Population Redistribution in the Midwest

CENTRAL REGIONAL CENTER FOR RURAL DEVE



6

8-15-88

Population Redistribution in the Midwest

Senior Editor: Curtis C. Roseman, University of Illinois

Co-editors: Andrew J. Sofranko, University of Illinois James D. Williams, New Mexico State University

North Central Regional Center for Rural Development Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011

May 1981



The chapters in this book were developed from papers presented at a conference entitled Understanding Population Change: Issues and Consequences of Population Redistribution in the Miduest, which was held March 12-14, 1979 at Champaign, Illinois. The conference was sponsored cooperatively by the North Central Regional Center for Rural Development, Iowa State University, and the University of Illinois.

This project was partially funded by Title V, Rural Development Act of 1972 and the grant provisions of P. L. 89-106.

The North Central Regional Center for Rural Development programs supported by funds under Title V of the Rural Development Act of 1972 are available to everyone without regard to race, color, sex, or national origin.

Volume Editor: Larry R. Whiting, Iowa State University

STATE LIBRARY OF IOWA Historical Building DES MOINES, IOWA 50319

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword vii Chapter One The Transition to Zero Population Growth in the Midwest Peter A. Morrison . Chapter Two Geographical Shifts in Midwestern Population in the Twentieth Century John R. Borchert . . . Chapter Three Demographic Perspectives on Midwestern Population Redistribution Chapter Five Urban Migrants to the Rural Midwest: Some Understandings and Misunderstandings Andrew J. Sofranko, James D. Williams and Frederick C. Fliegel Chapter Six Industry's Role in Nonmetropolitan Economic Development and Population Change Richard E. Lonsdale Chapter Seven Population Redistribution and Conflicts in Land Use: A Midwestern Perspective David Berry Chapter Eight Local Politics and the Turnaround Migration: Newcomer-Oldtimer Relations in Small Communities Alvin D. Sokolow 169 Chapter Nine Availability of Recent Data on Migration and Population Distribution: Migration Estimation and Population Projection Problems Laurence S. Rosen 191

FOREWORD

The Issue

During the 1970s, dramatic changes occurred in the patterns of population distribution within the United States and within many other countries of the world. These have been largely the product of changing internal migration patterns. For the first time in recent history in the United States, the metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migration stream was larger numerically than the stream toward metropolitan areas. This "turnaround" in net direction of migration was the product of reduced metropolitan-bound migration, but more importantly, it resulted from major increases in metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migration. Reasons for the turnaround are varied but tend to center on

<text><text><text><text>

FOREWORD

The Contents

Determine The chapters in this wollker before the grouped into two here and fasterise in the first of the recent population structure and re-sources the Middle of the recent population structure and re-sources the Middle of the second population structure and re-sources the Middle of the second population structure and re-sources the second group of the second structure and group of the second group of the second structure and re-sources the Middle of the second structure and the second group of the second group of the second group of the population redistribution within the region the second group of the in population redistribution. In Chapter 7, Berry examines in the first of an extensive survey of recent migrants to fast-group of the in population redistribution. In Chapter 7, Berry examines in the second population redistribution and the second group of the second second group of the second group of t

The Conference

The Conference. The March 1979 conference, held in Champaign, Illinois, was sponsored by the North Central Regional Center for Rural Develop-ment, and by the Department of Geography, School of Social Sciences, and Department of Agricultural Economics at the University of Illinois. Its brought together numerous researchers, in-cluding those contributing chapters to the present volume, with other academics, planners, government employees, representatives of private concerns, and interested lay people. They came from six-teen states including all parts of the Midwest. With such a broadly based set of participants, a wide variety of issues of national, re-gional, and local interest were discussed. This volume is one of the many outcomes of the conference.

Acknowled

We wish to thank the North Ce We wish to think the root to be beelopment for supporting the volume, and several units at the U Champaign for their support of the dividuals who helped make the or especially thank Charles A. Neale a ment of Urban and Regional Plannin of Continuing Education and Dublic of Continuing Education and Public

FOREWORD

Curtis C. Roseman Andrew J. Sofranko James D. Williams

FOREWORD

FOREWORD

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments We wish to thank the North Central Regional Center for Rural Development for supporting the conference and producing this volume, and several units at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign for their support of the conference. Among the many in-dividuals who helped make the conference successful, we wish to especially thank Charles A. Neale and Carl V. Patton of the Depart-ment of Urban and Regional Planning, and Brandt Pryor of the Office of Continuing Education and Public Service, University of Illinois.

.

Curtis C. Roseman Andrew J. Sofranko James D. Williams

Contents

Contents The can be grouped into two broad the recent population redistribution tion, Morrison places the Midwest in group opulation structure and re-by Borcher's research, which thas forces which have shaped the current on which the research within the forces which have shaped the current on which the research within the hapters examises in depth as place of rank, Williams, and Fliegel discuss the region. Lonside then documents in and four real to the population of nake, Williams, and Fliegel discuss the region. Lonside then documents in and coversion for mail to that for and coversion for and to be found coversion for and to be found coversion for and the found that all others. In the final chapter, Sam needed for population projections and di weaknesses. The chapters represen-ters in everal social science fields, per diamental population redistribution in the main and the final chapter. Sam peeded for population redistribution in the maximum of the field in the structure of the structure of the region long and the structure of the structure of the region long and the structure of the structure of the region long and the structure of th

Conference

Conference nee, held in Champaign, Illinois, was ral Regional Center for Rural Develop-ient of Geography, School of Social of Agricultural Economics at the ght together numerous researchers, in-thapters to the present volume, with covernment employees, representatives rested lay people. They came from size to of the Midwest. With such a broadly wide variety of issues of national, re-re discussed. This volume is one of the ence.

Population Redistribution in the Midwest

CHAPTER ONE

THE TRANSITION TO ZERO POPULATION **GROWTH IN THE MIDWEST**

Peter A. Morrison

Introduction

<text><text><text><text><text>

wanted to be from. Today's highly visible demographic changes include a falloff in the birthrate, reversal of the historic movement of people from rural to urban areas, and a redirection of migration among regions. These changes have been building momentum over the past 15 years and are now operating in concert to produce a basic change in the nature of national growth. From the mid-1940s through the early 1960s, the U.S. population grew by large annual increments of births—a kind of growth that depended far more on biology than on geog-raphy; the birthrate has dropped so sharply that migrants and their choices of where to go are more important than babies in determining the growth or decline of a place. And migrants' choices have been shift-

ing away sharply from large metropolitan areas to smaller ones and even to rural communities

even to rural communities. Absolute numbers are less important than the characteristics of migrants, however. To begin with, migrating adults are more in-fluential than babies whether they migrate or not. Babies do not hold jobs or buy houses, nor will they enter a voting booth until they are 18, but people over 18 who arrive at or depart from a place represent a transfer of immediate buying and voting power. This creates a so-called "zero-sum" framework, in which population growth in one region or place occurs largely at the expense of others, and does so with social, political, and economic repercussions.

Contemporary and Emergent Demographic Changes: The National Perspective²

Toward the end of the 1960s, the United States entered a period Toward the end of the 1960s, the United States entered a period of demographic transition to zero growth, a situation more demand-ing, perhaps, than either growth or no-growth is likely to be. Na-tionally, the population increased 1.6 percent each year, on average, between 1955 and 1965. Thereafter, the growth rate declined, reaching its present level of only 0.8 percent. "Zero population growth," the end state of this transition, will come about if fertility remains at or below replacement level—an ultimate level of com-pleted cohort fertility of 2.1 births per woman.

pleted cohort fertility of 2.1 births per woman. Currently, Americans are reproducing at a rate that implies about 1.8 births per woman. One plausible projection of future growth (Census Series II) is premised on the assumption that fertility will climb back to the replacement level of 2.1. In that case, the transition to zero growth would be gradual and would extend through about the middle of the twenty-first century. No less plausible, fertility may edge slightly lower than it is now and level off at 1.7 births per woman (Census Series III). In that case, the transition would be more abrupt and the U. S. population would stop growing in 2020. Although for our purposes the former projection will be taken as a "best guess" forecast to guide our thinking about the future, it is apparent that under either projection, the transition to stability will span several decades at least.³

The projection are transition to submity in span set of the set least is at least.³ Paradoxically, as population growth has slowed, new household formations have surged (Figure 1.1). Households are now forming at mearly three times the rate at which the population is increasing, and some degree of surge can confidently be expected to continue at least into the late 1980s as the many young adults who were born during the postwar baby boom pass through the prime household forming ages. This disparity between numbers of people and numbers of households can be a source of confusion in supposedly "declining" areas. A city like South Bend, Indiana, for example, can be characterized as either growing or declining, depending on which measure one chooses. Take households as a unit of measure, and



South Bend has grown roughly 1 perce people, and it has declined about 1 per

The changing composition and s The changing composition and it Americans are having fewer while the changing composition and it is the secchial family as a bin drace of the secchial family as a secchial family as a bin of the secchial family as a secchial family as a bin of the secchial family as a secchial family as a a secchi

etropolitan areas to smaller ones and

important than the characteristics of with, migrating adults are more in-they migrate or not. Babies do not il they enter a voting booth until they ho arrive at or depart from a place liate buying and voting power. This are framework, in which population ccurs largely at the expense of others, and economic repercussions.

gent Demographic Changes:

is, the United States entered a period ero growth, a situation more demand-wth or no-growth is likely to be Na-seed 1.6 percent each year, on average-ereafter, the growth rate declined, only 0.8 percent. "Zero population transition, will come about if ferelity-tent level—an ultimate level of com-ths per woman. Surcheum at a rate that implies about

the sper woman eproducing at a rate that implies abeat loansible projection of future growth on the assumption that fertility will level of 2.1. In that case, the transition at and would extend through about the tury. No less plausible, fertility may would evel off at 1.7 births per woman e, the transition would be mare about stop growing in 2020. Although for each of stability will span several decades

in growth has slowed, new household is 11. Households are no serining at ut which the population is mereasti-confidently be expected to continue at many young adults who were born in pass through the prime heusehold between numbers of people and sum between numbers of people and sum a source of continuon in supposed South Bend, Indiana, for example, and wing or declining, depending each which noiseholds as a unit of measure, and

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH



Fig. 1.1. Contrasting growth rates U.S. population vs. number of

South Bend has grown roughly 1 percent annually since 1970; count people, and it has declined about 1 percent annually.

The changing composition and structure of families

The changing composition and structure of families Americans are having fewer children, and by all indications are studing on the two-child family as the desired norm, in contrast to the three-child family of the 1950s. As a result, the significance of pational numbers to the changing geometry of family structure future families will contain more adult members (hence more in-pational numbers to the changing geometry of family structure having optimized to be capital family income. A my structure families will contain more adult members (hence more in-pational numbers to the changing geometry of family structure having outside the home. Today, around 46 percent of mar-rives working outside the home. Today, around 46 percent of mar-form, but for the more fundamental reason that wives today are or from the way they did a decade or two ago. They start earning in-form the way they did a decade or two ago. They start earning in-marking the counterpart of a generation ago today's writing with is likely to continue working throughout her adult ways, and more often at a full-time job."

The future, then, is shaping up as one in which the typical family The future, then, is shaping up as one in which the typical failing will have fewer family members and more dollars to spend on each member. This increased affluence is likely to spur the kinds of pursuits, possessions, and quests for amenities that people favor with discretionary income—leisure and recreational activities, ownership of second homes, and residence in amenity-rich locales that appeal to Americans' taste for country living.

Pressures of a changing age profile

A second important aspect of the transition to ZPG is the chang-

A second important aspect of the transition to 2PG is the chang-ing age structure of the population. Because many dimensions of public and private life are age-linked, shifts in fertility rates may have intense and long-lasting social, fiscal, and political effects. Of particular importance are disproportionate changes in the rel-ative sizes of dependent and supporting populations. A generally growing population expands the demand for public services and furnishes the revenues to support them. But both service demands and revenues may grow—or shrink—in proportion to the populaand revenues may grow—or shrink—in proportion to the opula-tion in specific age ranges. The bumper crop of babies born just after World War II, for example, strained the capacity first of maternity wards in the 1940s and 1950s, then of the schools and universities (as well as the juvenile courts and prisons) in the 1950s and 1960s, and now, in the 1970s, of the job and housing markets. They will also strain the capacity of the Social Security system by the early part of the next contury, because they will greatly contumber the

also stain the tapacity of the Social Secting System by the early part of the next century, because they will greatly outnumber the children they have produced to shoulder the Social Security burden. The baby boom and bust may be past, but in their wake they have left an uneven age distribution whose imbalances continue to be felt. The various age groups within the population are changing at widely different rates. The average U.S. growth rate of 6 percent between 1970 and 1977 conceals large variations by age group. For example: example

- 1) The population aged 5 to 13 (students) declined 12 percent.
 2) The population 25 to 34 (prospective homeowners) increased 32 percent. 3) The population 65 and older (heavy consumers of health care)

3) The population to and other (neary consumers of nearth cate) increased 18 percent. Inevitably, these discrepancies will affect school and college enroll-ments, the demand for particular kinds of dwelling units suited to specific age groups, and various redistribution programs such as Social Security

Social Security. The so-called "graying" of the population merits special attention here, since older citizens make up a disproportionate (and, in some areas, rapidly increasing) fraction of the population in parts of the Midwest. Early in the next century, the elderly population will in-crease sharply as the last chapter of the baby-boom story finally un-folds. Today, only 11 percent of the U.S. population is over 65 years old; 50 years from now, in 2031, that figure will rise to about 18 per-

or half again as much as today. T the Midwest hold for this key age gro merit careful study.

Changing trends in population red

Even as national population growth nation will continue to grow—even bo into decline. This brings us to the thir

zero growth: the kinds of settings that p A key contemporary trend is the large communities, labeled "deconce large communities, labeled deconce average American resided in a place thi 1960 and 524,000 in 1970. By 1975, ho this hypothetical place was down to onl percent in only five years. Clearly, the smaller place. smaller places.

smaller places. This deconcentration trend shows up has been a notable shift away from la ones. Major central cities have been le but now major metropolitan areas as stabilize and decline. Altogether, 12 Metropolitan Statistical Areas: (SMSAs significant population growth since Midwest. Detroit, St. Louis, Cleveland The small metropolitan areas are the o

Midwest: Detroit, St. Louis, Cleveland, The small metropolitan areas are the or grants—places like Springfield, Miss grants—places like Springfield, Miss Lawrence, Kanasa and Bloomington. A second form of decomentration which the traditional pattern of suburb beyond the metropolitan fringe. The no cent to existing SMSAs can be r metropolitan aringe. The no-perinening rapid growth "adjacent no perinening rapid growth" adjacent no thin commuting range of nearby met A third form of deconcentratinely

A third form of deconcentration is truly remote and sometimes entirely i which are least susceptible to urban ind of migrants involved in this movement themselves are spaced remainded. themselves are sparsely populated, the substantion communities can be substantion communities can be substantion communities can be substantion communities can be substantiated as a su

Manifestations of National Tre Mannesiaturus or induction to a management of the automative and the automative and the automative are abstractions fair removed for the order of the automative the automative automative and the automative automativ

and more dollars to spend on each and more dollars to spend on each ce is likely to spur the kinds of s for amenities that people favor sure and recreational activities, I residence in amenity-rich locales or country living.

profile

the transition to ZPG is the changtion. Because many dimensions inked, shifts in fertility rates may ial, fiscal, and political effects.

hai, fiscal, and political effects. disproportionate changes in the rel-ipporting populations. A generally is demand for public services and ort them. But both service demands rink—in proportion to the popula-umper crop of babies born just after numper crop of babies born just after ined the capacity first of maternity then of the schools and unversities and prisons) in the 1960s and 1960s. Social Security system by the early social Security system by the early social Security burden, ay be past, but in their wake they ution whose imbalances continue to within the population are changing verage US growth rate of 6 percent is large variations by age group. For a land 10 percent.

13 (students) declined 12 percent. (prospective homeowners) increased

der (heavy consumers of health care)

will affect school and college enroll-lar kinds of dwelling units suited to us redistribution programs such as

he population merits special attention up a disproportionate (and, in some ion of the population in parts of the ntury, the elderly population will im-ter of the baby-boom story finally un-ter of the baby-boom story 65 years that figure will rise to about 18 per-that figure will rise to about 18 per

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

cent, or half again as much as today. The attractions that parts of the Midwest hold for this key age group are well established and merit careful study.

Changing trends in population redistribution

Changing trends in population redistribution Even as national population growth slows, some sections of the nation will continue to grow—even boom—while others will lapse into decline. This brings us to the third aspect of the transition to zero growth: the kinds of settings that people favor as places to live. A key contemporary trend is the population's dispersal from large communities, labeled "deconcentration" hereinafter. The average American resided in a place that had 546,000 inhabitants in 1960 and 524,000 in 1970. By 1975, however, the population size of this hypothetical place was down to only 455,000—a reduction of 13 percent in only five years. Clearly, the U.S. population is favoring smaller places. smaller places

This deconcentration trend shows up in several ways. First, there Inis acconcentration trend shows up in several ways. First, there has been a notable shift away from large urban centers to smaller ones. Major central cities have been losing population for decades, but now major metropolitan areas as a whole are beginning to stabilize and decline. Altogether, 12 of the 30 largest Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) have failed to register any significant population growth since 1970, including five in the Midwest: Detroit, St. Louis, Cleveland, Milwaukee, and Cincinnati. The small metropolitan areas are the ones that can now grinter mine mine The small metropolitan areas are the ones that are now gaining mi-grants—places like Springfield, Missouri, St. Cloud, Minnesota; Lawrence, Kansas; and Bloomington-Normal, Illinois.

Lawrence, Kansas; and Bloomington-Normal, Illinois. A second form of deconcentration is metropolitan spillover, in which the traditional pattern of suburban growth extends into areas beyond the metropolitan fringe. The nonmetropolitan territory adja-cent to existing SMSAs can be regarded as an incipiently metropolitan zone. Such "adjacent nonmetropolitan" areas are ex-periencing rapid growth, as satellite towns and cities take form within commuting range of nearby metropolitan centers. A third form of deconcentration is the movement of people into truly remote and sometimes entirely rural nonmetropolitan areas, which are least susceptible to urban influence. The absolute number of migrants involved in this movement is small; but since the areas themselves are sparsely populated, the *relative* impact on these destination communities can be substantial.⁸

Manifestations of National Trends in the Midwest⁶

The nationally measured population shifts we have just examined are abstractions far removed from the palpable experience of popula-tion change in specific regions and localities. The fact that these shifts do not occur uniformly or simultaneously across the nation or even within a region carries profound political significance.

The North Central Region, like the nation, is in transition from growth to eventual stability. Its rate of population growth has declined steadily since mid-century (Figure 1.2): from an average an-nual rate of 1.5 percent during the 1950s, to 0.9 percent during the 1960s, to only 0.3 percent during the 1970s.⁷ The region is now closer than the nation to a state of growthlessness, and is getting there faster. The transition is advancing unevenly, however. It has been particularly abrupt in the heavily industrialized East North Central States (ENC), where a pattern of no-growth already has emerged in many metropolitan areas and impends for the states of Ohio and II-inois. Growth in the West North Central States (WNC), however, has declined much less sharply than in the ENC and the nation as a whole, and shows signs of stabilizing. The North Central Region, like the nation, is in transition from



Fig. 1.2. The slowing pace of population growth in the Midwest

Overall, zero population growth seems likely to make its debut earlier in the Midwest than elsewhere. In addition to low fertility, cer-tain other factors are inhibiting the region's growth: (a) the in-tensified net out-migration from the ENC, which is directly offsetting roughly half of the population's natural increase, and (b) the popula-tion's somewhat older age structure in parts of the WNC, which has reduced the capacity for natural increase. The transition to eventual stability, however, is marked by a more balanced pattern of popula-tion change than before: Metropolitan and nonmetropolitan trends no longer diverge as sharply as they did in earlier decades.

The emergence of zero growth

In the metropolitan Midwest, the widespread disappearance of growth mirrors the national trend, but more acutely. This point is il-

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

+0.5

lustrated in Figure 1.3, which compa 1960s and 1970s in the annual group opulation and its two components, na tion. The extent of decline in natur fertility) has been identical in both Out-migration is the chief culprit resp no-growth in midwestern metropolitan especially noticeable during the 1970s metropolitan centers. Metropolitan are





ChaPTER the the nation, is in transition from the tare of population growth has rry (Figure 1.2); from an average an-the 1950s, to 0.9 percent during the the 1970s. The region is now closer rowthesenses, and is getting there ing unevenly, however. It has been y industralized East North Central f no-growth already has emerged in npends for the states of Ohio and II-central States (WNC), however, has in in the ENC and the nation as a sing.



pulation growth in the Midwest

with seems likely to make its debut where. In addition to low fertility cer-ing the region's growth: (a) the in-in the ENC, which is directly offsettin entatural increase, and (b) the popula-cure in parts of the WNC, which has a more balanced pattern of popula-solitan and nonmetropolitan trends ne y did in earlier decades.

wth est, the widespread disappearance of end, but more acutely. This point is il-

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

lustrated in Figure 1.3, which compares the change between the 1960s and 1970s in the annual growth rate of the metropolitan population and its two components, natural increase and net migra-tion. The extent of decline in natural increase (reflecting lower fertility) has been identical in both the Midwest and the nation. Out-migration is the chief culprit responsible for the early advent of no-growth in midwestern metropolitan areas. Out-migration became especially noticeable during the 1970s in the ENC's large industrial metropolitan centers. Metropolitan areas of the Midwest, unlike the



Fig. 1.3. The slowdown in metropolitan growth in the North Central Region due to declines in fertility and migration



Fig. 1.4. Increase in nonmetropolitan growth due to net migration

rest of the nation, have experienced a worsening of net migration in all categories of population size, not merely the large ones.

all categories of population size, not merely the large ones. In the nonmetropolitan Midwest, rates of growth have increased, although not as much as in the nation (Figure 1.4). Here, too, net migration has been the principal source of change. The influx of mi-grants has more than offset the declining rate of natural increase. The most useful information about trends in nonmetropolitan areas can be gained only by distinguishing at least two kinds of such areas those that are so near to an SMSA that they serve as re-ceptacles for metropolitan spillover, and those that do not because they are more remote or even isolated. A crude but serviceable dis-tinction is to classify counties according to whether or not they are adjacent to an SMSA.

adjacent to an SMSA. This distinction is made in Figure 1.5 for the ENC, the WNC, and the entire nation (based on SMSAs defined as of 1974). The standard entire nation (based on SMSAs defined as of 1974). The standard entire nation (based on SMSAs defined as of 1974). The ion is not the result simply of metropolitan sprawl. It is also ap-parent that the *reversal* from net out-migration to in-migration in the remoter counties was gathering force well before the widespread publicity it was accorded in the 1970s. The percentage increases in rates in Figure 1.5 are deceptively large, to be sure, owing to the small absolute numbers of migrants involved. (If 6,000 migrants moved to Calhoun County, Illinois, its population would increase 100 percent.) The larger message, however, is clear. Places that once conformed to —indeed, defined—the stereotype of the isolated midwestern community whose destiny was to decline, now exhibit clearcut demographic vitality.



NOTE: Adj. = adjacent to an SMSA; Nonadj. = nonacjacent. Fig. 1.5. The nonmetropolitan migration turnaround, 1950-74, by metropolitan adjacency

Subregional patterns

Subregional patients Because it is more meaningful and poltan and nonmeropolitan trends at rely on a less commonly used syste formulated and applied by Calvin L B US. Department of Agriculture. These into 26 economically and culturally di irrespective of state boundaries (which submitted iffice interactands). subregions differ importantly in resour tivity, and the evolution and present for

Unpublished summary data (kindl the net migration into and out of the o the net migration into and out of the or these 26 economic subregions. Rates at ing or losing population through mig analytical groupings of counties within counties, (2) nonmetropolitan counties nonmetropolitan counties counties, (2) nonmetropolitan counties nonmetropolitan counties not adjacent y as to measure the rate of migratory go county in each of these three types. Be is summary form, he "average" count weighted by its population size.) Of these 26 subregions, 10 fail part Of these 26 subregions, 10 fail part Midves (see Fyness 16, 17, and 18) Counter of the subregions, 10 fail part Of these 26 subregions, 10 fail part Midves (see Fyness 16, 17, and 18) Counter Count Lakes Industrial Upper Great Lakes Dairy Belt Central Corn Belt Southern Great Plains O Cark-Quachita Uplands Subtem Great Plains Data at has erveral a variety of ed and nonmetropolian counties within to From nonmetropolitan counties not adjacent

Metropolitan counties

Metropolitan counties Figure 1.6 displays subregions where ing migrants (dotted pattern) and gains the tolder patterns index that out tensified weaterns with deade and the pack or a shift of the total and the pack or a shift of the total and the pack of the total and the total and the pack of the total and the total and the pack of the total and the total and the pack of the total and the total and the pack of the total and the total and the pack of the total and the total and the has intensified over these two periods.)

nced a worsening of net migration in

need a worsening of net migration in not merely the large ones. Wwest, rates of growth have increased ne nation (Figure 1.4). Here, too, net al source of change. The influx of mi-declining rate of natural increase. on about trends in nonmetropolitan distinguishing at least two kinds of ear to an SMSA that they serve as re-lover, and those that do not because isolated. A crude but serviceable disaccording to whether or not they are

n Figure 1.5 for the ENC, the WNC, on SMSAs defined as of 1974). The on SMSAs defined as of 19741. The has occurred in the nonadjucent coun-traravound in nonmetropolitam migra-of metropolitan sprawi. It is also ap-net out-migration to in-migration in ering force well before the widespread trely large to be sure, owing to the sigrants involved. (If 6000 migrants linois, its population would increase age, however, is clear: Places that orce ed—the stereotype of the isolated e destiny was to decline, now exhibit



icy

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

Subregional patterns

Subregional patterns
Because it is more meaningful and useful to interpret metropolitan and nonmetropolitan trends at a subregional scale. I shall replay on a less commonly used system of economic subregions formulated and applied by Calvin L Beale and his associates at the subregional diverse of the subregions divide the nation of the conomic all and and provide the subregions divide the nation of the conomic all of

Data at this scale reveal a variety of clear patterns among these 10 subregions. Population and migration changes for the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties within these subregions will be the

Metropolitan counties

Figure 1.6 displays subregions where metropolitan areas are los-ing migrants (dotted pattern) and gaining migrants (dotted pattern). The bolder patterns indicate that outflow or inflow began or in-tensified between this decade and the previous one; for example, heavy dots signify a higher outflow rate during the 1970s than the 1960s or a shift to net out-migration following net in-migration dur-ing the 1960s. (Data in Table 1.1, on which Figures 16, 1.7, and 1.8 are based, show the degree to which net out-migration or in-migration has intensified over these two periods.)





.

CHAPTER 1 TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH Table 1.1. Components of population chi Subregions, by metropolitan-r and 1970-1975 Preliminary 1975 population (000's) Carrier Com Batt
 Southern Com Batt
 Southern Com Batt
 Southern Internal Bands
 Southern Nethol Bands
 Southern Anglachtal Bands
 Southern Anglachtal Bands
 Southern Nethol Bands
 Southern Anglachtal Bands
 Southern Manager Bands
 Southern Manager Bands
 Southern Pattan
 Castal Paint Conton Batt
 Castal Paint
 New England-St. Lawr ern Metropolitan Belt Economic subregio Valley and New York mnsylvania Border ppalachian Coal Fields reat Lakes Industrial Icon Appliation C Total Monoclass Fig.1.8. Nonmetropolitan counties (nonadjacent): the reversal of population loss E 0

in '1965. In '1965. In '1960. In '1960. In '1960. Diher Dominal net migration other Dominal net migration other Dominal net migration

Nonmetropolitan population loss

Fig. 1.8.

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

Table 1.1. Components of population change for 10 Midwestern Economic Subregions, by metropolitan-nonmetropolitan status: 1960-1970 and 1970-1975

	Preliminary	Percentage change in		Net	
	population	population 1960- 1970-		1960- 1970-	
Economic subregion	(000's)	1970	1975	1970	1975
North Annalachian Coal E	iolde				
Total	6 602	0.0	0.6	-6.3	.0.8
Matropolitan	4 214	-0.1	-1.0	-6.2	-2.2
Normatropolitan	9,214	0.2	2.7	-6.4	1.6
Adjacent	1,000	0.2	3.6	-0.4	1.0
Nonadiacont	770	0.0	3.0	-3.7	1.6
Nonaujacem	770	*0.4	3.9	-7.5	1.0
5. Lower Great Lakes Indus	trial				
Total	31,128	12.7	1.3	0.2	-2.7
Metropolitan	27,058	13.1	1.0	0.3	-3.1
Nonmetropolitan	4,070	10.2	3.9	-0.8	-0.0
Adjacent	3,721	10.0	3.7	-0.8	-0.2
Nonadjacent	349	12.5	6.0	-0.2	1.3
6 Lloper Great Lakes					
Total	1.540	43	9.1	-3.5	7.0
Matropolitan	1,549	4.3	1.0	-3.5	2.6
Neuropointan	1 069	-0.4 G A	11.6	-10.5	0.5
Adiacont	1,200	6.0	10.3	-1.0	9.5
Nonadiacent	1 105	6.4	11.0	1.0	0.2
Nonadjacent	1,105	0.4	11.8	-1.7	9.6
7. Dairy Belt					
Total	3,771	15.7	4.9	3.0	1.2
Metropolitan	2,352	22.7	3.9	7.0	-0.6
Nonmetropolitan	1,420	5.5	6.8	-3.0	4.3
Adjacent	724	4.9	6.8	-2.6	4.4
Nonadjacent	696	6.1	6.8	-3.5	4.2
8. Central Corn Belt					
Total	7.024	5.3	1.7	-4.6	-1.2
Metropolitan	3,110	12.6	4.0	-0.6	-0.2
Nonmetropolitan	3,914	0.4	0.0	-7.2	-1.9
Adjacent	2.047	2.6	0.8	-5.3	-1.4
Nonadjacent	1,867	-1.9	-0.9	-9.2	-2.5
9 Southern Com Belt					
Total	7 099	73	0.2	-1.4	-2.3
Metropolitan	4 850	11.7	-0.5	0.1	-4.0
Nonmetropolitan	2 248	-1.2	1.8	-4.2	1.4
Adjacent	1.042	27	3.6	-1.4	2.5
Nonadiacent	1.042	-4.1	0.3	-6.4	0.4
rionaujacent	1,207		0.0	0.4	0.4
10. Southern Interior Upland	S				
lotal	6,935	11.1	4.6	0.4	1.2
Metropolitan	3,869	14.5	3.7	1.8	-0.4
Nonmetropolitan	3,066	7.1	5.9	-1.4	3.2
Adjacent	1,453	9.2	6.6	-1.2	3.2
Nonadjacent	1,613	5.3	5.2	-1.5	3.2

APT	ER 1	

Cł

	Preliminary 1975	Percentage change in population		Net migration	
Economic subregion	population (000's)	1960- 1970	1970- 1975	1960- 1970	1970- 1975
20. Ozark-Ouachita Unlands					
Total	3.015	137	10.0	5.0	0.4
Metropolitan	1 293	17.8	11.9	5.9	8.1
Nonmetropolitan	1 722	10.8	10.2	5.5	0.5
Adjacent	738	10.3	10.8	4.3	0.0
Nonadjacent	984	11.1	9.7	6.4	8.3
2. Southern Great Plains					
Total	4.373	3.4	5.2	-8.2	13
Metropolitan	2,147	15.0	8.1	-0.3	23
Nonmetropolitan	2.226	-5.4	2.5	-14.1	0.3
Adjacent	919	-5.8	3.9	-14.1	2.0
Nonadjacent	1,307	-5.1	1.5	-14.1	-0.9
3. Northern Great Plains					
Total	4.258	7.4	72	-4.5	2.2
Metropolitan	1,697	27.9	11.7	12.6	6.7
Nonmetropolitan	2,561	-2.4	4.4	-12.6	12
Adjacent	398	12.7	14.5	27	11.2
Nonadiacent	0.400				11.6

The metropolitan Midwest has registered a widespread although not universal worsening of migration trends. In the highly urbanized Lower Great Lakes Industrial subregion (No. 5) and the Southern Cornbelt (No. 9), net out-migration has brought metropolitan popula-tion growth essentially to a halt. In the Dairy Belt (No. 7), the cessa-tion of previous net in-migration has sharply curtailed such growth. In the less urbanized Southern Great Plains (No. 22) and the Ozark-Ouachita Uplands (No. 20), however, migration trends have improved, accelerating the growth of metropolitan population there.

Nonmetropolitan counties

- Nonmetropolitan counties
 The strong revival of population growth in nonmetropolitan areas in the 1970s reverses a long history of net out-migration. Several influences, often mutually reinforcing, help explain it:
 Ease of access to the national metropolitan economy. Metropolitan outcroppings have appeared in remoter areas along new or expanded transportation routes—an evolution of metropolitan spatial form that gives rise to new urban nodes.
 Industrial trands. Manufacturing has decentralized in response to reduced transportation costs, inexpensive land, and low wage rates in nonmetropolitan areas; and energy extraction has revived in certain areas.

- Changes in life-style. The tree semi-retirement has multip

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

longer tied to a specific place such as pensions, have added creasingly service-oriented wherever they go. Additional leisure activities in amenity of metropolitan commuting.

Together, these changes have la

Togetter, these charges have la nonmetroplaten areas. Servicing in nonmetroplaten areas. Servicing in opportunities however employment opportunities however also areas are neither of the analysis of the service of the dearal distinction between metropo-distingtion of the definitions are not ri-derard distinction between metropo-tion. But the definitions are not ri-derard distinction between metropo-tion. But the definitions are not ri-derard distinction between metropo-tion. But the definitions are not ri-derard distinction between metropo-tion. But the definitions are not ri-derard distinction. They live more liked metropolitan calisectif 'sources' of the metropolitan adjacent' sector in the assessed enough to produce absol-diates of MASAs. The true plottere up to make data are formed and does not use sources of MASAs. The true plottere to adjace of MASAs. The true plottere

Percentage change in migra 1975 ration rate 1970-1975 population 1960- 1970-1970 1975 000's) 1970 3,015 1,293 1,722 738 984 13.7 17.8 10.8 10.3 11.1 10.9 11.9 10.2 10.8 9.7 4,373 2,147 2,226 3.4 15.0 -5.4 -5.8 -5.1 919 1,307 4,258 1,697 2,561 7.4 27.9 -2.4 12.7 398 2,163

has registered a widespread although has registered a widespread although aration trends. In the highly urbanical I subregion (No. 5) and the Southern tion has brought metropolitan popula-it. In the Dairy Belt (No. 7), the cesa-tion has sharply curtailed such growth of creat Plains (No. 22) and the Orark-vever, migration trends have improved, opolitan population there.

ation growth in nonmetropolitan areas istory of net out-imigration. Several in-tring, help explain it: *national metropolitan economy*, imps have appeared in remoter areas transportation routes—an evolution of mutat given size to new urban nodes anufacturine, base decentralized in anufacturine has decentralized in anonnetropolitan areas; and energy ex-ertain areas.

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

Changes in life-style. The trend toward earlier retirement and semi-retirement has multiplied the ranks of retirees and lengthened the interval during later life when a person is no longer tied to a specific place by a job. New sources of income, such as pensions, have added to retirees' mobility and, in an in-creasingly service-oriented society, they create markets wherever they go. Additionally, people of all ages are pursuing leisure activities in amenity-rich areas outside the daily range of metropolitan commuting. of metropolitan commuting

leisure activities in amenity-rich areas outside the daily range of metropolitan commuting. Together, these changes have laid a broad foundation for growth in nonmetropolitan areas. Servicing the arriving migrants and tem-porary residents provides opportunities that induce existing residents to stay and entice more newcomers. Although circumstances vary from place to place, the outcomes are much the same: Initial base employment opportunities, however created, furnish the jobs that re-tain existing residents and draw opportunity-seeking migrants from elsewhere. The resulting population, larger and more affluent, enlarges local demand for goods and services, and creates new jobs that attract still more migrants." A number of areas are neither clearly rural nor clearly urban. The federal distinction between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan was designed to reflect the presence or absence of social and economic inte-grion into city life that is conferred by residence in a particular loca-tion. But the definitions are not rigorous in application. Many resi-dents of adjacent "nonmetropolitan" counties are functionally "metropolitan."They live more like city-dwellers than country people. The data in Figure 1.7 distinguist this "disguised metropolitan morth" within each subregion. In these areas adjacent to the nation's metropolitan centers, the pervasiveness of renewed growth is evident. During the 1960s, fully 7 of the 10 midwestern subregions registered more than a nominal rate of ne migration loss in the "non-metropolitan adjacent" sector. In the Southerm Great Plains, that loss was severe enough to produce absolute population decline despite the moderately high birth rates in that decade. Yet in the 1970s, net mi-gration has become distinctly more positive (or less negative) in 9 of these 10 subregions.

gration has become distinctly more positive (or less negative) in 9 of

gration has become distinctly more positive (or less negative) in 9 of these 10 subregions. This essation of previous, often severe out-migration from the mometropolitan adjacent" sector suggests that metropolitan growth borntinues, although perhaps not always within the arbitrary boundaries of SMSAs. The true picture undoubtedly is more complex than these data can reveal and does not lend itself to simple generalizations. Judging from the pervasive growth trends here, however, it is reasonable to infer that, throughout most of the Midwest, the "extraham" sector has fallen more heavily under the sway of metropolitan fullence in the 1970s than before. Population trends in the "nonadjacent" sector reflect developments in areas located beyond the immediate sphere of daily metropolitan life. Such counties by no means lack sizable urban centers; but by definition such centers are below the minimum 50,000

population threshold that qualifies an urban county as a metropolitan one. In all cases, however, these smaller cities and towns are not near a metropolitan area.

a metropolitan area. The pattern of change for "nonmetropolitan nonadjacent" coun-ties, shown in Figure 1.8, closely resembles the pattern in the adjacent sector. In the 1960s, the "nonmetropolitan nonadjacent" sector was losing migrants at more than a nominal rate in all but 2 of the 10 midwestern subregions, and that loss was severe enough to incur absolute population decline in 5 of them. By the 1970s, that outflow had ended virtually everywhere, eradicating the decline of the past or accelerating growth. Only the Central Corn Belt (No. 8) failed to reg-ister any growth in this sector. The Upper Great Lakes region (No. 6) is a good example: Its an-ual net migration rate shifted from a 0.2-percent outflow during the

The Upper Great Lakes region (No. 6) is a good example: Its annual net migration rate shifted from a 0.2-percent outflow during the 1960s to a 1.7-percent inflow during the 1970s; and the population's growth rate rose from 0.6 percent annually to 2.1 percent. Clearly, the pattern of U.S. settlement has evolved beyond the point where nearness to a metropolis is a prerequisite to local migratory growth. Metropolitan spillover is being supplemented by self-contained local urbanization, even in remote reaches of the non-metropolitan Midwest.

A more balanced pattern of growth

The Midwest, as Beale and Fuguitt have noted, exhibits a central demographic paradox. Despite the record-low rate of growth in the region's population, more counties within the region are registering population growth than at any previous time in this century. The more balanced (i.e., spatially more uniform) pattern of growth gives rise to new and varied future possibilities for nonmetropolitan areas. First, the new migrant influx to nonmetropolitan areas signals tempering strengths and new any cortunities for economic development.

First, the new migrant influx to nonmetropolitan areas signals emerging strengths and new opportunities for economic develop-ment in areas that previously lost residents. The forces behind this spontaneous growth merit close examination to see if they can be enlisted in the aid of other, still distressed, areas as part of conscious policy. The bases of growth of nonmetropolitan population in the Ozark-Ouachita Uplands, for example, may include activities that are now feasible in other regions. Second, the changed prospects for economic development re-flected in and brought about by this influx have an important bear-ing on the targeting of development assistance, and the specific type of assistance called for. For example, places in which population grows through natural increase cannot necessarily be equated with those in which population grows exclusively through an influx of

grows through natural increase cannot necessarily be equated with those in which population grows exclusively through an influx of migrants (even though their growth rates might be identical). Whereas the former type of place may retain most of its prime work-ing-age population, the latter may be undergoing demographic re-composition, with arriving retirees replacing departing young adults. Clearly, a new manufacturing firm scouting labor markets

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

ould favor the former, while an entre in which to build a resort complex may

Outlook for the future

In looking ahead, the direction that uncertainty. Will the exodus from the the WNC halt altogether? What of the nonmetropolitan areas? There are no for the stubbornly uncertain future re-receible. here are no possible, however, to identify relevan judgment about the future will be infor Joguint about the future will be into imgration patterns are inherently chain ing spatial distribution of economic op tion flows respond lies largely beyond r gonal migration trends are a comp and return movement, and the Midy elsewhere, is suscettible to sizable for

and return movement, and the Mdu elsewhere, is susceptible to sizable fut and hence future growth. A major uncertainty is whether the torical out-migration from nonmetrop the 1970s will be temporary or long-la versal are multifaceted and incom causes are at work, and in different us of the shift has connided with and m the economic recession of with adm metropolitamward migg improvement in the economy, but al measured income the shift has persisted the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the shift has measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the set of the set of the set of the measured income size to the set of the

improvement in the economy, but all improved, the shift has persisted the measured (1975-1978)¹⁰ giving it the meesly temporary enisode (as was its of ing the 1909s Depression). In looking the statistical quirk nor a mom must understand the various, some that condition the likely longevity of recent essay. Alonso has called attent tors [1]

- at the second second

an urban county as a metropolitan naller cities and towns are not near

nmetropolitan nonadjacent" coun-sembles the pattern in the adjacent tropolitan nonadjacent" sector was nominal rate in all but 2 of the 10 t loss was severe enough to incur of them. By the 1970s, that outflow radicating the decline of the past or ntral Corn Belt (No. 8) failed to reg-

n (No. 6) is a good example: Its an-om a 0.2-percent outflow during the ing the 1970s; and the population's annually to 2.1 percent. settlement has evolved beyond the volts is a prerequisite to local mi-

popolis is a prerequisite to local mi-lover is being supplemented by self-ren in remote reaches of the non-

growth

growth aguitt have noted, exhibits a central he record-low rate of growth in the swithin the region are registering previous time in this century. The re uniform) pattern of growth gives sibilities for economic develop-tist residents. The forces behind this examination to see if they can interseed, areas as part of conscions nonmetropolitan population in the tample, may include activities that the consomic development re-

Acts for economic development re-this influx have an important bear-tent assistance, and the specific type ample, places in which population cannot necessarily be quated with of secularity by the equated with any traces might be equated with any traces might be identicall growth rates might be identicall growth and the prime work may relate the generating source the undergoing demographic re-nary be undergoing demographic re-nary be undergoing demographic re-nary beam of the prime work turing firm scouting labor markets

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

would favor the former, while an entrepreneur looking for a location in which to build a resort complex may prefer the latter

Outlook for the future

Outlook for the future In looking ahead, the direction that migration will take is a key uncertainty. Will the exodus from the ENC intensify and that from the WNC halt altogether? What of the fortunes of metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas? There are no sure answers here, of course, for the stubbornly uncertain future resists precise prediction. It is possible, however, to identify relevant uncertainties so that our judgment about the future will be informed. We must recognize that migration patterns are inherently changeable. The constantly shift is spatial distribution of economic opportunity to which net migra-tion flows respond lies largely beyond predictive reach. Moreover, re-gional migration trends are a complex amalgamation of primary and return movement, and the Midwest, with many ex-residents elsewhere, is susceptible to sizable future flows of return migration and hence future growth. A major uncertainty is whether the reversal of the prolonged his-

A major uncertainty is whether the reversal of the prolonged his-A major uncertainty is whether the reversal of the prolonged his-torical out-migration from nonmetropolitan areas that appeared in the 1970s will be temporary or long-lasting. The reasons for this re-versal are multifaceted and incompletely understood; multiple causes are at work, and in different ways in different places. Much of the shift has coincided with and may be due in some measure to the economic recession of the early 1970s. To the extent that it is, a resumption of metropolitanward migration would be expected with improvement in the economy; but although the economy has now improved, the shift has persisted through the most recent period measured (1975-1978).¹⁰ giving it the appearance of more than a merely temporary episode (as was its one historical counterpart dur-ing the 1930s Depression).

mereiv temporary episode (as was its one nistorical counterpart dur-ing the 1930s Depression). In looking ahead, we must recognize that what is taking place is neither a statistical quirk nor a momentary phenomenon. Also, we must understand the various, somewhat contradictory, influences that condition the likely longevity of these trends. In a perceptive recent essay, Alonso has called attention to the following considera-tions (1):

- A trend that is sure to persist is the continuing expansion of urban activities and influence beyond the boundaries of metropolitan areas, a trend that accounts for much of the decline of those areas
- decline of those areas.
 2) The number of retired people will continue to increase. Many of them migrated to cities from rural areas originally, and are now free to go back.
 3) The ruralization of labor-intensive manufacturing may have passed its peak. The total number of production workers in manufacturing is steady, and it appears unlikely that metropolitan areas will lose very much more of their labor force.

- A reviving economy should bring continued growth in recreation industries, and the outlook remains bright for employment in mining, energy, environmental and resource improvements, and associated construction.
 Agricultural employment is virtually certain to continue to decline
- 6) Agricultural employment is virtually certain to continue to decline.6) As the economy recovers, some of the return migration that
- 6) As the economy recovers, some of the return migration that usually occurs in hard times will reverse once again.
 7) The energy crisis and the ways in which we cope with it may affect several of these trends. The expansion of the urban field partly depends on the cost of moving people and goods; transportation for its clients is crucial to much of the recrea-tion industry; and, because of low densities and long dis-tances, residents of rural areas and small towns consume large amounts of energy. large amounts of energy.

large amounts of energy. On the basis of these considerations, Alonso foresees a continua-tion of the halt in the overall growth of metropolitan areas and of the gain in areas designated as nonmetropolitan. The Census Bureau's newly prepared state population projec-tions furnish another perspective on where these new trends might lead (Figure 1.9) [24]. The Bureau presents three different projec-tion sfurnish another perspective on where these new trends might lead (Figure 1.9) [24]. The Bureau presents three different projec-tion saturns observed from 1965 through 1975 will persist to the very 2000; Series II-B assumes continuation of 1970-1975 migration patterns; and Series II-C (a projection that is useful more for il-lustration than for forecasting) assumes no net migration after 1975. (These projections do not distinguish between metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas.)



 $^1\mathrm{Projection}$ Series: II = 2.1 births/woman, A = 1965-75 migration continues B = 1970-75 migration continues

Fig. 1.9. Census projections of the continued lag in the Midwestern growth rate

Projections II-A and II-B quar

Midwest's overall demographic fut ourse, continued low fertility). The furnish a sounder technical basis for 2000, because the second 2000), because they incorporate a lo torical trend in migration. The assu suitable for near- or intermediate-1985), because they rest on a more

that trend If you are skeptical about how per-patterns of the 1970s will be. Serie with your views. If you regard the future, then Series IL-B will accord These projections suggest that ther about the future course of growth in either case, however, it is apparent likely to have a head start over the the state of growthlessness that imp

Conclus

Concust the function of the second s

hould bring continued growth in ad the outlook remains bright for energy, environmental and resource iated construction. It is virtually certain to continue to

s, some of the return migration that mes will reverse once again.
e ways in which we cope with it may rends. The expansion of the urban the cost of moving people and goods; nets is crucial to much of the recrea-use of low densities and long dis-densities and long distance against al areas and small towns consume

rations, Alonso foresees a continua

rations, Alonso foresees a continua-growth of metropolitan areas and of sonmetropolitan. Ity prepared state population projec-vice on where these new trends might reau presents three different projec-ne assumptions concerning projected screis II-A assumes that the migra 965 through 1975 will persist to the continuation of 1970-1975 migration projection that is useful more for 11 assumes no net migration after 1973 quish between metropolitan and nonnguish between metropolitan and non



TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

Projections II-A and II-B quantify two visions of how the Projections II-A and II-B quantity two visions of low die Midwest's overall demographic future may unfold (assuming, of course, continued low fertility). The assumptions of Series II-A furnish a sounder technical basis for long-range forecasting (e.g., to 2000), because they incorporate a longer segment of the recent his-torical trend in migration. The assumptions of Series II-B are more suitable for near- or intermediate-term forecasting (e.g., through 1985). because they need on a more recent, albeit short, segment of 1985), because they rest on a more recent, albeit short, segment of that trend.

that trend. If you are skeptical about how permanent the regional migration patterns of the 1970s will be. Series II-A will accord more closely with your views. If you regard the 1970s trend as the wave of the future, then Series II-B will accord more closely with your views. These projections suggest that there is perhaps more uncertainty about the future course of growth in the ENC than in the WNC. In either case, however, it is apparent that the Midwest as a whole is likely to have a head start over the rest of the nation in arriving at the state of growthlessness that impends in the next century.

Conclusions

<section-header><text><text><text><text>

NOTES

'The material in this chapter is based somewhat on several earlier papers prepared under grants from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the Economic Development Ad-ministration. The author acknowledges assistance from Will Hariss, Mark Menchik, and Judith Wheeler with respect to earlier drafts.

^aThis section is based on the author's Overview of Demographic Trends Shaping the Nation's Future [17] and McCarthy and Morrison [17]. See also Espenshade and Serow [6] and Westoff [26]. phic Trends

See also Espenshade and Serow [6] and Westolf [29]. ^aDetails on each projection series are given in U. S. Bureau of the Census [23]. Although a number of uncertainties cloud the outlook for national population growth, they are well-defined uncertainties and there is a substantial body of evidence on which to base an informed judgment. In the present author's judgment. (1) the long-term trend of fertility is very unlikely to rise above 2.7 births per woman (cor-responding to Census Series D; (2) is seems plausible, on the other hand, that growth could diminish to a level below that depicted in Series III; (3) annual growth rates are almost certain to become more volatile as couples exercise more effective control over whether and when to have children in response to economic conditions. For further discussion of these issues, see Butz and Ward [3], Campbell [4], Gibson [9], Rindfuss and Bumpass [18], Sklar and Berkov[19], and Westoff[26,27].

⁴For further elaboration, see Bednarzik and Klein [2], Hayghe [10], Miller [16], and Johnson [11].

⁶Between 1960 and 1970, the 1,500 nonmetropolitan counties that were not adjacent to a metropolitan area (1974 definition) incurred a net migration loss of 2.3 million from a 1965 population base of 26.2 million. Between 1970 and 1975, such counties registered a net migra-tion gain of 0.7 million. In absolute terms, then, this reversal has been relatively minuscule: from an annual net outflow of about 230,000 dur-ing the 1960s to an annual net inflow of about 130,000 during the first half of the 1970s. half of the 1970s

 $^6\mathrm{For}$ additional background, the following studies will be useful: the Beale and Fuguitt chapter in this book, Fuguitt and Beale [8], and Michigan State University [15].

These data and most of the other figures in this section of the chapter are drawn from Fuguitt [7].

"Being in summary form for each analytical type, the data implicitly weight the "average" county of that type by its population. As an il-lustration, a hypothetical subregion might contain 10 metropolitan counties, one with a population of one million and the other nine with a

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

combined population of 500,000. If the f idents through net migration and the l dents each, the metropolitan type woul even though most metropolitan counties

The varied circumstances under which the Midwest have been examined in seve to works in this book, see: Fuguitt ar University [15], and Fuguitt [7], Dorf Marans et al. [13], Tordella [20, 21, Williams and McMillen [28], Williams a and Rieger [30].

¹⁰During that period, migrants to the numbered by those moving out by a ratio

¹⁷These assumptions are derived from t sumptions of Series II of the Bureau's c tion projections. See U.S. Bureau of the C

REFERENCES CITED

- Alonso, William. 1978. Metropolis Interest, No. 53, pp. 84-85.
- Bednarzik, Robert W. and Deborah Trends: A Synthesis and Analysis. tober, pp. 3-15.
- Butz, William P. and Michael P. W. Countercyclical U.S. Fertility, R-16 The Rand Corporation.
- Campbell, Arthur A. 1978. Baby Beyond. Annals of the American Ac Science, No. 435, pp. 40-53.
- Dorf, Ronald J. and Robert A. Hopp metropolitan Growth in Min Perspectives, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 19-42
- Espenshade, Thomas J. and Willia *Economic Consequences of Slowin* York: The Academic Press.

Fuguitt, Gienn V. 1977. Reference and Net Migration for the States States, 1950-74, Population Serie Laboratory, Department of Rur Wisconsin-Madison.

based somewhat on several earlier rom the National Institute of Child t and the Economic Development Ad-wledges assistance from Will Hariss, ler with respect to earlier drafts.

or's Overview of Demographic Trends 7] and McCarthy and Morrison [17]. [6] and Westoff [26].

orian vestori (20). es are given in U. S. Bureau of the of uncertainties cloud the outlook for y are well-defined uncertainties and idence on which to base an informed ise above 2.7 births per woman (or-(2) it seems plausible, on the other ish to a level below that depicted in sea are almost certain to become more re effective control over whether and se to economic conditions. hese issues, see Butz and Ward [3], thus and Bumpass [18], Sklar and its and Bumpass [18], Sklar and

ednarzik and Klein [2], Hayghe [10],

1,500 nonmetropolitan counties that itan area (1974 definition) incurred a form a 1965 population base of 262 such counties registered a net migra-tet erms, then, this reversal has been then the server and the server and a to utflow of about 230,000 dur-nflow of about 130,000 during the first

e following studies will be useful: the this book, Fuguitt and Beale [8], and

er figures in this section of the chapter

ch analytical type, the data implicitly that type by its population. As an il-egion might contain 10 metropolitan of one million and the other nine with a

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

combined population of 500,000. If the former county lost 10,000 residents through net migration and the latter nine gained 1,000 residents each, the metropolitan type would register a net loss of 1,000, even though most metropolitan counties had experienced inmigration.

The varied circumstances under which such growth is taking place in The varied circumstances under which such growth is taking place in the Midwest have been examined in several recent studies. In addition to works in this book, see: Fuguitt and Beale [8], Michigan State University [15], and Fuguitt [7], Dorf and Hoppe [5], Lambert [12], Marans et al. [13], Tordella [20, 21, 22], Wang and Beegle [25], Williams and McMillen [28], Williams and Sofranko [29], and Zuiches and Pierar(201) and Rieger [30].

 $^{10}\textsc{During}$ that period, migrants to the metropolitan sector were outnumbered by those moving out by a ratio of 5 to 4.

¹⁹These assumptions are derived from the fertility and mortality as-sumptions of Series II of the Bureau's current set of national popula-tion projections. See U.S. Bureau of the Census[23].

REFERENCES CITED

- Alonso, William. 1978. Metropolis Without Growth. The Public Interest, No. 53, pp. 84-85.
- Bednarzik, Robert W. and Deborah P. Klein. 1977. Labor Force Trends: A Synthesis and Analysis. Monthly Labor Review, Oc-tober, pp. 3-15.
- Butz, William P. and Michael P. Ward. 1977. The Emergence of Countercyclical U.S. Fertility, R-1605-NIH. Santa Monica, Calif. The Rand Corporation.
- Campbell, Arthur A. 1978. Baby Boom to Birth Dearth and Beyond. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, No. 435, pp. 40-53.
- Dorf, Ronald J. and Robert A. Hoppe. 1977. An Analysis of Non-metropolitan Growth in Minnesota. *Regional Science Perspectives*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 19-42.
- Espenshade, Thomas J. and William J. Serow (eds.). 1978. The Economic Consequences of Slowing Population Growth. New York: The Academic Press.
- Fuguitt, Glenn V. 1977. Reference Tables: Population Trends and Net Migration for the States and Regions of the United States, 1950-74, Population Series 70-8. Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Rural Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

- Fuguitt, Glenn V. and Calvin L. Beale. 1976. Post-1970 Shifts in the Pattern of Population Change in the North Central Region, CDE Working Paper 76-17. Center for Demography and Ecology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Gibson, Campbell. 1977. The Elusive Rise in the American Birthrate. Science, Vol. 196, pp. 500-503.
- Hayghe, Howard. 1976. Families and the Rise of Working Wives—An Overview. Monthly Labor Review, May, pp. 12-19.
- Johnson, Beverly L. 1979. Changes in Marital and Family Characteristics of Workers, 1970-78. Monthly Labor Review. April, pp. 49-52.
- Lambert, Virginia. 1977. Recent Migrants in Northwest Wisconsin: The Population Turnaround in the 1970s, Research Series 70-10. Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Rural Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Marans, Robert W. et al. 1976. Waterfront Living: A Report on Permanent and Seasonal Residents in Northern Michigan. Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann
- McCarthy, Kevin F. and Peter A. Morrison. The Changing Demographic and Economic Structure of Nonmetropolitan Areas in the United States, R-2399-EDA. Santa Monica, Calif. The Device of Communication of Communication (Calif.) The Rand Corporatio
- Michigan State University. 1978. Patterns of Migration and Population Change in America's Heartland, North Central Regional Research Publication 238. Agricultural Experiment Station, Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- Miller, Ann R. 1978. Changing Work Life Patterns: A Twenty-five Year Review. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, No. 435, January, pp. 83-95.
- Morrison, Peter A. 1978. Overview of Demographic Trends Shaping the Nation's Future, P-6128. Santa Monica, Calif.: The Rand Corporation.
- Rindfuss, Ronald R. and Larry L. Bumpass. 1976. How Old Is Too Old? Age and the Sociology of Fertility. *Family Planning Perspectives*, Vol. 8, No. 5, September-October, pp. 226-230.
- Sklar, June and Beth Berkov. 1975. The American Birthrate: Evidences of a Coming Rise. Science, Vol. 189, August, pp. 693-700.

20. Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. Recrea Laboratory, Department of Rur Wisconsin-Madison.

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

- Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. The I Wisconsin Counties, 1975. Popul Population Laboratory, Depart University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- 22. Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. Urban Wisconsin Counties, 1960-1973 plied Population Laboratory, De University of Wisconsin-Madison
- U. S. Bureau of the Census. 1977 of the United States: 1977-2050 Series P-25, No. 704.
- U. S. Bureau of the Census. 19 State Populations by Age, Race, a Population Reports, Series P-25, N
- Wang, Ching-li and J. Allan Beeg gration on the Revival of P metropolitan Areas of the North sented at the 1977 Rural Sociolog
- Westoff, Charles F. 1978. Max Developed Nations. Scientific December, pp. 15, 51-57.
- Westoff, Charles F. 1978. Some S Marriage and Fertility. Family No. 2, March-April, pp. 79-83.
- Williams, James D. and David Utilization of Location Specific Co Paper presented at the 1979 Pop meetings
- Williams, James D. and Andrew for the Inmigration Component Nonmetropolitan Areas. Demogr 239-255.
- Zuiches, James J. and Jon H. Preferences and Life Cycle Mig Rural Sociology, Vol. 43, No. 4, pp

in L. Beale. 1976. Post-1970 Shifts in Phange in the North Central Region, -17. Center for Demography and onsin-Madison.

The Elusive Rise in the American pp. 500-503.

amilies and the Rise of Working thly Labor Review, May, pp. 12-19.

9. Changes in Marital and Family s, 1970-78. *Monthly Labor Review*.

Recent Migrants in Northwest Turnaround in the 1970s, Research pulation Laboratory, Department of of Wisconsin-Madison.

976. Waterfront Living: A Report on Residents in Northern Michigan. arch, University of Michigan, Ann

Peter A. Morrison. The Changing nic Structure of Nonmetropolitan a, R-2399-EDA. Santa Monica, Calif.:

7. 1978. Patterns of Migration and nerica's Heartland, North Central ation 238. Agricultural Experiment iversity, East Lansing.

zing Work Life Patterns: A Twentyf the American Academy of Political January, pp. 83-95.

Overview of Demographic Trends re, P-6128. Santa Monica, Calif. The

arry L. Bumpass. 1976. How Old Is iology of Fertility. Family Planning eptember-October, pp. 226-230.

kov. 1975. The American Birthrate ise. Science, Vol. 189, August, pp.

TRANSITION TO ZERO GROWTH

- Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. Recreational Housing in Wisconsin Counties, 1970. Population Notes, No. 4. Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Rural Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. The Elderly Population Living in Wisconsin Counties. 1975. Population Notes, No. 5. Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Rural Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Tordella, Stephen J. 1977. Urban and Rural Population Change in Wisconsin Counties, 1960-1975. Population Series 70-9. Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Rural Sociology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- U. S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Projections of the Population of the United States: 1977-2050. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 704.
 - U. S. Bureau of the Census. 1979. Illustrative Projections of State Populations by Age, Race, and Sex: 1975 to 2000. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 796.
 - 25. Wang, Ching-li and J. Allan Beegle. 1977. Impact of Elderly Migration on the Revival of Population Growth in Nonmetropolitan Areas of the North Central Region. Paper presented at the 1977 Rural Sociological Society meetings.
 - Westoff, Charles F. 1978. Marriage and Fertility in the Developed Nations. *Scientific American*, Vol. 239, No. 6, December, pp. 15, 51-57.
 - Westoff, Charles F. 1978. Some Speculations on the Future of Marriage and Fertility. *Family Planning Perspectives*, Vol. 10, No. 2, March-April, pp. 79-83.
 - Williams, James D. and David Byron McMillen. 1979. The Utilization of Location Specific Capital in Destination Selection. Paper presented at the 1979 Population Association of America meetings.
 - Williams, James D. and Andrew J. Sofranko. 1979. Motivations for the Inmigration Component of Population Turnaround in Nonmetropolitan Areas. *Demography*, Vol. 16, No. 2, May, pp. 239-255.
 - Zuiches, James J. and Jon H. Rieger. 1978. Size of Place Preferences and Life Cycle Migration: A Cohort Comparison. *Rural Sociology*, Vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 618-633.

(3)

CHAPTER TWO

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS IN MIDWESTERN POPULATION IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

John R. Borchert

John R. Borchert This chapter reviews post-1970 population shifts in the Midwest in the perspective of long-run trends since 1920. The period since 1920 is the latest epoch, and probably the last, in a 150-year era of cheap fossil fuel in the United States. Now the nation is surely entering a new era, triggered by the rising cost of energy and raw materials and the gradual, groping development of new energy sources. In that setting, the chapter explores two complementary ques-tions. Do the trends of the early 1970s foreshadow a new shape of the midwestern settlement pattern in an emerging new era? Or do recent shifts reflect in part the playing out of long-term trends initiated ear-ly in this century by the internal combustion engine and in part short-term fluctuations associated with unique, catastrophic events—most notably the post-World War II baby boom?

The Metropolitan Framework

Definition The American Strategies and the states of the

The third zone includes the truly nonmetropolitan counties— the farm, forest, mine, and resort areas centered on the smaller cities and towns of the region. The map shows that many of the commuting zones overlap. Counties of neighboring metropolitan areas are partly—smetimes almost entirely—within each other's commuting zones. Hence, some parts of the Midwest are sprawling clusters of SMSAs and at-



Fig. 2.1. Zones of metropolitan accessibility in the Midwest Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [20] and Berry and Gillard [1].

tached outer commuting zones. On the traffic maps they are webs of interlocking and overlapping trips to work, trade, or recreation. About sixteen million of the Midwest's population live in the central counties of the 10 high-order metropolitan areas, about 24 million in the remaining counties of the 75 SMSAs. Another seven million live in the outer commuting zones of the SMSAs, and the remaining 10 million-plus live in the other nonmetropolitan coun-ties. ties

A Legacy from the Railroad Epoch

A Legacy from the Railroad Epoch To an important degree the metropolitan pattern shown in Figure 2.1 is a legacy from the railroad epoch. As the railroads followed the advancing frontier across the Midwest, the main lines evolved in bundles or corridors linking the great commercial cities (Figure 2.2). The midwestern corridors were at first part of a national system of rail feeders and water arteries focusing on New York and New Orleans [5]. The great commercial cities of the Midwest were the ports at critical locations on the Great Lakes and Ohio-Mississippi-Missouri system.



Note: Edges of each corridor are the out lines connecting metropolitan are ridor.

rida: Within the major rail corrido to the second second



sus [20] and Berry and Gillard [1].

On the traffic maps they are webs trips to work, trade, or recreation. e Midwest's population live in the order metropolitan areas, about 24 else of the 75 SMSAs. Another seven uting zones of the SMSAs, and the in the other nonmetropolitan coun-

the Railroad Epoch

the Railroad Epoch he metropolitan pattern shown in ailroad epoch the advancing frontier across the 29. The midwestern corridors were and of rail feedbaren corridors were and of rail feedbare areat commercial vorbans [5]. The great actions on the ports at critical locations on the ppi-Missouri system.



GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

Fig. 2.2. Major rail corridors and metropolitan areas in the Midwest, 1920

Note: Edges of each corridor are the outer-most of the bundle of rail lines connecting metropolitan areas at either end of the corridor.

Within the major rail corridors between the great, high-order commercial cities, lower-order metropolitan centers grew where there were important resources of water power, coal, oil, and gas. Thus there emerged very early the familiar clusters of urban cen-ters along the Grand and Kalamazoo rivers in southern Michigan, the Cuyahoga and the Mahoning in northeast Ohio, the Miami in southwest Ohio, the Rock in northern Illinois and southern Visconsin, and the Cedar in eastern Iowa. Equally familiar clusters emerged on the western Indiana-central Illinois coal fields and the old Lima-eastern Indiana of and gas fields. As the railroads grew in speed and capacity and took an over-whelming dominance of the national transportation system, those scame corridors persisted and reinforced the initial metropolitan tenters.

same corridors persisted and removed are the major centers. Meanwhile, zones of influence developed around the major urban centers. Milk trains and dairy farming interacted to define the metropolitan milksheds. Weekly commuters rode the milk trains to seasonal or irregular jobs or trade schools in the cities. Satellite manufacturing plants grew along the main line railroad

CHAPTER 2 sidings within one or two hours of city home offices. In general the frequent city contact and interaction, farm size increased slowly or not at all [9, 10]. Not only dairying but also supplemental off-farm income opportunities were surely helping to buck the trend toward user farms so pervasive in the rest of the Corn Belt. By the turn of the century the importance of these latent com-muter zones and urban clusters was enough to stimulate the in-vestment of a billion dollars (ten billion translated into 1979 equivalents) in the electric interurban railway network shown in figure 2.3 [38]. To be sure, the density of the interurban network decreased from east to west, from the older cities to the new, and from the larger metropolitan areas to the smaller. That pattern probably reflected similar variations in intensity of development of the outer zones of influence and interaction around the major cities at that time. The major centers of industrial employment in 1929 still fredicted the pattern of the great ports, the main rail corridors, and the critical resource locations in those corridors [4]. The map in figure 2.4 shows extreme concentration at the great industrial commercial metropolitan centers. More than one-fourth of the in-dustrial jobs in the entire North Central states were in six coun-



Fig. 2.3. Electric inter-urban railways and today's metropolitan commuting zones $% \left[{{{\left[{{{\rm{T}}_{\rm{T}}} \right]}_{\rm{T}}}} \right]_{\rm{T}}} \right]$

Sources: Berry and Gillard [1] and Walmsley [38].

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

ties. On the other hand, the same m ties. On the other hand, the dispersion around the major centers cant basic manufacturing to farm to ties. In general, the larger the metr tion, the more extensive the dispersa

Thus one could argue that by the interurban lines, and satellite indus outer commuting zones that girdle automobile epoch.

Shifts from the I

Since the 1920s the automobile-t Since the 1920s the automobile-dominated the circulation syste midwestern settlement has shifted The shift has been limited of cours replacement construction, the gr growth rate in the region as a household to compromise, in its of



Source: Borchert [4].

CHAPPER its of city home offices. In general the bigger farms. But in these zones of rraction, farm size increased slowly or irrying but also supplemental off farm rely helping to buck the trend toward the rest of the Corn Belt. y the importance of these latent com-tres was enough to stimulate the in-res (are bullion translated into 1979) interurban railway network shown in the density of the interurban network from the older cities to the newer, and n areas to the smaller. That pattern n areas to the smaller. That pattern riations in intensity of development of and interaction around the major cities

ndustrial employment in 1929 still reat ports, the main rail corridors, and ns in those corridors [4]. The map in concentration at the great industrial-nters. More than one-fourth of the in-lorth Central states were in six coun-



] and Walmsley [38].

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

ties. On the other hand, the same map shows that the process of dispersion around the major centers had already brought signifi-cant basic manufacturing to farm trade centers in scores of coun-ties. In general, the larger the metropolitan industrial concentra-tion, the more extensive the dispersal around it. Thus one could argue that by the 1910s the milksheds, electric interurban lines, and satellite industries foreshadowed the coming outer commuting zones that girdle the metropolitan areas in the sutomobile enoch.

automobile epoch.

Shifts from the Rail Legacy

Since the 1920s the automobile-tractor cheap-oil technology has dominated the circulation system. Given that technology, midwestern settlement has shifted toward a new optimal pattern. The shift has been limited, of course, by the rate of investment in replacement construction, the gradually declining population growth rate in the region as a whole, and the need for each household to compromise, in its own way, between the desire to



.

Fig. 2.4. 1929 manufacturing jobs and spread 1929-58 Source: Borchert [4].
participate in an exchange economy and the desire to increase its personal living space. Nevertheless, the shift in pattern has been as inexorable as the glaciers that over-rode most of the region in the ice ages.

The spread of manufacturing

The spread of manufacturing The spread of manufacturing employment since the 1920s shows two major trends (1) the concentration of growth at the newer, large commercial metropolitan areas in the western part of the Corn Belt; and (2) the spread of industry from the larger cities to county seat. farm trade centers, westward across most of the Corn Belt. There was obviously a move to the lator surplus areas, the farm markets, and the local entrepreneurs of the countryside as well as to the newer metropolitan markets. Most of the advance of the industrial frontier took place from the 1920s to the 1950s. It is not a recent phenomenon. Thus the map in Figure 2.5 shows relatively little geographical expansion in the



Fig. 2.5. 1958 manufacturing jobs and spread 1958-72 Sources: 1972 data from U.S. Bureau of the Census [20] and Borchert [4].



1960s and 1970s. But the graph in centralization within the establish 1960 recession. Older industrial di centralization. Older industrial di centralization and set. Louis showed an almost impo-the rapid new growth of mesubacqu urbs of the subacqu accessible but substantially cheap tryside.

conomy and the desire to increase its heless, the shift in pattern has been as at over-rode most of the region in the

ring

ing employment since the 1920s shows entration of growth at the newer, large as in the western part of the Corn Belt y from the larger cities to county seat a cross must of the Corn Belt. Three labor surplus areas, the farm markets, of the countryside as well as to the

e industrial frontier took place from the a recent phenomenon. Thus the map in little geographical expansion in the



jobs and spread 1958-72 S. Bureau of the Census [20] and

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS



Fig. 2.6. Concentration of 1958-1972 growth of manufacturing employment within areas of medium-and low-density manufacturing development in 1958

Sources: Borchert [4], U.S. Bureau of the Census [18,20].

1960s and 1970s. But the graph in Figure 2.6 shows the major de-centralization within the established areal framework after the 1950 recession. Older industrial districts within the six great con-centrations at Cleveland, Cincinnati, Detroit, Chicago, Milwaukee, and St. Louis showed an almost imperceptible expansion. Meanwhile the rapid new growth of the subsequent years has shifted to the sub-urbs of the high-order centers and to the small cities—to relatively expensive open land highly accessible to the major markets or less accessible but substantially cheaper land and labor in the coun-tryside.

The spread of urban population

In absolute numbers, the growth of midwestern population since 1920 has been essentially within the metropolitan areas and their present-day commuting zones, with little elsewhere. Counties in the SMSAs and commuter zones have grown from 24 million to 46 million. Meanwhile, population in the remainder of the region was slightly more than 11 million in 1920, slightly under 11 million in 1975.

slightly more than 11 million in 1920, slightly under 11 million in 1975. The graph in Figure 2.7 shows the population trends in each of four groups of counties classified according to metropolitan size and accessibility. Four main points emerge from the graph. (1) Growth in the suburban counties and the low-order metropolitan areas has been consistently the strongest, especially in the 1950s. (2) The counties of the outer commuting zones—though technically "nonmetropolitan"—have grown consistently, with the most growth since 1950. (3) The nonmetropolitan counties outside the commuting zones lost population in the first three decades of the tractor epoch but have gained since 1950. (4) The central counties of the ten highorder SMSAs, although gaining steadily until 1970, were gaining much slower than the suburban and low-order metropolitan counties after 1930 and actually declined after 1970.



Fig. 2.7 Population growth trends in different classes of metropolitan size and accessibility.

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [17, 19, 20, 22].

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

An important perspective is addered apparent in Figure 2.8. First, there waverage decennial growth rates througo 1075 in the netropolitan areas gen the high-order SMSAs particularly. Our severage rising trend in the nonmetre the commuting zones and outside. Figurus of ounties were more or less us the economic boom of the 1920s, the War II, the post-World War II boom, of events since then.

of events since then. There were important variations in these 55-year trends. The steadiest detion the high-fore metropolitan coress than the suburbs and smaller metropo-The steadiest increase in growth ran commuting mones, which were less a epoch increase in farm size and oppolation; and they were also less al multicounty diversified farm trade cen-



ation

with of midwestern population since in the metropolitan areas and their with little elsewhere. Counties in the have grown from 24 million to 46 in the remainder of the region was in 1920, slightly under 11 million in

lows the population trends in each of educording to metropolitan size and emerge from the graph. (1) Growth in he low-order metropolitan areas has set, especially in the 1950s. (2) The ing zones—though technically "nom-nsistently, with the most growth size an counties outside the commuting rst three decades of the tractor epoch the central counties of the ten high-ng steadily until 1970, were gaining an and low-order metropolitan counties ed after 1970.



ensus [17, 19, 20, 22]

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

An important perspective is added if you consider percentage rates of change rather than absolute changes. Three main points are apparent in Figure 2.8. First, there was a general falling trend in average decennial growth rates throughout the 55 years from 1920 to 1975 in the metropolitan areas generally, and in core counties of the high-order SMSAs particularly. On the other hand, there was an average rising trend in the nonmetropolitan counties, both within the commuting zones and outside. Finally, the trends for all four groups of counties were more or less unstable. They were affected by the economic boom of the 1920s, the great depression and World War II, the post-World War II boom, and an interesting conspiracy of events since then.

of events since then. There were important variations in the stability, or steadiness, of these 55-year trends. The steadiest decline in growth rates has been in the high-order metropolitan cores. The cores were less affected than the suburbs and smaller metropolitan areas by the baby boom. The steadiest increase in growth rates has occurred in the outer commuting zones, which were less affected by the early tractor-epoch increase in farm size and consequent reduction of farm population; and they were also less affected by the sharp growth of multicounty diversified farm trade centers in the 1950s.



0

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [17, 19, 20, 22].

