DAVENPORT - ROCK ISLAND - MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION)

IOWA



TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES INVENTORY WORK ITEM 1-2

DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY · CONSULTING ENGINEERS CHICAGO

IOWA PORTION

OF THE

DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE

URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY

Interim Report Number 1

TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES INVENTORY

Item 1-2

Prepared for

CITY OF DAVENPORT CITY OF BETTENDORF TOWN OF RIVERDALE SCOTT COUNTY

BI-STATE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

in cooperation with the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

Prepared by:

DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

JULY 1968

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ľ

1

ļ

INTRODUCTION	1
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	2
PHYSICAL INVENTORY	11
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY	22
SPEED DELAY STUDY	28
VOLUME STUDY Control Counts Coverage Counts Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts Screen Line Counts Cordon Counts	35 35 46 53 53 56
ACCIDENT STUDY Data Sources Definitions of Location and Severity Number of Accidents 1964 and 1965 Intersection Accident Rates Accident Rates by Section of Road Accident Costs	59 59 60 63 65 85
CAPACITY ANALYSIS Urban Capacities Rural Capacities Sufficiency Analysis	87 90 96 99

LIST OF TABLES

Page

1	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways by Functional Classification and Jurisdiction	8
2	Comparison of Street and Highway Mileage by Functional Classification	10
3	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways by Type of Operation	17
4	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways by Surface Width	18
5	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways by Right of Way Width	19
6	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways with Medians	20
7	Mileage of Principal Streets and Highways by Type of Parking	21
8	Average Annual Daily Traffic at Selected Locations	41
9	Traffic Crossing the Mississippi River in the Study Area	45
10	Centennial Bridge Monthly Traffic Variations1964	48

LIST OF TABLES--Continued

1

Page

11	Memorial Bridge Summary of Traffic Volumes by Months	49
12	Memorial Bridge Average Daily Traffic by Months	50
13	Memorial BridgeVolume and Percent of Traffic Analyzed by Day of Week	51
14	Memorial Bridge Classification of 24-Hour Average Traffic1964	52
15	Average Summer Weekday Traffic by Vehicle Type at Control Locations on Screen Line1961	57
16	Average Summer Weekday Traffic by Vehicle Type at External Survey Stations on Cordon Line1961	58
17	Summary of Motor Vehicle Accidents on all Streets and Highways in the Study Area1964 and 1965	61
18	Summary of Motor Vehicle Accidents on Principal Streets and Highways in the Study Area1964 and 1965	62
19	Summary of Motor Vehicle Accidents on Local Streets and Highways in the Study Area1964 and 1965	64
20	Ten Highest Accident Intersections1964	70

LIST OF TABLES--Concluded

•

21	Ten Highest Accident	
	Principal Street Sections1964	71
22	Ten Highest Accident Intersections1965	72
23	Ten Highest Accident Principal Street Sections1965	73
24	Summary of Accident Rates Accidents Per 100,000,000 Vehicle-Miles of Travel1964 and 1965	75
25	Summary of Accident Rates Accidents Per Mile of Roadway1964 and 1965	84
26	Estimated Cost of Accidents Per Mile of Roadway1964 and 1965	86
27	Peak Hour Traffic Characteristics in the Study Area	95
28	Access Controlled Facility Characteristics	97
29	Effect of Lane Width on Capacity Rural Streets and Highways	98
30	Summary of Mileage Above and Below 100 Percent Service Volume Conditions1966	103

LIST OF FIGURES--Concluded

Page

10	Accident Rate Related to Average Daily Traffic (1965-1966)	76
11	Accident Rate Per Mile Related to Average Daily Traffic (1965-1966)	77
12	Accident Rate Related to Vehicle Miles of Travel1964	78
13	Accident Rate Related to Vehicle Miles of Travel1965	79
14	Intersection Approach Service Volume by Type of AreaOne-Way Streets	92
15	Intersection Approach Service Volume by Type of AreaTwo-Way Streets	93
16	Percent of Total System Mileage by Percent of Service Volume Classes	104
17	Percent of Total Vehicle Miles by Percent of Service Volume Classes	105

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Page

1	Denstional Classification of	
1	Principal Streets and HighwaysRural	6
2	Functional Classification of Principal Streets and HighwaysUrban	7
3	Average Speed from Travel Time StudiesRural	30
4	Average Speed from Travel Time StudiesUrban	31
5	Travel Time Contours Davenport Central Business District	33
6	Travel Time Contours Bettendorf Central Business District	34
7	Volume Study LocationsRural	36
8	Volume Study LocationsUrban	37
9	Average Daily Traffic1965 (Rural)	54
10	Average Daily Traffic1966 (Urban)	55

LIST OF EXHIBITS--Concluded

11	Intersection Accident Rates High Accident Locations1964 (Rural)	66
12	Intersection Accident Rates High Accident Locations1965 (Urban)	67
13	Control Section Accident Rates High Accident Locations1964 (Rural)	80
14	Control Section Accident Rates High Accident Locations1964 (Urban)	81
15	Control Section Accident Rates High Accident Locations1965 (Rural)	82
16	Control Section Accident Rates High Accident Locations1965 (Urban)	83
17	Designation of Areas by Type1966 (Rural)	88
18	Designation of Areas by Type1966 (Urban)	89
19	Capacity Deficiencies1966	102

APPENDIX

Exhibit

R

ł

A-1	Intersection Volume Counts
B-1	Intersection AccidentsCard Format
B-2	Intersection AccidentsExplanation of Column Headings
B-3	Intersection Accident Analysis
C-1	Major Street AccidentsCard Format
C-2	Principal Street AccidentsExplanation of Column Headings
C-3	Principal Street and Highway Accident Analysis
D-1	Central Business District Service Volumes
D-2	Fringe Area Service Volumes
D-3	Outlying Area Service Volumes
D-4	Residential Area Service Volumes
D-5	Rural Area Service Volumes
E-1	Intersection Node SummariesCard Format
E-2	Intersection Node SummariesExplanation of Column Headings
E-3	Intersection Node Summary Printout
F-1	Capacity AnalysisCard Format
F-2	Capacity AnalysisExplanation of Column Headings
F-3	Capacity Analysis Printout

INTRODUCTION

The 1962 Federal Aid Highway Act specifies that, after July 1, 1965, highway projects in areas with populations exceeding 50,000 must be based on "a continuing comprehensive transportation planning process" in order to qualify for Federal funds. The planning process must take into consideration land use, economics, population, and other factors affecting traffic. These data must be coordinated with estimates of traffic volumes and related traffic engineering factors in assessing the transportation needs of the area. The comprehensive transportation plan based on such a study must include assurance of frequent review and modification to meet changing conditions.

The Iowa portion of the Davenport-Rock Island-Moline Urbanized Area Transportation Study includes all of Scott County. The study area encompasses approximately 465 square miles. Its population was 120,000 in 1960.

As an initial step in developing a comprehensive transportation plan for the study area, the following data were collected concerning existing transportation facilities:

- a. Functional classification of streets and highways.
- b. Physical inventory of streets and highways.
- c. Inventory of traffic engineering features.
- d. Speed and delay studies.
- e. Traffic volume counts.
- f. Accident studies.
- g. Capacity analyses.

This interim report describes the methodology used and findings for each of the work elements listed above. Most of the required basic data were collected in 1966. These data were supplemented by statistics on experience prior to 1966, furnished by participating agencies. Present streets and highways were classified according to function. Classifications were based on the service provided by each facility, and were assigned by the Consultant with the advice and collaboration of participating agencies.

Standard categories were based on definitions by the National Committee on Urban Transportation, the American Association of State Highway Officials, and organizational policy of the Iowa State Highway Commission. They included: freeways; expressways; major, collector and local streets; and major, collector and local highways. Freeways and expressways were defined as both urban and rural facilities; streets as urban facilities; and highways as rural facilities. The classifications may be defined as follows:

<u>Freeways</u>. Freeways have divided roadways with full control of access. They serve high traffic volumes, usually at high speeds. Since the primary reason for using freeways is to save time, they generally serve trips longer than three miles.

Wide traffic lanes together with wide medians and grade separation of cross traffic contribute to low accident rates at speeds of 60 to 70 miles per hour in rural areas. Speeds may be somewhat lower in urban areas due to higher traffic volumes and more maneuvering. All cross roads are either grade-separated, closed or relocated.

There are no traffic signals on freeways. Interchange ramps allow entrance to and departure from freeways without cross traffic or left turns on main roadways. Where necessary, frontage roads on which parking is permitted are incorporated in the design for access to abutting land.

A freeway is usually designated as a U.S. and/or a state route.

Expressways. Expressways have divided roadways with partial control of access. They serve high traffic volumes at moderate to high speeds for trip lengths generally in excess of three miles--similar to the function of freeways. Expressways differ from freeways, however, in that they are generally built on narrower rights of way, and some at-grade intersections with major streets and highways may be permitted. The differences result in lower levels of service and reduced capacity as compared with freeways.

Although some access to abutting property is permissible, such land is generally served by frontage roads. Parking is not permitted on an expressway except in emergency, but can be allowed on frontage roads. An expressway is generally designated as a U.S. or state route. It may be designed for speeds of from 50 to 70 miles per hour, depending on topography and other factors.

No facility should be classed as a freeway or expressway, regardless of its physical characteristics, unless its principal function is to serve trips of appreciable length.

<u>Major Streets.</u> Major streets are high-type urban facilities which are continuous for a substantial distance. They have intersections at grade and generally provide direct access to abutting property. Geometric design techniques and traffic control measures are used, however, to safeguard movement of through traffic by minimizing roadside interference from driveways and parking facilities. Major streets may include such design features as medians, turning lanes and chanelization of intersections. In some cases, access to abutting property may be denied in order to improve design characteristics for high volume traffic. Parking lanes may be included but should be discouraged. Principal intersections should be signalized, and cross traffic on other intersecting streets should be required to stop at the major street.

Major streets, which may form boundaries of neighborhoods, should be spaced from one to two miles apart. Trip lengths on major streets generally average over one mile while speeds average 30 to 40 miles per hour.

<u>Collector Streets.</u> Collector streets are designed for medium to low volumes of traffic being gathered and distributed between major and local streets. They also serve secondary traffic generators such as schools, small shopping centers, churches and hospitals.

Collector streets are the main interior streets within a neighborhood, and are usually spaced 1/4 to 3/4 mile apart. Since

they are intended to serve traffic destined to or originating within a particular neighborhood, through traffic should be discouraged from using collector streets.

All abutting property is afforded direct access. Parking lanes are permissible. Traffic on local cross streets should be controlled by stop signs at collector streets which are intended for average speeds of 20 to 30 miles per hour.

Local Streets. Local streets primarily afford access to abutting residential, industrial or commercial property. They assemble vehicles and lead them to higher type facilities such as collector or major streets. Traffic volumes on local streets are low and posted speeds are usually 20 to 30 miles per hour.

Local streets are usually spaced at one block intervals, except where one is displaced by a major or collector street. Through traffic is discouraged from using local streets by frequent stop signs. Parking may be permitted on one or both sides of local streets.

Local streets comprise a large portion of the total mileage of streets in any city. Traffic volumes are low, however, and hence vehicle-miles of travel on local streets are relatively small.

<u>Major Highways</u>. Major highways are high-type rural facilities handling large traffic volumes at medium to high speeds. They are usually the main roadways connecting cities and towns where traffic volumes do not justify freeways or expressways.

