954$ | -14.0 |
| $60-69$ | 11,095 | 11,071 | - | 24 |
| $70+$ | 12,229 | 11,513 | - | -716 |
|  |  | 116,120 | $-7,483$ | -5.9 |
| Tota1 | 123,603 |  | -5.1 |  |

Source: H. C. Chang. 1973 Population proiections by age and sex for state and counties of Iowa, 1975-1990. PM-568. Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station and the Cooperative Extension Service, Iowa State University.
U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1970. Census of Population. General Population Characteristics, Iowa. PC(1)-B17.

* Projections are based on the assumptions of an ultimate completed fertility rate of 2.450 and future migration the same as the 195060 experience (Series 4). For Hamilton, Webster and Wright counties the author has adjusted the projections based upon the 1970 census enumerated population.

The populations of each age group in 1980 should be treated as a rough indicator based on the assumptions provided. Additional information on population by age group for counties is provided in Appendix $F$.

## A SUMMARY: REGION V

The social changes in this study may be summarized as follows:

1. Total population increased from 1900 to 1960 . However, all of the increases in the region from 1920 to 1960 were offset by the loss in population that occurred from 1960 to 1970.
2. A decreasing percentage of the state's total population from 5.2 percent in 1900 to 4.4 percent in 1970.
3. Increasing urbanization, but area is still predominately rural.
4. A trend toward decreasing population in towns under 500.
5. A sharp decrease in rural population accompanied by a moderate increase in city and town population.
6. A decline in the number of births during the last decade compared to the previous decade, resulting in a general decline in the natural increase in population (births over deaths).
7. Net out-migration from all counties within the region at rates substantially above the average for the state, accounting for most of the population decline in the region.
8. A decrease in population of all age categories during the past decade except for increases in the 10-19, 50-59 and 70 and above categories.
9. A slight decrease in dependency ratios but ratios remaining above the average for the state.
10. A decrease in population density per square mile in all counties within the region except Webster county.
11. An increase in the number of people working outside their county of residence.
12. Increasing median family income but incomes generally below the average for the state.
13. A decline in elementary and secondary school enrollment.
14. An increase in cost per pupil for elementary and secondary education with cost per pupil in the region above the average for the state.
15. A projected decline in population from 1970 to 1980 with the sharpest decline occurring in Calhoun and Pocahontas counties.

## IMPLICATIONS AND THE FUTURE

Population in Region V is projected to decline from 1970 to 1980. The rate of decline is projected to continue at the 1960 to 1970 leve1 of -5.0 percent or slightly greater.

The most recent data available from Iowa Vital Statistics indicates that births within the region are continuing to decline while deaths have increased slightly. As a result, the natural increase in population (births over deaths) has declined approximately one-third during recent years. These data tend to support the projected decline in population.

Migration appears to be the key factor involved in the future population of the area. If net out-migration continues at the 1960 to 1970 rate of 12 percent and if the natural increase in population continues to decline, population within the region has to decline.

If present trends continue, the average age of the population will increase. In 1960, 12 percent of the population within the region was over 65 years of age. By 1970, 14 percent of the population was over 65 years of age.

An older population has different needs than a younger population. As the age composition within the area changes, shifts will occur in demands for health services, education, transportation and recreation. Many of these shifts have already occurred in the region.

Per capita costs of elementary and secondary education are presently above the average for the state. This is èspecially true in the smaller and more rural school districts. If present population trends continue, these costs will continue to increase and may force people within the region to consider school reorganization or other methods to reduce school costs. Captial investments for schools within the region should be studied carefully so that investments for the future are made in the right locations.

Employment will be a critical factor in the future growth of the area. People tend to move where jobs are located. Many of the smaller communities within the region will not be able to secure industries that will provide employment for their residents. Efforts should be made to determine where industrial growth has occurred in the past and where industrial growth is most likely to occur in the future. All communities within the region could then actively work together to increase the number of jobs in the region.

A study of 27 communities within the region has just been completed by Dr. Willis Goudy of Iowa State University. This study indicates what changes are desired by residents in each community. Results of this study should be analyzed by community leaders to establish priorities for future projects and programs. Efforts should be made to develop local community projects and programs that will benefit the entire region.

APPENDIX A. Region V change in age groups by county, 1950-70.


## CALHOUN

| 0-9 | 3,388 | 3,324 | 2,278 | 64 | -1,046 | - 1.9 | -31.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-19 | 2,504 | 2,685 | 2,788 | + 181 | + 103 | + 7.2 | + 3.8 |
| 20-29 | 2,208 | 1,422 | 1,251 | - 786 | - 171 | -35.6 | -12.0 |
| 30-39 | 2,279 | 1,796 | 1,351 | 483 | - 445 | -21.2 | -24.8 |
| 40-49 | 1,952 | 1,934 | 1,631 | 18 | - 303 | -0.9 | -15.7 |
| 50-59 | 1,899 | 1,717 | 1,747 | - 182 | + 30 | - 9.6 | + 1.7 |
| 60-69 | 1,498 | 1,597 | 1,507 | + 99 | 90 | +6.6 | - 5.6 |
| 70-84 | 1,081 | 1,293 | 1,503 | + 212 | + 210 | +19.6 | +16.2 |
| $85+$ | 116 | 155 | 231 | + 39 | + 76 | +33.6 | +49.0 |
| Total | 16,925 | 15,923 | 14,287 | -1,002 | -1,636 | - 5.9 | -10.3 |

