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## EFFECT OF ROTATION ON THE GROWTH OF ALFVEN WAVE

by

G. A. Nariboli

1. Summary: The presence of rotation leads to a nonvanishing rate of growth of the discontinuity across an Alfven wave front. The two integrals obtained and the transversality completely determine the discontinuity.
2. Introduction and Basic Equations: In M.H.D., the discontinuity across an Alfven wave front does not grow; specifically its time rate of growth vanishes. We discuss here the effect of rotation on this discontinuity.

The linearised problem is studied by the use of the Fourier transform technique (1). We use the theory of Singular surfaces ${ }^{(2)}$ and the Ray Theory (3). We study without linearising and taking the state ahead of the front arbitrary, but steady.

Cartesian tensor notation is used, with ( $x_{i}$ ) denoting coordinates. The wave front $\Sigma(t)$ moves with a speed (G) normal to itself. The unit normal to the surface is denoted by $\left(n_{i}\right)$. A Guassian system ( $u^{\alpha}$ ) ( $\alpha=$ $1,2)$ is used to describe $\Sigma(t)$ and $\left(x_{i, \alpha}\right)$ denote partial derivatives of $\left(x_{i}\right)$ with respect to $\left(u^{\alpha}\right)$. The first and the second fundamental forms of the surface are denoted by $\left(g_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and $\left(b_{\alpha \beta}\right)$. If $f\left(x_{i}, t\right)=0$ is the equation of the wave front, we denote the gradient vector by $\left(p_{i}=f_{i}=\right.$ $p n_{i}$ ). We can then show that $(3,4,5)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G p-1=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming (G) depends on $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, we can write the Ray equations as $(3,4,5)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{i} \equiv \frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=\frac{\partial(G p)}{\partial p_{i}} \\
&=G n_{i}+\left(\delta_{i j}-n_{i} n_{j}\right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{j}}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \frac{d p_{i}}{d t}=-p \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}, \alpha} \frac{\partial \mathrm{G}}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(d / d t)$ denote the derivative along the rays and $(\delta / \delta t)$, that following the normal trajectories. We then have $(4,5)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dF}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\frac{\delta \mathrm{F}}{\delta \mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{V}^{\alpha} \mathrm{F}, \alpha \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally note the basic M.H.D. equations, taken as (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial t}+v_{j} v_{i, j}-\frac{\mu}{\rho} H_{j} H_{i, j}+\Omega_{j}\left(\Omega_{i} x_{j}-\Omega_{j} x_{i}\right)= \\
& \quad 2 e_{i j k} \Omega_{j} v_{k}+\frac{1}{\rho} p_{i}=0,  \tag{1.6}\\
& \frac{\partial H_{i}}{\partial t}+v_{j} H_{i, j}-H_{j} v_{i, j}=0,  \tag{1.7}\\
& v_{i, i}=0, \quad H_{i, i}=0 . \tag{1.8,9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\left(v_{i}\right),\left(H_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ are the vectors of fluid velocity, magnetic field and angular velocity; $(\mu)$ is the magnetic permeability and (p) is the density; lastly (p) is the total pressure (the sum of hydrostatic and Magnetic pressures).
3. The Equation of Growth: We assume that $(p),\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $\left(H_{i}\right)$ are continuous across $\Sigma(t)$ and the discontinuities are only in the gradients of the two vectors. Using a square bracket to denote the jumps, we write the discontinuities as

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[v_{i, j}\right] n_{j}=\xi_{i},\left[H_{i, j}\right] n_{j}=\eta_{i},\left[v_{i, j k}\right] n_{j} n_{k}=\bar{\xi}_{i}} \\
{\left[H_{i, j k}\right] n_{j} n_{k}=\bar{\eta}_{i}} \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first order compatibility conditions give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{n}-G\right) \xi_{i}=\frac{\mu H_{n}}{\rho} \eta_{i},\left(v_{n}-G\right) \eta_{i}=H_{n} \xi_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The suffix ( $n$ ) denotes the normal component. The field variables in (2.2) and now-onwards only refer to values ahead.