Major highways allow access to abutting property and have intersections at grade, but they are designed primarily for the safe movement of high-speed through traffic. Accordingly, control must be exerted over access to major highways in some cases so that they will retain their capacity and other features.

Major highways generally are designated either as U.S., state or county routes, alone or in combination. Speeds of 50 to 70 miles per hour can usually be maintained on such facilities. <u>Collector Highways.</u> Collector highways are designed for moderate volumes of rural traffic. They extend for considerable distances, gathering and distributing rural traffic between major and local highways. All abutting property has the right of direct access, and all intersections are at grade. Traffic on collector highways has priority over that on local crossroads, however, and should be protected by stop signs except at intersections with major highways or expressways where traffic signals are required.

Collector highways are usually designated as state or county highways, and have posted speeds of 50 to 60 miles per hour.

Local Highways and Roads. Local highways and roads serve low volumes of rural traffic and afford access to abutting property. All intersections are at grade and design speeds range from 30 to 50 miles per hour.

Local highways and roads comprise the largest part of any rural network since they provide access to all farmsteads, but they carry only a small proportion of total rural traffic. These highways are generally maintained by a county or township agency.

Streets and highways in Scott County classified as freeways, expressways, and major collector facilities are shown in Exhibits 1 and 2. These are referred to throughout the report as the <u>Principal Street and Highway System</u>. All other streets and highways were designated as "local" facilities. The classifications reflect conditions in 1964 which was taken as the base year the two separate studies in Illinois and Iowa were consolidated. Comprehensive studies of travel patterns in the Iowa portion were made in 1961.

The principal street and highway system was composed of 402 miles of road. Table 1 summarizes mileage of freeways, expressways, and major and collector streets and highways in the study area, by political jurisdiction.

Freeways and expressways made up only seven percent of the principal street and highway system. This reflects the incomplete status of the Interstate Highway System in the study area in 1964. As interstate routes and, perhaps, other urban highways with full or partial control of access are completed, an increase



DAVENPORT ROCK ISLAND MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION) DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY . CONSULTING ENGINEERS . CHICAGO

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS

.

EXHIBIT I



I

EXHIBIT 2

TABLE 1

MILEAGE OF PRINCIPAL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND JURISDICTION 1964

	Total Length (Miles)							
Functional			Remainder	Total				
Classification	Davenport	Bettendorf	Scott County	Study Area				
Freeway	5.97	-	11.53	17.50				
Expressway	12.32	0.17	0.39	12.88				
Major Street	55.37	17.59	-	72.96				
Collector Street	63.44	7.99	-	71.43				
Major Highway	. -	-	85.98	85.98				
Collector Highway			141.02	141.02				
Total	137.10	25.75	238.92	401.77				

Note: Excludes local streets and highways.

can be expected in the mileage of freeways and expressways as a proportion of the total principal street and highway system. Table 2 compares principal street and highway mileage in Iowa with that in Illinois in the Davenport-Rock Island-Moline Urbanized Area.

TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF STREET AND HIGHWAY MILEAGE BY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

	Freew Expre	Freeways and Expressways		Major Streets and Highways		Collector Streets and Highways		Total	
Urban Area	Miles	Percent	Miles	Percent	Miles	Percent	Miles	Percent	
Rock Island-Moline	18.61	4.0	195.02	42.3	247.76	53.7	461.39	100.0	
Davenport-Bettendorf	30.38	7.6	158.94	39.6	212.45	52.8	401.77	100.0	
Total	48.99		353.96		460.21		863,16		
Weighted Average Percent		5.7		41.0		53.3		100.0	

Note: Excludes local streets and highways.

PHYSICAL INVENTORY

An inventory was made of the physical features of all freeways, expressways, and major and collector streets and highways in the study area. Procedures used in this study were the same as those used in the Illinois portion, which are described in the Illinois Division of Highways publication, "Street Inventory and Classification Instruction Manual." Relevant data were taken from records of the Iowa State Highway Commission and local government agencies. Inventory items not obtainable from such records were measured in the field.

Information tabulated in this phase of the study included the following:

- a. Functional classification (described earlier).
- b. Type of operation (one-way or two-way).
- c. Length of section (hundredths of miles).
- d. Surfaced width (feet).
- e. Median width (feet).
- f. Right of way width (feet).
- g. Type of parking.

To facilitate data tabulation and processing, numbers were assigned to each intersection on the principal street and highway system. Additional numbers were assigned to mid-block locations where changes occurred in any of the inventory items listed above. For uniformity, numbers assigned to intersections of two principal streets and highways were the same as those in the traffic assignment network.⁽¹⁾ Points where physical changes occurred were given other numbers in a higher range than those used in the assignment network. Names of cross streets or descriptions of midblock locations where physical changes occurred were identified on field forms, but were represented on data processing cards only by the numbers associated with each such point. Base maps showing the numbering system were attached to complete tabulations of the physical inventory furnished to the Iowa State Highway Commission and local professional staff.

⁽¹⁾⁻The traffic assignment network will be described in detail in the Interim Report on Traffic Model and Assignment Techniques.

The inventory was uniformly coded from west to east or from south to north. Diagonal routes were inventoried from southwest to northeast or from northwest to southeast.

Figure 1C shows the data processing card format used in the physical inventory of the principal street and highway system.

These cards and complete tabulations of the physical inventory were furnished to the professional staffs of governmental agencies participating in the transportation study. One page of a typical computer printout of the physical inventory is shown in Figure 1A. Abbreviated column headings which appear on the computer printout as well as explanations of procedures used in defining some of the inventory items which are not self-explanatory are explained in Figure 1B. Codes used for various inventory items are defined in Figure 1C, Data Processing Card Format.

Tables 1 through 7 summarize data obtained in the physical inventory of principal streets and highways.

FIGURE 1A

DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-HOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY - IOWA PORTION

PRINCIPAL STREET AND HIGHWAY INVENTORY

CARD JUR STREET NAME		STREET NAME	DESIG NOD	NODE	E NODE	FUNC	OP TYPE	P LGTH	WIDTHS IN FEET			PARKING TYPE
TYPE NG.		OR Highway nd.		A	В	CLASS	ITPE	X•XX	SURFACE	MEDIAN	ROW	1.1.2
12	6	INT BO	TR	8088	8089	o	1	047	048	60	800	0
13	č	INT 80	IR	8089	9259	Ō	1	082	048	60	999	0
13	. 6	INT 80	IR	9259	9260	Ö	1	174	048	60	350	С
13	ě	INT 80	IR	9260	8090	0	1	039	048	60	674	C
13	- 6	INT 80	IR	8090	9261	O	1	028	048	60	674	0
13	6	INT 80	IR	9261	8187	0	1	072	048	60	400	C
13	6	INT 80	IR	8187	9262	0	1	097	048	60	400	0
13	6	INT 80	IR	9262	8012	0	1	058	048	60	699	C C
13	6	ARLINGTON AV	AV	2246	2432	3	1	024	024		657	μ
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2210	2208	2	1	007	055		000	1
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2208	2207	2	1	007	056			1
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2207	2205	2	1	007	(1)20		hen	1
13	6	BRADY ST	51	2205	8310	2	1	007	NSL		000	L 1
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	8310	2204	2	1	007	0.54		080	4
13	6	BRADY ST	51	2204	8042	2	1 1.300////	017	050		070	A MARINE
13	6	BRADY ST	51	8042	2202	2		020	050		070	Ó
13	6	BRAUT SI	16	2202	2202	2		807	048		070	Ō
13	Ċ	BRAUT SI	51	2202	2201	2		807	043		060	C
13	0	DRAUT SI	51	2201	2100	2	1	015	041		060	C
13	C 4	BRACT ST	51	2100	2198	2		025	035		C 5 0	С
12	0 4	BRAUT ST	51	2198	9197	2		026	040		C6C	C
13	6	BRADY ST	ST.	2197	2196	2	1	024	040		060	0
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2196	2195	2	ĩ	025	037		060	C
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2195	6102	2	1	012	048		075	0
13	6	BRADY ST	S T	8102	2193	2	1	040	048		075	0
13	6	BRACY ST	ST	2193	9070	2	1	004	048		132	C
13		SRADY ST	51	9070	9071	2	1	011	048		140	0
13		BRADY ST	ST	9071	9072	2	1	003	048		160	0
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	9072	9073	2	1	015	048		135	0
13	6	BRADY ST	ST 🖉	9073	9074	2	1	018	048		130	0
13	6	BRADY ST	ST ST	9074	9075	2	1	009	048		115	U O
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	9075	9076	2	1	009	048		130	0
13	6	DRADY ST	ST	9076	9077	2	1	008	048		207	0
13	6	BRATY ST	ST	9077	2397	2	1	024	048		207	ő
13	6	BRADY ST	ST	2397	9078	~	1	011	048		135	õ
13	6	BRADY ST	51	9078	9079	2	. 1	012	048		145	õ
13	6	BRAUT SI	51 6T	9019	0200	2	1	0.02	040	04	345	õ
13	6	BRAUT SI	51	0/01	9001	1	1	012	048	32	310	õ
13	C (DRAUT SI	51 CT	9001	9083	1	1	004	048	60	415	ō
15	C 4	DRAUT SI	51	9082	2206	1	1	026	048	60	999	Ō
12	0 4	READY ST	ст СТ	2396	8012	i	î	050	048	60	999	0
12	6	RRADY ST	ST	8012	9084	ī ·	ī	014	048	60	999	0
12		BRADY ST	ŠT	9084	9085	ī	ī	019	48	60	165	0
12		BRADY ST	ST	9085	8018	ī	ī	004	48	- 41	155	0
12	Ă ·	BRADY ST	ŠT	8018	8016	2	1	012	036		130	0
13	6	BRIDGE AVE	ĂŸ	2262	2261	2	1	021	030		060	4
13	6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2261	8268	2	1	008	030		060	4
13	6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	8268	2260	2	1	007	030		060	1

FIGURE 1B

PRINCIPAL STREET AND HIGHWAY INVENTORY

Column Heading	Explanation								
CARD TYPE NO.	Identifies the cards as street inventory cards.								
JUR	Indicates jurisdiction. 6 = Davenport, 7 = Bettendorf, 8 = Remainder of Scott County.								
STREET NAME OR HIGHWAY NO.	Name or number of section being inventoried.								
DESIG	Section type. AV = Avenue, BL = Boulevard, CR = Circle, CT = Court, DR = Drive, HY = Highway, LN = Lane, RD = Road, PL = Place, PK = Parkway, RP = Ramp, ST = Street, TR = Terrace, I.R. = Interstate Route.								
NODE A	Location of beginning of link indicated by number - refer to node map.								
NODE B	Location of end of link indicated by number - refer to node map.								
FUNC CLASS	Functional class based on the service the facility provides. 0 = Freeway, l = Expressway, 2 = Major Street, 3 = Collector Street, 4 = Local Residential, 5 = Local Commercial, 6 = Local Industrial, 7 = Major Highway, 8 = Collector Highway, 9 = Local Highway.								
OP TYPE	Type of operation. $l = Two-Way$, $2 = One-Way$ (AtoB), $3 = One-Way$ (BtoA).								
LGTH MI X.XX	Length of link between Node A and B in hundredths of miles.								

FIGURE 1B (Concluded)

PRINCIPAL STREET AND HIGHWAY INVENTORY

Column Heading	Explanation							
WIDTHS IN FEET								
SURFACE	Curb to curb or width of pavement to nearest foot. An asterisk (*) preceeding the width indicates that the street was not paved at the time of inventory.							
MEDIAN	From curb face to curb face or between traffic lane pavement edges to nearest foot.							
ROW	The total width between the right of way limits to the nearest foot.							
PKG TYPE	Type of parking. 0 = None, 1 = Parallel, 2 = Diagonal, 3 = Right Angle, 4 = Parallel (One Side), 5 = Diagonal (One Side).							