HAMILTON

| 0-9 | 3,922 | 4,217 | 3,119 | + | 295 | -1,098 | + 7.5 | -26.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-19 | 2,892 | 3,460 | 3,609 | + | 568 | + 149 | +19.6 | + 4.3 |
| 20-29 | 2,725 | 1,957 | 2,014 | - | 768 | + 51 | -28.2 | + 2.9 |
| 30-39 | 2,735 | 2,589 | 1,893 | - | 146 | - 696 | - 5.3 | -26.9 |
| 40-49 | 2,308 | 2,430 | 2,252 | + | 122 | - 178 | + 5.3 | - 7.3 |
| 50-59 | 2,078 | 2,044 | 2,092 | - | 34 | + 48 | - 1.6 | + 2.3 |
| 60-69 | 1,722 | 1,739 | 1,695 | + | 17 | 44 | +1.0 | - 2.5 |
| 70-84 | 1,173 | 1,406 | 1,514 | + | 233 | + 108 | +19.9 | + 7.7 |
| $85+$ | 105 | 190 | 195 | + | 85 | + 5 | +81.0 | + 2.6 |
| Total | 19,660 | 20,032 | 18,383 | $+$ | 372 | -1,649 | + 1.9 | - 8.2 |

## HUMBOLDT

| 0-9 | 2,685 | 3,007 | 2,157 | + | 322 | - | 850 | +2.0 | -28.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-19 | 2,048 | 2,203 | 2,679 | + | 155 | + | 476 | + 7.6 | +21.6 |
| 20-29 | 1,717 | 1,221 | 1,135 | - | 496 | - | 86 | -28.9 | - 7.0 |
| 30-39 | 1,831 | 1,597 | 1,231 | - | 234 | - | 366 | -12.8 | -22.9 |
| 40-49 | 1,520 | 1,622 | 1,518 | + | 102 | - | 104 | + 6.7 | - 6.4 |
| 50-59 | 1,381 | 1,310 | 1,466 | - | 71 | + | 156 | - 5.1 | +11.9 |
| 60-69 | 1,139 | 1,161 | 1,125 | + | 22 | - | 36 | +1.9 | - 3.1 |
| 70-84 | 707 | 939 | 1,061 | + | 232 | $+$ | 122 | +32.8 | +13.0 |
| $85+$ | 89 | 96 | 147 | + | 7 | + | 51 | + 7.9 | +53.1 |
| Total | 3,117 | 13,156 | 12,519 | + | 39 | - | 637 | $+0.3$ | - 4.8 |

APPENDIX A. (continued)

| Countyand Age |  |  |  | Number | Number |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Change | Change | \% | Change | \% | Change |
| Group | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | 1950-60 | 1960-70 |  | 1950-60 |  | 1960-70 |

POCAHONTAS

| 0-9 | 3,307 | 3,157 | 2,284 | - 150 | 873 | -4.5 | -27.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-19 | 2,525 | 2,530 | 2,604 | + 5 | + 74 | + 0.2 | + 2.9 |
| 20-29 | 2,078 | 1,209 | 1,130 | 869 | 79 | -41.8 | - 6.5 |
| 30-39 | 2,026 | 1,581 | 1,215 | 445 | 366 | -22.0 | -23.1 |
| 40-49 | 1,792 | 1,761 | 1,419 | 31 | 342 | - 1.7 | -19.4 |
| 50-59 | 1,740 | 1,480 | 1,470 | 260 | 10 | -14.9 | - 0.7 |
| 60-69 | 1,206 | 1,396 | 1,217 | + 190 | 179 | +15.8 | -12.8 |
| 70-84 | 744 | 979 | 1,222 | + 235 | + 243 | +31.6 | +24.8 |
| $85+$ | 78 | 141 | 168 | + 63 | + 27 | +80.8 | +19.1 |
| Total | 15,496 | 14,234 | 12,729 | -1,262 | -1,505 | -8.1 | -10.6 |

WEBSTER

| $0-9$ | 8,730 | 11,080 | 8,948 | $+2,350$ | $-2,132$ | +26.9 | -19.2 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10-19$ | 6,525 | 7,781 | 10,145 | $+1,256$ | $+2,364$ | +19.2 | +30.4 |
| $20-29$ | 6,505 | 5,187 | 5,665 | $-1,318$ | +478 | -20.3 | +9.2 |
| $30-39$ | 6,079 | 5,958 | 4,881 | -121 | $-1,077$ | -2.0 | -18.1 |
| $40-49$ | 5,392 | 5,477 | 5,516 | +85 | +39 | +1.6 | +0.7 |
| $50-59$ | 4,785 | 4,672 | 5,023 | -113 | +351 | -2.4 | +7.5 |
| $60-69$ | 3,593 | 4,024 | 3,896 | +431 | -128 | +12.0 | -3.2 |
| $70-84$ | 2,403 | 3,250 | 3,665 | +847 | +415 | +35.2 | +12.8 |
| $85+$ | 229 | 381 | 652 | +152 | +271 | +66.4 | +71.1 |
| Tota1 44,241 | 47,810 | 48,391 | $+3,569$ | +581 | +8.1 | +1.2 |  |