David Borchert and James Fitzsimmons have published maps of county population changes in different intercensal periods from 1920 to 1975 [2]. Their maps reflect this same combination of longgrowth and economic diversification of small cities; reduction of density in the largest, most congested cities; enlargement of fulltime farms and increase in part-time farming; and growth in the number of households who could extricate themselves from the urban web for more personal space and natural amenities.

Each of the Borchert-Fitzsimmons maps shows many excep-tions to these general trends, scattered widely across the region. Different counties provide the exceptions in different years. These exceptions, again, express short-term, randomly distributed varia-tions within the changing system. Such variations constantly bom-bard and pockmark the broad patterns on the maps, and they con-stantly ruffle historical trend lines.

stantly rullle historical trend lines. It must be emphasized that the general trends are not new. They have obviously been running for half a century. They have affected different places in different degrees at different times. But all have affected many places at any time. The aggregate effect has been clear, and as consistent as one could expect given the endless battering of short-term, random, catastrophic happenings.

Forces behind the observed long-term trends

Five main forces deserve emphasis as one looks behind these

Five main forces deserve emphasis as one looks behind these shifting patterns of population and settlement. First, take the background of a gradually declining regional growth rate. There has been a steady out-migration from the Midwest's overwhelmingly white population for a century. The out-flow was reversed only in the 1910s and 1920s with the surge of manufacturing growth in the East North Central states accompany-ing the initial development of the automotive and related industries. The outflow has accelerated greatly since the mid-1960s when the Ing the initial development of the automotive and related industries. The outflow has accelerated greatly since the mid-1960s, when the baby boom generation entered the age bracket of maximum personal mobility. This present episode may end in the mid-1980s when that large group of people moves into another age bracket and gets to wherever it's going. The native white outflow was also partly masked between 1920 and the late 1960s by the spectacular net in-flow of blacks and whites from the rural middle South. This large and persistent net out-micration from the Midwest.

flow of blacks and whites from the rural middle South. This large and persistent net out-migration from the Midwest simply reflects the fact that the development of the Manufacturing Belt and the Corn Belt were the beginning of the urban and in-dustrial development to the whole nation, and the engines for it, but not the end of it. The Midwest has provided a massive share of the human and material resources and the capital to build the West and the South and the circulation network that brought those areas into the national system over the past century. This outflow of capital is simply a powerful piece of evidence that America is a nation and the Midwest has been an extremely important part of it.

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

Second, take the growth and econ second, take the growth and com small cities and their neighboring han fewer but much bigger trade areas in th county diversified farm trade centers new types of business which had not b general-store hamlets, like the neigh the cities, were transformed into spec and intensified circulation dustries dispersed at a faster rate th labor force in its home setting. And, du was a fitful but inescapable two- to the purchasing power per square mile 480-481]. Thus the trade areas increa size and their wealth. The economic b the ratio of service to basic jobs grows income [12, 13]. Thus the auto and tra

income [12, 13]. Thus the auto and tra-run the curve of declining farm popul of rising urban-type employment in th Third, take the growth in numb escape the urban web for prolonged powerful factor has been the growing ments in the American economy durin federal and state aids, pensions and hents in the American economy durin federal and state aids, pensions, and transfer payments rose between 1950 140 billion dollars annually, from les to 12 narrant. Transfer to 12 percent. Transfer payments plus 10 percent of the GNP to 20 percent | 10 percent of the UNP to 20 percent this the growing number of footlooses tral lake region has an ever-growi salesmen, aritne plots, manufactur machine operators, inventors, and would challenge the most brilliant g dustrial Classification code.

tatrial (lassification of the start of the s

itzsimmons have published maps of different intercensal periods from flect this same combination of longvariability. The maps reflect the cation of small cities; reduction of ongested cities; enlargement of fullrt-time farming; and growth in the puld extricate themselves from the bace and natural amenities. simmons maps shows many excep-

scattered widely across the region exceptions in different years. Those t-term, randomly distributed varia-em. Such variations constantly bom-pattere actions constantly bompatterns on the maps, and they con

patterns on the maps, and they con-ines, at the general trends are not new, uning for half a century. They have erent degrees at different times. But at any time. The aggregate effect has s one could expect given the endless n, catastrophic happenings.

d long-term trends

d long-term trends mphasis as one looks behind these as teady out-migration from the te population for a century. The out-1910s and 1920s with the surge of as North Central states accompany ne autonotive and related industries eatly since the mid-1960s, when that to another age bracket and gets to the ural middle South. Her ural middle South. Her ural middle South ale another and the engines for it, but do hanton, and the engines for it, but do nation, and the engines for it, but do and the another and its de another and the used are of the and the could build the West and out the surger outflow of caugial is an enthat America is a nation and the important part of it.

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

<text><text><text>

Furthermore, that population is not a new phenomenon. It was

Furthermore, that population is not a new phenomenon. It was beginning to show up on the county population change map in the jobos. Their characteristics and motivations as shown in a 1961 Upper Midwest Council study were precisely the same as those that are revealed in subsequent and recent surveys[11]. Perhaps the most important factor in many rural counties has been the indirect impact of intergovernmental transfers. School and share a straight of the second state of the second

states a transfer of income from the metropolitan to the non metropolitan areas—a transfer which is, of course, generally logical and closes only a small fraction of the income gap between

<text><text><text><text>

Forces behind the short-term fluctuations

The short-term fluctuations The short-term fluctuations, from one decade to the next, so evi-dent in Figure 2.10, reflect perhaps a half-dozen catastrophic events at the national or world scale over the half-century. There was the boom in urban income and development in the 1920s, the great depression, the Second World War, and the post-World War II boom in housing and birth rate. Then there were after-shocks as the baby-boom generation surged into different sectors of the nation's mass market.



Fig. 2.9. Comparison of annual new nual new dwelling unit cons

Sources: 1910-1960, [16]; 1970, U.S. 1972-1976, U.S. Departm Estimates for dwelling ur 1980 were made by extra 1976 through 1979 and number of units built thro

From the mid-1960s to the mid-the job market, the annual rate of plants and equipment fell behind authors of employed people, for ti-depression (Figure 2.10). It was one of when the ratio of lakor force inco-capital outlay has been solve. In the extense field teatstrophically in the law force increased catastrophically for a time, grew faster than investm first occasion was accompanied by a second by double-digit inflation or we both.

both. When the same generation entern mobility, the Midwest and the har precedented migration. When that bracket definition of the same bigs inflation, and high unemployme kegan to see a resurgence of urban

from the metropolitan to the non-sfer which is, of course, generally 11 fraction of the income gap between

ent of full-time farms and ensanguest on in the purely farm contains. To be as result of the tractar and cheap all rlying cause of the urbanization of the ded. The difference between the ab-and what that population swild have 20 farm folks had atsyed on the farm net shift cannot be duplicated with the ing dropped from 32 million in 1900 to

(density in the largest, most congested

f density in the largest, most congeneration in the central examines of metropoliza-in, Chicago, Milwankee, and Su. Law, and Su. Law, and Su. Law, and Su. Tampied earth, alwaney ter-index of the second s

erm fluctuations

ermituctuations ins, from one decade to the next, so re-chape a half-doesn coastionyee even development in the 1920s, the pre-War, and the pool World Weel II seen en there were after shocks as the sale-different sectors of the salint's same different sectors of the salint's same

20 Dwallings Bull Decade O. Ending 1910 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980

Fig. 2.9. Comparison of annual new household formations with an-mual new dwelling unit construction, 1910-1980.

1910-1960, [16], 1970, U.S. Bureau of the Census [26]; 1972-1976, U.S. Department of Commerce [30, 31]. Estimates for dwelling units built in decade ending in 1980 were made by extrapolating the 1973-76 rate from 1976 through 1979 and adding that number to the number of units built through 1976.

From the mid-1960s to the mid-70s, as that age group entered the job market, the annual rate of investment in new industrial plants and equipment fell behind the annual rate of growth in number of employed people, for the first time since the great depression (Figure 2.10). It was one of the two times in this century when the ratio of labor force increment to growth of industrial capital outlay has been as low. In the 1900s the ratio fell because in ventment fell catastrophically. In the past decade it fell because the labor force increased catastrophically. In either case the labor force, for a time, grew faster than investment in productive capacity. The first accasion was accompanied by double-digit unemployment, the second by double-digit inflation or relatively high unemployment, or both.

When the same generation entered the age bracket of maximum mobility, the Molweet and the nation entered a period of un-precedented migration. When that generation entered the age tracket of family formation in a period of unprecedented migration, high inflation, and high unemployment, the nation and the Midweet began to see a resurgence of urban residential rehabilitation, two-





Fig.2.10. Ratio: annual percentage increment to the labor force divided by the annual percentage of the GNP spent for new manufacturing plant and equipment, 1920-1984

Note: "Annual increment to the labor force" is taken as the number of live births 20 years earlier. Mean rate of investment in new plant and equipment for 1970s is assumed through 1984.

Sources: Live birth data from U.S. Bureau of the Census [21]; U.S. Bureau of the Census [21, 23, 24, 28] and U.S. Department of Commerce [32, 33, 34, 35].

job households, and new subsistence settlements on all frontiers— the frontiers of central city abandonment, the frontiers of agricultural abandonment, the sparsely-settled forests of the northwest mountains, the northern lakes, and northern New England; and the metropolitan frontiers in the nonmetropolitan long-distance commuting zones. Finally, the same generation is beginning to take over the farm-ing enterprise from its parents. There were only two periods between 1940 and 1974 when the number of farm operators was sta-ble or increasing in *any* age class. That was the 20-to-25 age class; and the two periods were 1945 to 1950 and 1964 to 1974 [21, p. 465. Series K82-108; 29, table 1136, p. 675]. In the earlier period, the wave of returning veterans took over from old timers whose retire-ment had been delayed by their lack of savings in 20 years of depressed farm income and by their need to carry on through

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

World War II. Twenty to 30 years passe quent wave of farmers in their 50s, aj transferring their enterprises to a new young households. One who knows the 1 quickly of farms that were occupied households a decade ago and are temp five-person households or double house and counties with many long-distance strongholds of 1950-style birth rates.

sheltering the cultural seeds of the next The other noteworthy catastrophic Ine other hoteworthy catastrophic t ing and construction projects—tacor electric generating stations, new coal n in one way or another to the increasing and the increasingly capital-intensive them. More about that later.

them. More about that later. In short, events which triggered at been pervasive, largely unexplained, trolled, and probably largely uncontrol The successive impacts of the aging of born in the late 1940s and the 1950s, a quality of domestic mineral supplies and were predictable to a significant degree has been to organize and act because destanding or technical knowledge The infigure lastic

The uneven locational impact of c

the various fittil paths by which they of First, take the selected group of a 2111 There is a general travel of a dividual difference in 1920, Hondown its 1970 size; Sioux City-unknown eathors of its 1920s machine pain, had a distry during World War II and the "

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

ufacturing Expansion v 20-Year-Old

ntage increment to the labor force al percentage of the GNP spent for lant and equipment, 1920-1984

e labor force" is taken as the number rlier. Mean rate of investment in new 1070- is assumed through 1984 1970s is assumed through 1984.

U.S. Bureau of the Census [21]; U.S. [21, 23, 24, 28] and U.S. Department 34, 35].

stence settlements on all frontiers— ty abandonment, the frontiers of he sparsely-settled forests of the orthern lakes, and northern New an frontiers in the nonmetropolitan

n is beginning to take over the farm-nts. There were only two periods the number of arm operators was sta-son 1560 and 1964 to 1974 [21, 46, 6, p. 675]. In the earlier period, the kover from old timer whose retirer kover for di savings in 20 years of heir lack of savings in 20 years of by their need to carry on through

World War II. Twenty to 30 years passed. Then there was a conse-quent wave of farmers in their 50s, approaching retirement and transferring their enterprises to a new wave of young farmers and young households. One who knows the Midwest countryside thinks quickly of farms that were occupied by two- or three-person households a decade ago and are temporarily occupied by four- or five-person households to double households today. Farm counties and counties with many long-distance commuters are the last strongholds of 1950-style birth rates. (Unless they are refuges, sheltering the cultural seeds of the next baby boom.) The other noteworthy catastrophic events were very large min-legetric generating stations, new coal mines. All have been related in one way or another to the increasing need for fuel and materials and the increasingly capital-intensive methods needed to recover

and the increasingly capital-intensive methods needed to recover them. More about that later. In short, events which triggered short-term fluctuations have the

In short, events which triggered short-term fluctuations have been pervasive, largely unexplained, unpredicted, largely uncon-trolled, and probably largely uncontrollable, with a few exceptions. The successive impacts of the aging of the large number of people born in the late 1940s and the 1950s, and the impacts of declining quality of domestic mineral supplies and rising world demand surely were predictable to a significant degree. In those cases the difficulty has been to organize and act because of insufficient general un-derstanding or technical knowledge

The uneven locational impact of change

<text><text><text>

Sioux City 100 90 South Bend 80 70 O error Peoria 60 M-SP 50 Wichita Madison 40 30 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970

Fig. 2.11. Percentage of 1970 population attained in previous decen-nial census years, showing variability of growth rates in different, selected Midwestern metropolitan areas

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [17, 19, 20, 22].

boomed, as Detroit, in the first full decade of the Auto Epoch in the 1920s; but unlike Detroit, it slowed after the demise of Studebaker in the 1950s. Minneapolis-St. Paul started more slowly than Detroit but has grown at a substantially faster rate in the past decade of computers and electronic controls. Obviously the differences reflect not only the general impact of national and global short-term disturbances, but the effect of those



100







of 1970 Population

Percentage

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

events and other accidents on the fortunes of particular businesses, technologies, and institutions. The curves reflect the changing world as it was filtered to seven different cities through such mis-cellaneous institutions as Swift and Armour, Caterpillar, Studebaker and the "Big Three," Boeing and Cessna, the Twin Cities electronic complex, and the state government of Wisconsin. Similar curves can be drawn for all of the Midwest metropolitan areas (Figure 2.12). The same pattern emerges, simply with greater



Fig. 2.12. Percentage of 1970 population attained in previous decen-nial census years in all Midwestern metropolitan areas. Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [17, 19, 20,22].

1970 1960 1950 940 population attained in previous decen-howing variability of growth rates in idwestern metropolitan areas

Juth Bend

Oeroir

ensus [17, 19, 20, 22]. t full decade of the Auto Epoch in the lowed after the demise of Studehaker Paul started more slowly than Deroit ally faster rate in the past decade of rols. reflect not only the general impact of n disturbances, but the effect of those

complexity. The array of places started from widely different per-centages of their population today. The curves slowly converge, but at variable, ever-changing rates, depending on the impact of technologic, demographic, and economic catastrophies on each city's particular circumstances at the time. Although the graph stops at 1970, the lines are in fact diverging again from 1970 to the present, in disorder in disorder.

in disorder. Suppose planners at each of these midwestern metropolitan areas had known in 1920 the precise population which would be in the same area in 1970, and had assumed straight line growth between the two points in time (in the absence of predictions of the time and impact of the depression, World War II, the population boom, and the events of the 1970s). The average difference between projected and observed populations at each decennial census would have been 45 percent—an average 45 percent error. The present scene is a brief glimpse of the nation's vast array of diverse places on their way from diverse, partly explained pasts to diverse, largely unpredictable futures.

A New Era

Yet there can really be no doubt that the Midwest and the nation are crossing the threshold of a new era. A number of changes that



Fig. 2.13. Income convergence, 1929-1969

Sources: U.S. Water Resources Council [37]. Data are for the BEA Economic Areas which contain the nation's SMSAs.



came in the auto-oil-electronic con after World War II, have pretty well of surplus farm labor from the Corn I one such event. The massive black is the nurs! South to the other of the one such event. The massive office of the the rural South to the cities of the The convergence of income levels at The trends are apparent in Figure 2 tion has emerged for the first time

how has emerged for the first time have seen the development of a truly In many ways the long-term trem based on cheap fossil fuel and it materials, farming, manufacturing, ing. The graph in Figure 2.14 show energy raw materials has signalled is forcing the United States into terdependence in a world communit terdependence in a world communit and more disorganized than we are, one indicator of that new state of *i* period of increasing uncertainty, technologies and resources in ever sumption. Hence, changes will ab



ces started from widely different per-oday. The curves slowly converge, but rates, depending on the impact of le economic catastrophies on each city's he time. Although the graph stops at erging again from 1970 to the present,

ch of these midwestern metropolitan th of these midwestern metropolitan e precise population which would be in d had assumed straight line growth me (in the absence of predictions of the ression, World War II, the population 1970s). The average difference between lations at each decennial census would erage 45 percent error. ef glimpse of the nation's vast array of from diverse, partly explained pasts to futures.

New Era

doubt that the Midwest and the nation a new era. A number of changes that



es Council [37]. Data are for the BEA ich contain the nation's SMSAs.

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

CECGRAPHICAL SHIPTS came in the auto-oil-electronic communication epoch, especially after World War II, have pretty well run their course. The drainage of surplus farm labor from the Corn Belt, Great Plains, and South is one such event. The massive black and poor-white migration from the rural South to the cities of the Manufacturing Belt is another. The convergence of income levels and urbanization is yet another. The trends are apparent in Figure 213. High-order metropolitaniza-tion has emerged for the first time in the South in this epoch. We have seen the development of a truly national urban system at last. In many ways the long-term trends I have emphasized have been based on cheap fossil fuel and its impact on the costs of raw materials, farming, manufacturing, transportation, and space heat-ing. The graph in Figure 2.14 shows how the sharply rising cost of energy raw materials has signalled the end of that era. The change is forcing the United States into increasing interaction and in-terdependence in a world community that is generally much poorer and more disorganized than we are. The graph in Figure 2.15 shows one indicator of that new state of affairs. The nation is entering a period of increasing uncertainty, of experimentation with new technologies and resources in every sector of production and con-sumption. Hence, changes will abound, with mixed currents and



Fig. 2.14 Energy raw material cost as a percentage of GNP

Sources: 1900-1950, Schurr, Netschert, et. al. [15]; 1960-1972, U.S. Bureau of the Census [26, table 600, p. 274 and table 857, p. 517]; 1985-2000, Ridker [14] and U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration [36].



Fig. 2.15 Imports as a percentage of GNP

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census [21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

counter-currents in the looks and the pattern of settlement. But, that is another story. The details are a different topic from ours, and they are essentially speculative in any case. Only the growth of uncertainty seems certain.

Conclusions

Conclusions Population shifts since 1970 reflect long-term forces with great inertia, together with short-term perturbations in the longer trends. The long-term forces have been running since 1920. They were set in motion by the internal combustion engine and cheap oil. Those years since 1920 comprise an epoch—the latest epoch in an era of cheap domestic fossil fuel supplies that began in the 1830s. Depression, wars, and the "baby boom" produced the short-term in-stability. The technologic innovations that started each major new epoch or era in the long run of American metropolitan evolution were

The technologic innovations that started each major new epoch or era in the long run of American metropolitan evolution were largely unpredictable. So were the catastrophes that triggered the short-term perturbations. Such changes are intrinsic to an open system. The response of people in the Midwest to these changes has reflected a high degree of both mobility and pragmatism. The mobility, in turn, has resulted to an important degree from a high level of investment in education and training. Now the nation is entering a new epoch, devoid of the massive farm labor surplus, the regional inequities in urban development, and the cheap fossil fuels which have characterized the past 150 years.

years

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS CHAPTER 2

> Population trends observed since Population trends observed since massive off-farm migration. To foreshadow the emergent mature, that might not be clear until the beyond the age of maximum mobili doubtful that recent observed char term effects of a new era in energy t Because of the unpertainty, inst

Because of the uncertainty, inst the forces behind these long-term p likely that cities, states, sometim have literally controlled them in the near future. On the other hand the near future. On the other hand ble to all of us less retrospective! have been. They can be monix forecast with greater accuracy an and better knowledge to bear on coisons. Thus we can adapt more qui changes. That will surely be i pragmatism and practical action.

NOTE

'The U.S. farm population number i rate of natural increase for each d minus the observed 1970 population.

REFERENCES CITED

Berry, Brian J. L. and Quentii Shape of Metropolitan America Fields, and Decentralization P Mass: Ballinger Publishing Cor man set)

- Borchert, David J. and James *Population Change in the Unit* neapolis: University of Minneso Affairs.
- Borchert, John R. 1963. The Ur 1930-1960. Minneapolis: Upper
- Borchert, John R. 1964. Formu Policy in the Midwestern Set Regional Development and University of Illinois Press, pp. 9

a Percentage of GNP

1872-1966

1974 1934 1954 14 ntage of GNP

Census [21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

ks and the pattern of settlement. But, etails are a different topic from ours, and tive in any case. Only the growth of un-

onclusions

970 reflect long-term forces with great term perturbations in the longer trends we been running since 1920. They were real combustion engine and cheap oil targers an epoch-the latest epoch in an fiel supplies that began in the 1830s. baby boom' produced the short-term in-

ions that started each major new epoch merican metropolitan evolution were ere the catastrophes that triggered the ple in the Mäxest to these changes has ple in the Mäxest or these changes has fold mobility and pragmatism. The fed to an important degree from a high tion and training. ing a new epoch, devoid of the massive fonal inequities in urban development ional inequities in urban development which have characterized the past 150

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

CECCRAPHICAL SHIPTS Population trends observed since 1970 probably reflect the end of massive off-farm migration. To some degree they probably foreshadow the emergent mature, nation-wide urban system, but hat might not be clear until the baby-boom generation moves bound that recent observed changes foreshadow at all the long-ter effects of a new era in energy technology or supplies. Because of the uncertainty, instability, and global dimensions of the forces behind these long-term population changes, it seems un-liave literally controlled them in the past or will be able to do so in the are future. On the other hand, the changes should be percepti-ble to all of us less retrospectively and more currently than they have been. They can be monitored, modelled, and tentatively forecast with greater accuracy and efficiency. We can bring more and better knowledge to bear on settlement and development de-cisions. Thus we can adapt more quickly and efficiently to pervasive changes. That will surely be in the midwestern tradition of pragmatism and practical action.

NOTE

^{'The} U. S. farm population number in 1920, multiplied by the average rate of natural increase for each decade, and compounded, to 1970, minus the observed 1970 population.

REFERENCES CITED

- Berry, Brian J. L. and Quentin Gillard. 1977. The Changing Shape of Metropolitan America: Commuting Patterns, Urban Fields, and Decentralization Processes, 1960-1970. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger Publishing Company, pp. 129-697 (metropolitan map set
- Borchert, David J. and James D. Fitzsimmons. 1978. Recent Population Change in the United States: A Series of Maps. Min-neapolis: University of Minnesota Center for Urban and Regional Affairs
- Borchert, John R. 1963. The Urbanization of the Upper Midwest, 1930-1960. Minneapolis: Upper Midwest Council, pp. 29-41.
- Borchert, John R. 1964. Formulation of Regional Development Policy in the Midwestern Setting. In (Ronald R. Boyce, ed.), Regional Development and the Wabash Basin. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, pp. 91-110.

- Borchert, John R. 1967. American Metropolitan Evolution. Geographical Review, 57:3 (July), pp. 301-332.
- Borchert, John R. 1968. Upper Midwest Urban Change in the 1960s. Minneapolis, Minn.: Upper Midwest Council, pp. 26-27.
- Borchert, John R. 1972. America's Changing Metropolitan Regions. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 62:2 (June), pp. 352-373.
- Borchert, John R 1979. Taxes and the Minnesota Community. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Center for Urban and Regional Affairs, pp. 26-27.
- Borchert, John R. and Frank E. Horton. 1973. Geography and Public Policy. In *Geographical Perspectives on Urban Problems*. Washington, D. C.: National Academy of Sciences, pp. 1-24, ref. Figure 9, p. 14.
- Borchert, John R. and Donald P. Yaeger. 1969. Atlas of Minnesota Resources and Settlement. St. Paul: Minnesota State Planning Agency, p. 70.
- Borchert, John R., Thomas L. Anding, and Morris Gildemeister. 1964. Urban Dispersal in the Upper Midwest. Minneapolis, Minn.: Upper Midwest Council, pp. 10-16.
- Murphy, Raymond E. 1966. The American City. New York: McGraw Hill Book Company, p. 107.
- Pfouts, Ralph W. (ed.) 1960. Techniques of Urban Economic Analysis. Trenton, NJ: Chandler-Davis Publishing Company, p. 209
- Ridker, Ronald G. (ed.). 1972. Population, Resources, and the Environment, Vol. III, Research Reports Washington, D. C.: U.S. Commission on Population Growth and the American Future, U.S. Government Printing Office, Table 2, p. 41.
- Schurr, Sam H. and Bruce C. Netschert, et al. 1960. Energy in the American Economy, 1850-1975. Baltimore, Maryland: John Hopkins University Press, Table 51, p. 156 and Table 48, p. 145.
- Upper Midwest Council. 1963. Urban Renewal in the Upper Midwest, Urban Report No. 4. Minneapolis, Minn.: Upper Midwest Council.

46

18. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1962. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government

17. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1956. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

- 19. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1963. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Governmen
- 20. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government
- U.S. Bureau of the Census 1973 United States Colonial Times to Government Printing Office.
- 22. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1933. 1 1930. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gover
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1971. 1971. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gow
- 24. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1972. 1972. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Govern
- 25. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1973. 1973. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gove
- 26. U.S. Bureau of the Censo C.S. Bureau of the Census: 1974. 1974. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gove
- 27. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1975 1975. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gove
- 28. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1976 1976. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gow
- 29. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1977 1977, Washington, D. C.; U.S. Gov
- 30. U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D. C.: U.S. Governme
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 15 P20, No. 296. Washington, D. C. U

American Metropolitan Evolution. July), pp. 301-332.

pper Midwest Urban Change in the Upper Midwest Council, pp. 26-27.

America's Changing Metropolitan sciation of American Geographers, 62:2

axes and the Minnesota Community. f Minnesota, Center for Urban and

ank E. Horton. 1973. Geography and *tical Perspectives on Urban Problems*. al Academy of Sciences, pp. 1-24, ref.

ald P. Yaeger. 1969. Atlas of Minnesota St. Paul: Minnesota State Planning

s L. Anding, and Morris Gildemeister. *he Upper Midwest.* Minneapolis, Minn. , 10-16.

66. The American City. New York: ny, p. 107.

960. Techniques of Urban Economic andler-Davis Publishing Company, p.

1972 Population, Resources, and the earch Reports. Washington, D. C.: U.S. n Growth and the American Future, Office, Table 2, p. 41.

C. Netschert, et al. 1960. Energy in the 0-1975. Baltimore, Maryland: John Table 51, p. 156 and Table 48, p. 145.

1963. Urban Renewal in the Upper No. 4. Minneapolis, Minn.: Upper

GEOGRAPHICAL SHIFTS

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1956. County and City Data Book. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1962. County and City Data Book. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1963. County and City Data Book. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 20. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. *County and City Data Book*. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1975. Historical Statistics of the United States Colonial Times to 1970. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 22. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1933. *Statistical Abstract of the U.S.* 1930. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1971. Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1971. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 24. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1972. Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1972. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1973. Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1973. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1974. Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1974. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 27. U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1975 Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1975. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1976 Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1976 Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census: 1977 Statistical Abstract of the U.S. 1977. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 1976. Construction Review. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 31. U.S. Department of Commerce. 1976. *Current Population Reports*, P20, No. 296. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

- U.S. Department of Commerce, 1973. Survey of Current Business, 1973. U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov-ernment Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 1974. Survey of Current Business, 1974. U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.; U.S. Gov-ernment Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 1975. Survey of Current Business, 1975. U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov-ernment Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. 1976. Survey of Current Business, 1976. U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., U.S. Gov-ernment Printing Office.
- 36. U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration. 1975. A National Plan for Energy Research, Development, and Demonstration, Vol. 1. Washington, D. C. U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Tables B-3 and B-5, pp. B-7 and B-9.
- U.S. Water Resources Council. 1972. 1972 OBERS Projections, Vol. 1. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Water Resources Council, Sum-mary Table 3, pp. 55-58.
- Walmsley, Mildred. 1965. The Bygone Electric Interurban Railway System. Professional Geographer, 17:3 (May), pp. 1-6.

CHAPTER THREE

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECT MIDWESTERN POPULATIO

Calvin L. Beale and Glenn V. Fuguitt

It may not be possible to say anythin cerning the trend of population in the r central fact that most impresses us is the central last that most impresses us is to jor region in which every state has gr that of the United States as a whole. T 13 percent from 1970-78. Wisconsin midwestern state—grew by 5.9 percen-distribution patterns in the region any growth. None of the midwestern state natural increase and most of them are gration.

proof. None of the midwestern state matural increase and most of them are gataral increase and most of them are gataral increase and most of them are gataral increase and most of the 1950s at through autmovement in the 1950s at the saster middle dhe region are most austral also. especially in large metric water half has especially in large metric austral hase, especially in large metric water half has expected by the offset of a population to be possible. Wi birds of 1 percent a year because of autural growth available to offset of growth is thus now harely a third of with the safe that available to offset of growth is thus now harely a third of with freaker has been accompanied by wide a freaker has been accompanied by wide a freaker has been accompanied by wide a freaker has been accompanied by wide increase increase. From a decade of lower growth has been an of low of lower growth have been and the of lower growth been and the of lower been and the of lower the of lower growth been and the of lower been and the of low

loss. The purpose of this chapter is to do event shifts in population distribution created with these structures of the shift of the entropolitan areas of the Midwa percent the nonmetropolitan areas nonmetropolitan growth rate is lower

rce, 1973. Survey of Current Business ommerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov-

rce. 1974. Survey of Current Business ommerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov

rce, 1975. Survey of Current Business ommerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov

rce. 1976. Survey of Current Business ommerce, Washington, D. C.: U.S. Gov

Development Administration. 1975. A ergy Research, Development, and shington, D. C. U.S. Energy Research stration, Tables B-3 and B-5, pp. B-7

ncil. 1972. 1972 OBERS Projections, U.S. Water Resources Council, Sum-

. The Bygone Electric Interurban al Geographer, 17:3 (May), pp. 1-6.

CHAPTER THREE

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES ON **MIDWESTERN POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION¹**

Calvin L. Beale and Glenn V. Fuguitt

Calvin L. Beale and Glenn V. Fuguitt It may not be possible to say anything fully new or surprising con-cerning the trend of population in the midwestern states. Perhaps the central fact that most impresses us is that the Midwest is the only ma-jor region in which every state has grown since 1970 at a rate below that of the United States as a whole. (The national population grew by 7.3 percent from 1970-78; Wisconsim—the most rapidly increasing midwestern state—grew by 5.9 percent.) Thus, changes in population distribution patterns in the region are not being fed by high rates of growth. None of the midwestern states any longer has a high rate of natural increase and most of them are experiencing a slow net outmi-gration. gration

gration. Net outmigration as such is not new for the region as an entity. After some inmovement in the 1940s, the Midwest lost 0.1 million through outmovement in the 1950s, increasing to 0.75 million in the 1960s. But through 1977, the decade saw 1.3 million net departures.

1960s. But through 1971, the decade saw 1.3 million net departures. The eastern half of the region seems to have too much of an older in-dustrial base, especially in large metropolitan concentrations, and the western half has too much dependence on agriculture for full reten-tion of population to be possible. With natural increase below two-thirds of 1 percent a year because of the low birth rate, there is less natural growth available to offset outmigration and total regional growth is thus now barely a third of what it was in the 1960s. But there is a paradox within this pattern of slow population growth. In the Midwest as in the nation, the Slowdown of total in-crease has been accompanied by widening of the number of areas ex-periencing increase. From a decade point of reference, one can begin as far back as 1920 and find that when population growth has in-creased, the number of areas experiencing growth has diminished, because increased growth has been associated with concentration. As cycles of lower growth have occurred, however, growth was been more widely distributed. Therefore, despite the greatly reduced pace of growth in the region in the 1970s, about 280 counties have shifted from decline to growth whereas only 77 have reverted from growth to loss.

The purpose of this chapter is to develop some of the patterns of re-cent shifts in population distribution in the Midwest and to identify circumstances associated with these shifts. From 1970-76 (the last year for which we have county-level data) the metropolitan areas of the Midwest grew in population by only 1 percent; the nonmetropolitan areas by 4.2 percent. Even though the nonmetropolitan growth rate is lower than that in any other major re-

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

Rate"

20 1960-7

Net migration Ň Ratea 1970-76 her

Nu

Percent change 1970-76 1960-70

gion, it is well above that of the metropolitan population which has become nearly stationary as a result of demographic stagnation in such major areas as Chicago, Detroit, Cleveland, St. Louis, Milwaukee, Kansas City, and Cincinnati. Only the growth of small

Milwaukee, Kansas City, and Cincinnati. Only the growth of small and moderate sized metropolitan areas has saved the metropolitan category from absolute decline. The four largest metropolitan areas in the region—those of 2 million or more inhabitants in 1970—declined fractionally in popula-tion from 1970-76 (Table 3.1), with a net outmigration of 857,000 peo-ple. Other metro-size classes grew very modestly, with the smallest areas having the largest growth, in contrast to the earlier pattern. But, all sizes of metropolitan areas in the Midwest have experienced some net outmigration of population since 1970, and all have had a diminished ability to retain people as compared with 1960-70. In this respect the smaller- and medium-sized areas of this region differ markedly from those in the West and the South where the so-called population turnaround has brought increased migration into such areas just as it has into nonmetropolitan counties. Altogether, markedly from those in the West and the South where the so-called population turnaround has brought increased migration into such areas just as it has into nonmetropolitan counties. Altogether, midwestern metropolitan areas had net outmovement of 1.4 million people from 1970-76, a not inconsiderable amount. It should be stressed, however, that even in the most advanced cases, such as Cleveland or St. Louis, the pace of net outmovement is still moderate compared with the rates that typified scores of smaller agricultural counties in earlier decades. Suburban counties have been affected by the current topping out of metropolitanization in the region as well as the central city counties. As a group they still experience inmovement of people and a more rapid growth rate than do nonmetropolitan coun-ties. Metropolitan sprawl continues. However, their net inmigration generally is much lower than it was and no longer more than offsets the outmovement from the central counties. Mat are not adja-cent to metropolitan areas have grown just as rapidly as those that are adjacent. Thus the renewed growth of nonmetropolitan population is not merely increased metro suburban sprawl into the next avail-able ring of counties. The correlation between metropolitan ajacency status and county population growth was actually negative in the

able ring of counties. The correlation between metropolitan adjacency status and county population growth was actually negative in the East North Central States (-.22) and only modestly positive (.14) in the western half of the region. Renewed retention of people in rural and small town areas permeates the region. Sometimes this retention takes the form of greatly reduced population losses in comparison with the past. In other places it has resulted in truly rapid growth rates in the more remote and economically poorer sections of the region. As a means of drawing inferences about population shifts in the

As a means of drawing inferences about population shifts in the nonmetropolitan parts of the region, we have grouped counties by cer-tain salient aspects of settlement, location, function, and economic status that are thought likely to influence growth and change, and then have compared change and migration in the periods 1970-76 and 1960-70 (Table 3.2). The following are among the more significant patterns noted patterns noted.

e metropolitan population which has result of demographic stagnation in go, Detroit, Cleveland, St. Louis, Cincinnati. Only the growth of small an areas has saved the metropolitan

an areas in the region—those of 2 970—declined fractionally in popula-tith a net outmigration of 857,000 pe-reve very modesily, with the smallest reas in the Mudwest have experienced lation since 1970, and all have had a lippe as compared with 1960-70. In this est and the South where the so-called ought increased migration into such numeropolitan counties. Altogether, is had net outmovement of 14 million nonsiderable amount. It should be in the most advanced cases, such as e of net outmovement is still moderate typifel scores of smaller agricultural burban counties have been affected by opolitanization in the region as well as rough we still experience immovement that than do nonmetropolitan coun-times. However, their net immigration twas and no longer more than offsets ral counties. In dass, the counties that are not adja-ter grown just as rapidly as those that growth for nonmetropolitan population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan in population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan in population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan in population suburban syrawi into the next avai-lation between metropolitan in the region. where grouped counties by en-egon, where grouped counties by en-gon, where grouped counties by en-gon, where grouped counti

DEMO

			Population				Net migi	ration	
and and an advert		Number		Percent ch.	ange	1970	-76	1960-7	0
rea in 1970	1976	1970	1960	1970-76	1960-70	Number	Rate ^a	Number	Rate ^a
	1	Thousand		Percen	Ţ		Thousand		Percent
otal metropolitan areas ^b	39,516.1	39,108.0	34,604.5	1.0	13.0	-1,396.6	-3.6	115.3	0.3
0 million or more nersons	16 901 3	17 011 3	15.187.7	9-	12.0	-856.7	-5.0	-33.9	2
Cantral counties	10 902 2	11 458 9	10 897 4	-4.9	5.2	0.779-	-8.5	-611.3	-5.6
Noncentral counties	5 999 1	5.552.4	4.290.3	8.0	29.4	120.3	2.2	577.4	13.5
0-1 9 million	8 285 6	8 132.7	7.013.1	1.9	16.0	-243.5	-3.0	161.7	2.3
Central counties	5.821.0	6.006.0	5.441.7	-3.1	10.4	-447.1	-7.4	-140.4	-2.6
Noncentral counties	2 464.6	2.126.7	1.571.4	15.9	35.3	203.6	9.6	302.1	19.2
5-0.9 million	4.626.0	4,553.5	3,998.6	1.6	13.9	-154.4	-3.4	26.6	L:
Central counties	3.419.0	3.428.6	3.087.6	£	11.0	-171.0	-5.0	-58.6	-1.9
Noncentral counties	1,207.0	1,124.9	911.0	7.3	23.5	16.6	1.5	85.2	9.5
25-0.49 million	4,654.5	4,535.9	4,055.0	2.6	11.9	-93.4	-2.1	-26.6	1
ess than 0.25 million	5.048.7	4,874.6	4,350.1	3.6	12.1	-48.6	-1.0	-12.5	3

				Population	1			Net mig	gration	
			Number		Percent	change	1970	-76	1960	-70
tem		1976	1970	1960	1970-76	1960-70	Number	Rate ^a	Number	Rate ^a
			Thousand		Per	cent	Thousand	Percent	Thousand	Percent
Total	1,055	57,737.7	56,591.2	51,619.1	2.0	9.6	-1,162.0	-2.1	-757.0	-1.5
Metropolitan counties ^b	181	39,515.5	39,108.1	34,604.6	1.0	13.0	-1,396.2	-3.6	127.4	.4
counties Counties adjacent	874	18,222.2	17,483.1	17,014.5	4.2	2.8	234.2	1.3	-884.4	, -5.2
to SMSA's Counties not adjacent	298	9,177.5	8,805.5	8,307.2	4.2	6.0	81.3	.9	-204.4	-2.5
to SMSA's	576	9,044.7	8,677.6	8,707.3	4.2	3	152.9	1.8	-680.0	-7.8
Characteristics of non- metropolitan counties ^c										
Counties with: An interstate										
highway ^o No interstate highway	206 668	6,360.9 11,861.3	6,093.5 11,389.6	5,760.7 11,253.9	4.4 4.1	5.8 1.2	42.8 191.4	.7 1.7	-211.1 -673.3	-3.7 -6.0
A senior state college	52	2,127.2	2,018.4	1,725.9	5.4	17.0	18.4	.9	90.4	5.2
state college	822	16,095.0	15,464.8	15,288.7	4.1	1.2	215.8	1.4	-974.8	-6.4
Net migration at retirement	ages. ^e									
15 percent and over	48	667.4	555.6	492.0	20.1	12.9	105.6	19.0	40.3	8.2
10-14 percent	35	614.7	555.2	512.7	10.7	8.3	49.2	8.9	9.8	10
Less than 10 percent	791	16,940.1	16,372.3	16,009.9	3.5	2.3	79.4	.5	-934.5	-5.8
Manufacturing employmen	t:									
40 percent and over	52	2,603.0	2,507.2	2.264.2	3.8	10.7	-25.7	-1.0	-16.8	- 7
30-39 percent	110	3,880.2	3.714.6	3.517.7	4.5	5.6	33.4		-117.7	-3.5
20-29 percent	173	4,129.2	3.912.2	3.809.8	5.5	2.7	134.8	34	-134.9	-3.6
Less than 20 percent	539	7,609.8	7.349.1	7 422 9	3.5	-1.0	91.7	12	-615.0	-8.3

				Populatio	n			Netmi	gration	
	Numbe	r	Mumber		Percent	change	1970	-76	1960	70
11	of	1976	1970	1960	1970-76	1960-70	Number	Rate ^a	Number	Rate"
nem			Thousand			ent	Thousand	Percent	Thousand	Percen
Agricultural employment: 30 percent and over 20-29 percent 10-19 percent Less than 10 percent	217 168 235 254	1,493.7 2,215.2 4,857.4 9,655.9	1,504.6 2,154.8 4,634.4 9,189.3	1,686.6 2,247.9 4,569.9 8,510.2	7 2.8 4.8 5.1	-10.8 -4.1 1.4 8.0	-25.9 28.4 114.8 116.9	-1.7 1.3 2.5 1.3	-280.7 -255.9 -244.2 -133.6	-16. -10. -5. -1.
e of largest place: 5,000 persons nd over 0,000 - 24,999 500 - 9,999	52 123 381	3,641.7 4,914.4 7,287.8	3.520.8 4.737.9 6.976.06.976	3,161.6 4,492.9 9	3.4 3.7 4.5	11.4 5.5 1	-36.5 8.1 155.7 106.9	-1.0 2 2.2 4.8	-9.6 -158.6 -464.4 -251.9	-3 -6 -10

Table 3.2. Population change by metropolitan status and selected county characteristics in the North Central Region

-3.7	5.2 -6.4	8.2 1.0 5.8	1.001			-70	Rate*	Percent	-16.6	-10.1	-5.3	91-		27	35	-0.7	19.01		ŋ :	0.1	27	1.0.			-62	6.17	1.6-	0.6-	18.9
-211.1	90.4	40.3 9.8	A 01- 7.711- 7.000- 0.000-		ration	1960	Number	Thousand	-280.7	-255.9	-244.2	-133.6		9.6	-158.6	464.4	a-10%		101	-10.0	0.011-	2 202-		-10.5	-228.9	-333.4	-193.9	-66.4	-51.2
7.1	9.	19.0 8.9 2	0.041		Net mig	76	Rate"	Percent	11-	13	25	1.3		-10	CN .	23	0.4		2	<u>.</u>	27 1	22	1	+	15	1.6	3.1	1.7	6.6
42.8	18.4 215.8	105.6 49.2 79.4	1 State			1970	Number	Thousand	-25.9	28.4	114.8	116.9		392	8.1	155.7	1001		110	-10.1	272	113.2		-25.7	66.7	65.8	62.3	49.5	15.6
5.8	17.0	12.9 8.3 2.3	10 K K K			change	1960-70	ent	-10.8	1.4-	1.4	8.0		11.4	5.5		10		11.6	8.3	3.1	20	-	10.6	3.5	-1.3	-6.1	-5.2	-12.5
4.4	5.4	20.1 10.7 3.5	2421 2421			Percent	1970-76	Pero	5.	2.8	4.8	5.1		3.4	3.7	4.5	0		20	E.E.	4 1	1.4	1	3.9	47	3.6	3.8	7.6	8.2
760.7	5,288.7	492.0 512.7 16.009.9	2.264.2 3.517.7 3.804.8 7.400.6 7.400.6		Population		1960		1 686 6	2.247.9	4,569.9	8.510.2		3,161.6	4,492.9	976.9	2,363.1		3,112.3	2.019.8	2 432.5	4,990.4		5 199.0	4 426.9	4.253.1	2,126.0	738.9	270.7
093.5 5 389.6 11	2,018,4	555.6 566.2 16.372.3	2.507.2 3.714.6 3.714.6 3.912.2 7.500.1			Number	1970	Thousand	1 504.6	2.154.8	4.634.4	9,189.3		3,520.8	4,737.9	6.976.06	2.240.4		3,474.9	2.186.7	2 522 2	5,091,4		5.748.7	4 582 6	4.196.9	2.017.5	700.5	237.0
60.9 6. 961.3 11	127.2 2	667.4 614.7 6.940.1	N MARK A 2 AND A COMPANY 2				1976		1 420.7	22152	4.867.4	9,855,9		3,641.7	4,914.4	7,287.8	23/83		3,396.7	22632	2,631.6	0.000E.0		5 971 0	4796.4	4.349.7	2.094.8	753.8	256.5
206 6,3	52 22	and a second	asel			Number	counties		14 217	168	28	10		22		房	310	-	8	40	2	242	1000	118	302	24	192	68	10
n interstate lighway ^d to interstate highway	A servior state college servior state college	terl migration at relimenant 15 percent and over 10-14 percent Less Inter 10 percent	Amount of previous traces previous traces and the state previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and the previous traces and tr	Table 3.2. (continued			Item		Agricultural employment	20-26 concert	10-19 certaint	Less than 10 percent	Size of largest place 25,000 persons	and over	10.000 - 24,999	2 500 - 9,999	Lesis than 2,500	Population per square h	100 or more persons	15-00	50-74	1 Ch	Martine family increme i	\$9 000 and over	\$1 000 - 8 999	\$7,000-7,999	\$6:000 · 6:999	\$5.000 - 5.999	Lens than \$5,000

0

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

(SMSA 5.0 2 as of 1970 uni n interstate hig th specified 196 05 percent. OURCES: Bureau

There is now a mild inverse rapoplation growth and size of largest proposed of the pattern of the 1960s, with a size of the pattern of the 1960s is provided by the pattern of the 1960s. The pattern of the 1960s is provided by the 1960s is provided the 1960s is provided to the 1960s is pr

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

6) In the recent past one could fi county would be having population

(continued) Table 3.2.

Percent Ratea

1960-70 Number Thousand

1970-76 Number Thousand

Percent change 1970-76 1960-70

Population 1960

> Number 1970

> > 1976

Item

Number of counties

Percent

Net migration Rate^a Percent -12.0 -1.4 -13.5

46.3 491.5 -21.4 -417.8

3.3 3.6 3.9

304.1 140.0 -99.4 110.5

10.4 5.3 -3.3

7.3

9,116.3

9.785.9

-6.3 8.0 -8.5

4,105.8 1,570.0 3,084.6

3,849.6

4,052.9

275

urnaround status: Population growth 1970-76 and 1970-76 loss 1990-76, loss 1990-76, loss 1990-76, loss 1990-76 90-041100 loss 1990-76 1990-76 1990-76

1,695.9 2,822.4

1,653.4

\$ 245

2,730.0

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

1) There is now a mild inverse relation in the region between population growth and size of largest place in the county. This is the opposite of the pattern of the 1960s, when completely rural counties had extensive outmigration and counties with cities of 25,000 or more people tended to absorb the equivalent of their natural increase. It is the decline of population growth in the latter class of counties (especially east of the Mississippi) that accounts for the fact that coun-ties adjacent to metrocolitan areas in the region are not growing more. the decline of population growth in the latter class of counties (especially east of the Mississippi) that accounts for the fact that counties dajacent to metropolitan areas in the region are not growing more rapidly than the nonadjacent counties as a whole. The counties having centers of 25,000 people or more are largely manufacturing based and demographically they are behaving more like mini-metropolitan industrial areas than incipient suburbs. Related to this trend is the end of the former positive association between density of non-metropolitan population and growth.
2) Counties on interstate highways have had only fractionally higher growth rates than have other counties, in contrast to the past. The counties not on an interstate highway have actually had higher rates of inmigration than have other counties, in contrast to the past. The solightly higher growth of the interstate highway counties one solely from higher natural increase, probably the result of a younger average age of the population.
3) Retirement counties comprise by far the most rapidly growing growing of counties that can be identified. Some 48 of them that had at the 1960s showed 20 percent overall population growth from 1970-76. This is a compounded rate of 3 percent a year, which is probably faster than communities can be expected to absorb over any period of time without substantial growing pains. The pace of growth in these counties are emerging in which growth is dominated by retirement.
4) The role of growth in counties containing state colleges—which was very important in the 1960s—has greadly diminished in the region. As enrollment gains have slowed, the growth of such counties from net immigration has dropped from an average of 9,000 a year to 3000.
5) There is still outmovement of people from the counties having the highest percentage of employment in arriculture. The region have

3000.
5) There is still outmovement of people from the counties having the highest percentage of employment in agriculture. The region had 217 counties in 1970 in which 30 percent or more of all employed people worked directly in agriculture. (This is two-birks of all such counties in the nation.) These counties as a class declined slightly in population from 1970-76. Nonetheless, they were a part of the trend of greater retention of people in rural and small town areas, for their rates of loss were far lower than they had been earlier. As agricultural dependence has gradually diminished and as farm employment has presumably come close to the minimum levels required, the rate of outmovement from such areas has radically dropped, from 28,000 annually in the 1960s to 4,000 a year in this decade.

6) In the recent past one could fairly reliably predict whether a county would be having population growth or loss by its income

5.9

2.5

084

822

2 7 30.0 653.4 245

AD C 9.66

level. High income areas attracted people; low income areas lost them. Thus, for example, in the Midwest the nonmetro counties with \$9,000 or more median family income in 1969 grew by nearly 11 percent from 1960-70, whereas those with medians of less than \$5,000 declined by more than 11 percent. Counties with medians of \$7,000 to \$8,000—an intermediate level—were almost stationary in population. In effect, a strong economic motivation for population movement seemed to exist. The same association could be dem-onstrated for the 1950s. Because of the strength and duration of this pattern, it seems doubtful that anyone could have predicted the ut-ter lack of positive association between area income level and population change that has developed in this decade. The highest growth rates are actually found in the two lowest income classes (re-sulting in substantial part from the attraction of population to the population change that has developed in this decade. The highest growth rates are actually found in the two lowest income classes (re-sulting in substantial part from the attraction of population to the Ozarks and the Upper Great Lakes areas). Other income classes show no meaningful differences from one another. The population turnaround affects all income classes of nonmetropolitan counties except the highest income class, but the lower the income level, the greater the population turnaround that has occurred. Other re-search shows that population growth in the region also fails to be positively related to the income growth rate since 1970, as dis-tinguished from income level [1]. 7) Given the prior relationship between income level and population growth, a corollary of the change in trend is that the greater the earlier rate of net outmigration the greater the degree of improved population retention since that time, and the higher the previous rate of population growth the more the likelihood of reduced inmigration in this decade. There is a notable regression toward the mean rate of growth among nonmetropolitan counties in the United States, and especially in the midwestern region. A multiple correlation coefficent was computed between population change and the above factors plus workers commuting to metropolitan areas, military population, and Black population. (The last two variables proved unimportant in the midwestern con-text.) The multiple correlation was run separately for the eastern and western halves of the region, given their differences in degree of urbanization, density, and dependence on agriculture versus manufacturing. In the East North Central Division, a multiple R of .60 was ob-

of urbanization, density, and dependence on agriculture versus manufacturing. In the East North Central Division, a multiple R of .60 was obtained for 1970-76, yielding an R² of .36, from the use of 12 variables. The largest beta values were derived from positive association of growth with county status as a retirement destination, and negative associations with size of largest place in a county, and adjacency to a metropolitan area. The same set of variables yielded a higher degree of explanation of the growth trend from 1960-70, with a multiple R of .77 and an R² of .60. There are two striking differences in the results for the two decades. From 1960-70 median income showed a strong positive association with population growth in the East North Central States.

sociation with population growth in the East North Central States

but by 1970-76 the association had b second change is the loss of the form taining a senior state college. The ass ment, on the other hand, has been con In the western half of the region

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

vided a multiple R of .57 for 1970-76, ferent from the results for the easter individual variables differed. In the e tributed little to the overall explanat tionship, whereas in the western par Retirement ranked second, followed b For the West North Central Div Retirer

and .60) were almost identical in the the eastern states. Although retire times, in the earlier periods there a agriculture and density as express fluences from presence of colleges, m that have since nearly disappeared. In sum, in both division of the

In sum, in both divisions of th In sum, in both divisions of the population change from the most con-recent past has greatly diminished, r tent to which a new regime of motiv-tion has come into play. *Places*—To further understand the umaround in the North Constant Str.

tion has come into play. Places-To further understand the numerical state of the North Central State of growth within rural and urban cor-distribution of the North Central State of growth within rural and urban cor-stimates for incorporated places of the censuses of 1950, 1960, and 197 public places this distriction is close designing rural and urban and neteroplican sector. Metroplican sectors the spaces the part of Figure 3.1. The ropolitan and nonmetroplican is the spaces the growth in types of the three spaces the space of the population. Here emerges Despite the turnaround, in Vertoplican Statistical Areas (3) vertoplicate considerably, overall 2) the total for the component and for population in the first half of the decision of the component and for population in the first half of the decision and intermed and components and for the train of the nonmetropolitan is the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the space of the population of the space of the spac

ttracted people; low income areas lost the Midwest the nonmetro counties with iily income in 1969 grew by nearly 11 reas those with medians of less than the non-counties with medians of

in 11 percent. Counties with medians of

n 11 percent. Counties with medians of lediate level—were almost stationary in mg economic motivation for population . The same association could be dem-ause of the strength and duration of this hat anyone could have predicted the ut-iation between area income level and is developed in this decade. The highest und in the two lowest income classes (re-form the attraction of population to the east Lakes areas). Other income classes ences from one another. The population me classes of nonmetropolitan counties neces routh one anouter. The population mue classes of nonmetropolitan counties class, but the lower the income level, the rnaround that has occurred. Other re-ion growth in the region also fails to be income growth rate since 1970, as dis-el [1].

Income growth rate since 1970, as use el [1]. Laitonship between income level and lary of the change in trend is that the net outmigration the greater the degree tention since that time, and the higher ation growth the more the likelihood of is decade. There is a notable regression rowth among nonmetropolitan counties specially in the midwestern region. on coefficient was computed between a dove factors plus workers commuting itary population, and Black population viet unimportant in the midwestern tor-tion was run separately for the eastern and dependence on agriculture versus a chainon a multiple R of 60 was ob-

ral Division, a multiple R of 60 was ob-ing an R* of .36, from the use of 12 avalues were derived from positive as-nuty status as a retirement destination area. es yielded a higher degree of explanation 60-70, with a multiple R of .77 and an R differences in the results for the two fails income showed a strong positive as-rowth in the East North Central States.

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

but by 1970-76 the association had become modestly negative. The

but by 1970-76 the association had become modestly negative. The second change is the loss of the former attraction of counties con-taining a senior state college. The association of growth with retire-tent, on the other hand, has been considerably strengthened. In the western half of the region, the same set of variables pro-field a multiple R of .57 for 1970-76, with an R² of .32, not much dif-ferent from the results for the eastern states. But the importance of inducid variables differed. In the eastern section, agriculture con-tributed little to the overall explanatory power of the multiple rela-tion of the eastern section, agriculture con-tributed little to the overall explanatory power of the multiple rela-tion of the differed in the eastern section, agriculture con-tributed little to the overall explanatory power of the multiple rela-ble. The West North Central Division, a multiple R and R² (.78 the eastern states. Although retirement was important at both miss, in the earlier periods there are negligible associations with agriculture and density as expressed by betas and sizeable in-fluences from presence of colleges, military, and size of largest place thave since nearly disappeared. In min both divisions of the region, the predictability of population change from the most commonly useful indicators of the set to which a new regime of motivations and influences on migra-tion the place. In the place. The triber understand the nature of the nonverturnolitan

tion has come into play

tion has come into play. Places—To further understand the nature of the nonmetropolitan turnaround in the North Central States, we have compared the extent of growth within rural and urban components of counties. Population estimates for incorporated places of 2,500 persons or more in 1975 were obtained from published reports of the Bureau of the Census. These, along with the corresponding population counts reported in the censuses of 1950, 1960, and 1970, form the basis for examining population growth in places of 2,500 or more and growth outside of these places. This distinction is close to that of the Census Bureau in designating rural and urban areas particularly for the nondesignating rural and urban areas, particularly for the non-

designating rural and urban areas, particularly for the non-metropolitan sector. In the top panel of Figure 3.1, urban and rural growth in both metropolitan and nonmetropolitan sectors is distinguished, as shown by annualized growth rates for places of 2.500 population and more at the beginning of each of the three specified time periods, and for the balance of the population. Here a somewhat different picture emerges. Despite the turnaround, in each time period the most rapid-ly growing areas of the North Central states are in Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs), but outside incorporated cities of over 2,500. Over the three time periods, however, the growth rate both for this component and for the urban centers in SMSAs has diminished considerably, overall SMSA urban places declined in population in the first half of the 1970s. Note, however, that this declining pattern is true also for nonmetropolitan urban places, so that it is only the nonmetropolitan population outside places that has consistently increased in annual growth rate voet the 25-year period. consistently increased in annual growth rate over the 25-year period.

A further elaboration is given in the bottom panel, in which the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas are each subdivided into four categories. For the metropolitan, (four bars on the left) the urban component is shown according to three size-of-place groupings. The inverse association between size and growth is clear, along with the continuing decline in rates across the time intervals. By 1970-75, over the North Central Region as a whole, the total population in cities in



Fig. 3.1. Annualized growth rates for population inside and outside of urban places by metropolitan status, Midwestern States

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

all three size categories was declinin 1970 altogether lost 18 per 1,000 per the figure.

provide the second seco

ess. Not only has there occurred a tant from metropolitan areas an opulation, but also we see that, more rapidly than cities. One sh however, that the rural populatio times to grow more rapidly than more rapidly than the rural population athing the new nonmetropolita trailization within metropolitan an aspect of our population redistribut aspect of our population redistribu

ataration within metropolitan a spect of our population redistribut Personal characteristics—From (FS) of the Bureau of the Central test of the Bureau of the Central soft the spece boyen of the State and the population redistribut of the aspects of population of the impact of the aspects of populations of the every state shows have the second state of the state of the state of the species of population. The South is the de-oge of the remaining population of the species of population. The South is the de-opulation. The South is the de-to population. The South is the de-omplation and some the the state of the the state of the state of the state of the show are thought to be valid, and also to the proportion of your state of the state

ven in the bottom panel, in which the litan areas are each subdivided into olitan, (four bars on the left) the urban to three size-of-place groupings. The ze and growth is clear, along with the oss the time intervals. By 1970-75, over whole, the total population in cities in



rates for population inside and outside etropolitan status, Midwestern States

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

all three size categories was declining. Places over 500,000 in size in 1970 altogether lost 18 per 1,000 per year, the highest loss shown in the figure

On the nonmetropolitan side, a distinction was made between counties found adjacent to 1974 SMSA counties and other counties more remotely situated. These two groups of counties were then divided into urban and rural components, as before. In the 1950s and aivided into urban and rural components, as before. In the 1950s and the 1960s, a distinction by location was particularly evident in that rural remote areas were declining in contrast to rural adjacent areas, and remote cities were growing slightly less than adjacent cities. The remarkable change by the 1970s is that whereas cities in both loca-tions are growing at the same low rate, the population outside urban areas is growing more than twice as rapidly, even in remote locations. Another dimension of the turner and then in that aurent growth

Another dimension of the turnary even in remote locatoris. Another dimension of the turnary cound, then, is that current growth favors rural areas, so that the overall pattern even in remote counties of the region is for local decentralization, paralleling the decentralization that has occurred in metropolitan areas for many years. Thus, nonmetropolitan areas reveal a double-faceted decentralization proc-ess. Not only has there occurred a surge of growth in counties distant from metropolitan areas and in counties having no urban population, but also we see that, overall, rural areas are growing population, but also we see that overal, that aleas at growing more rapidly than cities. One should not lose sight of the fact, however, that the rural population in metropolitan counties continues to grow more rapidly than the nation as a whole and also more rapidly than the rural population in nonmetropolitan areas.

<text><text><text>

There continues to be a minor net outflow of youth 15-24 years old. There continues to be a minor net outflow of youth 15-24 years old. But because of the influx of young adults 25-34 years old and of their children, the redistribution within the region is probably having a normalizing influence on age composition of many areas after years of net migration, except in the counties that are becoming destina-tions for retired people.

As noted earlier, counties attracting people of retirement age are growing rapidly as a class. This raises the issue of the extent to which the new nonmetropolitan population growth may be at-tributed directly to the migration of older people, and how their mi-gration patterns have changed in comparison with the remainder of the remulation. the population

The population. To gain further information on this, we have obtained estimates for the population over 65 years old in 1975 prepared by the Census Bureau for the HEW Administration on Aging. These should be a reasonably reliable component of the total county population estimates for 1975 as they are based on Medicare enrollments. With these 65 and over and total county population estimates, and mortality data from State life tables, Stephan Tordella of the University of Wisconsin Applied Population Laboratory has de-veloped estimates of net migration for the 1970-75 period, for the population 0-64 years and 65 years and over in 1975 for each county in the Nation. These have been compared with county net migration in the Nation. These have been compared with courty net migration estimates for the same age groups for 1950-60 and 1960-70, pre-pared by Gladys Bowles and associates.

standard for the same age groups for 1950-60 and 1960-70, pre-mered by Galys Bowles and associated the suggest that an protrain proportion of the new nonmetropolitan growth in the borth that proportion of the new nonmetropolitan growth in the morth that proportion of the new nonmetropolitan growth in the protrain proportion of the new nonmetropolitan growth in the state of the sum of the second second second second second provide the nonmetropolitan new second secon



and nonmetropolitan counti 1950-1975, persons 0-64 and time period

and period and younger groups. Consequently, that the turnaround is simply bear younger group is even more marked The right shand side of this f metrophysical sector for counties divide they were adjacent to aly an en-algacenty effect for the older rate and counties shifts to a position sil persons 0.64, however, shows an biddy hugration gain log extension 0.64, however, shows an biddy hugration gain log extension 0.64 and the starts although migration gain log energies of the wor rates were iden increased and were cannot be attribu-heids or extended suburbs adjacent

r net outflow of youth 15-24 years old oung adults 25-34 years old and of their within the region is probably having a composition of many areas after years he counties that are becoming destina-

attracting people of retirement age are This raises the issue of the extent to plitan population growth may be at-ation of older people, and how their mi-ed in comparison with the remainder of

ion on this, we have obtained estimates ars old in 1975 prepared by the Census nistration on Aging. These should be a based on Medicare enrollments. With tal county population estimates, and life tables. Stephan Tordella of the pplied Population Laboratory has de-gration for the 1970-75 period, for the specific theory of the stephan tordella of the propulation provides the stephan tordella of the specific terms of of ter

en compared with obility let engren groups for 1956-60 and 1960-70, pre-associates. In these new estimates suggest that an e term nonnetropolitan growth in the e attributed to elderly migration. In the ender people constituted less than thos was almost 900000, with the net small offsetting net gain of less than all offsetting net gain of less than thos was almost 900000, with the net setimates show a net migration gain for proximately 170,000 and about 25 per-topeople 56 and over. ults are shown in terms of migration for metropolitan and sometropolitan for metropolitan and sometropolitan for also for the metropolitan of each time period. Here we see that do feach time period. Here we we inter that for this age group cross. Net min-ents for this age from cross. Net min-ents for this age from cross. Net min-near always higher in connetropolitan rates are always wigher in or older hals, non-ous recent time period. Also, non-sor screent time period.

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES



Fig. 3.2. Annualized net migration rates per 1,000 for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan counties of the North Central Region, 1950-1975, persons 0-64 and 65 and over at the end of each time period

and younger groups. Consequently, it is not appropriate to conclude that the turnaround is simply because of the increase in the net mi-gration gain of older people, particularly since the rate gain for the younger group is even more marked. The right-hand side of this figure shows rates in the non-metropolitan sector for counties divided according to whether or not they were adjacent to a 1974 metropolitan county. There is little "adjacency effect" for the older rates, although the rate for nonadja-cent counties shifts to a position slightly higher than adjacent coun-ties for the two most recent time periods. The net migration rates for persons 0-64, however, shows an extreme convergence over time. Although migration losses for this age group were considerably higher in nonadjacent than adjacent counties in the 1950s, by the early 1970s the two rates were identical at about +1/1000/year. The increased net migration gain for persons under 65, as well as for those 65 and over cannot be attributed only to the growth of "urban fields" or extended suburbs adjacent to metro areas. Instead, the dif-

(3)

ferential net migration levels for adjacent and nonadjacent areas which formerly favored locations accessible to large cities, sentially disappeared for the North Central states as a whole s, has es

sentially disappeared for the North Central states as a whole. We are witnessing a continued decline in the proportion of midwestern people who live in either the central cities or the central counties of metropolitan areas. These trends are not new but are proceeding so fast that they are substantially altering the distribu-tion of people within metro areas. Detroit City, for example, con-tained 40 percent of its total SMSA population in 1960 (including Ann Arbor). By 1970 this proportion had fallen to 32 percent and by 1976 to 28 percent. The nonmetropolitan percentage of the region's total population is now growing, although not rapidly and only on a constant area basis. The nonmetropolitan percent of the total has only gone from 30.9 in 1970 to 31.5 in 1976. But the remarkable thing is that the nonmetropolitan proportion has ceased to fall and is rising at all. One aspect of the current trend is that a number of places are continuing to qualify as new small metropolitan areas. places are continuing to qualify as new small metropolitan areas. Just since 1970 we have seen such cities as Bismarck, Grand Forks, Rapid City, Eau Claire, Lawrence, Kankakee, Kokomo, and Bloom-

<text><text><text><text>

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

Census could more than marginally al many corroborative data from empl medicare records, and from field survey

We have no confidence about predi extent of present redistribution trend loss of people from central cities, c asymptotic to some new base level. Be earlier rural outmovements that such tend over a lengthy period before the settlement factors emerge. In the case of the Midwest, residen

sciencemi racors emerge. In the case of the Midwest, residen lad with an accelerated regional di ountry. The regional shift—which w well be the most important for the re-turing makes the drift to the South ar We see the internal trends of popu-makes the drift to the South ar We see the internal trends of popu-need to function as modern societies, in a strend the advantages of cities ei and c) when the conditions of life in ouverged to the point that rural ne turing meson assurance of urbanity. We believe the distributional ages agist as real as more conventional de lations to mortality and fertility.

NOTE

The research for this chapter was supposed to the the third statist mem Division, Conomics and Statist Agricultura, and by the Universe Agricultural and Life Sciences that is a collaborator in North Central Rest et al. (2019). The the third statistical stati the North Central Regio

- REFERENCES CITED Davis, Thomas F. 1978 The Beh graphic Variables: Some Obser-Census Region, 1970-1974. Pap Regional Science Association 100
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 197 1975 to March 1978. Current F No. 331, November.

for adjacent and nonadjacent areas

for adjacent and nonadjacent areas ons accessible to large cities, has es-forth Central states as a whole. titued decline in the proportion of either the central cities or the central is. These trends are not new but are re substantially altering the distribu-areas. Detroit City, for example, con-SMSA population in 1960 (including portion had fallen to 32 percent and by hetropolitan percentage of the region's to 31.5 in 1976. But the remarkable litan proportion has easead to fall and the current trend is that a number of litan proportion has ceased to fall and the current trend is that a number of lify as new small metropolitan areas, such cities as Bismarck, Grand Forks, rence, Kankakee, Kokomo, and Bloom-for. Ko metropolitan areas seriously for. No metropolitan areas seriously . Thus, the region has no prospect of al and "small townish" again.

al and "small townish" again. ralization—which we judge to be even stropolitan growth as a whole—is the in the open country and rural towns in of the Midwest had been the epitome ulation in the 1950s and 1960s and the unforseen in the literature of the late

utation needs to be a set of the set of the

DEMOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVES

Census could more than marginally alter the findings. There are too

We have no confidence about prediction the minings. Inter a restrict a so-many corrolative data from employment, social security, and medicare records, and from field surveys. We have no confidence about predicting the duration or ultimate extent of present redistribution trends. Some of them, such as the loss of people from central cities, clearly will ease and become asymptotic to some new base level. But we have seen in the case of earlier rural outmovements that such depopulating trends can ex-tend ours a lengthy nericid before the transition is completed or new tend over a lengthy period before the transition is completed or new settlement factors emerge. In the case of the Midwest, residential transition effects are over-

In the case of the Mi^dwest, residential transition effects are over-laid with an accelerated regional drift in population within the country. The regional shift—which we have not emphasized—may well be the most important for the region as farming and manufac-turing makes the drift to the South and West more difficult to resist. We see the internal trends of population location in the Midwest as reflecting a demographic distribution transition that comes a) when nations or regions have all the metropolitanization that they need to function as modern societies, b) when as with so many social movements large-scale urbanization has brought excesses that have impaired the advantages of cities either for business or residence, and c) when the conditions of life in urban and rural settings have converged to the point that rural need no longer mean rustic and urban gives no assurance of urbanity. We believe the distributional aspect of demographic transition in

We believe the distributional aspect of demographic transition in nations of advanced technology and high standard of living to be just as real as more conventional demographic transition theory in relation to mortality and fertility.

NOTE

^bThe research for this chapter was supported by the Economic Develop-ment Division, Economics and Statistics Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture, and by the University of Wisconsin College of Agricultural and Life Sciences through a cooperative agreement and as a collaborator in North Central Region Cooperative Research Proj-ect, NC-97, "Population Redistribution in Nonmetropolitan Areas of the North Central Region.

REFERENCES CITED

- Davis, Thomas F. 1978 The Behavior of Economic and Demo-graphic Variables: Some Observations on the North Central Census Region, 1970-1974. Paper presented at Midcontinent Regional Science Association 10th Annual Meeting, May 30.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1978. Geographic Mobility: March 1975 to March 1978. Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 331, November.



THE IMPLICATIONS OF PO FOR PUBLIC POLICY IN TH

Ralph R. Widner and Richard W. Buxbai

Public Policy and Popu

<text><text><text><text>

CHAPTER FOUR

THE IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE FOR PUBLIC POLICY IN THE MIDWEST

Ralph R. Widner and Richard W. Buxbaum

Public Policy and Population Change

As is the case in the rest of the United States, changes in the rates As is the case in the rest of the United States, changes in the rates of natural population increase, in the directions of national popula-tion migrations, and in the locational and residential preferences of households and firms are significantly altering the patterns of settle-ment and the distribution of economic activity in the Midwest. They are likely to continue to do so. Yet there are few efforts at any level of government in the Midwest to anticipate and respond to the present and future implications of these changes. This is not unusual in the American experience. Public policy has traditionally lagged in its response to changing social and economic realities. In part, this tendency is inherent in a

American experience. Public policy has traditionally lagged in its response to changing social and economic realities. In part, this tendency is inherent in a political system that fully perceives the consequences of demographic, economic, or technological trends only after they have made themselves sufficiently felt on the body politic to compel a response. It also can be traced to statistical systems that lag behind actual de-velopments in their reporting. And, it also represents our failure to complement statistical reporting with interpretive and planning analyses that highlight for decision makers the possible consequences of developing trends. In failing to provide such interpretive foresight, we "blind-side" our public officials. This inadequacy in our policymaking can be clearly seen during the past two decades in our belated response to national changes in birth rates and changes, alterations in the rate of population in-crease and in the net direction of migrations rank among the most po-tent influences upon patterns of settlement and the distribution of conomic activity. And these, in trun, directly affect the level and character of public services required, the availability of local and state commises. In the mid 1960s—avan though birth rates had hean falling off for

ecc

economies. In the mid-1960s—even though birth rates had been falling off for five years after the "War Baby" boom reached an end and the dis-placement of large numbers of persons from rural to urban areas as a result of mechanization in agriculture and mining had long passed its peak—much attention in the Midwest and the nation was focused up-on the problems of the smaller communities and rural regions that had been de-populated by the displacements of the past. Under the slogan of a "balanced" policy for growth between rural and urban
America, Congress enacted a significant number of economic and America, Congress enacted a significant number of economic and community development statutes focused on lagging, non-metropolitan regions [16]. A prestigious Presidential Commission, chaired by John D. Rockefeller III, expressed deep concern for the future of metropolitan areas in which migrants would continue to pile up, imposing a heavy social burden upon the cities [13].

pile up, imposing a heavy social burden upon the cities [13]. Yet in the appendix to that same report was a perceptive analysis by William Alonso pointing out that, in the face of a declin-ing rate of natural population increase, already-existing rates of outmigration from the older central cities and metropolitan areas would produce absolute declines for many metropolitan areas in the coming decade. Migration would become the primary determinant of relative population growth rates among localities. Rather than fac-ing the problems of population influx, he wrote, many metropolitan areas would face the unaccustomed problem of population decline [1].

Only a little over a decade later, the realities so evident to Alonso Only a little over a decade later, the realities so evident to Alonso in the 1960s have become the grist for public policy discussion in the 1970s. In contrast with the last decade, present debates are preoc-cupied with the implications of population decline in the older in-dustrial areas, with the impact of population increases upon non-metropolitan regions and small communities, and with the continu-ing dispersal of population and economic activity within and between regions. The contrast between our perceptions in the 1960s and the 1970s is summarized in Table 4.1

between regions. The contrast between our perceptions in the 1900s and the 1970s is summarized in Table 4.1. Of course, we are just as vulnerable to making poor decisions based on present perceptions now as we were a decade ago when we prescribed on the basis of that decade's perceptions. Intelligent public policy must try, within the limits of our ability, to anticipate the possible consequences of population and technological change for anough in advance to advantate area with the implications. far enough in advance to adequately cope with the implications. Otherwise we are condemned to reactive policies adopted after a problem has passed us by.

problem has passed us by. It must be admitted that projecting population change is a risky business. Demographers have posted a dismal record in the past. In fact, a number of our leading authorities in demography and re-gional economics would be sorely embarrased today if we were to resurrect their categorical assertions in the early 1960s to the effect that a swing away from metropolitan inmigration was impossible and that existing rural-to-urban trends were well nigh irreversible. But if we are extremely wary about forecasting future birth and fertility rates and concentrate our attention instead upon those who have already been born, our efforts at foresight might prove more ac-curate and more useful. In doing so, we should divide our look ahead to the year 2000 into

curate and more useful. In doing so, we should divide our look ahead to the year 2000 into two parts: the 1980s and the 1990s. During the 1980s, the last of the "War Baby" generation will pass into the adult age cohorts. By the end of the decade our efforts to accommodate rapid labor force growth and a high rate of household formation will have to shift to

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

an opposite set of concerns. There will be the rate of growth in the work force and a mand for housing.

The challenge in the Midwest is to con plications of these changes in the nationa justing to the changes in population dist

Table 4.1. Shifts in perception of U.S. developm As they were perceived in 1967 As they an

1 The de A. Substantial population A. Drama fertility rease must be acco Education and other systems m be expanded to accommodate p war generations B. Postw C. Metro areas swamped by influx of rural migrants. C. Netru D. Population growth of largest metros irreversible.