1 C

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY

An inventory was made of traffic engineering features such as signals, stop or yield controls, and turn restrictions at all intersections of functionally classified freeways, expressways, and major and collector streets and highways in the study area. Signal locations and descriptions were obtained from the local agencies while other features related to parking regulations and traffic controls were recorded in the field.

Information tabulated in this phase of the study included the following:

- a. Parking regulations.
- b. Traffic controls (signals and stop and yield signs).
- c. Traffic flow (turn restrictions).

A basic intersection numbering system was set up for the physical inventory and used for tabulation and processing. Where capacity considerations did not change for several successive physical inventory links, however, they were combined into one operational characteristics link. These links were then coded on field forms. Names of cross streets and descriptions of mid-block spots where changes occurred were identified on these same forms. These points are represented on data processing cards by appropriate numbers.

Figure 2C shows the data processing card format used in the traffic engineering features inventory of the principal street and highway system. These cards and complete tabulations, as well as node maps, have been furnished to the professional staffs of governmental agencies participating in the transportation study.

One page of a typical computer printout of the traffic engineering features inventory is shown in Figure 2A. Figure 2B explains abbreviated column headings appearing on the computer printout as well as procedures used in defining some of the inventory items which are not self-explanatory. Codes used for various inventory items are defined in Figure 2C--Data Processing Card Format.

FIGURE 2A

DAVENPORT-ROCK ISLAND-MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY - IOWA PORTION

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY

.108	STREET NAME	DESIG	NODE	NODE	FUNC	OP	LGTH	AR	-NO	DE A-	PARK	ING	MIN	-NOI	DE 8-	ADT	ADT
	CR		A	B	CLASS	TYPE	MI	EA	FL	TRA			WDTH	FL	TRA	HDS	CODE
	HIGHWAY NO.						X•XX		CW	CON	TYPE	REG		OW	CON		
4	INT 80	TR	8088	8089	0	1	047	5			0		48	1	8	76	2
6	INT SC	TR	8089	8090	ŏ ·	ī	295	5	1	8	0		48	1	8	92	1
<u> </u>	INT BC	TR	8090	8012	õ	ī	255	5	ī	8	C		48	1	8	89	1
Å	ARTINGTON AV	۵V	2246	2432	3	ĩ	024	4	0	7	0		24	· 4	7	5	4
Ă	RRADY ST	ST	2210	2208	2	ī	007	1	0	2	3	1	55	1	2	60	1
6	BRADY ST	ST	2208	2207	2	ī	007	1	1	2	3	1	56	1	2	86	1
6	RRACY ST	ŠT	2207	2205	2	ī	007	1	2	2	3	1	56	2	2	124	1
Ă	BRADY ST	ST.	2205	8310	2	ī	007	1	1	2	3	1	56			149	1
د د	RPARY ST	ST	8310	2204	2	· ī	007	2			2	1	56			149	1
4	RDARY ST	ŠT	2204	8042	2	ī	017	4			2	1	56			149	1
- C - Z	SPARY ST	ST	8042	8011	2	ī	026	4			0		50			150	4
c 4	BRACY CT	ST ST	8011	2202	2	ĩ	014	3			0		50	1	4	150	4
C 4	BRACT ST	ST ST	2202	2201	2	ī	007	3	2	4	Ó		48	2	34	170	addilla
Ç.	BRACY ST	51 5T	2201	2200	2	ī	007	3	ī	34	õ		43	Ō	6	150	4
ç	BRAUT SI BRADY ST	51	2201	2100	2	i	015	3	ō	6	õ		41	ō	1	194	1
ò	BRAUT SI	51	2100	2109	2	1	025	á	ŏ	ĩ	õ		35	2	6	180	1
ç	BRAUT SI	51	2177	2190	2	1	026	ž	ĩ	6	ō		40	ē	4	180	aatta 1
ç	ERAUT SI	51	2190	2171	2	1	024	ż	ō	4	õ		40	ī	1	178	1
ç	BRALT SI	51	2177	2190	2	1	025	4	ž	i	õ		37	ī	6	179	1
e	BRAUY SI	51	2190	2199	2	1	012	3		Mar A	ŏ		48	-	•	145	i
¢	ERAUT SI	51	2195	2102	2	1	040				ň		48	C	4	145	1
E	BRADY SI	51	2102	2195	2	1	101		o .	4	õ		48	č	6	190	Ĩ
6	BRADY ST	51	2193	2391	2	-antilla	101		Š		õ		44	Ū	Ŭ	139	ī
é	BRACY ST	51	2391	8280			050	2			ň		LA			139	ī
6	BRADY SI	51	8280	2390			051	يدر د ع		977 C	ň		14	°,	8	139	1
6	BRACY ST	51	2390	8012			020	20		٥	ő	1	40	Ũ	U	83	î
6	BRADY ST	SI	8412	8018			037	5		0	ŏ		36			83	î
6	BRADY ST	51	8610	8016	4		012	5		7	5	0	30			4.8	2
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	4494	2201	2		021	-		'	5	ŏ	30			48	à
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2291	8268	2		000	4			2	Š	30	0	4	40	3
6	BRIDGE-AVE	AV	8208	2260	2		007	4	•	4	2	Š	30	ž		40	3
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2260	2259			··· U12		2	7	2	0	30	2		27	3
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	7259	2258	2 %		007	4	2	'	2	0	20	2	7	10	2
é	BRIDGE	AV	2438	225/	2	1	008	4	1	7	2	ŏ	20	U.	1	37	, r
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	22D (2220	2	1	015	7	U		2	0	20			22	2
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2250	2255	2	, i	010	4			2	Ň	30	c	7	22	3
é	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2255	2254	2	Ţ	014	7	•	-	-	ő	20	ž	'	5	4
6	BRIDGE AVE	AV	2254	2253	2	ļ	044		0	'	2	0	20	7	, ,	5	4
6	BROADLAWN	AV	2302	2303	3	1	013	4	4	1	2	0	26	1	7	5	~
6	CAREY ST	ST	8236	2250	3	1	007	4	~	47	2	0	24	1	1	29	3
6	CEDER ST	ST	2084	2105	3	1	076	4	U	0/		U	10		1	20	5
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV.	2430	2108	3	1	044	4	0	8	0		20	Ŧ	c	20	1
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2108	8196	3	1	025	4	1	. 8	U		20	,	•	20	1
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	8196	2109	3	1	012	4	_	-	0		41	T.	8	20	. <u>1</u>
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2109	2110	3	1	012	4	2	8	0		41	U		20	1 .
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2110	2112	3	1	009	4	0	1	0		31			15	1
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2112	8195	3	1	013	4			0		31	~		21	1
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	8195	2119	3	1	022	4	-	-	0		31	Ŭ	1	21	1
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2119	2125	3	1	025	4	Ō	1	0		31	Ŭ	, 0	21	2
6	W CENTRAL PK	- AV	2125	2130	3	1	025	4	0	6	U	•	31	U.	0 [20	2
6	W CENTRAL PK	AV	2130	2134	3	1	047	4	0	67	0		37	1	6	36	5

FIGURE 2B

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY

Column Heading	Explanation							
JUR	Indicates jurisdiction. 6 = Davenport, 7 = Bettendorf, 8 = Remainder of Scott County.							
STREET NAME OR HIGHWAY NO.	Name or number of section being inventoried.							
DESIG	Section type. AV = Avenue, BL = Boulevard, CR = Circle, CT = Court, DR = Drive, HY = Highway, LN = Lane, RD = Road, PL = Place, PK = Parkway, RP = Ramp, ST = Street, TR = Terrace, IR = Interstate Route.							
NODE A	Location of beginning of link indicated by number - refer to node map.							
NODE B	Location of end of link indicated by number - refer to node map.							
FUNC CLASS	Functional class based on the service the facility provides. 0 = Freeway, l = Expressway, 2 = Major Street, 3 = Collector Street, 4 = Local Residential, 5 = Local Commercial, 6 = Local Industrial, 7 = Major Highway, 8 = Collector Highway, 9 = Local Highway.							
OP TYPE	Type of operation. $l = Two-Way$, $2 = One-Way$ (A to B), $3 = One-Way$ (B to A).							
LGTH MI X.XX	The length of each street or highway section was measured along its centerline in hundredths of miles between centerlines of terminal intersections.							
AREA	Location of facility by type of area. $1 = Central Business District, 2 = Fringe, 3 = Outlying Business District. 4 = Residential. 5 = Rural.$							

FIGURE 2B (Continued)

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY

Column Heading	Explanation								
NODE A									
FLOW	Type of turning movements permitted at intersection going from B to A. 0 = All moves permitted, $1 = Right$ turn and through, $2 = Left$ turn and through, $3 = Through$ traffic only, $4 = Right$ and left turns, $5 = Left$ turn only, $6 = Right$ turn only.								
TRA CON	Type of traffic control at the intersection. 0 = Flashing signal, 1 = Isolated Fixed Time Signal, 2 = Interconnected Signal, 3 = Pedestrian-Actuated Signal, 4 = Vehicle semi-actuated Signal, 5 = Vehicle fully-Actuated Signal, 6 = Stop Signs on Cross Street, 7 = Stop Signs on Inventory Street, 8 = Yield Signs on Cross Street, 9 = Yield Signs on Inventory Street.								
PARKING									
TPE	Type of parking permitted along the streets and highways between Nodes A and B. 0 = No Parking, 1 = No parking on left side, 2 = No parking on right side, 3 = Parallel-both sides, 4 = Parallel-right side, 5 = Parallel-left side, 6 = Diagonal-both sides, 7 = Diagonal-left side, 8 = Diagonal-right side.								
REG	Type of Parking Regulations along the streets and highways between Nodes A and B. $0 = No$ restriction, $1 = Meter parking$, $2 = One-hour parking (No meters)$, 3 = Two-hour parking (No meters), $4 = AM$ peak removal (both sides), $5 = AMpeak removal (one side), 6 = PM peak removal (both sides), 7 = PM peak removal(one side), 8 = Both peak removal (both sides), 9 = Both peak removal (one side).$								

FIGURE 2B (Concluded)

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEATURES INVENTORY

Column Heading	Explanation								
MIN WDTH	The distance in feet (xx) from curb to curb, or from edge of pavement to edge of pavement.								
NODE B									
FLOW TRA CON	See NODE A								
ADT HDS	The average daily traffic (in hundredths) on each street or highway section.								
ADT CODE	Indicates the source of estimated ADT. $1 = 1966$ urban area ground counts by Iowa State Highway Commission, $2 = 1966$ rural area ground counts by ISHC, 3 = 1961 - 1964 Traffic Assignment Volumes, $4 = $ Consultant's estimates based on the location and type of street and volumes on similar nearby links.								



FIGURE 2 C

SPEED AND DELAY STUDY

This section of the report describes study procedures and findings of surveys made to obtain travel time information on individual sections of the principal street and highway system. These were used for estimating the present level of service performed by each facility as well as average speeds. The latter were used in assigning traffic to the highway network.

It has been established by many studies that the average automobile driver measures desirability of a route principally in terms of total travel time. Thus, in evaluating the service performed by the street and highway system, time must be given appropriate weight. In fact, time is the only yardstick of the quality of street and highway service that is uniformly understood and free from serious differences in interpretation.⁽¹⁾

Time lost in traversing an area is a measure of congestion and may be translated into costs more readily than any other index devised to date. As such, present travel time on principal streets and highways defines the comparative level of service between segments of the system and on the entire system in various time periods. Travel time measurements are also extremely valuable in estimating the amount of use that a new facility will receive, as well as in computing the benefits to be derived from such facilities.