WRIGHT

| 0-9 | 3,962 | 4,285 | 2,795 | $+$ | 323 | -1,490 | $+8.2$ | -34.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-19 | 2,954 | 3,299 | 3,470 | + | 345 | + 171 | +11.7 | + 5.2 |
| 20-29 | 2,608 | 1,850 | 1,628 | - | 758 | 222 | -29.1 | -12.0 |
| 30-39 | 2,674 | 2,294 | 1,679 | - | 380 | 615 | -14.2 | -26.8 |
| 40-49 | 2,278 | 2,379 | 2,072 | + | 101 | 307 | $+4.4$ | -12.9 |
| 50-59 | 2,181 | 1,952 | 2,124 | - | 229 | + 172 | -10.5 | + 8.8 |
| 60-69 | 1,656 | 1,776 | 1,655 | + | 120 | 121 | $+7.2$ | - 6.8 |
| 70-84 | 1,210 | 1,483 | 1,606 | + | 273 | + 123 | +22.6 | + 8.3 |
| $85+$ | 129 | 129 | 265 |  | 000 | + 136 | 0.0 | +105.4 |
| Total | 19,652 | 19,447 | 17,294 | - | 205 | -2,153 | - 1.0 | -11.1 |

APPENDIX B. Township population by counties, 1950-1970.

| CountyTownship | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 | POPULATION CHANGE |  | \% Change$1950-60$ | \% Change$1960-70$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number <br> Change $1950-60$ | Number <br> Change <br> 1960-70 |  |  |
| CALHOUN | 16,925 | 15,923 | 14,287 | - 1,002 | - 1,636 | - 5.9 | -10.3 |
| Butler | 1,595 | 1,376 | 1,226 | 219 | 150 | -13.7 | -10.9 |
| Calhoun | 406 | 358 | 321 | 48 | 37 | -11.8 | -10.3 |
| Cedar | 807 | 737 | 597 | 70 | 140 | -8.7 | -19.0 |
| Center | 1,125 | 1,124 | 1,196 | 1 | + 72 | - 0.1 | + 6.4 |
| Elm Grove | 562 | 515 | 326 | 47 | - 189 | - 8.4 | -36.7 |
| Garfield | 508 | 483 | 345 | 25 | 138 | - 4.9 | -28.6 |
| Greenfield | 607 | 607 | 531 | 0 | 76 | 0.0 | -12.5 |
| Jackson | 519 | 421 | 371 | 98 | 50 | -18.9 | -11.9 |
| Lake City | 2,308 | 2,114 | 1,910 | 194 | 204 | -8.4 | -9.6 |
| Lake Creek | 483 | 403 | 324 | 80 | 79 | -16.6 | -19.6 |
| Lincoln | 2,147 | 2,327 | 2,441 | + 180 | + 114 | +8.4 | $+4.9$ |
| Logan | 446 | 371 | 322 | 75 | - 49 | -16.8 | -13.2 |
| Reading | 854 | 833 | 743 | 21 | - 90 | - 2.5 | -10.8 |
| Sherman | 582 | 589 | 445 | + 7 | - 144 | + 1.2 | -24.4 |
| Twin Lakes | 2,327 | 2,145 | 1,974 | - 182 | - 171 | - 7.8 | - 8.0 |
| Union | 1,130 | 1,063 | 886 | 67 | - 177 | - 5.9 | -16.7 |
| Williams | 519 | 457 | 329 | 62 | 128 | -11.9 | -28.0 |
| HAMILTON | 19,660 | 20,032 | 18,383 | $+\quad 372$ | - 1,649 | + 1.9 | - 8.2 |
| Blairsburg | 738 | 709 | 592 | 29 | 117 | - 3.9 | -16.5 |
| Boone | 7,611 | 8,520 | 8,488 | + 909 | 32 | +11.9 | - 0.4 |
| Cass | 598 | 554 | 512 | 44 | 42 | - 7.4 | - 7.6 |
| Clear Lake | 976 | 988 | 895 | + 12 | 93 | +1.2 | - 9.4 |
| Ellsworth | 791 | 702 | 603 | 89 | 99 | -11.3 | -14.1 |
| Freedom | 466 | 436 | 346 | 30 | 90 | - 6.4 | -20.6 |
| Fremont | 466 | 468 | 359 | + 2 | - 109 | + 0.4 | -23.3 |
| Hamilton | 455 | 393 | 342 | 62 | - 51 | -13.6 | -13.0 |
| Independence | - 802 | 779 | 650 | 23 | - 129 | - 2.9 | -16.6 |
| Liberty | 532 | 505 | 365 | 27 | 140 | - 5.1 | -27.7 |
| Lincoln | 980 | 1,034 | 871 | + 54 | - 163 | + 5.5 | -15.8 |
| Lyon | 1,454 | 1,564 | 1,502 | + 110 | 62 | + 7.6 | - 4.0 |
| Marion | 1,162 | 1,167 | 1,044 | + 5 | 123 | + 0.4 | -10.5 |
| Rose Grove | 532 | 438 | 321 | - 94 | - 117 | -17.7 | -26.7 |
| Scott | 640 | 552 | 441 | - 88 | - 111 | -13.8 | -20.1 |
| Webster | 471 | 356 | 287 | - 115 | - 69 | -24.4 | -19.4 |
| Williams | 986 | 867 | 765 | 119 | 102 | -12.1 | -11.8 |