D. Large E. Nonmetro areas emptying out. E. Many modal

F. Net migrations out of South to North and West. F. Netmi Midw

2 Ane active fiscal, mor A. U. S. g

ignificant number of economic and tutes focused on lagging, non-estigious Presidential Commission,

esugious Presidential Commission, III, expressed deep concern for the which migrants would continue to burden upon the cities [13] hat same report was a perceptive ting out that, in the face of a declin-increase, already-existing rates of entral cities and metropolitan areas s for many metropolitan areas in the s for many interoportan areas in the d become the primary determinant of es among localities. Rather than fac-influx, he wrote, many metropolitan comed problem of population decline

omed problem of population decline there, the realities so evident to Alonso trist for public policy discussion in the st decade, present debates are prece-to oppulation increases upon non-loommunities, and with the continu-and economic activity within and between our perceptions in the 1960s a Table 4.1. vulnerable to making poor decisions owa sew evere a decade ago when we nat decade's perceptions. Intelligent the limits of our ability, to anticipate equately cope with the implications to reactive policies adopted after a the control of the start of the

rojecting population change is a risky posted a dismal record in the past. In g authorities in demography and re-rely embarrassed today if we were to eitons in the early 1960s to the effect eropolitan inmigration was impossible an trends were well nigh reversible an trends were well nigh reversible our attention instead upon these who forts at foresight might prove more ac-forts at foresight might prove more ac-

de our look ahead to the year 2000 into 990s. During the 1980s, the last of the ass into the adult age cohorts. By the iss to accommodate rapid labor force issehold formation will have to shift to

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

an opposite set of concerns. There will be an appreciable decline in the rate of growth in the work force and a significant drop in the demand for housing.

The challenge in the Midwest is to contend with the regional im-plications of these changes in the national population while also ad-justing to the changes in population distribution wrought by con-

Table 4.1. Shifts in perception of U.S. development problems 1967-1979

As they were perceived in 1967 As they are perceived in 1979 1. The demographic shift

- A. Dramatic decline in birth and fertility rates. A. Substantial population increase must be accommodated. B. Post war generation expands labor force through 1985, also increases growth in household formation.
- Education and other systems must be expanded to accommodate post war generations
- C. Metro areas swamped by influx of rural migrants.
- D. Population growth of largest metros irreversible.
- E. Nonmetro areas emptying out.
- F. Net migrations out of South to North and West.

- Full employment to be attained through active fiscal, monetary policy.
- B. Industrial development basis for area development.
- C. Need to attract manufacturing into lagging regions.

- Production can be improved through technology in production, better training.
 Productivity declining because of increasing concentration of service employment, sluggish modernization of production.

- C. Net rural migrations have ended D. Large industrial metros losing population. E. Many nonmetro areas must accom-modate population growth.
- F. Net migrations out of North, Midwest to South, Southwest, Western growth rates slowing.

2. An economic shift

- A. U. S. growth will be constrained
 - B. Production employment no longer prime source of employment. Emphasis should be on advanced manufacturing, tertiary, quaternary sectors
 - C. Manufacturing growing rapidly in South, declining in industrial Northeast, Midwest. Rural manu-facturing growing, metropolitan industrial centers declining.
- D. Production and service employment metropolitan-centered. D. Production and some service emp ment decentralizing and diffusing less metropolitan-centered.

.

3. A resource shift A. Expensive energy/reso

A. Cheap energy/res B. Assured supply of energy/resources

D. Resource-based regional economies most vulnerable to economic distress

E. Decrease use of coal for environ-mental reasons.

C. Advanced services will remain major function of primate cities.

D. Federal expenditure policy should aid South, West reach parity.

F.

C. Economic growth based upon intensive energy consumption

- B. Interruptible supply
- C. Curtail, control consumption
- D. Resource-based regional economies have major comparative advantages over energy-importing (and non-agricultural) regions.

E. Increase use of coal to lower import dependency.

4. A regional shift South, West, and "rim" lagging regions should be brought to regional parity.

- A. South, West approaching parity; Northeast and Midwest now lagging.
- B. Production employment should be more evenly distributed. B. Production employment losses hurting old industrial heartland.
 - C. Advanced services decentralizing out of primate cities to new regional capitals.
 - D. Northeast, Midwest Federal "Balance of Payments" problem aggravates ch loss of private investment. Federal expenditure policy should be changed.
 - E. Public works no longer key need in lagging regions.
- E. Public works (water, sewer, transport, etc.) can aid lagging regions acquire comparative advantages for development. Tax incentives, subsidies can help attract production employment into lagging regions. F. Incentives and subsidies of marginal (or dubious) relevance to structural or territorial problems.

temporary technology. It is the latter that poses as great a challenge to public policy in the Industrial Midwest as does the changing structure of the over-all population.

Changing Population Patterns in the Midwest

Changing Population Patterns in the Midwest To assess the challenges to public policy in the Midwest engen-dered by population change, we should assess the implications of three basic population shifts: 1) the implications of substantially lowered birth rates; 2) the effects of interregional migration changes; and 3) the effects of interregional changes. For our purposes, the Midwest can be defined as the North Cen-tral Census Region divided into the East North Central states of

Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, and V Central states of Minnesota, Iowa, Nel North and South Dakota (Figure 4.1).

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

North and South Dakova (Figure 4.1.) In the 1950s, the North Central reased its share of the national population of the high midwestern population go the result of substantial immigration. In the last North Central states—the I grations came from the South and the overall regional population growth was fertility rates.

panons came room the South and u overall regional population growth wa fertility rates. Yet during that same period, the r Central states were in the final stages during which displacements of popula-tion on the farm were still occurring ombined with low birth and fertility period, the West North Central states only half that of the East North Ce-States as a whole. Today, because of the dramatic opulation increase, the West North C enters of the nation, as lackening rat rate of population growth has failen fi 1950s, to a 6 percent per year during sentially completed in the 1960s, and uppulation trends relative a - * sentrary completed in the 1000s, and of entered a new stage in its developmen population trends relative to the East N





ource shift

- A. Expensive energy/resource. B. Interruptible supply.
- C. Curtail, control consumption
- D. Resource-based regional economic have major comparative advantage over energy-importing (and non-agricultural) regions.
- E. Increase use of coal to lower import dependency.

egional shift

- A. South. West approaching parity. Northeast and Midwest now lagging
- B. Production employment losses hurting old industrial heartland.
- C. Advanced services decentralizing out of primate cities to new regional capitals.
- D. Northeast, Midwest Federal "Balance of Payments" problem aggravates
- ch loss of private investment. Federal expenditure policy should be changed
- E. Public works no longer key need in lagging regions.

E. Incentives and subsidies of marginal (or dubious) relevance to structural or territorial problems. nt

ne latter that poses as great a challenge Istrial Midwest as does the changing lation.

on Patterns in the Midwest on Patterns in the Midwest to public policy in the Midwest engen-we should assess the implications of the implications of substantially are effects of intraregional migration interregional changes dwest can be defined as the North Cen-into the East North Central states of

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, and Wisconsin and the West North Central states of Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, and North and South Dakota (Figure 4.1).

Central states of Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, and North and South Dakota (Figure 4.1). In the 1950s, the North Central Census Region slightly in-creased its share of the national population. Since that post-war decade, its share of the national population has teadily declined. The high midwestern population growth rates in the 1950s were the result of substantial inmigration into the industrial centers of the East North Central states—the Industrial Midwest. These mi-grations came from the South and the Northeast. Their effect on overall regional population growth was reinforced by high birth and fertility rates. Yet during that same period, the more agricultural West North Central states were in the final stages of the agricultural transition during which displacements of population as a result of mechaniza-tion on the farm were still occurring. High rates of outmigration combined with low birth and fertility rates meant that, during this period, the West North Central states grew in population at a rate only half that of the East North Central states and the United States as a whole. States as a whole.

States as a whole. Today, because of the dramatic drop in the rate of natural population increase, the West North Central states still share, with the rest of the nation, a slackening rate of population growth. Their rate of population growth has fallen from .9 percent per year in the 1950s, to a .6 percent per year during the 1960s, to .4 percent per year in the present decade. But the agricultural transition was es-sentially completed in the 1960s, and the West North Central region entered a new stage in its development that is now reflected in its population trends relative to the East North Central region.

CALLE WES	MONT N. DAR MINN West North Wis TW Wro S. DAR Central Cent	New England NORTHEAST
P	Mauntain ARIZ N. MEX OKLA ARC	MICH Atlantic SONN TRAL Atlantic N.J. N.J. Dock N.J. South Atlantic Hall
о Салаяка с. о с нама	West South Central TEXAS	H S.C.
нака		0

6

Fig. 4.1. Census divisions and regions

During the 1960s, the West North Central states lost a net of nearly 600,000 persons through out-migration. In the 1970s, the rate of outmigration has declined to one-third of the previous rate. In contrast to the 1950s, the West North Central's population growth rate has surpassed that of the more industrialized East North Cen-The initial states and despite the continued increase in agricultural pro-ductivity and the concomitant continued decline in the number of persons required to operate America's farms, the labor force in the West North Central states increased from approximately 5.5 million

West North Central states increased from approximately 5.5 million in the 1950s to 7.5 million in 1975. If the 1930s, 1940s, and 1950s were the decades of economic ad-justment for the West North Central states, the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s—and most probably the 1990s—must be regarded as the decades of transition for the Industrial Midwest. Though the popula-tion and employment losses of the Industrial Midwest during the population growth rate of the East North Central region has declined from a rate identical to that of the nation as a whole in the 1950s to almost no population growth in the 1970s. As in the rest of population growth rate of the East North Central region has declined from a rate identical to that of the nation as a whole in the 1950s to almost no population growth in the 1970s. As in the rest of the United States, birth rates have declined dramatically in the In-dustrial Midwest since 1960—from 23.7 to 15.0 births per 1.000 in 1974. This slowdown in natural population growth rates has been reinforced in the East North Central states by a substantial reduc-tion in the number of inmigrants. In contrast to patterns of inmigra-tion in the 1960s. In the 1970s, outnigration has increased in excess of five times the 1960-1970 rate. With the exception of Wisconsin, the other states around the Great Lakes have all experienced net outmigration. This region's 58 metropolitan areas alone have lost 925,000 residents through net outmigration. Only 14 metropolitan areas in the Great Lakes region experienced net inmigration over the 1970-1975 period. Fifteen of the region's Standard Metropolitan statistical Areas (SMSAs) have had absolute population losses between 1970 and 1975. For the 1974-1975 period, the number of SMSAs with absolute population losses jumped to 26. Migration from the Great Lakes region has correlated very close-ly with the national business cycle. Major upward surges in outmi-gration occurred in 1975-58, 1961, 1970-71, and 1974-75—years of major economic recession in the United States (Figure 4.2). Major abatements of outmigration, and even some periods of inmigration, occurred in the recovery and high employment years of 1959, 1965-69, and 1974-73. Thus, while in the aggregate an increase in the outmigration has been the trend, the pattern of movement follows a decidedly cyclical pattern. Unlike what appears to be tak-ing place in the Northeest, there a significant jump in outmigration has occurred, there is no clear evidence yet of a secular "leap" or ac-celeration in migration from the region. Rather it is a cession of inmigration that accounts for the increase in the net outmigration. Throu

Through 1971, the stream of non-white inmigrants moving from the South into the East North Central region was persistent and



Fig. 4.2. Total net migrants, North Cent

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census [6]

steady. However, since 1971 this pattern

sady. However, since 1971, this passes since 1971, except 1973, there was not the North Central to the South D to the new as also substantial outting method was substantial outting merand yain sensitive to cyclical and the 1963 76 period, the Young T merand yain sensitive to cyclical and the 1970's (Frague 4.3), out of the 1970's (Frague 4.3), out of the 1970's (Frague 4.3), out was also contributed based on the 1970's (Frague 4.3), out of th

Changing Distributions of E Prior to World War II, the northern ation, composed of the Northeast and ontained almost three-fourths of all

North Central states lost a net of out-migration. In the 1970s, the rate o one-third of the previous rate. In t North Central's population growth more industrialized East North Cennote industrialized East North Cen-ntinued increase in agricultural pro-continued decline in the number of nerica's farms, the labor force in the

eased from approximately 5.5 million 975. 50s were the decades of economic ad-Central states, the 1960s, 1970s, and Central states, the 1960s, 1970s, and he 1990s—must be regarded as the dustrial Midwest Though the opedi-of the Muddle Atlantic states, the he East North Central region has to that of the nation as a whole in the growth in the 1970s. As in the rest of have declined dramationally in the form 23.7 to 15.0 births per 1.000 in al population growth rates has been

growth in the 1990 statistical provides the term have declined dramatically in the les-from 237 to 150 births per 1000 neral population growth rates has been central states by a substantial relevance of unigration has increased in many-akes states experienced net cumprise attack. With the exception of Wiscenia Great Lakes have all experiences are its motiopation areas alone have lase to utilization. Only 14 metropation ion experienced net imagination experienced net imagination used in experience net imagination used to the segurity Standard Matropation have had absolute population issue to losses impediate on 28. Lakes region has correlated very de Major upward surget in exam-1961, 1970-71, and 1974-55-years (dis-tude to 1996 and the production of measure and even some periods of imagination in high employment years of 1980-while in the aggregate an increase on the trend, the pattern of measure and the appropriate and suggestion the rend, the pattern of measure and the increase in the net cumpration the increase in the net cumpration the increase in the net cumpration of non-white inmagination many houses and the curved as an expension of an expension of a secular provides of the pattern of non-white inmagination many houses and the curved as an expension of an expension of the secular part of the pattern of non-white inmagination many houses and the curved as an expension of an expension of the secular and the secular pattern of the secular part of the secular and the interval secular part of the secular part of the secular trease in the net cumpration of non-white inmagination and the interval secular part of the secular part of the secular and the curved secular part of the secular part of the secular and the secular part of the secular part of the secular and the secular part of the secular and the secular part of the secular part of the secular part of the secular and the secular part of the secular pa





Fig. 4.2. Total net migrants, North Central Region, 1954-1976

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census [6]

Source: U.S. bureau of the Census (6) steady. However, since 1971 this pattern has changed. In every year since 1971, except 1975, there was net outnigration of non-whites from the North Central to the South During all these years, except 1974, there was also substantial outnigration of whites. In the 1963-76 period, the "young workers" group (ages 18-34), arge net inflow in the late 1960 to a substantial and volatile net buffy in the 1970s (Figure 4.3), contributing considerably to the shift in total migration; the "non workers" group (age under 18 or over 66) has also contributed heavily to this trend, with essentially neutral flows in the late 1960s being replaced by a steady outflow in the 1970s; "mature workers" (ages 36-65) have not contributed to steady moderate net outflow. They are related to stagnation or decline in employment growth in the traditional industries of the shifts from net inflow to net outflow. They are related to stagnation or decline in employment growth in the traditional industries of the hydroxidy in manufacturing, away from the old centers and re-gions of concentration. The effects of this shift can be seen both within the Midwest and between the Midwest and the rest of the within the Midwest and between the Midwest and the rest of the within the Midwest and between the Midwest and the rest of the within the Midwest and between the Midwest and the rest of the country.

Changing Distributions of Economic Activity

Prior to World War II, the northern Manufacturing Belt of the nation, composed of the Northeast and East North Central regions, contained almost three-fourths of all manufacturing jobs in the



Fig. 4.3. Net Migration from North Central Region to South, by age, 1963-1976

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census [6]

country. As recently as the 1950s, it contained nearly two-thirds country. As recently as the 1950s, it contained nearly two-thirds. But in the last several decades, manufacturing employment has dispersed throughout the United States in two ways: 1) out of the metropolitan core areas where it was located in the period before and just after the turn of the century; and 2) away from the regions of former concentration. In 1973, the South surpassed the Northeast in its manufacturing employment and the South can be expected to surpass the North Central Region in its total manufacturing employment by 1985.

employment by 1985. Even within the North Central Region, these patterns of dis-persal can be discerned clearly. As in the nation as a whole, new manufacturing growth is occurring at the periphery of the old manufacturing centers and regions. In consequence, while the older

manufacturing centers and regions. In consequence, while the older manufacturing centers of the East North Central are suffering from substantial losses in manufacturing employment, the formerly agricultural areas of the West North Central region to the west of Chicago are registering gains. From 1960 to 1975, manufacturing employment dropped .2 per-cent for the East North Central, but it increased 24.5 percent for the West North Central, buc wer the same period, the national increase in manufacturing employment was only 8.8 percent. Growth in the West North Central labor force. In 1960, 24.2 percent of the re-gion's nonagricultural labor force was engaged in manufacturing. Yet despite substantial expansion in manufacturing employment, that share dropped to 20.6 percent in 1975. Growth in nonmanufac-

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

CHAPTER 4

turing employment accounts for the d

Regional dispersal of manufactu matched by dispersal of manufacture matched by dispersal at the sub-regi-periphery of metropolitan regions into the interstate highways.

the interstate highways. Although more than 77 percent of ment in the Great Lakes states took p (SMSAs) between 1966 and 1973—a with over one million population-fiv over one million population lost many

the same period with only the Minne bus SMSAs showing gains (Table 4.2). While the large metropolitan area turing jobs, the smaller metropolitan Most important however, is the fact th ing job gains in the Great Lakes sta only 25,500 were in metropolitan manufacturing jobs were realized in tional pattern (Table 4.3).

manufacturing abis were realized in tonal pattern (Table 4.3). From 1969 to 1973, personal inco arabies increased 46 percent among opposed to any 25 percent among mo-tangent and the second second second manufacture of nondurable manufacture of nondurable and the second employment percentage in manufact and the second second second second employment percentage in manufact and second second second second second manufacturing of the 35 metropoli-tications for many of the urban are percent and the second second second manufacturing of the 35 metropol-tications for many of the urban are percent and the second second second manufacturing second second second versity torus. The capitals and unive the second second second second second metropolitan reas (Table 44 pound by the low or decliming rate manufacturing second second second second figure 44.) The growth rates in the original and an area of the second second second figure 44. The provide the second second second second second second second second figure 44. The provide the second second figure 44. The provide the second second figure 44. The provide the second second figure 44. The provide the second second second figure 44. The provide the second second second figure 44. The provide the second second second second second figure 44. The provide the second se

63 64 65 66 67 68 69 76 71 72* 73* 74 75 76

n North Central Region to South, by age,

Census [6]

1950s, it contained nearly two-thirds 1950s, it contained nearly two-thirds decades manufacturing employment has inited States in two ways 1) out of the rere it was located in the period before the century, and 2) away from the regions 1973, the South surpassed the Northeast upment and the South can be expected to al Region in its total manufacturing

Central Region, these patterns of dis Central Region, these patterns of dis-sarly. As in the nation as a whole, new occurring. In consequence, while the older regions fit consequence, while the older be East North Central are suffering from nufacturing employment, the formerly fest North Central region to the west of

ns nufacturing employment dropped 2 per-tural, but it increased 245 percent for the same period, the national increase in the was only 88 percent. Growth in the en matched by protter percent of the re-reforce. In 1960, 242 percent of force in manufacturing employment, pansion in manufacturing employment in 1975. Growth in nonmanufacturing percent in 1975. Growth in nonmanufacturing

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

turing employment accounts for the declining share of manufacturing

Regional dispersal of manufacturing employment growth is matched by dispersal at the sub-regional level—on or beyond the periphery of metropolitan regions into nonmetropolitan areas along

periphery of metropolitan regions into nonmetropolitan areas along the interstate highways. Although more than 77 percent of the increase in total employ-ment in the Great Lakes states took place in the metropolitan areas (SMSAs) between 1966 and 1973—and 61 percent in the SMSAs with over one million population—five of the metropolitan areas of over one million population of the metropolitan areas of the same period with only the Minneapolis, Cincinnati, and Colum-bus SMSAs showing gains (Table 4.2). While the large metropolitan areas were gaining 38,000 jobs.

While the large metropolitan areas were losing 12,500 manufac-turing jobs, the smaller metropolitan areas were gaining 38,000 jobs. Most important however, is the fact that, of the 165,200 manufactur-ing job gains in the Great Lakes states over the 1966-1973 period, only 25,500 were in metropolitan areas; thus, almost 140,000 manufacturing jobs were realized in nonmetropolitan areas, a na-tional pattern (Table 4.3).

<text><text><text><text><text>

	Total employment change 000's of jobs	Percent	Manufacturing employme 000's of jobs	nt change Percent	
SMSA's > 1,000,000 population					
Chicago	+239.3	+10.0	-21.9	- 2.3	
Detroit	+ 142.0	+ 11.0	-8.5	- 1.4	
MinnSt. Paul	+ 155.5	+27.7	+25.4	+ 13.6	
Cleveland	+ 51.0	+ 7.5	- 8.9	- 2.9	
Milwaukee	+ 55.5	+12.0	- 9.0	- 4.1	
Cincinnati	+ 73.0	+ 19.2	+ 6.4	+ 4.1	
Indianapolis	+ 53.2	+ 16.3	- 1.4	- 1.1	
Columbus	+ 82.3	+ 30.5	+ 5.4	+ 5.6	
	+851.9	+ 19.8	-12.5	- 0.5	
SMSA's between 500,000 - 1,000,000	population				
Dayton	+ 30.2	+ 12.5	- 0.2	- 0.2	
Toledo	+ 42.3	+22.0	+10.0	+11.7	
Akron	+ 22.4	+12.0	- 0.1	- 0.1	
Gary-Hammond-E. Chicago	+ 18.5	+10.0	+ 2.3	+ 22	
E. St. Louis	+ 2.3	+ 20	- 8.7	-16.4	
Grand Rapids	+ 32.4	+20.5	+ 72	+10.0	
Youngstown-Warren	+ 26.6	+17.5	+ 95	+11.9	
Flint	+ 57	+ 38	- 5.7	- 6.4	
	+ 180.4	+ 13.0	+14.3	+ 2.0	
SMSA's < 500,000 population					
Lansing-E. Lansing	+ 19.1	+20.3	+ 2.0	+ 4.6	
Canton	+ 12.5	+11.1	- 2.1	- 3.3	9
Fort Wayne	+ 27.1	+23.1	+ 7.5	+13.8	IA
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline	+ 10.3	+10.0	- 22	- 4.6	PI
Peoria	+ 22.9	+22.7	+ 4.3	+10.0	E
Madison	+ 20.4	+ 31.2	+ 16	+10.7	24
Evansville	+ 18.6	+ 22.6	+ 4.6	+12.6	
			and the second		
Table 4.2. (continued)	+ 9.0	+ 11.8	- 6.8	-15.2 - 5.7	R
South Bend	+ 10.1	+12.3 +13.2	+ 1.8	+ 3.5	PL
Rockford	+ 12.5	+ 21.0	+ 2.6	-24.2	CA
Duluth-Superior	+ 5.0	+ 8.3 + 14.5	- 0.6	- 1.7	TIO
Kalamazoo-Portage	+ 16.7	+ 27.7	+ 5.6	+ 17.0	NS
Hamilton-Middletown	+ 7.3	+ 13.7	+ 4.0	+ 13.0	OF
laginaw	+ 8.3	+ 15.3	+ 2.2	+ 8.3	POI
prinafield, OH	+ 7.5	+ 18.6	+ 1.6	+ .2	E .
ttle Creek	+ 4.1	+ 17.1	- 1.7	-14.5	AT
ringfield, IL	- 1.6	- 3.4	- 6.4	-22.8	ION
re Haute	+ 7.8	+20.6	+ 0.9	+ 28.4	â
ne	+ 11.9	+ 28.4	- 1.8	- 5.7	HA
benville-Weirton	+ 7.8	+ 28.3	+ 0.5	+ 10.1	NG
npaign-proana-namou	0.6	+428	+ 2.2	+ 42.2	542

Table 4.2. Great Lakes Region SMSAs: Total employment, manufacturing employment change, 1966-1973

	+ 851.9	+ 19.8	-12.5	- 0.5	
SMSA's between 500 000 - 1 000 000 penul	ation				
Davton	+ 30.2	+ 12.5	- 0.2	- 0.2	
Toledo	+ 42.3	+ 22.0	+ 10.0	+ 11.7	
Akron	+ 22.4	+ 12.0	- 0.1	- 0.1	
Gary-Hammond-E. Chicago	+ 18.5	+ 10.0	+ 2.3	-16.4	
Grand Rapids	+ 32.4	+ 20.5	+ 7.2	+ 10.0	
Youngstown-Warren	+ 26.6	+ 17.5	+ 9.5	+ 11.9	
Flint	+ 5.7	+ 3.8	- 5.7	- 6.4	
	+ 180.4	+ 13.0	+ 14.3	+ 2.0	
SMSA's < 500,000 population					
Lansing-E. Lansing	+ 19.1	+ 20.3	+ 2.0	+ 4.6	Q
Canton Fort Wayne	+ 12.5	+11.1 +23.1	+ 75	- 3.3	HA
Davenport-Rock Island-Moline	+ 10.3	+10.0	- 2.2	- 4.6	19
Peoria	+ 22.9	+22.7	+ 4.3	+ 10.0	12
Evansville	+ 18.6	+ 22.6	+ 4.6	+12.6	
			and the second	and the second second second	-
Table 4.2 (continued)				15.0	
Table 4.2. (continued)	+ 9.0	+11.8	- 6.8	-15.2	
Appleton-Osnkosh	+ 10.1	+12.3	- 2.0	- 5./	MI
South Bend	+ 12.0	+ 13.2	+ 1.8	+ 3.5	Ĕ
Rockford	12.5	+21.0	+ 2.6	+ 7.2	0
Lorain-Elyria	+ 12.0 E 0	+ 8.3	- 3.3	-24.2	A.
Duluth-Superior	+ 5.0	+14.5	- 0.6	- 1.7	DIU DI
Kalamazoo-Portage	+ 9.8	277	+ 5.6	+17.0	ž
Ann Arbor	+ 16.7	1127	+ 1.6	+ 5.8	00
Hamilton-Middletown	+ 7.3	+ 13.7	+ 4.0	+ 13.0	0F
Saginaw	+ 9.2	+ 14.0	1.0	+ 8.3	P
Lima	+ 8.3	+15.3	T C.C.	+ 80	Q
Springfield OH	+ 7.5	+ 18.6	+ 1.0	+ 2	DG
Battle Creek	+ 4.1	+ 8.4	+ .04	14.5	E
Sorioofield II	+ 8.1	+ 17.1	- 1./	-14.5	F
Muskagon-Musk Hats	- 1.6	- 3.4	- 6.4	-22.8	ō
Tesse Heute	+ 7.8	+ 20.6	+ 0.9	+ 0.0	Z
Terre haute	+ 11.9	+ 28.4	+ 6.4	+28.4	윤
Hacine	- 0.4	- 0.7	- 1.8	- 5.7	Ā
Steubenvine-Wenton	+ 78	+ 28.3	+ 0.5	+ 10.1	Z
Champaign-Orbana-Hantoui	85	+ 42.8	+ 2.2	+ 42.2	Ĕ
St. Cloud	+ 0.5	+ 57	- 1.8	- 8.7	
Jackson	+ 2.4	+ 41	- 1.8	- 6.1	
Anderson	+ 1.0	+ 16.7	+ 2	+ 1.0	
Mansfield	+ 7.0	+ 10.7	- 0.4	- 2.3	
Muncie	+ 4.1	+11.7	+ 10	+46.2	
Moorhead, MN	+ 8.9	+ 34.0	1.0	+10.1	
Decatur	+ 5.3	+ 13.4	+ 1.0	+ 19.2	
Eau Claire, WI	+ 7.6	+ 32.5	+ 1.7	15.0	
Kenosha, WI	+ 0.5	+ 1.8	- 2.9	7.3	
Bay City, MI	+ 3.3	+ 13.0	- 0.9	- 7.3	
Bloomington-Normal, IL	+ 7.7	+ 30.0	- 0.3	- 4.6	
Lafavette-W. Lafavette, IN	+ 6.4	+24.4	+ 2.1	+20.3	
Green Bay	+ 12.5	+ 32.4	+ 3.7	+25.7	
Kankakee II	+ 3.0	+ 12.2	- 0.9	- 7.1	
Bloomington IN	+ 3.6	+17.1	- 0.4	- 2.9	
Rochester MN	+ 62	+27.0	+ 0.6	+ 11.2	
La Crosso WI	+ 40	+ 17.6	- 0.5	- 5.3	
La U 10500, 111		10.0	1 22 7	+ 23	
	+ 308.8	+ 10.0	TEU.I	Act M	

SOURCE U.S. Department of Commerce [14, 15].

CHADTED 4		
	CHAPTER	1

Table 4.3. Great Lakes: Total employment, manufacturing employment change, 1966-1973

Area	Total employme 000's of jobs	ent change Percent	Total man 000's o	ufacturin f jobs	ng change Percent
llinois	+ 389.3	+11.6		5.3	- 0.4
ndiana	+ 241.7	+17.2	+	47.8	+ 6.9
Michigan	+ 311.9	+13.2	+	11.3	+ 1.0
Minnesota	+ 241.2	+27.9	+	42.7	+ 15.7
Dhio	+ 463.2	+15.9	+	51.9	+ 3.8
Wisconsin	+ 204.9	+18.5	+	16.9	+ 3.4
Great Lakes	+ 1852.2	+15.4	+	165.2	+ 3.1
United States	+10541.0	+20.8	+ 9	996.7	+ 5.3
Great Lakes: SMSAs					
SMSAs > 1 million	+ 851.9	+19.8		12.5	- 0.5
SMSAs 5 to 1 million	+ 180.4	+13.0	+	14.3	+ 2.0
SMSAs < .5 million	+ 368.8	+16.8	+	23.7	+ 2.3
	+ 1401.0		+	25.5	

Table 4.4. Great Lakes: Total employment, manufacturing employment change, 1973-1976

Area	Total employmer 000's of jobs	nt change Percent	Total manufacturing 000's of jobs	change Percent
Illinois	23.0	+ .5	- 148.8	-11.0
Indiana	- 18.1	9	- 76.4	-10.1
Michigan	- 18.0	5	- 120.5	-10.2
Minnesota	+ 76.7	+5.3	- 14.3	- 4.3
Ohio	- 13.1	3	- 132.8	- 9.3
Wisconsin	+ 63.9	+3.8	- 19.4	- 3.6
Great Lakes	+ 114.4	+ .7	- 512.2	- 9.2
United States	+2547.0	+ 3.3	-1112.0	- 5.5
Great Lakes: SMSAs				
SMSAs > 1 million	- 216.8	-2.6	- 297.7	-11.3
SMSAs .5 to 1 million	- 71.9	-4.2	- 93.5	-14.0
SMSAs < .5 million	- 3.8	-0.2	- 72.2	- 9.1
	- 292.5		- 463.4	

SOURCE U.S. Department of Commerce [10, 11]

In 1966 the Industrial Midwest had 25 percent of all U. S. jobs, with 28 percent of all manufacturing employment. By 1972 the re-gion's share of total employment had dropped to 22 percent, while its share of manufacturing remained at 28 percent. During this period, U. S. employment in manufacturing (excluding the Midwest) had declined from 33 to 28 percent of total employment. The In-dustrial Midwest remained tied to this slow growth sector, with manufacturing employment declining from 45 to 37 percent of re-gional employment.

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE



Fig. 4.4. Percentage change in manufa Lakes Region, 1966-1973

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce [1

During the period 1966-1973 the positive change in total employment of to 1976 the change was only +0.7 pero figure of +3.3 percent. Only Wisconsin relatively high percentage intereases in 1976; Ofno, Indiana and Michigan reg losses, All six states had net losses in period.

losses. All six states had net losses in period Still, the labor force is growing even stabilizes. A simulation carried out by porary Problems estimates the number needed in the Great Lates that have needed in the Great Lates states to n iections of labor force participation and e shortfail (2175 million just to reach the level. But because the teenage populat jected shortfail in 1990 is only 270,000 45).

45). Of course, workers will migrate in an large growth in the labor force is a natio iewer opportunities for people to move employment. The magnitude of the model an increasing userphysical problems in Yet slower regional growth in man Midwest is not being compensated for by

ployment, manufacturing employment

me	nt change T	otal manufacturi	ng change
_	Percent	000's of jobs	Percent
	+11.6	- 5.3	- 0.4
	+17.2	+ 47.8	+ 6.9
	+13.2	+ 11.3	+ 1.0
	+27.9	+ 42.7	+15.7
	+15.9	+ 51.9	+ 3.8
	+18.5	+ 16.9	+ 3.4
	+15.4	+ 165.2	+ 3.1
	+20.8	+996.7	+ 5.3
	+19.8	- 12.5	- 0.5
	+13.0	+ 14.3	+ 2.0
8	+16.8	+ 23.7	+ 2.3
)		+ 25.5	

mployment, manufacturing employment

ymer	Percent	otal manufacturi 000's of jobs	Percent
0 1 7 1 9 4 0	+ .5 9 5 +5.3 3 +3.8 + .7 +3.3	- 148.8 - 76.4 - 120.5 - 14.3 - 132.8 - 19.4 - 512.2 -1112.0	-11.0 -10.1 -10.2 - 4.3 - 9.3 - 3.6 - 9.2 - 5.5
8	-2.6 -4.2 -0.2	- 297.7 - 93.5 - 72.2	-11.3 -14.0 - 9.1

dwest had 25 percent of all U.S. jobs, acturing employment. By 1972 the re-instant had dropped to 22 percent, while remained at 28 percent. During this manufacturing (excluding the Movies) naturation (excluding the Movies) percent of total employment. The In-percent of total employment remains ted to this slow growth sector, with ted to this slow growth sector, with declining from 45 to 37 percent of re-

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE



Fig. 4.4. Percentage change in manufacturing employment, Great Lakes Region, 1966-1973 $\,$

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce [14, 15]

During the period 1966-1973 the Great Lakes states had a positive change in total employment of 15.4 percent, but from 1973 to 1976 the change was only +0.7 percent, far below the national figure of +3.3 percent. Only Wisconsin and Minnesota experienced relatively high percentage increases in employment from 1973 to 1976; Ohio, Indiana and Michigan registered actual employment losses. All six states had net losses in manufacturing during the veried

period. Sta states had net losses in manufacturing during the Still, the labor force is growing even as the regional population stabilizes. A simulation carried out by the Academy for Contemporary Problems estimates the number of jobs which might be needed in the Great Lakes states to maintain an unemployment rate of 5 percent in the future, given no migration by workers. Projections of labor force participation and employment growth show an unemployment rate of 14.5 percent by 1985. This leaves a job shortfall of 2.175 million just to reach the 5 percent unemployment level. But, because the teenage population will be smaller, the projected shortfall in 1990 is only 270,000 more than in 1985 (Table 4.5).

4.5). Of course, workers will migrate in and out of the region. But the large growth in the labor force is a national trend, and there will be fewer opportunities for people to move to other regions and find employment. The magnitude of the job shortfall is an indication of an increasing unemployment problem in the region. Yet slower regional growth in manufacturing in the Industrial Midwest is not being compensated for by growth in other businesses.

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

most desirable employment alternativ

most desirable employment addition ing at a slow rate nationally as well as quences of these shifts are already obv The 58 metropolitan areas of the than a million in population during than a minion in population caring ion. Only 14 metropolitan areas in th perienced inmigration during the first quence, entire metropolitan areas, not Fifteen of the Great Lakes metropolit too losses between 1970 and 1975. East North Central metropolitan immed ta almest 40.

jumped to almost 30. The older, skilled, blue-collar wor

The over, shifted under-collar over actions in manufacturing employme articlos in manufacturing employme articlos in manufacturing employme articlos in manufacturing employme articlos in manufacturing employme and the second second second second astrait enters from other rural reg most standed. The relative concentra is at a second second second second astrait enters from other rural reg most standed. The relative concentra is at a second second second second second second second second second astrait enters from other rural reg most standed the relative concentra is at a second seco

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.5. Projected unemployment versus 5 percent unemployment in the Great Lakes (without migration)

	(1) ^a	(2) ^b	(3)	(4) Jobs needed to
	Labor forceEr	nployment	Percentage unemployed	achieve 5 percent unemployment
	(000 s)	(000 5)		(000 5)
Great Lakes (NPA)	21,453.9	19,199.6	10.5%	1,181.6
Great Lakes (BLS)	21,383.3	19,199.6	10.2	1,114.5
1985				
Great Lakes (NPA)	22,969.2	19,645.3	14.5	2,175.4
Great Lakes (BLS)	-		-	-
1990				
Great Lakes (NPA)	23,728.4	20,101.3	15.3	2,440.7
Great Lakes (BLS)	23,295.9	20,101.3	13.7	2,029.5

b Projected by percent.

SOURCES: N on [5], and U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics [12]

Between 1966 and 1973, wholesale/retail trade employment and financial services employment increased by 27.3 percent and 33.1 percent, respectively, in the United States; these growth rates were only 22.8 percent and 27.8 percent respectively in the Great Lakes region. Total employment grew 15.4 percent within the region dur-ing this period, compared with a national (United States minus Great Lakes) rate of 22.4 percent. The nation is entering a "post-industrial age," with manufactur-

Great Lakes) rate of 22.4 percent. The nation is entering a "post-industrial age," with manufactur-ing playing a less important role in providing jobs. Most of the cities of the Industrial Midwest, originally developed around a com-pact manufacturing base, must now be adapted to meet the require-ments of a new economy more decentralized than in the past.

Urban Consequences of Economic and Population Shifts

Because most of the cities of the Industrial Midwest contain large concentrations of Americans for whom production jobs are the

ent versus 5 percent unemployment in the igration)

(2)°	(3) Percentage	(4) Jobs needed to achieve 5 percent
(000's)	unemployed	unemployment (000's)
9,199.6	10.5%	1,181.6
9,199.6	10.2	1,114.5
9,645.3	14.5	2,175.4
-	-	-
0,101.3	15.3	2,440.7
0,101.3	13.7	2.029.5

vholesale/retail trade employment and ent increased by 27.3 percent and 33.1 United States; these growth rates were percent respectively in the Great Lakes revent 5.4 percent within the region dur-with a national (United States minus "rest-industrial age," with manufactur-

reent. "post-industrial age," with manufactur-nt role in providing jobs. Most of the nest, originally developed around a com-ust now be adapted to meet the require-us the adapted to meet the require-re decentralized than in the past.

equences of Economic

ies of the Industrial Midwest contain ricans for whom production jobs are the

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

most desirable employment alternative and these jobs are expand-ing at a slow rate nationally as well as regionally, the human conse-quences of these shifts are already obvious.

quences of these shifts are already obvious. The 58 metropolitan areas of the Great Lakes states lost more than a million in population during the 1970s through outmigra-tion. Only 14 metropolitan areas in that section of the Midwest ex-perienced inmigration during the first half of the decade. In conse-quence, entire metropolitan areas, not just central cities, lost people. Fifteen of the Great Lakes metropolitan areas had absolute popula-tion losses between 1970 and 1975. More recently, the number of East North Central metropolitan areas which lost population jumped to almost 30. The older, skilled, blue-collar workers who are displaced by re-

East North Central metropolitan areas which lost population jumped to almost 30. The differ, skilled, blue-collar workers who are displaced by reductors in manufacturing employment are usually not very mobile, they own homes in communities where such homes will be harder to sell than before. They are unable or unwilling to make major or grational changes. Family and other ties make them poor prospects or migration even if jobs were available for them elsewhere. The unskilled poor who in prior decades moved to these induction even if jobs were available for them elsewhere. The unskilled poor who in prior decades moved to these induction even if jobs were available for them elsewhere. The unskilled poor who in prior decades moved to these induction even if jobs were available for them elsewhere. The unskilled poor who in prior decades moved to these induction the submitted states began experiments and inner suburbs is increasing the 1960s, four event of 10 SMSAs had net migration losses, but these were usually mouthen an offset by the natural population increase within SMSA boundaries. Urban areas a were still growing 8.5 times faster than norther han offset by 1974, 10 of the largest 25 SMSAs in the united States had experienced no growth. The outnigration form mori metropolitan areas has throughin the suburbs. The outnigration from mori metropolitan areas has elsesened and these areas are actually realizing put gains through inmigration. As a result, the nonmetropolitan population de 3 percent since 30, compared with a metropolitan population growth rate of 36.

1970, compared with a metropolitan population growth rate of 3.6 Mobility is highest among persons in their 20s. Among 25-29 year-olds, 72 percent lived in a different residence in 1975 than in 1970. Long distance moves (intercounty or interstate) were rel-atively more frequent among whites and among the better educated. Short distance movers showed the greatest shift away from the central cities in the 1970s. Persons moving away from the central cities tended to be slightly older (median age = 27.6 years) than those moving in (median age = 25.1 years). Nationally, blacks made up 12.3 percent of inmigrants to central cities from 1970 to

1975, but only constituted 7.5 percent of the outmigrants. During this period the mean family income of blacks migrating from rural areas to central cities was about half that of blacks already living in generally higher than those of inmigrants; from 1970 to 1974 the nation's central cities. In addition, the income levels of outmigrants were generally higher than those of inmigrants; from 1970 to 1974 the nation's central cities recorded a net loss of \$29.6 billion in the aggregate personal incomes of their residents.

gregate personal incomes of their residents. These same shifts also threaten the fiscal health of many municipalities that have historically relied upon manufacturing as a mainstay in their economic base. They are reinforced by the sub-urbanization (and ex-urbanization) of middle and upper income groups and the decentralization of retailing and other white collar jobs out of the central cities—a national trend well over five decades old that is reflected in all urban areas in the country above a certain size, whether specialized in manufacturing or not. Most of the re-tion's older cities loss their ability decades are to "cantum".

size, whether specialized in manufacturing or not. Most of the re-gion's older cities lost their ability decades ago to "capture" the benefits of such growth through annexation because they have long since been surrounded by separately incorporated municipalities. Thus, the majority of the old manufacturing-based cities in the Industrial Midwest are facing serious problems. They are burdened with obsolescence and blight. They have inherited a large popula-tion of poor from the South-to-North migrants of previous decades, many of whom are now trapped economically and socially by the steady exodus of employment from the central cities. These same cities, are, in turn, required to provide public services at increasing cost at the same time that their local tax base is beginning to de-teriorate. As manufacturing firms continue to locate in nonurban areas and continue to substitute capital for labor as it modernizes, many of the manufacturing-based urban areas an expect increasing many of the manufacturing-based urban areas can expect increasing difficulties

The challenge in the immediate term is to enable these older cities to meet the needs of their citizens and re-develop, even in the face of deteriorating tax bases and escalating costs of service pro-

The long-term challenge is to bring about a restructuring of the

The long-term challenge is to bring about a restructuring of the urban economy so that it can support a population with rising in-comes and an improving quality of life. To bring about such a transition effectively and with as little human travail as possible is a major challenge to the creativity of the public and private leadership in the Industrial Midwest. It re-quires that the prospective employment base that can underpin each of these urban economies in the future be defined. Although these new urban economies not fail future be defined. Although these new urban economies of amplement it scenes quite likely that new urban economies will necessarily rely much less neavily upon manufacturing as a source of employment, it seems quite likely that they will be insufficient to: 1) Support the magnitude of population that some of these metropolitan areas know now or knew in the past; and 2) Absorb the many young, poorly trained unemployed currently residing in the central cities.

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

An urban strategy for the Industrial sist of a series of carefully coordinated e ing cities are to be brought successfully t transition. The strategy must include: 1) Physical and economic development

create a physical environment conduciv ment. Incentives; space; services; and th environment necessary to attract and nonmanufacturing, and specialized manufacturing re-uses appropriate to have to be provided.

2) A labor market component desi retrain the employed or under-employed metropolitan areas (together with trans to provide affirmative assistance also t

to provine animative assistance also to jobs located elsewhere outside the local 1 3) Social and neighborhood compone social disincentives and impediments to declining schools, high crime rates, an while improving neighborhood local declining schools, high crime rates, an while improving neighborhood housing ing workers to live anywhere of the metropolitan area; and diversifying the ing in the city itself. The central area with subarbs by offering a unique resid can long survive solely as a reservatio residential base is essential to both the base and the redevelopment of the as base and the redevelopment of the en

A fiscal component designed to as

4) A fixeal component designed to as to meet the needs of their inhabitants teroration while they shift toward a While integrovermmental fixeal transfer ermnens offer the only immediate devi-long-term solutions will depend upon a 5) Governmental restructuring. T inacting of local governments in these front the urgent necessity for local gov for in these areas and economic realitie form in these areas will longstanding political opposition becar ome to recognize their common integro revitalization.

Households, Population Chan Since 1967, about the time the f generation began entering the prime h

percent of the outmigrants. During acome of blacks migrating from rura at half that of blacks already living in the income levels of outmigrants were of inmigrants; from 1970 to 1974 the d a net loss of \$29.6 billion in the ag-eir residents.

hreaten the fiscal health of many rically relied upon manufacturing as a ase. They are reinforced by the subase. They are reinforced by the sub-tation) of middle and upper income on of retailing and other white collar a national trend well over five decades an areas in the country above a certain naturfacturing or not. Most of the re-ability decades ago to "capture" the ph annexation because they have long rately incorporated municipalities. Joid manufacturing-based cities in the serious problems. They are burdlend They have inherited a large popula-North migrants of previous decades.

They have inherited a large popula-s-North migrants of previous deades, ped economically and socially by the from the central cities. These same provide public services at increasing teir local tax base is beginning to de-firms continue to locate in nonurhan ute capital for labor as it modernizes, used urban areas can expect increasing

ediate term is to enable these older ir citizens and re-develop, even in the es and escalating costs of service pro-

It to bring about a restructuring of the support a population with rising in-ty of life. ansition effectively and with as little and/or challenge to the creativity of ship in the Industrial Midwast. It re-ship in the Industrial Midwast, It ployment has that can undergine and how the future be defined. Although these cessarily rely much less heaving upon employment, it seems quite likely that

le of population that some of these or knew in the past; and g, poorly trained unemployed currently

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

An urban strategy for the Industrial Midwest will have to consist of a series of carefully coordinated elements if the manufacturing cities are to be brought successfully through a difficult economic

Ing cities are to be brought successfully through a difficult economic transition. The strategy must include: 1) Physical and economic development components designed to create a physical environment conducive to new economic develop-ment. Incentives, space; services; and the quality of the operating environment necessary to attract and retain potential residential, nomanufacturing, and specialized high-employment growth manufacturing resuses appropriate to central to be appressible. manufacturing re-uses appropriate to central city locations will

manufacturing re-uses appropriate to central city locations will have to be provided. 2) A labor market component designed not only to train or retrain the employed or under-employed for available jobs in the metropolitan areas (together with transportation to those jobs), but to provide affirmative assistance also to those choosing to move to jobs located elsewhere outside the local labor market.

jobs located elsewhere outside the local labor market. 3) Social and neighborhood components aimed at correcting the social disincentives and impediments to economic revitalization, i.e., declining schools, high crime rates, and chronic welfare problems while improving neighborhood housing and living conditions; enabl-ing workers to live anywhere of their own choosing in the metropolitan area; and diversifying the mix of income groups resid-ing in the city itself. The central areas must become competitive with suburbs by offering a unique residential environment. No city can long survive solely as a reservation for the poor. A diversified residential base is essential to both the restoration of a stable tax base and the redevelopment of the economic base in the central base and the redevelopment of the economic base in the central are

4) A fiscal component designed to assist these cities in transition

4) A fiscal component designed to assist these cities in transition to meet the needs of their inhabitants in the face of tax base de-terioration while they shift toward a more viable economic base. While intergovernmental fiscal transfers from federal and state gov-ernments offer the only immediate device for accomplishing this aid, long-term solutions will depend upon a fifth element. 5) Governmental re-structuring. The structure, functions, and financing of local governments in these older urban areas no longer match the social and economic realities that exist. States will con-front the urgent necessity for local government streamlining and re-form in these areas in the 1980s. It is likely that the growing economic problems of these areas will compel such reforms despite longstanding political opposition because the suburban areas must come to recognize their common interest with the city in economic revitalization. revitalization.

Households, Population Change, and Public Policy

Since 1967, about the time the first cohorts of the post-war generation began entering the prime household formation age group

of 18 to 28, the number of U. S. households has been increasing at about 1.5 million a year. This is an increase of 0.5 million a year over the early and mid-1960s. The sharp increase in the number of persons in their 20's and early 30's will keep net household forma-tion around 1.5 million until the mid-1980s. In the 1990s, household formation will probably decline to less the new illion to be a start of the decut of the inclusion of the start of the sta

In the 1990s, household formation will probably decline to less than one million a year because of the dramatic drop in births in the 1960s and the 1970s. If the fertility rate remains at its current level, the annual increase in households after the year 2000 should re-main at a rate of around one million. Because the demand for hous-ing varies with age and income, the implications for the housing market require more complex assessment than is yielded by straight-forward extrapolations. In the United States as a whole four out of more raise additional

In the United States as a whole, four out of every nine additional households since 1970 have been headed by someone under 30. Re-cent social and economic trends have resulted in significant inhouseholds since 1970 have been headed by someone under 30. Re-cent social and economic trends have resulted in significant in-creases in the number of single-person households. Divorce is much more common than in the past and men and women are not marry-ing at as early an age as formerly. In 1965, 60 percent of men and 40 percent of women aged 20 to 24 were as yet unmarried, compared with 53 percent and 28 percent respectively in 1960. Between 1970 and 1975, the number of households headed by primary individuals in the under-35 age group increased 103 percent. Thus, even a stable population would have had an 8 percent increase in households from 1970 to 1976 because more adults are remaining single. The result has been increased demand for low- and moderately-prieed apart-ments and mobile homes and changes in housing preferences over those of the 1950s and early 1960s. By 1981, however, as the War Baby generation ages, four of nine new households will be headed by persons 35 to 44 years old. The de-mand for single-family housing can be expected to increase, though not at rates comparable in relative terms to those in the past. The number of persons under 30 will begin to decrease and an increase in housing vacancies can be predicted beginning in the late 1980s. As the population approaches middle age and its associated im-proved financial position, households can be expected to upgrade their homes and perhaps purchase second homes. Of course, lower fertility rates, increasing numbers of single-parent families, and ris-ing transportation and energy costs will shift the patterns of hous-ing demand. A large house in the suburbs may not be as desirable or as practical as in the past, and older housing close to the central usiness district can be expected to become more attractive to more

ing demand. A large house in the suburbs may not be as desirable or as practical as in the past, and older housing close to the central business district can be expected to become more attractive to more middle and upper income households. Suburban housing built in the 1950s may become financially attractive to central city minorities who cannot presently afford it, thereby accelerating the rate of minority suburbanization. The over-65 age group will experience a slow but steady relative increase over the next two decades. The elderly tend to move to smaller homes, low- and moderately-priced apartments, and mobile

As immigration of the poor to the outer sure on the market for older housing hi and increasing amounts of it stands vaca drifting into public ownership through an This lossening of demand here shown drifting into public ownership through tax This leasening of demand by the poor titles has been accompanied by a small bouseholds. In part, this results from incr bousing, making older housing a bargain

As inmigration of the poor to the older

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

homes. As the proportion of elderly in the housing needs will have a greater impact

Illinois and Unio traited particularly for to In growth areas, there will be increas all types. This will require careful planni ficials to avoid future problems. Unfort ernmental units may not be equipped to load unident and the second sec

local residents may resent changes. The i local community has over its own growth Jocar community has over its own growth more frequency as migration toward no tinues. The central cities and the older si will have to deal increasingly with the ho and elderly, groups left behind in the na tralization.

The annual number of housing starts fluctuated dramatically since the early 1 and 2.3 million new units annually during

and 23 multion new units allocation rates The high household formation rates next 10 years present an opportunity for older cities that should not be missed, period is over, the rate of household form it one more force that can be capitalized tion.

tion. Housing starts in the North Centre higher in 1976 than in 1966 despite i growth. Nonmetropolitan areas have decrease in vacancy rates since 1965, wh constant shifts to those areas. Methods and the single start area of the feasion half to those areas. The smallest improvement since 1965. If a low homeowner unit vacancy rate, a show homeowner unit vacancy rate, a the decline of immigration of highest vacancy the decline of immigration of the start at a similgration of the start.

In the Great Lakes states, the percent was lower than the national average duri 1970-1974 periods. Between 1970 and Wisconsin had percentage increases equa Illinois and Ohio trailed particularly far b

households has been increasing at is an increase of 0.5 million a year fhe sharp increase in the number of 30's will keep net household forma e mid-1980s.

mation will probably decline to less e of the dramatic drop in births in the ility rate remains at its current level, nolds after the year 2000 should renillion. Because the demand for hous-ne, the implications for the housing ex assessment than is yielded by

A associate that the provided of the provided of the second of the provided of the provided of the provided of the present households. Divorce is much the and men and women are not marry rely. In 1965, 60 precent of men and 40 very as yet unmarried, compared of the provided of the prov

tand men and women are not marry ryl. In 1965, 60 percent of men and 40 24 were as yet unmarried, compared in respectively in 1960. Between 1970 aeseld 103 percent. Trus, even a stable 8 percent merces in households from this are remaining single. The result or low- and moderately-priced apart changes in housing preferences over 9608. War Baby generation ages, four of nine thy persons 35 to 44 years old. The de-gen be expected to increase, hund-ng can be expected to increase, hund-lative terms to those in the past. The will begin to decrease and an increase will begin to decrease and an increase will begin to decrease and an increase schedia can be expected to upgrade uschedia can be expected to upgrade uschedia sche and its associated in-bars of single-parent families, and ri-the suburts may not be as desider of the suburts may not be as desider and older housing doub to the earleding of a th, thereby accelerating the rate of a th, thereby accelerating the rate of a the subory accelerating the rate of a the sub-port of the subory that are of other out in the sub-solution the subory accelerating the rate of a th, thereby accelerating the rate of the suburs may not be as desider of the order and other housing doub to the central the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of the suburs may not be as desider of the order of

Il experience a slow but steady relative decades. The elderly tend to move to derately-priced apertments, and mobile

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

homes. As the proportion of elderly in the population increases, their housing needs will have a greater impact on the market. In the Great Lakes states, the percentage increase in households was lower than the national average during both the 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 periods. Between 1970 and 1974, only Minnesota and Wisconsin had percentage increases equal to the national average; Illinois and Ohio trailed particularly far behind (Table 4.6).

Infinois and Onio trailed particularly far behind (Table 4-b). In growth areas, there will be increased demand for housing of all types. This will require careful planning by local government of-ficials to avoid future problems. Unfortunately, many small gov-ernmental units may not be equipped to handle such planning, and local residents may resent changes. The issue of how much control a local community has over its own growth is likely to be raised with more frequency as migration toward nonmetropolitan areas con-tinues. The central cities and the older suburbs, on the other hand, will have to deal increasingly with the housing demands of the poor and elderly, groups left behind in the national trend toward decen-tralization.

tralization. The annual number of housing starts in the United States has fluctuated dramatically since the early 1960s, ranging between 1.5 and 2.3 million new units annually during the 1970s. The high household formation rates that will prevail over the next 10 years present an opportunity for urban reconstruction in older cities that should not be missed, for once this transitional period is over, the rate of household formation will decline and with the one more force that can be consilized upon for urban reconstruct. it one more force that can be capitalized upon for urban reconstruc

tion. Housing starts in the North Central states were 35 percent higher in 1976 than in 1966 despite the fall off in population growth. Nonmetropolitan areas have experienced the greatest decrease in vacancy rates since 1965, which is in keeping with the population shifts to those areas.

population shifts to those areas. Central cities had the highest vacancy rates in 1976 and showed the smallest improvement since 1965. In 1976, the North Central Region had the second highest vacancy rate for rental units but had a low homeownner unit vacancy rate, a showing that follows from the decline of inmigration to the region and the continued outmigra-tion of the young and the elderly, the people most likely to demand rental housing (Table 4.7).

As inmigration of the poor to the older cities has halted, the pressure on the market for older housing has slackened substantially and increasing amounts of it stands vacant or abandoned, much of it

and increasing amounts of it stands vicants or abandoned, much of it drifting into public ownership through tax delinquency. This lessening of demand by the poor for housing in the older cities has been accompanied by a small but growing renewed in-terest in central city housing by middle and upper income households. In part, this results from increasingly high costs for new housing, making older housing a bargain by comparison; a reflection

	Yester and the		and the second second						
Table 4.6. Perc	entage increase in nu	mber of households i	in the Great Lake States	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974					
Table 4.6. Perc	entage increase in nu	mber of households i Households (000's)	in the Great Lake States	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage change 1960, 20	Percentage change 1970.74				
Table 4.6. Perc	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852	mber of households i Households (000°s) 1970 3,289	in the Great Lake States)	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage change 1960-70 15.3	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085	mber of households (Households (000's) 1970 3.289 1.609 3.502	in the Great Lake States) 1974 3,515 1,747 3,705	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage change 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 5.8				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.065 2.239 1.146	mber of households i Households (000°s) 1970 3 289 1 609 3 502 2 653 1 329	in the Great Lake States) 1974 3 615 1,747 3,705 2,897 1 4,70	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 18.5 16.0	Percentage change 1970-74 69 85 58 92 106				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992	mber of households i Households (000's) 1970 3 289 1.609 3 502 2.653 1.329 1.154	in the Great Lake States) 1974 3,515 1,747 3,775 2,897 1,470 1,276	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 13.5 16.5 16.3	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 5.8 9.8 5.5 8 10.6 10.6				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S.	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 5.5021	mber of households i Households (000's) 1970 3 289 1.609 3.502 2.653 1.329 1.154 1.154 1.3536 63.450	in the Great Lake States 1974 3,515 1,747 3,775 2,897 1,470 1,276 1,4610 70,236	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 5.8 9.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.7 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S.	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 53.021	mber of households (Households (000's) 1970 3.289 1.609 3.502 2.653 1.329 1.154 13.536 63.450	in the Great Lake States 1974 3 515 1,747 3,705 2,897 1,470 1,276 14,610 70,236	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.9 15.9 15.5 18.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 9.2 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Misconsin Minesota Region U.S.	entage increase in nu 1960 2,852 1,388 3,085 2,239 1,146 992 11,702 53,021 Average a percentage: 1960- 1980- 1980- 1992- 1970-	mber of households (000°s) 1970 3.289 1.609 3.502 2.653 1.329 1.154 1.3536 63.450 mnual Ave change, Percer 70	in the Great Lake States 1974 3.515 1.747 3.705 2.897 1.470 1.276 14.610 70.236 trage annual ntage change, 1970-74	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage change 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 5.8 9.2 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinoisan Mincesota Region U.S. Ohio	entage increase in nu 1960 2,852 1,388 3,085 2,239 1,146 992 11,702 53,021 Average a percentage 1980-7 1980-7 1,4	mber of households (000°s) 1970 3,289 1,609 3,502 2,653 1,329 1,154 13,536 63,450 annual change, percent 70	in the Great Lake States 1974 3.515 1.747 3.705 2.897 1.470 1.276 14.610 70.236 trage annual ntage change, 1970-74 1.7	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 5.8 9.2 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 5.3.021 Average a percentage 1.966 1.92 1.46 1.3 1.46 1.4 1.5 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.46 1.5 1.3 1.3 1.46 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Households (000's) 1970 3,289 1,609 3,502 2,653 1,359 1,359 63,450 change, 70	in the Great Lake States 1974 3.515 1.747 3.705 2.897 1.470 1.276 14.610 70.236 rrage annual ntage change. 1970-74 1.7 2.1 1.4	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.9 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.7 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 53.021 Average a percentage 1960 1.4 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	Households (000°s) 1970 3 289 1 609 3 502 2 653 1 3536 63,450 annale, 70	in the Great Lake States 1974 3615 1747 2897 1470 1276 14610 70.236 trage annual ntage change, 1970-74 14 2.6	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 153 159 135 185 160 163 163 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6 9 8 5 9 2 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 7 9 10 7				
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 53.021 Average a percentage 1960-7 14 1.5 1.3 7.7 1.5 1.5	mber of households i Households (000's) 1970 3.209 3.609 3.502 2.653 1.552 3.329 1.154 1.3.536 63.450 annuel Ave change, percentioned and a second a second and a	in the Great Lake States 1974 3,515 1,747 3,705 2,807 1,276 1,470 1,276 14,610 70,236 rage annual ntage change, 1970-74 1,7 1,4 1,2 2,8 2,8 2,8 2,8 2,8 2,8 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 16.5 16.5 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 5.8 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7				
Table 4.6. Perco Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region Il S	entage increase in nu 1950 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 1.302 1.1702 53.021 Average a percentage 1950-7 1.4 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.6 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	mber of households in Households (000's) 1970 3 289 1,609 3,502 2,653 1,329 1,154 13,536 63,450 innual Ave change, percent	in the Great Lake States 1974 3,515 1,747 3,705 2,897 1,470 1,276 14,610 70,236 14610 70,236 1970-74 17 21 1,4 22 26 26 20 26 20 26	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 16.5 16.5 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 6.9 8.5 5.8 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7	9			
Table 4.6. Perc Ohio Indiana Michigan Michigan Michigan Michigan U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Scutter U.S. Bureau	entage increase in nu 1960 2.652 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 11.702 53.021 Average a percentage 1960- 14 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.8 of the Census[7]	mber of households (Households (000's) 1970 3,289 1,609 3,502 2,653 1,329 1,154 13,536 63,450 annual Ave change, percer	in the Great Lake States 1974 3,515 1,747 3,705 2,897 1,275 14,610 70,236 rrage annual ntage change, 1970-74 17 2,6 2,6 2,0 2,6	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 5.8 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7	CHAP			
Ohio Indiana Illinoisa Minchigan Wiscorsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Minnesota Minesota Nicingan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Bounce U.S. Bureau	entage increase in nu 1960 2,852 1,388 3,085 2,239 1,146 992 11,702 53,021 Average a percentage 1980-7 1950-7 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5	mber of households (000°s) 1970 3.29 1.609 3.502 2.653 1.329 1.154 13.536 63.450 mnual Ave change, percer	in the Great Lake States 1974 1974 3,515 1,747 3,705 2,897 1,470 1,276 14,610 70,236 rrage annual ntage change, 1970-74 1,7 2,6 2,6 2,0 2,6	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 5.8 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.7 9 10.7	CHAPTER			
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Source U.S. Bureau	entage increase in nu 1960 2.852 1.388 3.085 2.239 1.146 992 111.702 53.021 Average a percentage 1960-7 14 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.8 21 the Census[7]	mber of households (000's) 1970 1970 1,509 1,502 2,653 1,329 1,154 1,3536 63,450 mnual Ave change, percer	in the Great Lake States 1974 3515 1.747 3.705 2.897 1.470 1.276 14.610 70.236 rrage annual ntage change, 1970-74 1.7 2.1 1.4 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	, 1960-1970 and 1970-1974 Percentage 1960-70 15.3 15.9 13.5 18.5 16.0 16.3 15.7 19.7	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 7.9 10.7	CHAPTER 4			
Ohio Indiana Ilindiana Ilindiana Ilindiana Ilindiana Region U.S. Ohio Indiana Ilinois Minnesota Nichigan Wisconsin Minnesota Region U.S. Bounce U.S. Bureau	entage increase in nu 1960 2,852 1,388 3,085 2,239 1,146 992 11,702 53,021 Average a percentage 1980-7 1980-7 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5	mber of households (000°s) 1970 1970 3,502 2,653 1,329 1,154 13,536 63,450 mnual change, percent	in the Great Lake States 1974 1974 3.515 1.747 3.705 2.897 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 1.276 2.897 1.276 1.276 2.897 2.897 1.276 2.897 2.897 1.276 2.897 1.276 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.897 2.977 2.17 2.1 2.1 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	1960-1970 and 1970-1974	Percentage change 1970-74 8.5 9.2 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.7 9 10.7	CHAPTER 4			

	1960	1965	1966	1970	1975	1976
Rental						
United States	8.1	8.3	7.7	5.3	6.0	5.6
Within SMSA's	7.0	8.0	7.0	4.9	6.1	5.7
Central cities	NA	8.1	7.1	5.3	6.6	6.2
Outside of central cities	NA	7.8	6.8	4.3	5.4	5.1
Outside of SMSA's	10.3	8.8	9.2	6.4	5.7	5.1
lortheast	4.9	5.6	5.3	2.7	4.1	4.7
orth central	8.3	72	6.5	5.8	5.7	5.6
outh	9.5	9.0	8.5	7.2	77	6.4
ant	11.0	11.0	10.0	6.6	6.0	5.

Home



noion	11,702	13,536	14,610	15.7	10.7
I.S.	53,021	63,450	70,236	19.7	10.7
	Average annua percentage char 1960-70	al nge,	Average annual percentage change, 1970-74		
Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin Minnesola	1.4 1.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 1.5		1.7 2.1 1.4 2.2 2.6 2.6		
Region	1.6		2.0		
115	1.8		2.6		

Table 4.7. Average annual rental and homeowner vacancy rates.

	1000	1055	1066	1970	1975	1976
	1960	1902	19,00	10/0		1010
Rental				5.0	6.0	5.6
United States	8.1	8.3	1.1	5.3	0.0	5.0
Within SMSA's	7.0	8.0	7.0	4.9	0.1	D.7
Central cities	NA	8.1	7.1	5.3	6.6	6.2
Outside of central cities	NA	7.8	6.8	4.3	5.4	5.1
Outside of SMSA's	10.3	8.8	9.2	6.4	5.7	5.1
Northeast	4.9	5.6	5.3	2.7	4.1	4.7
North central	8.3	7.2	6.5	5.8	5.7	5.6
South	9.5	9.0	8.5	7.2	7.7	6.4
West	11.0	11.9	10.9	5.6	6.2	5.4
Homeowner						
United States	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.2
Within SMSA's	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.2
Central cities	NA	1.5	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.4
Outside of central cities	NA	1.5	1.4	0.9	1.3	1.1
Outside of SMSA's	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2
Northeast	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.0
North Central	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
South	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.6
14/mail	1.4	1.0	2.1	1.1	1.5	1.0

of the changing character of households; and in part, a function of

of the enarging character of households, and in part, a function of energy costs and the inconveniences of commuting. In 1974, 57 percent of total U. S. households were adults-only (singles and childless couples). Between 1970 and 1974, 71 percent of the increase in all types of families consisted of households of mar-ried couples and related adults with no children. For these households, central city housing may often seem more convenient than the suburbs—closer to jobs, entertainment, and cultural and memotional estimities. recreational activities

recreational activities. Although renovation of central city housing is increasing, the level of activity to date is relatively insignificant when compared with total new housing in metropolitan areas. Renovation areas are generally small, with predominantly single-family homes in poten-tially attractive areas close to the central business district. Those re-novating homes tend to be white collar professionals—singles and young marrieds with few or no children—in the middle- and upper-income brackets. A 1975 Urban Land Institute study estimated that about 45 percent of 68 North Central SMSAs with central city populations of greater than 50,000 were experiencing renovation of this kind [a]. this kind [a]

There continue to be obstacles to these kinds of redevelopment.

There continue to be obstacles to these kinds of redevelopment. Many central city neighborhoods are companies, making it difficult to finance renovations. Property costs, taxes, and crime rates are high, and the quality of the public schools is low. As employment decentralizes, the commutation advantage of central city housing is diluted. Until the advantages of central city living begin to out-weigh the disadvantages, large numbers of mid-dle- and upper-income households are not likely to be attracted into city neighborhoods. Yet, providing the problems of low-income dis-placement can be handled defly, this rediscovery of urbanity could be one of the most constructive trends with which to work in restructuring and revitalizing the older cities of the Industrial Midwest into diversified, attractive, vibrant albeit smaller, urban places once again. places once again.

It is possible to plan on the basis of the progress over time of the "War Baby" generation through the age cohorts of our population— up to a point.

We can anticipate, for example, that crime rates will begin to fall as the number of teenagers and young adults in the population declines, simply because of the large number of offenses committed

by persons in these age groups. The passage of the post-war generation through and out of our elementary school systems has dramatically changed many ques-tions confronting school administrators and public officials.

In the late 1960s, as the millions of wor If moved into the prime childbearing age i expected an echo of the baby boom. Yet, women of childbearing age in 1972 than in fewer births. It is now probable that the will continue to diminish with a correspo olds in the mid-1980s.

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

Each of the Great Lakes states experi-school children between 1970 and 1974, decline in elementary school enrollmen than the overall U.S. decline of 3.9 perce still showing increased enrollments, will numbers of students as the last of th graduates (Table 4.8).

grauuses (Iane 4.8). The effects of the age composition cha-lecal level by migration. Nearly one-thin their 20x children 5-14 years old make Heavy outmigration not only reduces the in the school system but reduces the children. Large central cities and their significant enrollment declines. The lessention of whot are some the school system but reduces the source of the sour

The lessening of what are often overcr The lessening of what are often overci-ty of the second second second second second second particular second second second second second second particular second second

In the metropolitan and nonnetropolit migration, school systems must also dae hidren. These areas also take hidren dural increases and poles downment metropolitan areas, may be ill-equipped increases may oppose growthe educations and the school systems how find out on the school systems how find primary and secondary schools discourge In the metropolitan and nonmetropolit

ouseholds; and in part, a function of

nces of commuting. I U. S. households were adults-only Between 1970 and 1974, 71 percent of illies consisted of households of marults with no children. For these ng may often seem more convenient obs, entertainment, and cultural and

ntral city housing is increasing, the atively insignificant when compared ropolitan areas. Renovation areas are nantly single-family homes in potenthe central business district. Those re une central business district. Those re-inite collar professionals—singles and o children—in the middle- and upper-in Land Institute study estimated that h Central SMSAs with central city 0,000 were experiencing renovation of

acles to these kinds of redevelopment ods are considered "high risk" areas by ance companies, making it difficult to

ance companies, maxing it difficult to costs, taxes, and crime rates are high, chools is low. lizes, the commutation advantage of d. Until the advantages of central city d. Until the advantages of central city disadvantages, large numbers of mid-olds are not likely to be attracted into iding the problems of low-mome dis-fity, this rediscovery of urbanity could rultive trends with which to work in a the older cities of the Industrial ractive, vibrant albeit smaller, urban ractive, when the smaller in the smaller

ange and Social Policy e basis of the progress over time of the gh the age cohorts of our population

imple, that crime rates will begin to fall s and young adults in the population he large number of offenses committed as

us for the second secon

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

In the late 1960s, as the millions of women born after World War In the late 1900s, as the millions of women born after World War II moved into the prime childbearing age group, some demographers expected an echo of the baby boom. Yet, even with 900,000 more women of childbearing age in 1972 than in 1971, there were 300,000 fewer births. It is now probable that the number of 5-13 year olds will continue to diminish with a corresponding drop in 18-21 year olds in the mid-1980s.

Such the final-1990s. Each of the Great Lakes states experienced losses of elementary school children between 1970 and 1974. The region's 5.6 percent decline in elementary school enrollment is significantly greater than the overall U. S. decline of 3.9 percent. The secondary schools, still showing increased enrollments, will have rapidly decreasing numbers of students as the last of the baby boom generation creduates (Table 4.8). graduates (Table 4.8).

The effects of the age composition changes are amplified at the local level by migration. Nearly one-third of all migrants are in their 20s; children 5-14 years old make up another 24 percent. Heavy outmigration not only reduces the actual number of children in the school system but reduces the future local population of children. Large central cities and their suburbs are experiencing significant enrollment declines. The lessening of what are often overcrowled conditions could be

Instead, the envoluent declines. The lessening of what are often overcrowded conditions could be viewed as an opportunity to improve the quality of education: lower-ing pupil-teacher ratios, offering alternative schooling op-portunities, and generally having more resources per pupil. Instead, in the face of inflation and fiscal constraints, school administrators are faced with decisions to close underused facilities, eliminate art, music, and sports programs; increase class sizes; and lay off school personnel. The selectivity of migration causes large urban areas to lose the better-educated, better-paid citizens and leaves behind the elderly and the poor. Hence, the tax bases in the central cities and schools. In addition, the per pupil costs of education continue to in-rease. Thus, enrollment decline—which could be a trend helping to improve the quality of education in cities—has not been used as an opportunity. Instead, it has become a controversial problem of re-source allocation.

In the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas experiencing net In the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas experiencing net imnigration, school systems must absorb disproportionate numbers of children. These areas also face higher than average rates of natural increase. Many local governments, especially in the non-metropolitan areas, may be ill-equipped to handle such changes. Residents may oppose growth and resent growth and resent any tax increases necessary to provide educational services. However, if the fertility rate continues at its present level, the number of 5-13 year olds could begin to increase again in the mid-1980s. While many school systems now find themselves with an over-supply of classrooms and teachers, declining enrollments in the primary and secondary schools discourages students from training

.

	-	026	1	974	Perce	entage ange
	Primary (000's)	Secondary (000's)	Primary (000's)	Secondary (000's)	Primary	Secondary
Ohio	1.715	602	1.617	761	-5.7	7.3
ndiana	876	348	834	373	-4.8	7.2
linois	1,668	656	1,609	711	-3.5	8.4
Aichigan	1,594	572	1,439	685	-9.7	19.8
Visconsin	671	309	655	332	-2.4	7.4
Ainnesota	629	285	602	299	-4.3	5.0
Region	7,153	2,879	6,756	3,161	-5.6	9.8
J.S.	32,597	13,022	31,333	14,076	-3.9	8.1

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

for careers in education. The reserve the 1970s should be greatly reduced by teachers having either entered other labor market entirely. If the numbe reases, it could well come at a time new teachers (18-21 year-olds) will be Teacher training inevitably invol-years between changes in demand v teachers, allowing for no time lost in makes it entirely plausible that in another teacher shortage.

Health

Health Beause the population of the land fas solvely, there may aiready be any the region accompanied by a dury the region accompanied by a dury the solvel of the solvel of the main solvel of the solvel of the main solvel of the solvel of the main solvel of the solvel of the

Public Services and P

Public Services and P. In the 1960s, government employed than the population. During this ti algowed local governments to expan-stagmated or declined showed. Recent urban in fuestions as to the ability of the la-diversion of the service of the service of the levels of the service of the service of the levels of the service of the service of the levels of the service of the service of the starting Middwest, despite a weaken

7.2 7.2 8.4 7.4 7.4 5.0 9.8 9.8 8.1

5.7 5.6 5.6 3.9 3.9 3.9

761 373 711 685 332 299 299 299

617 834 609 439 655 602 602 5.756

709 348 656 572 309 285 285 .879

876 876 876 671 671 671 629 7,153 7,153

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

for careers in education. The reserve supply of teachers trained in the 1970s should be greatly reduced by the mid-1980s, unemployed teachers having either entered other fields or dropped out of the labor market entirely. If the number of school-aged children in-creases, it could well come at a time when the number of potential new teachers (18-21 year-olds) will begin to decrease. Teacher training inevitably involves a time lag of at least four years between changes in demand and changes in the supply of teachers, allowing for no time lost in the response itself. This lag makes it entirely plausible that in the late 1980s, we could see another teacher shortage.