Using procedures described in Procedure Manual 3B, National Committee on Urban Transportation, travel time studies were made on all freeways and expressways as well as on representative sections of major and collector streets and highways.

One afternoon peak hour run and one off-peak run was made in each direction on each test section. The study was conducted by a two-man survey team driving a vehicle in the traffic stream at the "average" speed. It is possible to approximate average speed by maintaining a ratio of about 55-45 between the number of vehicles passed and the number of vehicles passing the test vehicle. It has been found, however, that best results are obtained by relying primarily on the driver's judgment, using the above ratio as a guide rather than as an inflexible rule.

⁽¹⁾⁻Procedure Manual 3B, Determining Travel Time, National Committee on Urban Transportation, page 7.

An aggressive driver, of course, can exceed the average rate of travel on a route. Drivers of the test vehicle were instructed to drive at the average speed, therefore, rather than at the highest possible speed. The average travel speed, however, may be lower on certain streets and highways than could be attained under existing travel conditions. This is particularly true during off-peak periods because of the prevalence of short trips on which many drivers are not overly speed-conscious. The observers were particularly careful, therefore, to maintain a ratio of about 55-45 between the number of vehicles passed and the number of vehicles passing the test vehicle, during off-peak periods. Observance of rules of the road was, in all cases, at the same level as that prevailing in the study area.

Field forms for each test run were prepared in advance. These established the route to be followed and designated intersections or other checkpoints at which time and distance were to be recorded. Travel time was recorded from stopwatch readings at each checkpoint. In addition, the odometer reading of the test vehicle was recorded at the checkpoint. Later, in the office, distances between checkpoints were verified by measurement on a map.

Segments of streets and highways on which travel time studies were made are shown in Exhibits 3 and 4. The test sections were approved by the Transportation Study Technical Committee prior to making test runs. They included approximately 30 miles or 100 percent of freeways and expressways; 143 miles or 90 percent of major streets and highways; and 34 miles or 16 percent of collector streets and highways.

Exhibits 3 and 4 also show numbers assigned to various intersections and other checkpoints for purposes of the speed delay study and capacity analysis described later in the report.

Peak and off-peak speeds were combined to represent average daily values. The following formula, suggested in <u>Highway</u> Assignment Manual, Bureau of Public Roads, was used:

ADT speed =
$$\frac{2 \text{ (off-peak speed)} + 1 \text{ (peak speed)}}{3}$$



LEGEND

	AVE	RAC	SPEED				
*******	1	T0	10	M.P.H.			
	П	T0	20	M.P.H.			
	21	TO	30	M.P.H.			
	31	TO	40	M.P.H.			
	41	TO	50	M.P.H.			
فكيجن	0٧	ÉR	50	M.P.H.			
1234	NO	DE	NU	MBER			

AVERAGE SPEED FROM TRAVEL TIME STUDIES


Average speeds from the travel time study were coded in the preparation of the highway assignment network for computer application. Based on the results of the travel time studies, speeds were also estimated for all segments of the system on which test runs were not made. Similar procedures were followed in the Illinois portion of the study area to complete the coding of speeds on all segments of the assignment network.

Using both observed and estimated speeds, a computer analysis was made of test or sample trees from both central business districts in the study area. Exhibits 5 and 6 show travel time contours or isochronal lines radiating from the two central business districts. The isochronal lines represent points equidistant in terms of travel time from each of the business districts in fiveminute increments. This analysis was made before the application of speed adjustments required to calibrate the assignment network in terms of travel volume.







VOLUME STUDY

Studies of traffic volumes and trends were required to estimate present level of service performed by the street system; to define existing street and highway deficiencies; to calculate accident rates and capacity; and later, in the planning process, to establish priorities for the ultimate program of transportation improvements. Volume counts were also needed in the traffic assignment process for calibration of the existing highway network.

The following traffic volume studies are described in this report:

- a. Control Counts
- b. Coverage Counts
- c. Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts
- d. Screen Line Counts
- e. Cordon Counts

Data were obtained from the Iowa State Highway Commission, unless specifically referenced otherwise.

Control Counts

The Iowa State Highway Commission maintains numerous automatic traffic recording stations throughout the state. The stations are on rural and urban streets and highways as well as on county trunk and local roads. Data collected at these stations are used to monitor traffic volumes and to establish trends. Locations of these stations are shown on Exhibits 7 and 8.

One such control station (Sta. 710-82) is on East Locust Street just west of Spring Street, Davenport, as shown on the volume study location map, Exhibit 8. Daily and monthly variations of traffic volumes at this station are shown in Figures 3 and 4. While the daily volumn pattern remained constant (Figure 3), there were seasonal variations from year to year as shown by Figure 4. These seasonal fluctuations were caused by construction and traffic detours on Locust Street during summer months of the 1964-1966 period. Average annual daily traffic at this location increased from 9,074 in 1961 to 10,878 in 1966, or 20 percent in five years.

Station 710-82 is the only permanent control station in the study area. Since traffic volumes through this station have fluctuated widely due to construction or other detours, the data are not suitable for



1

LEGEND INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS BY IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION O INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS BY CONSULTANT ▲ SEASONAL CONTROL COUNT STATION SCREENLINE COUNT STATIONS ○ CORDON LINE COUNT STATIONS ♦ LOCATIONS SELECTED TO INDICATE TRAFFIC GROWTH IN THE AREA









I

AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC AT SELECTED LOCATIONS

			Year		
Location	1954	1958	1961	1963	1966
204	-	-	12,120	14, 300	15,410
206	14,085	11,960	16,140	17,980	17,630
218	13,620	14,670	15,400	17, 480	18,590
224	16,037	23,710	28,070	26,350	27,470
229-A	5,898	9,825	9,410	10,470	14,070
229-B	11,659	16,105	15,680	18,350	19,930
240-A	-	6,900	8,180	11,630	18,060
240-B	-	5,585	6,630	9,500	11,590
244	4,219	6,805	7,890	11,390	14,530
247	11,104	12,275	13,570	14,750	12,730
249	2,948	5,015	5,500	7,940	8,080
251	9,921	11,690	11,250	13,570	14,480
254	5,458	6,580	6,860	6,180	9,940
255	-	4,560	3,900	4,560	4,730
TOTAL			160,600	184, 450	207,240

K



RURAL COUNTY TRUNK AND LOCAL ROADS

SOURCE: IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

FIGURE 6



MUNICIPAL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS

TRAFFIC CROSSING THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN THE STUDY AREA

			Annu	ial Averag	e Daily Tr	affic	
Bridge	On Route	1953	1956	1959	1962	1963	1964
Memorial	U.S. 6	10,400	11,500	11,700	15,200	16,400	18,500
Government	To U.S. 150	18,500	19,000	17,200	18,700	19,600	19,600
Centennial	U.S. 67	11,200	12,000	12,900	12,600	13,300	14,500

These data are shown graphically in Figure 8. Seasonal, monthly and daily variations of traffic as well as traffic classification counts are available in varying degrees. Records of such items are not kept for the Government Bridge which is the only toll-free facility of the three. Monthly traffic and revenue reports of the Rock Island Centennial Bridge Commission give total traffic but do not show breakdowns by vehicle classification or day of the week. Summaries of daily traffic by type of vehicle are available for the Memorial Bridge. Tables 10 through 14 present 1964 travel data for the Centennial and Memorial Bridges with the limitations noted.

Coverage Counts

Besides maintaining continuous counting stations, the Iowa State Highway Commission conducts a well-established traffic counting program. Extensive intersection turning movement information is collected every five years in rural areas and every third year on streets and highways in urbanized areas.

Both manual and machine volume counts are made. Manual counts of turning movements and of vehicle classifications cover eight hours (7:00-11:00 a.m. and 2:00-6:00 p.m.) at each selected intersection. These are supplemented with mechanical traffic recorders which are set up on one leg of each intersection and operated for approximately four days, which always includes the period during which the manual counts are taken.

During the summer of 1966, counts were made at 62 intersections in Scott County. Eight-hour manual counts were expanded to 24-hour volumes based on machine counts. The seasonal adjustment factor, as determined from information collected at the control count stations, was then used to arrive at the average annual daily traffic (AADT) volumes presented in Exhibit A-1 of the Appendix. The locations of these intersections are shown in Exhibits 7 and 8 (pages 36 and 37).

The coverage was such that general traffic flow patterns could be determined from the data. Exhibits 9 and 10 show 1965 average daily traffic (ADT) in the rural part of Scott County and 1966 ADT in the urbanized Davenport-Bettendorf area. Traffic volumes on segments of the classified street and highway system which were not covered by these counts were determined from assignments of combined 1961-1964 Origin-Destination Survey trips



ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC

CENTENNIAL BRIDGE MONTHLY TRAFFIC VARIATIONS--1964

	Cars, Trucks		
Month	and Cycles	Buses	Total
January	352,588	3,817	356, 405
February	341,120	3,586	344,706
March	397, 984	3,889	401,873
April	422, 455	3,789	426, 244
May	470,664	3,804	474,468
June	485,410	3,759	489,169
July	474,000	3,873	477,873
August	494, 592	4,226	498,818
September	460,425	4,501	464,926
October	460,826	4,631	465,457
November	458,806	4,598	463,404
December	. 420,605	4,618	425,223
Total	5,239,475	49,091	5,288,566

Note: Excludes pedestrians.

Source: Rock Island Centennial Bridge Commission.

MEMORIAL BRIDGE SUMMARY OF VOLUME OF TRAFFIC BY MONTHS 1964

	4	Number	of Vehicles		
Month	Weekdays	Saturdays	Sundays & Holidays*		Percent of Total Year
January	376,727	57,143	42,798	476,668	7.0 .
February	338,479	73,080	45,256	456,815	6.7
March	338,781	61,229	64,637	514,647	7.6
April	415,443	67,868	54,329	537,640	7.9 - 7,9
May	421,425	69,854	92,909	584,188	8.6
June	468,633	77,393	66,328	612,354	9.0
July	487,766	56,911	79,235	623,912	9.2
August	466,076	102,061	89,469	657,606	9.7 - 11
September	445,216	72,344	75,924	593,484	8.8
October	451,627	88,618	61,788	602,033	8.9
November	417,764	67,861	75,498	561,123	8.3
December	441,578	63,905	55,237	560,720	8.3
Total	5, 119,515	858,267	803,408	6,781,190	100.0

* - Holidays include: Memorial Day, July 4th, and Labor Day

MEMORIAL BRIDGE

AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC BY MONTHS

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Month	Average Weekday	Average <u>Saturday</u>	Average Sundays & Holidays*	Adjusted Daily Average	Average Weekday Percent of Average Day Of the Year
January	16,379	14,286	10,700	15,269	88.3 .
February	16,924	14,616	11,314	15,793	91.3
March	17,672	15,307	12,927	16,656	95.3
April	18,884	16,967	13,582	17,853	101.9
May	20,068	17,464	15,485	19,041	108.2
June	21,301	19,348	16,582	20,348	114,9
July	21,207	18,970	15,847	20,121	114,4
August	22,194	20,412	17,894	21,325	119.7
September	21,201	18,086	15,185	19,896	114.4
October	20,529	17,724	15,447	19,402	110.7
November	19,894	16,965	15,100	18,791	· 107.3
December	19,199	15,976	13,809	17,968	103.6
Annual Average	19,621	17,176	14,489	18,539	-

* - Holidays include: Memorial Day, July 4th, and Labor Day

MEMORIAL BRIDGE

VOLUME AND PERCENT OF TRAFFIC ANALYZED BY DAY OF WEEK 1964

Day of Week	Number of Days	Volume of Traffic	Average Per Dav	Percent of Annual Traffic
Day OI WEEK	<u>III ICal</u>	IIAIIIC	Day	
Sundays & Holidays*	55	803,408	14,607	11.2
Mondays	51	971,973	19,058	14.7
Tuesdays	52	1,011,293	19,448	15.0
Wednesdays	53	1,031,211	19,457	15.0
Thursdays	53	1,030,560	19,445	15.0
Fridays	52	1,074,478	20,663	15.9
Saturdays	50	858,267	17,165	13.2
Total	366	6,781,190	-	100.0

* - Holidays include: Memorial Day, July 4th, and Labor Day.