APPENDIX B. (continued)

| County | POPULATION CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number <br> Change $1950-60$ | Number <br> Cnange $1960-70$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { 1950-60 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Change } \\ & 1060-70 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1950 | 1960 | 1970 |  |  |  |  |
| HUMBOLDT | 13,117 | 13,156 | 12,519 | +39 | 637 | $+0.3$ | $-4.8$ |
| Avery | 655 | 625 | 512 | - 30 | 113 | - 4.6 | -18.1 |
| Beaver | 595 | 586 | 410 | - 9 | - 176 | - 1.5 | -30.0 |
| Corinth | 522 | 482 | 381 | - 40 | - 101 | - 7.7 | -21.0 |
| Dakota | 637 | 706 | 746 | + 69 | + 40 | +10.8 | + 5.7 |
| Delana | 1,030 | 909 | 760 | - 121 | - 149 | -11.7 | -16.4 |
| Grove | 466 | 403 | 362 | - 63 | - 41 | -13.5 | -10.2 |
| Humbo 1dt | 1,057 | 922 | 798 | - 135 | - 124 | -12.8 | -13.4 |
| Lake | 681 | 538 | 426 | - 143 | - 112 | -21.0 | -20.8 |
| Norway | 813 | 758 | 621 | - 55 | - 137 | -6.8 | -18.1 |
| Rutland | 730 | 737 | 664 | + 7 | - 73 | + 1.0 | - 9.9 |
| Springvale | 3,219 | 4,031 | 4,665 | + 812 | + 634 | +25.2 | +15.7 |
| Vernon | 1,072 | 993 | 827 | - 79 | - 166 | - 7.4 | -16.7 |
| Wacousta | 630 | 552 | 443 | - 78 | - 109 | -12.4 | -19.7 |
| Weaver | 1,010 | 914 | 904 | - 96 | 10 | - 9.5 | - 1.1 |
| - - - | - - - | - - | - | - - - | - | - | - |
| POCAHONTAS | 15,496 | 14,234 | 12,729 | -1,262 | -1,505 | - 8.1 | -10.6 |
| Bellville | 890 | 745 | 680 | - 145 | - 65 | -16.3 | - 8.7 |
| Cedar | 1,626 | 1,473 | 1,331 | - 153 | - 142 | - 9.4 | -9.6 |
| Center | 2,063 | 2,135 | 2,443 | + 72 | + 308 | + 3.5 | +14.4 |
| Clinton | 997 | 819 | 767 | - 178 | - 52 | -17.9 | -6.3 |
| Colfax | 636 | 518 | 433 | - 118 | - 85 | -18.6 | -16.4 |
| Cummins | 819 | 735 | 575 | - 84 | - 160 | -10.3 | -21.8 |
| Des Moines | 521 | 420 | 312 | - 101 | - 108 | -19.4 | -25.7 |
| Dover | 677 | 619 | 455 | - 58 | - 164 | -8.6 | -26.5 |
| Garfield | 603 | 522 | 444 | - 81 | - 78 | -13.4 | -14.9 |
| Grant | 526 | 464 | 313 | - 62 | - 151 | -11.8 | -32.5 |
| Lake | 676 | 624 | 570 | 52 | - 54 | - 7.7 | -8.7 |
| Lincoln | 512 | 456 | 329 | - 56 | - 127 | -10.9 | -27.9 |
| Lizard | 555 | 435 | 398 | - 120 | - 37 | -21.6 | -8.5 |
| Marshal1 | 572 | 491 | 363 | - 81 | - 128 | -14.2 | -26.1 |
| Powhatan | 769 | 633 | 429 | - 136 | - 204 | -17.7 | -32.2 |
| Roosevelt | 422 | 396 | 314 | - 26 | - 82 | - 6.2 | -20.7 |
| Sherman | 528 | 451 | 368 | - 77 | - 83 | -14.6 | -18.4 |
| Swan Lake | 2,104 | 2,298 | 2,205 | + 194 | 93 | + 9.2 | - 4.0 |

APPENDIX B. (continued)