Health

Because the population of the Industrial Midwest is increasing only slowly, there may already be an over-supply of hospital beds in the region, accompanied by a diminished demand for obstetric facilities and obstetric and pediatric professionals. Furthermore, as the midwestern population disperses in much the same way as the rest of the national population, there is a potential mismatch between where health services are located and where they are readed. needed.

needed. In 1974, the Industrial Midwest had 21.2 percent of the nation's population and 20.7 percent of all hospital beds. The region is home to 20.4 percent of the nation's population over 65, but had 23.9 per-cent of all patients in nursing and related care homes with 24.3 per-cent of all beds in those facilities. The high cost of health care and the maldistribution of health professionals and facilities in terms of the new patterns of settle-ment are vital issues for public policy. Once again, however, there are opportunities for urban re-construction implied in the existence of large, specialized medical in-stitutions in many of the cities of the Industrial Midwest. These in-stitutions help provide an important element in the central city economic base.

Public Services and Population Change

In the 1960s, government employment in the United States, in-cluding that in the Industrial Midwest, grew at a much greater rate than the population. During this time, federal financial assistance allowed local governments to expand even while local revenues stagnated or declined. By the end of the decade, the influx of these funds had slowed. Recent urban fiscal crises have raised serious questions as to the ability of the large cities to maintain current levels of public services in the face of steady deterioration in their tax base tax ba

Public employment continues to increase in the cities of the In-dustrial Midwest, despite a weakened tax base. In the region's 15

largest SMSA's, total population increased an average of 2.8 percent

harges 50634's total population interact an average to go percent between 1970 and 1976 [local public employment in these cities in-creased an average of 17.2 percent. The selective outmigration of tax-paying firms and the middle class has imposed conflicting pressures on cities. Those least able to financially support public services, yet who have the greatest need for public services, are left behind in the city. To re-attract firms and the middle class, cities must fight high crime rates, renovate public activities—all in the face of weakening revenues. So, while cities should be cancelling planned service increases, centralizing delivery,

should be cancelling planned service increases, centralizing delivery, employing efficiency measures (including reduced pay levels), and generally reducing local services, they find it self-defeating to do so. Diminished services encourage further outmigration. There is little question that declining central cities must adjust their public sector to match shrunken fiscal capacities. But where and how such adjustments will take place is open to debate. Some possibilities for adjustment include shifting local responsibilities to regional, state, and federal levels. But because so much of local public expenditure is tied directly to public employees through wages and pension benefits, the growth in public employment may have to be slowed in the Industrial Midwest while efficiency measures are increased to yield more public service per public dollar. dollar

Public employee pension funds, often referred to as financial The bonk compose period in this of the reference to us influence of the major cost problems for older city gov-ernments. Because everything that is done with pension plans in the present has such far-reaching effects, it is difficult for governmental units to predict and prepare for the future effectively. But it is im-

present has such tar-reaching effects, it is annicut for governmental units to predict and prepare for the future effectively. But it is im-perative that they do so. Most public pension plans, unlike those in the private sector, re-quire employee as well as employer contributions. There are two ap-proaches to financing the government's share. The "pay-as-you-go" approach involves no buildup of government funds. Instead, money for payments must be found in the current year's budget to meet their obligations. Because of the current age distribution, this creates a problem of intergenerational equity in that future resi-dents will face higher tax rates to support larger numbers of re-tirees. If, in the meantime, a community has experienced a shrink-ing tax base, a fiscal problem may also result. Fortunately, most plans are funded on an actuarial basis. Cer-tain assumptions made as to the eventual cost of pension benefits and payments made by employees and government into the fund are based on this cost. Because government and employees pay as liabilities accrue, intergenerational equity is better preserved. One main reason why pay-as-you-go plans are so unstable is that they assume the pension system will reach a point of static equilibrium (retirement equal to deaths) that will be fairly easy to udget yearly. This seldom occurs, as rates of compensation, benefit

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE levels, and the size and composition of t

this same reason, actuarial assumptions a re-examined regularly in the light of pop For the past few years, a growing become eligible for increasingly generou faced with increasing demands from emp increases from the public, often give benefits rather than raising current wa

mcreases from the public, often give benefits rather than raising current wa plans not fully funded in the present. This problem is compounded by the generation moves toward retirement age to take its place in the work force. It is tant that funds are conscientiously kept cient to guarantee financing of the large begin to come due in about 30 years. To ben skipping payments into what seem to help balane strained budgets. Unfort seldom disappear in one year, and son dangerously close to pay-as-you-go status There are about 6,000 federal, state retirement systems in the United State plans cover fewer than 100 employees, p ension members covered by only 23 per Public employee retirement systems shown in Table 49. Because of the great bollar goes to pay for pension plans at the As the full cost of current person

there is no typical plan. On the average before is no typical plan. On the average dalar gases to pay for pension plans at the As the full cost of current retirement most in need of attention are those of enters and those of many small areas as the system - the bor and the system - the the analysis of the system - the bor and the system - the the standard becomes less essential it funding becomes less essential to cover une Although the differences in governme rational starture. State-wide conglomerations of

rational structure. State-wide conglomeration of pension mertia and some administrative inflexib investment oblems. However, consolid administrative expenses while provide staff; 2) spreading risk of adverse morta feets of competing systems, which can play reasonably high level; 4) eliminate many

on increased an average of 2.8 percent public employment in these cities inent. of tax-paying firms and the middle

pressures on cities. Those least able to vices, yet who have the greatest need ind in the city. To re-attract firms and ight high crime rates, renovate public and support cultural and recreational weakening revenues. So while cities service increases, centralizing delivery, es (including reduced pay levels), and ces, they find it self-defeating to do so.

ces, they find it self-defeating to do so. further outmigration. at declining central cities must adjust shrunken fiscal capacities. But where hilds shifting local responsibilities to levels. But because so much of local literetly to public employees through the growth in public employment may Industrial Midwest while efficiency yield more public service per public

funds, often referred to as financial major cost problems for older city gov-g that is done with pension plans in the g effects, it is difficult for governmental for the future effectively. But it is im-

for the future effectively. But it is not sullike those in the private setter, re-ployer contributions. There are too ap-portunents' share. The "pay-asyuga-pot government funds. Instead more in the current year's budget to meet of the current year's budget to meet a community has experienced a shrink-may also reall. The sentence of the pay-asyutation of the too the eventual cost of pension benefits to approximate the future are spaced and equipy is better preserved. and a cost it is better preserved at tool deathsh that will be fairly east to the deathsh that will be fairly east to the deathsh the self.

levels, and the size and composition of the work force change. For this same reason, actuarial assumptions and payment rates must be re-examined regularly in the light of population changes.

For the past few years, a growing number of persons have become eligible for increasingly generous pensions. Public officials, faced with increasing demands from employees and resistance to tax impresses from the number of the second second

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

.

		1.00	-	
- 63	ΗА	119	EB	- 4

39,108 6,856 2,967 2,334 1,111 9 532

35,106 1,484 2,290 4,766 284

58,890 1,028 2,535 4,589 4,589 4,589

86,764 1,168 900 9,600 2,581

335,510 7,062 2,116 18,679 8,567 1,417

15,429 15,429 21,166 6,440 8,348

8,205,282 442,229 126,842 327,126 188,871 548,217 548,217 202,321

9,089,004 475,256 137,650 388,350 208,317 557,991 219,620

United States Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

with intra-governmental job changes an design due to more legislative attention level seems a practical move, especially where so many metropolitan areas face u Accurately predicting long-range eff serious problem. All future expectation stated costs, including at least minin changes in salary and benefit levels. Co and employees should then be made at a better guaranteeing a fair intergenerr costs. Work needs to be done on more acc costs to prevent benefits from exceedin pay. pay.

Summary: Population the Future of the Indust

<text><text><text><text><text>

nember hq governments, local . and ent systems of state retiren ship of employee Number and member system, 1971-1972 Table 4.9.

mber of sy 5-9,999

10,000+

Total

Nun

size of

Ship :

100 457 99 99 49 38 38 63 63

248 248 12 16 31 2 16 31

183 9 16 1 1 2

nemberships 500-999 126 14 14 14

within each 1-4,999 153 2 2 10 3 3 1 10

40101-04

110 0 0 0 0 0 4 0

280 280 128 128 8 8 70

United States Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin

size class 200-499

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

83 23

NII

9,108 6,856 2,967 2,334 1,111 1,111 532

5,106 1,484 2,290 4,766

3.890 1.028 2.535 4.589 4.589 463 463

96,764 1.168 9,600 2,581

2,116 2,116 18,679 8,567 1,417

215,429 15,429 21,166 8,440 8,440 8,440

205.282 442.229 126.842 327.126 188.871 548.217 548.217 548.217

089,004 475,256 137,650 388,317 557,991 219,620

United Sta Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wiscoman

128 52 8 70

Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin

with intra-governmental job changes; and 5) provide a better basic design due to more legislative attention. Organization at the state level seems a practical move, especially in the Industrial Midwest where so many metropolitan areas face uncertain financial futures. Accurately predicting long-range effects of pension plans is a serious problem. All future expectations should be reflected in stated costs, including at least minimum projections of annual changes in salary and benefit levels. Contributions by government and employees should then be made at a level percentage of income, better guaranteeing a fair intergenerational sharing of pension costs. Work needs to be done on more accurate prediction of eventual creats to prevent benefits from exceeding a jurisdiction's ability to costs to prevent benefits from exceeding a jurisdiction's ability to

Summary: Population Change and the Future of the Industrial Midwest

<section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

providing increasingly costly public services from shrinking tax has

bases. Just as the central cities find it difficult to adjust to decline, gov-ernment employment continues to increase at a faster rate than the region's population and maintaining the soundness of public pension systems is becoming à pressing problem. The older, industrially based central cities have been most seriously affected by population changes. It is not inevitable, however, that these cities continue to decline. With planning, the old industrial centers should be able to stabilize and prosper after pass-ing through a difficult period of transition. They will not be as large in population as in the past, nor will they be as dense, but they may well be more livable.

In population as in the past, nor will drey de as dense, out they may well be more livable. The same is true of the region as a whole. It is likely that what appears to be decline is instead just part of a natural ebb and flow of population and economic activity. The region's role is changing as the role of manufacturing employment in the economy is changing. A decade and a half from now, the economic pendulum may well be swinging back in the region's favor. The Industrial Midwest will have a smaller, though more equal, share of the national economy than it has enjoyed in the past. The transitional period will require cooperation among all levels of gov-ernment. The region must build on its strengths and begin to correct its weaknesses. New buisnesses and expansions must be encouraged, and the growing labor force needs to be trained with skills for the economy now emerging. A cooperative effort with an eye cocked for tomorrow could bring the region successfully through transition. To fail to consciously anticipate the changes tomorrow will bring spells fail to consciously anticipate the changes tomorrow will bring spells of almost certain frustration and failure

NOTE

This chapter was produced in part under research grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Some portions were prepared in connection with the *President's 1978 National Urban Policy Report* to Congress. The Academy for Contemporary Problems is a non-profit, tax exempt, public research, education, and training foundation operated by the Council of State Governments, Interna-tional City Management Association. National Association of Coun-ties, National Conference of State Legislatures, National Governors' Association, National League of Cities, and U. S. Conference of Mayors. Mayors.

REFERENCES CITED

Alonso, William. 1972. The System of Intermetropolitan Popula-tion Flows. In *Population Distribution and Policy*. Washington, D.C: U.S. Commission on Population Growth and the American Future, Government Printing Office.

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

- 2. Black, J. Thomas. 1975. Private Central Cities. Urban Land (Nor
- National Center for Educational tional Statistics, annual. Washi Educational Statistics.
- 5. National Planning Association. tions Series: State and Metropo Washington, D.C.: National Plan
- 6. U.S. Bureau of the Census. Reports, Series P-20, Mobility S
- 7. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 19 Series P-25, Estimates of the Nu
- 8. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1 Series H-111 Housing Vacancie
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 19 Vol. 6, No. 1, Employee Retire Governments. Washington, D.C.
- 10. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 11. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (September)
- 12 U.S. Bureau of Labor Stati
- U.S. Commission of Population 1972. Population and the Av Government Printing Office.
- 14. U.S. Department of Commerce
- 15. U.S. Department of Commerce

16. U.S. Department of Housing President's 1978 National Urd

ly public services from shrinking tax

find it difficult to adjust to decline, gov-ues to increase at a faster rate than the ntaining the soundness of public pension ing problem.

ing protein based central cities have been most ulation changes. It is not inevitable, ntinue to decline. With planning, the old able to stabilize and prosper after pass-ed of transition. They will not be as large , nor will they be as dense, but they may

, hor will they be as dense, but they may region as a whole. It is likely that what tectivity. The region's role is changing as employment in the economy is changing now, the economic pendulum may well be row that the senjoyed in the past. The nuire cooperation among all levels of gov-build on its strengths and begin to correct sees and expansions must be encouraged, be needs to be trained with skills for the cooperative effort with an eye cocked for region successfully through transition. To the the changes tomorrow will bring spells on and failure.

sl in part under research grants from the ng and Urban Development. Some portions n with the *President's 1978 National Urban* In Academy for Contemporary Problems et, public research, education, and training feouncii of State Governments Interna Sasciation, National Association of Coun-of State Legislatures National Governor-iague of Cities, and U. S. Conference of

rinting Office

IMPLICATIONS OF POPULATION CHANGE

- Black, J. Thomas. 1975. Private Market Housing Renovation in Central Cities. Urban Land (November).
- National Center for Educational Statistics. 1970. Digest of Educa-tional Statistics, annual. Washington, D. C.: National Center for Educational Statistics.
- 4. National Center for Educational Statistics. 1974. Digest of Educational
- National Planning Association. 1977. Regional Economic Projec-tions Series: State and Metropolitan Growth Patterns, 1960-1990. Washington, D.C.: National Planning Association.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1954-1976. Current Population Reports, Series P-20, Mobility Status of the Population. Annual.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1975. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Estimates of the Number of Households for States.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Current Housing Reports, Series H-111 Housing Vacancies.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1973 Census of Governments: 1972, Vol. 6, No. 1, Employee Retirement Systems of State and Local Governments. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Bureau of the Census.
- 10. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1977. Employment and Earnings (April)
- 11. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1977. Employment and Earnings (September)
- 12. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1977. Handbook of Labor
- U.S. Commission of Population Growth and the American Future. 1972. Population and the American Future. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office.
- 14. U.S. Department of Commerce. 1966. County Business Patterns.
- 15. U.S. Department of Commerce. 1973. County Business Patterns.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1978. President's 1978 National Urban Policy Report to Congress.

The System of Intermetropolitan Popula-tion Distribution and Policy. Washington, on Population Growth and the American



URBAN MIGRANTS TO THE F SOME UNDERSTANDINGS A MISUNDERSTANDINGS¹

Andrew J. Sofranko, James D. Williams, a

To cleade large metrophilan areas. To cleade large metrophilan decimal terms of the second se

CHAPTER FIVE

URBAN MIGRANTS TO THE RURAL MIDWEST: SOME UNDERSTANDINGS AND MISUNDERSTANDINGS

Andrew J. Sofranko, James D. Williams, and Frederick C. Fliegel

Andrew J. Sofranko, James D. Williams, and Frederick C. Fliegel For decades large metropolitan areas have been growing faster and the expense of rural, nonmetropolitan areas. It is not surpris-ing then that initial reactions to Bedle's [1] evidence for a reversal of historic migration patterns reflected skepticism by some and amaze-ment by others. Questions were raised about whether the reversal some new trend or simply a departure from the persistent non-metropolitan to metropolitan flow. By now, however, it has been generally accepted that the "new migration" is a real and relatively important phenomenon. It is broad-based, not confined to non-metropolitan areas adjacent to large metropolitan centers, and, more significantly, it has been continuing. The 1970s seem to have utifugid drift of population to more rural residences. Once the trend was confirmed as a real and relatively widespread phenomenon, a host of secondary concerns gained prime device and the semigrants, why are they moving and why this particular time; what impact are they having or likely to have on rural areas; will they stay; will the trend continue; what fac-traised exceeded by a wide margin the ability to provide answers. Dia on counties and other political units that were gaining or los-ing population, and sparse data on the characteristics of migrants, provided some partial answers, but more importantly, numerous use and insights which provide researchers with a good set of userne. Myotheses.

clues and insights which provided researchers with a good set of starting hypotheses. In depth media coverage of individuals moving from cities to rural areas, and by a spate of location-specific surveys of recent migrants —all of which provided a confusing characterization of the trend. The limited surveys of migrants, while essentially supporting the inferences made from secondary data about reasons for moving, could provide little more than snapshots of particular situations. And much of what had been written about the trend based on carefully chosen case studies shaped a unique view of the migration process which was able to capture the attention and imagination of readers, but which could take a broad look at the trend address some of the prevailing notions about it, correcting misperceptions where necessary and reaffirming existing conceptions where war-ranted.

(3)

The regional survey from which the present data were obtained was designed to provide insights into many of the neglected aspects of the new migration, and a firmer base on which to make generalizations.

generalizations. The purpose of this chapter is to look at motivations, attitudes, and residential and socioeconomic changes experienced by a sample of metro-to-nonmetro migrants in the North Central Region. It will provide a data base for examining several of the questions which are frequently raised about the new migration and in the process reduce some of the misunderstandings which currently exist. The five ques-tions advecsed are tions addressed are:

- 1) Are quality-of-life considerations important in the migration decisions of metropolitan to nonmetropolitan migrants?
- 2) Is the new migration a shift to truly "rural" residences?
- 3) Do newcomers represent a potentially disruptive force in the areas in which they settle?
- 4) To what extent are the new migrants motivated by a desire to return home
- 5) What gains and losses do migrants experience as a result of moving from metropolitan to nonmetropolitan areas?

Study Design Overview

Study Design Overview Since much of what is currently known of the turnaround phenomenon rests on ecological analysis, a survey of migrants was und behavioral dimensions of the phenomenon which are simply not available from census sources. The overriding concern in the design of this study has been to gather the types of data for which surveys are particularly valuable. To facilitate locating migrants over a broad area, the North Cen-tral Region, the geographical scope was narrowed by concentrating of these counties are in Michigan, Missouri, and Wisconsin, but in general they are not homogeneous with respect to the factors as-sumed to be important to the new migration traned. They are diverses in terms of socioeconomic and demographic characteristics, and most are entirely rural and not adjacent to metropolitan areas. A map of target counties is presented in Figure 5.1. target counties is presented in Figure 5.1.

To facilitate locating possible migrants, a phone-directory matching procedure was used which involved identifying all ex-changes in the target counties. A systematic random sample of



huseholds was then drawn from the m where them matched with 1970 director waseholds-repeted residents and exp 1970 1977 organization in metropolitan waseholds from mometropolitan cum the lephone interviews were conducted waseholds from metropolitan cum the lephone interviews were conducted waseholds from metropolitan cum the lephone interviews were conducted waseholds from metropolitan cum the lephone interviews were conducted waseholds for metropolitan areas, and 425 residents of me

Understandings and Misur The Evidence

Are quality-of-life considerations imp metropolitan to nonmetropolitan migro

hich the present data were obtained ts into many of the neglected aspects a firmer base on which to make

r is to look at motivations, attitudes, mic changes experienced by a sample s in the North Central Region. It will ing several of the questions which are w migration and in the process reduce s which currently exist. The five ques-

derations important in the migration n to nonmetropolitan migrants?

nift to truly "rural" residences?

t a potentially disruptive force in the e?

new migrants motivated by a desire to

do migrants experience as a result of an to nonmetropolitan areas?

esign Overview

esign coverview currently known of the turnaround al analysis, a survey of migrants was is into a variety of social-psychologial the phenomenon which are simply na . The overriding concern in the design or the types of data for which surveys

ef the types or data for whith surveys ants over a broad area, the North Gen-sope was narrowed by concentrating bigher between 1970 and 1975 Many higher between 1970 and 1975 Many ingan. Missouri, and Wissonsin, but in neous with respect to the factors as new migration trend. They are diverse along to metropolitan areas. A map of edmographic characteristics and man accent to metropolitan areas. A map of Engure 5.1. sosible migrants, a phone-directory d which involved identifying all ex-sisted migratic random sample of ies. A systematic random sample of

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST



Fig. 5.1. High net inmigration survey counties of the North Central Region $\left(N\,=\,75\right)$

households was then drawn from the most recent directories. These were then matched with 1970 directories, yielding two types of households—expected residents and expected migrants. Subsequent screening was used to identify three respondent types inmigrants (1970-1977) originating in metropolitan counties, inmigrants (1970-1977) from nonmetropolitan counties, and continuous (since 1970) residents. Disproportionately stratified samples were drawn and telephone interviews were conducted with 501 inmigrant households from metropolitan counties (SMSAs), 208 from non-metropolitan areas, and 425 residents of the sample areas. Heads of households were the primary target group, although spouses were interviewed after several unsuccessful attempts at contacting the head. Temporary and seasonal residents were excluded. All in-terviews were conducted by personnel of the Survey Research Laboratory of the University of Illinois.

Understandings and Misunderstandings: The Evidence

Are quality-of-life considerations important in the decisions of metropolitan to nonmetropolitan migrants?

A consensus seems to be emerging that the new migration is

A consensus seems to be emerging that the new migration is characterized by the importance of motivations other than employ-ment. For different segments of the population this translates into a variety of reasons for moving; going "back to the land," getting away from big-city life, changing life-styles, moving for place-specific reasons, and family ties, to suggest a few. This perspective is, of course, contrary to the prevailing view in migration research that economic, and particularly employment-related motivations, underlie most long-distance moves and destination selections [6, 11]. The evidence that quality-of-life considerations may be assum-ing a larger role in migration decisions is being interpreted in the context of structural changes occurring in American society. Rising affluence, higher standards of living, and availability of retirement income are felt to be producing a "floating population" which can sute where it pleases [9]. These arguments imply that the stream may be distinctive in some respects, such as age. In addition, it is as-sumed, but not established, that the motivations of metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migrants are distinctive; that is, they do not fit the nonmetropolitan migrants are distinctive; that is, they do not fit the dominant labor force model of migration.

We have attempted to address the questions of migrants' motiva-tions and their uniqueness simultaneously. To establish motiva-tions, we developed a six-category scheme for classifying reasons for leaving the former area of residence. In the survey all migrants were asked to give their reason(s) for leaving their former place of residence, and then to identify the main reason. These main reasons were then coded into the following categories:

- 1) Employment Related: includes all job transfers, moves for reasons of unemployment or underemployment, searches for new, better and different employment and higher wages.
- 2) Ties to Area of Destination: includes responses indicating a de-sire to return to an area of birth or of former residence, to an area with which the respondent was familiar, or in which he/ she had friends or relatives, would be close to friends or family, or had property
- 3) Environmental "Push" Factors: includes all responses citing negative attributes of the previous residence, ranging from the quite general ("get away from the city," or, in the case of some of the nonmetro migrants, "get out of the small town"), to the very specific.
- 4) Environmental "Pull" Factors: responses were coded as "pull" if they specified some attractive feature of the place of destina-tion the important consideration being that the area of destination was the referrent.

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

6) Other Reasons, includes infrequ reasons, such as health, divorce, may those who "just wanted to move."

To examine whether the results of the

To examine whether the results the test of the sense of the composition of the law conducted for the portions of the sam of the sense of the composition of the sense of the composition of the sense of the composition of the sense of the se

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

e emerging that the new migration is nnce of motivations other than employ-of the population this translates into a cr going back to the land, "getting away glifestyles, moving for place-specific suggest a few. This perspective is, of valling view in migration research that molycomet ordiot maximized maximized nployment-related motivations, underlie d destination selections [6, 11]. ty-of-life considerations may be assum

Vy-Oi-like considerations may be assum-on decisions is being interpreted in the is occurring in American society. Rising of living, and availability of retirement ring a "floating population" which can espects, such as age. In addition, it is as-that the motivations of metropolitan-to-re distinctive, that is, they do not fit the of micration. of migration.

dress the questions of migrants' motiva-s simultaneously. To establish motiva-legory scheme for classifying reasons for sidence. In the survey all migrants were n(s) for leaving their former place of fify the main reason. These main reasons wing outerories. owing categories:

t includes all job transfers, moves for ment or underemployment, searches for ent employment and higher wages.

vation: includes responses indicating a de-rea of birth or of former residence, to an respondent was familiar, or in which hel atives, would be close to friends or family.

h" Factors: includes all responses citing the previous residence, ranging from the vay from the city," or, in the case of some ants. "get out of the small town"), to the

" Factors responses were coded as "pull" attractive feature of the place of destina-ssideration being that the area of destina-

5) Retirement

6) Other Reasons. includes infrequently mentioned miscellaneous reasons, such as health, divorce, marriage, schooling, as well as those who "just wanted to move."

<text><text><text><text><text>




Fig. 5.2. Reason for leaving previous residence, by migrant type for total samples

In comparison with past migration research, the reason structure of the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan stream is quite different, and clearly unlike that for the nonmetropolitan-nonmetropolitan stream, for which the data are much more consistent with the prevailing labor force model of migration. There is, thus, some basis for concluding that the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan stream is unique, at least when compared with nonmetropolitan-origin inmigrants. Before we can dismiss the utility of labor force explanations in understanding the turnaround, however, there is a need to restrict the analysis to that segment of the sample to which labor force explanations are meant to apply, the population of labor force age.

102









Fig.5.3. Reason for leaving previous res households with head aged 18-50

Restricting the analysis to responden in the 18:59 age group does alter the dis portion of Figure 5.3). Metropolitan-torn these houses of the single year of the attraction of the single year of the single year the environmental push and environm baned as has been done previously, we labor force age metropolitan origin houses.

in konetri liggets

previous residence, by migrant type for

migration research, the reason structure ropolitan stream is quite different, and omeropolitan-nonmetropolitan stream, more consistent with the prevailing labor free is thus, some basis for concluding theropolitan-orrigin inmigrants. Before we etropolitan-orrigin inmigrants. Before we both rore explanations in understanding hor force explanations in understanding to restrict the analysis to a which labor force explanations are on of labor force age.

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST



Nonmetro-Nonmetro Migrants



Fig. 5.3. Reason for leaving previous residence, by migrant type for households with head aged 18-59

Restricting the analysis to respondents in households with heads in the 18-59 age group does alter the distribution of reasons (upper portion of Figure 5.3). Metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migrants in these households cite employment-related reasons (35 percent) more often than any other single type of reason. Push factors, also relatively important, were cited by 29 percent of the households, and if the environmental push and environmental pull factors are combined as has been done previously, we still have 44 percent of the labor force age metropolitan-origin households moving essentially

for environmental or quality-of-life reasons. Thus, the major un-derlying motivations of households migrating from metropolitan areas do not change dramatically when labor-force age is specified.

deriving motivations of households migrating from metropolitan areas do not change dramatically when labor-force age is specified. The nonmetropolitan migrants, moreover, continue to stand in clear contrast to the metropolitan-origin migrants (lower portion of Figure 5.3). As was observed for the total sample, employment reasons predominate (57 percent) among metropolitan migrants. The data point strongly in the direction of a different motiva-tional base underlying the new migration trend. The present find-ings for the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan migrants are in sharp contrast to the prevailing research on reasons for migrating and to the findings reported for the nonmetropolitan-rigin movers in the survey. The fact that a similar conclusion was reached even after limiting the analysis to that portion of the sample for which labor force models of migration are assumed to be most applicable, sug-gests that at least for the metropolitan-rogin movers in the survey. The fact that areas, labor mobility models have limited utility. They do, however, seem to explain a large portion of the inmi-migration of migrants from other nonmetropolitan areas. To those who have been researching and speculating on the cur-rent population turnaround phenomenon, these findings are perhaps more documentary than surprising. In recent years there has been a growing awareness among researchers that population furnaround in nonmetropolitan or retirement miny in dustrial decentralization, super-suburbanization or retirement miny

turnaround in nonmetropolitan areas involves more than simply in-dustrial decentralization, super-suburbanization or retirement mi-gration. Of course, the underlying catalyst for recent trends may be the enhanced capacity of rural areas for employing new residents. But, the data suggest rather strongly that migrants, especially those leaving large metropolitan areas, tend to view their behavior in the context of the relative merits of metropolitan versus non-metropolitan living. To answer the question posed initially, quality-of-life considerations *are* important in the decisions of metropolitan nonmetropolitan migrants, for both the total sample and for a more restricted sample of households with heads of labor force age.

2) Is the new migration a shift to truly "rural" residences?

This frequently raised question embodies several distinct queries This frequently raised question embodies several distinct queries about the origins and destinations of metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migrants: how far are they actually moving, what types of places are they leaving, and what kinds of residences are they moving to? Many of these questions are voiced by skeptics who argue that while nonmetropolitan counties may be growing faster than metropolitan counties, various types of residential shift could be in-volved, and that many of the moves may be of relatively short dis-tance. It is pointed out, for example, that some of the residential shifts may be to only slightly smaller places or to adjacent counties. Two of the more popular conceptions have been that the migrant stream consists of individuals moving from large cities to small places

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

and to the countryside and that it reflects a migrants to get 'back to the land' in the l living. Case studies of families who have farms' and open country living have prove tation for this view. Obviously, some recent faming, living narms if not farming, and There is, however, scant knowledge of whe ing are an isolated or widespread pheno

grants. The present survey has provided consist grants' origins and destinations and or which they have selected in the destin documented first of all, that with res moving its adjacent countes. They diff nonmetropolitan-erigin migrants in the prevent) of whom have moved into adjace tot samples are, however, intrastate ma-

percent) of whom have moved into adjaces both samples are, however, intrastate mag The 1970 populations of the places main tled in were examined and the distributi-that just over a third (34 percent) of the grants came from large cities of a quart-together 62 percent came from cities or Over all, a little more than 10 percent or villages (under 5,000) in metropolitan of there is considerable variability in the t migrants left. They were predominant selected migrants for interviews who can the shot a minority came from what are Looking at the sizes of places of dest

seite bat a minority and rom what are its bat a minority living in on seasa and are currently living in on seasa and are are places of a sol of an area places. There is an evidence to an area places. There is an evidence to an area places. There is an evidence to an area places of a sol of a seasable in those places which are to an area places of a sol of a seasable in those places which are to any area of a seasable in those places of the sol of a seasable in the type of places area of a seasable and area

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

ty-of-life reasons. Thus, the major un 19-of-life reasons. Thus, the major un-useholds migrating from metropolitan ically when labor-force age is specified nts, moreover, continue to stand in clear an-origin migrants (lower portion of ved for the total sample, employment ved for the total sample, employment rent) among metropolitan migrants. in the direction of a different motiva-ne migration and Theorem total.

new migration trend. The present find-ionmetropolitan migrants are in sharp esearch on reasons for migrating and to e nonmetropolitan-origin movers in the illar conclusion was reached even after it portion of the sample for which labor are assumed to be most applicable, sug re assume to be most appricable, sog netropolitan-origin portion of the inmi-as, labor mobility models have limited eem to explain a large portion of the in-other nonmetropolitan areas.

other nonmetropolitan areas. researching and speculating on the cur-ind phenomenon, these findings are, than supprising. In recent years there has among researchers that population itan areas involves more than simply in-uper-suberbrainition or refirement miuper-suburbanization or retirement mi rlying catalyst for recent trends may be ural areas for employing new residents. aral areas for employing new residents strongly that migrants especially these areas, tend to view their behavior in the merits of metropolitan versus non-wer the question posed initially, quality-ing that in the desistons of metropolitan-ing to both the total sample and for a more ids with heads of labor force age.

ift to truly "rural" residences

estion embodies several distinct queries destinations of metropolitan-to-how far are they actually moving, ebat R, and what kinds of residences are they estions are voiced by skeptics who argue to counties may be growing faster than us types of residential shift could be in-time moves may be of relatively short dis-ample, that some of the residential shifts conceptions have been that the migrant s moving from large cities to small places

and to the countryside and that it reflects a widespread desire among migrants to get "back to the land" in the forms of farm and country living. Case studies of families who have traded "apartments for farms" and open country living have provided the essential documentation for this view. Obviously, some recent migrants are engaged in farming, living on farms if not farming, and living in the country side. There is, however, scant knowledge of whether farm and country living in the start with the start of the start with the start of the start with the start of the s ing are an isolated or widespread phenomenon among recent mi grants

The present survey has provided considerable information on mi-

The present survey has provided considerable information on mi-grants' origins and destinations and on the types of residences which they have selected in the destination areas. It has been documented, first of all, that with respect to their origins the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan migrants are not local movers simply moving in a more rural direction. Relatively few (8 percent) are moving into adjacent counties. They differ in that respect from the nonmetropolitan-origin migrants in the survey, a good portion (47 percent) of whom have moved into adjacent counties. A majority of *both* samples are, however, intrastate migrants. The 1970 populations of the places migrants moved from and set-tlet in were examined and the distributions on this measure show that just over a third (34 percent) of the metropolitan-origin mi-grants came from large cities of a quarter million or more, and all together 62 percent came from cities over 50,000 (see Figure 5.4). Over all, a little more than 10 percent originated in small towns and villages (under 5,000) in metropolitan counties. We thus see that there is considerable variability in the types of places metropolitan coun-ties, but a minority came from what are apparently suburban places. Looking at the sizes of places of destination, almost half (47 per-boven the sizes of places of destination, almost half (47 per-boven the sizes of places of destination, almost half (47 per-boven the sizes of places of destination).

ties, but a minority came from what are apparently suburban places. Looking at the sizes of places of destination, almost half (47 per-cent) are currently living in or near small villages and more than 80 percent are in or near places of 5,000 population or less. The analysis shows that metropolitan-origin migrants decidedly prefer small places. There is no evidence, however, that they have chosen to resettle in those places which one would assume to be most similar to the types of places they left, that is, in larger towns. In terms of current places of residence, then, the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan migrants can be described as living in or near villages and small towns. An attempt was made to pinpoint further the types of residences

An attempt was made to pinpoint further the types of residences An attempt was made to pinpoint further the types of residences in which metropolitan migrants were setting—whether in towns, open country areas, or on farms. Responses on a series of questions pertaining to acreage and farm sales provided the opportunity to gain some insight into the general question of whether metropolitan migrants are indeed "returning to the land," to an agricultural way of life. This is a theme which appears quite frequently in discussions of the new migration. The data provide additional evidence that the metropolitan-

(3)

Large City (250,000 and over) 08 Small City (50,000 to 249,999) 288 08 Large Town (25,000 to 49,499) 118 08 Medium size Town (5.000 to 24,999) 168 168 Small Town (1,000 to 4,999) Village (less than 1.000) 50 40 30 20 10 01 10 20 30 40 50

Fig. 5.4 Distribution of metropolitan-origin migrants by size of place in origin and destination locations

origin migrants are truly shifting to the more rural types of res-idences. About two-thirds, 329 of the 510 metropolitan migrant households, have chosen to live outside the corporate limits of any village or town in the growth counties under study (see Figure 5.5). In this respect they are more rural than either the area residents in the survey—56 percent of whom are living outside of towns—or the nonmetropolitan migrants, 54 percent. Evidence of this sort tends to underscore the "back to the land" notion as a possible explanatory theme. Most of these country dwellers are rural in only a technical sense, however, as will soon be demonstrated. Metropolitan migrants are moving for amenity reasons to a streater extent than has been the case in recent decades, and as a re-sult it is tempting to characterize those amenities in terms of ties to the land as well as open space and outdoor amenities. Newspaper ac-counts of exurbanites establishing small farms serve to highlight the "back to the land" theme as well. The data, however, provide lit-tile in the way of documentation for these conceptions. Only 29 per-

CHAPTER 5

ent of those metropolitan origin t country are actually living on farms, ounclude that the "back to the lan sense, is of little importance for mose Figure 5.5). They can be described in the farm. Furthermore, almost households live within five miles or town and 50 percent within 10 minu employment. The bulk of the op clustered near villages and towns. With regard to the "back to the too, the data show in general that

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

With regard to the back to the ton, the data show in general that use of that land are quite import grants, but for only a few. For the seems to have an appeal for reside town for jobs, shopping, and servi than ties to the land, as such. For about a third reported some product





politan-origin migrants by size of place ion locations

fting to the more rural types of resident of the 510 metropolitan migrant re outside the corporate limits of any counties under study (see Figure 53) ural than either the area residents in m are living outside of towns-or the perent. Evidence of this sort tends to nd" notion as a possible explanatory dwellers are rural in only a technical demonstrated.

demonstrated. is moving for amenity reasons to a locase in recent decades, and as a re-lize those amenities in terms of ties to and outdoor amenities. Newspaper a doubloor amenities. Newspaper high shall farms serve to highlight is well. The data, however, provide lit-s well. The data, however, provide lit-n for these conceptions. Only 29 per-

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

cent of those metropolitan origin migrants who live in the open conclude that the "back to the land" theme, at least in a literal sense, is of little importance for most urban migrant households (see Figure 5.5). They can be described as favoring the countryside, but not the farm. Furthermore, almost 60 percent of the open country towsholds live within 10 minutes driving time of their place of most of the open country residents are thus clustered near villages and towns. With regard to the "back to the land" aspect of the new migra-tion, the data show in general that land ownership and agricultural use of that land are quite important for some metropolitan mi-grants, but for only a few. For the majority, living in the country atown for jos, shopping, and services is probably more important than ties to the land, as such. For those who do live on farms only about a third reported some products for sale. The latter category in



Fig. 5.5. Involvement in agriculture among metropolitan origin migrants

volved only 31 migrants (6 percent) of the original sample and too small a fraction to permit much in the way of generalization. The largest portion of these, 20 of the 31, are not truly returning to the land for they have had no prior experience in farming. They are "recruits" to farming, many with small holdings. The nonagricultural aspects of country living are clearly the ma-jor attractions for metropolitan-nonmetropolitan migrants in the re-gion. The appeal of the land, and use of it for agricultural produc-tion, can be described as a minor subtheme within the larger theme of life in the country or small town which attracts migrants from large urban areas. Nevertheless, even a partial offsetting of the very substantial movement out of agriculture which has been going on for decades should not just be ignored. Going back to the land may not appeal to many in urban areas, but the migration of even a few can have substantial consequences for thinly populated rural areas.

3) Do newcomers represent a potentially disruptive force in the areas in which they settle?

<text><text><text><text>

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST somewhat different from local residen

somewhat different from local resuler amples could be cited (for an overview stress the problems and benefits asse general, the consequences of the new are able to take a much broader look at samples are drawn from many differer gion. On at least a few impact question gional level, what some of the conseque ceiving areas. The present discussion is being re

The present discussion is being re-have been separately pointed out as is may arise. There is, first, the growth how do migrants view the future grow do the residents' and newcomers' atti-type or nature of growth or developm third, do migrants and residents de titudes toward increasing taxes to pro-growe existing ones? In resonancing. titudes toward increasing taxes to pro-prove existing ones? In responding to will be looking at the inmigrants from they too are presumably different fro urban-origin migrants.

Views on population growth

Views on population growth The show that migrants as aware of the population growth taking view it as a good thing (70 percent as ferences among the samples of migra-majority of all respondents are clearly nonmetropolitan orunities in the surve perceive it as had rather than just be is little basis of the same strangen that newomers moving into "their" comm growth is good. In general, then, the population growth but very little com-

Views on the nature of growth an Views on the nature of growth as Judging by the responses to ques dicial actions to develop their comm strong consensus there is little evidence that they due development. Migrants added to respondent with a strang to elected public officials of your comm clatteres out of the areas. B) Attract to the residents to the areas?

percent) of the original sample and too percent) or the original sample and too much in the way of generalization. The of the 31, are not truly returning to the prior experience in farming. They are with small holdings, sets of country living are clearly the ma-tan-nonreptropoliton microsoft.

tan-nonmetropolitan migrants in the re-d, and use of it for agricultural produc-1, and use of it for agricultural produc-ninor subtheme within the larger theme all town which attracts migrants from less, even a partial offsetting of the very if agriculture which has been going on be ignored. Going back to the land may a reas, but the migration of even a few gences for thinly populated rural areas.

potentially disruptive force in the area

into rural areas is being viewed as a

into rural areas is being viewed as a umbers alone, representing on the one saving the problems associated with past benefit-burden contrast is currently be-on the various problems involves and in-one on the purely demographic aspect of different from the composition of the metropolitan destination areas. Recent sech owing the various problems involved. Nonetropolitan areas are younger, bei-news data, demonstrate that migrants onmetropolitan areas are younger, bei-have higher occupational status theia-neces between urban migrants and to fact of migrants' origins in the "big n that migrants and residents will not s. Do migrants and residents will not s. Do migrants and residents will not s. Do migrants exhibit, for instance, a on [7], that is, a greater willingness to assures that would protect those aspects difterent from, does the new migrants that effect, if any, does the new migrants what effect, if any, does the new migrants for any acounties with which are new population until recently, and are may proposed that some changes might follow great that some changes might follow great that some changes might follow is made that new people, who are set it marke that new people, who are

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

somewhat different from local residents, are moving in? Many exsomewhat different from local residents, are moving in? Many ex-amples could be cited (for an overview see Schwarzweller [12]) that stress the problems and benefits associated with growth and, in general, the consequences of the new migration to rural areas. We are able to take a much broader look at impact questions in that our samples are drawn from many different locations over an entire re-gion. On at least a few impact questions, we can determine, at a re-gional level, what some of the consequences of growth are for the re-ceiving areas.

gional level, what some of the consequences of growth are for the re-ceiving areas. The present discussion is being restricted to three areas which have been separately pointed out as issues over which controversies may arise. There is, first, the growth issue. In relation to residents, how do migrants view the future growth of their areas? Second, how do the residents' and newcomers' attitudes differ with respect to the type or nature of growth or development they would favor? And, third, do migrants and residents demonstrate differences in at-titudes toward increasing taxes to provide better services or to im-prove existing ones? In responding to the preceding questions we will be looking at the inmigrants from other rural areas as well, for they too are presumably different from both the residents and the urban-origin migrants.

Views on population growth

Views on population growth Our data show that migrants as well as residents are highly aware of the population growth taking place and that they generally view it as a good thing (70 percent and over), with only minor dif-ferences among the samples of migrants and residents. The great majority of all respondents are clearly pro-growth. Residents of the nonmetropolitan counties in the survey are somewhat less likely to perceive it as bad rather than just being indifferent to it, but there is little basis for arguing that longer-term residents resent newcomers moving into "their" communities. On the contrary there seems to be an extraordinarily high consensus that population growth is good. In general, then, there is widespread awareness of population growth but very little concern about it.

Views on the nature of growth and development

Judging by the responses to questions about the desirability of official actions to develop their communities, *all* respondents show a strong consensus in favor of further growth and development, and there is little evidence that they disagree about the general means of promoting development. Migrants and residents were specifically asked to respond "yes" or "no" to the questions "Do you think elected public officials of your community should try to... A) Keep factories out of the area; B) Attract tourists and promote recreation; C) Develop the business district of the community; and D) Attract new residents to the area?

With reference to factories, presumably as a means for providing more jobs and further growth, we note that metropolitan-origin mi-grants are slightly more opposed to new factories in the area than the nonmetropolitan-origin migrants or residents [21 percent versus 16 percent for nonmetropolitan-origin migrants and 6 percent op-oposition among residents see Figure 5.6]. This may reflect some de-sire among the metropolitan-origin migrants to preserve the rural character of the environment they have chosen, but these data can hardy be interpreted to reflect a conservationist stance. High pro-portions of both residents and migrants are in favor of tourism and recreation as a means of economic growth. Many of the input server, and the prominence of a quest for amenities in mak-in past years, and the prominence of a quest for amenities in mak-ing the move would lead one to expect them to have a pro-tourism stance. Nonmetropolitan-origin movers, however, who did not re-ourism and recreation experience, are even more solidly in favor of varians. Little more can be said about the third alternative, develop-ment of the community business district. Responses on this ques-tion are more or less parallel to the first two, essentially eliciting ves "responses from migrants and residents alike.

"yes" responses from migrants and residents alike. Finally, the somewhat less direct development alternative, at-tracting new residents, also got a "yes" response from most respon-dents, but proportions favorable to this type of growth are only about 75 percent as against 80-90 percent for the others, suggest-ing perhaps that there are open questions about the kinds of peo-ple who might come in, where they would find jobs, and so on. Nevertheless, the majorities in favor of attracting new residents can only be interpreted as part of a substantial consensus favoring economic growth and development among the respondents.

Keep new factories out of the area	8 21 16 11	0 100 Metro-origin migrants Nonmetro-origin migrants Residents
Attract tourists and promote recreation	85 91 83	
Develop business district of the community	85 88 85	
Attract new residents to the area	73 76 74	
Fig 5.6 Demonstra		

officials should try to ...

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

This is not to say that a specific This is not to say that a specific given community would not stimulate troversy. It does suggest a generally growth that has taken place, plus a pr reference to the future. And it further s reference to the future. And it futures a blematic impacts of growth might only order ramifications of the popula alternatively, if what is now called the over time, it may be that continued in viewed with a more jaundiced eye at so however, there seems to be a consensu rapidly growing nonmetropolitan cour

Views on local taxes

There is potential for a shift in seri different hackgrounds, having experient offerent hackgrounds, having experient ageneration or more, there is no que services, which were formerly difficult ageneration or more, there is no que services, which were formerly difficult ageneration, and service delivery syste delining areas first experience an infl the the local pople, one would expect a mary of services and local resident the newomers, especially those from ervices should be provided and on how the services and local cares der delation of local tax resources and a fleat site local taxes. In order to on each respondent was asked to agree of the least sub local taxes. In order to to each respondent was asked to agree of the least sub local taxes in order to a star deservices and local taxes and the service to simple would be increased to expected taxes. In order to to and the star sub local taxes in order to a star to a star taxes should be increased to a norder seast should be increased to a star to a taxes should be increased to a star to a taxes should be increased to a star to a taxes should be increased to a star to a star taxes and the taxes and agree of tableance for tax increases to an increase sease ray a single tay and and area to a star to take the taxes increases to an and area to a star to take the taxes increases to an and area to a star to take the taxes increases to an and area to a star to take the taxes increases the taxes and the an and take taxes increases taxes and the taxes and agree tableance for tax increases taxes and takes and and take taxes increases taxes and takes taxes increases taxes and takes taxes increases taxes and taxes ta

dents, by group, who state that elected

ries, presumably as a means for providing wh, we note that metropolitan origin mi-pposed to new factories in the area than imigrants or residents [21 percent versus olitan-origin migrants and 6 percent versus see Figure 5.6]. This may reflect some desee Figure 5.0. This may reflect some de-ian-origin migrants to preserve the rural ent they have chosen, but these data can effect a conservationist stance. High pro-and migrants are in favor of tourism and of economic growth. Many of the unt had vaccing comprised in the standard stand

ints had vacation experience in the area minence of a quest for amenities in mak-one to expect them to have a pro-tourism origin movers, however, who did not re-ience, are even more solidly in favor of velopment than those from metropolitan said about the third alternative, develop-usiness district. Responses on this ques-allel to the first two, essentially eliciting ants and residents alike.

less direct development ainse. less direct development alternative, at-orable to this type of growth are only at 80-90 percent for the others, suggest-open questions about the kinds of peo-where they would find jobs, and so on-es in favor of attracting new residents part of a substantial consensus favoring opment among the respondents. opment among the respondents



URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

This is not to say that a specific development proposal in a given community would not stimulate some debate or even con-troversy. It does suggest a generally favorable view toward the growth that has taken place, plus a pro-development stance with reference to the future. And it further suggests that any more pro-blematic impacts of growth might only show up in second or third order ramifications of the population increase, itself. Or, alternatively, if what is now called the "new" migration continues over time, it may be that continued increase in numbers will be inviewed with a more inquideed are at some future point At resent viewed with a more jaundiced eye at some future point. At present, however, there seems to be a consensus that growth is good in the rapidly growing nonmetropolitan counties of the North Central Region

Views on local taxes

<text><text><text><text><text>

ive schools	8 0 35 Metro origin migrants ¹ 46 Nonmetro origin migr 28 Mesidents
parks	35 40 33
ve medical facilities	49 53 41
ve police protection	40 49 45
ve area roads	43 55 44
de services for senior citizens	40

Fig. 5.7. Percentage of respondents, by group, who agree that local taxes should be increased to....

favorable toward improving any of the services listed than either the metropolitan-origin migrants or longer-term residents. A mi-grant impact, then, might occur in the form of nonmetropolitan-origin migrants demanding more and better services, with both metropolitan-origin migrants and local residents showing more re-sistance to change. The conventional wisdom about rural-urban dif-ferences would suggest that metropolitan-origin migrants might be locat catified with thismes on thom one, but their is not self-need in least satisfied with things as they are, but that is not reflected in data analyzed here.

data analyzed here. Other data, which we have not presented in this paper, show that metropolitan-origin and nonmetropolitan-origin migrants tend to differ in age, education, and other respects, and that they have moved to these high-growth areas for somewhat different reasons (see Question 1). It may be these distinguishing characteristics of nonmetropolitan-origin migrants which set them apart from the other groups and will have to be better understood in order to assess community impact in particular spheres. Generally speaking, however, our efforts to compare the two migrant groups and resi-dents at the same age, education, and income levels did not alter the basic pattern. The nonmetropolitan-origin migrants were more favorable to tax increases for improvement of local services than either metropolitan-origin migrants or residents at the same level of age, income, or education. The fact that our data show migrants from urban areas differing

The fact that our data show migrants from urban areas differing little from long-term residents in their perspectives on growth and development, while migrants from other nonmetropolitan areas are more likely to have different expectations, was not anticipated and thus deserves to be underscored, even if present data do not permit us to explore fully the reasons for the contrast. One can speculate. It could be argued that nonmetropolitan areas have changed over the

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST CHAPTER 5

years, have become relatively more attract thus former urbanites should not be expe needs unmet in these areas. Other data w grants' adjustment difficulties and sa residence are consistent with responses to the purpose of improving their new comm (67 nercent) of the urban migrants exp ficulties, when they moved, and another ments were minor. Thus, for a very large | origin migrants, the transition from a h rural area involved few, if any, adjustn global measure of satisfaction with the that in general more than 90 percent wer for discontent or advocacy for change.

It is possible that one should not exp advocates of change, at odds with long tended to select their new nonmetrop tended to select their new nonmetrop they previved to be the positive quali-metropolitan-origin migrants may have would be like and have based their mig-derstanding. Nonmetropolitan-origin m jobs as important reasons for moving, a to accept higher taxes and public inve-velopment, and may thus be more likely change in a local situation than form are merely speculations, however, and gional data provide little evidence to a tion is currently having a disruptive im 4) To what extent an at

4) To what extent are the new migrant turn home?

A theme which has received consider the new migration is the gameral not furning reliabovering one's roots" the strengolitan to the second second interpolitan to the second second metropolitan to the second second second metropolitan to the second second second metropolitan to the second second second second second metropolitan to the second second second second second metropol



oondents, by group, who agree that local creased to.

g any of the services listed than either g any of the services listed than either grants or longer-term residents. A mi-occur in the form of nonmetropolitan-g more and better services, with both ts and local residents showing more re-ventional wision about rural-urban dif-tinteropolitan-origin migrants might be as they are, but that is not reflected in

ve not presented in this paper, show that mmetropolitan-origin migrants tend to do ther respects and that they have in these distinguishing characteristics of trants which set them apart from the tricular spheres. Generally speaking apare the two migrant groups and resi-tropolitan-origin migrants were more for improvement of local services than ingrants or residents at the same level of migrants from urban areas differing

tow migrants from urban areas differing nts in their perspectives on growth and s from other nonmercopolitan areas are texpectations, was not anticipated and orde, even if present data do permit ns for the contrast. One can speculate li tropolitan areas have changed over the

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

pears, have become relatively more attractive than the cities [2], and thus former urbanites should not be expected to find many of their prants' adjustment difficulties and satisfaction with the new the purpose of improving their new communities. About two-thing 67 percent) of the urban migrants expressed no adjustment dif-tient of the provide of the provide the provided the pr years, have become relatively more attractive than the cities [2], and

tion is currently having a disruptive impact in most localities

4) To what extent are the new migrants motivated by desires to re-

A theme which has received considerable attention in discussions of the new migration is the general notion of "going back home," re-turning, rediscovering one's "roots." There has been some documenta-tion of a fairly extensive role for return migration in the metropolitan-to-nommetropolitan stream [3]. In our research we have attempted to focus special attention on those metropolitan-origin migrants who have literally moved back to an area where they once lived. And to get further insight into the importance of moving back to an area they had once resided in, we looked at the proportion giv-ing "return" as their reason for choosing a destination area. The data on reasons for destination selection which were obtained from all migrants were highly suggestive of the possible importance of re-turning home as an explanatory factor for metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan migration in the region. A variety of "ties to the area" was a quite common reason among these migrants for relocat-ing where they did. Close to half (45 percent) described their de-cisions in terms of ties to the destination area, but it must be em-

prove schools	8 0 35 Metro-origin migrants 46 Nonmetro-origin mi 28 Residents
ild parks	35 40 33
prove medical facilities	49 53 41
prove police protection	40 49 45
prove area roads	43 55 44
ovide services for senior citizens	40 48 46

Fig. 5.7. Percentage of respondents, by group, who agree that local taxes should be increased to....

favorable toward improving any of the services listed than either the metropolitan-origin migrants or longer-term residents. A mi-grant impact, then, might occur in the form of nonmetropolitan-origin migrants demanding more and better services, with both metropolitan-origin migrants and local residents showing more re-sistance to change. The conventional wisdom about rural-urban dif-ferences would suggest that metropolitan-origin migrants might be least satisfied with things as they are, but that is not reflected in data analyzed here.

Other data, which we have not presented in this paper, show that metropolitan-origin and nonmetropolitan-origin migrants tend to differ in age, education, and other respects, and that they have moved to these high-growth areas for somewhat different reasons (see Question 1). It may be these distinguishing characteristics of (see Question 1). It may be these distinguishing characteristics of nonmetropolitan-origin migrants which set them apart from the other groups and will have to be better understood in order to assess community impact in particular spheres. Generally speaking, however, our efforts to compare the two migrant groups and resi-dents at the same age, education, and income levels did not alter the basic pattern. The nonmetropolitan-origin migrants were more favorable to tax increases for improvement of local services than either metropolitan-origin migrants are residents at the same level of either metropolitan-origin migrants or residents at the same level of age, income, or education

age, income, or education. The fact that our data show migrants from urban areas differing little from long-term residents in their perspectives on growth and development, while migrants from other nonmetropolitan areas are more likely to have different expectations, was not anticipated and thus deserves to be underscored, even if present data do not permit us to explore fully the reasons for the contrast. One can speculate. It could be argued that nonmetropolitan areas have changed over the

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST CHAPTER 5

years, have become relatively more attract thus former urbanites should not be expe-needs unmet in these areas. Other data w grants' adjustment difficulties and sat residence are consistent with responses to readence are consistent with response or the purpose of improving their new comm (67 percent) of the urban migrants exp ficulties, when they moved, and another ments were minor. Thus, for a very large p orgin migrants, the transition from a h rural area involved few, if any, adjustm of help mercents. global measure of satisfaction with the o that in general more than 90 percent wer for discontent or advocacy for change

It is possible that one should not exp advocates of change, at odds with long-tended to select their new nonmetropo-they perceived to be the positive qualit-metropolitan-origin migrants may have. would be like and have based their migr derstanding. Nonmetropolitan-origin mi-jobs as important reasons for moving, ar to accept higher taxes and public invest velopment, and may thus be more likely change in a local situation than forme are merely speculations, however, and y pional data provide little evidence to sa-tion is currently having a disruptive imp It is possible that one should not exp tion is currently having a disruptive im

4) To what extent are the new migrants

A theme which has received consider the new migration is the general noti-tion of the new migration is the general noti-tion of a fairly extensive role for attempted to focus special due to the out-migrants who have iterally moved back have due to the transmitteness of the total mark the state of the state out-date on reasons for destination of the uning the state of destinations of the total mark the state of the state out-date on reasons for destination of uning the state of the state out-ment where had once resident were the state of the state out-date on reasons for destination of uning the state of destination of the state output of the state out



pondents, by group, who agree that local creased to....

ig any of the services listed than either igrants or longer-term residents. A mit occur in the form of nonmetropolitaning more and better services, with both nats and local residents showing more renventional wission about rural-aurhan difat metropolitan-origin migrants might be as they are, but that is not reflected in

are not presented in this paper, show that nonmetropolitan origin migrants tend to and other respects, and that they have the areas for somewhat different reasons the these distinguishing characteristics of igrants which set them apart from the articular spheres. Generally speaking mpare the two migrant groups and rescation, and income levels did *not* alter the heteropolitaneorigin migrants were more if or improvement of local services than migrants or residents at the same level of the form urban areas differing

nugrants or response as unavailable show migrants from urban areas differing this in their perspectives on growth and ents from other nonmeropolitan areas are int expectations, was not anticipated and expectations, was not anticipated accred, even if present data do not permit sons for the contrast. One can speculate it heropolitan areas have changed over the netropolitan areas have changed over the

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

years, have become relatively more attractive than the cities [2], and thus former urbanites should not be expected to find many of their needs unmet in these areas. Other data which were obtained on migrants' adjustment difficulties and satisfaction with the new residence are consistent with responses to questions on taxation for the purpose of improving their new communities. About two-thirds (67 percent) of the urban migrants expressed no adjustment difficulties, when they moved, and another 12 percent felt that adjustments were minor. Thus, for a very large portion of the metropolitanorigin migrants, the transition from a highly urbanized area to a rural area involved few, if any, adjustment difficulties. And on a global measure of satisfaction with the current residence we found that in general more than 90 percent were satisfied, hardly the basis for discontent or advocacy for change.

that in general more than 90 percent were satisfied, hardly the basis for discontent or advocacy for change. It is possible that one should not expect former urbanites to be advocates of change, at odds with long-term residents, since they tended to select their new nonmetropolitan residences for what they perceived to be the positive qualities of rural life. In short, metropolitan-origin migrants may have anticipated what rural life would be like and have based their migration decisions on this understanding. Nonmetropolitan-origin migrants, who tended to cite jobs as important reasons for moving, are apparently nore willing to accept higher taxes and public investment as the means to development, and may thus be more likely to function as advocates of change in a local situation than former urbanites. The foregoing are merely speculations, however, and we must repeat that the regional data provide little evidence to suggest that the new migration is currently having a disruptive impact in most localities.

4) To what extent are the new migrants motivated by desires to return home?

A theme which has received considerable attention in discussions of the new migration is the general notion of "going back home," returning, rediscovering ones' "rotost". There has been some documentation of a fairly extensive role for return migration in the metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan stream [3]. In our research we have attempted to focus special attention on those metropolitan-origin migrants who have literally moved back to an area where they once lived. And to get further insight into the importance of moving back to an area they had once resided in, we looked at the proportion giving "return" as their reason for choosing a destination area. The data on reasons for destination selection which were obtained from all migrants were highly suggestive of the possible importance of returning home as an explanatory factor for metropolitantonometropolitan migration in the region. A variety of "ties to the area" was a quite common reason among these migrants for relocating where they did. Close to half (45 percent) described their decisions in terms of ties to the destination area, but it must be em-

(3)

phasized that they cited a variety of ties, not necessarily the tie of phasized that they cited a variety of ties, not necessarily the tie of previous residence. Only 30 percent of those who said they chose their particular residence because they had pre-existing ties there did so because they had wanted to return to a place where they had lived. This amounts to no more than 13 percent of all urban mi-grants, hardly an overwhelming sentiment to return home.

It is possible, however, that the desire to return home was simply not expressed in the interview. Although respondents might cite any not expressed in the interview. Although respondents might cite any number of reasons for settling where they have, they may have also, at the same time, moved to a former area or place of residence. For example, they may have returned to the general geographical area of the county in which they had once resided, thus somewhat obscur-ing the "home" theme, but none the less it is a form of return. In the survey we have been able to document the relative importance of these types of return migrants to the stream. Using a broad referent, all migrants were first asked if they or their spouse had lived in the these types of return migrants to the stream. Using a broad reterent, all migrants were first asked if they or their spouse had lived in the "area" before. In more than two-thirds (69 percent) of the migrant households, neither respondent nor spouse had. In a small propor-tion (10 percent) both had lived there before. Using this broad "area" referent, we thus see that slightly less than a third (31 percent) of the metropolitan-origin households could be referred to as "return migrants," in that either respondent or spouse had lived in the area before. Althouch some migrants area" "return before. before. Although some migrants are "returning home" by this broad criterion, it is clear that the migrant stream as a whole can't easily be characterized as persons moving back to areas where they once lived.

Using a more specific geographical referent, the county, to define a return migrant, the proportion of returnees among household heads in the study is reduced to roughly a fourth of the

heads in the study is reduced to roughly a fourth of the metropolitan-origin migrants. An even smaller proportion of the stream is made up of migrants moving back to counties in which they were born, overall about one in six (16 percent). Contrary to popular perceptions the metropolitan-nonmetropolitan stream is not made up of large numbers of people moving to counties where they were born or once lived, or even to "areas" where they had lived. Additional background information on the migrants provides some interesting insights into the return phenomenon. First, a sizeable proportion (28 percent) of the migrants who are returning to a county where they had once lived had left not more than six or seven years before. And this ties in with a second point, that the mi-grants are not disproportionately the elderly, who migrated from these areas as youth. If anything, the return migrants may be dis-proportionately younger. The notion that the migrant stream is composed largely of elderly movers (60 and over) returning to birth places or areas of former residence is not a very accurate characcomposed largely of elderly movers too and over) returning to brian places or areas of former residence is not a very accurate charac-terization. Return migrants—to either birthplace or area of former residence—make up no more than a fourth to a third of the total mi-grant sample, as has been shown, depending on how one defines "re-turn." And the elderly are no different from the sample as a whole,

with less than a fourth returning to cou-previously. The elderly are much more grants to have children in the places the different definition of "going home," b elderly urban migrants has children in as a result of the move, the elderly urbe likely to end up farther from their famil

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

It is clear then that in general the To stear their own general nu general nu general nu general sufficiency of the set of th younger migrants alike, are moving to

More broadly, the often-mentioned life, lower living costs in an era of inn area as a god raise children better, nostalge overtones of a desire hurough reading or television. Phoro serve to account, for the choice of another, but more research will be ne back' theme into an explanation of the

5) What gains and losses do metropol as a result of moving to a nonmetrop

It is often assumed that substantiv

It is often assumed that substanti income to less prestigious occupations in the metropolitan-to-nonmetropol general, is that secieconous provide rural american service to the second of the second second second second of looking broadly at some of the co-nized and households involved losses which might be considered is focus is on households which have sub-

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

variety of ties, not necessarily the tie of 0 percent of those who said they chose secause they had pre-existing ties there need to return to a place where they had more than 13 percent of all urban mi-ming sentiment to return home.

hat the desire to return home was simply ew. Although respondents might cite any ng where they have, they may have also, a former area or place of residence. For turned to the general geographical area had once resided, thus somewhat obscurnone the less it is a form of return. In the to document the relative importance of nts to the stream. Using a broad referent, ed if they or their spouse had lived in the n two-thirds (69 percent) of the migrant n two-thirds (69 percent) of the migrant dent nor spouse had. In a small propor-ved there before. Using this broad "area" slightly less than a third (31 percent) of useholds could be referred to as "return spondent or spouse had lived in the area rants are "returning home" by this broad e migrant stream as a whole can't easily s moving back to areas where they once

ographical referent, the county, to define ographical referent, the county, to define reduced to roughly a fourth of the after the sense of the sense of the reduced to roughly a fourth of the tasts moving back to counties in which out one in six (16 percent). Contrary to netropolitan-normetropolitan stream is of even to "areas" where they had lived of even to "areas" where they had lived information on the migrants provides into the return phenomenon. Fix, a eent) of the migrants who are returning once lived had left not more than six or st ties in with a second point, that the migrant when the delerly, who migrated from onstely the delerly, who migrated from movers (60 and over) returning to birth residence is not a very accurate harak-esidence is not a very accurate harak-s— o either birthplace or area of former s— than a fourth to a third of the total mig-shown, desting on how one defines "re-no different from the sample as a whole, no different from the sample as a whole. with less than a fourth returning to counties in which they had lived previously. The elderly are much more likely than the younger mi-grants to have children in the places they move to, and that may be a different definition of "going home." but still less than one in five elderly urban migrants has children in the destination area. In fact, as a result of the move, the elderly urban migrants over all are more likely to end up farther from their families than they were before the

more.
The is clear then that in general the urban migrants, elderly and founger migrants alike, are moving to new areas, not "home." If migrants are not returning home, even in a loose sense, why are they betting where they are? One thing is clear, and that is that migrants are not returning is one areas, and these were primarily ties of triendship devine property in the area, or simply having known someone who was reading there. These are the types of ties and contacts with destination areas and these were primarily ties of triendship devine property in the area, or simply having known someone who was reading there. These are the types of ties and contacts with destination areas shaped their relocation decision.
The is only in a more symbolic sense that "going back" to have ingo and the top and their relocation decision.
The sondary, the often-mentioned appeals of a "simpler way off," lower living costs in an era of increasing costs, and of the rurap better, nostalgic overtones of a desire to return to something which any migrants may only have experienced vicariously, perhaps better, nostalgic overtones of a desire to return to something which any migrants may only have experienced vicariously, perhaps better, nostalgic overtones of a desire to return to something which any migrants may only have experienced vicariously, perhaps hor the or account for the choice of one destination rather tha somotic, them into an explanation of the new migration as usch.
1) What gains and losses do metropolitan-origin migrants experience It is clear then that in general the urban migrants, elderly

5) What gains and losses do metropolitan-origin migrants experience as a result of moving to a nonmetropolitan area?

It is often assumed that substantial "costs"—ranging from lower income to less prestigious occupations—are experienced by migrants in the metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan stream. The belief, in general, is that socioeconomic opportunities are being "traded off" for rural amenities, or, more broadly, "quality-of-life" gains. An attempt has been made to address the topic of gains and losses by looking broadly at some of the consequences of migration for the individuals and households involved. The range of possible gains and losses which might be considered is almost infinite, given that the focus is on households which have substantially changed the settings

in which day-to-day life is carried out. The analysis is restricted to on-ly a few spheres which are assumed to be most important in un-derstanding the socioeconomic consequences associated with the new migration. The discussion has been east in gain versus loss terms around questions of change in employment status, job prestige, in-come, and quality of life.

Employment status changes

Employment status changes What effect has the move had in terms of shifts into or out of the labor market? Figure 5.8 permits a comparison of metropolitan-origin migrants' employment status before moving, and at the time of the interview in 1977. It shows, in general, a fairly marked dis-juncture in employment status attendant on changing residences. The largest net changes, for both the heads of households and their spouses, are decreases in the proportions employed full or part-time and increases in the proportions who are retired. This is not surpris-ing since it was noted earlier that metropolitan-origin migrants tend to be older and for a substantial number of them "retirement" was cited as a reason for making the move. Among metropolitan-origin heads of households, the proportion who are retired rises from 17 percent before the move to one-third in 1977. For spouses, the proportion retired slightly more than doubles, going from 6 to 14 percent. percent.



Fig. 5.8. Employment status of metropolitan-origin migrants before the move in 1977

In part, the trend toward rising prop In part, the trend toward rising prop groups would be expected given the n older persons in the sample and the pass infer from these data exactly when reti infer from these data exactly when rett at the time of the move, or later after possibly part-time, in the local labor ma-tion. This question will be examined which provides even more detailed i employment status at three points in *respondents* who were in the labor force 70 percent of the females represented 59 is based are respondents who are ma-percent are female heads of households. The evidence shows that for

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

The service of the response representation of the service of the s

ried out. The analysis is restricted to on assumed to be most important in un ic consequences associated with the new as been cast in gain versus loss terms in employment status, job prestige, in-

iges

e had in terms of shifts into or out of the permits a comparison of metropolitan-it status before moving, and at the time shows, in general, a fairly marked dis-atus attendant on changing residences. both the heads of households and their both the heads of households and ther-eroportions employed full or part-time fors who are retired. This is not surpris-tibut the metropolitan-origin migrants tabstantial number of them "retirement" naking the more. Among metropolitan-he proportion who are retired ress from to one-third in 1977. For spouse, the more than doubles, going from 6 to 14



of metropolitan-origin migrants before

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

In part, the trend toward rising proportions retired among both groups would be expected given the numbers and proportions of older persons in the sample and the passage of time. It is difficult to infer from these data exactly when retirement took place, whether at the time of the move, or later after a few years of involvement, possibly part-time, in the local labor market at the area of destina-tion. This question will be examined more closely in Figure 5.9, which provides even more detailed information on changes in employment status at three points in time for male and female respondents who were in the labor force just before moving. About 70 percent of the females represented in the data on which Figure 5.9 is based are respondents who are married, and the remaining 30 percent are female heads of households.

The properties of the result of the second s

Fig. 5.9. Employment status before, just after the move, and in 1977, for metropolitan-origin migrants in the labor force before the move

Not Employed, Not Looking for Work 28% iployed 46% Setired 218 1977 Females N = 104 Not Employed, Move Work Retired 20 % 328 2.9% 198 Not . After Before Move Temporarily Unemployed 12% 868 : mployed 728 Retired 248 1977 work for Lemporarily Jnemployed 178 $\frac{Males}{N = 173}$ Move looking Retired 241 loyed 58% After dmaur not * Temporarily une **Not employed, n Before Move •19 Employ 948 **Not

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

Occupational prestige changes

<section-header><text><text><text>



URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

Occupational prestige changes

<section-header><text><text><text>



Fig. 5.10. Change in metropolitan-origin migrants' occupational prestige before moving compared with 1977



URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

We will not go into detail on the nature of the changes in oc-cupational prestige here. A more thorough examination of these data shows, however, that the changes in occupational prestige are not radical

Income changes

Income changes . The possible income "costs" of migration will be examined at two levels, which as a matter of convenience are being referred to as the "short run" and the "long run". The short-run comparison contrasts incomes in the year before the move with the year immediately after the move, and the long-run comparison simply compares pre-move income with 1976 income. Parenthetically, we might note that we did not obtain a precise income figure for the year just after the move. Instead a more/less/same question was asked in which mi-grants were asked to compare their income just after the move with their income in the year before the move. The result is that an ac-tual income comparison cannot be made for three time points. In the comparisons which are made the referent is always total family in-soma inhousehold composition may well have changed in the time pan involved here, a maximum of six years depending on time of move. Nevertheless, for our purposes the income data available purposed in the start parts and the result is that an available provent certain interesting comparisons.

permit certain interesting comparisons. Short-run income changes Having already described a migration-related disjuncture in employment status, it would be reasonable to expect a similar pattern for income changes in the short-run, that is, some reduced income in the year following the move. And that is, in fact, the case. Half of the metropolitan-origin migrants stated that their total household income was lower in the year following the move than it had been before moving (data not shown). Even if one eliminates the retirees from the income change comparison we still their total household income was lower in the year tollowing the move than it had been before moving (data not shown). Even if one eliminates the retirees from the income change comparison we still see some income reduction among the migrants. The proportion of the households with less income after the move drops from 50 percent for the entire sample to 45 percent, still a sizeable portion of the sample. As one might expect, however, the proportion of retiree households with less money after the move should be higher, and it is, with 61 percent earning less. Apart from those earning less, we see that among the non-retirees equal portions are earning "more" or the "same," slightly more than 27 percent. For the retirees, however, very (we (3.9 percent) end up earning more than before the move. In general, there were move-related income disjunctures and, apart from questions related to retirement incomes, we would expect the dis-juncture to be temporary, reflecting the apparently temporary employment disjuncture discussed above. Long-Run Income Changes Pre-move and current (1976) mousehold incomes were compared separately for the retirees and nonretirees in an attempt to gain some insight into the pattern of temporary loss and recovery being described. Figure 5.11, which pre-sents these income distributions, shows that among the retirees the norme disjuncture which were seen above persist. Comparing pre-



PERCENTACE

ail on the nature of the changes in oc-more thorough examination of these he changes in occupational prestige are

as of migration will be examined at two convenience are being referred to as the m." The short-run comparison contrasts prun comparison simply compares pre-them rows with the year immediately grun comparison simply compares pre-tenter income igure for the year just after the rene their income just after the more with fore the move. The result is that an ar-not be made for three time points. In the eth er referre is always total family in-tition may well have changed in the time mum of six years depending on time of mypranet status, it would be reasonable to income changes in the short-run, that is, year following the move. And that is, was following the move. And that is, year following the move model and that income changes in the short-run, that is, year following the move following the re moving (data not shown). Even if one to the income change organism we still mong the migrands. The proportion of the after the move drops from 50 percent for ent, at la sizeable perion of the sample, were, the proportion of retirere households were, the proportion of the sample, were, the proportion of the sample and portions are earning lens; we see that a trund more stage ming heavy ere of the aftering more interview however, very 27 percent. For the retireres and apart from disted income disjunctures and the retures and disted income disjunctures and apart from disted income disjunctures



move and current distributions, there are substantial increases in the

move and current distributions, there are substantial increases in the two lowest income categories and sizeable reductions in the four highest. It was shown previously that 61 percent of the retiree households experienced income reductions in the short run. It is quite unlikely that, given the limited opportunity retirees have for improv-ing their incomes, much shifting upward would have occurred over losses have occurred with few gains in the long run. Of course, these losses are on to necessarily attributable to residing in a rural area or to implicit incomes, much shifting upward would nave occurred with similar regardless of whether the retirees moved or nt. This is not the case, however, with those who aren't retired. That pre-move income levels have at least been re-established by 1976 is attry clearly documented by the data, although there has been no attempt to take into account the effects of inflation on the buying power of the incomes reported. There are larger proportions of metropolitan-origin migrants in the higher income categories (\$15,000 and over) in 1976 than before the move, which suggests that they have experienced only a temporary loss as a result of mov-ing (Figure 5.11). The lower income categories how either decreases or very slight changes. It could thus be argued that apart from the question of retirement and the income needs of retired persons, the metropolitan-origin migrants have experienced only a temporary in-come disjuncture as a result of moving.