MEMORIAL BRIDGE CLASSIFICATION OF 24-HOUR AVERAGE TRAFFIC 1964

				·	Annual A Average	24-Hour Weekday	Annual Avera	24-Hour ge Day
	Avera	ge 24-Hou	r Weekday	Traffic	Number of	Percent of	Number of	Percent of
Type of Vehicle	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Vehicles	<u> </u>	Vehicles	Total
Passenger Cars	14,145	15,308	17,722	16,530	15,926	81.2	15,410	83.1
Commercial Vehicles	3,356	3,567	3,845	4,011	3,695	18.8	3,128	16.9
Total Vehicles	17,501	18,875	21,567	20,541	19,621	100.0	18,538	100.0

	Seasona	l Percent o	of Weekday	Traffic
Passenger Cars	22.2	24.0	27.8	26.0
Commercial Vehicles	22.7	24.2	26.0	27.1
Total Vehicles	22.3	24.0	27.5	26.2

to the network or estimated by the Consultant as explained in Figure 2B (page 26), under ADT code. These traffic volumes, which were necessary for accident and capacity analyses, are shown in parentheses in Exhibits 9 and 10.

Peak Hour Turning Movement Counts

In the design of traffic improvements, estimates of total annual traffic volumes do not constitute sufficient basic data. These data should be supplemented by estimates of the volume and direction of traffic flow at the peak hour as well as the number or percentage of commercial vehicles making each movement.

Accordingly, manual p.m. peak hour turning movement counts were made at 21 intersections considered critical by the local agencies. Traffic volumes were recorded in 15-minute increments and vehicles were classified as cars or trucks (including buses)⁽¹⁾ by direction on each approach to the intersection. The total volume during the four highest consecutive 15-minute intervals was defined as the peak hour volume.

Exhibit A-1 in the Appendix includes graphic summaries of peak hour traffic at these critical intersections. Where both the peak hour and average annual daily traffic were available for the same intersection, both have been shown on one page. Location of these intersections is identified in Exhibits 7 and 8 (pages 36 and 37).

Screen Line Counts

A screen line was established in 1961 for evaluation of origindestination studies in a portion of the study area. Location of this screen line is shown in Figure 8 (page 47). The line was designed to bisect the internal study area--that area within which home interviews were made. Thirteen screen line stations were established at points of intersection with streets and highways. Manual traffic counts were made at each station in 1961 to estimate the volume, classification and direction of traffic flow.

⁽¹⁾⁻Highway Capacity Manual, 1965, Highway Research Board, Special Report 87, page 15.

Much of the 1961 screen line data was not available for detailed analysis when the present transportation study was initiated in 1966. Such factors as hourly variations in traffic, directional flow, and peak hour characteristics, therefore, could not be reevaluated.

Available 1961 data included average summer weekday traffic volumes classified by vehicle type. Volumes recorded at each screen line station are tabulated in Table 15. These data indicate that commercial vehicles accounted for approximately 11 percent of total traffic.

Cordon Counts

During the origin-destination studies in 1961, interview stations were established on 19 streets and highways at intersections with a cordon line bounding the internal study area. Other interview stations were established at the Iowa approaches to the Centennial, Government and Memorial Bridges.

All drivers passing cordon stations were interviewed during a 16-hour period, from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Mechanical traffic recorders were placed at each station and operated for a minimum of five weekdays, including the interview period. Manual vehicle classification counts were taken at a later date. This information was used to estimate 24-hour average summer weekday traffic for 1961 and to expand the interview samples. Average summer weekday traffic at external survey stations is shown in Table 16.

AVERAGE SUMMER WEEKDAY TRAFFIC BY VEHICLE TYPE AT CONTROL LOCATIONS ON SCREEN LINE--1961

Control Station	Location	Area Total	Single U 4-Tire	Jnit Trucks 6 or More	Buses	Truck Bus <u>Total</u>	Tractor Trucks (Semitrailers)	Total Traffic
Dav. 23	E. River Drive	14,272	969	618	48	1,635	485	16,392
Dav. 24	E. 11th Street	540	66	31	-	97	-	637
Dav. 25	E. 12th Street	1,777	129	41	74	244	2	2,023
Dav. 26	E. 13th Street	316	17	8	-	25	-	341
Dav. 27	Kirkwood Boulevard	2,260	115	44	-	148	-	2,408
Dav. 28	E. Locust Street	9,272	526	162	43	731	5	10,008
Dav. 29	Elm Street	1,638	97	31	44	172	-	1,810
Dav. 30	Eastern Avenue	1,984	114	78	6	198	1	2,183
Dav. 31	E. 29th Street	1,110	46	20	1	67	-	1,177
Dav. 32	Kimberly Road	6,980	487	225	5	717	594	8,291
Dav. 33	E. 39th Street	342	28	5	-	33	-	375
Dav. 34	Mound Street	4,525	271	180	5	456	15	4,996
Dav. 35	River Street	1,950	135	37	1		6_	2,129
		46,966				4,696	1,108	52,770
		89.0%				8.9%	2.1%	100%

AVERAGE SUMMER WEEKDAY TRAFFIC BY VEHICLE TYPE AT EXTERNAL SURVEY STATIONS ON CORDON LINE 1961

Control Station	Location	Auto Total	Single U 4-Tire	Jnit Trucks <u>6 or More</u>	Buses	Truck Bus <u>Total</u>	Tractor Trucks (Semitrailors)	Total Traffic
Dav. 1	U. S. 67	3,259	262	130	-	392	91	3,742
Dav. 2	Devils Glen Road	440	63	15	-	78		518
Dav. 3	Middle Road	489	45	7	-	52	-	541
Dav. 4	Utica Ridge Road	241	24	18		42	2	285
Dav. 5	E. 32nd Street	101	14	10	-	24	2	127
Dav. 6	Jersey Ridge Road	781	66	26	-	92	-	873
Dav. 7	Eastern Avenue	234	21	4	-	25	-	259
Dav. 8	Brady Street	6,434	447	355	12	814	300	7, 548
Dav. 9	Harrison Street	2, 571	181	74	1	2 56	23	2,850
Dav. 10	Pine Street	2,598	187	88	-	275	12	2,885
Dav. 11	U. S. 6	2, 373	168	107	38	313	399	3,085
Dav. 12	Hickory Grove Road	2, 393	192	87	-	279	99	2,771
Dav. 13	W. Locust Street	931	76	38	-	114	1	1,046
Dav. 14	Telegraph Road	445	68	13	-	81	3	529
Dav. 15	Ricker Hill Road	204	25	5	-	30	-	234
Dav. 16	Rockingham Road	2,960	252	136	8	396	34	3,390
Dav. 17	W. River Drive	4, 982	428	328	15	771	303	6,056
Dav. 18	Concord Street	1,466	120	61	-	181	12	1,659
Dav. 19	Centennial Bridge	11, 146	688	378	133	1,199	342	12,687
Dav. 20	U. S. Government Bridge	14, 381	889	399	42	1,330	21	15,732
Dav. 21	U. S. Government Bridge	3, 725	83	26	42	151	2	3,878
Dav. 22	Memorial Bridge	13,035	909	588	16	1,513	1,099	15,647
Dav. 60	Ia. 417	1, 316	188	43	2	233	.	1,549
		76, 505				8,641	2,745	87, 891
		87.1%				9.8%	3.1%	100%

Definitions of Location and Severity

Accidents which could be ascribed to the influence of an intersection were classified as intersectional accidents; others were <u>non-intersectional accidents</u>.⁽¹⁾ The point of impact was not always the determining factor in accident assignment. For example, a rearend collision 100 feet or more from an intersection was still attributed to the influence of the intersection. In most instances, location assignments had been made by the local law enforcement agency and were recorded on the accident reports.

Accident severity was another important factor considered in the analysis. Three classifications were established, as defined below:

<u>Property Damage (PD)</u>--accidents which resulted only in damage to property, regardless of number of vehicles involved or magnitude of damage.

<u>Personal Injury (PI)</u>--accidents in which one or more persons were injured, but in which there were no fatalities.

<u>Fatal (F)</u>--accidents which resulted in one or more fatalities.

Normally, accidents had been classified as to severity by local law enforcement agencies and assignments were recorded on accident reports.

Number of Accidents--1964 and 1965

Accidents reported in 1964 and 1965 in the study area were tabulated according to severity. Totals for each jurisdiction are shown on Table 17. Reports indicate a 15 percent increase in total number of accidents--from 3,850 in 1964 to 4,441 in 1965. There was a decrease, however, in the total number of fatal and personal injury accidents.

Similar tabulations were made of accidents which occurred on the principal street and highway system in 1964 and 1965. Totals by jurisdiction are shown on Table 18. These data were obtained from accident reports.

⁽¹⁾⁻Maintaining Accident Records, <u>Procedure Manual 3-E</u>, National Committee on Urban Transportation, 1958, page 12.

SUMMARY OF MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENTS ON ALL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS IN THE STUDY AREA 1964-1965

	1	Number of Accidents	by Severity	
	Property	Personal		
Governmental Jurisdiction	Damage	Injury	Fatality	Total
			64	·
Davenport	2,268	888	13	3,169
Bettendorf	290	98	0	388
Remainder of Scott County	172	113	8	293
TOTAL	2,730	1,099	21	3,850
			55 	
		- / ·		
Davenport	2,608	968	9	3,585
Bettendorf	362	107	1	470
Remainder of Scott County	238	143	5	386
TOTAL	3,208	1,218	15	4, 441

Source: Iowa State Highway Commission

DAVENPORT · ROCK ISLAND · MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION) DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY · CONSULTING ENGINEERS · CHICAGO



AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC-1965

EXHIBIT 9

SUMMARY OF MOTOR VEHICLE ACCIDENTS ON LOCAL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS IN THE STUDY AREA 1964-1965

		Number of Accident	s by Severity	
	Property	Personal		
Governmental Jurisdiction	Damage	Injury	Fatality	Total
		196	64	
Davenport	765	373	3	1,141
Bettendorf	84	53	0	137
Remainder of Scott County	108	64	2	174
TOTAL	957	490	5	1,452
			ó5	
Davenport	868	467	0	1,335
Bettendorf	117	59	0	176
Remainder of Scott County	127	67	1	195
TOTAL	1,112	593	1	1,706

Traffic volumes used to estimate accident rates were based on 1965 traffic counts in the rural area and 1966 counts in urban Davenport and Bettendorf. Thus, estimated 1965 accident rates in the rural area reflect actual experience. Rate comparisons should be made with caution since traffic volumes may have differed between 1964 and 1965.

