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Based upon the model check conducted so far, the staff concludes that the 1975 DRIM area vehicle trips seem to be over-generated by the original model. Over-generation is probably caused by the sharp increase in auto ownership since 1964. Trip making may have reached a marginal level, such that regardless how many extra cars each family owns, the total number of trips per household may remain more constant. A shortcut trial method is also proposed for refinement of the present trip generation model.

1975 total socio-economic data and related statistics are tabulated in Table 1. A comparison with 1970 and with 1964 data is also presented in this table. Highlights of 1975 DRIM area socio-economic characteristics are:

1. Practically no growth has occurred in population since 1970.
2. Employment by place of residence increased by $17 \%$ from 1970 to 1975 . This means $43 \%$ of the total population was employed in 1975.
3. The biggest increase between 1970 and 1975 was auto ownership--36.5\%. Fifteen years ago, one of every 3 persons owned an automobile. By 1975, one of every 2 persons were auto owners.
4. Employment force has expanded at about 1\% per year since 1970. Retail employment experienced most of the growth--30\% in 5 vears, while "other" employment experienced a siight decrease in the DRIM area.
5. Family size dropped slightly from 3 persons per DU to 2.81 per DU, while the car ownership increased substantially at 1.55 per family (national average is about 1.2 cars per household).

## 1975 Synthetic Trip Production

Table 2 lists the 1975 DRIM area's total estimated vehicle trips by trip purpose. These trips were estimated by the original trip generation rate model developed with 1960's 0-D data. Comparison with base year (1964) and other study years' trips were also made in Table 3. Noticeable features of 1975 synthetic trips are as follows:

1. Total internal trips increased $70 \%$ from base year (1964).
2. Compared to 1970, synthetic trips increased $30 \%$ in 5 years.
3. All home-based trip productions experienced sharp increase ranging from $75 \%$ to $115 \%$ since the 1964 base year.
4. Non-home-based trips increased fairly moderately at an annual rate of $3 \%$ since the base year, and their proportion among other trip purposes dropped from $18 \%$ to $14 \%$ of the total productions.

Comparison of Socio-Economic Data
DRIM Transportation Study Area

| Variables | 1964 | 1970 | 1975 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { From } 1964 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | From 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 250,751 | 292,556 | 297,638 | 18.7\% | 1.7\% |
| Dwelling Units | 77,988 | 97,915 | 105,944 | 35.8\% | 8.2\% |
| Total Employment | 95,006 | 139,669 | 146,297 | 54.0\% | 4.7\% |
| *Retail | 14,344 | 18,307 | 23,627 | 64.7\% | 29.7\% |
| *Manufacture | 42,543 | 44,923 | 48,220 | 13.3\% | 7.3\% |
| *Other | 38,119 | 76,439 | 74,450 | 95.3\% | -2.6\% |
| Employed Residential | 85,567 | 111,288 | 129,819 | 51.7 | 16.7\% |
| Auto Ownership | 90,171 | 120,086 | 163,913 | 81.8\% | 36.5\% |
| School Enrollment | 41,883 | 80,676 | 83,529 | 99.4\% | -15.2\% |
| *1-8 Grade | 30,412 | 52,242 | 44,307 | 45.7\% | -15.2\% |
| *9-12 Grade | 9,231 | 16,582 | 21,003 | 127.5\% | 26.7\% |
| *College | 2,240 | 11,852 | 18,219 | 713.3\% | 53.7\% |
| Population per DU | 3.22 | 2.99 | 2.81 |  |  |
| Car Per DU | 1.16 | 1.23 | 1.55 |  |  |
| Empres Per DU | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.23 |  |  |
| Emp Per Population | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.49 |  |  |
| Empres/Total Emp | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.89 |  |  |
| Population Per Car | 2.78 | 2.44 | 1.82 |  |  |
| Empres/Population | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.44 |  |  |

Table 2A
Vehicle Trip Productions DRIM Area Transportation Study

Trip Category
HRW-P
HBS-P
HBO-P
NHB-P
TRUCK
TOTAL

1964
109,213
69,406
218,719
102,837
69,023
569,198

1964
SYN

| 19.2 | 105,533 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 12.2 | 71,833 |
| 38.4 | 220,059 |
| 18.1 | 101,336 |
| 12.1 | 70,892 |
| 100.0 | 569,553 |

18. 