Quality-of-life changes

<text><text><text>

iversally regard the environment of the in the case of weather, less than half re-tion of "same here as there" response dominantly intraregional character of major differences in weather within the

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

"Quality of Life" Item	1
	27
The neighbors are friendlier	15
1 feet with	82
i leel sater	15
	3
1 am places to famile	38
an closer to family	15
	47
-	75
There is more privacy	8
	17
The environment is healthice	-91
in the second seco	0 7
	3
The weather is better	4
	-
It's a b	
raise the place to	
coise children	-
TI	
The schools are better	
outer	2
Tax rates are to	
- C lower	- 2
It costs less	
to live	
Fig 5 to	
B. 0.12. Metropolis	
life" questi	mi
question	ung

ons, there are substantial increases in the rises and sizeable reductions in the four vivously that 61 percent of the retiree one reductions in the short run. It is quite de opportunity retires have for improv-ifting upward would have occurred over that for this segment of the stream income ew gains in the long run. Of course, these tributable to residing in a rural area or to attern would more than likely have been ar the retirees moved or not. ever, with those who aren't retired. That the at least been re-established by 1976 is

ever, with those who aren't retired. That re at least been re-established by 1976 is yothe data, although there has been no nt the effects of inflation on the buying isorted. There are larger proportions of nts in the higher income categories 5 than before the move, which suggests only a temporary loss as a result of mov-income categories show either decreases ould thus be argued that apart from the the income needs of retired persons, the tas have experienced only a temporary in-of moving.

us for assessing the impact of migration is or losses in what are being referred to I. It is well known that quality of life is a other. The data which provide the basis changes stem from questions, frequently which asked migrants whether they felt are of a particular quality, the same ceform which they had moved. The start of the move is high in if as a result of the move is high in it with the fact that metropolitan origin of metropolitan areas perceive their from metropolitan areas perceive their from metropolitan areas perceive their from metropolitan dies also fel that they also its is consistent with popular conceptions trated environment. Metropolitanorigin metrage, move coser to tother family mem-rage move coser to tother family mem-rage none the particular ansame. The ersus "there" are not greatly different ersus "there" are not greatly different is as reporting gains. They almost un-

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

iversally regard the environment of the new place as healthier, but in the case of weather, less than half report gains. The high propor-tion of "same here as there" responses is consistent with the pre-dominantly intraregional character of the moves, i.e., there are not major differences in weather within the region.

"Quality of Life" Item	8
The neighbors are friendlier	57 More so here 29 Same here as there 14 More so there
I feel safer	82 15 3
l am closer to family	38 15 47
There is more privacy	75 8 17
The environment is healthier	91 6 3
The weather is better	44 32 24
lt's a better place to raise children	87 6 7
The schools are better	44 20 36
Tax rates are lower	68 12 20
It costs less to live	43 25 32
Fig. 5.12. Metropolitan-origin life" question	migrants' responses to "quality of

On the two items which refer to quality of life with respect to child rearing and schools, metropolitan migrants perceive the new residence as being better than the old. Even for schools, which are not generally viewed as among the strongest assets of rural com-munities when compared with urban areas, a sizeable minority of the metropolitan-origin migrants (44 percent) stated that schools were better in the new, rural setting. Only 24 percent felt that schools were better in the former, metropolitan residence.

Finally, with regard to tax rates and living costs, the metropolitan-origin migrants, as expected, say that taxes are lower in the new setting than in the old. A similar, but less pronounced contrast, is apparent for perceived cost of living. Metropolitan mi-grants are thus apparently likely to perceive themselves as "gainers" on cost of living as well.

Summary

Many questions at the core of the turnaround phenomenon have

<section-header><text><text><text><page-footer>

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST areas, and they articulate a prodevelopm

areas, and they are character a proceeding the future. Moreover, their orientations of ment issues are fairly close to those of I same areas as well. Similarly, the met perspectives on taxing, are not very differ dents, but, as has been pointed out, the n grants' perspectives generally stand out netropolitan-origin migrants and residen metropolitan-origin migrants and reside the nonmetropolitan-origin immigr metropolitan-origin migrants may be p these nonmetropolitan areas, and it is t divisive force in these areas. Examination of the general return m on those metropolitan-origin migrants back to a former area or county of resid back to a former area or county of residents

voke the notion of "return" to explain particular destination areas. It is pre metropolitan-origin migrants-elderly metropolitan-origin migrants—elderly a —are moving to new areas, not return once lived. There is considerably more social ties in the area of destination, as pursuits and various other contacts in inently in understanding why one de another

nearly in understanding way and another. The examination of the gains and loo shown that while there were short-term employment and income, in the long r gained, or at least held their own. The variety of quality-of-life reasons, and th life gains in their new residences. By the sumably less likely to have tried to m moving and as a result experienced at sumably less likely to nave tried to its moving and as a result experienced at in employment and income. These shown to be of relatively short duration The data provided by this study by

the expansion of the intervence of the second shown to be of relatively short durations that a provided by this study has carly many of the issues associated granty many of the issues associated transmoved, the types of places and is relocated, the importance of returnin metopolitan to nonmetropolitan strees from the second state of the second finally, some of the gains and losses is now clear both the second strength on the second state of the second strength match and the second state of the second such as the Southwest, with the re

refer to quality of life with respect to etropolitan migrants perceive the new an the old. Even for schools, which are in the old. Even for schools, which are ong the strongest assets of rural com-th urban areas, a sizeable minority of rants (44 percent) stated that schools ral setting. Only 24 percent felt that

mer, metropolitan residence. to tax rates and living costs, the s, as expected, say that taxes are lower the old. A similar, but less pronounced rceived cost of living. Metropolitan mi-ly likely to perceive themselves as well

Summary

Summary at of the turnaround phenomenon have phenomenon. Data in the paper have phenomenon. Data in the phenomenon. Data in the phenomenon. Data phenomenon. Data in the phenomenon. Data in the phenomenon. Data phenomenon. Data in the phenomenon. Data in the p

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

URBAN MIGRANTSTORURAL MIDWEST areas, and they articulate a prodevelopment stance with regard to the future. Moreover, their orientations on the growth and develop-strain tissues are fairly close to those of long-term residents of the same areas as well. Similarly, the metropolitan-origin migrants perspectives on taxing, are not very different from those of the resi-tor product of the sentence of the sentence

inently in understanding why one destination was chosen over another. The examination of the gains and losses migrants experience has shown that while there were short-term disjunctures with respect to semployment and income, in the long run migrants appear to have gained, or at least held their own. They migrated essentially for a trained or at least held their own. They migrated essentially for a provide the state of the state of the state of the state working and as a result experienced at least a short-run disjunctures in employment and income. These disjunctures, however, were shown to be of relatively short duration. The data provided by this study have provided the opportunity to clarify many of the issues associated with the new migration, at least in the North Central Region. It has been established why mi-grants moved, the types of places and residences in which they have metropolitan-to-nonmetropolitan stream, some of the potential con-sequences of the move for the areas in which they settle, and, finally, some of the gains and losses migrants experience. Having is now clear for additional and more focused studies, for example a comparison of the new migration in other regions of the country, such as the Southwest, with the results from the North Central Region.

11. Ritchey, P. Neal. 1976. Explanations of ed.) Annual Review of Society 2. Pal ed.) Annual Review of So Reviews, Inc. pp. 363-404.

URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

- Schwarzweller, Harry K. 1978. Mig Rural Scene. Presidential address del ing of The Rural Sociological Society September, 1978.
- 13. Time 1976. Americans on the Move. M
- 14. Zuiches, James J. and David L. B Character of the Nonmetro Populat Ford, ed.) Rural U. S. A., Ames: Iowa 55-72.

NOTE

'The research on which this paper is based was funded by the North Central Regional Center for Rural Development, Ames, Iowa, and by the University of Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station.

REFERENCES CITED

- 1. Beale, Calvin L. 1975. The Revival of Population Growth in Nonmetropolitan America. Washington: USDA ERS-605.
- Beale, Calvin, L. 1978. People on the Land. In (Thomas R. Ford, ed.), Rural U.S.A., Ames: Iowa State University Press, pp. 37-54.
- Campbell, Rex R., Gary J. Stangler, and George H. Dailey, Jr. 1977. Migration to Nonmetropolitan Areas: The Ozarks. Paper presented at the annual meetings of The Rural Sociological Socie-ty, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Cockerham, William C., and Audie L. Blevins, Jr. 1977. Attitudes Toward Land Use Planning in Jackson Hole. Journal of the Com-munity Development Society of America, 8 (Spring 1977): 62-73.
- Duncan, Otis Dudley. 1961. A Socioeconomic Index for All Oc-cupations. In (Albert J. Reiss, Jr. Ed.), Occupations and Social Status. Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press.
- Greenwood, Michael J. 1975. Research on Internal Migration in the United States: A Survey. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 13 (June): 397-433.
- Hennigh, Lawrence. 1978. The Good Life and the Taxpayers' Revolt. Rural Sociology, 43 (Summer): 178-90.
- 8. Lansing, John B., and Eva Mueller. 1967. The Geographic Mobili-ty of Labor. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Institute for Social Research.
- 9. Morrison, Peter A., and Judith P. Wheeler. 1976. Rural Renaissance in America? Population Bulletin 31. Population Reference Bureau.
- Price, Dan O., and M. M. Sikes. 1975. Rural-Urban Migration Research in the United States. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office.

paper is based was funded by the North Rural Development, Ames, Iowa, and by cultural Experiment Station.

1

The Revival of Population Growth in a. Washington: USDA ERS-605.

eople on the Land. In (Thomas R. Ford, Iowa State University Press, pp. 37-54.

J. Stangler, and George H. Dailey, Jr. netropolitan Areas: The Ozarks. Paper neetings of The Rural Sociological Socie-

nd Audie L. Blevins, Jr. 1977. Attitudes ng in Jackson Hole. *Journal of the Comety of America*, 8 (Spring 1977): 62-73.

 A Socioeconomic Index for All Oc-Reiss, Jr. Ed.), Occupations and Social 'ree Press.

975. Research on Internal Migration in vey. Journal of Economic Literature, 13

 The Good Life and the Taxpayers' 3 (Summer): 178-90.

a Mueller. 1967. The Geographic Mobililichigan: Institute for Social Research.

nd Judith P. Wheeler. 1976. Rural a? Population Bulletin 31. Population

M. Sikes. 1975. Rural-Urban Migration States Washington: U. S. Government URBAN MIGRANTS TO RURAL MIDWEST

- Ritchey, P. Neal. 1976. Explanations of Migration. In (A. Inkeles, ed.) Annual Review of Society 2. Palo Alto, California: Annual Reviews, Inc. pp. 363-404.
- Schwarzweller, Harry K. 1978. Migration and the Changing Rural Scene. Presidential address delivered at the annual meeting of The Rural Sociological Society, San Francisco, California, September, 1978.

13. Time. 1976. Americans on the Move. March 15.

 Zuiches, James J. and David L. Brown. 1978. The Changing Character of the Nonmetro Population, 1950-75. In (Thomas R. Ford, ed.) Rural U. S. A., Ames: Iowa State University Press, pp. 55-72.



INDUSTRY'S ROLE IN NO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CHANGE

Richard E. Lonsdale

<text><text><text><text>



CHAPTER SIX

INDUSTRY'S ROLE IN NONMETROPOLITAN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND POPULATION CHANGE

Richard E. Lonsdale

An understanding of population change and redistribution in the Midwest as well as the whole United States must include an apprecia-tion of spatial changes in employment opportunities. American labor is reasonably mobile, and if jobs are lacking locally, people often move to places of better opportunity. I am not suggesting that employment is the only factor influencing regional population shifts, although it may well be the most important consideration. A complex variety of other factors also influence a person's decision to migrate or remain where they are [28]. This chapter focuses on nonmetropolitan areas. It is in this sector of the Midwest and the nation that the celebrated "population

<text><text><text><text>

sooner or later. That they occurred sooner in the United States than in many other nations is presumably ascribable to 1) sizeable metropolitan-nonmetropolitan differentials in wage levels and labor attitudes, 2) the greater deterioration of large city environments here than in other modern industrial nations, and 3) unusually good highways and trucking services.

The volume of literature examining nonmetropolitan economic development and demographic change has been increasing since the early 1960s, particularly since 1970. The publications pace is still early 1900s, particularly since 1970. The publications pace is solution quickening, reflecting a growing awareness of the significance to the whole nation of recent developments in nonmetropolitan areas. Useful bibliographies by Kale [17] and Smith, et al. [32], and com-prehensive works by Summers et al. [34], Whiting [38], and Lonsdale and Seyler [24] are strongly recommended as research aids.

The Cycle of Areal Concentration and Deconcentration

The historical problems of nonmetropolitan areas are those as-sociated with uneven regional development: limited employment op-

The historical problems of nonmetropolitan areas are those as-sociated with uneven regional development: limited employment op-portunities compared with growing urban centers; demographic stagnation through outmigration, especially of younger and better-educated persons, the slow demise of many country towns as they lost central-place functions; the limited availability of many public and private services; an undiversified economy; and a frequent lack of confidence in the future. In effect, growth and prosperity were concentrated in the cities, and great inequities have prevailed between metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas. The historical origins of areal concentration and regional inequi-ty in the United States are well-known. The farmlands of the Midwest had hardly been settled when the urban-industrial revolu-tion hit the region with full force. With this revolution, the technological modernization of agriculture was initiated, bringing increased productivity and a declining need for farm labor. As larger urban-industrial centers emerged, with expanding employment op-portunities, rural-to-urban population migration helped to reduce geographic imbalances in the labor market. Net outmigration accease a necessary and standard feature of rural and small town areas. Life in the city was variously perceived as more comfortable, more secure, or more promising. Areal concentration and regional inequity became a fact of life.

inequity became a fact of life. The U.S. experience should be viewed within a theoretical framework applicable in virtually all modern societies. In the pre-industrial stage of development there is comparatively little areal concentration and regional inequity. Most of the population is agrarian, and cottage industry accounts for much of the industrial output. This pattern of regional deconcentration is modified, however, with the advent of urbanization, industrialization, and technological modernization. Industry found higher profits where it concentrated in emerging urban centers to take advantage of scale

and agglomeration economies and impr suppliers [4]. The farm-to-city migratio of both rural areas, with surplus lab growing labor needs. But the stress of deed placed a great strain on the political

[22] In time, however, as the indust energe a number of elements which oncentration. In effect, industrial of economies of scale become more us tranished, and deconcentration of indus-strong interregional linkages, and cer dispersal or decentralization of indus-coded business mustice. Thesefore, as world business mustice. Thesefore, as dispersal or decentralization of indust capted business practice. Therefore, re-diminsh, although probably never to t state (39) If the course of regional equ traces out a "U" curve, with the low p maximum areal concentration. This viewing the cycle of areal concentra sometimes referred to as the "William In the concentration-decongent

In the concentration-deconcentr plays a critical but different role in o In the concentration-deconcent pays a critical but different role in in rentralization phase," improvements rentralization phase," improvements rentralization phase," improvements rentralization phase, "improvements rentralization phase," improvements rentralization phase, "improvements make it possible for manufacturers of addition costs. In time, however, conti-tion cas exemplified by the interstate trucking services, air travel, and market from almost any schemester duction economies are achieved by yn metropolitan areas amidist growing de feeling that "chang energy" impact of substantially higher energy market from almost and populat market name of jobs and population of the population of

The Record of Nonmetropo

Ine nector of non-interactor it is appropriate to examine to netropolitan manufacturing employ the Midwest with three objectives trends been?, 21 does the record so deconcentration thesis?, and 3) does

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

curred sooner in the United States than presumably ascribable to 1) sizeable an differentials in wage levels and labor eterioration of large city environments ndustrial nations, and 3) unusually good

e examining nonmetropolitan eco hic change has been increasing since the nce 1970. The publications pace is still wing awareness of the significance to the velopments in nonmetropolitan areas. ale [17] and Smith, et al. [32], and com-ers et al. [34], Whiting [38], and Lonsdale recommended as research aids

centration and Deconcentration

of nonmetropolitan areas are those as-al development. limited employment op-growing urban centers; demographic edmise of many contry towns as they the limited availability of many public iversified economy, and a frequent lack In effect; growth and prosperity were and great inequities have prevailed nonnetropolitan areas.

nonmetropolitan areas. areal concentration and regional inequi-title when the urban-industrial resolu-title dree. With this revolution, the of agriculture was initiated, bringing declining need for farm labor. As larger the labor market. Net contingration market Net contingration helped to reduce andard feature of rural and small town cariously precived as more confortable, ising. Areal concentration and regional the viewed within a theoretical

Ising Area toward within a theoretical hould be viewed within a theoretical mould be a modern societies. In the pre-trailing and modern societies in the pre-lation of the population is integrated to the population of the industrial regional deconcentration is modified of urbanization, industrialization, and of urbanization, industrialization, and of urbanization, industrialization, and of urbanization, industrialization, and of urbanization, and higher profits where it in Industry found higher profits where it in the profits where it and the profits where it is a social to take advantage of scale

and agglomeration economies and improved access to markets and suppliers [4]. The farm-to-city migration worked to the advantage of both rural areas, with surplus labor, and urban areas, with growing labor needs. But the stress of all this areal dislocation placed a great strain on the political and social fabric of society root

<text><text><text>

The Record of Nonmetropolitan Industrialization

It is appropriate to examine the statistical record of non-metropolitan manufacturing employment in the United States and the Midwest with three objectives in mind: 1) what have the specific trends been?, 2) does the record substantiate the concentration-deconcentration thesis?, and 3) does the more recent record suggest

a causal basis for population changes which have taken place? It is assumed, a priori, that an increasing share of industry in non-metropolitan areas means an increase in employment opportunities there and a decrease in areal concentration nationally.

The record is generally consistent with the concentration-deconcentration thesis. As evident in Figure 6.1 nonmetropolitan (or roughly equivalent) areas have accounted for an increasing share of total U.S. manufacturing employment in the past quarter-century, total U.S. manufacturing employment in the past quarter-century, but prior to that the trend was generally downward. For both the United States and the Midwest, the overall pattern is that of a "U-shaped" curve, although the temporary reversal in concentration trends during the 13030 simparts a kind of "W" shape to the trend. An "industrial turnaround" occurred in the mid-1950s for the United States as a whole, but appears to have occurred in the late 1940s in the Midwest. Prior to this time, periods of economic slowdown or depression probably encouraged deconcentration hau since the in-dustrial turnaround, deconcentration has generally been associated with economic expansion. There has alwavas been a fairly substantial amount of industrial

with economic expansion. There has always been a fairly substantial amount of industrial employment in nonmetropolitan areas. With all the attention given to decentralization in recent decades, it is easy to overlook the fact that nonmetropolitan industry's share of the national total was ap-parently never below 22 percent in the United States as a whole and not much below 20 percent in the Midwest. A fairly detailed record of nonmetropolitan industrialization is available for the years since 1959, thanks largely to the work of Claude C. Haren, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture [10, 11, 12]. Comparable data are available for the 1962-78 period. A brief summary of some of Haren's data is pro-vided in Table 6.1. In the 1962-1978 period, U.S. nonmetropolitan industrial

1962-78 period. A brief summary of some of Haren's data is provided in Table 6.1. In the 1962-1978 period, U.S. nonmetropolitan industrial employment increased by 1,822,000 or 47 percent, compared with a metropolitan increase of 1,426,000 or 11 percent. Nonmetropolitan areas, with 31 percent of the national population in 1970, thus garnered 56 percent of the national net industrial expansion. Industrial employment in nonmetropolitan areas now substantially exceeds agricultural employment, nonmetropolitan areas can now claim to be almost as industrialized (ratio of employment to copulation) as the nation as a whole. The 1962-1978 record was not an even one, with much of the nonmetropolitan increase coming during times of national periods. Overall, it can be generalized that the first eight years, 1962-70, were ones where industrial employment increased nationally, in metropolitan areas and in nonmetropolitan areas. The 1970-78 period, however, was one where national manufacturing employment stagnated, metropolitan employment declined, and nonmetropolitan employment increased. For example, between

INDUSTRY'S ROLE



Fig.6.1. Changing share of manufacture metropolitan (or roughly equivalent and Midwest

Sources 1899-1958 data from Creamer 1992-1978 data from Haren and Holli Haren and Holling data are specifically whereas Creamer's data are for count having fewer than 10,000 manufacturi large as 100,000 population. Where Creamer's data are roughly equival areas

1970 and 1978, metropolitan areas exp million jobs, while nonmetropolitan areas nonmetropolitan areas at the expense of larger urban centers. The Midden expenses of larger urban centers monapicture. The 1962-78 jobs areas a gan of dooloo in metropolitan areas a gan of dooloo in metropolitan areas a dool of the politan areas in dustrial jobs reason areas a dool of the politan areas in dustrial politance in the politan areas and dool areas and areas a dool of the politan areas in dustrial politance in the politan areas and dool areas and areas a dool and the politan areas in dustrial politance in the politan areas and the politance in the politan areas in dustrial politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the politance in the politance in the dool areas and the politance in the

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

changes which have taken place? It is increasing share of industry in non-increase in employment opportunities concentration nationally.

consistent with the concentration-ident in Figure 61 nonmetropolitan (or we accounted for an increasing share of uployment in the past quarter-century, was generally downward. For both the west, the overall plattern is that of a he temporary reversal in concentration west, the overall plattern is that of a he temporary reversal in concentration trade in the mid-1950s for the United rs to have occurred in the late 1940s in time, periods of conomic slowdown or ime, periods of conomic slowdown or aged deconcentration, but since the in-netration has generally been associated consistent with the concentration

fairly substantial amount of industrial fairly substantial amount of industrial tan areas. With all the attention given decades, it is easy to overlook the fact ry's share of the national total was ap-ent in the United States as a whole and the Midwest. of nonmetropolitan industrialization is a 1959, thanks largely to the work of mearable data are available for the immary of some of Haren's data is pro-tines industrial

varianty of some of Haren's data is pro-due US nonmetropolitan industrial 22,000 or 11 percent, compared with a 26,000 or 11 percent. Nonmetropolitan national net industrial expansion. In-imetropolitan areas now substantial metropolitan areas now substantial ustrialized (ratio of employment to a whole. as not an even one, with much of the as not an even one, with much of the as not an even one with much of the essential employment increased and industrial employment increased and industrial and manufacturing as one where national manufacturing an one whore national manufacturing an even on metropolitan areas fun-tional manufacturing and the substantian tropolitan employment declined, and monitares of For example, between



Fig. 6.1. Changing share of manufacturing employment in non-metropolitan (or roughly equivalent) areas, United States and Midwest

Sources: 1899-1958 data from Creamer [6, pp. 30-31, 130-131] and 1962-1978 data from Haren and Holling [12, pp. 18 and 27]. The Haren and Holling data are specifically for nonmetropolitan areas, whereas Creamer's data are for counties outside industrial areas having fewer than 10,000 manufacturing employees and no city as large as 100,000 population. Where comparisons are possible, Creamer's data are roughly equivalent to those for nonmetro areas. areas.

1970 and 1978, metropolitan areas experienced a net loss of a half-million jobs, while nonmetropolitan areas gained about 600,000. Nonmetropolitan communities are gaining industrial jobs largely at the expense of larger urban centers. In the Midwest the situation has largely paralleled the na-tional picture. The 1962-78 period saw a gain of 564,000 non-metropolitan industrial jobs (a 48 percent increase), compared with a gain of 405,000 in metropolitan areas (a 10 percent increase). More recently, metropolitan areas have suffered a net loss of in-dustrial jobs. The Midwest's share of total U. S. nonmetropolitan factory employment has remained at about 30 percent through this period (12, p. 29). Manufacturing is not a growth sector of the U.S. economy, as the data in Table 6.1 demonstrate. Employment has remained

around 19 to 20 million since 1966. In the nonmetropolitan employment has climbe and more recently diminishing pace, w have suffered absolute declines. This co growth demonstrates 1) the locational fle upse, and 2) the continued preference f upse on the part of many industry execut gests that nonmetropolitan industrial ex-sist down, with employment levels hitti for the nation as a whole. Or, continue may be tied to ongoing metropolitan lo may be a logical arrangement, and per ulas e larger cities depending less on mu trade and services, while the surrounding creasingly dependent on manufacturing.

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

The Place of Industrial Expansion

The Place of Industrial Expansion Way is so much attention given to ma industrial expansion affect growth in oth some clarification is in order. Manufacturing's role in overall non version of the local economy provides the bi-set of the local economy provides the bi-set of the local economy provides the set of the local economy provides and the set of the local economy provides was employment. If, for example, a set on the local endployment in the loconomic base multipliers for incomes real to an economy for your the set of the local to the local economy provides.

The second secon

Heat 12715 3007 2230 2250 2250 2250 2250 2230 2330 <t< th=""><th>Itele States 12.715 3.907 22.35 1997 19.300 12.715 3.907 22.35 1974 19.300 14.541 4.849 22.35 1974 19.300 14.541 5.10 25.59 1974 19.300 14.541 5.10 25.59 201 15.729 5.663 25.59 25.69 201 14.561 1.420 26.63 26.80 201 1.426 1.422 26.80 26.80 201 1.426 1.426 1.22 26.80 201 1.426 1.426 1.22 26.90 201 2.529 2.60 1.426 27.60 201 4.19 1.181 2.22.1 27.61 201 4.05 5.64 1.436 27.61 201 4.05 5.64 1.436 27.61 202 5.300 4.19 1.181 2.22.1 203 4.05 5.64<th>10:00 13:00 12:715 3:907 12:315 19:10 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:11 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:141 5:729 12:59 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:121 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:141 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 5:300 14:26 1.1422 13:81 12:81 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.131 12:45 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:71 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:75 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:745 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1</th><th>Het States 16,52,715 3,907 223,55 667 19,360 12,715 3,907 223,55 673 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 674 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 714 19,360 14,541 3,607 223,55 714 19,360 14,342 5,100 253,59 253,59 714 13,320 14,141 3,728 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,61 278,61 268,61 278,61<</th><th>(100 States) (100 States)<</th><th>States States 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,215 12,215 12,225</th><th>ed States 6 2 15 15 3 907 233 9 6 7 18 451 3 907 233 9 7 8 9 80 18 451 5 18 5 18 5 18 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18</th><th>ed States 67 16 27 15 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 68 19 300 14 51 1 300 (253) 68 19 300 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 1 18 2 300 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 1</th><th></th><th>Total (thousands)</th><th>Metropolitan^b (thousands)</th><th>(thousands</th><th>onmetropolitan (percentage of United States and Midwest total)</th></th></t<>	Itele States 12.715 3.907 22.35 1997 19.300 12.715 3.907 22.35 1974 19.300 14.541 4.849 22.35 1974 19.300 14.541 5.10 25.59 1974 19.300 14.541 5.10 25.59 201 15.729 5.663 25.59 25.69 201 14.561 1.420 26.63 26.80 201 1.426 1.422 26.80 26.80 201 1.426 1.426 1.22 26.80 201 1.426 1.426 1.22 26.90 201 2.529 2.60 1.426 27.60 201 4.19 1.181 2.22.1 27.61 201 4.05 5.64 1.436 27.61 201 4.05 5.64 1.436 27.61 202 5.300 4.19 1.181 2.22.1 203 4.05 5.64 <th>10:00 13:00 12:715 3:907 12:315 19:10 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:11 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:141 5:729 12:59 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:121 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:141 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 5:300 14:26 1.1422 13:81 12:81 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.131 12:45 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:71 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:75 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:745 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1</th> <th>Het States 16,52,715 3,907 223,55 667 19,360 12,715 3,907 223,55 673 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 674 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 714 19,360 14,541 3,607 223,55 714 19,360 14,342 5,100 253,59 253,59 714 13,320 14,141 3,728 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,61 278,61 268,61 278,61<</th> <th>(100 States) (100 States)<</th> <th>States States 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,215 12,215 12,225</th> <th>ed States 6 2 15 15 3 907 233 9 6 7 18 451 3 907 233 9 7 8 9 80 18 451 5 18 5 18 5 18 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18</th> <th>ed States 67 16 27 15 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 68 19 300 14 51 1 300 (253) 68 19 300 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 1 18 2 300 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 1</th> <th></th> <th>Total (thousands)</th> <th>Metropolitan^b (thousands)</th> <th>(thousands</th> <th>onmetropolitan (percentage of United States and Midwest total)</th>	10:00 13:00 12:715 3:907 12:315 19:10 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:11 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:641 4:849 12:35 19:12 13:300 14:141 5:729 12:59 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:121 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 3:280 14:141 5:729 12:80 Ohange, 1982/78 5:300 14:26 1.1422 13:81 12:81 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.131 12:45 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:71 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:75 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1345 12:745 12:71 Ohange, 1982/78 5:30 4:169 1.1	Het States 16,52,715 3,907 223,55 667 19,360 12,715 3,907 223,55 673 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 674 19,360 14,541 3,907 223,55 714 19,360 14,541 3,607 223,55 714 19,360 14,342 5,100 253,59 253,59 714 13,320 14,141 3,728 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,55 268,61 268,61 278,61 278,61 268,61 278,61<	(100 States) (100 States)<	States States 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,715 12,215 12,215 12,225	ed States 6 2 15 15 3 907 233 9 6 7 18 451 3 907 233 9 7 8 9 80 18 451 5 18 5 18 5 18 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	ed States 67 16 27 15 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 67 19 300 14 51 1 3 907 (233) 68 19 300 14 51 1 300 (253) 68 19 300 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 14 31 1 300 (253) 68 19 19 20 1 18 2 300 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 11 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 68 19 14 14 1 1 30 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 69 5 300 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 4 16 9 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 18 2 (253) 60 5 30 5 30 5 30 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 1		Total (thousands)	Metropolitan ^b (thousands)	(thousands	onmetropolitan (percentage of United States and Midwest total)
Bigg 16.22 12.715 3.907 (2.25) 1970 19300 14.644 3.907 (2.25) 1970 19300 14.644 5.10 (2.50) 1970 19300 14.654 5.10 (2.50) 1971 19300 14.654 5.10 (2.50) 1973 19.900 14.141 5.729 (2.8) (2.8) 1970 14.20 5.729 (2.8) (2.8) (2.8) (2.8) 1970 14.141 5.729 (2.8) (2	(962) (15 C2) (17 15) 3 007 (15 C2)	1962 15.23 12.715 3.007 3.23.5 1970 19.93 14.541 4.800 2.23.5 1970 19.784 14.541 4.800 2.23.5 1970 19.784 14.541 4.800 2.23.5 1970 19.784 14.541 4.800 2.23.5 1970 19.800 14.43 5.700 2.663 2.269 Change 196.77B 3.248 14.43 5.729 2.63.9 2.64 2.63.9 Avest ⁶ 5.329 14.43 5.729 2.63.9 2.63.9 2.64 2.63.9 2.63.9 2.64 2.71.9 2.64 2.71.6 <	662 16.622 12.715 3.907 (5.23) 70 19.764 14.644 4.969 (5.23) 71 19.764 14.644 4.969 (5.23) 71 19.764 14.644 5.10 (5.23) 71 19.764 14.644 5.10 (5.23) 71 19.764 14.644 5.10 (5.23) 71 19.870 14.141 5.729 (5.23) 71 14.141 5.720 (5.23) (5.23) Most 5.729 1.1426 5.729 (2.83) Most 6.330 1.426 1.162 (2.83) Most 6.319 4.54 1.745 (2.21) Most 6.319 4.54 1.745 (2.7) Most 6.320 4.54 1.745 (2.7) Most 9.69 4.05 5.74 (2.7) Most 9.74 1.745 (2.7) (2.7) Most 9.6	962 16.62 12.715 3.907 (22.5) 970 19.324 14.541 3.907 (22.5) 971 19.324 14.541 5.110 (25.0) 972 19.324 14.654 5.663 (25.0) 978 19.320 14.654 5.10 (25.0) (25.0) 979 19.800 14.141 5.729 (28.3) (28.3) 906 14.141 5.729 (28.3) (28.3) (28.3) 906 14.22 5.230 4.169 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 906 5.329 4.169 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) (28.3) 908 5.329 4.169 1.181 (27.4) (28.1) (28.1) 909 5.329 4.169 1.142 (28.2) (28.1) (28.1) 908 5.230 4.169 1.142 (28.1) (28.1) (28.1) 909 5.246 9.394 5.245 (28.1)	0 16.62 12.15 3907 (23.5) 17.54 19.300 14.44 4.849 (23.5) 19.300 19.306 14.454 5.110 (23.5) 19.300 19.306 14.454 5.110 (23.5) 19.300 19.326 14.454 5.100 (23.5) (23.6) 0.9 1962-78 3.249 1.426 5.763 (23.6) (23.6) 0.9 1962-78 3.249 1.426 1.822 (23.6) (23.6) 0.9 1962-78 3.249 1.426 1.822 (23.6) (23.6) 0.9 1962-78 5.300 4.169 1.161 (22.1) (23.1) 0.9 1962-78 5.300 4.169 1.745 (23.6) (23.6) 0.9 1962-78 5.300 4.169 1.745 (22.1) (22.1) 0.9 1962-78 5.300 4.169 1.745 (22.1) (22.1) 0.9 1962-78 <td< td=""><td>62 12 12 3907 353 7 19 74 14 300 353 7 19 74 14 510 353 353 7 19 74 14 510 353 353 353 353 353 353 353 364 353 364 353 368 353 368</td><td>62 12,715 3,907 12,315 70 19,784 14,644 4,849 123,51 74 19,784 14,644 6,819 123,51 74 19,784 14,426 5,103 123,52 78 19,974 14,320 5,63 25,73 78 19,870 14,141 5,729 258 258 78 1,426 1,426 5,729 258<</td><td>nited States</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>from an and a second seco</td></td<>	62 12 12 3907 353 7 19 74 14 300 353 7 19 74 14 510 353 353 7 19 74 14 510 353 353 353 353 353 353 353 364 353 364 353 368 353 368	62 12,715 3,907 12,315 70 19,784 14,644 4,849 123,51 74 19,784 14,644 6,819 123,51 74 19,784 14,426 5,103 123,52 78 19,974 14,320 5,63 25,73 78 19,870 14,141 5,729 258 258 78 1,426 1,426 5,729 258<	nited States				from an and a second seco
1967 13541 4640 4551 4560 45501 455	(967) (1330) (1541) 4.649 (1550) (1974) (1541) 4.649 (1550) (1974) (1964) (110) (1550) (1974) (1964) (110) (1550) (1974) (1980) (141) (100) (1550) (1974) (1980) (141) (100) (1550) (1550) (1980) (141) (100) (110) (1550) (126) (126) Model (142) (142) (142) (142) (126) (126) Model (142) (142) (142) (126) (128) (128) Model (142) (142) (142) (142) (128) (128) Model (142) (142) (142) (142) (142) (128) Model (142) (142) (142) (142) (128) (128) Model (142) (142) (142) (142) (142) (128) <	1967 13,541 4,69 15,511 4,69 15,50 1974 13,800 14,664 5,10 15,50 15,50 1974 13,800 14,141 5,729 15,50 15,50 Ohange, 1962,76 3,246 1,426 1,426 1,259 12,80 0466 3,246 1,126 1,222 1,286 1,283 0476 3,249 1,126 1,282 1,383 1,345 0478 6,39 4,169 1,181 1,245 2,893 1,383 0478 6,39 4,153 1,345 5,64 1,345 2,316 1,364 1,345 1	667 1930 1454 2489 250 714 1932 1932 1454 210 725 1932 1936 1454 210 726 1936 14320 726 1936 1936 14320 727 1432 510 728 1936 14320 728 1936 14320 728 1936 1936 1559 728 1932 1438 728 1438 7	967 13,30 14,64 5,10 15,50 974 19,300 14,64 5,10 15,50 974 19,320 14,64 5,10 15,50 978 19,320 14,14 5,564 16,50 15,50 978 19,822 3,249 14,14 5,729 12,83 12,83 978 3,249 14,14 5,729 1,822 18,81 12,82 978 3,249 1,426 1,622 1,622 12,83 12,83 978 5,329 4,169 1,161 1,222 12,82 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 12,83 13,83 12,93 12,93 12,93 12,93 12,93 13,93 12,164 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 12,161 <td>1 1330 1441 460 663 197 1393 14454 510 553 197 1393 14424 510 553 19. 1393 14426 510 553 19. 1380 14426 510 553 19. 1380 14426 513 563 19. 1436 1435 563 583 19. 1436 1445 563 583 19. 1445 572 283 283 19. 539 4154 1745 283 283 19. 533 4154 1745 273 283 19. 196278 5339 4154 1745 274 274 19. 1962778 5339 4154 1745 274 275 275 275 275 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276</td> <td>67 19.300 14.547 6.800 6.800 73 19.953 14.547 5.800 6.800 6.500 73 19.953 14.300 5.800 6.800 6.500 6.500 78 19.953 14.300 5.400 6.500</td> <td>67 19.300 14.54 4.65 4.64 <t< td=""><td>1962</td><td>16.622</td><td>12 715</td><td>3 907</td><td>1 000</td></t<></td>	1 1330 1441 460 663 197 1393 14454 510 553 197 1393 14424 510 553 19. 1393 14426 510 553 19. 1380 14426 510 553 19. 1380 14426 513 563 19. 1436 1435 563 583 19. 1436 1445 563 583 19. 1445 572 283 283 19. 539 4154 1745 283 283 19. 533 4154 1745 273 283 19. 196278 5339 4154 1745 274 274 19. 1962778 5339 4154 1745 274 275 275 275 275 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276 276	67 19.300 14.547 6.800 6.800 73 19.953 14.547 5.800 6.800 6.500 73 19.953 14.300 5.800 6.800 6.500 6.500 78 19.953 14.300 5.400 6.500	67 19.300 14.54 4.65 4.64 <t< td=""><td>1962</td><td>16.622</td><td>12 715</td><td>3 907</td><td>1 000</td></t<>	1962	16.622	12 715	3 907	1 000
1970 13 764 14 664 5 710 (5 50) 1974 19 983 14 320 5 710 (5 50) 1978 19 983 14 320 5 653 (5 50) 1978 19 880 14 320 5 653 (2 8 3) 1978 19 880 14 320 5 653 (2 8 3) 1978 3249 14 320 5 553 (2 8 3) 1080 14 32 5 550 (2 8 3) (2 8 3) 1082 3249 1 426 1 82 (2 8 3) (2 8 3) 1082 5 360 4 169 1 181 (2 7 9) (2 8 1) 1082 5 360 4 169 1 36 (2 7 9) (2 7 9) 1083 5 364 5 364 (2 7 8) 5 37 8) (2 7 8)	(9) (1) <td>(1970) (1974) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174)<</td> <td>70 70 7.4 19.76 1.4.664 5.74 1.5.91 79 79 19.764 11.6.64 5.74 1.5.91 79 79 19.80 11.4.20 5.74 1.5.91 79 19.80 1.4.1.41 5.79 1.5.23 1.8.30 109 192.2 3.2.48 1.4.4.6 5.79 1.8.33 1.8.23 Note 5.30 1.4.2.6 1.822 1.822 1.8.33 1.8.33 Note 5.30 4.19 1.1.4.6 5.765 1.8.33 1.2.23 1.3.24 1.2.23 1.3.24 1.2.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.2.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.245<td>970 1974 14.644 5.105 5.105 5.105 978 19.324 19.324 5.105 5.105 5.505 978 19.827 19.830 14.141 5.505 5.603 (25.9) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.9) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9062 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9063 9.319 4.574 1.181 (22.1) (22.1) 9073 9.399 4.05 9.64 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.016 1.181 (27.7)</td><td>0 1974 1455 5173 5173 5550 0.0 1982 14364 5173 550 550 0.0 1982 14320 5683 523 553 0.0 1982 1432 5683 523 523 1 3248 1442 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 1582 583 583 0.0 1962 530 4169 1 162 533 583 575 576 583 575</td><td>00 19.764 14.664 5.100 5.100 5.100 5.200 13 19.862 14.320 5.000 5.220 5.800 5.830 19.961 19.870 14.310 5.720 5.800 5.830 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.83 5.85 5.83 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.76 5.85 5.85 5.76 5.85 5.76</td><td>00 13 74 14 56 57 56 55<</td><td>1967</td><td>19.390</td><td>14.541</td><td>0,000</td><td>(0.02)</td></td>	(1970) (1974) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174) (1174)<	70 70 7.4 19.76 1.4.664 5.74 1.5.91 79 79 19.764 11.6.64 5.74 1.5.91 79 79 19.80 11.4.20 5.74 1.5.91 79 19.80 1.4.1.41 5.79 1.5.23 1.8.30 109 192.2 3.2.48 1.4.4.6 5.79 1.8.33 1.8.23 Note 5.30 1.4.2.6 1.822 1.822 1.8.33 1.8.33 Note 5.30 4.19 1.1.4.6 5.765 1.8.33 1.2.23 1.3.24 1.2.23 1.3.24 1.2.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.2.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.245 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.23 1.3.245 1.3.245 <td>970 1974 14.644 5.105 5.105 5.105 978 19.324 19.324 5.105 5.105 5.505 978 19.827 19.830 14.141 5.505 5.603 (25.9) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.9) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9062 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9063 9.319 4.574 1.181 (22.1) (22.1) 9073 9.399 4.05 9.64 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.016 1.181 (27.7)</td> <td>0 1974 1455 5173 5173 5550 0.0 1982 14364 5173 550 550 0.0 1982 14320 5683 523 553 0.0 1982 1432 5683 523 523 1 3248 1442 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 1582 583 583 0.0 1962 530 4169 1 162 533 583 575 576 583 575</td> <td>00 19.764 14.664 5.100 5.100 5.100 5.200 13 19.862 14.320 5.000 5.220 5.800 5.830 19.961 19.870 14.310 5.720 5.800 5.830 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.83 5.85 5.83 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.76 5.85 5.85 5.76 5.85 5.76</td> <td>00 13 74 14 56 57 56 55<</td> <td>1967</td> <td>19.390</td> <td>14.541</td> <td>0,000</td> <td>(0.02)</td>	970 1974 14.644 5.105 5.105 5.105 978 19.324 19.324 5.105 5.105 5.505 978 19.827 19.830 14.141 5.505 5.603 (25.9) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.9) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 3.248 11.426 5.603 (25.2) (28.3) 9061 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9062 5.309 4.159 1.181 (22.1) (28.3) 9063 9.319 4.574 1.181 (22.1) (22.1) 9073 9.399 4.05 9.64 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.181 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) 9084 1.016 1.016 1.181 (27.7)	0 1974 1455 5173 5173 5550 0.0 1982 14364 5173 550 550 0.0 1982 14320 5683 523 553 0.0 1982 1432 5683 523 523 1 3248 1442 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 583 583 1 3248 1443 5783 1582 583 583 0.0 1962 530 4169 1 162 533 583 575 576 583 575	00 19.764 14.664 5.100 5.100 5.100 5.200 13 19.862 14.320 5.000 5.220 5.800 5.830 19.961 19.870 14.310 5.720 5.800 5.830 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.830 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.83 5.85 5.83 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.84 5.76 5.85 5.85 5.76 5.85 5.76	00 13 74 14 56 57 56 55<	1967	19.390	14.541	0,000	(0.02)
19:4 19:30 14:30 5:60 12:33 13:34 13:34 1	1974 1983 14.320 5.643 (28.3) 20ange. 1982.78 19837 14.141 5.729 (28.3) 20ange. 1982.78 3.248 1,426 (28.3) (28.3) Anser 5.729 1,426 (28.3) (28.3) Anser 5.729 1,426 (28.3) (28.3) Anser 5.340 4.69 1,181 (22.1) 373 6.319 4.574 1,345 (27.4) 20ange. 1982.78 6.319 4.574 1,345 (27.6) MCE Conventionnelland data in New metricultilization in New metricultililililililization in New metricultil	1974 1974 1983 1430 563 (823) 1978 1982 79 1982 1432 (823) 0hange: 1982 79 1982 1428 1411 572 (823) 04061 1428 1411 1428 (823) 04061 1428 530 4169 1181 (823) 1978 530 4169 1181 (823) 1978 530 4169 11745 (823) 1978 530 4169 (833) 1978 530 540 1748 (833) 1978 541 1745 (823) 1978 541 1745	974 11320 5100 1230 978 1983 14320 5100 (253) 1000 19827 1436 5729 (283) 1000 3249 1456 1320 (283) 1000 3249 1456 132 (283) 1000 5729 5729 (283) (283) 1000 5729 1426 1145 (221) 1000 5739 4169 1141 (221) 1000 564 1745 (221) (221) 1000 564 1745 (221) (221) 1000 564 1745 (21) (21) 1000 564 1745 (21) (21) 1000 564 1745 (21) (21) 1000 564 1745 (21) (21) 1000 564 1600 (21) (21)	974 19320 14320 563 (233) 978 1982 1436 529 (233) Nange, 1982-78 1983 14,26 1222 (283) Nange, 1982-78 3,249 14,141 529 (283) Anosif 3,239 14,26 (233) (233) Anosif 5,349 1,181 (221) Anosif 5,349 4,169 1,181 (221) Anosif 5,349 4,54 1,746 (27) Anosif 6,319 4,54 1,746 (27) Anosif 6,329 4,54 1,746 (27) Anosif 9,82,78 6,39 4,54 (27) Anosif 4,05 4,05 5,64 (27) Anosif 4,05 4,54 1,746 (27) Anosif 4,05 4,64 1,64 (27) Anosif 4,05 5,64 1,765 (27) Anosif 4,65	1 1933 14,220 563 573 563 573 563 573 573 573 573 573 573 </td <td>1 1 1963 14330 5603 2033 10 1967 14131 5789 2033 2030 angor 1965/18 19,870 14141 5789 2030 2030 exet^f 3,248 1,446 1,822 2030 2030 2030 exet^f 6,603 1,161 5,799 1,822 2030 2030 and 8 6,99 4,159 1,161 2,793 2030 2030 and gate 1 6,63 4,059 4,054 1,161 2,754 203 2030 and start 6,63 4,059 4,054 1,745 2,755 2,755 2,755 2,756</td> <td>74 74 1983 14337 5663 5633 1987 1433 5739 18</td> <td>1970</td> <td>19.764</td> <td>14654</td> <td>CTT U</td> <td>(0.62)</td>	1 1 1963 14330 5603 2033 10 1967 14131 5789 2033 2030 angor 1965/18 19,870 14141 5789 2030 2030 exet ^f 3,248 1,446 1,822 2030 2030 2030 exet ^f 6,603 1,161 5,799 1,822 2030 2030 and 8 6,99 4,159 1,161 2,793 2030 2030 and gate 1 6,63 4,059 4,054 1,161 2,754 203 2030 and start 6,63 4,059 4,054 1,745 2,755 2,755 2,755 2,756	74 74 1983 14337 5663 5633 1987 1433 5739 18	1970	19.764	14654	CTT U	(0.62)
1978 1987 14,140 5,729 (28.5) (Annier 1982/78 13,248 14,141 5,729 (28.5) 1,426 1,426 1,428 (28.5) 1,426 1,428 1,428 (28.5) 1,426 1,428 (28.5) 1,426 1,428 (27.6) 2,839 4,554 1,138 (22.7) 4,574 1,145 (27.7) 9,64 5,54 (27.7)	918 196276 19870 14141 5,003 (28.5) Change 196276 3,249 11,426 1,622 (28.9) 0.04eef 928 5,249 1,426 1,622 (28.9) 1,426 1,414 5,729 (28.9) 918 962 5,350 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 918 96278 6,319 4,574 1,745 (22.1) 918 96278 6,319 4,574 1,745 (22.1) 918 962 4,05 5,64 (22.1) 918 962 4,05 5,64 (22.1) 918 962 1,745 (22.1) 919 963 1,745 (22.1) 919 1,745	1978 13,270 13,140 5,229 12,329 (28.3) Almanger 192,778 3,248 1,426 5,229 (28.3) Anoretic 1,426 1,426 1,822 (28.3) Anoretic 5,340 1,426 1,822 (28.3) Anoretic 5,340 1,426 1,822 (28.3) Anoretic 5,340 4,164 1,181 (22.1) Anoretic 5,340 4,164 1,145 (27.6) Anoretic 5,64 1,745 (27.6) Anoretic 5,64 1,745 (27.6) Anoretic 5,64 1,245 (27.6)	978 1962-758 19870 14144 5729 (28.3) Manger 1962-758 13,248 14,458 5729 (28.3) Well Compared to the second secon	978 19827 5 19870 14,141 5,223 (28.5) Johnge 19827 75 3,248 1,426 5,223 (28.5) Most ⁶ 5,324 1,426 1,822 (28.5) Most ⁶ 5,324 1,426 1,822 (28.5) Most ⁶ 5,324 4,169 1,181 (28.2) Diamge 1982.78 6,319 4,574 1,181 (22.1) Diamge 1982.78 6,319 4,574 1,145 (27.6) Diamge 1982.78 9,664 1,181 (27.6) (28.7) R/E Diversition Administry interview methodinality of the method attain there and to the method attain the and attain without method attain the and attaint	09 1962-78 19.870 11.440 0.003 10.833 (28.3) 09 1962-78 3.248 11.456 1.745 0.003 (28.3) 61 5.320 1.426 1.725 (28.3) (28.3) 19 5.330 4.169 1.182 (28.3) (28.3) 09 1962-78 5.330 4.169 1.181 (22.1) 09 963 4.05 1.745 (28.3) (28.3) 09 1962-18 5.330 4.05 1.745 (27.6) 09 1962-18 5.349 4.05 5.64 (27.6) (28.7) 09 1962-18 5.349 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) (28.7) Demotypes 4.05 5.64 1.646 1.746 (27.6) (27.6) (27.6) 25 26 4.05 1.766 1.746 (27.6) (27.6) (27.6) (27.6) 25 27 1.764 1.764<	78 19,677 19,677 14,141 5,763 (28.5) 968 ^C 3,240 1,416 5,723 (28.5) 968 ^C 5,350 1,466 1,822 (28.5) 968 ^C 5,350 4,169 1,822 (28.5) 87 5,350 4,169 1,131 (22.1) 87 6,39 4,54 1,745 (27.6) 87 6,39 4,54 1,745 (27.6) 87 6,59 4,54 1,745 (27.6) 87 6,53 9,53 4,54 (27.6) 87 6,53 4,54 1,745 (27.6) 87 5,64 1,745 (27.6) (27.6) 87 5,64 1,745 (27.6) (27.6) 86 1,800 3,840.6 4,950.4 (41.6) (27.6) 87 5,64 1,745 (27.6) (27.6) 87 5,64 1,745 (27.6) (27.6)	78 19,870 19,474 5,703 2,833 1009-1962-78 3,248 1,446 5,703 2,833 0x87 5,320 1,446 1,822 2,833 0x87 5,330 4,54 1,325 2,231 0x80 5,330 4,56 1,161 2,221 2,521 0x80 5,330 4,56 1,161 2,221 2,75 0x80 1,932 4,54 1,765 2,75 2,75 0x80 1,962,78 5,64 1,765 2,75 2,75 0x80 1,980,196,106,104,104,104,104 4,05 4,05 5,64 2,75 2,75 2,75 0x81 1,980,106,104,104,104,104,104 4,05 4,05 5,64 2,75<	1974	19 983	1002 11	0110	(6.67)
Change, 1962-78 3,248 1,426 1,822 (28.8) dwest ⁶ 5320 4,168 1,1426 1,822 (28.8) dwest ⁶ 5330 4,168 1,181 (22.1) 1938 5330 4,168 1,181 (22.1) 1938 548 999 405 564 (27.6)	Change, 1982.73 3.248 1,428 1,422 (28.8) Movest ⁶ 5.340 1,426 1,422 (28.1) Movest ⁶ 5.340 4.169 1,145 (22.1) Movest ⁶ 5.340 4.169 1,145 (22.1) Movest ⁶ 5.340 4.169 1,145 (22.1) Movest ¹ 6.319 4.574 1,745 (27.6) Movest ¹ 6.319 4.574 1,745 (27.6) Movest ¹ 6.319 4.574 1,745 (27.6)	Change, 1962.79 3.246 1,1,26 1,0,22 (28.8) Model ⁶ 5,30 4,169 1,1,426 1,0,22 (28.9) 900 1978 5,30 4,169 1,141 (22.1) 917 1,1,45 5,30 4,169 1,141 (22.1) 918 6,319 4,574 1,1,45 5,41 (22.1) 929 4,57 5,54 1,1,45 (22.1) 929 4,05 1,145 5,54 (22.1) 929 9,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 0,00 0,00 0	Thange: 1982-75 3.246 1,1426 0,423 1620 1716 1716 1716 1716 1720 1730 1720 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1731 1721 1731 1721 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1730 1731	Change: 1962-73 3:248 1,428 1,523 2348 2348 Anote: 5	Op. 3.246 1.425 3.248 1.822 1.823 1.833 1	anger 1962-78 3.246 1.456 0.7.22 (28.8) welf 5.6 welf 5.8 anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 1.1245 (2.7.6) anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 1.1245 (2.7.6) anger 1962-78 5.80 anger 1962-78 5.90 anger	angor 1962-78 3.246 1.466 1.822 (28.8) eer ⁶ 6.5 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6	1978	19.870	14141	000.0	(58.3)
dwest ⁶ 1982 5350 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 1978 6319 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 1745 564 (27.6)	Oves ⁶ 5.360 4.169 1.181 (22.1) 978 5.360 4.169 1.181 (22.1) 978 5.452 78 6.319 4.554 1.745 (27.6) 969 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) 969 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6)	dvest ⁶ 5.350 4.169 1,181 (22.1) (9.82) 5.39 4.554 1,745 (27.6) 20arrage 1962-78 6.319 4.554 1,745 (27.6) 20arrage 1962-78 6.319 4.05 1,745 (27.6) 20arrage 1962-78 6.319 4.05 1,745 (27.6) 20arrage 104 in Naviro and station and station of Law 2 station - Limploment Security entiments of the station of	West ⁶ 8.2.2.1 9.18 1.18 1.18 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.22 1. 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Model 602 978 978 978 978 530 6319 978 4169 4554 1,161 1,745 (22.1) 2,761 Almonge, 1962-78 6339 969 405 405 1,745 (22.1) 5,64 RFE: Revend from datator holes and think resultion (12) 405 1,745 (22.1) 5,64 RFE: Revend from datator holes and table of table of under and table of table of under and sature from brane of table of states of table of under and table of table of table of under and table of table of table of under and under and table of table of unserver and under and table of table of under and under and under and table of table of under and under and table of table of table of unserver and under and table of table of unserver and table of table	sf ⁶ 5.350 1.962-78 5.320 1.962-78 5.349 2.07 9. 2.22 1. 2.22 1. 2.22 1. 2.22 2. 2.23 2. 2.24 2.24 2.2	ees ⁶ 30 30 30 30 319 4554 4554 4554 4554 4554 4554 4554 1745 26 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 279	west ⁶ 2. 2. 11 2. 2. 11 2. 2. 11 2. 2. 12 2. 2. 2. 12 2. 2. 2. 12 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	Change, 1962-78	3,248	1,426	1,822	(28.8)
982 5,350 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 1978 6,319 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 0.ange.1982.78 999 405 564 (27.6)	962 5,360 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 1978 6,319 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 1979 964 564 (27.6) 1976 964 564 (27.6)	982 5.360 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 70478 5.319 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 70478 962.78 969 4,554 (27.6) 7042 106.2 564 1,645 (27.6) 7042 966 4,554 (27.6) (27.6) 7042 106.2 564 1,645 (27.6) 7042 106.2 564 1,645 (27.6) 7043 106.2 564 10.62 564 (27.6)	Bits 5.320 4,169 1,181 (22,1) 718 6,319 4,54 1,141 (22,1) 718 6,319 4,54 1,145 (22,1) 718 6,319 4,54 1,145 (22,1) 718 6,319 4,54 1,145 (27,6) 718 6,923 4,05 5,04 (27,6) 718 6,023 4,05 5,04 (27,6) 718 9,024 1,145 (27,6) (27,6) 719 9,024 9,04 1,145 (27,6) (27,6) 710 9,024 9,04 9,04 9,04 (27,6) (27,6) 711 9,04 9,04 9,04 0,04 0,04 (27,6) (27,6) (27,6) 711 9,04 9,04 9,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04	052 5,320 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 978 5,330 4,169 1,181 (22.1) 704 5,330 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 705 6,33 4,574 1,745 (27.6) 705 6,45 1,745 (27.6) 705 6,45 1,745 (27.6) 705 6,45 1,745 (27.6) 705 6,45 1,645 (27.6) 705 6,45 1,645 (27.6) 705 6,46 1,616 1,616 705 6,46 1,616 1,616 705 6,46 1,416 1,616 705 6,416 1,616 1,616 705 6,416 1,616 1,616 1,717	0.9 1982-18 5.30 4.169 1.181 (22.1) 0.9 1982-18 5.319 4.53 1.785 (22.1) Demethem machine 963 4.05 4.05 5.16 (27.6) Demethem machine 963 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Demethem machine 963 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Demethem machine 963 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Control method 963 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Control method 9.64 1.745 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Control method 9.64 4.05 5.64 1.745 (27.6) Control method 2.76 1.745 5.64 1.745 (27.6) (27.6) Control method 2.76 1.745 5.64 1.746 1.745 (27.6) Control method 2.76 1.746 1.746 1.746 1.746 1.766 1.776	28 Bange 1962-78 Bange 1962-78 CE Development advantage 5,50 6,39 4,55 4,55 4,55 4,55 4,55 4,55 4,55 4,5	20 Bit Integra 530 533 562 416 533 405 1161 574 563 1361 576 (221) 576 External of the integration of the integratintegration of the in	dwest ^c				
1978 6.319 4.574 1.745 (27.6) Change, 1952.78 969 405 564 (27.6)	978 6.319 4.574 1.726 (2.71) 19608-1962-78 6.319 4.574 1.726 (2.71) 869 4.05 564 1.726 (2.75) 1775 564 (2.76)	Diarrow 6,319 4,524 1,746 (27.0) Diarrow 969 405 1,746 (27.6) Die Deventhomatukeis chain Alteriv and Heining 11 1,746 (27.6) (27.6) Die Deventhomatukeise chain Alteriv and Heining 121 1,746 (27.6) (27.6) Die Deventhomatukeise chain Alteriv and Heining 121 1,746 (27.6) (27.6) Die Tree Construction Alterive Chain Marketon France 1,746 (27.6) (27.6) Die Tree Construction Alterive Chain Marketon France 1,746 (27.6) (27.6)	D378 6.319 4.574 1.745 (-2.1) D309: 1962-78 969 4.05 4.05 (-2.1) D4: E. Envertence indexed data in Here and Holling [12] 963 4.05 (-2.1) De allowing indexing indexing data in Here and Holling [12] 963 4.05 (-2.1) De allowing indexing indexing data in Here and Holling [12] 1 (-2.1) (-2.1) De allowing index indexing data in Here and Holling [12] 1 (-2.1) (-2.1) De allowing index indexing data in Here and Holling [12] (-2.1) (-2.1) (-2.1) De allowing index inde	Diamone 6.319 4.574 1.746 (5.7.1) Diamone 962.78 9.99 4.05 (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 4.05 4.05 (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 4.05 1.05 (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 4.05 4.05 (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 1400 4.05 (5.7.1) (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 1400 5.04 1400 (5.7.1) (5.7.1) (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 5.04 1400 1400 1400 (5.7.1) (5.7.1) Diamone 1400 5.04 1400 <td< td=""><td>0 13/25 6.319 4.574 1.745 (27.0) Demonstration detailed data in these and Halling (21) 989 4.05 1.745 (27.6) Demonstration detailed data in these and Halling (21) 989 4.05 1.745 (27.6) To share of time data in these and Halling (21) 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) To share of time of the 27.854646 degraded frondung 1977 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) X255 month upper of the 27.854646 degraded frondung 1977 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) (27.6)</td><td>Arrow of the constraint o</td><td>CE Constraint 1,745 <</td><td>1962</td><td>5,350</td><td>4.169</td><td>1 181</td><td>11 000</td></td<>	0 13/25 6.319 4.574 1.745 (27.0) Demonstration detailed data in these and Halling (21) 989 4.05 1.745 (27.6) Demonstration detailed data in these and Halling (21) 989 4.05 1.745 (27.6) To share of time data in these and Halling (21) 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) To share of time of the 27.854646 degraded frondung 1977 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) X255 month upper of the 27.854646 degraded frondung 1977 1.000 1.000 1.000 (27.6) (27.6)	Arrow of the constraint o	CE Constraint 1,745 <	1962	5,350	4.169	1 181	11 000
Change, 1962-78 969 405 1544 (27.6)	Change, 1962-78 969 405 1,740 (27.0) MRE: Derived from detailed data in Have and Holling (12) 1,644 (27.0) (27.0)	Change, 1962-78 969 405 1,140 (2/10) JRCE. Derwert from orkaliset data in Naiers and Healing (12) 405 644 (2/10) JRCE. Derwert from orkaliset data in Naiers and Healing (12) 405 644 (2/10)	Itange (1962-78) 969 "405 "574 (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen Human and Human (2013) 2013 564 (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen Human and Human (2014) 2013 2014 (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen Human and Human (2014) 2014 2014 (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen Human (2014) 2014 2014 (27.10) (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen (2014) 2014 2014 (27.10) (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen (2014) 2014 (27.10) (27.10) (27.10) PCE Derved from Selection Betalen (2014) 2014 (27.10) (27.10) (27.10) PCE Derved from Person (2014) 2014 (27.10) (27.10) (27.10) (27.10) PCE Derved from Person (2014) 2014 (27.10) (27.10) (27.10) (27.10)	Diamoge. 1982-28 969 705 1.54 12.1 RFE. Revention data in New and Holling 12 405 564 12.1 12.1 RFE. Revention data in New and Holling 12 605 405 564 12.1 12.1 RFE. Revention data in New and Holling 12 605 1.6 12.1	196.1962-78 969 405 1,54 (2/10) Demod from detailed data in Heer wortholing [12] 155 156 (2/10) (2/10) databay metrybornet datapelet from gives a Lakor Statistics - Employment Security estimates All data for March of year indicated (2/10) (2/10) action of ward of an indicated data indicated from Ministry of the All for March of year indicated (2/10) (2/10) action of ward of an indicated data indicated from Ministry of March of year indicated (2/10) (2/10)	anges 1962-78 969 1052-78 1696 166 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 17	Iangou 1962-78 '64' '64'' (2010) C. Derved from deuted data in Newen and Heimag (12) S. S. S. '64'' (2010) S. S. S. '64'' (2010) S. S. S. '64'' (2010) S. S. S. '64'' (2010) S. S. S	1978	6.319	4 574	1 745	(1.77)
	JRCE. Derived from detailed data in Haren and Hotling [12]	JRCE. Derved fom adväled data in Neven ad Horing (12) Björ and skalve emptymment akstrektions Bureau of Lakov Statetica – Employment Security estimates. All data for March of was indicated	RGE: Derived from detailed data in Naers and Holing (12) pe and safety employment, adqued from Bureau of Laber Stanistics—Employment Security estimates. All data for March of year indicated voles 526 monty, upper of the 278 SMSAs depondent frimonian is an	IRCE. Derived from detailed data in Newer and Holling (12) de and salary employment, adapted from Buenau et Labor Stateford – Employment Security estimaten. All data for March of year indicated stores 250 monty larger of the 278 SMGML designated through 1977.	Derwed from detailed data In-karen and koling (12) rd dalary employment - Adapted from Bureau of Lakor Statistics—Employment Security estimates. All data for March of year indicated 5 25 mostly larger of the 278 SMSA designated through 1977.	CE Derved from detailed data in Haver and Holing (12) and datasy employment, adaded from Bureau of Laco Statistics—Employment Security extimates. All data for March of year indicated det 225 month upper of the 278.45446 designated through 1977 - Central Ferniss report. Other, Michanh Intiana, Illinois, Wiscinsin, Minneolda, Ioana, Kanasa, Neuh Dakota, North Dakota,	CE. Derived from dataleid data in Halen and Healing (12) e and salary employment, adapted from Bureau of Labor Statistics—Employment Security estimates. All data for March of year indicated der 22 modely larger of the 278 SAOks delegrated through 1977. I Omfral centres region Ohin, Michigant, Indarea, Illinoa, Wiscontin, Minnesofa, Ileva, Missauri, Kunsas, Nebraska, Soum Dakota, North Dakota,	Change, 1962-78	696	405	564	(q. /7)

134

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

(28.3) (28.8)

663 181 745 564

14,320 1,169

5,350 6,319 969 19,983 19,870 3,248

1974 1978 Change, 1962 1978 Change

around 19 to 20 million since 1966. In the same period, however, nonmetropolitan employment has climbed, albeit at an irregular and more recently diminishing pace, while metropolitan areas have suffered absolute declines. This continued nonmetropolitan growth demonstrates 1) the locational flexibility of many industry types, and 2) the continued preference for nonmetropolitan loca-tions on the part of many industry executives. The record also sug-gests that nonmetropolitan industrial expansion may continue to slow down, with employment levels hitting a plateau as they have for the nation as a whole. Or, continued nometropolitan gains may be tied to ongoing metropolitan losses. The latter scenario will see larger cities depending less on manufacturing and more on trade and services, while the surrounding countryside becomes in-creasingly dependent on manufacturing.

The Place of Industrial Expansion in Economic Growth

Why is so much attention given to manufacturing, and how does industrial expansion affect growth in other sectors of the economy?

industrial expansion affect growth in other sectors of the economy? Some clarification is in order. Manufacturing's role in overall nonmetropolitan economic de-velopment is considered here within the context of standard economic base (or export base) theory? It is reasoned that the export sector of the local economy provides the basic employment which in turn supports the local population through the importing of capital. The basic or "city-forming" activities are thus ones where the final product is exported out of the area. The nonbasic or "city-serving" activities provide goods and services to the local area. For each new basic job, there is a presumed increase in nonbasic employment, and thus a multiplier effect. In its simplest form, the multiplier is the ratio of total new employment to the increase in basic employment. If, for example, a basic industry adds 10 employees and total employment in the local area increases by 15, the employment multiplier is 1.5. There are in theory similar economic base multipliers for income, retail sales, population, and so on. on

on. Economic base theory provides a useful and legitimate framework for viewing the impact of new or expanded industrial employment. The measurement of specific multipliers is not so easy, however. Many facilities are partly basic and partly nonbasic. When new basic jobs are created, some workers may reside locally while others commute in from outside the local area. Employees may spend their money locally or outside the community. Existing in-dustries may lose employment because of the new plant. Clearly, a multiplier observed for one community may bear little relationship to that found in another area. There are no rule-of-thumb multipliers which can be applied.
Many communities interested in economic and social improve-ment have tended to focus their efforts on expanding industrial employment despite the fact that manufacturing is not a growth sec-tor in the national economy. In effect, small towns have been garnering an ever larger share of a more or less constant-sized pie, a circumstance having implications for the future. Growth in the na-tional economy has been largely in the services, particularly wholesale and retail trade, finance and insurance, real estate, pro-fessional and personal services, and government. However, most of these sectors have traditionally been largely nonbasic, i.e., com-munity-serving, as opposed to new manufacturing which has been largely basic.

largely basic. There are many kinds of basic, job-generating economic activity that a community might acquire other than manufacturing. This in-cludes tourism, recreation, retirement developments, mining, bring-ing new lands into agriculture, government activities, and transportation facilities. But the great majority of nonmetropolitan communities cannot logically expect to gain more than a few jobs in these areas. Most places lack the scenic surroundings, special climatic or situational advantages, mineral resources, water, political influence, or just plain good luck to be in a realistic com-petitive position for such developments. For many small towns, manufacturing offers about the only real opportunity for expanding the local employment base.

manufacturing offers about the only real opportunity for expanding the local employment base. There is another reason for the focus on manufacturing. Unlike some other sectors, it has demonstrated a rather high level of loca-tional mobility. The degree of mobility varies from one manufactur-ing sector to another, of course, but it tends to be highest in those very sectors (e.g., apparel, machinery and metal products, electronics assembly, furniture, etc.) which find nonmetropolitan locations particularly appealing. The attractions are well-known: modest wage levels, high labor productivy, lower levels of unionism, en-vironmental considerations, pro-business attitudes, and the like [20].

The Evidence from Local Case Studies

A rather substantial number of case studies makes it possible to judge the general influence of expanded industrial employment on the overall economic development of local areas and attendant population change. Only four aspects of the local economy are conpopulation change. Only four aspects of the local economy are con-sidered here (employment, unemployment, income, and fiscal well-being of local government), as these have the most direct bearing on the economic base of the community and the ability to support population growth. There are, of course, many other important ele-ments affected by new industry (e.g., retail sales, occupational struc-ture and mobility, educational levels, welfare of elderly and minorities, environmental quality), not here considered, which cer-tainly deserve attention in assessing the desirability of new in-dustry. dustry

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

In presenting the evidence from exi In presenting the evidence from ex-remember that these studies do not tative sample. A large share of the exit he South and the Midwest (in part There is a clear emphasis on "prot especially in the South, and on "right-

Employment

With new industrial jobs, it is assu effect, at least after a certain period of all community employment exceeding jobs. By and large, this has been the multiplier is highly variable.

In most cases the employment m and 2010 signifies no net increase advector of the summary of 12 studies, Summers et al. found employ to the summers et al. found employ 100 to 171, with half of them below pressive multipliers were found inpressive multipliers were found to the summer et al. found employ for a summer et al. for a bet of 1950, 176 a 2.16 for 1960, for the 1960, 176 a 2.1

sted in economic and social improve-their efforts on expanding industrial hat manufacturing is not a growth sec-y. In effect, small towns have been of a more or less constant-sized pie, a time for the future. Growth in the naions for the future. Growth in the na largely in the services, particularly nance and insurance, real estate, pro es, and government. However, most o ally been largely nonbasic, i.e., como new manufacturing which has been

basic, job-generating economic activity iire other than manufacturing. This in tirement developments, mining, bring culture, government activities, and the great majority of nonmetropolitan the great majority or nonmetropoitan expect to gain more than a few jobs in ack the scenic surroundings, special vantages, mineral resources, water, ain good luck to be in a realistic com-velopments. For many small towns, he only real opportunity for expanding

or the focus on manufacturing Unlike nonstrated a rather high level of loa-im mobility varies from one manufactur-se, but it ends to be highest in those chinery and metal products, electronies with find nonmetropolitan locations attractions are well-known: modes attractions are well-known: modes uctivity, lower levels of unionism, en-cro-business attitudes, and the like [20] or the focus on manufacturing. Unlike

om Local Case Studies

on Local Case Studies ber of case studies makes it possible of prenet of local areas and attendant aspects of the local economy are con-employment, income, and fiscal well-muminity and the ability to support a of course, many other important el-try (e.g., retail sales, occupational struc-try (e.g., retail sales, occupational struc-nal levels, welfare of elderly and laility), not here considered, which ear-assessing the desirability of new in-

In presenting the evidence from existing case studies, it is well to remember that these studies do not constitute a proper represen-tative sample. A large share of the existing research has been set in the South and the Midwest (in particular the western Midwest). There is a clear emphasis on "problem" areas with low wages, especially in the South, and on "right-to-work" states.

Employment

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

With new industrial jobs, it is assumed there will be a multiplier effect, at least after a certain period of time, with a net gain in over-all community employment exceeding the number of new industrial jobs. By and large, this has been the case, but the magnitude of the multiplier is highly variable.

<text><text><text>

Unemployment

It might seem logical to assume that new industrial employment in a nonmetropolitan setting would automatically reduce local un-employment. However, the evidence is varied and generally disap-pointing in this regard. As Shaffer put it, "The record of the impact

pointing in this regard. As Shaffer put it, "The record of the impact of industrial growth on unemployment is mixed, but it tends to in-dicate unemployment need not decline [30]." In staffing a new or expanded facility, unemployed persons may constitute a very small share of those hired. In a study of non-metropolitan plants in lowa, Missouri, Kansas, and Nebraska, Kale found that only 6 percent of employees were unemployed prior to taking their present job (55 percent were semployed by other firms, 18 percent were housewives, 11 percent were students, and 5 percent were self-employed) [18]. In summarizing the findings in existing case studies, Summers et al. found the unemployment rate declining in about two-thirds

et al. found the unemployment rate declining are studies, Summers et al. found the unemployment rate declining in about two-thirds of the cases, but almost all such instances were in low-income Southern areas [34, pp. 60-61]. This suggests that the objective to significantly reduce unemployment through new industry may be more reasonable in those areas where there are relatively large numbers of unemployed persons willing to accept jobs in low-wage industries.

industries. There are a number of reasons whing to accept joss in tow hoge industries. There are a number of reasons why employers may in effect largely avoid the local unemployed. Many may lack necessary skills or even be viewed as unemployable. If the new industry is of a higher-skill, higher-wage variety, the likelihood of hiring the local unemployed is even further reduced [34, pp. 48-49]. As word of then we jobs gets around, some persons (sometimes former resi-dents) move into the area and others become long-distance com-muters. In either case, if these "outsiders" are more employable than the local unemployed, they are more likely to be hired. Furthermore, a new industry will often induce new entrants (especially women) into the labor force, thus increasing the size of the labor pool. This latter situation can, in time, actually bring an increase in the rate of unemployment [16].

Income

The aggregate income in a community will almost certainly in-crease in response to new or expanded industry, and this has great significance for the merchants and others in a position to benefit from higher levels of business activity. But the effect on individual or family income levels is something else, and here the evidence is divided divided

aivided. Several studies conclude that industry has had a positive impact on individual incomes. Summers et al. compare findings in existing case studies involving 28 counties in 11 states, and overall median results show about a 50 percent gain in per capita income (adjusted

to constant dollars) over a 5- to 15-year states, median family incomes increased b

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

states, median family incomes increased over a 5- to 10-year period [34, pp. 64-67] survey, 61 percent of the employees felt brought them an improved standard of had experienced a decline) [14, p. 35]. T and Tweeten for eastern Oklahoma positive gains in worker incomes [31]

Two studies in the western Midwest new industry brings an improvement Seyler examined 242 nonmetropolitan Central census region for the period 15 most nonmetropolitan areas, evidence most nonmetropilitan areas, evidence has no appreciable impact upon hou Dietz examined median family income Plains counties which had acquired p parel them with 25 non-industrial (period, the two county groups showed) income gains [7, p. 24]. It is quite poss-clear, that relative gains in individ greater in traditionally lower-income a the Midwest) hew industry may provide

greater in traditionally lower-income at the Midwest) new industry may provide improvement over "already respectable As for the share of the population in case for new industry is rather support earlier, unemployment levels may not of new industry in four low-income / Kuehn et al. found that about one-four were held by persons previously in th Kuehn et al. found that about one-four were held by persons previously in th all 'poor' employes escaped poverty West found a large reduction in the families in three Missouri counties w industrial employment in the 1960-70 ing out of the poverty category may person in the family becoming a waa one wage earner doing it on their own.