Accident rates for urban intersections with ten or more accidents and rural intersections with five or more accidents are depicted graphically on Exhibits 11 and 12. Since graphic presentation would indicate a high apparent rate for low volume intersections with even a few accidents, these rates were excluded from the exhibits.

Accident Rates by Section of Road

High accident locations were evaluated on the basis of the number of accidents per 100 million vehicle miles of travel on specific road sections. Each principal street and highway was subdivided into control sections. Intersections between principal streets were defined as terminal intersections; see Figure 9. A control section was defined as that segment of the principal street and highway system between two terminal intersections. Accidents charged against each control section included those which occurred at one of the two terminal intersections; at intersections of local streets and highways with the principal facility; and all non-intersectional accidents from one terminal intersection up to, but not including, the other terminal intersection.

On north-south streets, the north terminal intersection was defined as the starting point of a control section. The west terminal intersection of an east-west control section was considered its beginning point.

Through this procedure, accidents at the intersection of two principal streets or highways were attributed to <u>both</u> control sections. This dual assignment avoided arbitrary assignment of accidents to one of the two facilities. The all-accident rate for each control section of the principal street and highway system was computed as follows:







DEFINITION OF CONTROL SECTIONS

$$R_2 = \frac{A \times 100,000,000}{365 \times B \times L}$$

Where:

R ₂	=	all-accident rate per 100,000,000 vehicle-miles
-		of travel for one year.

- A = all intersection and non-intersection accidents in the control section for one year.
- B = average daily traffic for the control section.
- L = length of the control section in miles.

On high volume roads, accident rates expressed in terms of accidents per 100 million vehicle-miles of travel may tend to obscure a hazardous operational condition. Conversely, on low volume roads, the rate expressed in terms of vehicle-miles may overemphasize the importance of a small number of accidents. To partially compensate for this, an all-accident rate per mile was computed as follows:

- $R_3 =$ all-accident rate per mile for one year.
- A = all intersection and non-intersection accidents in the control section for one year.
- L = length of control section in miles.

Since both rates may have merit in specific applications, each was applied independently to evaluate accident experience throughout the principal street and highway system.

Accident data for each control section were keypunched on data processing cards and processed by computer. The data processing card format is shown in Exhibit C-1. Column headings on the computer printout are explained in Exhibit C-2. Exhibit C-3 is the computer printout of the major street accidents. Exhibits C-1, C-2, and C-3 are in the Appendix.

Ten intersections and ten street sections with the greatest number of accidents in 1964 and 1965 have been listed in Tables 20-23.

TEN HIGHEST ACCIDENT INTERSECTIONS

		Type of Accident					
<u></u>	Location	Property Damage	Personal Injury	Fatality	Total		
1.	Gaines Street3rd Street Davenport	24	9	-	33		
2.	Brady StreetLocust Street Davenport	21	6	-	27		
3.	l4th StreetState Street Bettendorf	20	5	-	25		
4.	Spring StreetEast River Drive Davenport	13	7	-	20		
5.	Gaines Street4th Street Davenport	14	6	-	20		
6.	Brady Street3rd Street Davenport	15	5	-	20		
7.	Brady Street4th Street Davenport	15	5	-	20		
8.	Kimberly RoadLocust Street Bettendorf	18	1	-	19		
9.	Concord StreetWest River Dri Davenport	ve 11	7	-	18		
10.	Jersey RidgeKimberly Road Davenport	11	7	-	18		

Table 21

TEN HIGHEST ACCIDENT PRINCIPAL STREET SECTIONS

1964

		Type of Accidents					
	Location			Property	Personal		
	Street Name	Node A	Node B	Damage	Injury	Fatality	Total
1.	Brady Street	2202	2205	46	17		63
	Davenport	2202	2205	40	17	-	0.5
2.	Brady Street						
	Davenport	2193	2195	42	14	-	56
3.	4th Street						
	Davenport	2074	2143	36	15	-	51
4.	Harrison Street						
	Davenport	2177	2180	34	9	2	45
5.	4th Street						
,	Davenport	2073	2074	33	12	-	45
6.	3rd Street						
	Davenport	2068	2144	24	14	-	38
7.	2nd Street						
	Davenport	2054	2145	23	14	-	37
8.	E. River Drive						
	Davenport	2252	2262	29	7	-	36
9.	Gaines Street						
	Davenport	2144	2145	24	9	-	33
10.	3rd Street						
	Davenport	2144	2148	24	9	-	33

TEN HIGHEST ACCIDENT INTERSECTIONS

		Type of Accident					
		Property	Personal				
	Location	Damage	Injury	Fatality	<u>Total</u>		
1.	Gaines Street3rd Street Davenport	26	11	-	37		
2.	Brady Street3rd Street Davenport	27	2	-	29		
3.	14th StreetState Street Bettendorf	22	6	-	28		
4.	Brady Street4th Street Davenport	22	6	-	28		
5.	Harrison Street3rd Street Davenport	19	8	-	27		
6.	Brady StreetLocust Street Davenport	18	6	-	24		
7.	Harrison Street4th Street Davenport	19	3	-	22		
8.	Brady StreetKimberly Road Davenport	16	5	-	21		
9.	Division StreetLocust Street Davenport	17	4	-	21		
10.	Brady Street6th Street Davenport	20	1	-	21		

Table 23

K

TEN HIGHEST ACCIDENT PRINCIPAL STREET SECTIONS

	Type of Accidents					
Location			Property Personal			
Street Name	Node A	<u>Node B</u>	Damage	Injury	Fatality	Total
Harrison Street	2155	2100				
Davenport	2177	2180	46	11	-	57
Brady Street						
Davenport	2202	2205	43	12	-	55
4th Street						
Davenport	2073	2074	46	8	-	54
Brady Street						
Davenport	2193	2195	37	15	-	52
E. River Street						
Davenport	2262	2280	41	11	-	52
Kimberly Road						
Davenport	2193	2273	32	10	-	42
4th Street						
Davenport	2074	2143	38	3	-	41
Gaines Street						
Davenport	2144	2145	26	11	-	37
3rd Street						
Davenport	2144	2148	26	11	-	37
3rd Street						
Davenport	2066	2068	28	9	-	37

Rates in terms of accidents per 100 million vehicle miles of travel are summarized in Table 24. This table includes 1964 and 1965 rates classified by accident severity and location. Caution should be exercised in comparing 1964 and 1965 rates, since counts were made only of 1965 rural travel volumes. Rates for major and collector streets and highways were approximately four times greater than rates for freeways and expressways.

Figure 10 depicts accident rates per 100 million miles of travel as related to average daily traffic on each control section. Except for some very-small-volume roads, the rate of accidents generally increased with volume. The reduction in accident rate for facilities carrying between 10,000 and 20,000 vehicles per day resulted from the influence of freeways and expressways in this range of traffic volume.

A graph relating the accident rate per mile to average daily traffic is shown in Figure 11.

In order to define dangerous segments of the principal street and highway system, accident rates expressed in terms of accidents per 100 million vehicle miles of travel were plotted against total system mileage. See Figures 12 and 13.

The approximate inflection point of each curve was taken as the arbitrary division between relatively safe and dangerous conditions. In urban areas, rates in excess of 4,000 accidents per 100 million vehicle-miles--representing 14.5 percent and 13.2 percent of total system mileage in 1964 and 1965, respectively--were considered representative of dangerous conditions. In rural areas streets and highways with more than 1000 accidents per 100 million vehiclemiles were considered dangerous. These represented 11.2 percent and 12.0 percent of total rural mileage in 1964 and 1965, respectively. Exhibits 13 through 16 show segments of the principal street and highway system evaluated as dangerous in 1964 and 1965.

Rates expressed in terms of accidents per mile of road were similarly analyzed. Table 25 summarizes these data by functional classification and accident severity. The table includes local streets and highways as well as the principal street and highway system. Total mileage of local streets and highways in the study area was estimated at 920 miles for purposes of this summary.⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾⁻This is equivalent to a ratio of about three and one-half to one for local to principal facilities in urban areas and a ratio of three to one in rural areas.
TABLE 24

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT RATES ACCIDENTS PER 100,000,000 VEHICLE--MILES OF TRAVEL 1964-1965

	Functi	onal Classification	Principal Str	eets and Highw	ays
Severity of Accident	Freeway	Expressways	Major	Collector	All
		196	4		
Property Damage	12	313	620	1,388	623
Personal Injury	-	132	215	423	209
Fatality		9		5	
All Accidents	12	454	839	1,816	836
		196	5		
Property Damage	14	315	737	1,654	735
Personal Injury	15	122	212	428	210
Fatality		2	4	10	4
All Accidents	29	439	953	2,092	949



TRAFFIC VOLUME (VEHICLES/DAY)

ACCIDENT RATE PER MILE RELATED TO AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (1965-1966)



TRAFFIC VOLUME (VEHICLES/DAY)

FIGURE 12



FIGURE 13





DAVENPORT · ROCK ISLAND · MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION) DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY · CONSULTING ENGINEERS · CHICAGO

EXHIBIT 13

CONTROL SECTION ACCIDENT RATES HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATIONS - 1964



ſ

ſ



DAVENPORT · ROCK ISLAND · MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION) DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY . CONSULTING ENGINEERS . CHICAGO

CONTROL SECTION ACCIDENT RATES HIGH ACCIDENT LOCATIONS - 1965

EXHIBIT 15



TABLE 25

SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT RATES ACCIDENTS PER MILE OF ROADWAY 1964-1965

	Functional Classification									
Severity of Accidents	Freeway	Expressway	Major	Collector	All Principal Streets and Highways	Local Streets and Highways				
				1964						
Property Damage	0.34	10.33	9.87	3.85	6.30	1.04				
Personal Injury	-	4.36	3.42	1.17	2.12	0.53				
Fatality		0.31	0.06	0.01	0.04	0.01				
All Accidents	0.34	15.00	13.35	5.03	8.46	1.58				
				1965						
Property Damage	0.40	10.41	11.76	4.58	7.43	1.21				
Personal Injury	0.46	4.04	3.38	1.19	2.12	0.65				
Fatality		0.08	0.07	0.03	0.04	<u>0.00</u>				
All Accidents	0.86	14.53	15.14	5.80	9.59	1.86				

Accident Costs

The National Safety Council annually publishes approximations of the calculable costs of motor vehicle accidents, classified by accident severity. The following values were approximated for calendar years 1964 and 1965:

Severity	1964	1965
Death	\$34,400	\$35,000
Non-fatal injury	1,800	1,900
Property damage accidents	310	320

These values were estimated for each person killed or injured, rather than for each fatal or personal injury accident. Accordingly, study area rates were adjusted to reflect the estimated number of persons involved in each fatal or personal injury accident. The following adjustment factors were developed on the basis of data furnished by the Iowa State Highway Commission:

	1964	<u>1965</u>
Persons killed per fatal accident	1.10	1.27
fatal accident	1.00	2.00
Persons injured per personal in-		
jury accident	1.33	1.32

Table 26 shows the estimated cost of accidents per mile of road for each functional classification of the street and highway system.

While these estimates permit comparisons between various functional classifications of streets and highways, they include duplicate data for accidents at intersections of principal thoroughfares. Therefore, total accident costs for the study area were estimated by applying adjusted rates and National Safety Council values to total accidents as estimated in Table 17, page 61. On this basis, the total cost of accidents in the study area was approximately \$3,540,000 in 1964 and \$3,870,000 in 1965.