POPULATION CHANGE


| WEBSTER | 44,241 | 47,810 | 48,391 |  | 3,569 | $+581$ | $+8.1$ | $+1.2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Badger | 841 | 848 | 1,156 | + | 7 | + 308 | + 0.8 | +36.3 |
| Burnside | 565 | 533 | 454 | - | 32 | - 79 | - 5.7 | -14.8 |
| Clay | 556 | 483 | 428 | - | 73 | - 55 | -13.1 | -11.4 |
| Colfax | 573 | 519 | 506 | - | 54 | - 13 | - 9.4 | -2.5 |
| Cooper | 2,234 | 2,657 | 970 | + | 423 | -1,687 | +18.9 | -63.5 |
| Dayton | 1,491 | 1,443 | 1,406 | - | 48 | - 37 | - 3.2 | - 2.6 |
| Deer Creek | 533 | 539 | 482 | + | 6 | - 57 | + 1.1 | -10.6 |
| Douglas | 1,011 | 1,118 | 887 | + | 107 | - 231 | +10.6 | -20.7 |
| Elkhorn | 769 | 1,098 | 1,170 | $+$ | 329 | + 12 | +42.8 | + 6.6 |
| Fulton | 798 | 769 | 739 | - | 29 | - 30 | - 3.6 | - 3.9 |
| Gowrie | 1,472 | 1,438 | 1,467 | - | 34 | + 29 | - 2.3 | + 2.0 |
| Hardin | 252 | 220 | 190 | - | 32 | - 30 | -12.7 | -13.6 |
| Jackson | 645 | 679 | 598 | $+$ | 34 | - 81 | + 5.3 | -11.9 |
| Johnson | 689 | 589 | 545 | - | 100 | - 44 | -14.5 | - 7.5 |
| Lost Grove | 926 | 820 | 795 | - | 106 | - 25 | -11.4 | - 3.0 |
| Newark | 690 | 643 | 626 | - | 47 | - 17 | - 6.8 | - 2.6 |
| Otho | 1,096 | 1,092 | 1,059 | - | 4 | - 33 | - 0.4 | - 3.0 |
| Pleasant Valley | 561 | 721 | 746 | + | 160 | + 25 | +28.5 | + 3.5 |
| Roland | 924 | 846 | 807 | - | 78 | - 39 | -8.4 | - 4.6 |
| Sumner | 623 | 657 | 511 | + | 34 | - 146 | + 5.5 | -22.2 |
| Wahkonsa | 25,115 | 28,399 | 31,263 |  | , 284 | +2,864 | +13.1 | +10.1 |
| Washington | 1,101 | 1,023 | 962 |  | 78 | - 61 | - 7.1 | - 6.0 |
| Webster | 525 | 467 | 421 | - | 58 | - 46 | -11.0 | - 9.9 |
| Yell | 251 | 209 | 203 | - | 42 | 6 | -16.7 | - 2.9 |
| WRIGHT | - - - | 19,447 | -17,294 | - | - 205 | -2,153 | --- | --- |
| Belmond | 757 | 673 | 519 | - | 84 | - 154 | -11.1 | -22.9 |
| Blaine | 1,386 | 1,247 | 1,072 | - | 139 | - 175 | -10.0 | -14.0 |
| Boone | 501 | 430 | 338 | - | 71 | - 92 | -14.2 | -21.4 |
| Dayton | 927 | 820 | 705 | - | 107 | - 115 | -11.5 | -14.0 |
| Eagle Grove | 4,625 | 4,797 | 4,862 | $+$ | 172 | + 65 | + 3.7 | + 1.4 |
| Grant | 1,776 | 1,948 | 1,724 | + | 172 | - 224 | + 9.7 | -11.5 |
| Iowa | 855 | 763 | 564 | - | 92 | - 199 | -10.8 | -26.1 |
| Lake | 774 | 692 | 501 | - | 82 | - 191 | -10.6 | -27.6 |
| Liberty | 1,117 | 1,077 | 1,031 | - | 40 | - 46 | - 3.6 | - 4.3 |
| Lincoln | 1,691 | 1,659 | 1,429 | - | 32 | - 230 | - 1.9 | -13.9 |
| Norway | 513 | 525 | 327 | $+$ | 12 | - 198 | + 2.3 | -37.7 |
| Pleasant | 2,569 | 2,880 | 2,655 | $+$ | 311 | - 225 | +12.1 | - 7.8 |
| Troy | 496 | 476 | 382 | - | 20 | - 94 | -4.0 | -19.7 |
| Vernon | 478 | 411 | 322 | - | 67 | - 89 | -14.0 | -21.7 |
| Wall Lake | 445 | 363 | 301 | - | 82 | - 62 | -18.4 | -17.1 |
| Woolstock | 742 | 686 | 562 | - | 56 | - 124 | - 7.5 | -18.1 |

APPENDIX C. Region $V$ educational changes by school districts, 1968-73.

|  |  | Teacher Ratios |  |  |  | Teacher Ratios |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.D.M. } \\ & 68-69 \end{aligned}$ | Elem. H.S. | Cost Per Pupil | H.S. Units | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.D.M. } \\ & 72-73 \end{aligned}$ | E1em. | H.S. | Cost Per Pupil | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.S. } \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ |

CALHOUN

| Cedar Valley Comm. | 415 | 20.4 | 8.8 | 1092 | 36.0 | 393 | 17.2 | 11.0 | 1241 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lake City Comm. | 884 | 19.8 | 15.7 | 736 | 41.8 | 748 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 1046 |
| Lohrville Comm. | 391 | 16.8 | 11.2 | 909 | 33.0 | 329 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 1179 |
| Lytton Comm. | 310 | 18.6 | 11.1 | 962 | 32.0 | 303 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 1075 |
| Manson Comm. | 970 | 22.3 | 12.5 | 702 | 41.3 | 921 | 19.5 | 13.6 | 97.5 |
| Pomeroy Comm. | 409 | 20.2 | 13.5 | 730 | 32.5 | 402 | 22.0 | 7.8 | 930 |
| Rockwell City | 927 | 20.1 | 17.2 | 693 | 40.5 | 895 | 17.3 | 12.9 | 80.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.0 |  |

## HAMILTON

| Northeast Hamilton | 660 | 16.1 | 13.0 | 970 | 34.5 | 559 | 20.2 | 11.7 | 1198 | 67.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Hamilton Comm. | 1202 | 19.0 | 13.2 | 936 | 32.5 | 1113 | 19.5 | 15.2 | 1079 | 60.5 |
| Stratford Comm. | 447 | 21.7 | 13.6 | 751 | 33.0 | 441 | 21.2 | 11.5 | 919 | 34.3 |
| Webster City Comm. | 2752 | 23.1 | 16.4 | 700 | 65.8 | 2561 | 21.7 | 16.5 | 957 | 77.4 |