Fiscal well-being of local governme

Fiscal well-being of local governments seek new i ment base as a means of expandin budgetary problems ideally, increased or exceed the cost of added public see rates. However, net changes in the pu-negative, in contrast to the frequent gains.

gams. Several case studies show that add indirect, from new manufacturing eith added public costs. None of the studie plus or a tax cut. For example, Gar

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

sume that new industrial employment would automatically reduce local unidence is varied and generally disapaffer put it, "The record of the impact ployment is mixed, but it tends to int decline [30]"

t decline [30]," ded facility, unemployed persons may e of those hired. In a study of nona, Missouri, Kansas, and Nebraska, cent of employees were unemployed t job (55 percent were employed by housewives, 11 percent were students, iyed) [18].

ngs in existing case studies, Summers nt rate declining in about two-thirds such instances were in low-income 11. This suggests that the objective to syment through new industry may be eas where there are relatively large ons willing to accept jobs in low-wage

ons willing to accept jobs in ow wege easons why employers may in effect mployed. Many may lack necessary memployable. If the new industry is of variety, the likelihood of hiring the her reduced [34, pp 45-49], as word of me persons (sometimes former resind others become long-distance comes" outsiders" are more employable they are more likely to be hird try will often induce new entrants abor force, thus increasing the size of uation can, in time, actually bring an loyment [16].

a community will almost certainly inexpanded industry, and this has great is and others in a position to benefit activity. But the effect on individual nething else, and here the evidence is

netning else, and hat industry has had a positive impact res et al. compare findings in existing nties in 11 states, and overall median nt gain in per capita income (adjusted nt gain in per capita income (adjusted to constant dollars) over a 5- to 15-year period. In 20 counties, in 6 states, median family incomes increased between 26 and 155 percent over a 5- to 10-year period [34, pp. 64-67]. In a Jamestown, N. Dak., survey, 61 percent of the employees felt the new manufacturing job brought them an improved standard of living (9 percent felt they had experienced a decline) [14, p. 35]. The observations of Shaffer and Tweeten for eastern Oklahoma support the idea of very positive gains in worker incomes [31]. Two studies in the western Middest contradict the notion that

and Tweeten for eastern Oklahoma support the idea of very positive gains in worker incomes [31]. Two studies in the western Midwest contradict the notion that new industry brings an improvement in median family incomes. Seyler examined 242 nonmetropolitan counties in the West North Central census region for the period 1965-73, and concluded, "For most nonmetropolitan areas, evidence suggests industrial growth has no appreciable impact upon household income levels [29]." Dietz examined median family income levels in 1 northern Great Plains counties which had acquired major new plants and compared them with 25 non-industrial counties, over the 1949-65 period, the two county groups showed no significant differences in income gains [7, p. 24]. It is quite possible, but the evidence is not clear, that relative gains in individual or family incomes are greater in traditionally lower-income areas, but in other areas (e.g. the Midwest) new industry may provide jobs but not necessarily an improvement over "already respectable" prevailing income levels. As for the share of the population in the "poverty" category, the case for new industry is rather supportive, even though, as noted earlier, unemployment levels may not decline much. In their study of new industry in four low-income areas of the United States, Kuehn et al. found that about one-fourth of the new industrial jobs were held by persons previously in the poverty category, but not low "nord" employment by reading the supportive, two though as he [201

As for the share of the population in the "poverty" category, the case for new industry is rather supportive, even though, as noted earlier, unemployment levels may not decline much. In their study of new industry in four low-income areas of the United States, Kuehn et al. found that about one-fourth of the new industrial jobs were held by persons previously in the poverty category, but not all "poor" employees escaped poverty by taking these jobs [21]. West found a large reduction in the incidence of poverty among families in three Missouri counties with substantial increases in industrial employment in the 1960-70 period [37]. However, climbing out of the poverty category may be the result of a second person in the family becoming a wage earner..rather than any one wage earner doing it on their own.

Fiscal well-being of local government

Some local governments seek new industry and a larger employment base as a means of expanding the tax base and easing budgetary problems. Ideally, increased public revenues should equal or exceed the cost of added public services without a hike in tax rates. However, net changes in the public sector are often small or negative, in contrast to the frequently substantial private sector gains.

gains. Several case studies show that added public revenues, direct and indirect, from new manufacturing either don't meet or barely meet added public costs. None of the studies noted a public revenue surplus or a tax cut. For example, Garrison examined five towns in

Kentucky and found new industry had a negative effect on fiscal ac-counts of local governments, especially school districts, but this was later changed to a net gain by eliminating tax concessions to in-dustry and imposing new taxes [9]. In eastern Oklahoma, Shaffer and Tweeten postulate a negative impact on local governments in 6 of 12 instances [31, p. 13]. On the other hand, Summers et al. con-clude that net ficeal grine to local governments are negative. clude that net fiscal gains to local government can occur, especially when no local subsidy is offered the industry, but that "anticipated benefits to the local community generally exceed perceived benefits after development" [34, p. 4].

Population

Population Ropulation growth tends to reflect favorably on the economic many oppose rapid population increases, most support at least modest population decline, it is safe to say, is viewed negatively by virtually veryone in nonmetropolitan community of the support of the support of 58 existing case studies of new industries from the United States is provided by Summers et al [34, p. 21]. Where towns were examined, 86 percent subsequently experienced population gain, and where counties were the unit of analysis, 52 percent experienced again. For the Midwest, the figures were more divergent, 93 and 35 percent, respectively. The locale and cuptumers' assessment [34, p. 2]. These figures suggest that towns are more likely to grow as a result of in-formation of these studies varied, of course, but one is inclined to ac-vent support the summer subset of the head phate to more figures of the summer subset of the new phate to the support of the summer subset of the new phate to the support of the summary instances, the towns containing or nearest ingration was continuing from rural areas. However, some writers who may ingration have more out of the county in search of a pho may have moved to the locality of the plant, thus adding to magnation into the towns, but having no effect or county population levels.

Other studies support the conclusion of a positive population impact, although most avoid noting any specific multipliers, i.e., the ratio of population gain to basic employment increase. In 18 study areas previously losing population, new industry had the effect of slowing the decline in three cases, halting it in three cases, and re-versing it in 12 cases [34, p. 23]. Peterson refers to an Arkansas study for the 1950-66 period where, following large industry gains, the population initially fell but then rose very impressively; net inmigration came to exceed threefold the natural rate of increase [27]. In Dietz' northern Great Plains study, population decline was reduced and central places grew more impressively in 13 counties receiving industry compared with 25 counties which did not [7, p. 24]. In a Missouri study of rural and semirural counties, Braschler found a population multiplier of about 5.0, i.e. 100 new manufacturing jobs brought a population increase of 500 (3, p. 15). Summarizing the situation, Beale observed that "during the 1960s nonmetropolitan

counties with a strong manufacturing ba tive of population than were nonmetropo although he went on to note that, "In the I

greatly modified [2]. Increased inmigration, as well as redu explain the population growth. In a stu four rural areas in the 1965-70 period, O 22 percent of the new industrial jobs wer ing returnees [26]. For Central Plains n Kale found that 21 percent of the employ moved into the area to take their new j percent at female-majority plants [19]. that 37 percent of the employees at four changed their residence to take the job, the local area [14, p. 40]. Nationally, hi greater impact on inmigration than di whatever the wage level, the record sho ment can arrest population decline and many communities and many areas th meaning than anything else.

Generalizing at the N

<text><text><text><text>

try had a negative effect on fiscal ac-pecially school districts, but this was y eliminating tax concessions to in-is [9]. In eastern Oklahoma, Shaffer (b) in eastern Oklahoma, Shaffer tive impact on local governments in the other hand, Summers et al. con-ccal government can occur, especially d the industry, but that "anticipated unity generally exceed perceived 4, p. 4].

o reflect favorably on the economic community, and while some persons creases, most support at least modest

creases, most support at least modest safe to say, is viewed negatively by politan communities. sting case studies of new industries ovoided by Summers et al [34, p.21]. 86 percent subsequently experienced counties were the unit of analysis, 52 For the Midwest, the figures were ercent, respectively. The locale and of course, but one is inclined to ac-p. 22!. p. 22]:

p. 222: ns are more likely to grow as a result of in-the demographic effect of the new plant is on any instances, the towns containing, or exercis-ounding country declined, suggesting that out-ning areas. However, some workers who may any in search of a job, may have moved to the magration into the towns, but having no effect.

onclusion of a positive population im-ing any specific multipliers, i.e., the ic employment increase. In 18 study tion, new industry had the effect of ses, halting it in three cases, and re-Peterson refers to an Arkanass study following large industry gains, the Peterson refers to an Arkansas study following large industry gains, the ner rose very impressively, negatively, or the tudy, population decline was reduced impressively in 13 counties receiving impressively in 13 counties receiving instruction and the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study of the second study of the instruction of the second study

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

counties with a strong manufacturing base were much more reten-tive of population than were nonmetropolitan counties as a whole," although he went on to note that, "In the 1970s...this trend has been greatly modified [2].

Increased inmigration, as well as reduced outmigration, helps to explain the population growth. In a study of migrant response in four rural areas in the 1965-70 period, Olsen and Kuehn found that 22 percent of the new industrial jobs were held by migrants, includ-ing returnees [26]. For Central Plains nonmetropolitan industries, Kale found that 21 percent of the employees at male-majority plants moved into the area to take their new jobs, while the figure was 6 percent at female-majority plants [19]. Helgeson and Zink found that 37 percent of the employees at four new North Dakota plants changed their residence to take the job, and most were from outside the local area [14, p. 40]. Nationally, higher-wage industries had a greater impact on inmigration than did low-wage operations. But whatever the wage level, the record shows that new factory employ-ment can arrest population decline and spur population growth. For many communities and many areas this in itself may have more meaning than anything else. Increased inmigration, as well as reduced outmigration, helps to meaning than anything else.

Generalizing at the National Level

Generalizing at the National Level Having examined the situation at the local level, it is appropriate now to consider the national picture, in effect the sum total of thousands of local experiences. Specifically, how have gains in nonmetropolitan industrial employment been related to overall nonmetropolitan employment increases, with the latter assumed to be a requisite for population growth in most areas? A useful framework for noting recent employment shifts is pro-vided by the primary-secondary-tertiary transitional thesis. As a na-tion or region achieves economic growth, agriculture declines in rel-ative importance, giving way to manufacturing. Then, in time, manufacturing expansion levels off, accompanied by growth in such service sectors as wholesale and retail trade, personal and pro-fessional services, finance-insurance-real estate, and government. This long-term shift in emphasis from primary (agriculture) to secondary (manufacturing) to tertiary (services) activity is charac-tistic of maturing conomies.

teristic of maturing economies. In the period 1960-70, nonmetropolitan manufacturing employ-ment increased by 1.25 million or 36 percent. In the same period, non-metropolitan farm employment declined by 1.12 million (11, p. 8]. Thus, new factory employment done was more than offsetting the very large decline in farm labor. In earlier decades, the even greater losses in farm employment were nowhere near offset by gains in manufacturing and other basic sectors, with the inevitable result of net population outmigration. The 1960-70 decade thus

demonstrated a dramatic "turnaround" in basic or community-forming employment, with self-evident implications in explaining the celebrated population turnaround.

the celebrated population turnaround Manufacturing played a critical role in the 1960-70 period. As the data in Table 6.2 indicate, it accounted for 1.25 million or 31 percent of the 4.06 million total gain in nonagricultural employ-ment. Assuming most manufacturing is basic in character, and as-suming at least a modest (say, 1.5) employment multiplier, manufacturing probably accounted for nearly half of all new basic employment. It is difficult to say with any precision, of course, because we don't know what share of the service-preforming and transportation-communications-utilities sectors could be classified as basic. In certain recreation-oriented areas, for example, much of the basic employment gain was probably in the services sector, but for nonmetropolitan United States as a whole, manufacturing was the undisputed basic employment gain leader in the 1960s. The story is different in the 1970s. Manufacturing has accounted

the undisputed basic employment gain leader in the 1960s. The story is different in the 1970s. Manufacturing has accounted for only 619,000 new nonmetropolitan jobs or less than 14 percent of the total nonagricultural employment gain of 4.6 million in the 1970-78 period (Table 2). Perhaps this reflects the national slowdown in new plant and equipment investment by manufacturers. Perhaps it reflects the vulnerability of lower-wage, standardized-technology, more routinized "filtered-down" industries [8] to foreign imports (with electronics assembly providing an excellent example). Or, perhaps it is a case of nonmetropolitan areas—particularly those with larger towns—developing more mature economies, with

Table 6.2. Changes in nonfarm wage and salary employment, non-

	Change 1960-1970 ^a (thousands)	Change 1970-1978 (thousands)
Total	4.058	4,575
Goods-producing	1,387	977
Manufacturing	1.254	619
Construction	206	303
Mining	-73	55
Service-performing	2,655	3,452
Private sector	1,503	2,538
(a) trade	652	1,300
(b) service groups	721	1,023
real estate	130	215
Government	1 152	914
Transportation communications	11102	
and utilities	16	146
SOURCES: Haren [11, p. 8] and Haren and Holling [12, p	i. 18].	
a Adapted from State Employment Security Agency esti	mates.	
D		

manufacturing employment leveling of annuacturing employment revening of concentrated in the service-performing gains in service employment can be exp in manufacturing after a certain period

The latter explanation has much a the overall nonmetropolitan employme ceeded that of the 1960-70 period, yet only half as great. The big gains were sector, both private and governmental. metropolitan areas have passed thro dustrialization, and with a subsequent are now assuming an employment pro tional average. This would seem to be nonmetropolitan U. S. as a whole, bu nonmetropolitan areas will experience imply that industrial growth provides maturity; areas with important bas recreation, government, etc. can obvio

<text><text><text>

urnaround" in basic or community-elf-evident implications in explaining around

critical role in the 1960-70 period. As te, it accounted for 1.25 million or 31 otal gain in nonagricultural employ acturing is basic in character, and as (say, 1.5) employment multiplier ounted for nearly half of all new basic to say with any precision, of course t share of the service-preforming and ns-utilities sectors could be classified n-oriented areas, for example, much of as probably in the services sector, but States as a whole, manufacturing was nent gain leader in the 1960s

and reader in the 1960s. In 1970s. Manufacturing has accounted opolitan jobs or less than 14 percent of pployment gain of 4.6 million in the aps this reflects the national slowdown. aps this reflects the national slowdown ivestment by manufacturers. Perhaps in lower-wage, standardized-technology, m' industries [8] to foreign imports providing an excellent example! Or, etropolitan areas—particularly these pring more mature economies, with

wage and salary employment, non

Change 1970-1978 (thousands	Change 1960-1970
4.573	(thousanos)
971	4 058
619	4.387
30	1,001
£1	1,204
- 45 ¹	200
3,400	-73
2,000	2,655
1,304	1,503
1,02.	652
	721
21	
914	+ 21
	100
148	1,194
/	16

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

manufacturing employment leveling off and growth increasingly concentrated in the service-performing sectors. In effect, strong gains in service employment can be expected to follow strong gains in manufacturing after a certain period of time.

in manufacturing after a certain period of time. The latter explanation has much appeal. As Table 6.2 shows, the overall nonmetropolitan employment increase in 1970-78 ex-ceded that of the 1960-70 period, yet manufacturing gains were only half as great. The big gains were in the service-performing sector, both private and governmental. It can be reasoned that non-metropolitan areas have passed through a stage of rapid in-dustrialization, and with a subsequent emphasis on services they are now assuming an employment profile more similar to the na-tional average. This would seem to be a fair generalization for the nonmetropolitan U. S. as a whole, but it does not imply that all nonmetropolitan growth provides the only avenue to economic maturity: areas with important basic employment in tourism, recreation, government, etc. can obviously by-pass the industrial stage.

<text><text><text><text><text>

with the thesis that a maturing regional or national economy shifts emphasis from the secondary to tertiary sectors. The direct linkage between manufacturing growth and popula-tion change on the regional or national level is not easy to establish. This paper has focussed on the direct and indirect job-generating aspects of industrial expansion, and the positive impact of such expansion on population trends has been noted at the local level. At the national level, we can say that the direct and indirect expansion of jobs with nonmetropolitan industrialization was directly followed by dramatic population changes. Thus, *ipso facto*, it would seem quite safe to conclude, as Beale has, that the "growth of manufacturing has been a centerpiece of the revival of nonmetro population retention" [1, p. 9].

Summary Thoughts

Summary Thoughts The industrialization of nonmetropolitan America should not be viewed as an isolated phenomenon, but rather as an essential phase in the overall economic and social transition of these areas. Forces common to virtually all advanced countries have been operative in the United States. The nation has passed through the phase of areal concentration of industry and people, and deconcentration trends are now widely evident. In a sense, nonmetropolitan areas have been "developing lands" transcending agrarian emphases and mov-ing on to manufacturing and subsequently to service-performing ac-tivities. In the 1960s we saw the peak of the industrialization phase, and the emphasis now has clearly shifted to the services secting in-dustry's role in expanding the employment base, bringing popula-tion growth, and laying the groundwork for a greater emphasis on service activities.

tion growth, and laying the groundwork for a greater emphasis on service activities. Perhaps it has been wasted energy to debate the desirability of nonmetropolitan industrialization. Like it or not, its time had come. To be sure, conditions and actions at the local level could encourage or discourage industry and thereby affect the locational pattern, but overall the U. S. social and economic system had progressed to the point where the areal decentralization of industry was inevitable. With 29 percent of the industrial employment and 31 percent of the population, nonmetropolitan areas are now industrialized. It is a *fait accompli*.

accompli There are many reasons for criticizing nonmetropolitan in-dustrialization. By and large, it has not necessarily improved income levels, except in very low-income areas, largely in the South. It has not solved the unemployment problem, and it has not eliminated poverty. Furthermore, it has not been a fiscal boon for local govern-ments. I'm generalizing, of course, and I'm sure there are many ex-ceptions to what I am saying. But the fact that industry has not solved these problems in smaller communities should not surprise us. Industry has been in the larger cities for a long time, and it has not solved these problems there either.

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

Nonmetropolitan industry has been p Nonmetropolitan industry has been p and it is important to recognize realistica with it can increase the size of the empl of employment opportunities. It can redu force in the poverty category. Above al growth, and this is an ever so critical c accustomed to population stagnation or d

NOTE

For a summary treatment, see Isard [1 cussion of the application of economic bas

REFERENCES CITED

- Beale, Calvin L. 1975. The Reviva Nonmetropolitan America. ERS-60 Department of Agriculture, Economic
- Beale, Calvin L. 1978. People on th ed.) Rural U.S.A. Persistence and University Press. pp. 52-53.
- Braschler, Curtis. 1973. Regional C Columbia: University of Missouri, A tion, pp. 11, 33.
- Chalmers, James A. and Michael thoughts on the rural to urb International Regional Science Revi
- 5. Chinitz, Benjamin. 1960. The effect of gional economic growth. Traffic Que
- Creamer, Daniel. 1963. Changing Employment, Part I: Changes By New York:National Industrial C 130-131.
- Dietz, John. 1972. Rural area develo of new factories on agricultural to Plains. Great Plains-Rocky Mounta
- Erickson, Rodney A. and Thomas teristics of branch plants attracted (R. E. Lonsale and H. L. Seyler dustrialization. New York John Wil

uring regional or national economy

uring regional or national economy ndary to tertiary sectors. In manufacturing growth and popula-al or national level is not easy to sussed on the direct and indirect jobial expansion, and the positive impact ion trends has been noted at the local re can say that the direct and indirect nmetropolitan industrialization was population changes. Thus, ipso facto, nclude, as Beale has, that the "growth centerpiece of the revival of nonmetro

ary Thoughts

nmetropolitan America should not be enon, but rather as an essential phase coid transition of these areas. Forces need countries have been operative in has passed through the phase of areal d people, and deconcentration trends a sense, nonmetropolitan areas have exending agararian emphases and mov-subsequently to service performing ac-he peak of the industrialization plase, learly shifted to the services sector. a useful context for appreciating in-comdwork for a greater emphasis on the the desirability of nmetropolitan America should not be

d energy to debate the desirability of tion. Like it or not, its time had ome ions at the local level could enourage reby affect the locational patern, but promotion of industry was inertiable rial employment and 31 percent of the reas are now industrialized. It is a *fait*

I for criticizing nonmetropolitan in-thas not necessarily improved income one areas largely in the South. It has to problem, and it has not eliminate to been a fiscal boon for local govern-uree, and I'm sure there are many ex-g. But the fact that industry has not get our fact that industry has not get communities should not surgrise arger cities for a long time, and it has are either.

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

Nonmetropolitan industry has been praised as well as criticized, and it is important to recognize realistically what it can do. To begin with, it can increase the size of the employment base and the range of employment opportunities. It can reduce the portion of the labor force in the poverty category. Above all, it can induce population growth, and this is an ever so critical consideration for areas long accustomed to population stagnation or decline.

NOTE

¹For a summary treatment, see Isard [15]. For a more detailed dis-cussion of the application of economic base theory, see Tiebout [35].

REFERENCES CITED

- Beale, Calvin L. 1975. The Revival of Population Growth in Nonmetropolitan America. ERS-605. Washington, D.C.: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service.
- Beale, Calvin L. 1978. People on the land. In (Thomas R. Ford, ed.) Rural U.S.A. Persistence and Change. Ames: Iowa State University Press. pp. 52-53.
- Braschler, Curtis. 1973. Regional Growth in Missouri. SR 153. Columbia: University of Missouri, Agricultural Experiment Station, pp. 11, 33.
- Chalmers, James A. and Michael J. Greenwood. 1977. Some thoughts on the rural to urban migration turnaround. *International Regional Science Review* 2 (2, Winter):167.
- Chinitz, Benjamin. 1960. The effect of transportation forms on re-gional economic growth. *Traffic Quarterly* 14:129-142.
- Creamer, Daniel. 1963. Changing Location of Manufacturing Employment, Part I: Changes By Type of Location, 1947-1961. New York:National Industrial Conference Board. pp. 30-31, 130-131.
- Dietz, John. 1972. Rural area development: analysis of the impact of new factories on agricultural towns in the Northern Great Plains. Great Plains-Rocky Mountain Geographical Journal 1:24.
- Erickson, Rodney A. and Thomas R. Leinbach. 1979. Charac-teristics of branch plants attracted to nonmetropolitan areas. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.) Nonmetropolitan In-dustrialization. New York-John Wiley. pp. 57-78.

- 9. Garrison, Charles B. 1971. New industry in small towns: The impact on local government. *National Tax Journal* 24 (4):493-500.
- Haren, Claude C. 1974. Current spatial organization of industrial production and distribution activity. In *Rural Industrialization: Prospects, Problems, Impacts, and Methods*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry. pp. 31-45.
- Haren, Claude C. 1974. Location of industrial production and distribution. In (L. R. Whiting, ed.) Rural Industrialization: Problems and Potentials. Ames. Iowa State University Press. pp. 3-19.
- Haren, Claude C. and Ronald W. Holling. 1979. Industrial development in nonmetropolitan America: a locational perspective. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.). Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York: John Wiley.
- Heaton, Tim and Glenn Fuguitt. 1979. Nonmetropolitan industrial growth and net migration. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.) Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York: John Wiley. p. 129.
- Helgeson, Delmer L. and Maurice J. Zink. 1973. A case study of rural industrialization in Jamestown, North Dakota. Agricultural Economics Report No. 95. Fargo: North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, North Dakota State University, pp. 16-17, 34.
- Isard, Walter. 1960. Methods of Regional Analysis. New York: John Wiley. pp. 189-205.
- Jordan, Max F. 1967. Rural Industrialization in the Ozarks: A Case Study of a New Shirt Plant at Gassville, Arkansas. Agricultural Economic Report No. 123. Washington, D.C. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. pp. 12-13.
- Kale, Steven R. 1973. The Impact of New or Additional Industry Upon Rurally Oriented Areas—A Selected Annotated Bibliography. Lincoln: University of Nebraska, Bureau of Business Research.
- Kale, Steven R. 1978. Labor supplies for rural manufacturing plants. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. Lincoln: University of Nebraska. p. 69.

- Kale, Steven R. 1979. Labor Su Manufacturing Plants in Small C Plains. Lincoln: Nebraska Departme p.16.
- Kale, Steven R. and R. E. Lonsdal and discouraging plant location in E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyl Industrialization. New York: John V
- Kuehn, John A., Lloyd D. Bender, Hoover. 1972. Impact of Job Deve Developing Areas, 1970. Agricultu Washington, D.C.: U. S. Departma Research Service, p. 6.
- 22. Kuznets, Simon. 1954. Presidential Association.
- Lonsdale, Richard E. and Clyde E. locational preferences of Southern sociation of American Geographers
- Lonsdale, Richard E. and F. Nonmetropolitan Industrialization.
- McArthur, J. W. and Robert Copp pacts of industrial development: a c Utah, from 1950 to 1966. Utah Econ (2):1-6:9-10
- Olsen, Duane A. and John A. Kuel Industrialization in Four Rural A Economic Report No. 270. Washing Agriculture, Economic Research Sc
- Peterson, John M. 1974. Effects of n demand and employment. In (Lar dustrialization: Problems and I University Press, pp. 112-113.
- Roseman, Curtis C. 1977. Changi the United States. Resource pap 77-2 Washington, D.C.: Association 4-9.

- New industry in small towns: The im-Vational Tax Journal 24 (4):493-500.
- rrent spatial organization of industrial n activity. In *Rural Industrialization:* acts, and Methods. Washington, D.C.: Agriculture and Forestry. pp. 31-45.
- cation of industrial production and dis-hiting, ed.) *Rural Industrialization:* Ames: Iowa State University Press. pp.
- mald W. Holling. 1979. Industrial de-litan America: a locational perspective. H. L. Seyler, eds.). *Nonmetropolitan* rk: John Wiley.
- Fuguitt. 1979. Nonmetropolitan in-migration. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. *litan Industrialization*. New York: John
- Maurice J. Zink. 1973. A case study of a in Jamestown, North Dakota. Report No. 95. Fargo: North Dakota ent Station, North Dakota State
- thods of Regional Analysis. New York:
- ural Industrialization in the Ozarks A Shirt Plant at Gaswille, Arkansas Report No. 123 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Ire, Economic Research Service. pp. 12-13.
- he Impact of New or Additional Industry ned Areas—A Selected Annotated University of Nebraska, Bureau of Busi-
- Labor supplies for rural manufacturing h.D. dissertation. Lincoln: University of

INDUSTRY'S ROLE

- Kale, Steven R. 1979. Labor Supplies for Nonmetropolitan Manufacturing Plants in Small Communities of the Central Plains. Lincoln: Nebraska Department of Economic Development, 1990. p. 16
- Kale, Steven R. and R. E. Lonsdale. 1979. Factors encouraging and discouraging plant location in nonmetropolitan areas. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.). Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York. John Wiley. pp. 47ff.
- Kuehn, John A., Lloyd D. Bender, Bernal L. Green, and Herbert Hoover. 1972. Impact of Job Development on Poverty in Four Developing Areas, 1970. Agricultural Economic Report No. 225. Washington, D.C.: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, p.6.
- 22. Kuznets, Simon. 1954. Presidential address, American Economics Association
- Lonsdale, Richard E. and Clyde E. Browning. 1971. Rural-urban locational preferences of Southern manufacturers. Annals, As-sociation of American Geographers 61 (2, June). 255-268.
- Lonsdale, Richard E. and H. L. Seyler (eds.). 1979. Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York: John Wiley.
- McArthur, J. W. and Robert Coppedge. 1969. Employment im-pacts of industrial development: a case study of Box Elder County, Utah, from 1950 to 1966. Utah Economic and Business Review 29 control of the statement of (2):1-6, 9-10.
- Olsen, Duane A. and John A. Kuehn. 1974. Migrant Response to Industrialization in Four Rural Areas, 1965-1970. Agricultural Economic Report No. 270. Washington, D.C.: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, p. 3.
- Peterson, John M. 1974. Effects of rural industrialization on labor demand and employment. In (Larry R. Whiting, ed.) Rural In-dustrialization: Problems and Potentials. Ames: Iowa State University Press. pp. 112-113.
- Roseman, Curtis C. 1977. Changing migration patterns within the United States. Resource paper for College Geography No. 77-2. Washington, D.C.: Association of American Geographers, pp. 4-9.

(3)

- Seyler, H. L. 1979. Industrialization and household income levels in nonmetropolitan areas. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.), Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York: John Wiley. p. 149
- Shaffer, Ron E. 1979. The general economic impact of industrial growth on the private sector of nonmetropolitan communities. In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, eds.). Nonmetropolitan Industrialization. New York: John Wiley. p. 113.
- Shaffer, Ron E. and Luther G. Tweeten. 1974. Economic Changes from Industrial Development in Eastern Oklahoma. Bulletin B-715. Stillwater: Oklahoma State University, Agricultural Ex-periment Station. p. 15.
- Smith, Eldon D. et al. 1977. Industrialization of Rural Areas, A Bibliography. Southern Rural Development Center, Mississippi State University.
- Stevens, J. B. and L. T. Wallace. 1964. Impact of Industrial Development on Howard County, Indiana. Agricultural Experi-ment Station Bulletin 784. Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University.
- 34. Summers, Gene F. et al. 1976. Industrial Invasion of Non-metropolitan America. New York: Praeger Publishers.
- Tiebout, Charles M. 1962. The Community Economic Base Study. New York: Committee for Economic Development.
- Wadsworth, H. A. and J. M. Conrad. 1965. Leakages reducing employment multipliers in labor surplus rural areas. *Journal of Farm Economics* 47(5):1197-1202.
- West, Jerry G. 1978. Consequences of rural industrialization in terms of income distribution. Growth and Change. 9(3, Oct.):15-21. 37.
- Whiting, Larry R. (ed.). 1974. Rural Industrialization: Problems and Potentials. Ames: Iowa State University Press.
- Williamson, Jeffrey G. 1965. Regional inequality and the process of National development: a description of patterns. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 13 (4:2, July):8-10.

CHAPTER SEVEN

POPULATION REDISTRIBU AND CONFLICTS IN LAND A MIDWESTERN PERSPEC

David Berry

The growth of population in rur netropolitan communities experiencin along the rural-urban fringes of midwe benefits and costs. One set of costs resu development into rural or less built-u tion redistribution sets in motion confl

are obtained into Arra of ress built-on redistribution sets in motion confi-may not result in restrictions on how la In this chapter we examine the mi-meraures of population redistribution of 21 the values associated with rural la ling land use controls. Thus, the topic i-ging land use controls. Thus, the topic i-ing issues outside already urbanized only one basic issue—protection of the marre, wordlands, lakes, and farms fuence. Many problems suburbs, ra-ar polution, and dozens of others an outside the suburbs and the the appendix of land use and population re-spaces io ne issue that has a affected therefore deserves considerable attent

The Conversion of Land from

The Conversion of Land from As the farm population declines i decentralizes from large cities or autopolitan areas, and retirement, quality of residences, commercial as public services, and transportatio public services, and transportation public services and transportation services and transportation and transport services and transportation and transport services and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and transport and transport and transport and transport services and transport and tran

ialization and household income levels In (R. E. Lonsdale and H. L. Seyler, dustrialization, New York: John Wiley,

general economic impact of industrial tor of nonmetropolitan communities. In H. L. Seyler, eds.). *Nonmetropolitan* rk: John Wiley. p. 113.

er G. Tweeten. 1974. Economic Changes ment in Eastern Oklahoma. Bulletin oma State University, Agricultural Ex-

77. Industrialization of Rural Areas, A Rural Development Center, Mississippi

Wallace. 1964. Impact of Industrial County, Indiana. Agricultural Experi-4. Lafayette, Ind: Purdue University.

al. 1976. Industrial Invasion of Non-ew York: Praeger Publishers.

2. The Community Economic Base Study. r Economic Development.

J. M. Conrad. 1965. Leakages reducing in labor surplus rural areas. *Journal of* 197-1202.

onsequences of rural industrialization in tribution. *Growth and Change*. 9(3,

1974. Rural Industrialization: Problems wa State University Press.

1965. Regional inequality and the process ent: a description of patterns. *Economic ral Change* 13 (42, July):8-10.

CHAPTER SEVEN

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND CONFLICTS IN LAND USE: A MIDWESTERN PERSPECTIVE

David Berry The growth of population in rural retirement areas, in nor-metropolitan communities experiencing an influx of new industry, of benefits and costs. One set of costs results from the intrusion of urbady its of the rural urban fringes of midwestern dites brings with the of the rural urban fringes of midwestern dites brings with may to any to tresult in restrictions on how land is used within any locality. The shapter we examine the midwestern landscape under the shapter we examine the under stription of the traditional rural landscapes, and pland uses to minimize value conflicts, and 4) obstacles to apply in gland uses controls. Thus, the topic is somewhat restricted, addressing pland uses to stripted already urbanized areas, and indeed addressing pland uses to the shapter we have a site-specific performance standards in the shapter with some suburbs, ratai in tregration, water plands areas pland uses and population redistribution. Protection of one pro-soust be taken to mean that they are unimportant, because they are obrived soust be taken to mean that the and extend many areas, however, and its therefore deserves considerable attention.

The Conversion of Land from Rural to Built-up Uses

The Conversion of Land from Rural to Built-up Uses As the farm population declines' and as the nonfarm population decentralizes from large cities or moves into small cities, non-metropolitan areas, and retirement communities, [1], land is re-quired for residences, commercial activities, industry, recreation, public services, and transportation (Table 7.1). Typically, as population density increases, the percentage of the land in built-up uses increases at a decreasing rate (Table 7.2). The new nonfarm, semi-suburban and semi-rural populations live and work at low densities and require new infrastructure (such as roads) in areas where little or none existed before. Because of this, the conversion of land to urban uses goes on at a greater rate than might be ex-pected from the rate of population increase in these areas.

POPULA	FION REDIS	TRIBUTION	PLAD DIS	

Table 7.2. Percentage of land in midwestern Variables: U = Percentage of county land area in urban us P = Population density (1967) of county in pers Lake States (Minnesota, Michigan, Wisconsin): $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{metro} & U = 23.571 \mbox{P}^{.611} \\ \mbox{nonmetro} & U = 17.832 \mbox{P}^{.502} \end{array}$ Cornbelt States (Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Missour

Northern Plains States (North Dakota, South Da

Within any locality the pattern quite scattered (Figure 7.1 and 7 generating a change in the appearan to something intermediate between parts of the Midwest, where agricult ment tends to occur on that flat, clean to the percentage that agricultural labes or other attractions, as one m velopment areas or in the northern ment often occurs chumped near the lakes in Anoka County, Minnesota (1) The effects of urbanization in m

lakes in Anoka County, Minnesota in The effects of urbanization in ru the conversion of land to urban uses of urbanization are:
 1) The decline of the political is long-term multiple resident as su indepterm rule resident as su retired persons move into the 2) The imposition of suburbance

retired persons move into the ' 2) The imposition of suburdano' farm activities, higher proper services mischicoga beavior use of farming, and so on And 3) Speculation in and, perhaps These spillover effects make the Antimeter and the service of the service productive farminad is idled in antie ment perhaps on the order of one-th

10	
je.	
ũ	
5	
Ó	
E	
ste	
é	
ň	
'n.	
D .	
te	
6	
e	
20	
S	
ant	
Ĕ	
Pe	
12	
90	
e	
3	
pu	
la	
an	
5	
5	

		Urban land use re	quirements (acres)
Region	Time period	Per net new resident	Per new dwelling unit
Kansas City SMSA ^a	1970-74/75	-	417
Outer ring of Suburbs in Minneapolis-St. Paul SMSA ^b	1970-75	189	1
11 rapidly growing Cornbelt counties ^c	1960-70	.142	
6 rapidly growing Great Lakes counties ^d	1960-70	.173	-
Sources [34, 37]			
[13, 27]. Excludes public and recreational uses, or	untles are Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Scott and Was	hington.	
c [44]. Counties are: DuPage, Lake and Will, Illinois,	Porter, Indiana, Boone, Clay, Jefferson, St. Charl	es, and St. Louis County, Missouri; Sarpy, Nebr	aska, and Johnson, Kansas.
d [44] Counties are, McComb and Washtenaw, Mic	nigan; Waukesha, Wisconsin, and Anoka. Dakota	and Washington Counties. Minnesota	

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

Variables:			a in 1067	
U = Pero	centage of coun	ly land area in urban use	S IN 1907	
P = Pop	ulation density (1967) of county in person	is per acre	
Lake Sta	tes (Minnesota,	Michigan, Wisconsin):		
		U 00 571D 611	$P^2 = 79$	
	metro	U = 23.571F	$P^2 = 54$	
	nonmetro	U = 17.032F	11 = .04	
Cornbel	t States (Ohio, In	diana, Illinois, Missouri,	lowa):	
		11 01 05 0P 668	$P^2 - 84$	
	metro	U = 21.052F 429	$P^2 - 38$	
	nonmetro	0 = 12.794P	H = .50	
Norther	n Plains States (f	North Dakota, South Dak	ota, Nebraska, Kansas):	
	-	11 Of 070D 572	$p^2 = 92$	
	metro	0 = 21.370P	$P^2 = 50$	

Within any locality the pattern of urban expansion is often quite scattered (Figures 7.1 and 7.2 and Tables 7.3 and 7.4), generating a change in the appearance of the landscape from rural to something intermediate between urban and rural. In many parts of the Midwest, where agriculture predominates, develop-ment tends to occur on that flat, cleared land roughly in proportion to the percentage that agricultural land is of all land in the area (Tables 7.3 and 7.4 and Zeimetz et al., [44]). But where there are lakes or other attractions, as one might find in recreational de-velopment areas or in the northern parts of the Midwest, develop-ment often occurs clumped near these amenities as around the lakes in Anoka County, Minnesota (Figure 7.1). The effects of urbanization in rural areas, however, go beyond

- lakes in Anoka County, Minnesota (Figure 7.1).
 The effects of urbanization in rural areas, however, go beyond the conversion of land to urban uses [6]. Among the indirect effects of urbanization are:

 The decline of the political status of the farmer or other long-term rural resident as suburban or exurban families or retired persons move into the community. This can lead to:
 The imposition of suburban-oriented regulations on routine farm activities, higher property taxes to pay for suburban services, mischievous behavior by suburban residents disruptive of farming, and so on. And:
 - 3) Speculation in land, perhaps the most important effect of

3) Speculation in tand, perturb the most important state urbanization. These spillover effects make the future of farming more uncer-tain on the rural-urban fringe. As a consequence some otherwise productive farmland is idled in anticipation of future urban develop-ment (perhaps on the order of one-half acre for every acre developed

6

Use in 1967			Use in 19	75			Total acreage 1967
	Cropland, orchards and nurseries	Other cleared land	Wood- lands	Residen- tial	Other	Other	, indiana and age 1907
Cropland, orchards and nurseries	85.6	5.8	0.1	7.1	1.4	0.0	56.396
Other cleared land	1.0	90.2	1.1	5.1	2.4	0.2	31,473
Woodlands	0.4	1.3	89.6	8.4	0.3	0.0	30.790
Residential	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	14 135
Other urban	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	5 951
Other ^a	0.1	0.0	0.0			0.0	5,651

0.0

0.1

99.8

Table 7.3. Transition matrix of land use changes in Anoka County (part) Minnesota 1967-1975—all soils (percentage of 1967

152

a Largely lakes.

CHAPTER 7

7,809

Table 7.4. Transition matrix of land use changes in Dakota County (part) Minnesota 1967-1975 (percentage of 1967 acreage in

0.0 0.0

0.1

Use in 1967			Use in 1	975			Total acreage 1967
	Cropland, orchards and nurseries	Other cleared land	Wood- lands	Residen- tial	Other urban	Other	
Cropland,			ALL !	SOILS			
orchards and nurseries	84.6	4.6	0.1	6.5	4.1	0.1	37,197
)ther cleared nd	0.0	77.0	3.6	11.4	7.2	0.8	8,994
oodlands	0.1	1.1	84.9	12.5	1.4	0.0	10,172
idential	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	7,236

nursenes	85.6	5.8	0.1				
Other cleared	1.0	90.2	1.1	5.1	2.4	0.2	31,473
Woodlands	0.4	1.3	89.6	8.4	0.3	0.0	30,790
Residential	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	14,135
Other urban	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	5,851
Other ^a	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	99.8	7,809

POPULATION REDISTRIBU

UTTON

AND LAND USE

Table 7.4. Transition matrix of land use changes in Dakota County (part) Minnesota 1967-1975 (percentage of 1967 acreage in uses indicated in 1975)

			Use in 19	75			Total acleage 1507
Jse in 1967	Cropland,	Other	Wood-	Residen-	Other	21	
	orchards and nurseries	land	lands	tial	urban	Other	
			ALL !	SOILS			
Cropland, irchards and iurseries	84.6	4.6	0.1	6.5	4.1	0.1	37,197
Other cleared	0.0	77.0	3.6	11.4	7.2	0.8	8,994
No. diamin	0.1	1.1	84.9	12.5	1.4	0.0	10,172
woodlands	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	7,236
Residential	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	2,193
Other urban	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	2.504
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
Cropland			PRIME S	OILS ONLY			
orchards and nurseries	88.0	3.6	0.1	5.4	2.9	0.0	23,666
Other cleared land	0.0	75.0	2.1	12.4	10.5	0.0	1,552
Woodlands	0.0	0.3	84.7	15.0	0.0	0.0	1,090
Residential	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	2,18
Other urban	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	1,03
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	15

[28]) and there is a slow switchover from dairying to cash grain farming within the dairy belt [6, 7, 12]. Dairy farming requires both large investments in immobile capital, that may not be re-coverable if the land is developed, and a great deal of on-farm labor that may appear unattractive as opportunities to work in urban areas improve with expanding development.





tchover from dairying to cash grain It (6, 7, 12). Dairy farming requires amobile capital, that may not be reped, and a great deal of on-farm labor e as opportunities to work in urban g development.



Minneapoli Saint Pau Dakota County (part) Built up before 1967 í to 1975 1 . e, 1 .1 1 ~ ٢ .m

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

Fig. 7.2. Built-up land in Dakota County, Minnesota

6

oka County, Minnesota

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND U

Most changes in rural land use, and especially the conversion of rural land to urban uses, are institutionalized in the land market. Here, demand and supply factors come together: rural land owners may be pushed or pulled into selling; farmers may switch from one land use to another or idle their land; banks and savings and loan associations bring together savers and investors; local, state, and federal government agencies create infrastructure and thereby in-fluence the pattern of development and the price of land; and de-velopers and builders directly alter the landscape. These processes are summarized in Figure 7.3. Becent trands in the land market can be extrapolated to

are summarized in Figure 7.3. Recent trends in the land market can be extrapolated to estimate the magnitude of the conversion of rural land to urban uses in the future. Huemoeller and his colleagues [19] forecast re-quirements of 9.297,000 acres for additional urban development, transportation uses, recreation, strip mining, etc. (from agricultural land) in the North Central Region between 1967 and 2000. Of these requirements they have projected that 2,647,000 acres will be withdrawn for urban development. These urbaniza-tion estimates may be low since they were arrived at using the average of built-up acreage per person for 1960 and 1970 in exist-ing "urban places" (over 2500 persons and over 500 persons per square mile), which overlooks the low densities characteristic of newly developing areas.

square mile), which overlooks the low densities characteristic of newly developing areas. For the State of Illinois, Roger Schneider [32] estimated that about 25,000 acres of rural land would be converted to urban uses and highways each year (on average) between 1975 and 2000 to ac-commodate an increase in population of around 2,800,000 people. If farmland were converted to urban and highway uses in proportion to its 1974 share of Illinois land, about 505,000 acres of farmland would be lost in total over the last quarter of the century. Schneider's estimates are based primarily upon urban acreage per person averaged for 1960 and 1970 in a sample of cities classified into four population size categories. The resulting estimates may be low because they are derived from average population densities and not increments to urbanized areas, they may also reflect some upward bias because of the high population projections.

Values of the Landscape

Values of the Landscape Despite the dominance of the land market, it cannot express the entirety of the range of values associated with rural landscapes [5]. Among the noneconomic values of the landscape are: 1) Functional values. These are concerned with the use of land so as to take advantage of beneficial natural processes and to avoid harmful natural processes. For example, the conversion of highly productive agricultural land is functionally wasteful. Although one may argue that the loss of another few percent of the large, produc-tive midwestern land resource base is of little consequence (and that this insignificance is properly reflected in the land market),



d use, and especially the conversion of institutionalized in the land market, tors come together: rural land owners selling: farmers may switch from one peir land; banks and savings and loan savers and investors; local, state, and create infrastructure and thereby in-pment and the price of land; and de-y aller the landscape. These processes

and market can be extrapolated to the conversion of rural land to urban er and his colleagues [19] forecast re-es for additional urban development, eation, strip mining, etc. (from the Central Region between 1967 and a they have projected that 22647.000 urban development. These urbaning-mes they were arrived at using the perperson for 1960 and 1970 in exist-00 persons and over 500 persons per ks the low densities characteristic of

ks the low densities characterised Roger Schneider [32] estimated that and would be converted to urban uses average) between 1975 and 2000 to as-pulation of around 2.800,000 people II urban and highway uses in proportion and, about 505,000 arers of farmiland er the last quarter of the century sed primarily upon urban arerage per d 1970 in a sample of cities classified togories. The resulting estimates may regories may also reflect some igh population projections.

f the Landscape

f the Landscape the land market, it cannot express the es associated with rural landscapes [5], esc are concerned with the use of land energian natural processes and to avaid for example, the conversion of highly is functionally wastell, Although one other few percent of the large, produ-roce base is of little consequence (and roperly reflected in the land market).



POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND U

CHAPTER 7

the long-run view cannot be so marginal. The next 100 years may the long-run view cannot be so marginal. The next 100 years may very well see a decline in the increases in agricultural productivity that have occurred over the last 50 years, a great increase in world demand for agricultural products from the United States, and less favorable climatic conditions than have occurred in the last 50 years [33]. Plaut [30] has looked ahead only 25 years and estimated that under mildly pessimistic conditions (as just described) the re-serve of potential cropland (from Didericksen [14]), in the United States thet can be beworked in the competence acet serve of potential cropland (from Didericksen [14]), in the United States that can be brought into production at low or moderate cost would be just sufficient to meet these new production requirements after replacing farmland converted to urban uses. Although 100 years may seem like a long time, it is a relatively short period in the history of nations. A safe minimum standards approach to pro-tect as much prime cropland as possible would seem appropriate for dealing with the agricultural future of the Midwest given the uncertainty of the long-range future. Why destroy a valuable, though plentiful, resource? Scarcity is not the sole prerequisite of value

value. At the local level planning with functional values in mind may be directed toward avoiding development of prime agricultural land (although there does not seem to be a particularly strong bias of development either toward or away from prime land in the Midwest at present [39]). In addition, the avoidance of development in areas subject to flooding or in aquifer recharge areas may also promote functional values.

promote functional values. 2) Aesthetic values: Although a good deal of the midwestern landscape lacks variety, being flat with little to break the seem-ingly endless fields of corn, soybeans, or wheat, the margins of the region feature woodlands, hills, tablelands, and lakes. And in many areas the river valleys frequently offer enclosed views of linear themes in contrast to the open, broad prairie where the sky is typically the dominant landscape component. At a local level the Midwest may suffer unaesthetic intrusions into the farmlands or woodlands from scattered urban develop-ment or strip mining (see Figures 7.1 and 7.2). Sprawling residen-tial and commercial development transform the landscape from a rural one into something intermediate between rural and urban, often with little redeeming architectural value. This pattern is

often with little redeeming architectural value. This pattern is especially stark when there are no hills or trees to soften its aesthetic impact. 3) Ecological values: Natural areas consisting of habitats suffi-

3) Ecological values: Natural areas consisting of habitats sufficiently large to support a wide range of native plant and animal species can promote ecological values. These values are concerned with the protection of plant and animal communities and associations not for the benefit of people but for the benefit of the plants and animals themselves. The intrusion of development into grassland, wetland, or forest can have detrimental but not necessarily obvious consequences for these species. In the Midwest, marshes and lakes that serve as habitats for migratory waterfowl

are particularly good examples of ext values. Relic areas of prairie are rath parks (such as Goose Lake Prairie Sta

his type of habitat. And boreal forest Waters Canoe Area reflects yet ano

waters (anow Area reflects yet and ecological resources. 4) Contemplative values: Within placed the ideals and images associal These include the ideal of the family image which shaped the attitudes of f image which shaped the attitudes of t tern of land use [35]. The back-to-th part a reflection of the contemplative In addition, contemplative values of t other images such as recollections o rural areas or scientific study of nat [set]

Controlling La

Controlling La The Midwest exhibits a great de ounties, and municipalities with re-maintain open space. In nonmetrop urban frigges, the pressures of incre-sence or onservation easements on some communities and states to rege scence or onservation easements on twe to rural land owners to keep some important exceptions (Wisconsis example) the Midwest has probably ovo ovortiveness of New York. New California, Oregor, or Hawaii (8, 23).

Regulation of land use

Regulation of land use Zoning is the best known form of are other types as well. Zoning for onservation uses at the county of the state level) is practiced in a nor-or example, in Wisconsi many cou-sessures. Act of 1966 [41] and firmland for exclusive farm uses particulation [3]. In Illinois, some 24 co non-more than are collutural zones of minimum to in arricultural zones of minimum the city of Waterloo) has ment form prime agricultural and the form prime agricultural and t

o marginal. The next 100 years may ncreases in agricultural productivity st 50 years, a great increase in world acts from the United States, and less than have occurred in the last 50 d ahead only 25 years and estimated conditions (as just described) the re-rom Didericksen [14]), in the United to production at low or moderate cost t these new production requirements verted to urban uses. Although 100 ime, it is a relatively short period in minimum standards approach to pro as possible would seem appropriate rral future of the Midwest given the ge future. Why destroy a valuable, carcity is not the sole prerequisite of

with functional values in mind may with functional values in mind may development of prime agricultural seem to be a particularly strong bias d or away from prime land in the ddition, the avoidance of development r in aquifer recharge areas may also

ough a good deal of the midwestern gi flat with little to break the seem-typeans, or wheat, the margins of the is, tablelands, and lakes And in many earthy offer enclosed views of linear pen, broad prairie where the sky is age commonent.

pen, broad prairie where use any appe component. est may suffer unaesthetic intrusions lands from scattered urhan develop-gourse 7.1 and 7.2). Sprawing residen-ment transform the landscape from a ment transform the landscape from a transform the landscape from a architectural value. This pattern is a architectural value. This pattern is a ren no hills or trees to soften its e are no hills or trees to soften its stating of habitats suffi-

ural areas consisting of habitats suff-ide range of native plant and animal and animal communities and associa-and animal communities and associa-topic but for the benefit of the plants the intrusion of development into the intrusion of development into the intrusion detrimental but not ences for these species. In the Midwest, ences for these species for the diverse et as habitats for migratory waterford

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

are particularly good examples of extensive areas with ecological are particularly good examples of extensive areas with ecological values. Relic areas of prairie are rather rare although some state parks (such as Goose Lake Prairie State Park in Illinois) do protect this type of habitat. And boreal forest wilderness in the Boundary Waters Cance Area reflects yet another example of midwestern ecological resources.

ecological resources. 4) Contemplative values: Within this category of values are placed the ideals and images associated with the rural landscape. These include the ideal of the family farm, and indeed the garden image which shaped the attitudes of the early settlers and the pat-tern of land use [35]. The back-to-the-farm movement is also in part a reflection of the contemplative values of living off the land. In addition, contemplative values of the rural landscape encompass other images such as recollections of past experiences in specific rural areas or scientific study of native plant and animal species [36]. [36].

Controlling Land Use

Controlling Land Use The Midwest exhibits a great deal of variation among states, counties, and municipalities with regard to land use controls to maintain open space. In nonmetropolitan areas and along rural-urban fringes, the pressures of increased population have induced some communities and states to regulate land use or to purchase scenic or conservation easements on rural land, or to provide incen-tives to rural land owners to keep their land in rural uses. With some important exceptions (Wisconsin and the Twin Cities area, for novativeness of New York, New Jersey, Maryland, Florida, California, Oregon, or Hawaii [8, 23].

Regulation of land use

Regulation of land use Zoning is the best known form of regulation, but as we shall see, there are other types as well. Zoning land for exclusive farm use of the state level) is practiced in a number of midwestern localities. For example, in Wisconsin many counties have delineated shoreland areas for conservation or agricultural uses in response to the Water Resources Act of 1966 [41] and are in the process of zoning farmland for exclusive farm use to allow farmland owners to partake of tax benefits in response to new farmland preservation legislation [3]. In Illinois, some 24 counties have limited residential development in agricultural zones by means of at least a five acre minimum lot size (60 acre minimum in two counties) or by prohibi-tion of residential development in such zones without a special permit [9]. And, as a third example, Blackhawk County, Iowa (con-taining the city of Waterloo) has restricted residential develop-ment from prime agricultural land as defined in terms of a corn

(3)

suitability index [10]. None of these programs has yet been

suitability index [10]. None of these programs has yet been analyzed with regard to effectiveness, however. The legal framework surrounding zoning of rural land for rural uses has proved to be critical in applying this method of land use control. First of all, the zoning ordinance must comply with the enabling legislation, serve the public health, safety, or welfare (by stating how it does so), and define the uses permitted as of right, by means of special approval, and the criteria upon which such ap-proval depends [26]. Besides the formal requirements of drawing up a zoning or-dinance, the constitutional issues of the dimution in the value of

by means of spectral approval, and the criteria upon which such approval depends [26]. Besides the formal requirements of drawing up a zoning or dinance, the constitutional issues of the diminution in the value of land zoned for exclusive rural uses and the limits on regulatory power must be addressed. One midwestern case, *Just v. Marinette County*, (Wis.) 201 N.W.2d 716 (1972), has been of landmark im-portance. This case was concerned with the filling of marshland near a lakeshore zoned for conservation uses. The Wisconsin Supreme Court held for Marinette County establishing two important prin-ciples: 1) the diminution-in-value issue refers not to some speculative future value but diminution in value with respect to the current use, and, 2) the protection of existing public landscape values (as opposed to the creation of new public benefits) is within the regulatory power of the County [25]. Regulation of land use may also occur in the form of regional or state level review and approval of local land use plans and or-dinances to see that open space goals are promoted. The fectively employs this procedure in the Midwest [18, 22, 31]. In 1975 it adopted a Development Framework Plan which delineates areas for urban services and rural services. Within the rural service area no metropolitan sewer service is to be provided until fater 1990; and within the commercial agricultural regions inside the rural service area no urban services, no residential subdivision, and no actions interfering with agriculture may be implemented. These regulations effectively limit the amount of urban develop-ment that can occur in the rural service area. The specifics are left up to the minor civid divisions, but according to the Land Planning Act of 1976 their plans and ordinances must be approved by the Metropolitan Council which considers the regional overview as de-fined by the Development Framework.

Public purchase of scenic or conservation easements

By purchasing the development rights on land to protect aesthetic, functional, contemplative, or ecological values, states and the Federal government have attempted to control land use in a few parts of the Midwest. These programs essentially involve negative easements preventing undesirable changes in land use although some permit public access for recreation (positive easements). The largest program is the Federal Government's purchase of easements in gross (and in some cases the fee) on wetlands in the

Dakotas, Minnesota, and Nebraska to Dakotas, Minnesota, and Nebraska fü gratory waterfowl [20]. The "developme but rather farm drainage activities. M development are the Wisconsin State purtennt easements [11, 43]. The primarily along the Great River Rg 1,000 acress of land on which new com ing. tree-cutting, and billboards are primum of fice acres let es 200 fact minimum of a five-acre lot or 300-foot idences. The cost of this program has the easements have been purchased in speaking, though, where developmen costs of easements may become prohibi

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND US

Incentives for retaining open space

Because urbanization generates a such as the regulation of routine farm such as the regulation of routine tarm peeds or increases in property taxes, do occur on the rural-urban fringe [6]. Of issue of higher property taxes near ur greatest attention in the Midwest. It erty taxes can force some farmers speculators or developers earlier than. That property taxes can be hold a some farmers

speculators or developers earlier than the speculators of developers earlier than a transformer of the second strategies and the second strategies a

temporarily reduce the rate of loss of la To reduce the property tax bud most other open-space owners, all the stansas had some sort of differenti stansas had some sort of differenti stansas had some sort of differenti the surrent value, not tighter mar ome tax credit (0, 311). Indiana, low service and the standard sourcest. If a surrent sourcest the market and difference between the market arcicultural use value of the land be

of these programs has yet been

unding zoning of rural land for rural in applying this method of land u ng ordinance must comply with the public health, safety, or welfare (by efine the uses permitted as of right and the criteria upon which such ap

rements of drawing up a zoning o sues of the diminution in the value of al uses and the limits on regulatory ne midwestern case, Just v. Marinette he manwestern case, outs it industries for [16] (1972), has been of landmark im-rand with the filling of marshland near vation uses. The Wisconsin Supreme anty establishing two important prin-n-value issue refers not to some limitution in value with respect to the minimum of a straining multi-landscape otection of existing public landscape ation of new public benefits) is within

second or the provide sensitive of the s

c or conservation easements to or conservation easements relegament rights on land to protect plative, or ecological values, states and a strempted to control land use in a few e programs essentially involve negative estable changes in land use although recreation (positive easements). the Federal Government's purchase of some cases the fee) on wetlands in the

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

Dakotas, Minnesota, and Nebraska to maintain habitats for mi-gratory waterfowl [20]. The 'development' in this case is not urban but rather farm drainage activities. More oriented toward urban development are the Wisconsin State Division of Highway's ap-purtenant easements [11, 43]. These have been purchased primarily along the Great River Road and now include some 17,000 acres of land on which new commercial development, dump-ing, tree-cutting, and billboards are prohibited. In addition, a minimum of a five-acre lot or 300-foot frontage is required for res-idences. The cost of this program has been relatively low because the easements have been purchased in semi-rural areas. Generally speaking, though, where development pressures are strong, the costs of easements may become prohibitively high.

Incentives for retaining open space

Incentives for retaining open space Because urbanization generates a number of spillover effects such as the regulation of routine farming activities to serve urban needs or increases in property taxes, disincentives to farming may occur on the rural-urban fringe [6]. Of these various spillovers, the issue of higher property taxes near urban areas has attracted the greatest attention in the Midwest. It is argued that higher prop-erty taxes can force some farmers into selling their land to speculators or developers earlier than they otherwise would like to. That property taxes are binder near urban areas (assuming land is

speculators or developers earlier than they otherwise would like to. That property taxes are higher near urban areas (assuming land is assessed at its market exchange value) can be seen in Figure 7.4 for Wisconsin. However, whether lowering the property taxes will decrease the rate of loss of land in farms is another matter. Two statistical studies in Ohio for the period 1964-1973 indicate that where urban pressures are strong any ameliorating influence of lowered property taxes would be swamped out by strong demands for urban land and land speculation [4, 29]. In the rural, productive combelt areas of Ohio, lowering property taxes would probably have little effect on the rate of change in land in farms; but, in the mary reduce the cash flow problems of enough farmers to allow them to hold onto their operations a few more years and thereby temporarily reduce the rate of loss of land in farms. To reduce the parket loss of land in farmal.

temporarily reduce the rate of loss of land in farms. To reduce the property tax burden on farmland owners and some other open-space owners, all the midwestern states except Kansas had some sort of differential assessment law by 1978 which either assessed farm and other eligible land on the basis of its current value, not its higher market value, or granted an in-come tax credit [3, 21]. Indiana, Iowa, Missouri and the Dakotas simply assess farmland at its agricultural use value ("pure pref-erential assessment"). In contrast, Illinois, Minnesota, Nebraska, and Ohio require that a specified number of years of back taxes on the difference between the market value of the land and the agricultural use value

sessed land is taken out of an eligible use ("deferred taxation"). And, finally, Michigan and Wisconsin require that participants sign up for ten or more years, agreeing to keep their land in farm-ing; in return the participants' state income taxes are lowered

ing; in return the participants state income taxes are lowered ("restrictive agreement"). In general, differential assessment is a very weak method of controlling land use. This conclusion is based not only on the statistical evidence from Ohio, but also on the fact that the tempta-tion of speculating in land and the necessity of retiring from farm-



Fig. 7.4. Real property taxes in Wisconsin

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND

ing and putting the land up for sale bably far more important long-run o decision to sell than property taxes [2]

Obstacles to Land L

Simply because land use controls c

<text><text><text><text>

an eligible use ("deferred taxation"). Wisconsin require that participants , agreeing to keep their land in farm-

nts' state income taxes are lowered ssessment is a very weak method of conclusion is based not only on the o, but also on the fact that the tempta-



in Wisconsin

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

ing and putting the land up for sale in the land market are probably far more important long-run considerations in the farmer's decision to sell than property taxes [21, 40].

Obstacles to Land Use Controls

<text><text><text><text> upon

upon. The local political system cannot continually avoid doing something about land use as development occurs, however. New people in the community eventually will have political power and they may want to preserve whatever sylvan or rustic surroundings remain. There are also the problems of providing landfills, and locating apartments, commercial activities, and other land uses that are often perceived as incompatible with low density residen-tial land uses. Decisions on the location of public infrastructure will also influence the eventual development pattern. Unfortunata-ly, semi-rural communities often have staffs inadequately trained to deal with the variety of land use problems that are likely to

arise. And governmental recognition of problematic situations may occur too late for land use planning to be effectively utilized to re-tain open spaces and agricultural activities.

Conclusions

Conclusions The current resettlement process ongoing in the Midwest is a phase of the longer series of frontier advancement, infilling of bypassed areas, urbanization, and suburbanization. Whether it is an important, long-term stage or merely a disturbance of an equilibrium remains to be seen. If it endures for 25 or 50 years, however, it will greatly affect the midwestern landscape by densely dotting much of the land area with split-offs from farmland and with woodland and lakeside developments of various residential, commercial, retirement, and recreation structures. The western por-tion of the region will probably see little such alteration while the major pressures will be exerted in the more populous East North Central states and in those areas along the northern and southern margins of the region with important locational amenities. At a local level, low density, scattered development, typical of some parts of the Midwest (but not, apparently, of areas with rich, productive, expensive farmland) alters the appearance of the land urban. In addition to this kind of aesthetic effect there also is likely to be a homogenization of the region. Although the Midwest

landscape, changing it from a rural one to something between rural and urban. In addition to this kind of aesthetic effect there also is likely to be a homogenization of the region. Although the Midwest persist through a resettlement process, regionally distinctive townscapes are being diluted by the sprawl of nondescript dwellings and commercial buildings. Tom a functional point of view, the Midwest is the principal fricultural region of the nation, producing about 45 percent of the compared to the sprawl of nondescript dwellings are being diluted by the sprawl of nondescript dwellings are difficult region of the nation, producing about 45 percent of the compared to the sprawl of the compared to the copland in 1974. Depicture products by value on 54 percent of the copland in 1974, product to predict with regard to world-wide agricultural supply and demand. Because the basic agricultural resource is soil, the basic and neutrino the face of uncertainty is to protect and and limit indiscriminant removal of productive agricultural for nonagricultural uses. Thus is a politically agonizing task, one which is often easier to fright from place to place within the region, in part because of the droes. Direct control over land use is offensive to many people and groes. Direct control over land use is offensive to many people and groes. Direct control over land use is offensive to many people and protes to the sand the diffusion and adoption of these controls product geography of the next generation.

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND

NOTES

The author wishes to thank Ken Bieri, Quinn, and Susan Safanie for their assis

²The farm population declined 11.7 per the North Central Region [2, Table 1].

REFERENCES CITED

- 1. American Society of Planning Rural America, Washington, D.C.
- Banks, Vera. 1972. Farm Pop Washington, D.C.: U. S. Departm Research Service, Agricultural Eco
- Barrows, Richard and Douglas Y Farmland Preservation Program Conservation. Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 209
- Berry, David. 1975. The Effect of Farmland. Proceedings of the Mid the Association of American Gev
- Berry, David. 1976. Preservation of Value. American Journal of Ec pp. 113-124.
- Berry, David. 1978. Effects of Url tivities. Growth and Change. Vol. 9
- Berry, David. 1979. The Sensitivi A Study of Northeastern Illinois. J
- Berry, David and Thomas Plaut, 1
 Berry, David and Thomas Plaut, 1
 tivities Under Urban Pressures: J and Policies, Policy Sciences, Vol. 9
- Bock, C. Allen, Maureen McCo Provisions for Agriculture in Illi Report AE-4464. Department University of Illinois.
- University of attinois. 10. Clark, Janice. 1977. Agricultural Iowa. In Land Use: Tough Choi Iowa: Soil Conservation Society of 10 War Son Conservation Society of 11. Coughlin, Robert E. and Thomas Than-Fee Acquisition for Preserv the American Institute of Planner 10. Construction Constitution (Construction)
- Cummins, David, 1970. Effect of L dummins, David, 1970. Effect of L the Lake States: 1949-69. Washin Agriculture, Economic Research Report No. 196.

gnition of problematic situations may anning to be effectively utilized to re-ural activities.

nclusions

Process ongoing in the Midwest is a f frontier advancement, infiling of and suburbanization. Whether it is an our merely a disturbance of an enther it endures for 25 or 50 years the midwester landscape by densely a with splitoffs from farmland and developments of various residential everation structures. The western par-ties along the northern and southern partant locational amenities. and alters the appearance of the rural one to something between rurals is not dersephonal through the Midwest on of the region. Although the Midwest on and against event of the the Traine School") and compare they the signal of nondescript development to the the Midwest is the principal of the region of thought the Midwest of the region of nondescript development to the Straine School") and compare the the Traine School") and compare the the Traine School" and compare the the size of the mericing of the size of the ruration the the size of the mericing of the size of the ruration of the ruration of the there also is the the size of the ruration and the the size of the ruration of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the size of the size of the ruration of the size of the size of the ruration of the size of the ruration of the size of the size

of view, the Midwest is the principal of view, the Midwest is the principal tion, producing about 45 percent of the real of the organal in 1974. The through applied in the organization of the organization interaction of the organization of the organization of the organization of the organization of the second to work-dowed agricultural supply and to work-dowed agricultural supply in the face of uncertainty is to protect in ant removal of productive agricultural s.

nanit removat or productor equations 5 logical, functional, or other landscape iming task, one which is often easier to is. The intensity of this pursue with the within the region, in part because of local political indicts, in part because of local political and use is offensive to may people and a diffusion and adoption of these controls it libe an interesting phenomenon in the ext generation.

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

NOTES

'The author wishes to thank Ken Bieri, Tom Plaut, Clyde Forrest, John Quinn, and Susan Safanie for their assistance.

²The farm population declined 11.7 percent between 1970 and 1976 in the North Central Region [2, Table 1].

REFERENCES CITED

- 1. American Society of Planning Officials. 1976. Subdividing Rural America, Washington, D.C.
- Banks, Vera. 1972. Farm Population Estimates for 1976. Washington, D.C. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Agricultural Economic Report No. 383.
- Barrows, Richard and Douglas Yanggen. 1978. The Wisconsin Farmland Preservation Program. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 209-212.
- Berry, David. 1975. The Effect of Property Taxes on the Loss of Farmland. Proceedings of the Middle States Division Meetings of the Association of American Geographers, Grand Island, New Ventor Proceedings of the States States of States Stat York
- Berry, David. 1976. Preservation of Open Space and the Concept of Value. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Vol. 35, pp. 113-124.

- pp. 113-124.
 Berry, David. 1978. Effects of Urbanization on Agricultural Activities. Growth and Change Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 2-8.
 Berry, David. 1979. The Sensitivity of Dairying to Urbanization: A Study of Northeastern Illinois. Professional Geographer, (May).
 Berry, David and Thomas Plaut. 1978. Retaining Agricultural Activities Under Urban Pressures: A Review of Land Use Conflicts and Policies. Policy Sciences, Vol. 9, pp. 153-178.
- Bock, C. Allen, Maureen McCord, and Richard Siehl. 1978. Provisions for Agriculture in Illinois County Zoning Ordinances; Report AE:4464. Department of Agricultural Economics. University of Control of Contro University of Illinois
- Clark, Janice. 1977. Agricultural Zoning in Black Hawk County, Iowa. In Land Use: Tough Choices in Today's World, Ankeny, Iowa: Soil Conservation Society of America.
- Coughlin, Robert E. and Thomas Plaut. 1978. The Use of Less-Than-Fee Acquisition for Preservation of Open Space. *Journal of* the American Institute of Planners, Vol. 44 (October).
- Cummins, David. 1970. Effect of Urban Expansion on Dairying in the Lake States: 1949-69. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Agricultural Economic Report No. 196.

- Department of Agricultural Economics 1978. Minnesota Economic Data, Counties and Regions. St. Paul: Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Minnesota.
- Dideriksen, Ray. 1976. Potential Cropland: A Regional View. Soil Conservation, (December) pp. 5-9.
- Hahn, Alan. 1970. Planning in Rural Areas. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, Vol. 36 (January) pp. 44-49.
- 16. Healy, Robert. 1976. Land Use and the States. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins
- 17. Heikkia, Arnold. 1977. Township Supervisors and Land Use in Eight Northeast Minnesota Counties. Agricultural Extension Service, University of Minnesota, Special Report 67.
- Hoffman, Robert. 1977. A Practical Solution for Tough Choices: The Twin Cities Development Framework. In Land Use: Tough Choices in Today's World. Ankeny, Iowa: Soil Conservation Socie-ces in Today's World. ty of America
- Huemoeller, William, Kenneth J. Nicol, Earl O. Heady, and Brent W. Spaulding. 1976. Land Use: Ongoing Developments in the North Central Region, Ames: Center for Agricultural and Rural Development, Iowa State University.
- Jordahl, Harold. 1963. Conservation and Scenic Easements: An Experience Resume, *Land Economics*, Vol. 39 (November) pp. 343-365.
- 21. Keene, John, et al. 1976. Untaxing Open Space, Washington, D.C.: Council on Environmental Quality.
- Knudson, Ed. 1976. Regional Politics in the Twin Cities. Min-neapolis, Minnesota: Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities 22. Area
- Lapping, Mark, Robert Bevins, and Paul Herbers. 1977. Differen-tial Assessment and Other Techniques to Preserve Missouri's Farmlands. *Missouri Law Review*, Vol. 42, pp. 369-408.
- Lassey, William. 1977. Planning in Rural Environments. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 10 K. McGraw-Fill.
 25. Lehmann, Richard. 1975. How Strong Can A Land Use Regula-tion Be—A Case Study of Just v. Marinette County. In (John Quinn, ed.) Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Institute on Zon-ing and Planning. Land Resources, Bureau of Urban and Regional Planning Research, University of Illinois.
- Lyman, Gregory, Stephen Meyer, and Ronald Nelson. 1977. Can Zoning Preserve Farmland? *Practicing Planner*, (September) pp. 19-22, 30.
- Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities. 1978. Land-Use Trends in the Metropolitan Area, 1960-1975. St. Paul: Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities.

28. Plaut, Thomas. 1976. The Effects of Farmland at the Rural-Urban Fring Perspective. Regional Science Res Paper Series No. 94.

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND US

- 29. Plaut, Thomas. 1977. The Real Prop ment, and the Loss of Farmland
- 30. Plaut, Thomas. 1978. Urban Grow Problems and Policies. Bureau of B
- Reichert, Peggy. 1976. Growth Mar Metropolitan Area. Minneapolis, M cil of the Twin Cities Area.
- Schneider, Roger. 1978. Alternat Agricultural Land Needs in Illinois of Agricultural Economics Paper 1
- Schneider, Stephen with Lynn Strategy, New York: Plenum.
- Shaklee, Ronald. 1976. Kansas Cit Land'Urban Conversion Study. J America Regional Council.
- Smith, Henry Nash. 1950. Virgu University Press
- 36. Stadtfeld, Curtis. 1972. From the
- U. S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. 4 ing Characteristics for Selected H-170-75-27, Kansas City, Missour
- U. S. Department of Agriculture Inventory. (Illinois data). Washing Agriculture, Soil Conservation Ser 20. University of Conservation Ser
- Ning, Daniel, Kenneth Bieri, Urbanization of Prime Agricultur Statistical Analysis. Regional Sc cussion Paper Series No. 99.
 an University of Analysis
- 40. Vogeler, Ingolf. 1978. Effectiveness Farmland in Metropolitan Chicag (July) pp. 23-32.
- Weber, Bruce, and Kathleen Per Response to State-Mandated Law American Institute of Planners, Vol. 42. Wisconsin Department of Reven Bulletin No. 473, Madison: Wiscon

tural Economics. 1978. Minnesota and Regions. St. Paul: Department of Iniversity of Minnesota. tential Cropland: A Regional View. Soil

pp. 5-9. ning in Rural Areas. Journal of the iners, Vol. 36 (January) pp. 44-49. d Use and the States. Baltimore: Johns

ownship Supervisors and Land Use in sota Counties. Agricultural Extension nnesota, Special Report 67.

A Practical Solution for Tough Choices: ment Framework. In Land Use: *Tough* Ankeny, Iowa: Soil Conservation Socie-

nneth J. Nicol, Earl O. Heady, and Brent *nd Use: Ongoing Developments in the* mes: Center for Agricultural and Rural University.

onservation and Scenic Easements: An nd Economics, Vol. 39 (November) pp.

Untaxing Open Space, Washington, D.C.: al Quality. ional Politics in the Twin Cities Min-tropolitan Council of the Twin Cities

levins, and Paul Herbers. 1977. Differen-ther Techniques to Preserve Missouri's & Review, Vol. 42, pp. 369-408.

Planning in Rural Environments. New

5. How Strong Can A Land Use Regula-of Just v. Marinette County. In Udan of the Twelrow, Bureau of Urban and arch, University of Illinois en Meyer, and Ronald Nelson. 1977. Can and? Practicing Planner, (September) pp.

i the Twin Cities. 1978. Land-Use Trends rea. 1960-1975. St. Paul: Metropolitan es.

POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND LAND USE

- Plaut, Thomas. 1976. The Effects of Urbanization on the Loss of Farmland at the Rural-Urban Fringe: A National and Regional Perspective. Regional Science Research Institute Discussion Paper Series No. 94.
- 29. Plaut, Thomas. 1977. The Real Property Tax, Differential Assess-ment, and the Loss of Farmland on the Rural-Urban Fringe. Regional Science Research Institute Discussion Paper Series No.
- Plaut, Thomas. 1978. Urban Growth and Agricultural Decline: Problems and Policies. Bureau of Business Research, University of Texas, 1978.
- Reichert, Peggy. 1976. Growth Management in the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Metropolitan Coun-cil of the Twin Cities Area. 31.
- Schneider, Roger. 1978. Alternatives for Projection of Non-Agricultural Land Needs in Illinois to the Year 2000. Department of Agricultural Economics Paper No. 78 E-57, University of Il-32
- Schneider, Stephen with Lynn Mesirow. 1976. The Genesis Strategy. New York: Plenum.
- Shaklee, Ronald. 1976. Kansas City Region Prime Agricultural Land/Urban Conversion Study. Kansas City, Missouri: Mid-America Regional Council.
- Smith, Henry Nash. 1950. Virgin Land. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 36. Stadtfeld, Curtis. 1972. From the Land and Back. New York: Scribners.
- U. S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Annual Housing Survey: Hous-ing Characteristics for Selected Metropolitan Areas, Series H-170-75-27, Kansas City, Missouri-Kansas.
- U. S. Department of Agriculture. 1967. Conservation Needs Inventory. (Illinois data). Washington, D.C.: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.
- Agriculture, Soil Conservation Berter Vining, Daniel, Kenneth Bieri, and Anne Strauss. 1977. Urbanization of Prime Agricultural Land in the United States: A Statistical Analysis. Regional Science Research Institute Dis-cussion Paper Series No. 99. 39.
- Vogeler, Ingolf. 1978. Effectiveness of Differential Assessment of Farmland in Metropolitan Chicago. *Geographical Survey*, Vol. 7, (July) = 20.20. 40. (July) pp. 23-32.
- Weber, Bruce, and Kathleen Peroff. 1977. Local Government Response to State-Mandated Land Use Laws. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, Vol. 43 (October) pp. 352-360.
- Wisconsin Department of Revenue. 1973. Property Tax 1973, Bulletin No. 473. Madison: Wisconsin Department of Revenue.