TABLE 26

ESTIMATED COST OF ACCIDENTS PER MILE OF ROADWAY

	Functional Classification								
Severity of Accidents	Freeway	Expressway	Major	Collector	All Principal Streets and Highways	Local Streets and Highways			
				1964					
Property Damage	\$105	\$3,202	\$3,060	\$1,194	\$1,953	\$322			
Personal Injury	-	10,436	8,187	2,800	5,075	1,269			
Fatality		12,288	2,378	396	1,585	396			
All Accidents	\$105	\$25,926	\$13,625	\$4,390	\$8,613	\$1,987			
]	1965					
Property Damage	\$128	\$3,331	\$3,763	\$1,466	\$2,303	\$387			
Personal Injury	1,154	10,132	8,477	2,985	5,317	1,630			
Fatality		3,800	3,378	1,448	1,930	48			
All Accidents	\$1,282	\$17,263	\$15,618	\$5,899	\$9,550	\$2,065			

CAPACITY ANALYSIS

The capacity of a street or highway is a measure of its ability to accommodate traffic. Capacity is defined as "the maximum number of vehicles which has a reasonable expectation of passing over a given section of a lane or a roadway in one direction (or in both directions for a two-lane or a three-lane highway) during a given time period under prevailing roadway and traffic conditions."⁽¹⁾ The term "capacity" is synonymous with the term "possible capacity" as defined in the Highway Capacity Manual.

Capacity is a function of both the physical features of the highway and the operational characteristics of traffic using the highway. Because urban and rural traffic characteristics differ, capacity analyses for urban and rural areas were based on separate factors. In addition, the following discussion pertains only to major and collector streets and highways with interrupted flows, and not to freeways and expressways with free flow design characteristics.

Location

Various locational factors were applied in capacity analyses. A general distinction was made between urban and rural areas. Urban areas were further classified as central business districts, fringe areas, outlying business districts, or residential areas. See Exhibits 17 and 18. Criteria used in defining these areas are summarized below. ⁽²⁾

1. Central Business District--that portion of a municipality in which intense business activity is the dominant land use. Such a district is characterized by large pedestrian traffic volumes, commercial vehicle loading of goods and people, a heavy demand for parking space, and high parking turnover.

⁽¹⁾⁻Highway Capacity Manual, 1965, Highway Research Board Special Report 87, page 5.

⁽²⁾⁻Highway Capacity Manual, 1965, Highway Research Board Special Report 87, page 19.



DAVENPORT · ROCK ISLAND · MOLINE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY (IOWA PORTION) DE LEUW, CATHER & COMPANY · CONSULTING ENGINEERS · CHICAGO LEGEND

OUTLYING BUSINESS DISTRICT RESIDENTIAL ALL OTHER AREAS-RURAL

DESIGNATION OF AREAS BY TYPE-1966



- 2. Fringe Area--that portion of a municipality immediately outside the central business district in which there is a wide range of business, generally including small businesses, light industry, warehousing, automobile service activities, and intermediate strip development, as well as some concentrated residential areas. Most traffic in such an area has neither origin nor destination within the area. Such an area is characterized by moderate pedestrian traffic and lower parking turnover than is found in the central business district, but may include large parking areas serving the central business district.
- 3. Outlying Business District--that portion of a municipality or an area within the influence of a municipality, but normally some distance from the central business district and its fringe area, in which the principal land use is business activity. Such a district generates its own local traffic circulation pattern which is superimposed on through movements to and from the central business district; it has relatively high parking demand and turnover, and moderate pedestrian traffic. Compact and self-contained shopping centers free of through streets are not included in this definition.
- 4. Residential Area--that portion of a municipality, or an area within the influence of a municipality, in which the dominant land use is residential, but in which there may be limited business. Such an area is characterized by few pedestrians and low parking turnover.

A locational factor reflecting size of urban areas in the study area was also applied. Previous research has shown that intersection approaches within large metropolitan areas have higher capacities than those with similar geometrics in comparable areas in smaller cities.

Urban Capacities

In general, maximum traffic volume on a specific section of roadway is limited either by an intersection approach or by a pavement constriction between intersections. In urban capacity analyses, factors classifying type of traffic and levels of service were defined as follows: Level of Service. A load factor (LF) was assumed as a measure of level of service. Load factor is an indication of the utilization of the entire approach roadway during one hour of peak traffic flow and may be defined as follows:

Load Factor = Total number of green phases that are fully utilized during the peak hour Total number of green phases for that approach during the same period

A green phase on an approach may be considered to be fully utilized when there are vehicles ready to enter the intersection in all lanes when the signal turns green and they continue to enter in all lanes during the entire phase with no unused time or exceedingly long spacings between vehicles at any time due to lack of traffic. A load factor of 0.20, representative of a desirable level of service, was selected for use in the Davenport-Rock Island-Moline Urbanized Area. The capacity at the given level of service is denoted the "Service Volume."

<u>Physical and Operational Conditions.</u> Street width, parking conditions, and type of operation (one-way or two-way) were evaluated for all links in the system, as part of the physical inventory of streets and highways. For purposes of analysis, ten percent right and left turn volumes were assumed.

Analysis of available data indicated that commercial vehicles accounted for approximately 4.0 percent of total traffic in central business districts, 2.0 percent of total traffic in fringe areas, and 3.0 percent of total traffic in outlying business districts and residential areas. Panel, pickup and other light four-wheel trucks were classified with passenger cars, since their size and performance are similar. All other trucks, as well as intercity and express buses, were defined as commercial vehicles.

The family of curves reflecting locational factors, levels of service, and physical and operational conditions are shown in Figures 14 and 15. Service volumes are indicated in vehicles per hour of green.

INTERSECTION APPROACH SERVICE VOLUME BY TYPE OF AREA

ONE-WAY STREETS



SOURCE: HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL - 1965, HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD SPECIAL REPORT 87, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, PUBLICATION 1328, WASHINGTON, D.C. FIGURES 6.5, 6.6 AND 6.7.

INTERSECTION APPROACH SERVICE VOLUME BY TYPE OF AREA

TWO-WAY STREETS



SOURCE: HIGHWAY CAPACITY MANUAL – 1965, HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD SPECIAL REPORT 87, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, PUBLICATION 1328, WASHINGTON D.C. FIGURES 6.8 AND 6.9 The peak hour factor (PHF) reflects variations in peak hour traffic flow. The peak hour factor is defined as the ratio between the number of vehicles on the approach during the peak hour to four times the number of vehicles in the highest 15 consecutive minutes.⁽¹⁾ It is possible for the peak hour factor to vary from 0.25 to 1.00. Intersection turning movement surveys, described in an earlier section of this report (Volume Studies), were used to estimate applicable peak hour factors for the study area. Table 27 summarizes peak hour factors by area as estimated from traffic counts at intersections. A peak hour factor of 0.90 was selected as being representative of conditions throughout the study area. It was applied to capacity curves to estimate peak hour approach capacities.

Current and future traffic volumes are usually expressed in terms of daily traffic. To allow direct comparisons with these volumes, it was necessary to convert peak hour service volumes to average weekday traffic (AWT) service volumes. (The origin-destination study did not include surveys of travel on Saturday and Sunday.) The service volumes were converted through use of observed relationships of the peak hour directional split and the ratio between peak hour traffic and average weekday traffic from the volume study. These relationships are summarized in Table 27.

Average weekday service volume was calculated by dividing the peak hour approach service volume from Figures 14 and 15 by the appropriate peak hour directional split and the percent of the peak hour to average weekday traffic. These calculations are expressed in the following formula:

Urban	Approach						
Daily	Volume			Peak			
Service _	From	Truck	v	Hour	77	1	1
Volume	Figures	Factor	л	Adjustment	л	Percent of	Percent
Per Hour	14 and 15					Peak Hour	of AWT
of Green						Traffic in	
						Heavier	
						Direction	

The resulting average daily service volumes for urban streets are listed in Exhibits D-1, D-2, D-3 and D-4 in the Appendix.

(1)-Highway Capacity Manual, 1965, Highway Research Board Special Report 87, page 117.

TABLE 27

PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS IN THE STUDY AREA

Location	Directional Split	Percent of	Peak Hour Factor
Central Business District	65% - 35%	9.5%	0.90
Fringe Area	70% - 30%	9.0%	0.87
Outlying Business District	60% - 40%	9.5%	0.92
Residential Area	60% - 40%	9.5%	0.90

AWT = Average Weekday Traffic

Freeway (full access control) service volumes and expressway (partial access control) service volumes were calculated by multiplying appropriate values from Table 28 by the number of lanes.

Rural Capacities

Rural service volumes were calculated by adjusting rural capacities under ideal conditions to representative conditions of the study area. For two-lane, two-way highways an ideal capacity of 2,000 passenger vehicles per hour total for both lanes was used. For multilane highways, a value of 2,000 passenger vehicles per hour per lane was used. Adjustments were made for lane width, commercial vehicles, directional split and level of service. Factors used to adjust for lane width are given in Table 29.

Two separate factors have been developed to account for commercial vehicles. Commercial vehicles accounted for approximately two percent of total traffic on two-lane highways and three percent on multilane facilities. For two-lane highways, one commercial vehicle was assumed to be equivalent to 2.5 passenger vehicles. For multilane highways one commercial vehicle was assumed to be equivalent to 2.0 passenger vehicles. The formula used to determine the factors was:

$$Factor = \frac{100}{100 - T + PT}$$

Where:

T = percent commercial vehiclesP = passenger car equivalents

Application of this formula resulted in a truck adjustment factor of 0.98 for both two-lane and multilane rural highways.

Directional split was derived from the volume studies and applied to rural capacities. The studies showed that approximately 60 percent of peak hour traffic in rural areas was in the heavier direction of traffic flow. No adjustment was made for percent grade on rural highways, since average grades throughout the study area are less than seven percent. In addition, no further adjustment was made for the percent of commercial traffic inasmuch as the passenger car equivalents described above made allowance for fairly steep gradients over short distances.

TABLE 28

.

ACCESS-CONTROLLED FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

	Vehicles Per Day Per Lar			
Location	Freeways	Expressways		
Urban Areas	12,600	10,500		
Rural Areas	8,000	6,400		

Source: Instruction Manual for Operational Characteristics Inventory and Capacity Analysis -- 1966, Illinois Division of Highways.

TABLE 29

EFFECT OF LANE WIDTH ON CAPACITY

•RURAL STREETS AND HIGHWAYS

.

Capacity Expressed as a Percentage of Capacity of Ideal 12-Foot Lape

	<u>of Capacity of</u>	Ideal 12-Foot Lane
Lane Width	Two-Lane	Multi-Lane Streets
(Feet)	Streets and Highways	and Highways
	Percent	Percent
•		
12	100	100
11	. 88	97
10	81	91
9	76	81

Each of the above factors was applied to the ideal capacities to determine peak hour rural capacities as a function of pavement width.

It was determined that peak hour traffic amounted to 8.0 percent of total 24-hour volumes. A factor of 0.50 was selected as being representative of a desirable level of service. Dividing the peak hour capacities by the percent peak hour and multiplying by the level of service factor resulted in the average weekday service volumes shown in Exhibit D-5 in the Appendix. Rural service volume is calculated by the following formula:

Rural
Daily
ServiceIdealLane
Width
FactorTruck
Factor $\frac{1}{Percent} \times \frac{1}{Percent} \times$

Sufficiency Analysis

Full capacity of a street or highway is seldom realized, due to various factors which act as retardants to free vehicular movement. The most important factor limiting the capacity of any facility in urban areas is the at-grade intersection. Since it would be impractical to make a detailed capacity analysis of each intersection, a program was developed which computed in general the existing sufficiency of each segment of the principal street and highway system based on limitations imposed by intersections.