## POCAHONTAS

| Fonda Comm. | 281 | 23.3 | 7.8 | 942 | 30.8 | 330 | 15.6 | 12.7 | 1009 | 38.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Havelock-Plover Comm. | 286 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 958 | 28.0 | 266 | 15.6 | 11.6 | 1113 | 32.0 |
| Laurens Comm. | 797 | 20.4 | 15.4 | 694 | 38.0 | 690 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 922 | 47.5 |
| Palmer Cons. | 214 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 1004 | 32.0 | 227 | 17.5 | 8.6 | 1190 | 36.5 |
| Pocahontas Comm. | 681 | 20.9 | 12.4 | 721 | 38.6 | 766 | 18.0 | 15.4 | 1020 | 56.6 |
| Rolfe Comm. | 438 | 15.0 | 10.3 | 961 | 36.0 | 398 | 21.4 | 10.8 | 1023 | 43.0 |
| HUMBOLDT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boone Valley Comm. | 411 | 18.8 | 22.9 | 1025 | 35.0 | 357 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 1215 | 41.0 |
| Gilmore City-Bradgate | 480 | 17.0 | 14.6 | 855 | 32.5 | 513 | 17.2 | 16.4 | 1037 | 37.5 |
| Humboldt Comm. | 1950 | 21.7 | 17.4 | 734 | 50.6 | 1850 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 993 | 68.0 |
| Twin Rivers Comm. | 508 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 1069 | 34.5 | 472 | 20.0 | 13.4 | 1100 | 39.5 |


| High School District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.D.M. } \\ & 68-69 \end{aligned}$ | Teacher Ratios |  | Cost Per Pupil | H.S. Units | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A.D.M. } \\ & 72-73 \end{aligned}$ | Teacher Ratios |  | Cost Per Pupil | H.S. Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Elem. | H.S. |  |  |  | Elem. | H.S. |  |  |
| WEBSTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Webster Comm. | 595 | 20.1 | 14.9 | 768 | 38.5 | 503 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 987 | 43.5 |
| Dayton Comm. | 365 | 19.8 | 11.6 | 853 | 32.0 | 352 | 16.4 | 9.2 | 744 | 35.5 |
| Fort Dodge Comm. | 7962 | 25.1 | 21.0 | 672 | 73.5 | 7372 | 24.2 | 20.2 | 797 | 89.8 |
| Northwest Webster Comm. | 395 | 20.8 | 9.0 | 1042 | 35.0 | 405 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 1141 | 35.0 |
| Prairie Comm. | 1157 | 20.3 | 16.9 | 811 | 43.8 | 1104 | 20.5 | 16.4 | 1127 | 49.0 |
| WRIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belmond Comm. | 1139 | 20.2 | 17.8 | 725 | 42.5 | 1006 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 990 | 47.3 |
| Clarion Comm. | 1202 | 24.6 | 18.1 | 735 | 43.0 | 1144 | 22.2 | 19.6 | 1051 | 50.5 |
| Dows Comm. | 440 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 969 | 37.5 | 363 | 18.8 | 14.7 | 1297 | 44.3 |
| Eagle Grove Comm. | 1761 | 17.8 | 13.2 | 904 | 60.5 | 1685 | 20.1 | 19.0 | 1038 | 65.7 |
| Goldfield Comm. | 305 | 15.6 | 11.8 | 924 | 35.5 | 302 | 16.4 | 14.7 | 1101 | 29.0 |

Source: Iowa State Dept. of Public Instruction.

APPENDIX D. Region V family income by categories, 1970.

| Family Income | CALHOUN |  | HAMILTON |  | HUMBOLDT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Families | \% | No. of Families | \% | No. of Families | \% |
| Under \$1,000 | 103 | 2.7 | 75 | 1.5 | 46 | 1.4 |
| 1,000-1,999 | 169 | 4.4 | 185 | 3.8 | 96 | 2.9 |
| 2,000-2,999 | 281 | 7.4 | 279 | 5.7 | 241 | 7.3 |
| 3,000-3,999 | 306 | 8.0 | 261 | 5.3 | 198 | 6.0 |
| 4,000-4,99y | 234 | 6.1 | 273 | 5.6 | 152 | 4.6 |
| 5,000-5,999 | 342 | 8.9 | 378 | 7.7 | 241 | 7.3 |
| 6,000-6,999 | 284 | 7.4 | 390 | 8.0 | 287 | 8.7 |
| 7,000-7,999 | 259 | 6.8 | 466 | 9.5 | 315 | 9.5 |
| 8,000-8,999 | 325 | 8.5 | 418 | 8.5 | 282 | 8.5 |
| 9,000-9,999 | 253 | 6.6 | 367 | 7.5 | 277 | 8.4 |
| 10,000-11,999 | 466 | 12.2 | 618 | 12.7 | 381 | 11.6 |
| 12,000-14,999 | 366 | 9.6 | 498 | 10.2 | 367 | 11.1 |
| 15,000-24,999 | 312 | 8.2 | 518 | 10.6 | 339 | 10.3 |
| 25,000-49,999 | 85 | 2.2 | 139 | 2.8 | 77 | 2.3 |
| $50,000+$ | 37 | 1.0 | 27 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Total | 3,822 | 100.0 | 4,892 | 100.0 | 3,303 1 | 100.0 |