(3)

- 43. Wisconsin Natural Resources Council of State Agencies. 1973. Managing Wisconsin's Natural Resources. Madison: Wisconsin Natural Resources Council of State Agencies.
- Catural Resources Council of State Agencies.
 Zeimetz, Kathryn, et al. 1976. Dynamics of Land Use in Fast Growth Areas: Washington, D.C.: U. S. Department of Agricultural, Economic Research Service, Agricultural Economic Report No. 325.

CHAPTER EIGHT

LOCAL POLITICS AND THE MIGRATION: NEWCOMER-C RELATIONS IN SMALL COM

Alvin D. Sokolow

Avin D. Sokolow The past decade are unevenly understoot the sope and direction of the new somewhat less about the causes of this about the local effects of small town or munity-type shifts involved in the documented, as indicated in Chapters understop the source of the source of the part of the source of the source of the part of the source of the source of the part of the transl community, particul in local politics and government. These initiated argeneralized Consus estima-tatitude argreys, tell wis little about change in thousands of communities.

urces Council of State Agencies. 1973. Vatural Resources. Madison: Wisconsin il of State Agencies.

1976. Dynamics of Land Use in Fast ngton, D.C.: U. S. Department of desearch Service, Agricultural Economic

CHAPTER EIGHT

LOCAL POLITICS AND THE TURNAROUND MIGRATION: NEWCOMER-OLDTIMER RELATIONS IN SMALL COMMUNITIES

Alvin D. Sokolow

Avin D. Sokolow

advisor progress of demand, conflict, compromise, and perhaps altimate decision. A central element of this process is the interaction of new with established residents. Migrantis in growing, small communities are at least potentially the major source of change in public policies and pro-grams. They can disrupt the equilibrium of once-quiet communities, depending on the demands made or expectations held. A common view is that newcomers and oldtimers inevitably will clash over the scope of public sector activity, simply because of the demographic and value differences implicit in the urban backgrounds of the migrants [43]. This view may be based in large part on the suburbanization stories of the post World War II period, in which many villages and open country areas were overwhelmed by the influx of young families from nearby central cities. A contrasting view is rooted in an older image of rural stability and consensus. It suggests that newcomers to a small town are likely to maintain a low political profile, as they seek the social acceptance that comes only with long residence and conformity

This chapter argues that neither of these two views, the suburban model and the rural pattern, are accurate representations to-day of political processes in growing small communities. The newcomer-oldtimer theme is still a useful one for understanding hewomet-outliner theme is suit a user one to understanding how small towns respond to population increase, but it requires ad-justment to the features of the current population redistribution. One of these features concerns the quality of life motivation behind much of the migration to rural communities.

What then are the political consequences of the turnaround mi-gration? Three interrelated sets of local impacts are examined in

- this paper:
 1) Varying Patterns of conflict and cooperation between newcomers and oldtimers, as compared to the less complex relationships posed in the rural and suburban models.
 - The types of public issues in small communities which are generated by inmigration.
 - 3) The response patterns of local governments, particularly the conditions that facilitate or impede change in policies and programs.

The generalizations offered here are drawn from a fragmented The generalizations offered here are drawn from a fragmented literature of surveys, case studies and assorted commetaries. Systematic cross-community studies on the topic have yet to appear. Still the available studies compose a rich and provocative literature, with examples from many areas that reflect the national scope of the rural growth phenomenon of the past decade. But, examples from the Midwest are less plentful than those from other regions, notably the Pacific Coast, Rocky Mountain, and Upper New England areas. Furthermore these studies seem to suggest that the controversies induced by turnaround migration have been less intense in midwestern communities than elsewhere.

Earlier Versions of the

Newcomer-Oldtimer Relationship

In political terms the most interesting angle of the turnaround migration is how it upsets some longstanding notions about newcomer-oldtimer relations in small towns. New arrivals today fit in more readily, are less at odds with established residents, and are more likely to participate actively in local politics than the conven-tional wisdom suggests.

The rural model

That wisdom is based in large part on a familiar image—that rural communities do not easily accept new residents. Every such town has its traditional myth about the length of time required before new arrivals can be regarded as full-fledged members of the

community. Usually this is a standard tions. It helps of course to be a native of treme cases, full acceptance comes only were also natives. Expressed in this w were also natives. Expressed in titus w aggeration, not to be taken seriously curacy since the new arrival in a ru absorbed only gradually into comm, were the victims of a natural suspicion that they may carry foreign values have with local customs. So a form of of the newomer, a chance to learn beome a subdivition.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

become a solid citizen. Perhaps the apprenticeship was solitics than in any other area (politics newcomers were not asked or encou newomers were not asked or encou because their personal reputations ar Barber [2] points out in describing t state legislators from rural tow political leaders were continual spendthrift newcomers who sought merman [59, p. 43] describes:

merman [59, p. 43] describes: ...the "natives" whose families have lived have a proprietary interest in the town safeguard the town for posterity against th carpetaggers or transients. The "nat "newomer" if elected to town office will the term provide the term of the term of the term.

At a more basic level, this defensiven who become too critical about local li-generalization is confirmed by o Maryland's rural eastern shore [16, city [25, p. 18].

The suburban model

By and large, newcomers in these benefits of local acceptance. They I expected them to, not seeking pub statements, and otherwise mainta changed with the suburbanization areas from big citations who na areas from big citations where in that they could receive a One receive in its the

that they could receive it. One reason is that many of th and social ties to their places of io made an effort to establish deep ro effect, new suborhanites were t metropolitan region more than log

neither of these two views, the sub-tern, are accurate representations to-growing small communities. The still a useful one for understanding opulation increase, but it requires adhe current population redistribution. the quality of life motivation behind

communities. I consequences of the turnaround mi-ets of local impacts are examined in

conflict and cooperation between ers, as compared to the less complex are rural and suburban models.

ues in small communities which are

of local governments, particularly the te or impede change in policies and

d here are drawn from a fragmented studies and assorted commentaries, tudies on the topic have yet to appear, more and and provocative literature, as that reflect the national scope of the hepsaf decade. But, examples from the native from other regions, mushly the native from other regions, mushly the moto suggest that the controversies gration have been less intense in elsewhere.

interesting angle of the turnaround as some longstanding notions about in small towns. New arrivals today fit dds with established residents, and are tively in local politics than the conven-tively in local politics than the conven-

large part on a familiar image—that asily accept new residents. Every such th about the length of time required egarded as full-fledged members of the

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

community. Usually this is a standard measured in years or genera-tions. It helps of course to be a native of the locality and, in some ex-treme cases, full acceptance comes only if parents and grandparents were also natives. Expressed in this way the myth is a boastful ex-aggeration, not to be taken seriously. Yet it carries a hint of ac-curacy since the new arrival in a rural locality traditionally was absorbed only gradually into community life. He and his family were the victims of a natural suspicion of unwanted change, the fear thave with local customs. So a form of apprenticeship was required of the newcomer, a chance to learn the community's mores and become a solid citizen.

...the "natives" whose families have lived in the town for generations feel they have a proprietary interest in the town and regard it as their sarred duty to safeguard the town for posterity against the "newcomers" who are considered to be carpethaggres or transients. The "natives" may fear that the transitory "newcomers" if elected to town office will initiate extravagent projects and leave the town prior to their completion or fosts at ababilial scheme on the town, hence, it is preferable to have the "natives" run the town to prevent a town calamity.

At a more basic level, this defensiveness is directed at recent arrivals who become too critical about local leadership and government. This generalization is confirmed by observations about politics on Maryland's rural eastern shore [16, p. 143] and in a small Alabama city [25, p. 18].

The suburban model

The SUDURDAN model By and large, newcomers in these rural communities wanted the benefits of local acceptance. They behaved politically as oldtimers expected them to, not seeking public office, avoiding controversial statements, and otherwise maintaining low profiles. All of this changed with the suburbanization of the 1950s and 1960s. The millions of young families who migrated to metropolitan fringe areas from big cities neither desired the approval of established resi-ted they could receive it. One reason is that many of these migrants retained economic

that they could receive it. One reason is that many of these migrants retained economic and social ties to their places of former residence and they seldom made an effort to establish deep roots in their new communities. In effect, new suburbanites were mobile citizens of the greater metropolitan region more than loyal members of the towns where
they lived. They continued to commute to jobs in the central city, they lived. They continued to commute to jobs in the central city, visited relatives and friends in other towns of the region, and patronized retail stores wherever good roads and new shopping cen-ters pointed. The suburbanites differed greatly from their oldtimer neighbors in socioeconomic terms. They were younger, had more years of formal education, were more likely to work at professional and white collar jobs outside the community, and had higher in-comes. This social distance was further enlarged by the tendency of the newcomers to concrete in their own neighborhoods or subdivisions, rather than living among the oldtimers, a result of the availability of numerous mass-produced housing developments in the post war years.

divisions, rather than living among the oldtimers, a result of the availability of numerous mass-produced housing developments in the post war years. As ex-urbanites, many of the newcomers quickly became dis-satisfied with the quality and quantity of public services. They deplored the ineptness of veteran local government officials in not responding quickly enough to the sudden population spurts in these resently-rural communities. For their part, the officials and other invasions of the city people, especially the expanded governmental activities and higher taxes that inevitably resulted. The exurbanites were not always able or sufficiently interested in local affairs to directly challenge the veteran leaders, given the diversions of their daily job commutes. A number of studies cite the limited political participation and effectiveness of newcomers in these communities when compared with oldtimers [27, p. 20, 40, 58]. There were certain types of issues, however, that motivated negressiveness in getting appropriate action from local govern-ments. Local "crises" such as polluted wells, attacking dogs, and serious traffic accidents frequently brought angry subdivision resi-dents before the township board of a Michigan suburb in the early 1960s [46, pp. 57-58]. But it was the public schools that stimulated the most persistent interest and activity on the part of the newcomers [11; 20; 26; 33; 37; 57, pp. 186-191]. Carrying high aspirations for their childrens' futures, young parents fought for webuildings, revised curricula, and extracurricular programs. Oldimers generally opposed the bond issues and tax increases re-ulting from those demands. As older persons with grown children, they could not justify paying higher taxes for programs that would actucational "frills." The newcomer-oldtimer division then was the dominant force in the politics of many suburbanizing communities during and following the period of most rapid growth. The conflict often was mytopus tended to go their own ways, taking part in separa

Columbus, Ohio, is described in these term

er has social contact with the older re

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

and, for the most part, does

Similar descriptions of separate worlds a 29, 54]. Politically, newcomers tended t in a few areas, particularly public edu most other public organizations, a co beyond the point at which they ceas Dobriner [11] offers an insightful acco New England village (apparently in t 1950s. The newcomers quickly infiltration over the school boards, but the old lea the broader institutions of village an local Republican party. Filling virtual school system, the oldtimers walked a the specific demands of the newcon the specific definition of the traditional strength, and protecting the traditional [20, 27, p. 31; 56, p. 172; 57, pp. 166-170, changes in these situations were slow a

The New Mig

Entirely different new Entrely different newcomer-oldti munities are implicit in the urban-years. Neither the traditional rural n ticeship, nor the subarban pattern of worlds, is applicable to growing smal the changed politics of these places, about the characteristics of the turn ple and motives. omer-oldti ple and motives. The most striking features of the

The most striking features of the of movers to nomercophilan North rates of imigration, reported elsewith percent of migration, reported elsewith percent of migrations from urban and percent of migrations from urban and mail questionnaire surveys in 1975. Maine, almost all of whom had more states, elaborated on the push and pu-for the migration of the surveys

...for the majority of the immigrantias of pash and pull forces which were quali were ormay pull factors were simply security, hends, relatives in Mane, qu quality, lack of pullution, natural beaut

commute to jobs in the central city, in other towns of the region, and er good roads and new shopping cen-differed greatly from their oldtimer differed greatly from their oldtimer rms. They were younger, had more more likely to work at professional the community, and had higher in-s further enlarged by the tendency of in their own neighborhoods or sub-among the oldtimers, a result of the s-produced housing developments in

the newcomers quickly became dis-d quantity of public services. They ran local government officials in not the sudden population spurts in these or their part, the officials and other the work of the subdividers and the specially the expanded governmental in invitably resulted. The exurbantes cicently interested in local affairs to leaders, given the diversions of their of studies cite the limited political of newcomers in these communities (27, p. 20, et 0.58). of lissues, however, that motivated of lissues, however, that motivated

of newomers in these communities [27, p. 20, 40, 58]. of issues, however, that mativated acceeb because of their numbers and propriate action from local govern-polluted wells, attacking dogs, and ently brought angry subdivision resi-red of a Michigan suburb in the early as the public schools that stimulated it and activity on the part of the 37, 57, pp. 156-191]. Carrying high so futures, young parents fought for cula, and extracurricular programs the bond issues and tax increases the bond issues of programs that would higher taxes for programs that would higher taxes for programs that would higher taxes for programs that would higher taxes and they regarded as the taxes of the programs that would higher taxes for programs that would higher tax would taxes for programs that

ivision then was the dominant force ivision then was the dominant fore authorizing communities during and rabid growth. The conflict often was rabid growth, for the two and ways, taking part in separate social nearys taking part in separate social in these terms.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

stitutional points and, for the most part, does not immediately seek membership or assume leadership in the older institutions, the fringe area community of the older resident does not quickly become an effective reference system for the newcomer (27, p. 13). - contact between the old and new residents through local voluntary association, formal institutions, and semiformal activity is low, hence, no ex-tensive integration of these segments of the population has been achieved on this level [27, p. 20].

Similar descriptions of ness segments of the population has been achieved on this level [27, p. 20].
Similar descriptions of separate worlds are found in other studies [20, 29, 54]. Politically, newcomers tended to concentrate their energies in a few areas, particularly public education, while olditmers ran most other public organizations, a control that continued years beyond the point at which they ceased to be the local majority. Dobriner [11] offers an insightful account of the urbanization of a New England village (apparently in the Boston region) during the 1950s. The newcomers quickly infiltrated the local PTAs and took over the school boards, but the old leaders retained their control of the broader institutions of village and town government and the local Republican party. Filling virtually all public offices outside the school system, the oldtimers walked a thin line between giving in to the specific demands of the newcomers because of their voting strength, and protecting the traditional character of the community [20, 27, p. 31; 56, p. 172; 57, pp. 166-170, 178-180]. Political and policy changes in these situations were slow and fragmented.

The New Migrants

Entirely different newcomer-oldtimer relations in rural com-munities are implicit in the urban-to-rural migration of recent years. Neither the traditional rural model of a mandatory appren-ticeship, nor the suburban pattern of separate social and political worlds, is applicable to growing small towns today. To understand the changed politics of these places, we have to know something about the characteristics of the turnaround migration—about peo-ple and motives.

ple and motives. The most striking features of the new migration are the non-economic motives of urban to rural movers. In the telephone survey of movers to nonmetropolitan North Central counties with high rates of inmigration, reported elsewhere in this collection [45], 76 percent of migrants from urban areas listed reasons other than employment for their move. Environmental "push" and "pull" reasons and retirement accounted for a majority of responses. A mail questionnaire survey in 1975 of families recently arrived in Maine, almost all of whom had moved from larger places in other states, elaborated on the push and pull factors:

...for the majority of the immigrants the moves were precipitated by a complex of push and pull forces which were quality-of-life related. The primary push factors were erime, cost of living "people" deficiences: air and water pollution, and taxes free primary pull factors were simple lifestyle-slow pace of life, peacefulness serenity, friends, relatives in Maine, qualities of the people general environmental quality, lack of pollution, natural beauty, and the ocean coast [39, p. 301].

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION After They Arrive: Participation a

If people move to small towns becau It people have to small enter attitudes and directed to protecting these qualities. At behind the following description of recen

Few of the ex-urbanites who moved to th So and 60s probably felt as strongly abo while many of the migrants to more run the past probably held similar sentimer they were held in check by the inform as well as by the absence of suitable tar

Yet it would be misleading to picture Yet it would be misleading to picture today as possessing strong environment mote them, given the comments earlier ideological heterogeneity of the migrau post-migration political attitudes and thesis of available data about accorden thesis of available data about newcome matters and interaction with oldtimers.

Participation

Participation Several studies of growth situation of an additional studies of growth situation of an additional studies of growth situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation situation of growth situation situation of growth situation situation situation situation situation of growth situation situation situation situation situation situation of growth situation situation situation situation situation situation situation of growth situation situation situation situation situation situation situation situation situation of growth situation situation

Other less systematic studies point out the pervasiveness of quality of-life considerations in many parts of the country [3, p. 13; 10; 34, p 21:38:47].

of-life considerations in many parts of the country [3, p. 13; 10; 34, p. 21; 38; 47]. It is not surprising then that the most rapidly-growing rural communities are concentrated in areas known for their national beauty and comfort. Lakes, other shorelines, wooded scenes, varied landscapes, and clean air typify these localities. In the Midwest, they include parts of the upper Great Lakes (northern Michigan and Wisconsin) and the Ozarks, (southern Missouri and northern Arkansas). High amenity areas elsewhere are in the Pacific Northwest, California's mountain and coastal counties, the Rocky Mountains, and the upper New England states of Maine, Vermont and New Hampshire [41, pp. 23-26]. Among others, retirees, includ-ing persons who had previously vacationed there, are attracted to these areas. Some migrants to high amenity and other rural areas are also returning to the places of their youth, a trend evident in parts of Appalachia where a combination of family ties, new employment, and inexpensive farm land are incentives for factory workers to leave jobs in the North [22, 36]. In most respects, the new migrants to nonmetropolitan areas are not easily categorized as a single group. Unlike the relatively bomogeneous families who moved from the cities to the suburbs a decade or more ago, the small town newcomers of the 1970s are

homogeneous families who moved from the cities to the suburbs a decade or more ago, the small town newcomers of the 1970s are diverse in socioeconomic and value terms. As well as recent re-tirees, they include much younger dropouts from urban society ("hippies" to the older neighbors), middle class families with school-aged children, and more than a sprinkling of affluent persons [47, 51]. Some retirees have comfortable investment in-comes and build new houses on sizable acreages, others live in mobile homes or anartments on limited persions and social securimobile homes or apartments on limited pensions and social securi-

mobile homes or apartments on limited pensions and social securi-ty benefits. Neither are the new migrants a homogeneous bunch in the political values they hold. A study of a rapidly-growing Oregon community in 1976-77 shows that newcomers "ranged from ex-treme right wingers to communal hippies" who moved from more urban places for seemingly opposite reasons [19, p. 182]. Three categories of new arrivals are identified:

One type was attracted by what was perceived to be honesty, candor, hard work, and self-discipline on the part of rural populations as compared to lawlessness, drug abuse, and decay of the cities. Another type was attracted by perceived simplicity, slow pace of living, opportunity for self-fulfillment, and opportunity to get close to nature—as contrasted to the impersonality, stereotyping, and hypeorisy of eity life. One type objected to permissiveness of urban life, the other to its regimentation. A third type, ...included those who came to start over in a dif-ferentiand more pleasant environment affer a family tragedy or career setback [19,

But they displayed a uniformity in at least one important respect. All had deliberately selected this particular community as their new home and, as the story goes on to show, they participated in certain common efforts to improve the institutions of the community.

point out the pervasiveness of quality-parts of the country (3, p. 13; 10; 34, p

that the most rapidly-growing rule in areas known for their national her shorelines, wooded scenes, varied her shorelines, wooded scenes, varied ufy these localities. In the Midwest, we Great Lakes fourthern Michigan ks, (southern Missouri and northern reas elsewhere are in the Pacific tion and norstle counties. the Packy tain and coastal counties, the Rocky w England states of Maine, Vermont (3-26). Among others, retirees, includ-sly vacationed there, are attracted to o high amenity and other rural areas ces of their youth, a trend evident in a combination of family ties, new a contoination of family des, new farm land are incentives for factory orth [22, 36].

migrants to nonmetropolitan areas migrants to nonmetropolitan areas a single group. Unlike the relatively lowed from the cities to the suburbs a llown newcomers of the 1970s are y value terms. As well as recent re-ounger dropouts from urban society ghbors), middle class families with shore than a sprinking of affluent nore than a sprinking of affluent os on sizable acreages, others live in on limited pensions and social securi-tude to the security of the security of the super security of the security security of the secu

grants a homogeneous bunch in the A study one comments "ranged from ex-unal hippies" who moved from mere opposite reasons [19, p. 182]. Three identified:

was perceived to be ho f rural populations as

ity in at least one important respect. All its particular community as their new on to show, they participated in certain e institutions of the community.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

After They Arrive: Participation and Interaction

If people move to small towns because of perceived superior liv-ing qualities, their later attitudes and behavior are likely to be directed to protecting these qualities. At least this is the assumption behind the following description of recent migrants to Maine:

The tortewing uesa (prior) or technical and to recent many or the second second

3011 Few of the ex-urbanites who moved to the metropolitan fringes in the 50s and 60s probably felt as strongly about their suburban towns. And while many of the migrants to more rural and stable communities in the past probably held similar sentiments about their new localities, they were held in check by the informal constraints on newcomers as well as by the absence of suitable targets for political activity. Yet it would be misleading to picture all or most rural newcomers today as possessing strong environmental values and acting to pro-mote them, given the comments earlier about the demographic and ideological heterogeneity of the migrants. What can be said about post-migration political attitudes and behavior? We turn to a syn-thesis of available data about newcomer participation in community matters and interaction with oldtimers.

<text><text><text>

(3)

gration. While there was little dropoff from previous residences in

gration. Write there was inthe uppoint noisn previous residences in the rate of regular voting, the study does not specify whether this held true for local as well as state-federal elections [28]. Political participation, of course, is usually a function of socioeconomic status, but there may be some significant deviations from this pattern in the aftermath of small town migration. The case of retired migrants, in particular, defies easy generalization. Elderly newcomers in rural communities desire peace and relaxa-tion according to some studies and thus they tend to "escena" Elderly newcomers in rural communities desire peace and relaxa-tion, according to some studies, and thus they tend to "escape" from community concerns and problems [6, 28, 51]. Yet as retirees they also have considerable time and loose energy on their hands. Are they as likely to turn out at meetings and campaign for can-didates and issues as to spend time fishing and watching television? Perhaps the former bureaucrat or business executive may be more inclined than the retired factory worker to take part in civic matters. The seciencenomic distinction may exampted in civic matters. The socioeconomic distinction may evaporate, however, when the tranquility sought by all retired folks in a com-munity is perceived to be threatened, as in the development of a tourist economy that increases traffic, noise, and crowds [28].

tourist economy that increases traffic, noise, and crowds [28]. Relative geographical isolation is another factor that affects the political participation of newcomers. Migrants who chose to live in planned subdivisions with self-contained services, for example, may have little opportunity or inclination to take part in the af-fairs of the broader community [30, 51]. Social and political isola-tion is even more severe in the case of back-to-the-land devotees, who take over small farm plots in backwoods areas [22, 44, 47]. Whother necesnal, or institutional, the inbitions on newcomm

Whether personal or institutional, the inhibitions on newcomer participation in small town politics seem far less restrictive today than in previous times. It seems clear that for some newcomers active participation on particular issues is a natural outcome of their initial attraction to the small community. What is not clear from the available case studies are the "who" and the "why"—the kinds of migrants who are most likely to jump into local politics and the conditions that lead to their participation

Patterns of conflict and collaboration

How do established residents react to such newcomer involve-ment in local politics? It is no longer possible to point to the inevit-able clash between the two groups over public services and other governmental actions. Instead growing, small communities throughout the nation today contain a more diverse set of newcomer-oldtimer relations than assumed by the suburban experience

Demographic differences between newcomers and oldtimers still persist, although perhaps to a lesser extent than in the suburbaniza-tion period. Migrants tend to be younger, better educated, and engaged in more prestigious occupations than longtime residents in rural communities [3, 39, 45]. One exception may involve those

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

places where a disproportionate share collar workers; a study of a rur that natives as a group were younger a education and higher incomes than ne

The most important finding from the pacts, however, is that despite demo from urban areas as a group do not r services nor want more controls o oldtimers. At least one study—the M ported above-finds that natives actu existing services and wanted more imp result probably of the predominantly group [15]. But the major thrust of limited amount of newcomer-oldtimer services and growth. Sofranko and a survey of residents in high growth only a slight difference between recer responses to questions dealing with development, and local taxes. In f metropolitan counties from other run support higher taxes to in support higher taxes to improve local from urbon areas or established reside oldtimer differences concerning loca ported as minimal in at least two growing communities, one in New Hi Wyoning (9) Support for local grow growth was more closely associate length of residence in the Wyoning of particularly renchers) less likely too Such limited disagreement men

angino fresidence in the Wooming particularly reading less likely to have been associated with the suburban view of new allow this mere current assumptions rapid population growth in small of heider that, because of their priors are new and more regulation over a suburban may not accurately reflect when a reading and the suburban with the characteristics of their perceptical that may allow the second spectra of their new on sociates speculate that may allow the weet special that such as a strategies that mere and thus narrowing the gi that mere and thus narrowing the gi that mere place and thus narrowing the second spectra of the same the suburban time to the small communities in apprior living qualities of these places.

dropoff from previous residences in study does not specify whether this ate-federal elections [28].

course, is usually a function of e may be some significant deviations math of small town migration. The articular, defies easy generalization ommunities desire peace and relaxa-ies, and thus they tend to "escape" 1 problems [6, 28, 51]. Yet as retirees ime and loose energy on their hands t at meetings and campaign for can spend time fishing and watching er bureaucrat or business executive e retired factory worker to take par conomic distinction may evaporate sought by all retired folks in a com eatened, as in the development of a s traffic, noise, and crowds [28].

ation is another factor that affects the tion is another factor that affects due omers. Migrants who chose to live in elf-contained services, for example, or inclination to take part in the af-ty [30, 51]. Social and political isola-the case of back-to-the-land devotees, in backwords areas [22, 44, 47].

he case of back-to-the-land devotees s in backwoods areas [22, 44, 47] utional, the inhibitions on newcomer oblicits seem far less restrictive today ms clear that for some newcomers ac-tr issues is a natural outcome of their community. What is not clear from the "who" and the "why"—the kinds but to immu into leal politics and the elly to jump into local politics and the articipation.

INSUGATION International construction of the second of the longer possible to point to the inevit-roups over public services and other roups over public services and other and growing. small communities and growing. Small communities of the second of the second of the than assumed by the suburban ex-ternation of the second of the

wcomers and oldtimers still etween newcomers and ofdinmers shill lesser extent than in the suburbaniza-to be younger, better educated, and coupations than longtime residents in a coupation of the suburbance of the sub-l. One exception may involve those LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

places where a disproportionate share of the inmigrants are retired blue collar workers; a study of a rural Michigan township notes that natives as a group were younger and had more years of formal education and higher incomes than new arrivals [15].

<text><text><text>

(3)

political adjustment to the new community is suggested by this motivation: the Sofranko paper reports that two-thirds of the urban migrants surveyed said they had not experienced adjust-ment difficulties. Previous ties with the community help to bridge the urban-rural transition for many new arrivals, as described in an Oregon community where newcomers readily joined local social and religious organizations as well as entering political life [19]. It should not be supposed, however, that the political impacts of newcomers in a small town are minimal just because their ma-jority policy preferences may coincide with those of a majority of olditmers. The attitudinal surveys which report little variation in views of public issues according to length of residence seldom deal with actual political behavior. The more revealing evidence of newcomer-oldtimer interaction and political change is found in case studies and newspaper accounts of owestern and New England communities. [9, 17, 19, 42, 52]. With the exception of several accounts of local developments in the Arkanaso Zarxks [44, 51], midwestern examples are missing in this case study literature. 51, midwestern examples are missing in this case study literature. Growth control issues are involved in most of these descriptions of the political effects of heavy immigration, with some disputes also concerning expanded public services and representation on governing councils or boards

ing councils or boards. It is possible for a few articulate and aggressive newcomers to have a significant impact on the direction of local government, by raising issues, organizing, and defeating incumbent officeholders. Even newcomer-oldrimer coalitions are possible, as noted in the cases of a successful drive to enact an historical preservation or-dinance in a Colorado town [17] and of the removal of the longtime elected and administrative leadership of an Oregon school district [19]. In these and other cases, the interests and energy of the re-cent migrants stimulated previously uninvolved oldtimers to become active. The Oregon study acknowledges the special political contributions of newcomers:

The very fact that a substantial number of newcomers sought to participate in on-going social processes created an environment for change. Newcomers created op-portunities for change by bringing leadership skills and other social resources into the area. . Their presence created opportunities for long-established residents to become more independent and assertive [19, p. 184].

Perhaps such conditions are essential to the acceleration of political change in many small towns. Newcomers from urban areas undoubt-edly have a fresh perspective and may be more sensitive than established residents to the possibilities of change. The point is that direct newcomer-oldtimer confrontations are not necessary to this pro

Of course such conflicts are still possible, especially where a large segment of a community's migrants have unique lifestyles and ideologies and thus differ visibly from most established residents. One local consequence of the movement of many retired persons to certain and

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

rural areas is a polarization of attitude rural areas is a polarization of dramation newcomers oppose bond issues and incr other programs which are supported by you Sharper conflicts, even physical viole

case of migrants with nonconventi "alternative lifestyle" persons with back can hardly imagine a greater contrast radicals and conservative neighbors, w

Despite the efforts of these dropout out backwoods areas where their idea could be implemented, their arrival in generated social and political tensions This is reported in studies of commun [42, 47], the Ozarks [44, 51], and App studies however also iddle studies, however, also indicate a grad after the arrival of the first alternative serious intentions they earned the grud oldtimers. The hippies and straights in serious intentions they earned the gru additmers. The hippies and straights i found that they shared similar beliefs in the straight of the straight of the introduction of the straight of the mean straight of the straight of the add wing. The conservative oldiumers meessary governmental interference i d'building togets to condem the the straight of the straight of the messary governmental interference i d'building the straight of the straight the straight of the straight of the mongeneous, reside in separate reside interstead of the straight of the straight (population 900, new migrants are club their needs had been ignored by offic eastern slope, newcomers engaged in nouth government and school distr readens of the area, having tilegally is used in curving the straight of the straight (astignation with the straight of the straight of the mongeneous in earning the straight of the mongeneous in a straight of the straight of

unseat neumbert officials (a (i Such disputes may be atypical tod most neocons in small towns to a solation to the stabilished residents, when the stabilished residents, woluntary groups and live among the communities growing because of net in

ew community is suggested by this per reports that two-thirds of the d they had not experienced adjust-s with the community help to bridge many new arrivals, as described in newcomers readily joined local social well as entering political life [19].

however, that the political impacts of are minimal just because their macoincide with those of a majority of rveys which report little variation in ng to length of residence seldom deal or. The more revealing evidence of on and political change is found in accounts of issues and events in accounts of issues and events in st are studies of western and New 19, 42, 52]. With the exception of lopments in the Arkansas Ozarks [44, this error study literature] missing in this case study literature, volved in most of these descriptions of inmigration, with some disputes also ervices and representation on govern

ticulate and aggressive newcomers to the direction of local government, by nd defeating incumbent officeholders. ng deteating incumbent officeholders alitions are possible, as noted in the o enact an historical preservation or-17] and of the removal of the longtime advanching of an Oregan school discription 11) and of the removal of the longtime eadership of an Oregon school district is, the interests and energy of the re-previously uninvolved olditimers to on study acknowledges the special recomers:

number of newcomers acuph to participate in newromment for change. Newcomers created ing leadership skills and other social resources in doppertunities for long established resident assertive [19, p. 184].

serive 119.p. 1541 essential to the acceleration of political Newcomers from urban areas undoubt-ive and may be more sensitive than possibilities of change. The point is that possibilities of change. The point is that infrontations are not necessary to this

re still possible, especially where a large migrants have unique lifestyles and bly from most established residents. One ement of many retired persons to certain

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

<text><text><text><text><text>

Such disputes may be atypical today because of the tendency of most newcomers in small towns to avoid geographical and social isolation from established residents. More so than the new sub-urbanites of the 1950s and 60s, they join established churches and voluntary groups and live among the residences of oldtimers. Rural communities growing because of net inmigration have also changed,

becoming more tolerant of strangers with different backgrounds and becoming more tolerant of strangers with different backgrounds and becoming more accustomed to change. Thus the newcomer-oldtimer dichotomy may not be the central cleavage in growing rural com-munities that it was once thought to be. Instead of length of res-idence, the political divisions today seem to be based on class, educa-tion, age, and how, one views the world—all characteristics of politics in more urban places.

Issues for Government

Issues for Government Unlike the suburbanites, the new migrants to rural communities tend to be concerned about a wide range of local government pro-grams and policies. Better schools certainly are of major importance to families with young children [39] and much less so to retired peo-ple on fixed incomes who worry about higher taxes [28, 51]. But both groups, and other newcomers and oldtimers as well, pay con-siderable attention also to a great many other types of public is-sues which are generated by population growth in small com-imunities. Many are not unique to current patterns, having been implicit in the suburbanization of fringe communities, but they stimulate today a greater degree of interest and hence more varied political conflicts. Below is a short inventory of issues common to many growing communities.

Controlling growth

Controlling growth The possals to put a tap on a community's future population in-fraction of the product of the location and type of development translate that the product of the location and type of development translate that the product of the location and type of development translate that the product of the location and type of the location. The there is the location of the location of the location of the there is the location of the location of the location of the theta of the location of the location of the location of the theta of the location of the location of the location of the theta of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the location of the location of the location of the the location of the locatio

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

Sofranko and associates, substantial grants and continuous residents exp titudes. Conclude the authors:

In general, then, there is widespread awarer tle concern about it. . .any more problematic in second or third order ramifications of the

Population increase and development a Population increase and development a sues in three northern Michigan com also found little support for anti-growth stronger sentiments for controlling g appropriate public policies and practi veys and case studies of small com including California [47]. Washingtor [50]. Colorado [17]. Maryiand [14], and This revious distinction seen and

This regional distinction may refle This regional distinction may refle research methodologies, since all of based on survey data while the repor-based on survey data while the repor-based on survey data while the repor-based on survey studies conducted in relatively strong concerns about gro speculate why these views may be m the difference is due to the earlier ap pact of small-flown growth elsewher mountain areas of the West, rural are bare been prominant in many local example is the controversy over secon planned communities in the west [5]. Farm-residence constinut

Farm-residence conflicts

Farm-residence conflicts Ever since city people began movin mal population settlements extends newomers have had difficulty in adj organisation in the settlement of the newomers have had difficulty, tress and the environmental hazards to or spraying. Many of the new migrave spraying Many of the new migrave spraying mind. The more serious hardly rejoice, since the newcomers do accesses the price of land and thus bring

Services

Undoubtedly new migrants from r more from local government than lor may be more sensitive than in the p

angers with different backgrounds and o change. Thus the newcomer-oldiumer entral cleavage in growing rural com-ought to be. Instead of length of res-today seem to be based on class, educa-today seem to be based on class, educa-today seem to be based on class.

for Government

the new migrants to rural communities the new migrants to rural communities a wide range of local government pro-hools certainly are of major importance en [39] and much less so to retired peo-rorry about higher taxes [28, 51]. But omers and oldtimers as well, pay con-ingreat many other types of public is-by population growth in small com-ique to current patterns, having been tion of fringe communities, but they gree of interest and hence more varied a short inventory of issues common to

It a community's future population in tion and type of development translate used by counties, municopalities and use and construction. Zoning was the soft protecting middle-class residential arrough large lot minimums, from lower-sets is still and mechanisms more venses is still and mechanisms more the competing values of economic ty preservation. More attention now is more free dominisms, historical auring minimas as subdivision approval, building momunities in the 1970s have been controlling growth and especially ing mortoriums. Groups and local gov-development experiences of other places are grevalent in small midvestern cor-age grevalent in small midvestern cor-

e as prevalent in small midwestern com-the evidence of available studies is any of North Central counties conducted by

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

Sofranko and associates, substantial majorities of both recent migrants and continuous residents expressed strong pro-growth at titudes. Conclude the authors:

In general, then, there is widespread awareness of population growth but very lit-tle concern about it. ...any more problematic impacts of growth might only show up in second or third order ramifications of the population increase itself [45, pp. 15, 16].

Population increase and development also do not seem to be major is-

Tel Population increase and development also do not seem to be major is-sues in three northern Michigan communities, where local surveys also found little support for anti-growth views [6, 15, 31]. By contrast, stronger sentiments for controlling growth and local conflicts over veys and case studies of small communities in other regions-including California [47]. Washington state [5], Wyoming [9], Texas (50), Colorado [17], Maryland [14], and New Hampshire[52, 53]. This regional distinction may reflect merely the use of different based on survey data while the reports from the other regions in specific events and issues in particular communities. Nevertheless, the few survey studies conducted in the other regions do point to specific events and issues in particular communities. Nevertheless, the few survey studies conducted in the other regions do point to specific events and issues in particular communities. Nevertheless, the few survey studies conducted in the Midwest. Possibly the difference is due to the earlier appearance and more visible im-pact of small-fown growth elsewhere. Especially in coastal and mountain areas of the West, rural areas began to attract large num-brase of urban migrants in the mid-1960s and growth related issues have been prominant in many localities for a decade or more; one example is the controversy over second home subdivisions and other planned communities in the west [5].

Farm-residence conflicts

Farm-residence conflicts Ever since city people began moving into open-country areas and small population settlements extended their borders, farmers and newomers have had difficulty in adjusting to each other. The in-compatibilities between farming and semi-urban living include dogs harassing livestock and poultry, trespassing in orchards and fields, and the environmental hazards to nearby residences of chemical spraying. Many of the new migrants who are relatively well-off build homes on large country acreages, with leisure-time farming or ranching in mind. The more serious farmers in the neighborhood hardly rejoice, since the newcomers drive up the competition and in-crease the price of land and thus bring higher property taxes.

Services

Undoubtedly new migrants from metropolitan areas still expect more from local government than longtime settlers, although they may be more sensitive than in the past to the opposite needs and

values of other residents. The expectations of other rural residents have also been raised [12, p. 37], so that all want paved and well-maintained roads and streets, accessible solid waste disposal sites, and quickly-responding fire fighters. The most significant impact of new inmigration on public services then may not be the absolute increase in demand as much as a diversification of the demand. There are added disagreements over priorities and scarce resources as small town populations become more heterogeneous. The dis-agreements may be as serious among different groups of as small town populations become more neverogeneous. The dis-agreements may be as serious among different groups of newcomers as between newcomers and oldtimers. Highly-educated expatriates from the city with cosmopolitan interests want better public libraries and cultural facilities [39], retirees are especially interested in good roads and health care facilities [28], families with youngsters care about school and recreation programs [19, 39], and counterculture persons just want to be left alone [42].

Finance

Often the issue over how to fund a particular service is more im-portant than the question of whether it should be expanded or even undertaken by local government in the first place. Who benefits and undertaken by local government in the first place. Who benefits and who pays? Increasing property taxes on a communitywide basis is only one option for some communities, which for particular services can turn to other revenue sources such as special assessment zones, user fees, and federal and state aid. The often unpopular property tax, however, is the exclusive revenue source for many public func-tions. In growing small communities the relative burden of the property tax is usually a hot topic. Because new homes seldom yield tax revenues equivalent to the cost of receiving services, residential growth generates some political support for commercial or industrial development, adding further to the development-preservation con-flict.

first. Mobile homes and second home development-preservation con-flict. Mobile homes and second home developments are specific issues in some communities. Mobiles are opposed by some officials and owners of conventional homes because in many states they are classified as vehicles and cannot be taxed as residential property [7, 5]. Recreational or second home subdivisions were regarded as a major bonus by many jurisdictions in vacation areas when first de-veloped, because they gave the promise of increased property tax revenues with minimal service requirements. As improved land, while few governmental services were required for vacant lots or seasonally-occupied homes. But the bonus has turned to a problem in recent years [5]. The cost-benefit ratio for many local govern-ments has been reversed, as the "second homes" have been turned into year-round residences for many migrants.

Representation and organization

Other major issues in small communities involve the control and

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

processes of local government rather the by the Oregon case cited above, newco concerned about how elected officials (19) Encouraging citizen participation are the concepts that are expressed, b established power structure. Most of tied to those conflicts, since the out and governmental office can change grams. In some communities the issu formal terms of efficiency and mana vious experience in business and fed deplore inefficiency and incompeter likely to advocate reorganization favored reforms include the emplo ecutives and expert planners, and

The Response of Lo

The response of Loc How do governments in small con sues of growth? One quick answer Among all institutions in rural place reputation for being the most conser to change. The evidence of tradition dinarily prefer the status quo becaus affairs. Adopting new policies. expan es are never comfortable actions in th munity, where serious political con manageable and damaging to person manageable and damaging to person

Opportunities for change

Opportunities for change These are traditional characterin inger applicable in many of the sease interested in their new your as suggested earlier, then they are in suggested earlier, then they are in power thange. Issues come to provide thange. Issues come to the suggested earlier, then they are power to suppress, demands for the suppress, demands for provide the suppress, demands for the suppress, demands for the suppress, demands for provide the suppress, demands for the suppress, demands for provide the suppress, de

expectations of other rural residents 37], so that all want paved and well 37], so that all want pavel and well-accessible solid waste disposal sites, gitthers. The most significant impact services then may not be the absolute as a diversification of the demand to over priorities and scarce resources eccome more heterogeneous. The dis-erious among different groups of mers and oldiners. Highly-educated th cosmopolitan interests want better facilities [39], retirees are especially d health care facilities [24], families school and recreation programs [19, ns just want to be left alone [42].

o fund a particular service is more im-whether it should be expanded or even ent in the first place. Who benefits and ty taxes on a communitywide basis is munities, which for particular services raress such as special assessment mes, ate aid. The other unpopular property revenue source for many public func-munities the relative barden of the topic Beause new homes seldom yield nmunities the relative burden of the topic Because new homes seldom yield to cost of receiving services, residential cal support for commercial or industrial to the development-preservation con-

to the development preservation: to the development preservation: less are opposed by some officials and ness because in many states they are about the many states they are those to the state of the state

nall communities involve the control and

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

processes of local government rather than its products. As suggested by the Oregon case cited above, newcomers to a community may be concerned about how elected officials represent their constituents [19]. Encouraging citizen participation and being open to new ideas are the concepts that are expressed, but the real target often is the established power structure. Most other public issues are closely tied to those conflicts, since the outcome of a struggle for power and governmental office can change substantive policies and pro-grams. In some communities the issue of control is put in the more formal terms of efficiency and management. Newcomers with pre-vious experience in business and federal or state government, are likely to advocate reorganization and professionalization. The favored reforms include the employment of fulltime chief ex-ecutives and expert planners, and the consolidation of depart-ments. ses of local government rather than its products. As suggested ments

The Response of Local Government

Ine Response of Local Government How do governments in small communities respond to these is-sues of growth? One quick answer is not at all, or not very well. Among all institutions in rural places, local governments have the reputation for being the most conservative and the slowest to react to change. The evidence of tradition is at hand. Elected officials or-dinarily prefer the status quo because it is the safest course in local affairs. Adopting new policies, expanding programs, and raising tax-es are never comfortable actions in the small and homogeneous com-munity, where serious political conflict is feared because it is un-manageable and damaging to personal relations.

Opportunities for change

Opportunities for change These are traditional characteristics, however, and possibly no longer applicable in many of the communities that have been af-fected by the population trends of recent years. If the new migrants are as interested in their new communities and as politically active governmental change. Issues come to the fore more quickly and are harder to suppress, demands for change are more skillfully pre-sented, and official actions are more closely scrutinized by citizens. If presuasion and argument do not bring about change there is always for some once-quiet competition, an apparently new development to more outspoken positions are possible. Mehrer trends also provide the opportunity for policy and programmatic change. Rural local governments are no longer as im-

poverished, either in revenues or expertise, as once believed. Federal and state aid programs adopted in the past decade or so have been a bonanza for many jurisdictions. The general revenue sharing pro-gram has been particularly beneficial, since these federal funds flow gram has been particularly beneticial, since these tederal funds flow automatically to all general-purpose governments—municipalities, counties, and townships. For small communities with sewage and water supply problems, either because of new population growth or pollution, there are the "clean water" grants available from federal and state EPA agencies. Finally there are numerous sources of technical assistance for small town governments, including regional planning agencies and state departments of local affairs. The excuse that a new yanture connot be undertaken because local officials lack planning operates and state upparticles to foculations. The excise that a new venture cannot be undertaken because local officials lack the resources or the knowhow is much less legitimate today than in the past.

Impediments to change

Impediments to change There are also aspects of population growth in small com-munities that work in the other direction, as impediments to effe-tive governmental response. Many of the issues associated with growth seemingly defy solution. The problems faced by local officials would be relatively simple, if all could be handled by building new public works or expanding existing ones. Once a funding method is determined, the improvement of such a basic public facility as a street, water system, or sewer disposal plant becomes a relatively monotroversial engineering and construction matter. The most serious issues in growing towns, however, are not as amenable to one-time solutions. They are persistent divisions because they in-volve the basic relationship of governors and the governed. One source of ongoing conflict in a changing community is the effort to acquire political power and hence control of local government, hand use and building controls, enforcement of health standards, etc. tecause they involve personal interactions and considerable discre-tion by public officials, such activities contain the seeds of serious sonflict. Regulatory programs that rely on informal understandings and personal favors no longer work in rapidly-growing communities idemanded [48].

demanded [48]. Much of the nonmetropolitan population growth of this decade has occurred in unincorporated areas, another obstacle to effective local government action. As of yet the extent of this trend is un-known, but there is a strong impression that many—if not most— of the new migrants have chosen to live outside the boundaries of cities, villages, and other incorporated municipalities. The bulk of their public services thus come from county governments and, in a few midwestern and other states, township governments. It is rel-atively expensive to deliver services to dispersed populations, but a

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

more fundamental obstacle in uninco capacity of most rural county governme both the regulatory and service impl growth and especially to provide urba growth and especially so provide and governi makers. Partly it is due to the tradi ments as administrative subunits of t as courts, recordkeeping, and welfare services to widery scattered population of all counties nationwide under 2 park and recreation programs, had fin programs [35], activities which along al systems are common in most sr size employ managers, other chief planners [8, p. 92; 21].

Conclusio

Because of new inmigration and p munities in many parts of the natio probably unprecedented political cl probably unprecedenced pointical of urban areas are largely responsible established residents, they contribu-ticipated by the earlier rural and so oldtimer relations. On the one hand less restrained from contribution thing oldimer relations. On the one hand less restrained from participating in communities than assumed by the r conformity and social acceptance. newcomer-oldimer relations in grow conflictual as presed in the relations

conflictual as posed in the suburbaniz Instead, the studies summarized is the of rediting conditional as posed in the suburbant Instead, the studies summarized of political scenarios. Recent mig is some situations join together to of the newowner in these coalitions awareness and activity of others. In add some oldimers may come into a stability of local residence per sei son of the newowner relating to age, life-tation of the second science in the second science of the second table of local residence per sei son of the second science of the second table of local residence per sei son of the second science of the second table of local residence per sei son of the second science of the second table of local residence per s

or expertise, as once believed. Federa ad in the past decade or so have been a ns. The general revenue sharing pro-eneficial, since these federal funds flow purpose governments-municipalities. small communities with sewage and r because of new population growth or n water" grants available from federal nally there are numerous sources of town governments, including regiona lepartments of local affairs. The excuse undertaken because local officials v is much less legitimate today than in

of population growth in small com-her direction, as impediments to effec-Mary of the issues associated with scientific and the same science of the scientific and the same science of the effect of the same science of the effect of the same science of the of governors and the governed (in a change community is the effort on a change community as the effort on the same science of the same science of governors, particularly these ac-ony control of local governors of a change community as the effort on a science of the same science of governors as interactions and considerable discu-tors the they on informal understandings in sort (in papidy-growing communities in governors of the same science of governors of the same science of the science of the same science of the same science of the same same and a more objective approach is that neglicity of same science of the science of the same science of the science of the science of the science of the same science of the science of

litan population growth of this decade bitan population growth of this decide ted areas, another obstacle to effective of yet the extent of this trend is un-timpression that many—if not most— chosen to live outside the boundaries non-ported municipalities. The balk of one from county governments and, in a states, township governments. It is rel-services to dispersed populations, but a

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

more fundamental obstacle in unincorporated areas is the limited capacity of most rural county governments to deal resolutely with both the regulatory and service implications of rapid population growth and especially to provide urban-type programs. Partly this is due to the attitudes and governing styles of county decision makers. Partly it is due to the traditional role of county govern-ments as administrative subunits of their states for such functions as courts, recordkeeping, and welfare, and as providers of minimal services to widely-scattered populations. In 1977 far less than half of all counties nationwide under 25,000 population maintained park and recreation programs, had fire departments, or had zoning programs [35], activities which along with water and sewage dis-posal systems are common in most small municipalities. Far fewer county governments than municipalities of comparable population size employ managers, other chief executives, and professional planners [8, p. 92; 21].

Conclusions

<text><text><text><text>

thicts as are the more basic distinctions is solucious and the logy. Mhatever form taken by the newcomer-oldtimer relationship, there are major impacts on the issues and practices of local govern-ment. Migrants from urban areas raise the level of conflict in a small community, but they also are providing some of the energy for reaching solutions to public problems. The heterogeneous mix of mi-grants—retirees, younger middle class families, back-to-the-landers, etc.—means a diversity of concerns. Some newcomers and oldtimers alike pay special attention to roads and health care facilities, others

look to school programs, and still others are concerned about public controls over development. Overall there are the questions of financ-ing expanded services and representing newly-competitive interests in government. These are serious challenges for small-town govern-In government, these are serious challenges for small-town govern-ments which have the reputation of resisting demands for new policies and programs. But the traditional barriers to governmental change may be crumbling in many growing communities. Pressures for changed policies and programs are harder to suppress or ignore where the number and activity of political participants is on the in-crease, and where opposing viewpoints are more openly and ag-gressively presented.

gressively presented. These generalizations may be tempered, however, by regional distinctions. The political effects of turnaround migration are not as apparent in the Midwest, as in other regions which have concentra-tions of rural communities experiencing high rates of immigration. The North Central states show little evidence so far of the kind of newcomer activity and prevalence of growth-related issues which have been noted for particular communities in the Far West, Rockies, and Upper New England areas. Possibly this is a result of uneven data. Relatively few studies—and especially case studies— have been published so far which examine the political effects of growth in midwestern situations. Attitude surveys offer few insights into how local political systems and governments respond to growth. To understand the dynamics of growth, one needs to probe deeper into the interaction of issues, people, and structures over tim

NOTE

At the time of the preparation of this chapter, the author was visiting associate professor at the Institute of Government and Public Affairs, University of Illinois. He is grateful for the generous support provided by the Institute.

REFERENCES CITED

- Andrews, Catherine. 1977. Building codes and the small community. Davis: University of California, Department of Political Science (unpublished paper).
 Barber, James David. 1965. The Lawmakers: Recruitment and Adaption to Legislative Life. New Haven, Ct.: Yale University Press, pp. 126-127.
- Beale, Calvin L. 1975. The Revival of Population Growth in Non-metropolitan America. Washington: USDA Economic Research Service, ERS 605.
- Beale, Calvin L. 1978. Making a living in rural and small town America. Rural Development Perspectives 1 (November), 1-5.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

- 5. Boschken, Herman L. 1974. Corp. ing of Urban Development: Communities. New York: Praeger
- 6. Browne, William P. 1978. Politi community. Paper presented at an Political Science Association, Chi
- Center for Auto Safety. 1975. Mo ing Hoax. New York: Grossman P
- 8. Clawson, Marion. 1971. Suburba States: An Economic and Gover Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 9. Cockerham, William C., and Aud toward land-use planning and Jackson Hole. Journal of the Co (Spring), 62-73.
- 10. DeJong, Gordon F., and Ralp distribution, migration, and res of the American Academy of J (January), 130-144.
- Dobriner, William M. 1960. Th suburb. Yale Review 49 (Spring)
- Suburo. Fale Review 49 (Spring)
 Elazar, Daniel J. 1972. Populati Pp. 25-57 in (A. E. Keir Nash, The Governmental Implica Washington: The Commission American Pattern. American Future
- 13. Fuguitt, Glenn V., and Calvin Population of a second second
- 14. Gibbons, Boyd. 1977. Wye Islo
- University Press
- Clinerally Frees.

 Gladhart, Peter M., and Patr Rapid Population Growth on Citizen Priorities in a Rural C State University, AES, Resear
- Goodwin, Frank. 1944. A Stud tion: An Explorative Survey Philadelphia: University of Pe
- 17. Grabar, Edith E. 1974. New change in a mountain town. R
 - Hansen, Niles M. 1973. The Lexington, Massachusetts L chusetts: Le

till others are concerned about public rrall there are the questions of financ-resenting newly-competitive interests ous challenges for small-town govern-ation of resisting demands for new troditional hand emands for new ation of resisting demands for new traditional barriers to governmental hany growing communities. Pressures ams are harder to suppress or ignore y of political participants is on the in-riewpoints are more openly and ag-

where the second sec

n of this chapter, the author was visiting itute of Government and Public Affairs, ateful for the generous support provided

7. Building codes and the small com-y of California, Department of Political yer. Recruitment and met. Laurmakers: Recruitment and ret. University

- 965. The Lawmakers: Recruitment and Life. New Haven. Ct.: Yale University
- te Revival of Population Growth in Non-Washington: USDA Economic Research
- laking a living in rural and small town nent Perspectives I (November), 1-5.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

- Boschken, Herman L. 1974. Corporate Power and the Mismarket-ing of Urban Development: Boise Cascade Recreation Communities. New York: Praeger Publishers.
- Browne, William P. 1978. Political values in a changing rural community. Paper presented at annual convention of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago.
- Center for Auto Safety. 1975. Mobile Homes: The Low-Cost Hous-ing Hoax. New York: Grossman Publishers.
- Clawson, Marion. 1971. Suburban Land Conversion in the United States: An Economic and Governmental Process. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Cockerham, William C., and Audie L. Blevins, Jr. 1977. Attitudes toward land-use planning and controlled population growth in Jackson Hole. Journal of the Community Development Society 8 (Source) 6 722. (Spring), 62-73.
- (Spring), 02-73.
 10. DeJong, Gordon F., and Ralph R. Sell. 1977. Population re-distribution, migration, and residential preferences. *The Annals* of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 429 (January), 130-144.
- Dobriner, William M. 1960. The natural history of a reluctant suburb. Yale Review 49 (Spring), 398-412.
- suburb. Faie Review 49 (Spring), 350-412.
 Elazar, Daniel J. 1972. Population growth and the federal system. Pp. 25-57 in (A. E. Keir Nash, ed.), Governance and Population: The Governmental Implications of Population Change. Washington: The Commission on Population Growth and the American Brittice American Future
- Fuguitt, Glenn V., and Calvin L. Beale. 1978. Post-1970 shifts in the pattern of population change in the North Central Region. Pp. 14-21 in Patterns of Migration and Population Change in America's Heartland. East Lansing. Michigan State University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Research Report 344.
- 14. Gibbons, Boyd. 1977. Wye Island. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Gladhart, Peter M., and Patricia Britten. 1978. The Impact of Rapid Population Growth on Housing, Public Service Needs and Citizen Priorities in a Rural Community. East Lansing: Michigan State University, AES, Research Report 366.
- Goodwin, Frank. 1944. A Study of Personal and Social Organiza-tion: An Explorative Survey of the Eastern Shore of Maryland. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Grabar, Edith E. 1974. Newcomers and oldtimers: growth and change in a mountain town. *Rural Sociology* 39 (Winter), 504-513.
- Hansen, Niles M. 1973. The Future of Nonmetropolitan America Lexington, Massachusetts: Lexington Books.

- Hennigh, Lawrence. 1978. The good life and the taxpayers' revolt. Rural Sociology 43 (Summer), 178-190.
- Hills, Stuart L. 1967. Seige of a village. National Civic Review 56 20. (February), 75-80.
- Hogan, Joan 1978. Small cities and counties as responsive gov-ernments. Paper presented at annual meeting of the American Society of Public Administration, Phoenix, Arizona. 21.
- Johnson, Haynes. 1975. America's homesteaders; circa 1975. The Washington $Post({\rm June~30})$ 6.
- Kaplan, Samuel. 1971. The balkanization of suburbia. Harper's Mggazine (243), 72-74. 23.
- Kimball, Solon T. 1946. A case study in township zoning. East Lansing: Michigan State University, AES The Quarterly Bulletin 23 (May), 253-69.
- Kimball, Solon T., and Marion Pearsall. 1954. The Talladga Story: A Study in Community Process. University: University of
- 26. King, Gary, Walter Freeman, and Christopher Sower. 1963. Conflict Over Schools: A Sociological Analysis of a Suburban School Bond Election. East Lansing: Michigan State University, Institute for Community Development and Services.
- 27. Klietsch, R. G. with others. 1964. Social response to population change and migration. Ames: Iowa State University AES, Special Report 40.
- 28. Koebernick, Tom, and J. Allan Beegle. 1978. Migration of the elderly to rural areas: a case study in Michigan. Pp. 86-104 in Pat-terns of Migration and Population in America's Heartland. East Lansing: Michigan State University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Research Report 344.
- Kurtz, Richard A., and Joel Smith. 1961. Social Life in the Rural-Urban Fringe. Rural Sociology 26 (March), pp. 24-38. 30. Littwin, Susan. 1979. Desert warfare. New West 4 (July 2), SC 1-3.
- Marans, Robert W., and John D. Wellman. 1978. The Quality of Nonmetropolitan Living: Expectations, Behaviors, and Expecta-tions of Northern Michigan Residents. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research.
- Marshall, Douglas G. 1964. The Story of Kenosha County, Wisconsin: Population Change in an Urbanized Area. Madison: University of Wisconsin, Research Bulletin 251.
- Martin, Roscoe C. 1962. Government and the Suburban School. Syracuse, New York: Syracuse University Press. 33.
- 34. Morrison, Peter A. with Judith P. Wheller. 1976. Rural re-naissance in America? *Population Bulletin* 31 (October).

35. National Association of Countie 1977. Washington.

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

- 36. New York Times News Service. mills of north. Woodland-Davis, (7), B6.
- 37. Niederfrank, Evolon J. 1963. leadership. Pp. 201-206 in A Agriculture, 1963. Washington:
- Phillips, G. H., and J. Rico-Velas rural Ohio. Ohio Report on Res June), 45:47.
- rural development consequence 293-303.
- 40. Press, Charles. 1961-62. Loca Policy Forum 14 (4), 21-40.
- 41. Roseman, Curtis C. 1977. Cha the United States. Washingto
- 42. Schretter, Howard A. 1976. Ma small town. Small Town 7 (Dece
- Schwarzweller, Harvey K. 19 rural scene. Rural Sociology 44
 - Shiras, Giner. 1976. Retired per crime they're being wasted, and the Ozarks. The Arkansas Gaze
- Sofranko, Andrew J., James D. 1979. Urban migrants to the ings and misunderstandings. C
- 46 Sokolow, Alvin D. 1968. Govern Three Townships on the Ru USDA Economic Research Se for Community Developmen University. AER 132.
- 47. Sokolow, Alvin D. 1977. Californ of the "cow counties." Californ
- 48. Sokolow, Alvin D. 1978. Small government. Paper presented Midwest Political Science Ass
- 49. Starbird, Ethel A. 1974. Ver tains. National Geographic 14
- 50. Tate, Garvin, 1978. Small tow Small Town 9 (December) 4-1

The good life and the taxpayers' revolt. er), 178-190. e of a village. National Civic Review 56

- cities and counties as responsive gov-d at annual meeting of the American ration, Phoenix, Arizona.
- merica's homesteaders; circa 1975. The
- e balkanization of suburbia. Harper's
- A case study in township zoning. East Iniversity, AES The Quarterly Bulletin
- Marion Pearsall. 1954. The Talladga inity Process. University: University of

- eman, and Christopher Sower. 1963. Sociological Analysis of a Suburban at Lansing, Michigan State University, Jevelopment and Services. rs. 1964. Social response to population new Jowa State University AES, Special
- Allan Beegle, 1978. Migration of the se study in Michigan. Pp. 86-104 in Pat-pollation in America's Heartland. East University, Agricultural Experiment 344.
- oles. el Smith. 1961. Social Life in the Rural-ology 26 (March), pp. 24-38. ert warfare. *New West* 4 (July 2), SC 1-3. Lister D. Wellman. 1978. The Quality of ert warlare. New West 4 (July 2), SC 1-3 John D. Wellman. 1978. The Quality of Expectations. Behaviors. and Expecta-ran Residents. Ann Arbor. University of scial Research. 964. The Story of Kenosha County. hange in an Urbunized Area. Madison. Research Bulletin 251. Government and the Suburban School.
- nesearch Duitean 201. Government and the Suburban School. acuse University Press.
- th Judith P. Wheller, 1976. Rural re-opulation Bulletin 31 (October).

LOCAL POLITICS AND MIGRATION

- National Association of Counties. 1977. The County Yearbook 1977. Washington.
- New York Times News Service. 1968. Appalachians return from mills of north. Woodland-Davis, California: *Daily Democrat* (June 7), B6.
- Niederfrank, Evolon J. 1963. Responsibilities of community leadership. Pp. 201-206 in A Place to Live: The Yearbook of Agriculture, 1963. Washington: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 37.
- Phillips, G. H., and J. Rico-Velasco. 1972. Changing population in rural Ohio. Ohio Report on Research and Development 57 (May-
- Ploch, Louis A. 1978. The reversal in migration patterns—some rural development consequences. *Rural Sociology* 43 (Summer), 293-303.
- Press, Charles. 1961-62. Local Government Problems. Farm Policy Forum 14 (4), 21-40.
- Roseman, Curtis C. 1977. Changing Migration Patterns Within the United States. Washington: Association of American Geographers.
- 42. Schretter, Howard A. 1976. Making building codes work for the small town. *Small Town* 7 (December), 4-5.
- Schwarzweller, Harvey K. 1979. Migration and the changing rural scene. Rural Sociology 44 (Spring), 7-23. 44. Shiras, Giner, 1976. Retired persons: The brains out there—it is a crime they're being wasted, and Snowball: Finding elbow room in the Ozarks. *The Arkansas Gazette* (August 22).
- Sofranko, Andrew J., James D. Williams, and Frederick C. Fliegel. 1979. Urban migrants to the rural Midwest: Some understand-ings and misunderstandings. Chapter 5, this collection.
- 46. Sokolow, Alvin D. 1968. Governmental Response to Urbanization: Three Townships on the Rural-Urban Gradient. Washington: USDA Economic Research Service in cooperation with Institute for Community Development and Service, Michigan State University APD 132. University. AER 132.
- Sokolow, Alvin D. 1977. California's new migration to the towns of the "cow counties." *California Journal* 8 (October) 348-350.
- Sokolow, Alvin D. 1978. Small towns and the meaning of informal government. Paper presented at the 36th annual meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago.
- Starbird, Ethel A. 1974. Vermont—a state of mind and mountains. National Geographic 146 (July) 28-61.
 Tate, Garvin. 1978. Small town values and the problem of growth. Small Town 9 (December) 4-10.

- Terry, Bill. 1976. The quality of growth in the Arkansas Ozarks. Pp. 7-11 in Proceedings of the Conference on Ozark In-Migration. Eureka Springs, Arkansas: Eureka Springs Cultural Affairs Committee
- Weeks, Silas B. 1976. More about controlling demographic change. Small Town 6 (June), 4-6.
- 53. Weir, David R. Jr. 1974. Attitudes toward population growth and planning in a New Hampshire town. Pp. 235-238 in (Elihu Berman ed.) *Population Policymaking in the American States*. Lexington, Massachusetts: Lexington Books.
- Whitney, Vincent H. 1959. Urban impact on a rural township. Pp. 413-432 in (Marvin B. Sussman ed.), *Community Structure and Analysis*. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Co.
- Williams, James D., and Andrew J. Sofranko. 1979. Motivations for the Inmigration Component of Population Turnaround in Nonmetropolitan Areas. *Demography* 16 (May), 239-255.
- Wirt, Frederick M., Benjamin Walter, Francine F. Rabinowitz, and Deborah R. Hensler. 1972. On the City's Rim: Politics and Policy in Suburbia. Lexington, Massachusetts: D. C. Heath and
- Wood, Robert. 1958. Suburbia: Its People and Their Politics. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- Zimmer, Basil G. and Amos H. Hawley. 1961. Suburbanization and some of its consequences. Land Economics 37 (February), 88-93.
- Zimmerman, Joseph. 1967. The Massachusetts Town Meeting: A Tenacious Institution. Albany: State University of New York, Graduate School of Public Affairs.

CHAPTER NINE

AVAILABILITY OF RECEN MIGRATION AND POPULA MIGRATION ESTIMATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

Laurence S. Rosen

Introduc

Estimating the patterns, composiproject the populations of nations, st ceedingly difficult and time consumi these ends is almost impossible. Ev difficulties encountered appear to in

antennise encountered appear to in determine encountered appear to in determine the projected det ton information is growing faster of money (\$50 billion in federal fur \$50 billion now) depend on inform whether an expansion as the second record of the second second second second record of the second second second second figures, population estimates, poj-tantere in conducting their and in some cases, the composition figures, population estimates, poj-tantere in conducting their the county and municiple cases, all three in conducting their the county and municiple record second second second second figures, population (grants are, statistical information is also great population figures on which the population figures on which the second second second second figures approximation second these activities. In addition, the te-staphican dutes statistical res-traphicand other statistical figures approximation second figures approximation second figures approximation second second second second second

lity of growth in the Arkansas Ozarks. the Conference on Ozark In-Migration. sas: Eureka Springs Cultural Affairs

More about controlling demographic ne), 4-6

Attitudes toward population growth and myshire town. Pp. 235-238 in (Elihu Policymaking in the American States & Lexington Books.

Urban impact on a rural township. Pp. assman ed.), Community Structure and nas Y. Crowell Co.

Andrew J. Sofranko. 1979. Motivations nponent of Population Turnaround in *Demography* 16 (May), 239-255.

amin Walter, Francine F. Rabinowitz, 1972. On the City's Rim: Politics and ngton, Massachusetts: D. C. Heath and

burbia: Its People and Their Politics. Co.

mos H. Hawley. 1961. Suburbanization ences. Land Economics 37 (February).

7. The Massachusetts Town Meeting: A Ibany: State University of New York,

CHAPTER NINE

AVAILABILITY OF RECENT DATA ON MIGRATION AND POPULATION DISTRIBUTION: MIGRATION ESTIMATION AND POPULATION **PROJECTION PROBLEMS**

Laurence S. Rosen

Introduction

<section-header><text><text><text>

reduced growth of its major metropolitan areas and of largely un-

reduced growth of its major metropolitan areas and of largely un-predicted demographic revival of much dits nonmetropolitan ter-ritory [15, p. 20]. How long this will last is unknown, but its effect is already significant, and none of us has ever seen its like before. This chapter provides an overview of available population pro-jection techniques which may be useful within the context of in-creasing local demands for better and more extensive projections, and in light of recent shifts in familiar patterns of population growth and dispersion. Special attention is paid to the problems as-sociated with acquiring and/or estimating migration data and their use in these projection models. Where appropriate, illustra-tions have been drawn which reflect the specific techniques employed to track and project the recent movement of a small but significant part of Michigan's population to the state's sparsely populated northern counties.

Population Projections

The techniques employed in the production of population forecasts or projections¹ fall largely into three general categories: arithmetic and ratio techniques; cohort-survival or cohort-component techniques; and, economic-based techniques.³ Specific applications of each of these approaches have been employed to prepare population projections at the national level and at some subna-tional levels as well. However, because of the nature and availability of the data required, the assumptions employed and the

availability of the data required, the assumptions employed and the techniques themselves, specific applications of each approach are not suitable at all levels and, in some cases, are totally inappropriate. Population projections for the largest entities—the nation, states, multi-state regions, multi-county areas such as SMSAs, economic areas, and so on—are appropriate subjects of any and all available techniques. The Census Bureau has successfully projected the population of the nation by age, sex, and race for several years with a modified cohort-component technique [56]. This general ap-proach has also been used to project the population of several states, including Connecticut [7], Arkansas [44], Kentucky [5], and Nebraska [47], among others. Econometric approaches have also been widely used for making nationwide, state, and regional projections. Perhaps most well

Econometric approaches have also been widely used for making nationwide, state, and regional projections. Perhaps most well known are the 1972 OBERS' projections [39] for the United States, its economic regions, SMSAs, states, water resource areas, and so on. Other large-scale models which treat population projections from a labor-market perspective include the National Planning As-sociation model [31], the Curtis Harris model [23] used by the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (Detroit, Wayne County, etc.), the Arizona Trade-Off Model, ATOM-2 [1], Idaho's IPEF73 model [24], the Battelle-Columbus DEMOS model used in Kentucky [5] and elsewhere, the Illinois model [25], and numerous

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

others. Also, there are a few cases others. Also, there are a lew cases projects, although these are relatively largery projections based on the der densities for Illinois counties, and Ma periments in Ontario stand out as to this general perspective. At the other extreme, project

townships, villages, census tracts, zip settled counties-cannot be prepare labor market/econometric technique cient detail are simply not available to some higher level projection or or area projections even though these area projections even though these t data by age, sex, or other details [3] tied Upper Peninsula, for exampl MCDs in three counties were prepar-ning requirements (EPA 201 and 20 results of five different extrapp alternative was available in this ca the region's three counties contain. the region's three counties contain 1970, two of the townships had fer

In between these extremes lies greatest opportunity for detailed p planning, policy, programmatic, and the level of all counties, municip divisions larger than the level of all counties, municipal evel of all counties, municipal evel local plants and public of consists, setting and projection figure tion, environmental, land use. Although many of these local projection and increased desire to all officials ources and products. In additionation of the event of the setting and the event of the setting and the setting and reducts. In additionation for the application granulability allows the application prevailability allows the application granulability and the setting and the setting and provide the setting and products is not prevent which, for counties, is often reasonable to the setting and the settin

available: Edonometric or labor-market m for counties as they require addit unemployment which may not be ciently detailed or current. Secon often does not provide sufficiently race, marital status, and other po

etropolitan areas and of largely un-of much of its nonmetropolitan ter-s will last is unknown, but its effect e of us has ever seen its like before. verview of available population pro-be useful within the context of intter and more extensive projections, in familiar patterns of population attention is paid to the problems as or estimating migration data and models. Where appropriate, illustra-ich reflect the specific techniques the recent movement of a small but s population to the state's sparsely

on Projections

n Projections in the production of population ingrely into three general categories induces contracturativity of cohor-comonic-based techniques? Specific proaches have been employed to pre-the national level and at some submi-explications of each approach are not in the argest entities—the nation, appropriate subjects of any and all sub Burgest entities—the nation, nulticounty areas such as SMSAs re appropriate subjects of any and all sub Burgest and race for several years in the thingue [50]. This general ap-to project the population of several (1), Arkanasa [44], Kentucky [51] and also been widely used for making

ave also been widely used for making projections. Perhaps most well projections [39] for the United States, states, water resource areas, and so s which treat population projections which treat population projections if the station projections which treat population projections which treat population projections and the station projections which treats are populated by the rate of Model, ATOM-2 [1], Idahoi elle-Columbus DEMOS model used in the Illinois model [25], and numerous

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

others. Also, there are a few cases of large-scale extrapolation projects, although these are relatively unusual. Newling's [38] New Jersey projections based on the derivation of critical population densities for minor civil division (MCDs), Isserman's [28, 29] pro-jections for Illinois counties, and MacLeod's [32] curve fitting ex-periments in Ontario stand out as the few recent attempts from this general berspective.

periments in Ontario stand out as the few recent attempts from this general perspective. At the other extreme, projections for very small areas— townships, villages, census tracts, zip code areas, and even sparsely settled counties—cannot be prepared using cohort-component or labor market/econometric techniques. Base or trend data in suffi-cient detail are simply not available for these levels. As a result, various arithmetic extrapolation techniques or ratio methods tied to some higher level projection or control total are used for small area projections even though these techniques provide little or no data by age, sex, or other details [36]. In Michigan's sparsely set-tled Upper Peninsula, for example, population projections for MCDs in three counties were prepared to meet water quality plan-ning requirements (EPA 201 and 208 programs) by averaging the results of five different extrapolation techniques [12]. No alternative was available in this case as most of the townships in the region's three counties contain fewer than 1,000 residents; in 1970, two of the townships had fewer than 100 permanent resi-dents.