For purposes of analysis, the principal street and highway system was described in terms of "nodes" and "links." In general, a node identified the intersection of two functionally classified roadways. A link was defined as a segment of street or highway between two nodes. Other nodes were added and new links established when the following conditions existed between intersections:

- a. Any change in type of operation (i.e., from one-way to two-way).
- b. Variation of more than two feet in total surfaced width.
- c. Change in parking conditions.
- d. Change in type of area.

This system of node numbering was used for urban streets. In areas which were distinctly rural in nature, nodes were assigned at intersections of functionally classified streets and at points where the surfaced width changed by more than two feet.

Based on type of area, type of operation, parking conditions, and street width, the service volume per hour of green signal phase time was estimated for each link of the system. Except for accesscontrolled facilities, actual approach width, measured in feet, was used rather than the number of traffic lanes. Estimates were based on service volume tables described earlier.

It was assumed that all intersections were signalized with ten percent of total green time reserved for the amber phase of the signal cycle. The remaining 90 percent of green time was split between intersection legs on the basis of the demand volume/service volume (D/S) ratios of opposing traffic movements. The ratios were computed for each leg of the intersection under free-flow conditions. Green time was proportioned to each direction of travel as follows:

$$%G_{+} = 0.90 \frac{D/S +}{D/S_{+} + D/S_{-}}$$

Where:

 $%G_+$ = Percent green time in one direction (+).

- D/S_+ =Highest ratio of demand volume to service volume under free-flow conditions in the + direction.
- D/S_=Highest ratio of demand volume to service volume under free-flow conditions in the opposing direction (-).

In this manner, an optimum percentage of green time was calculated for each leg of an intersection. When there was no cross traffic movement at a node, the optimum percentage of green time for each link was selected as 65 percent in business and intermediate areas and 70 percent in outlying and rural areas.

An optimum percent green time was thus computed for each pair of nodes defining each link in the system. The lower of the two percentages was used as the controlling percentage for that link. Service volume of each link, both with and without parking, was computed by applying this percentage to the service volume per hour of green phase time previously estimated for the link. A listing of all intersection nodes, their controlling links and calculated optimum percent green times may be found in Exhibit E-3 in the Appendix.

The estimated average weekday traffic volume on a link was divided by its service volume to approximate sufficiency or deficiency. A value greater than 100 percent indicated a deficiency. Analyses suggested that in Bettendorf, the areas of greatest deficiency were on 14th Street and Kimberly Road, as well as on State Street and East River Drive near the 8th Street intersection. In Davenport, there were apparent deficiencies on East River Drive from 3rd Street to Bridge Avenue and from Mound Street to Forest Road; on Kimberly Road from Division Street to Eastern Avenue; and on Harrison and Brady Streets near Kimberly Road. See Exhibit 19.

Table 30 indicates mileage of the street and highway system operating above and below service volume conditions; system mileage is classified by type of facility for each jurisdiction. Figure 16 classifies total system mileage by percentage of service volume classes.

Vehicle miles, a measure of system usage, were calculated by multiplying the segment length by its average weekday traffic volume. Figure 17 is a chart of percentages of total vehicle miles by percentage of service volume classes.

Exhibit F-3 in the Appendix lists all inventoried links as well as their service volumes and vehicle miles of travel.



2

TABLE 30

SUMMARY OF MILEAGE ABOVE AND BELOW 100% SERVICE VOLUME CONDITIONS

1966

	F:	reeways ar Expressway	nd 7 s	Arto ar	erial Str nd Highwa	eets ys	Co	llector S and Highw	treets ays		Total	S
Jurisdiction	Miles	<u>d</u> s <1	<u>d</u> <u>s >1</u>	Miles	<u>d</u> s <1	$\frac{d}{s} > 1$	Miles	<u>d</u> <u>s</u> <1	<u>d</u> s >1	Miles	<u>d</u> s <1	<u>d</u> s >1
Davenport	18.29	16.12	2.17	55.37	50.18	5.19	63.44	63.44	-	137.10	129.74	7.36
Bettendorf	0.17	0.17	-	17.59	15.68	1.91	7.99	7.83	0.16	25.75	23.68	2.07
Remainder Scott County	<u>11.92</u>	<u>11.92</u>		85.98	85.98		<u>141.02</u>	141.02		<u>238.92</u>	238.92	
Total	30.38	28.21	2.17	158.94	151.84	7.10	212.45	212.29	0.16	401.77	392.34	9.43

d=Average weekday demand volume. s=Service volume without parking.

PERCENT OF TOTAL SYSTEM MILEAGE BY PERCENT OF SERVICE VOLUME CLASSES





I

FIGURE 16

PERCENT OF TOTAL VEHICLE MILES BY PERCENT OF SERVICE VOLUME CLASSES





A P P E N D I C E S

I

I

I

J

I

EXHIBIT A-1

Intersection Volume Counts

I

I

I

INTERSECTION VOLUME COUNT





INTERSECTION_	U. S. 61	AND -	Concord Street
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY	
WEATHER		CITY	Davenport



INTERSECTION U. S. 61	AND Credit Island Lane
DATE 1966 AADT	DAY
WEATHER	CITY Davenport







INTERSECTION VOLUME COUNT





106





107

INTERSECTION_	<u>w.</u>	River	Dr.	(U.	<u>s. 61</u>	AND	Brown Stree	et
DATE 1966	AA	DT			DAY			
WEATHER					CITY		Davenport	

7-C

INTERSECTION W. River Dr. (U. S	. 61) ANDBrown Street
DATE November 8, 1966	_DAY Tuesday
WEATHER	city Davenport





P.M. PEAK HOUR

P.M. PEAK HOUR <u>4:30</u> to 5:30 PHF 0.94

INTERSECTION VOLUME COUNT

INTERSECTION_

DATE _



109

DATE -

INTERSECTION W_ 2nd St. Gaines St.



AND

DAY

CITY

9-C

Centennial Bridge

(U. S. 67)

AND

.... DAY .

INTERSECTION W. 2nd	St., Gaines	<u>St.</u>	ND <u>Centennial Bridge</u>
November 8,	1966	- DAY	Tuesday
			D I






IO-C West River Drive- INTERSECTION (U. S. 61, U. S. 67) AND Gaines Street DATE November 8, 1966 DAY Tuesday WEATHER CITY Davenport



111				
	West River	Drive		
INTERSECTION_	(U. S. 61,	<u>U. S. 67)</u>	AND Harrison Street (Ia.	<u>15</u> 0)
DATE 196	6 AADT	DAY .		
WEATHER		CITY	Davenport	



INTERSECTION West 2nd Street	AND Harrison St. (Ia. 150)
CATE 1966 AADT	DAY
WEATHER	CITYDavenport







INTERSE	TION West 4th Street	AN	Harrison St. (Ia. 150)	
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY		,
WEATHER		CITY -	Davenport	,



INTERSECTION East 4th Street	AND Brady Street (U. S. 61)
DATE 1966 AADT	DAY
WEATHER	CITY Davenport





INTERSECTION_







AND

118

	East Riv	er Drive			
INTERSECTIO	N <u>(U.S.61.</u>	U. S. 6	7)	AND Brady Street (U. S. 61	L)
DATE	1966 AADT		DAY _		_
WEATHER				Davenport	_



IB-C East River Drive INTERSECTION (U. S. 61, U. S. 67) AND Brady St. (U. S. 61) DATE November 7, 1966 DAY Monday WEATHER _______ Davenport



120

WEATHER __

INTERSECTION_East 2nd Street

DATE 1966 AADT



1491 894 589 8 East 2nd Street 91 730 2075 1254 56 449 6964 6459 5186 2217 2166 Street Le Claire 9569 P.M. PEAK HOUR . to_



East River Drive (U. S. 67)

Davenport

AND.

DAY.

CITY

East River Drive (U. S. 67)

Davenport

AND

DAY

CITY

INTERSECTION East 4th Street	East River Drive (U. S. 67)
DATE	DAY
WEATHER	Davenport





P.M. PEAK HOUR to_

119

121

DATE_

WEATHER

INTERSECTION_East 3rd Street

1966 AADT



1525

1462

12

Tremont Avenue

23-C

INTERSECTION E. River Dr.	(U.S.67) AND	Tremont Avenue
DATE November 1, 1966	DAY	Tuesday
WEATHER	CITY	Davenport



124	East River Drive			Mississippi Avenue	
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY	AND	Mississippi fivence	
WEATHER				Davenport	

~ 30

 48

91

P.M. PEAK HOUR

. to ___



125

IZJ State Street	
INTERSECTION (U.S. 67)	AND 8th Street
DATE1966 AADT	DAY
WEATHER	CITY Bettendorf



P.M. PEAK HOUR

P.M. PEAK HOUR



128

.

INTERSECTION Grant Street	AND 14th Street (U. S. 6)
DATE 1966 AADT	DAY
WEATHER	CITY Bettendorf

INTERSECTION	AND
DATE	_DAY
WEATHER	_CITY

532

_ to _





129



130

INTERSECTION State Street(U.	S. 67) AND 17th Street	_
DATE 1966 AADT	DAY	
WEATHER	CITY Bettendorf	_



29-C

INTERSECTION State Street(U. S.	67) AN	14th Street(U. S. 6)
DATE November 9, 1966	DAY	Wednesday
WEATHER	CITY	Bettendorf



INTERSECTION State Street(U.	S. 67) AND	21st Street
DATE 1966 AADT	DAY	
WEATHER	CITY	Bettendorf



137

INTERSECTION Ia. 417







AND Panorama Avenue

Kimberly Road			
INTERSECTION (U. S. 6)	AND	Lincoln Road	
DATE	DAY		
WEATHER	CITY	Bettendorf	

139

_ to __

100	Kimberly Roa	d		
INTERSECTION.	(U. S. 6)	A	ND Middle Road	
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY		
WEATHER		CITY	Davenport_	







141

INTERSECTION Kimberly Rd. (U.S. 6) AND Utica Ridge Road DATE 1966 AADT DAY WEATHER _______ CITY _____ Davenport

142





 Yo
 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

 Yo
 Yo

143



|44

INTERSECTION Kimberly Rd. (U.S.6) AND Brady St. (U.S.61) DATE 1966 AADT DAY

WEATHER

_____CITY _____Davenport



145

INTERSECTION	Brady St.	(U. S	. <u>61)</u> ANI	East 29th Street	
DATE	1966 AAD	r	DAY		
WEATHER			CITY	Davenport	

45-C

INTERSECTION Brady St. (U. S. 6	61) AND East 29th Street
DATE October 25, 1966	DAYTuesday
WEATHER	Davenport











INTERSECTION.	Brady Street(U.	S . 61)	AND Locust Street
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY	
WEATHER		CITY	Davenport



INTERSECTION	<u>Harrison St.</u>	<u>(Ia. 1</u>	50) AND	West	Lombard Street
DATE	1966 AADT		DAY		
WEATHER		<u>.</u>	CITY	Daver	nport









49-C







150

INTERSECTION	Kimberly Rd. (U.	S. 6) AND	Marquette Street
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY	
WEATHER		CITY	Davenport

INTERSECTION	West Locust Str	eetAND Marguette Street	-
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY	_
WEATHER		CITYDavenport	_











52-C





INTERSECTION_	Division Street		AND	West 1	Lombar	d Stree	et_
DATE	1966 AADT	DAY _					
WEATHER		CITY	•	Daven	por <u>t</u>		

INTERSECTION	AND
DATE	DAY
WEATHER	CITY