The above relations lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=v_{n}+\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} H_{n}, \xi_{i}=-\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} \eta_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations for rays and those governing the variation of the normal ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) along the rays can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=v_{i}+\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} H_{i}, \frac{d n_{i}}{d t}=\left(n_{j} n_{k} V_{j, k} n_{i}-n_{j} V_{j, i}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now differentiate $(1.6)$ and (1.7) with respect to $\left(x_{i}\right)$ and multiply by ( $n_{i}$ ). The second order compatibility conditions give

$$
\begin{align*}
& -G \bar{\xi}_{i}+\frac{\delta \xi_{i}}{\delta t}+v_{j}\left(\xi_{i} n_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta} \xi_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}\right)-\frac{\mu}{\rho} H_{j}\left(\bar{\eta}_{i} n_{j}\right. \\
& \left.+g^{\alpha \beta_{1}}{ }_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}\right)+\xi_{j} v_{i, j}+v_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \xi_{i}-\frac{\mu}{\rho}\left(H_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \eta_{i}\right. \\
& \left.+H_{i, j} \eta_{j}\right)+2 e_{i j k} \Omega_{j} \xi_{k}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -G \bar{\eta}_{i}+\frac{\delta \eta_{i}}{\delta t}+v_{j}\left(\bar{\eta}_{i} n_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta} \eta_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}\right)-H_{j}\left(\bar{\xi}_{i} n_{j}+g^{\alpha \beta_{j}}{ }_{i, \alpha} x_{j, \beta}\right) \\
& +v_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \eta_{i}+H_{i, j} \xi_{j}=H_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \xi_{i}-v_{i, j} \eta_{j}=0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1.4), (1.5), (2.3) and (2.4), these can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} H_{n}\left(\xi_{i}+\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} \bar{\eta}_{i}\right)=\frac{d \xi_{i}}{d t}+2 e_{i j k} \Omega_{j} \xi_{k}+v_{i, j} \bar{\xi}_{j} \\
& \quad+v_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \xi_{i},  \tag{2.7}\\
& H_{n}\left(\bar{\xi}_{i}+\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}} \Pi_{i}\right)=\frac{d \eta_{i}}{d t}+v_{j, k} n_{j} n_{k} \eta_{i}-v_{i, j} \eta_{j} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating the barred vectors, we obtain, by use of (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \xi_{i}}{d t}+e_{i j k} \Omega_{j} \tilde{\zeta}_{k}+\xi_{i} \frac{d \log G}{d t}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G \xi_{i}=\Psi_{i}$; then this equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \Psi_{i}}{d t}+e_{i j k} \Omega_{j} \Psi_{k}=0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the final growth equation. Clearly, in absence of rotation, the rate vanishes. Rotation leads to a nonvanishing growth-rate of the discontinuity.

We always have one integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{i} \Psi_{i}=\text { constant } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the absolute magnitude of the discontinuity is constant; the discontinuity, though varying, never grows indefinitely.

We further note that the discontinuity is tangential. This property of transversality, obtained from $(1.7,8)$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{i} n_{i}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ is constant, we obtain one more integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i} \Psi_{i}=\text { constant. } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So for constant rotation, the three relations (2.11) (2.12) and (2.13) constitute the complete integral.

We now obtain another integral valid for arbitrary angular velocity $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ and arbitrary steady state ahead. Differentiate (2.12) along the rays and substitute from (2.4) and (2.10); we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i j k}{ }^{n}{ }_{j} \Omega_{j}{ }_{k}{ }_{k}+n_{j} \Psi_{k} V_{j, k}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (2.11), (2.12) and (2.14) for ( $\Psi_{i}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{i}=\frac{\Psi_{0}}{P} P_{i} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{o}$ is the initial magnitude of $\left(\Psi_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\Omega_{i}-\Omega_{j} n_{j} n_{i}-e_{i j k} n_{j} n_{p} V_{p, k}, P_{i} P_{i}=P^{2} \tag{2.15,a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the complete description of the discontinuity vector $\left(\Psi_{i}\right)$ in terms of its initial magnitude and of the state ahead.

Any further discussion requires the knowledge of steady-state solutions of the state ahead. We note one simple solution for which the nature of the discontinuity can be more clearly explained. Let ( $\Omega_{i}$ ) $\left(H_{i}\right)$ be constant vectors with only third component as non-vanishing. Thus we take the steady-state solutions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=\left(\Omega x_{2},-\Omega x_{1}, 0\right), H_{i}(0,0, H), \Omega_{i}(0,0, \Omega) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the initial wave-form be a plane normal to the 3 -axis. It can
then be shown that the front remains a plane normal to 3-axis. Then the solution of (2.10) is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{1}= & \Psi_{01} \cos \Omega t+\Psi_{02} \sin \Omega t, \Psi_{2}=-\Psi_{01} \sin \delta \Delta t \\
& +\Psi_{02} \cos \Omega t, \Psi_{3}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the discontinuity vector rotates in the plane of the wavefront with the velocity of the fluid.
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