1970, two of the townships had lewer than 100 permanent resi-dents. In between these extremes lies the area of greatest need and greatest opportunity for detailed population projections for local planning, policy, programmatic, and evaluative purposes. This is the level of all counties, municipalities, and other minor civil divisions larger than, approximately, 10,000 population. At this level local planners and public officials regularly need detailed census, estimate, and projection figures for local housing, transporta-tion, environmental, land use, and other planning projects. Although many of these local projects are often subsumed under regional or state-wide plans, federal regulations requiring local in-put and an increased desire to allow for local variation by public officials completely legitimate and justify the development of local data sources and products. In addition, extensive and detailed in-formation from the decennial census, from official estimate updates and revenue-sharing figures, from vital records, and from other symptomatic indicators, are usually available at this level. This availability allows the application of the cohort-component ap-proach which, for counties, is often the most appropriate approach available. available

available. Econometric or labor-market models are usually inappropriate for counties as they require additional data on employment and unemployment which may not be available, or may not be suff-ciently detailed or current. Secondly, the output of these models often does not provide sufficiently detailed information of age, sex, race, marital status, and other population characteristics needed

for local planning, programming, or grants efforts. Furthermore, crudely developed linkages between the economic and demographic aspects of the model also often lead to simplistic demographic re-sults [43]. Although economic variables have usually been useful in explaining the historical patterns of population growth and mi-gration in the United States and elsewhere, projections of migra-tion made on the basis of known economic determinants have not been notably consistent nor particularly successful. "However elaborate these labor-market approaches may seem, the present state of the art is primitive. More research, using better data, will be needed before the approach can demonstrate superiority over the purely mechanical demographic approach now in use" [37]. The cohort-component approach unlike the others lends itself to

the purely mechanical demographic approach now in use" [37]. The cohort-component approach, unlike the others, lends itself to the qualitative assessment of the distinctive local forces which affect population and which are distinguished, according to Morrison [36, p. 49-51], through one's "appraising eve." The limited scope of pro-jections prepared for local areas enables the planner, researcher, or official to use his or her valuable personal knowledge of local social, economic, and cultural trends in preparing and evaluating these projections. In discussing the need to account for the idiosyn-cratic nature of the local area, Price [46] asked a friend at the Census Bureau how he would make projections for a single specific area, if he was requested to. "His response was that he would live there for three months and *then* make his projections" (emphasis added). The alternative lack of attention to local conditions can load to

The alternative lack of attention to local conditions can lead to The alternative lack of attention to local conditions can lead to implausible and even useless results. In one case, a lightly populated rural county in northern Lower Michigan which had ex-perienced an exceptional rate of growth in the early 1970s was pro-jected to increase by more than 1,500 percent by the year 2000. Lacking a sufficient economic infrastructure to sustain continued growth at the early 1970s rate, regional planning officials were hard-presed to take these projections seriously. The individual responsible for the projections freely admitted sacrificing attention to local conditions in attempting to build a model suitable for use in a variety of places and situations. However, when published, the projections for this particular county were specially marked to in-cleate the general lack of confidence in the figures and, presumably, to warn the reader that the figures might not be suitable for use in local planning efforts.

local planning efforts. In effect, then, useful and reasonable population projections can-not be made for local areas without considering local conditions. In turn, these conditions cannot be known without some reliance on local informants who are observant, knowledgeable, and realistic. Of the three basic approaches to population projections discussed in this paper, the cohort-component approach is the most flexible and can most easily incorporate this sort of qualitative information.

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

The Cohort-Compon

"Demographic forecasting ... requ perspective, current information, and may also require some manual dexte currently available will prove to be

future, you may as well throw darks a Demographers and others have a only when population change is rela-trended During these times, past eve the future course of demographic tre the changing trends in population gr-changes in the patterns and attitude these are particularly difficult times projections activities. Because the oo with each of the components of pop counts for the recent rends in each of conditional adherence to these trend conditional adherence to these trend mended for local projections activitie carious times such as these.

The basic premise of this approa The basic premise of this approa the product of diverse demograph ments of the population over time, considering the components of pop and migration) as they affect the di-and race of clearly identified pop time periods.³ This approach is gen-formula:

$P_{\tau_{i+1}}=P_{\tau_i}+B_{\tau_i}$

where P is population, B is births, D tis base time and t+i is some future will be made. The simplest technique (see foot other technique) technique (see foot and Perry (23) consistent areas. In this operators are also the simplest technique (see foot and survival (mortality) of a consases are consistent areas. In this consases are consistent areas in this operator of the simplest operator of the data the continued rate of growd periods. Arithmetically, this approxi-

 $\frac{P_{i+1}}{P_{i+10}} = \frac{P_{i}}{P_{i}}$

ing, or grants efforts. Furthermore tween the economic and demographic ween the economic and demographic n lead to simplistic demographic re-variables have usually been useful atterns of population growth and mi-and elsewhere, projections of migra-wm economic determinants have not win economic determinants have not particularly successful. "However approaches may seem, the present dore research, using better data, will ch can demonstrate superiority over raphic approach now in use" [37].

raphic approach now in use [37]. voach, unlike the others, lends itself to the distinctive local forces which affect tinguished, according to Morrison [36, raising eye." The limited scope of pro-ase enables the planner, researcher, or aluable personal knowledge of local lt rends in preparing and evaluating go the need to account for the idiosyn-rea. Price [46] asked a firend at the make projections for a single specific "His response was that he would live then make his projections" (emphasis

then make his projections tention to local conditions can lead to ess results. In one case, a lightly rethern Lower Michigan which hale with of growth in the early 1970s was pro-tion frastructure to sustain connued infrastructure sustain connued infrastructure sustain connued infrastructure sustain connued infrastructure sustain connued figures expected with the for use in figures might not be suitable for use in constitution to be suitable for use in constitution to be suitable for use in constitution projections can-ternalized projections can-

I reasonable population projections can-without considering local conditions. In or be known without some reliance on servant, knowledgealelon a discussed in onent approach is the most flexible and this sort of qualitative information.

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

The Cohort-Component Approach

The Cohort-Component ApproachThe magnaphic forecasting...requires three qualities, historial for spectra information, and a sense of humor [36, pp. 44]. If the sense is the sense of the input days and be reasonably accurate for more than a few years into the future (except fortuitously) regardless of the input days and the sense that set was a sense that a the verse is not sense of the input days of the input

carious times such as these. The basic premise of this approach is that population change is the product of diverse demographic influences on different seg-ments of the population over time. Thus, population is forecast by considering the components of population change (births, deaths, and migration) as they affect the characteristics (such as age, sex, and race) of clearly identified population cohorts over specified time periods.⁵ This approach is generally expressed in the familiar formula:

$P_{t+i} = P_t + B \cdot D \pm NM$

where P is population, B is births, D is deaths, NM is net migration, t is base time and t+i is some future time for which the projection will be made

will be made. The simplest technique within the general approach is the cohort-survival technique (see footnote 2) developed by Hamilton and Perry [22] for projecting the population in small but gen-graphically consistent areas. In this technique, the growth (migra-tion) and survival (mortality) of a cohort between recent decennial censuses are considered together and are jointly assumed to in-dicate the continued rate of growth for cohorts in successive time periods. Arithmetically, this approach is illustrated as follows:

$$\frac{P_x^{t+1}}{P_{x\cdot 10}^{t}} = \frac{P_x^{t}}{P_{x\cdot 1}^{t-1}}$$

where P is the population, t is the most recent decennial census gent, t+1 is the next decennial census year, and t-1 is the decennial or structure of the stru where P is the population, t is the most recent decennial census

Births

Births Because of federal and state regulations, birth statistics for coun-ties, and often, smaller areas, by age of mother, are available throughout most of the United States. Once these figures are ex-amined and the historical trend of births is established for the child-bearing population (women, usually in five-year age groupings, 15 to 44 or 10 through 49 years of age), age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs) or a general fertility rate (GFR) may be extrapolated and applied to the projected child-bearing population. As an alternative, projected rates may be tied to other sources of information such as the rates projected for the state or the nation in another projection series. The historical ratio of local rates to state or national rates may be held constant during the course of the projection or the differences may be gradually adjusted so that they diminish or disappear over time. In fact, any assumptions about the future may be used to project fertility. fertilit

fertility. In Michigan, for example, the latest state population projections series [49] held 1975 county ASFRs constant over the course of the projection under two assumptions: fertility rates would not likely fall from current low levels nor was an impending upturn in fertility foreseen. By comparison, Goldberg's [17] county population

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

projections for the Upper Great employed "substantive" assumption hypothesis⁶ regarding the upcoming n with the relative ease of entry in These equally plausible assumptio rates and correspondingly greater i period of time than in the state's pro

Death

Deaths occurring within each of by applying national census survive or applying national census survive age and sex cohorts, alternatively, may be calculated from locally gen the oborts as appropriate. As it is go rates are likely to remain stable in t ty may be determined by applying t uniformly at all future times. It is NCSRs include a correction for uniformly at all future times. It is NCSRs include a correction factor Numerical and the accorrection factor local areas, this factor requires the and census undercount for the local factors for the entire nation [27, 1 believed to vary considerably by a and, thus, by geographic area as tageous to assume that mortality and that undercounts will remain d

tageous to assume that mortanty and that undercounts will remain f The use of survival rates calcu for states or even smaller areas are for states or even smaller areas area areas in which the elderly are a pr ment of the population. Research that lifetable survival rates am significantly from national and s portantly, vit was shown that sur varied even more from place to younger people (under 50 years of within the Midwest in which relat elderly may be found—including I Lakes retirement areas, rural areas Edery may be found—including i Lakes retirement areas, rural areas perienced drastic outmigration of y taining large concentrations of st —the use of area-specific life-table mended.

Although it can undoubtedly should not attempt to project migra present patterns and trends in mi improved, real life policy problems

is the most recent decennial census al census year, and t-1 is the decennial ecent one; x is the age group of the represents the same group 10 years By adding some means for projecting at for all age groups provides a projec-of a designated area. In spite of this rhaps because of it, it has not been ars in the projections documents prerional, and local agencies. Irwin [26, p nt use of what he calls the "cohort ability to tease-out and work with the ion and mortality. This technique lexible and, as noted earlier, flexibility cal population efforts. rate consideration is made for the im-

rate consideration is made for the im-and migration upon the base popula-ations. The base population is usually ensus figures for the local areas. The of migration on each cohort are ag-age of the entire population through a rojected population is then used as the spection cycle. The calculation of each owever, entails distinct problems and

Births

te regulations, birth statistics for co te regulations, birth statistics for coun-eas, by age of mother, are available ted States. Once these figures are ex-end of births is established for the child-inggel, age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) PR) may be extrapolated and applied to purces of information such as the rates mation in are national rates may be held of state or rojection series. The stirtle distingtion of the differences may fit the diminish or disappear over time-du the future may be used to projection the distate consultation projections.

the latest state population projections ASFRs constant over the ocurse of the phones fertility rates would not likely phone was an impending uptum in is nor, Walderg's [17] county population rison, Goldberg's [17] county population

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

projections for the Upper Great Lakes Regional Commission employed "substantive" assumptions derived from the Easterlin hypothesis" regarding the upcoming "marriage squeeze" and con-oern with the relative ease of entry into the labor force in the future. These equally plausible assumptions resulted in higher fertility rates and correspondingly greater numbers of births for a longer period of time than in the state's projections series.

Deaths

<text><text><text>

mended.

Migration

Although it can undoubtedly be argued that demographers should not attempt to project migration until the means of assessing present patterns and trends in migration have been substantially improved, real life policy problems do not permit rigorous adherence

to such an aim. Because population projections frequently serve as a frame of reference for decision-making—and indeed, may condition the outcome of results—it is a first priority that projections be made [51].

In our status of the second st

Gross Migration

Any projection model which explicitly incorporates the migration omponent must begin with historical data detailing the course of migration locally during the immediately preceding five or tenyeas, Accurate and direct measures of gross migration flows by ageges, and other cohort characteristics for the geographic areas to be projected allow greater understanding of the underlying in- and outmocial, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. This knowledge, in turn, promosci al, cultural, and economic trends. The second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second technological second second second second second second second second technological second second second second second second second second technological second second second second second second second second second technological second secon

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

Because the United States does registry such as those found in Sca direct sources for detailed gross mig not always suitable for local pop there are three fundamental source tion question on the decennial eer ords of the Internal Revenue Servi income tax, state tax records, an ministration's Continuous Work Hi The 1970 Census migration que

The 1970 Census migration quee sample; the results provide inform tion and considerable detail on the ci gration for relatively large and in states and metropolitan areas of 3 smaller areas, use of these data is and extremely precarious, especiall, where sampling variation is likely time they are published, these data ingration flows for 1965 through 1 describe the dynamics of migratic county, for example, were not pubthese are sample data, they suffer overage and nonresponse. In addition (which asks where ther responcannot account for multiple moves account for those migrating moves account for those migrating works, however, when edult will these data can be quitte useful. Other direct sources, such as

cuvets, however, when dealt with these data can be quite useful. Other direct sources, such as roords, also suffer from sampling they are not available to the gene cautions that might be taken to it of individuals and families who revear to year. Such data would pri and beginning decurity numbers have available. On the other hand were available. On the other hand were available. On the other hand and beginning decurity numbers and beginning decurity numhard as found in the causui sin during and set of the sources of the plotton for a Social Security numetra states are not updated. Mutanges in the place of emotion testimates derived from the CWHE Current Population Survey. Much

ation projections frequently serve as a n-making—and indeed, may condition first priority that projections be made

atile and, therefore, the most signifi ion change. Its differential impact or rroups is significant, and it often has ertility and mortality. In most cases, not understand migration very wel age and sex patterns that may exist ojections techniques do not deal with either [43, p. 18]. These problems, are derived from the migration data y for use in the projections models we

s Migration

a Magration In resplicitly incorporates the migration is unreadiately preceding five out of a startes of gross migration flows by age. If the startes of the second startes of the second startes of standing of the underlying in- and out works within the context of known in the second startes of the second startes of startes of the second startes of the second startes of the second startes of startes of the second startes of the second startes of the second startes of startes of the second startes of startes of the second startes of startes of

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

<page-header><text><text><text><page-footer>

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

tion techniques. Net residual migra ennial census figures by substit in the following equation

That is, the net migration for a changes) between two recent cer calculated by determining the over from one census to the other and t change which may be attributed to residual change, is assumed to b cohorts above the age of ten at the mortality need be considered in ade aged 0 to 4 and 5 to 9, however, b aged 0 to 4 and 5 to 9, however, or first halves, respectively, of the pr counted for. In all cases, migration net migration figures.

net migration figures. The two standard techniques terms of the mortality component employs the recorded births and dents of the specific projection I, volves some potential errors, al sociated with the technique are figures and interaction of vital e case, census enumeration errors

ngures and interaction or vital er-case, census enumeration errors young children, often contribute r

among teenagers 10 years later."

among teenagers 10 years later. 1 owneted population aged 0 to 4 counted population aged 10 to 14 gration while, in actuality, some could be due to greater accuracy the second case, the deaths of mig tion area between censues can le area following one census and w are never recognized as migrants

area following one census and is area following one census and w are never recognized as migrants base population, thus inflating while leading the second second second while leading the second second second migration for a "total" group—i is racial groups, or sex group—i tribution sease enumeration agrees area agregated [42, p. 23]. A good subtact for most a available, survival rates derived head area are valuable resulty take into ac enumeration problems. The us

 $NM = (P_1 - P_1)$

CHAPTERS individuals crossing state boundaries to change employment where on change of residence could be discerned. This phenomenon is particularly easy to understand in large metropolitan areas which straddle state boundaries, such as St. Louis, Kansas City, or Omaha. Most important, however, is that the sample drawn—even the more recent ten percent CWHS—is simply not large enough to be reliable for determining historical patterns of migration below the SMSA level. And while we may acknowledge that much of the population movement from county-to-county and place-to-place within a metropolitan area may not represent the fundamental change we often associate with the concept of migration, local planners and of-dicials must nevertheless be able to track this movement in order to deal with their own locally important problems. Detailed gross migration figures from the census are for a five-year period (1965-1970) and are convenient for use with the five-year poriod (1965-1970) and are convenient for use with the five-perced for the local area by extrapolation or as a ratio of projected migration or growth of some larger area. For example, Census Bureau projections for sub-national areas by age, sex, and race utilized characteristic-specific *rates* of outmigration to create a pool of moineted autmigrature. By actrapolation actio local head area's bis

migration or growth of some larger area. For example, Census Burgau projections for sub-national areas by age, sex, and race titilized characteristic-specific rafes of outmigration to create a pool forciael proportion of total inmigration from this pool, inmigratis and outmigrants were balanced nation-wide [42, pp. 197-198; 53]. Pittinger [40] used a similar technique to project the population of the Genessee-Finger Lakes Region in upstate New York. Here, the roots and an applied to the changing projected total U.S. population tratio of regional inmigration increased as the total U.S. population specific or cycles. Inmigration increased as the total U.S. population the region exclusion from the total total total use to a star-projection cycles. Inmigration increased as the total U.S. population the region declined in magnitude. However, while these fugures the region declined in magnitude. However, while these fugures were useful for a metropolitan region of considerable size, the lack of to the rigin declined in magnitude. However, while these shifts were useful for a metropolitan region of considerable size, the lack of velopment of his modal patterns of *net* migration rates which were of the mappear to comprise a promising new direction for sub-sub-state projections, used the approaches which we them appear to comprise a promising new direction for sub-sub-state projections, directional (gross) mig-main flows by local planners and officials for projections purposes is not yet feasible."

Net Migration

The main and, in practice, more frequently used alternative to directional migration flows involve indirect or net residual migra-

ndaries to change employment where be discerned. This phenomenon is ad in large metropolitan areas which o as St. Louis, Kansas City, or Omaha, at the sample drawn—even the more simple area. simply not large enough to be reliable tterns of migration below the SMSA nowledge that much of the population ounty and place-to-place within a epresent the fundamental change we pt of migration, local planners and of-ble to track this movement in order to ortant problems.

figures from the census are for a five-are convenient for use with the five-ly employed in the cohort-component ates derived from them, can be proates derived from them, can be pro-xtrapolation or as a ratio of projected te larger area. For example, Census hational areas by age, sex, and race is rates of outmigration to create a pool extrapolating each local area's his-migration from this pool, inmigrants ced nation-wide [42, pp. 197-198; 53]. technique to project the population of

red nation-wide [42, pp. 197-186, 007 technique to project the population of degrins in upstate New York. Here, the on the US population may approximate the magnetic project total US population instruments of the net of our impaction in merased but at a different ratio and the negative of impact of the magnitude However, while these figures and the negative of impigation against However, while these figures in region of considerable size, the lack of negative has been a strateging of the radia of the magnetic field of the radia of the magnetic field of the radia of the strateging of the strateging while the strateging of the strateging to magnetic size of the strateging of the projections of the strateging approaches which we promising new direction for sub-set and officials for projections purposes and officials for projections purposes

ce, more frequently used alternative to involve indirect or net residual migra-

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

tion techniques. Net residual migration may be derived from recent decennial census figures by substituting the appropriate measures in the following equation:

$NM = (P_t - P_{t-1}) - (B - D)$

That is, the net migration for a place (assuming no boundary changes) between two recent censuses, say 1960 and 1970, is calculated by determining the over-all change in population size from one census to the other and then removing that portion of the change which may be attributed to births and deaths. The result, or residual change, is assumed to be the product of migration. For cohorts above the age of ten at the time of the second eensus, only mortality need be considered in addition to over-all change; for those aged 0 to 4 and 5 to 9, however, births recorded for the second and first halves, respectively, of the preceding decade must also be ac-counted for. In all cases, migration rates may be calculated from the net migration figures.

<text><text><text>

however, eliminate the distortion resulting from the mortality of however, eliminate the distortion resulting from the mortality of migrants into the projection area. Identical with this technique is the Census Survival Rate Method, which employs survival rates calculated from decennial census data for the entire nation. These rates include corrections for census enumeration problems but their use implies that enumeration problems and mortality ex-periences for various age-groups are uniform throughout the entire nation. Again, as Gillaspy's research and the experience of many local planners and officials have shown, mortality rates in local areas often do vary considerably from state or national averages. For recional state or county wroisetions detailed by age sex

areas often do vary considerably from state or national averages. For regional, state, or county projections detailed by age, sex, and, where appropriate, by broad racial categories, neither alternative technique need actually be attempted as net migration figures and rates are published. Beale, Bowles, and Lee [3] com-puted residual net migration flows by sex and race for ages 0-4 through 75 and older for the decade 1960-1970' through use of the National Census Survival Rate method adjusted for census enumeration error. The Vital Statistics approach was also used to derive net migration totals by racial category for counties; these figures were used as control totals to which the preliminary net mi-ration figures were adjusted. Net migration rates were calculated gration figures were adjusted. Net migration rates were calculated for the survived 1970 population or, for the younger ages, for the survived population plus recorded births. These figures are generally considered the best available at the present time and are highly recommended for use as base data for county-level projections.¹⁰ Net migration figures or rates may be held constant or adjusted

projections.¹⁰ Net migration figures or rates may be held constant or adjusted mechanically to meet some reasonable assumption of the projection model. This use of residual figures has been common in those states where the cohort-component model has been employed to project the population of counties. In Oregon [34], although gross migration streams were used in developing a state-wide projection, only re-sidual *net* migration was available at the county level. The net re-sidual migration rates for each county were systematically diminished over the years so that by the year 2000 the county net migration rates were approximately 20 percent of the 1970-1975 rates. In Wisconsin, the projections series initially held 1960-1970 net residual rates constant for the entire projection period [63]. Later, they were adjusted to reflect migration trends in Wisconsin during the early 1970s. In Rhode Island, 50 percent of the most re-cent net migration figures for the state were held constant and sub-state projections for the state to are lead to totals [52]. In Arkansas three different assumptions were employed in de-yeloping projections for the state is eight regional districts one held 1960-1970 net migration flow constant for 20 years, another re-duced the 1960-1970 net rate by 50 percent over 20 years, and the third was a zero net migration model (natural growth model) employing no migration flows or rates whatsoever [44]. The projec-ions for the state's eight regional districts, after adjustment to ac-

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

count for projected civilian labor fo count for projected civilian labor it trol totals for the county projectic gration was adjusted to fit the Michigan, one state agency's atter tions during the early 1970s i 1960-1970 migration flows to bas whatsoever, the resulting figures "atthough primitive, this method authough primitive, this intended the lack of any validated alternat gration flows or rates may al arithmetically. In Ontario, Mac parabolic and Gompertz function piled for several preceding interv these rates into the future [32]. One of the most interesting up

One of the most interesting us from preceding decennial sources county projections for New York and previous work, Pittinger sif migration by age and sex down 187-1941. In projecting the count 187-1941 in projecting the count difference between the highest a the net migration by age and sex 1960-1970. Using these figures i migration pattern was assigned migration is no longer used. Inst the county is modified if there a changes (i.e. the anticipated trai suburban one would entail a suburban one would entail a magnitude of total net migration totals are controlled to the net mi totals are controlled to the new un tire region, and through an adjus and sex for the county are forced

Migratio

Beyond the extrapolation of r Beyond the extrapolation of a lated techniques, it is highly as meth beupdated to reflect trends from the preceding census. It is post-ensal trends in migration magnitude, composition, or dis earlier transfer of the grosserior to being unrealistic, condic cast process, even for areas where ear availability of consistent annual for those states which participa

tion resulting from the mortality of rea. Identical with this technique is thod, which employs survival rates sus data for the entire nation. These census enumeration problems but eration problems and mortality ex-ps are uniform throughout the entire esearch and the experience of many ave shown, mortality rates in local ly from state or national averages.

nty projections detailed by age, sex broad racial categories, neither broad racial categories, neither tually be attempted as net migration and Beale, Bowles, and Lee [3] com-flows by sex and race for ages 0-4 decade 1960-1970⁶ through use of the Rate method adjusted for census Statistics approach was also used to Statistics approach was also used to by racial category for counties: these states to which the preliminary net mi-thet migration rates were calculated tion or, for the younger ages, for the recorded births. These figures are available at the present time and are use as base data for county-level

see as base data for county-level ates may be held constant or adjusted assumble assumption of the projection tures has been common in those states mode has been employed to project the region [34], although gross migration pring a state-wide projection, only re-table at the county level. The net re-reach county users systematically that by the year 2000 the county red-mataly 20 percent of the 1900-1975 carbon series initially held 1960-1970 (or the entire projection period [63]) or the state wave held counted and adjusted to these state control totals adjusted to these state control totals adjusted to these state control totals adjusted to the state wave held counted and adjusted to the state state control totals adjusted to these state control totals adjusted to the state state contr

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

<page-header><text><text><page-footer>

Migration Updates

Migration Updates Beyond the extrapolation of net migration flows or rates and re-lated techniques, it is highly advisable that the migration compo-nent be updated to reflect trends more recent than those discernable from the preceding census. It is important to do this because the post-censal trends in migration may differ significantly in either magnitude, composition, or direction from the trends exhibited earlier. To make projections based on trends which are no longer relevant could lead to grossly inaccurate figures which, in addition to being unrealistic, could cast suspicion on the entire projections process, even for areas where earlier trends have been maintained. Fortunately, it is possible to update migration trends because of the availability of consistent annual sets of county population estimates for those states which participate in the Federal-State Cooperative

Program for Local Population Estimates (FSCP).¹¹ Similarly, popula-tion estimates for almost 40,000 minor civil divisions have been pre-pared for the years 1973, 1975, 1976, and 1977 as the basis for dis-tributing revenue-sharing funds under the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. The importance of updating migration trends has been particularly evident in midwestern states which have been impacted by the "rural revival" phenomenon. In Michigan, for example, the population of the 15 sparsely populated counties bordering Lake Superior and northern Lake Michigan (the Upper Peninsula) had



Fig. 9.1. Direction of migration flows for Michigan counties, 1960-70 and 1970-75 $\,$

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

declined from an all-time high pop 1970 the population had reached 30 50 years [48]. Yet, there were intim reversed during the early 1970s (1 opening of the Mackinas Bridge lint remainder of the state had made the ing the 1960s, and both expansion enrollments in this region during t deed, by 1975 population estimat



Estimates (FSCP).¹¹ Similarly, popula-0 minor civil divisions have been pre-, 1976, and 1977 as the basis for dis-ds under the State and Local Fiscal

tting migration trends has been tern states which have been impacted penon. In Michigan, for example, the populated counties bordering Lake Michigan (the Upper Peninsula) had



POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

declined from an all-time high population of 333,000 in 1920; by 1970 the population had reached 304,000, the second lowest total in 50 years [48]. Yet, there were intimations that this trend had been reversed during the early 1970s (See Figure 9.1). Certainly, the opening of the Mackinac Bridge linking the Upper Peninsula to the remainder of the state had made the north woods more accessible dur-ing the 1960s, and both expansion of military activity and college enrollments in this region during the 1970s had had an impact. In-deed, by 1975 population estimates indicated that the Upper



Fig. 9.2. Michigan counties exhibiting high rates of inmigration, 1970-75

Peninsula's population had grown by more than 6 percent in the pre-ceding five years and totalled almost 324,000 residents midway through 1975. An equally important occurance was observed in the northern part of Michigan's Lower Peninsula during the same period. Long a favored vacation and recreation area easily accessible from Detroit and Chicago, northern Lower Michigan had experienced modest population growth during the 1960s due to natural in-crease and a small flow of migrants into the area. Since 1970, however, growth in this area has been explosive, and much of it has been due to inmigration (see Figure 9.2). The unusual and unexpected growth in both of these areas, and indeed the changes in the direction and/or magnitude in migration trends exhibited for almost all Michigan Counties in recent years (see Table 9.1), clearly indicate the wisdom of attempting to update

Table 9.1. Net migration in Michigan counties, 1960-1970 and 1970-1975

	Net mig	Net migration		
County	1960-1970	1970-1975	Change ^a	
Alcona	511	1,700	Magnitude	
Alger	-1,148	700	Direction	
Allegan	1,887	1,400		
Alpena	-1,747	900	Direction	
Antrim	1,679	2,500	Magnitude	
Arenac	638	1,700	Magnitude	
Baraga	140	100		
Barry	2,988	2,100		
Bay	-4.579	-4,000	Magnitude	
Benzie	299	1,700	Magnitude	
Berrien	-6,213	-1,300	Magnitude	
Branch	355	-900	Direction	
Calhoun	-13,167	-7,600		
Cass	3,266	600	Magnitude	
Charlevoix	1,867	2,100	Magnitude	
Cheboygan	567	2,500	Magnitude	
Chippewa	-6,417	2,100	Direction	
Clare	3,965	5,400	Magnitude	
Clinton	4,186	300	Magnitude	
Crawford	1,100	2,300	Magnitude	
Delta	-1,382	2,200	Direction	
Dickinson	-1,293	1,300	Direction	
Eaton	12,314	5,500		
Emmet	948	2,100	Magnitude	
Genesee	3,310	-29,600	Direction	
Gladwin	1,769	3,200	Magnitude	
Gogebic	-3,651	-100	Magnitude	
Grand Traverse	2,365	4,700	Magnitude	
Gratiot	-2,846	-2,100	Magnitude	
Hillsdale	-772	1,600	Direction	
Houghton	-1.958	2,000	Direction	
Huron	-3,312	500	Direction	
Ingham	12,677	-9,700	Direction	
Ionia	-2,124	100	Direction	

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

Table 9.1. (continued)

-3.802
8.480
722
-3.103
-104
404
A 669
011
4.040
4,049
10,973
-1,3/4
-2,300
122.211
14
414
-575
4.506
-1513
2.399
-104
2 822
202
713
1.14
-13.654
1.400
106.054
1
1 829
-757
151
1 001
1.760
13 466
10,400
-1.556
2,655
-1.921
1.571
1.025
250
-1 200
1.616
420
3.000
-14.95
31 24+
-274.964
 321

wn by more than 6 percent in the pre-d almost 324,000 residents midway

rance was observed in the northern insula during the same period. Long eation area easily accessible from n Lower Michigan had experienced ruing the 1960 due to natural in-nigrants into the area. Since 1970, has been explosive, and much of it ee Figure 9.2). ed growth in both of these areas, and etion and/or magnitude in migration 1 Michigan Counties in recent years the wisdom of attempting to update accessible. 1960-1970 and 1970-1975

n counties, 1960-1970 and 1970-1975

migration	70-1975	Change
10	1 700	Magnitude
	700	Direction
	1 400	
	900	Direction
	2 500	Magnitude
	1 700	Magnitude
	100	
	2 100	
	1 000	Magnitude
	1,700	Magnitude
		Magnitude
	-1,300	nirection
	-900	Dilocon
	-7,600	stannitude
	600	Magnitude
	2.100	Magnitude
	2,500	Direction
	2.100	Magnitude
	5,400	Magnitude
	300	Magnitude
	2.300	Middi
		Direction
	2,200	Direction
	1,300	
3	5,500	Magnitude
\$	2,100	Direction
3	-29,600	Magnitude
0	3,200	Magnitude
9	-100	Magnitude
1	4,700	Magnitude
5	-2,100	Direction
6	1,600	Direction
2	2,000	Direction
8	500	Direction
2	-9,700	Direction

POPULATION	PROJECTION	PROBLEM
------------	------------	---------

ble 9.1. (continued)		
	3.895	2,000	
500	-3.243	1,700	Direction
abella	4,069	4,200	Magnitude
ckson	-3,802	-2,700	Magnitude
alamazoo	8,480	-9,600	Direction
alkaska	722	4,400	Magnitude
ont	-3.103	-6,100	Magnitude
CHIL CODOW	-104	100	Direction
ake	404	1,200	Magnitude
aneer	4,668	6,900	Magnitude
oolanau	911	1,400	Magnitude
enawee	-4,049	-100	Magnitude
ivingston	15,473	19,000	Magnitude
UCE	-1,374	400	Direction
Aackinac	-2,350	500	Direction
Macomb	122,277	6,400	magnitude
Janistee	14	1,300	Magnitude
Aarquette	414	2,200	Magnitude
Mason	-575	1,900	Direction
Mecosta	4,506	5,100	Magnitude
Menominee	-1,513	400	Direction
Midland	2,399	-900	Direction
Missaukee	-104	1,900	Direction
Monroe	2,822	1,100	Magnitude
Montcalm	202	2,800	Magnitude
Montmorency	713	1,800	Magintado
Muskegon	-13.654	-7,400	
Newaydo	1,400	2,200	Magnitude
Oakland	106,054	12,300	Magnitude
Oceana	1	1,800	Magnitude
Ogemaw	1,829	2,800	Magnitude
Ontonagon	-757	600	Direction
Osceola	151	2,300	Magnitude
Oscoda	1,091	1,900	Magnitude
Otsego	1,769	3,100	Magintude
Ottawa	13,466	6,000	
Processo Isla	-1.556	600	Direction
Presqueisie	2.655	5,400	Magnitude
Coginaw	-1.921	-7,500	Magnitude
St Clair	1,571	5,400	Magnitude
St Joseph	1,025	1,500	Magnitude
Sanilac	259	3,200	Magnitude
Schoolcraft	-1,290	600	Direction
Shiawassee	1,616	1,900	Magnitude
Tuscola	420	3,100	Magnitude
Van Buren	3,092	3,100	wagnitude
Mashterau	31 241	-1,600	Direction
Washtenaw	-274,964	-301,300	Magnitude
Wayne	-321	1,500	Direction

b The clo dents.
the migration component used in any projections model. A few

selected instances (see Table 9.2) reinforce this point. Between 1960 and 1970, the population of Chippewa County in the Upper Peninsula remained stagnant because of the area's slugthe Upper Peninsula remained stagnant because of the area's slug-gish economy. During this decade Chippewa county experienced ex-ceedingly high outnigration coupled with relatively great natural in-crease. By 1975, however, almost 4,000 residents were added to the county's population, largely due to inmigration spurred by the ex-pansion of Kincheloe Ari Force Base. Delta County, also in the Upper Peninsula, grew slightly during the 1960s, but exhibited a pattern of net outmigration similar to that in Chippewa County and, in general, not unlike that experienced in numerous declining rural areas throughout the nation. During the following five years, 3,000 new residents were added, most of whom had migrated to the area for re-tirement or in response to expanded economic opportunities within the county. In both of these cases it is clear that continuation of the migration thends executed for the reversal from net migration to net inmigration which occurred in these and other similar counties during the 1970s. during the 1970s

The first process of the second of the second of the similar countries during the 1970s. In the Lower Peninsula, the change which had to be accounted for was that of magnitude rather than direction of migration. As illustrated in Table 9.2 both Grand Traverse and Kalkaska Counties had experienced absolute growth and net immigration during the 1960s. In Grand Traverse County, the amount and percentage of growth between 1970 and 1975 was roughly equal to the growth observed there during the entire preceding decade. Moreover, the contribution of immigration to this growth was twice as great during the first five years of this decade as it had been during the previous ten years. This change in magnitude is even more pronounced in the case of Kalkaska County. Here, between 1970 and 1975, absolute growth was more than three times as great as during the entire preceding decade, and the pattern of inmigration to an interface of prior migration trends would have led to serious short-falls in projections of their respective populations. Therefore, to account for these

prior migration trends would have led to serious short-falls in pro-jections of their respective populations. Therefore, to account for these sorts of divergences from ongoing trends, the projections model cur-rently used by the State of Michigan was explicitly designed to in-clude a migration-updating routine. Based on a technique developed by Grose [20], population projec-tions by age and sex for Michigan counties are adjusted for each year in which final, revised, FSCP county estimates are available. The latest Michigan county projections, for example, are adjusted for each calendar year 1971 through 1975. These updating procedures include adjustments of the migration component for each age and sex group so that the magnitude and, where appropriate, the pattern of the county's migration reflect the annual changes in population size and composition estimated for the county.

Table 9.2. Population growth and net min 1960-1975

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

County	1960	Population 1970	
Chippewa	32,655	32,412	
Delta	34,298	35,924	
Grand Traverse	33,490	39,175	
Kalkaska	4.382	5.272	

The appaaring optimization of the 3 set of the accompanying diagram (see F) mumbered to simplify the description for the Beale. Bowles, and are added (1) to the base population of the survival rates for f factors are added (1) to the base population of the survival rates for f factors are added (1) to the base population of the survival rates for 1971 (4) and any tributed to migration occurring otherwise not accounted for 1 to its in the Preliminary Projection for 1971 is of the suproach set of the survival rates for 1971 (4) and any tributed to migration directly to the frame is applied to the base population. To account for the survival rate of the suproach set of the survival rate of the survival rate of the suproach set of the suproach set of the survival rate of the surv

ed in any projections model. A few (2) reinforce this point, he population of Chippewa County in d stagnant because of the area's slugade Chippewa county experienced exoupled with relatively great natural in-nost 4,000 residents were added to the ue to immuration spurred by the ex-Base. Delta County, also in the Upper g the 1960s, but exhibited a pattern of at in Chippewa County and, in general, in numerous declining rural areas g the following five years, 3,000 new whom had migrated to the area for re-mediate accounties within panded economic opportunities within uses it is clear that continuation of the during the 1960s, or some modification nted for the reversal from net migration rred in these and other similar counties

he change which had to be accounted her than direction of migration. As il-rand Traverse and Kalkaska Counties owth and net inmigration during the owth and net inmigration during the when and net immigration during the bounty, the amount and percentage of 757 was roughly equal to the growth this proceeding decade. Moreover, the to this growth was twice as great dur-decade as it had been during the pre-ge in magnitude is even more pro-ge in magnitude is even more pro-ge in magnitude is even more pro-aka County. Here, between 1970 and ska C I have led to serious short-tails in pro-pulations. Therefore, to account for these going trends, the projections model cur-Michigan was explicitly designed to in-

outine reloged by Grose [20], population projec-ingan counties are adjusted for each year PP county estimates are available. The relations, for example, are adjusted for migration component for each age and migration component for each age and migration component for each age and effect the annual changes in population eted for the county:

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

Table 9.2. Population growth and net migration in four Michigan counties,

	Population		Net Migration		
County	1960	1970	1975	1960-1970	1970-1975
Chippewa	32,655	32,412	36,000	-6,417	+2,100
Delta	34,298	35,924	39,100	-1,382	+2,200
Grand Traverse	33,490	39,175	45,000	+ 2,365	+ 4,700
Kalkaska	4,382	5,272	8,500	+722	+ 4,400

<text><text><text><text><text><text>

each group but maintains the over-all pattern of migration. These each group but maintains the over-all pattern of migration. These newly adjusted migration figures are used for two purposes first they replace the Beale, Bowles, and Lee figures and are stored for use as the initial migration figures for the next annual migration cycle (8) and secondly, they are used to revise (9) the Preliminary Projections. Specifically, the base population is used again; births are added, survival rates are applied, and the *revised* migration figures are applied to each age-sex group. The product (10) is a Revised Projection, incorporating revised migration figures, which serves as the base for the following year's projection.

Although this updating model may appear to be quite com-plicated, it is not really very difficult, and it could be employed in other instances where this approach is appropriate. For one or even a few counties, this technique could be done with the aid of a simple calculator. In those instances where this model is not appropriate, variations on the general technique may certainly be devised using the source date. the same data.

variations on the general technique may certainly be devised using the same data. Beyond census estimates and other fairly high quality data, there are other sources of information which may not be quite as suitable for updating purposes, are often not detailed at all, and which must be used carefully as they are subject to error and misin-terpretation. Nonetheless, it is through the ingenious yet careful use of these non-standard or marginal data sources that imaginative planners, researchers, and local officials may learn more about their local populations and may contribute to updating their local popula-tion projections. The key to progress here is enlightened skepticism and the recognition that no single data source or indicator is likely to be sufficient for tracking population change or for updating pro-jections and medifying the migration component. Indicators of general population growth include housing con-struction and residency permits and demolition records, if available, as well as residential utility connection records. Any change re-vealed by these sources may indicate a change in the total popula-tion. Multiplication of median household size by the number of new residences provides a rough indicator of population increase; if separate figures for single and multiple family dwellings are avail-able, they might prove even more accurate. Records of increase or decrease in residential utility hook-ups may be used the same way. Any difference, greater or smaller, than that produced in the projec-tion model employed may similarly indicate that the migration com-ponent should also be adjusted. Great care must be taken in using these measures as building and residency records are not always required by law. When they are used, building permits are often obtained months in advance of

Great care must be taken in using these measures as building and residency records are not always required by law. When they are used, building permits are often obtained months in advance of construction and even years in advance of completion and occupan-cy. Similarly, demolition records are not kept in many places and where such records are legally required, demolitions are not always



POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS recorded. The lack of records is par

and other newly developing areas ' may be lax or nonexistent and when have proliferated, often without any gional planning commission's way aerial photographs made. Unfortur

Fig.9.3. The "Michigan Method" population projections and

7 5 Igration Age, Sex

CHAFTERS over-all pattern of migration. These res are used for two purposes first and Lee figures and are street for gures for the next annual migration to used to revise (9) the Preliminary ase population is used again, births applied, and the revised migration geesex group. The product (10) is a ng revised migration figures, which ring year's projection. odel may appear to be quite com-lifting, and it could be employed in roach is appropriate. For one or even could be done with the aid of a simple where this model is not appropriate.

and other fairly high quality data, sration which may not be quite as, are often not detailed at all, and through the ingenious yet careful use in the second second second second tribute to updating their local popula-tories here is enliquited as second second particular or indicator is likely opulation change or for updating pro-cutation component. Putation component. Putation component. Putation are of the updating pro-cutation are off or updating pro-parition component. Putation are off or updating pro-parition component. Putation are off or updating pro-minate a change in the total popula-nage, however, requires additional popula-nage, however, requires additional in-nition and the proparation increase. In dividing the putation increase and multiple family dwillings are avail-more accurate. Records of increase of phock-ups may be used the same way phock ups may be used the same way phock ups may be used the same way in the same the indicator come of always required by law. When they ore down obtained months in advance of in davance of completion and occupar-in advance of completion and occupar-in advance of completion and year par-its advance in the maximum part of the same same of a pota same same same as a building off an english part of the same same as a in advance of completion and year part in the same the part of the pa

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

recorded. The lack of records is particularly likely in rural-fringe and other newly developing areas where municipal housing codes may be lax or nonexistent and where, in recent years, mobile homes have proliferated, often without any official record of them. One re-gional planning commission's way of dealing with this was to have aerial photographs made. Unfortunately, they were taken during



Fig. 9.3. The "Michigan Method" for post-censal adjustments to population projections and their migration components

6

the mid-summer when full-leafed trees obscured at least some dwelling units, especially in the more rural areas where unregistered mobile homes were most likely to be found. A better solution would have been to use all available permits and records to try and match new residential development with expanded utility services—using one source as a check on the other.

hew residential development with expanded utility services—using one source as a check on the other. Adjustments to the size and migration of specific segments of the population may be derived from several indicators. The numbers of school-age children in Kindergarten through grade 8 are available from annual school census or other records. Care must be taken in using these figures, however, as school district boundaries often do not follow county or municipal boundary lines. A post-censal estimate of the elderly population can be obtained from social security, railroad retirement, teachers' retirement, and civil service retirement records. In heavily industrial states, union pension funds may also be a good source. It is important to try and use all of the sources which are relevant to the particular geographical area or state as some individuals who appear on one set of retirement records will not necessarily show up on others. In some states, for example, retired teachers and state employees may not be covered by Social Security and therefore they may not appear in SSA records. The same holds for those covered by the Railroad Retirement Fund. It is even more likely, however, that some of the aged in an area will appear in several different sets of records and, therefore, caution must be used to prevent duplication in the estimate. One particularly good estimate of the elderly population is based upon Medicare enrollment and is available for 1975 and 1976 by state, multi-county planning and services areas (PSAs), and individual counties [13, 59]. Migration within the adult, working-age, population (roughly

Migration within the adult, working-age, population (roughly from ages 16 through 64) has traditionally been among the most difficult to account for at the county or smaller levels. In some states automobile registrations have been used for this purpose. In recent years, however, the growing popularity of jeeps, trucks, vans, and other vehicles for personal or family use have impinged on the simplicity and, possibly, the accuracy of this indicator. In Chippewa County, Mich., for example, when an Air Force base recently closed, there was a substantial drop in automobile registrations for the county. How accurately this reflects the loss of population due to the air base shut-down is unclear as the county recorded an increase in commercial vehicles at the same time. Some, if not most, of the decline was undoubtedly due to out-migration. A smaller portion was probably due to a switch from passenger automobile to some other sort of vehicle. Unfortunately, the dynamics and details of these charges cannot be accurately discovered with the data that are currently available. A related approach which holds some promise for the future involves directly tracking migration among adults through address changes on drivers licenses. Two states, Minnesota and California, are presently experimenting with this technique. It is not a perfect inPOPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

dicator of migration because every drivers license.¹⁰ On the other ha documented aliens) who may not a cluding the cerfSus!" might have di most other sources, very short more ers, and with the cooperation of othe be tracked using these data. If the cesful, and if all states agree to sharing information, we will have sort of indicator which will allow due to migration for states, counties

Conclus

The approaches, methods, data, paper are not exhaustive. The prob population projections are extensiv been covered here. Also, the encomponent approach is only partiall availability of data service to local arficials there is also a matter of pthe preceding paragraphs may servifamiliar with local area projections for discussion for those with more eextensive discussions of these and ing publications are highly recomm

Irwin, Richard. 1977. Guide for tions. U. S. Bureau of the Washington, D.C.: U. S. Governa

Morrison, Peter A. 1971. Demo Manual for Estimating and Pro teristics. Report R-618-HUD. S tion, 1971.

Pittinger, Donald B. 1976. Populations. Cambridge, Mass.

With the 1960 Census quickly a dance of new information as well as dated for 10 years. These data will information which we have strugg projections for the past several year will once again allow us to speak year or two, about area populatio boasing characteristics; they will

ed trees obscured at least some dwell nore rural areas where unregistered to be found. A better solution would permits and records to try and match vith expanded utility services—using

I migration of specific segments of the m several indicators. The numbers of garten through grade 8 are available other records. Care must be taken in as school district boundaries often do tipal boundary lines. A post-censal glation can be obtained from social teachers' retirement, and civil service industrial states, union pension funds is important to try and use all of the to the particular geographical area or o appear on one set of retirement rec-up on others. In some states, for examup on others: In some states, for exam-te employees may not be covered by they may not appear in SSA records, red by the Railroad Retirement Fund r, that some of the aged in an area will sets of records and, therefore, caution cettion in the estimate. One particularets or records and, therefore, caution cation in the estimate. One particular-ly population is based upon Medicare ir 1975 and 1976 by state, multi-county SAs), and individual counties (13, 59). (y) possible in 1975 and 1975 by state, multi-control PSAs1 and individual counties [13, 59] as traditionally been among the most here country or smaller levels. In some nas have been used for this purpose, link growing popularity of jeeps, trucks, personal or family use have impired most the accuracy of this indicate. In they, the accuracy of this indicate in the sample, when an Air Force bas re-restantial drop in automobile registra-tional states as the county re-ercial vehicles at the same time. Some ercial vehicles at the same time Some are currently available. A related ap-are currently available. A related ap-are currently available. A related ap-g adults through address changes on g shuts through address changes on g shuts through address than a perfect in this technique. It is not a perfect in the technique. POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

dicator of migration because everyone does not necessarily have a drivers license¹³ On the other hand, some people (such as un-documented aliens) who may not appear on any other records, in-cluding the cenSus.¹⁴ might have drivers licenses. Moreover, unlike most other sources, very short moves, multiple moves, return mov-ers, and with the cooperation of other states, interstate moves might be tracked using these data. If the current experiments prove suc-cessful, and if all states agree to cooperate in codifying data and sharing information, we will have gone quite far in developing the sort of indicator which will allow us to track intercensal changes due to migration for states, counties, and even smaller areas.

Conclusions

Conclusions The approaches, methods, data, and sources mentioned in this paper are not exhaustive. The problems associated with local area population projections are extensive and only some of them have been covered here. Also, the emphasis placed on the cohort-component approach is only partially justified by such factors as the availability of data and the exigencies of technical, staff, and tem-poral resources available to local area planners, researchers, and of-ficials; there is also a matter of personal preference. Nonetheless, the preceding paragraphs may serve as an introduction for those um-familiar with local area projections, and they may serve as a basis for discussion for those with more experience. For more detailed and extensive discussions of these and other related concepts, the follow-ing publications are highly recommended: ing publications are highly recommended:

Irwin, Richard. 1977. Guide for Local Area Population Projec-tions. U. S. Bureau of the Census, Technical Paper 39 tions. U. S. Bureau of the Census, Technical Washington, D.C.: U. S. Government Printing Office.

Morrison, Peter A. 1971. Demographic Information for Cities: A Manual for Estimating and Projecting Local Population Charac-teristics. Report R-618-HUD. Santa Monica, Cal.: Rand Corpora-tion, 1971.

Pittinger, Donald B. 1976. Projecting State and Populations. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballenger Publishing Co. and Local

With the 1980 Census quickly approaching, there will be an abun-dance of new information as well as information that has not been up-dated for 10 years. These data will satisfy many of our needs for new information which we have struggled with through our estimates and projections for the past several years. The availability of census data will once again allow us to speak with confidence, albeit for only a year or two, about area populations and their social, economic, and housing characteristics; they will allow us to speak knowledgeably

6

about target or clientele populations for programs; and zip code, census track, or other small area data will be available which are reasonably reliable. But even with the advent of the Mid-decade Census in 1985, we will once again revert to estimates and projections after a year or two to satisfy *all* of our demographic needs. During that time there will be a flurry of activity to verify the accuracy and reasonableness of projections made earlier by comparing them with the population enumerated in 1980.

with the population enumerated in 1980. The next few years will provide all of us—scholars, practitioners, and consumers of local area projections—an unprecedented opportunity to participate in this flurry of activity. In examining how our past efforts measure up to the enumerations, we must re-examine our techniques and data sources, make revisions and correct errors that are revealed, examine and learn other approaches, and, where feasible, create new approaches and develop new data resources. Although it is not reasonable to expect any great breakthroughs resulting simply from the availability of 1980 Census data, it is reasonable to forecast that growing concern with population information, growing needs for these data by local governments and agencies, and growing technical sophistication at all levels—all combined with the sudden availability of extensive fresh data—will stimulate another round of refinements and advancements in our abilities to project the populations of local areas.

NOTES

¹According to Pittinger [42], and generally accepted by most practitioners, a *projection* represents a future condition given accurate data, correct usage of a projection model, and strict adherence to the assumptions which underlie the model. Because any setof assumptions may be combined with various models and data sets, projections are, by definition, hypothetical. A *forecast* is a projection to which judgment has been added; it is a projection the analyst believes is likely to materialize—i.e., it is a prediction. "In other words, *all forecasts are projections, but not all projections are forecasts*" [42, p. 4].

²The terms cohort-component and cohort-survival are usually interchangeable although Pittinger [42, p. 128] indicates that the term cohort-survival should be applied only to those approaches which do not include an explicit migration factor. One well known method which, by this standard, clearly is in the cohort-survival category is the small area technique devised by Hamilton and Perry[22].

³Another category, which Irwin [26] calls the "land use" approach, is considered by some to be an extension of the extrapolation approach [18]. As this approach is not widely used it will not be discussed in this paper. For further information, see Newling [38] and Greenberg, Krueckenberg, and Mautner [19]. The OBERS projections were being be available sometime in 1979. A di nent of this model more recent than in a paper by Johnson and Phillips [3

> "Extensive and detailed discussion publications by Morrison [36], Irwi and Siegel [50]. Directions for preg appropriate for those not extensiv niques may be found in Irwin's Gui [26].

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

See, for example, Easterlin [8, 9], [10,11], and Westoff [60, 61].

1.R.S. records are used by the Censu with the Federal-State Cooperati Estimates. These data are *not* avai ipants in any form.

The gross migration flows enumer: [55] are not cross-tabulated by sex large age categories are presented for all inveyear age groups, by sex ounty level—would require acces on magnetic tape. Finer breakdow greater potential errors due to sam non response than is presently the

Similar figures were prepared for Tarver [4].

"Residual net migration figures de changes in cohort population are component models utilize five-yei adjusted accordingly. The standar "adjacent-cohort" technique descri hisrecent publications [26, pp. 21-2]

"At the present time, all states e participating in the Federal-State

¹²The age-sex groups include tota females 18 to 44, total population r an estimate of the population aged

¹³In some large metropolitan area who, for a variety of reasons, choo

lations for programs and zip code, rea data will be available which are with the advent of the Mid-decade again revert to estimates and projecsity allof our demographic needs. Durury of activity to verify the accuracy ons made earlier by comparing them d in 1980.

vide all of us—scholars, practitioners, projections—an unprecedented opflurry of activity. In examining how he enumerations, we must re-examine es, make revisions and correct errors es and develop new data resources. Jo expect any great breakthroughs reability of 1980 Census data, it is reationers with population information, y local governments and agencies, and on at all levels—all combined with the the fresh data—will stimulate another necements in our abilities to project the

nd generally accepted by most practia future condition given accurate data, del, and strict adherence to the assump-Because any set of assumptions may be and data sets, projections are by definia projection to which judgment has been the analyst believes is likely to no. Tho there works, *all forecasts are prore forecasts* "[42, p. 4].

and cohort-survival are usually inger [42, p. 128] indicates that the term led only to those approaches which do iton factor. One well known method ly is in the cohort-survival category is ed by Hamilton and Perry[22].

n [26] calls the "land use" approach, is tension of the extrapolation approach dely used it will not be discussed in this on, see Newling [38] and Greenberg, [9]. POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

The OBERS projections were being revised at this writing and were to be available sometime in 1979. A discussion of the population component of this model more recent than the 1974 publication may be found in a paper by Johnson and Phillips[30].

⁶Extensive and detailed discussions of this technique may be found in publications by Morrison [36], Irwin [26], Pittinger [42], and Shryock and Siegel [50]. Directions for preparing a cohort-survival projection appropriate for those not extensively trained in demographic techniques may be found in Irwin's Guide for Local Population Projections [26].

⁶See, for example, Easterlin [8, 9], Easterlin, Wachter, and Wachter [10, 11], and Westoff [60, 61].

⁷LRS. records are used by the Census Bureau in estimating population with the Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates. These data are *not* available to any state or local participants in any form.

The gross migration flows enumerated in *Current Population Reports* [55] are *not* cross-tabulated by sex and race, and only seven relatively large age categories are presented. To acquire county directional flows for all five-year age groups, by sex—a reasonable requirement at the county level—would require access to the migration files maintained on magnetic tape. Finer breakdowns of the data would entail even greater potential errors due to sample variability, response errors, and non-response than is presently the case for the published materials.

Similar figures were prepared for the 1950-1960 decade by Bowles and Tarver[4].

¹⁰Residual net migration figures derived from examination of decennial changes in cohort population are ten-year figures. As most cohortcomponent models utilize five-year rather than ten-year age-groups, and as they generally operate on five-year cycles, these figures must be adjusted accordingly. The standard means of accomplishing this is the "adjacent-cohort" technique described and illustrated by Irwin in two of his recent publications [26, pp. 21-22; 27, pp. 41-42].

 $^{11}\mathrm{At}$ the present time, all states except Texas and Massachusetts are participating in the Federal-State Cooperative Program.

 $^{19} \rm The$ age-sex groups include total population 0 to 17, males 18 to 44, females 18 to 44, total population aged 65 and older. From these figures, an estimate of the population aged 45 to 64 may also be derived.

¹³In some large metropolitan areas there are a number of individuals who, for a variety of reasons, choose not to drive and thus do not have

drivers licenses. Local laws must also be accounted for. In New York City, 18 is the minimum age for obtaining a standard drivers license; those aged 17 who have successfully completed a certified drivers education course may also obtain a license. As these courses are not offered as part of the regular curriculum by New York City schools, the proportion of 17-year-olds with licenses is relatively small

 $^{14}\mathrm{As}$ one of several means of minimizing undercounts in the 1980 Census, the Census Bureau has requested tape files of all licensed drivers in all states and territories to be used in cross-checking names and addresses of those counted by the census enumerators.

REFERENCES

- Arizona, State of. 1974. ATOM 2, Part 1 of Final Report. Phoenix: Arizona Office of Economic Planning and Development and Department of Economics, Arizona State University. 1.
- Beale, Calvin. 1975. The Revival of Population Growth in Non-metropolitan America. Economic Research Service, Publication ERS-605. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- ERS-605. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
 3. Beale, Calvin L., Gladys K. Bowles, and Everett S. Lee. 1975. Net Migration of the Population, 1960-70, by Age, Sex, and Color, United States, Regions, Divisions, States, and Counties. Population—Migration Report 1960-70, Part 2—North Central States. Athens, Georgia: Economic Research Service, U.S. Depart-ment of Agriculture; Institute for Behavioral Research, University of Georgia: Research Applied to National Needs, Na-tional Science Foundation, in cooperation.
- Lional Science Foundation, in cooperation.
 Bowles, Gladys K. and James D. Tarver. 1965. Net Migration of the Population, 1950-60 by Age, Sex, and Color. Population-Migration Report. Washington, D.C. Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, in cooperation with research Foundation, Oklahoma State University and Area Redevelop-ment Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. 4.
- Brockway, James M. and Michael A. Spar. 1976. Projecting Ken-tucky's Population: Methods, Procedures, and Evaluation. Louisville: Urban Studies Center, University of Louisville.
- 6. Campbell, Rex R. and Mary Zielinski. 1978. Influence of man-Campbell, Rex R. and Mary Zielinski. 1978. Influence of man-made lakes on population change and characteristics. Pages 40-51 in (J. Allan Beegle and Robert L. McNamara, eds.), Patterns of Mi-gration and Population Change in America's Heartland. North Central Regional Research Publication 238. East Lansing: Michigan State University Agricultural Experiment Station.
 Connecticut, State of. 1976. Population projections for Connec-ticut planning regions and towns, 1980-2000. Hartford: Depart-ment of Planning and Energy Policy.

- 8. Easterlin, Richard. Population, Economic Growth: The America bia University Press, 1968.
- 9. Easterlin, Richard. An explana following World War II. Page Readings in Population. Engle 1968
- 10. Easterlin, Richard, M. Wachter fluences on economic stabili Review, 4 (March, 1978): 1-22.
- 11. Easterlin, Richard, M. Wachter upswing in fertility. Americ
- Eastern Upper Peninsula Reg Commission. 1977. Water Q Population and Economic Stuc Upper Peninsula Regional I mission.
- mission.

 Fowles, Donald G. 1977. Estin tions for Counties and PSA's: 1 77-20085. Washington, D.C.: 1 Department of Health, Educat
- 14. Fuguitt, Glenn V. and Calvin in Nonmetropolitan Cities Service, Agricultural Economi U.S. Department of Agricultur
- 15. Fuguitt, Glenn V. and Calvir Fuguit, Glenn V. and Calvir the Pattern of Population Cl Pages 14-21 in (J. Allan Bee Patterns of Migration and Heartland. North Central F East Lansing: Michigan Sta ment Station.
- Gillaspy, R. Thomas, et al. 19 Older Population of Local Ar Population Issues Research University.
- 17. Goldberg, David, et al. 19 Employment for the Upper (Population Studies Center, U
- Greenberg, Michael R. 1977. Methods to Forecast Popula Population Forecasting for S Oak Ridge Associated University

also be accounted for. In New York Ci-ining a standard drivers license; those ompleted a certified drivers education As these courses are not offered as part w York City schools, the proportion of tively small.

minimizing undercounts in the 1980 as requested tape files of all licensed ies to be used in cross-checking names y the census enumerators.

ATOM 2, Part 1 of Final Report AUOM 2, Part 1 of Finar Report Economic Planning and Development nics, Arizona State University. Revival of Population Growth in Non-onomic Research Service, Publication . US Department of Agriculture.

US Department of Agriculture. Bowless and Everett S. Lee. 1975. Net 1960-70. by Age. Sex, and Color. Divisions: States, and Counter eport 1960-70. Part 2—North Central conomic Research Service. US Depart-institute for Behavioral Research applied to National Reeds. Na-inconcension.

mes D. Tarver. 1965. Net Migration of mes D. Tarver. 1965. Net Migration of by Age. Sex, and Color. Population gion. D.C. Economic Research Service, incluter, in cooperation with research state University and Area Redevelop-i. Department of Commerce.

Michael A. Spar. 1976. Projecting Ken-thods. Procedures and Evaluation. Center, University of Louisville.

oreneer, University'd Louisville. Iary Zeinski, 1978. Influence of man-ichange and characteristics. Pages 40-51 obert L. McNamara, eds. J. Patrinsol M-obarge in America's Horitand. North Change in Louis Statistica. Balication 208. East Lansing arch Publication 208. East Agricultural Experiment Station. oy Agricultural Experiment of atom. 976. Population projections for Connec-ind towns, 1980-2000. Hartford: Depart-ergy Policy.

- 8. Easterlin, Richard. Population, Labor Force, and Long Swings in Economic Growth: The American Experience. New York: Columbia University Press, 1968.
- Easterlin, Richard. An explanation of the American baby boom following World War II. Pages 120-156 in David Heer, ed., *Readings in Population*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1999. 1968
- Easterlin, Richard, M. Wachter, and S. Wachter. Demographic in-fluences on economic stability, *Population and Development Review*, 4 (March, 1978), 1-22.
- Easterlin, Richard, M. Wachter, and S. Wachter. 1979. The coming upswing in fertility. *American Demographics*, 1 (February): 12-15.
- 12. Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning and Development Commission. 1977. Water Quality Management Plan. Vol. 1, Population and Economic Study. Sault Ste. Marie, Mich.: Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning and Development Com-mission. mission
- Fowles, Donald G. 1977. Estimates of the 60+ and 65+ Popula-tions for Counties and PSA's: 1975. DHEW publication No. (OHD) 77-20085. Washington, D.C.: National Clearinghouse on Aging, Department of Health, Education and Welfare.
- Fuguitt, Glenn V. and Calvin L. Beale. 1976. Population Change in Nonmetropolitan Cities and Towns. Economic Research Service, Agricultural Economic Report No. 323. Washington, D.C.: 115 Description. U.S. Department of Agriculture
- Fuguitt, Glenn V. and Calvin L. Beale. 1978. Post-1970 Shifts in the Pattern of Population Change in the North Central Region... Pages 14-21 in (J. Allan Beegle and Robert L. McNamara, eds.) Patterns of Migration and Population Change in America's Heartland. North Central Regional Research Publication 238. East Lansing: Michigan State University Agricultural Experi-ment Station. ment Station
- Gillaspy, R. Thomas, et al. 1974. A Methodology for Projecting the Older Population of Local Areas. University Park, Pennsylvania: Population Issues Research Office, The Pennsylvania State University
- Goldberg, David, et al. 1978. Projections of Population and Employment for the Upper Great Lakes: 1970-2000. Ann Arbor: Population Studies Center, University of Michigan.
- Greenberg, Michael R. 1977. Use of Mathematical Extrapolation Methods to Forecast Population of Small Areas. Pages 29-35 in Population Forecasting for Small Areas. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak Ridge Associated Universities.

- Greenberg, Michael R., D. Krueckeberg, and R. Mautner. 1973. Long-Range Population Projections for Minor Civil Divisions: Computer Program and User's Manual. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Center for Urban Policy Research, Rutgers University.
- Grose, Fred. 1975. Population projections for the counties of Michigan by sex and age for each year 1970 through 1990. Work-ing Paper No. 2, Lansing, Michigan: Michigan Department of Management and Budget
- Gustafson, Neil C. and Mark E. Cohan. 1974. Population Mobility in the Upper Midwest: Trends, Prospects, and Policies. Min-neapolis, Minnesota: Upper Midwest Council.
- Hamilton, Horace C. and Josef Perry. 1962. A short method for projecting population by age from one decennial census to another. *Social Forces*, 41 (December): 163-70.
- Harris, Jr., Curtis C. 1973. The Urban Economies, 1985: A Multi-regional Multi-industry Forecasting Model. Lexington, Massachusetts. D. C. Heath.
- 24. Idaho Department of Water Resources. 1976. Population and Employment Forecasts—State of Idaho. Boise. Idaho Department of Water Resources and Center for Grants and Research, Boise State University.
- Illinois, State of. 1976. Illinois Population Projections (Revised 1976): Summary and by County 1970-2025. Springfield, Illinois: Illinois Bureau of the Budget.
- Irwin, Richard. 1977. Guide for Local Area Population Proj tions. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Technical Paper Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Irwin, Richard. 1977. Use of the cohort-component method in population projections for small areas. Pages 37-48 in *Population Forecasting for Small Areas*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak Ridge Associated Universities.
- Isserman, Andrew. 1975. Development and Testing of a Township Population Projection System for the State of Illinois. Springfield, Illinois: Illinois Environmental Projection Agency.
- Isserman, Andrew. 1977. The accuracy of population projections for sub-county areas. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 43 (July): 247-259.
- runners 40 (01019: 241-259.
 30. Johnson, Kenneth P. and Bruce D. Phillips. 1977. Economic based population projections. Paper presented at a Conference on Economic and Demographic Methods of Projecting Population, sponsored by the American Statistical Association, Alexandria, Virginia.
- 31. Kendall, Mark C. 1977. Labor-market models. Pages 49-58 in Population Forecasting for Small Areas. Oak Ridge, Tennessee Oak Ridge Associated Universities.
- 44. Pollard, Forrest H. 197 1970-1990 By Planning a Industrial Research an Arkaneae

- for a description of net interna tario. Pages 38-62 in (A. Rich Migration: The New World an California: Sage Publications. 33. Maffei, Barbara O. 1978. The sistance programs. Committee Census and Population of the Service, U.S. House of Repre

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

32. MacLeod, Betty, G. S. Shakeel

- 34. Man, Peter Jic-Leung and Ja
- tions for Oregon and Its Coun CPRC-Series P-2, No. 2. Port Research and Census, Portlar
- Michigan, State of. 1973. Popi a Housing Market System. Housing Development Author
- Morrison, Peter A. 1971. De Manual for Estimating and teristics. Report R-618-HUL Communication
- Morrison, Peter A. 1977. Fore overview. Pages 3-13 in Pop Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak J
- Newling, B. 1968. Population New York: City College of N
- New York, Only Conege Control of Agriculture). 1974. OBERS U.S. Seven volumes. Wash Connect Conne Council
- Pittinger, Donald B. 1974.
 York State Demographic Albany, New York: Data an Services
- Pittinger, Donald B. 1974. tion rate distributions. J Planners 40: 278-283.
- 42. Pittinger, Donald B. 1976. . Cambridge, Massachusetts
- 43. Pittinger, Donald B. 1978
- ning an assessment of the Health Planning, 3 (Januar

Krueckeberg, and R. Mautner. 1973. ojections for Minor Civil Divisions: ser's Manual. New Brunswick, New licy Research, Rutgers University.

tion projections for the counties of r each year 1970 through 1990. Work-Michigan: Michigan Department of

k E. Cohan. 1974. Population Mobility rends, Prospects, and Policies. Min-Midwest Council.

osef Perry. 1962. A short method for age from one decennial census to December): 163-70.

The Urban Economies, 1985: A Multi-Forecasting Model. Lexington,

er Resources. 1976. Population and tate of Idaho. Boise. Idaho Department enter for Grants and Research, Boise

nois Population Projections (Revised punty 1970-2025. Springfield, Illinois et.

de for Local Area Population Projec the Census, Technical Paper 39 ernment Printing Office.

of the cohort-component method in mall areas. Pages 37-48 in *Population* as. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak Ridge

evelopment and Testing of a Township em for the State of Illinois. Springfield, ntal Projection Agency.

The accuracy of population projections urnal of the American Institute of

, ruce D. Phillips 1977. Economic based aper presented at a Conference on ic Methods of Projecting Population, n Statistical Association, Alexandria,

abor-market models. Pages 49-58 in - Small Areas Oak Ridge, Tennessee: rersities

- 32. MacLeod, Betty, G. S. Shakeel, and R. G. Wolfe. 1976. Searching for a description of net internal migration among counties of Ontario. Pages 38-62 in (A. Richmond and D. Kubat, eds.) Internal Migration: The New World and the Third World. Beverly Hills, California: Sage Publications.
- 33. Maffei, Barbara O. 1978. The use of population data in federal assistance programs. Committee Print No. 95-16, Subcommittee on Census and Population of the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, U.S. House of Representatives. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 34. Man, Peter Jic-Leung and James Weiss. 1976. Population Projections for Oregon and Its Counties 1975-2000. Population Bulletin, CPRC-Series P-2, No. 2. Portland, Oregon: Center for Population Research and Census, Portland State University.
- Michigan, State of, 1973. Population module. Chpt. 4 in Design for a Housing Market System. Lansing, Michigan: Michigan State Housing Development Authority.
- Morrison, Peter A. 1971. Demographic Information for Cities: A Manual for Estimating and Projecting Local Population Characteristics. Report R-618-HUD. Santa Monica, California: Rand Corporation.
- Morrison, Peter A. 1977. Forecasting population of small areas: an overview. Pages 3-13 in *Population Forecasting for Small Areas*. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak Ridge Associated Universities.
- Newling, B. 1968. Population Projections for New Jersey to 2000. New York: City College of New York.
- OBERS (U.S. Department of Commerce and U.S. Department of Agriculture). 1974. OBERS Projections: Economic Activity in the U.S. Seven volumes. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Water Resources Council.
- O. Pittinger, Donald B. 1974. Technical Supplement to 1974 New York State Demographic Projections: Detailed Methodology. Albany, New York: Data and Systems Bureau, Office of Planning Services.
- Pittinger, Donald B. 1974. A typology of age-specific net migration rate distributions. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 40: 278-283.
- Pittinger, Donald B. 1976. Projecting State and Local Populations Cambridge, Massachusetts: Ballenger Publishing Co.
- Pittinger, Donald B. 1978. Population forecasts for health planning: an assessment of the state of the art. American Journal of Health Planning, 3 (January): 14-17.
- 44. Pollard, Forrest H. 1973. Arkansas Population Projections, 1970-1990 By Planning and Development District. Little Rock: Industrial Research and Extension Center, University of Arkansas.

- Pollard, Forrest. 1973. Arkansas Population Projections, 1970-1980, by County. Research Memorandum 56. Little Rock: Industrial Research and Extension Center, University of Arkansas.
- 46. Price, Daniel O. 1977. Population projections and migration. Paper presented at a conference on Economic and Demographic Methods of Projecting Population, sponsored by the American Statistical Association, Alexandria, Virginia.
- Renshaw, Vernon, John Zipay, and Duane Hackmann. Nebraska Population Projections State, County, Region, and Town 1975-2020. Lincoln: State Office of Planning and Programming.
- Rosen, Laurence S. 1979. Population Change in the Upper Peninsula. Michigan State Economic Record 21 (June): 3ff.
- Rosen, Laurence S. and Nancy Hammond. 1978. Population Projections for Michigan to the Year 2000: Summary Report, State, Regions, Counties. (ISD Population Series 1978-1). Lansing, Michigan: Michigan Department of Management and Budget.
- Shryock, Henry S., Jacob S. Siegel, and Associates. 1971. Population projections. Chpt. 24 in *The Methods and Materials of Demography*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.
- Sonenblum, Sidney. 1967. The Uses and Development of Regional Projections. Los Angeles: Institute of Government and Public Affairs. UCLA.
- Symanski, Chester F. 1975. Rhode Island Population Projections by County, City, and Town. Technical Paper No. 25. Providence, Rhode Island Statewide Planning Program.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1966. Current Population Reports. Series P-25, No. 326. Illustrative Projections of the Population of States: 1970 to 1985. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1970. Current Population Reports. Series P-23, No. 31. Use of Social Security's Continuous Work History Sample for Population Estimation. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Current Population Reports. Series P-25, No. 701. Gross Migration by County: 1965 to 1970. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1977. Current Population Reports. Series P-25, No. 704. Projection of the Population of the United States: 1977 to 2050. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1973. Current Population Reports. Series P-26, No. 21. Federal-State Cooperative Program for Local Population Estimates: Test Results—April 1, 1970. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

 U.S. Bureau of the Census. I Series P.26, No. 76-22. Estima Counties and Metropolitan A 1976 (Provisional). Washington

- Office. 59. U.S. Department of Health, E Elderly Population: Estimates tion No. (OHD) 78-20248. W
- inghouse on Aging. 60. Westoff, Charles. 1978. Marrie tries. Scientific American, 239
- tries. Scientific American, 239
 61. Westoff, Charles. 1979. The Demographics. 1 (February): 1
- Wilkinson, Karen. 1979. Use departments. Lansing, Michi ment of Management and Bud
 - 63. Wisconsin, State of. 1975. Third edition. Madison: Burea

Arkansas Population Projections arch Memorandum 56. Little Rock: In nsion Center, University of Arkansas. pulation projections and migration. rence on Economic and Demographic ulation, sponsored by the American candria, Virginia.

ay, and Duane Hackmann. Nebraska tate, County, Region, and Town office of Planning and Programming.

Population Change in the Upper Economic Record 21 (June): 3ff.

Economic Record 21 (June 8 and ncy Hammond, 1978, Population Pro-2 Year 2000; Summary Report, State, Population Series 1978-1). Lansing, ment of Management and Budget.

Siegel, and Associates. 1971. Popula-in *The Methods and Materials of* D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce,

he Uses and Development of Regional stitute of Government and Public Af-

Rhode Island Population Projections Technical Paper No. 25. Providence, nning Program.

s. 1966. Current Population Reports. rative Projections of the Population of ngton, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing

s 1970. Current Population Reports iocial Security's Continuous Work His-n Estimation. Washington, DC: US e.

e. IS 1977. Current Population Reports. Migration by County: 1965 to 1970 emment Printing Office. IS 1977. Current Population Reports. setion of the Population of the United ington, DC: U.S. Government Printing

15. 1973. Current Population Reports L-State Cooperative Program for Local Results—April 1, 1970. Washington, nting Office.

POPULATION PROJECTION PROBLEMS

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1976. Current Population Reports. Series P-26, No. 76-22. Estimates of the Population of Michigan Counties and Metropolitan Areas July 1, 1975 (Revised) and 1976 (Provisional). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. 1978. The Elderly Population: Estimates by County 1976. DHEW publica-tion No. (OHD) 78-20248. Washington, D.C. National Clearinghouse on Aging.
- 60. Westoff, Charles. 1978. Marriage and fertility in developed countries. Scientific American, 239 (December): 51-57.
 61. Westoff, Charles. 1979. The decline of fertility. American Demographics. 1 (February): 16-19.
- Wilkinson, Karen. 1979. Use of population figures by Michigan departments. Lansing, Michigan: Office of the Budget, Depart-ment of Management and Budget, unpublished manuscript.
 Wisconsin, State of. 1975. Wisconsin Population Projections. Third edition. Madison: Bureau of State Planning.

0

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Peter A. Morrison is Director of the Population Research Center, The Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California.

John R. Borchert is Professor of Geography at the University of Minnesota, former Urban Research Director of the Upper Midwest Economic Study, and the former president of The Association of American Geographers.

Calvin L. Beale is head of the Population Studies Group of the Economic and Statistics Service in the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

Glenn V. Fuguitt is Professor of Rural Sociology and is in the Center of Demography and Ecology, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Ralph R. Widner is President, Academy for Contemporary Problems, Columbus, Ohio and Professor of City and Regional Planning and of Public Administration, The Ohio State University.

Richard W. Buxbaum is Assistant Vice President, Academy for Contemporary Problems, Columbus, Ohio.

Andrew J. Sofranko is Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

James D. Williams is Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology and Anthropology, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.

Frederick C. Fliegel is Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

Richard E. Lonsdale is Professor, Department of Geography, University of Nebraska, Lincoln.

David Berry is with Abt Associates, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Alvin D. Sokolow is Associate Director, Institute of Governmental Affairs, and Associate Professor of Political Science, University of California, Davis.

Laurence S. Rosen is Senior Demographer for the Michigan Department of Management and Budget, Lansing, and Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.

Curtis C. Roseman is Associate Professor, Department of Geography, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.

The North Central Regional Center for Rural Development 108 Curtiss Hall, Iowà State University Ames, Iowa 50011

Participating Universities

University of Illinois Iowa State University Kansas State University Michigan State University University of Minnesota University of Missouri University of Nebraska North Dakota State University Ohio State University Purdue University South Dakota State University University of Wisconsin