142,6
12.6 101,316
19.1 186,434
13.6 154,471
$39.5409,810$
17.8 138,219
$10.0 \quad 80,642$
100.0

969,576
\%

## 1985

\% SYN
\%

| 19.2 | 157,012 | 15.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15.9 | 137,805 | 13.7 |
| 42.3 | 434,262 | 43.3 |
| 14.3 | 186,343 | 18.6 |
| 8.3 | 87,893 | 8.8 |
| 100.0 | $1,003,316$ | 100.0 |

Table 2B
Personal Trip Productions DRIM Area Transportation Study

| 1964 |  | 1964 |  | 1970 |  | 1975 |  | 1985 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $\%$ | SYN | $\%$ | SYN | $\%$ | SYN | $\%$ | SYN | $\%$ |
| 145,935 | 16.8 | 138,801 | 16.0 | 182,895 | 16.5 | 218,388 | 15.7 | 196,858 | 13.1 |
| 111,848 | 12.9 | 115,198 | 13.3 | 151,155 | 13.7 | $-204,472$ | 14.7 | 197,237 | 13.1 |
| 392,575 | 45.3 | 394,896 | 45.6 | 509,960 | 46.0 | 688,971 | 49.5 | 753,955 | 50.2 |
| 146,558 | 16.9 | 145,824 | 16.9 | 189,479 | 17.1 | 199,811 | 14.3 | 265,687 | 17.7 |
| 69,023 | 8.0 | 70,892 | 8.2 | 74,500 | 6.7 | 80,818 | 5.8 | 87,894 | 5.9 |
| 865,939 | 100.0 | 865,611 | 100.0 | $1,107,989$ | 100.0 | $1,392,460$ | 100.0 | $1,501,631$ | 100.0 |

Trip Category

HBW-P
HBS -P
HBO-P
NHB - P
TRUCK
TOTAL

TABLE 3
Comparison of 1964-1975
Vehicle Trip Production
DRIM Area Transportation Study


* From Nationwide Personal Transportation Study, Report No. 11, U.S. Department of Transportation, December, 1974.

5. Compared to 1985 estimates, non-home based trips increase only $3.5 \%$ during the entire 10 years fiom 1975-85.

## Trip Rate Analysis

Based on the statistics shown above, the 1975 trip estimates tend to increase at nearly the same rate as auto ownership did. For example, auto ownership increased $82 \%$ since the base year, and the total number of trip increased about $70 \%$. Auto ownership is the single most significant independent variable in the DRIM area trip generation model, contributing about $58 \%$ of total zonal productions estimated in 1975. Furthermore, personal auto ownership (e.g., Auto/Pop rate) is used in the DRIM model for estimating vehicle trips from person trips. The model assumes that as the auto per person rate increases, car occupancy rates decrease. Thus, more vehicle trips are converted from person trips.

Question is: Is this really true in 1975 trip making? If a one-car family made 8 trips a day in the 1960's, would they double their trip making if they owned 2 cars in the 1970 's, or has the total trip making per family reached a marginal level regardless how many more cars they own?

Perhaps the ultimate question: Is the model adequate for future forecasting?
Based on the analysis conducted so far, it appears that the model produces an over-generation of 1975 trips. Table 4 tabulates the statistics of a series of assignment to ground count comparisons from the first two base network. assignments.

The first assignment is on the 1975 base network using 5 minute bridge penalties crossing the Mississippi River, and no K-factors. It shows an overwhelming overloading on screenlines; overloading for all functional classes except major arterials, and overloading for all link count groups. The persistent overloading throughout all count groups indicates that further network calibration would be ineffective until total trip loadings are reduced. The second assignment used the same base network with the bridge penalties increased to 8 minutes, and still without $k$-factors. It should be noted that trips were redistributed in the second run by the minimum time paths selected from this particular network.
the result showed improvement in the ground count comparison. However, it is still overloaded in most cases. The improvement resulted from the reduction of about 2000 trips loaded on the second network. This reduction resulted because the gravity model computed more intra-zonal trips, since the 8 -minute bridge penalty resisted further long distance trips.

One may suggest that further bridge penalties (e.g., say 12 -minute penalty for all Mississippi River crossings) would reduce the total trip loading and thus calm down overloading problems. There are two disadvantages in this approach:

1. Unrealistic bridge penalties would stiffen the forecast flexibility. A 12-minute bridge penalty would probably separate the entire DRIM study area as if they were two unrelated regions.
2. Severe bridge penalties may jeopardize network balance and create a deadlock for calibration work later on.

Conclusions and Recommendation
Marginal household trip production rate is a theory that seem agreeable with a national sample survey. The Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey conducted by the Bureau of Census in early 1970 had the following observation:*
> "The average number of vehicle trips made daily per household increases with increased car ownership; however, not proportionately. While the one-car household make 3.4 vehicle trips daily, two-car households make 6.4 trips and three or more car households make 8.6. vehicle trips daily. The average number of daily vehicle trips per household is slightly higher in unincorporated areas ( 4.3 trips per household) than in incorporated places ( 3.7 trips per household). Places of $1,000,000$ and over averaged the fewest number of daily trips ( 1.9 trips per household) due to the large perponderance of "carless" households."

* Nationwide Personal Transportation Study. Report 11, Page 58, U.S. Department of Transportation, December 1974.


[^0]The survey suggests that household trips per auto decrease as household auto ownership increases. Figure $1(\mathrm{Pg} .2)$ illustrates such marginal relationships.