APPENDIX D. (continued)

| Family Income | POCAHONTAS |  | WEBSTER |  | WRIGHT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Families | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Famili } \end{aligned}$ | \% | No. of Families | \% |
| Under \$1,000 | 48 | 1.5 | 163 | 1.3 | 75 | 1.6 |
| 1,000-1,999 | 121 | 3.7 | 295 | 2.4 | 152 | 3.3 |
| 2,000-2,999 | 235 | 7.2 | 591 | 4.9 | 268 | 5.8 |
| 3,000-3,999 | 257 | 7.8 | 586 | 4.8 | 277 | 6.0 |
| 4,000-4,999 | 177 | 5.4 | 680 | 5.6 | 189 | 4.1 |
| 5,000-5,999 | 321 | 9.7 | 796 | 6.5 | 306 | 6.6 |
| 6,000-6,999 | 275 | 8.4 | 878 | 7.2 | 357 | 7.7 |
| 7,000-7,999 | 303 | 9.2 | 1,043 | 8.6 | 358 | 7.7 |
| 8,000-8,999 | 263 | 8.0 | 919 | 7.6 | 330 | 7.1 |
| 9,000-10,000 | 187 | 5.7 | 1,004 | 8.3 | 271 | 5.8 |
| 10,000-11,999 | 357 | 10.8 | 1,790 | 14.6 | 542 | 11.5 |
| 12,000-14,999 | 319 | 9.7 | 1,579 | 13.0 | 466 | 10.0 |
| 15,000-24,999 | 308 | 9.4 | 1,515 | 12.4 | 611 | 13.0 |
| 25,000-49,999 | 97 | 3.0 | 299 | 2.5 | 312 | 6.7 |
| $50,000+$ | 16 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.3 | 143 | 3.1 |
| Total | 3,284 | 100.0 | 12,176 | 100.0 | 4,657 | 100.0 |
| Source: U.S. Census of Population, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Iowa, 1970. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX E. Births and Deaths by counties, 1963-1973.

| County | 1973 |  |  |  | $\underline{1972}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{1971}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births |  | Deaths |  | Births |  | Deaths |  | Births |  | Deaths |  |
|  | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* |
| Calhoun | 146 | 10.1 | 177 | 12.3 | 169 | 12.0 | 170 | 12.1 | 172 | 12.1 | 191 | 13.5 |
| Hamilton | 216 | 12.1 | 186 | 10.4 | 246 | 13.7 | 184 | 10.2 | 235 | 12.9 | 192 | 10.5 |
| Humboldt | 138 | 10.8 | 123 | 9.6 | 141 | 10.8 | 136 | 10.5 | 179 | 14.2 | 128 | 10.2 |
| Pocahontas | 143 | 12.0 | 133 | 11.2 | 148 | 12.1 | 133 | 10.9 | 160 | 12.7 | 154 | 12.2 |
| Webster | 586 | 12.1 | 484 | 10.0 | 675 | 13.8 | 484 | 9.9 | 759 | 15.6 | 473 | 9.7 |
| Wright | 181 | 10.3 | 226 | 12.9 | 174 | 10.1 | 224 | 13.0 | 221 | 12.8 | 176 | 10.2 |
| Total | 1,410 |  | 1,329 |  | 1,553 |  | 1,331 |  | 1,726 |  | ,314 |  |


|  | 1970 |  |  |  | 1969 |  |  |  | $\underline{1968}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | 190 | 13.4 | 192 | 13.5 | 186 | 12.5 | 191 | 12.8 | 205 | 13.6 | 170 | 11.3 |
| Hamilton | 303 | 16.5 | 228 | 12.4 | 270 | 13.8 | 233 | 11.9 | 254 | 12.8 | 227 | 11.5 |
| Humboldt | 167 | 13.4 | 130 | 10.4 | 159 | 12.6 | 119 | 9.4 | 168 | 13.1 | 136 | 10.6 |
| Pocahontas | 170 | 13.4 | 138 | 10.9 | 193 | 14.3 | 134 | 10.0 | 173 | 12.6 | 139 | 10.1 |
| Webster | 777 | 16.1 | 460 | 9.5 | 762 | 16.7 | 532 | 11.3 | 816 | 17.1 | 520 | 10.9 |
| Wright | 240 | 13.9 | 213 | 12.4 | 185 | 10.0 | 211 | 11.4 | 230 | 12.2 | 237 | 12.5 |
| Total | 1,847 |  | 1,361 |  | 1,755 |  | 1,420 |  | 1,846 |  | ,429 |  |


|  | 1967 |  |  |  | 1966 |  |  |  | 1965 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calhoun | 196 | 12.3 | 195 | 12.3 | 200 | 12.6 | 183 | 11.5 | 211 | 13.2 | 181 | 11.3 |
| Hamilton | 270 | 13.5 | 228 | 11.4 | 298 | 15.0 | 229 | 11.5 | 340 | 17.0 | 206 | 10.3 |
| Humboldt | 174 | 13.4 | 136 | 10.5 | 182 | 14.1 | 157 | 12.1 | 209 | 16.2 | 123 | 9.5 |
| Pocahontas | 207 | 15.4 | 138 | 10.2 | 191 | 14.2 | 144 | 10.7 | 203 | 14.9 | 148 | 10.8 |
| Webster | 805 | 16.8 | 478 | 10.0 | 902 | 18.9 | 535 | 11.2 | 956 | 20.0 | 500 | 10.4 |
| Wright | 230 | 12.2 | 196 | 10.4 | 222 | 11.8 | 193 | 10.3 | 264 | 13.9 | 187 | 9.8 |
| Total | 1,882 |  | 1,371 |  | 1,995 |  | 1,441 |  | 2,183 |  | , 345 |  |