On the other hand, over-generation would occur when a simple linear model follows a direct, proportional rate from auto ownership in home-based trip computation. This is found in DRIM area studies (1964-1975):

1. Home-based trips (estimates) increased as fast as (if not faster than) auto ownership did; and
2. Trips per auto did not go down even though. household auto ownership increased $34 \%$ from 1.16 in 1964 to 1.55 in 1975.

It is concluded that the 1975 vehicle trips are very possibly over-generated by the original model. However, it is not known exactly how many trips are overgenerated. A shortcut trial model refinement is suggested as follows:

1. Reduction of trips that are auto ownership-cienendent (i.e., home-based shopping and home-based other trips) by adjustment of those zones having an auto ownership per DU rate higher than 2.0 . The value 2.0 is selected as the cutoff point since no significant change in the trips per auto rate occurs between one-car and two-car families.
2. The rate of reduction is directly proportional to the AUTO/DU rate in excess of 2.0 as shown below.

$$
T_{i}=T_{i}\left[1-\left((A U T O / D U)_{i}-2.0\right) * K\right]
$$

Where: $\bar{T}_{i}=$ adjusted home based trips in zone $i$.
(AUTO/DU) $_{i}=$ auto per DU rate in zone i>2.0.
$K=$ rate of decrease in trips per auto; based upon national survey, $K$ is approximately 0.15 between $2-c a r$ and 3 -car family.

Suggested shortcut refinement is diagrammed in a flowchart shown in Figure 2 below.


Figure 2 --a shortcut method for trips generation refinement.

TABLE 5
TRIP RATES BY AUTO, PEPSON \& DWELLING UNIT D-RI-M TRANSPORTATION STUDY (1964-1975)

Home Based Trips
Per Auto
Per Person
Per DU
Total Trips (Internal)

Per Auto
Per Person
Per DU

| $\begin{array}{r} 0-D \\ 1964 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SYN } \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SYN } \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SYN } \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person <br> Trips | Veh. <br> Trips | Person <br> Trips | Veh. <br> Trips | Person Trips | Veh. Trips | Person Trips | Veh. Trips |
| 7.21 | 4.41 | 7.20 | 4.40 | 7.03 | 4.48 | 6.78 | 4.58 |
| 2.59 | 1.58 | 2.59 | 1.58 | 2.88 | 1.84 | 3.74 | 2.52 |
| 8.34 | 5.09 | 8.32 | 5.09 | 8.62 | 5.49 | 10.49 | 7.09 |
| 9.60 | 6.31 | 9.60 | 6.31 | 9.23 | 6.20 | 8.50 | 5.92 |
| 3.45 | 2.27 | 3.45 | 2.27 | 3.79 | 2.55 | 4.68 | 3.26 |
| 11.10 | 7.30 | 11.10 | 7.30 | 11.32 | 7.61 | 13.14 | 9.15 |

## SUMALARY OF TRIP GENRRATION EQUATIONS <br> PERSON TRIPS PER ZONE

Home Based Work

```
Productions = 41.85 + 1.58 (Emp Res)
Attractions = 62.84 + 1.35(Tot Emp)
```

Home Based Shop
Productions $=64.17+1.13$ (Cars)
Attractions

| CBD | $=1095.5+2.44$ (Ret Emp) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shopping Center | $=821.9+14.89$ (Ret Emp) |
| Strip Development | $=12.8($ Ret Emp) |
| Other Areas | $=3.8$ (Ret Emp) |

Total (For control total checks only)
Productions $=596.83+7.13$ (Cars) +5.06 (Ret Emp)

Where: Emp Res = Employed Residents
Tot Enp $=$ Total Employment
Ret Emp = Retail Enployment
Mfg Emp = Manufacturing Employment
Oth Emp $=$ Other Employment

Home Based Other
Illinois Productions $=45.74+4.72$ (Curs)

* Iowa Productions $=92.79+3.29$ (Cars)

Attractions
(1) Personal Business $=485.7+2.24$ (Ret Emp) +0.87 (Oth Enp
(2) Social-Recreation $=220.50+0.70(\mathrm{DU})+0.85($ Ret Emp $)+$
(3) School (1-8) $\quad=0.89$ (Stu 1-8)

School (9-12) $=1.52$ (Stu 9-12) School (College) $=1.24$ (Stu Col)

Non-Home Based
Productions $=$ Attractions $=80.0+0.25$ (HBO Att + EBS Att)

## Truck

```
Productions \(=\) Attractions \(=75.14+0.33(\mathrm{DU})+0.12(\) Mfg Emp \()+\)
                                    0.92 (Ret Emp)
```

```
Cars = Cars Owned
DU = Dwelling Units
Stu 1-8 = School Enrollment (1-8)
Stu 9-12 = School Enrollment (9-12)
Stu Col = School Enrollment (College)
```

* This equation was developed January 1972 since the equation listed in Interim Report $\# 5$ for Illinois zones only.
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