[^2]APPENDIX E. (continued)

| County | 1964 |  |  |  | 1963 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Births |  | Deaths |  | Births |  | Deaths |  |
|  | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate* | No. | Rate * |
| Ca1houn | 259 | 16.1 | 187 | 11.7 | 280 | 17.7 | 189 | 12.0 |
| Hamilton | 262 | 17.9 | 205 | 10.1 | 394 | 19.7 | 194 | 9.7 |
| Humboldt | 252 | 19.3 | 131 | 10.0 | 251 | 19.2 | 132 | 10.1 |
| Pocahontas | 258 | 18.6 | 168 | 12.1 | 279 | 19.8 | 147 | 10.4 |
| Webster | 1,001 | 21.0 | 493 | 10.3 | 1,114 | 23.1 | 543 | 11.2 |
| Wright | 289 | 14.8 | 224 | 11.5 | 344 | 17.7 | 237 | 12.2 |
| Total | 2,321 |  | 1,408 |  | 2,662 |  | 1,442 |  |

Source: Computed from U.S. Census of Population and Iowa Vital Statistics
*Rate is total deaths per 1,000 population.

APPENDIX F. Population projections by county and age group, 1980.

| Age | Ca1houn County |  | Hamilton County |  | Humboldt County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 0-4 | 493 | 481 | 747 | 704 | 566 | 547 |
| 5-9 | 478 | 476 | 718 | 662 | 521 | 513 |
| 10-14 | 486 | 462 | 729 | 667 | 504 | 499 |
| 15-19 | 499 | 479 | 771 | 729 | 553 | 548 |
| 20-24 | 413 | 436 | 667 | 672 | 409 | 438 |
| 25-29 | 413 | 401 | 607 | 621 | 404 | 413 |
| 30-34 | 369 | 410 | 595 | 623 | 334 | 394 |
| 35-39 | 299 | 326 | 464 | 531 | 308 | 314 |
| 40-44 | 218 | 241 | 325 | 417 | 210 | 266 |
| 45-49 | 236 | 291 | 351 | 429 | 234 | 273 |
| 50-54 | 314 | 318 | 461 | 490 | 287 | 329 |
| 55-59 | 325 | 405 | 470 | 530 | 301 | 320 |
| 60-64 | 357 | 397 | 456 | 481 | 308 | 345 |
| 65-69 | 308 | 370 | 380 | 389 | 241 | 283 |
| 70-74 | 246 | 318 | 265 | 352 | 206 | 229 |
| $75+$ | 377 | 535 | 380 | 603 | 285 | 382 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pocahontas County Webster County |  |  |  | Wright County |  |
| 0-4 | 484 | 463 | 2,435 | 2,317 | 697 | 650 |
| 5-9 | 465 | 442 | 2,147 | 2,063 | 666 | 618 |
| 10-14 | 466 | 440 | 2,041 | 2,033 | 656 | 602 |
| 15-19 | 496 | 477 | 2,310 | 2,444 | 703 | 654 |
| 20-24 | 358 | 378 | 1,910 | 2,169 | 607 | 591 |
| 25-29 | 324 | 335 | 1,834 | 1,970 | 559 | 577 |
| 30-34 | 280 | 332 | 1,578 | 1,642 | 502 | 528 |
| 35-39 | 246 | 265 | 1,205 | 1,307 | 412 | 440 |
| 40-44 | 163 | 198 | 941 | 1,040 | 292 | 337 |
| 45-49 | 202 | 237 | 1,014 | 1,124 | 332 | 386 |
| 50-54 | 262 | 288 | 1,122 | 1,179 | 398 | 428 |
| 55-59 | 305 | 294 | 1,083 | 1,200 | 382 | 477 |
| 60-64 | 297 | 354 | 919 | 1,185 | 417 | 455 |
| 65-69 | 245 | 298 | 800 | 1,047 | 356 | 383 |
| 70-74 | 202 | 240 | 623 | 938 | 278 | 313 |
| $75+$ | 341 | 476 | 849 | 2,109 | 365 | 601 |
| TOTAL | 5,136 | 5,517 | 22,811 | 25,767 | 7,622 | 8,040 |

Source: H. C. Chang, 1973, Population Projections by age and sex for state and counties of Iowa, 1975-1990. Pm-568.
Projections are based on the assumptions of an ultimate completed fertility rate of 2.450 and future migration the same as the 1950-60 experience (Series 4). For Hamilton, Webster and Wright counties, the author has adjusted the projections based upon the 1970 census enumerated population.

## . . AND JUSTICE FOR ALL <br> Programs and activities of Cooperative Extension Service are available to all potential clientele without regard to race, color, sex or national origin. Anyone who feels discriminated against should send a complaint within 90 days to the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. <br> 
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[^0]:    *Prepared by Arthur H. Johnson, Extension Sociologist.

[^1]:    Source: Selected Data on Iowa Public Schoo1s, Genera1 Econ. 183, Cooperative Extension Service, Iowa. Iowa State University and Iowa State Department of Public Instruction.

[^2]:    * Rate is total deaths per 1,000 population.

