INDEX

DISBURSEMENTS STATE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.

189.	State University
190.	State College
191.	State Teachers' College
192.	College for the Blind at Vinton

COMPARATIVE STATISTICAL TABLES.

308

193.	Collections, warrants issued and redeemed since 1906
101	Property of taxes from counties since 1893
194.	Receipts and disbursements of state revenue since 1846
195.	Receipts and disbursements of state revenue and the state 1004 202
196.	Receipts of interest on daily balances by months since May, 1904302
107	State debt January 1st of each year since 1847
191.	Taxable value of all property by classes and tax levies since 1887305
198.	Taxable value of all property by classes and tax refies sheet and

REPORT

OF THE

Secretary of State

TO THE

GOVERNOR OF IOWA

OF THE

TRANSACTIONS OF THE LAND DEPARTMENT

July 1, 1910, to June 30, 1912

W. C. HAYWARD, Secretary of State

DES MOINES ENORY H. ENGLISH, STATE PRINTER 1912

REPORT

OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE, Des Moines, Iowa.

To His Excellency, B. F. Carroll, Governor of Iowa:

SIR—In compliance with the provisions of section 122 of the Supplement to the code, I have the honor to submit the following report of the transactions of the Land Department during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912.

During this period the United States, through its proper officers, patented to the state forty (40) acres of swamp land located in Pocahontas county, which was in turn patented by the state to that county. The state received no other land during the past two years from the federal government.

The following table shows the number of acres of the various classes of lands patented by the state during the biennial period:

	Acres
Sixteenth section grant	362.50
Five hundred thousand acre grant	460.42
Mortgage school land	95.00°
University land	40.00
Swamp land "in place"	40.00
Meandered lakes and lake beds	55.49
Under acts quieting title	311.00†
- Total	1,364.41

The following table shows the number of acres which remained unpatented and unsold at the close of the biennial period:

*And several lots in Johnsonsport, Allamakee county; east ½ of two lots in H. J. Rowland's sub-division of nw ne sw sec. 2-99-23, in Winnebago county; and an undivided 1-3 of 35 acres in sec. 1-65-5 in Lee county. îAnd lot 6, block 96, of Iowa City.

Character of Land	Unpatented acres	Unsold acres
Sixteenth section grant. Five hundred thousand are grant. Chiversity land. University land (donated and mortgage). Agricultural (donated and mortgage). Agricultural college land. Abandond river channels, islands, etc Swamp land Railroad land (number of acres unpatented cannot be estimated).	12,043,735 6,369,260 754,670 1,809,990 500,000 299,480 4,324,714	573,310 1,089.990 560.000 229.480 4,324.714
Total	26,091.849	6,777.494

There have been numerous requests made during the biennial period for certificates on the railroad lands originally granted under the act of Congress, approved May 15, 1856, to the Dubuque and Pacific Railroad Company and to the Iowa Central Air Line Railroad Company, in accordance with the provisions of section 82 of the code, 1897, in order to clear up the titles to lands which had been deeded by those companies. This section provides for issuance of certificates to those railroad companies, or to individuals claiming title through them, which complied with the terms of their respective grants. Neither of these two companies complied with the terms of their respective grants, though the Dubuque and Pacific Railroad Company partially did so and earned some lands, all of which were, according to the records of this department, certified to the company.

By the terms of the act of Congress, as construed by the United States supreme court, these companies were each entitled to select and own one hundred twenty sections of land outright, before entering upon the construction of their lines of road. It is as to these 120 sections that this department is unable to issue certificates. In the first place there appears to be no provision in the law for issuing such certificates; secondly, this department has no accurate list of the lands selected and claimed by either of these two companies, upon which certificates could be issued if there were a proper provision of the law.

We have received, without expense to the state, from the Iowa Railroad Land Company of Cedar Rapids, a list of the lands deeded by the Iowa Central Air Line Railroad Company, taken from the books of that company some years ago, which is un-

doubtedly a correct list of the lands claimed by that company, under the 120 section clause of the grant. This will have to be checked with the records of the counties in which the lands are situated, and with the records of the General Land Office at Washington, and properly certified, before it can be used as a basis for certificates. There is no appropriation out of which this expense can be met.

Because of the necessity of securing accurate lists of these lands before the titles can be perfected in the present owners, and believing that the state should make possible the perfecting of their titles, I would respectfully recommend that the general assembly should make such appropriation and pass such acts as may be applicable to that end.

There appears to have never been an index covering the patent records of the lots in the plat of Iowa City, and as there are frequent calls for certified copies of these patents, a tract index, giving reference to the names of patentees, dates of patents and the volume and page of the record, is now being prepared, which will be bound and filed in the Land Department with the other records.

The fees received by the land department during the biennial period were as follows:

> For certificates and certified copics......\$ 976.15 From sale of meandered lakes and lake beds. 2,774,50 From sale of school land under provisions of chapter 223, acts 34th G. A. 80.00

Total\$3,830.65

All of which have been paid into the state treasury as required by law

Very respectfully,

W.C. Kayward Secretary of State.

SCHOOL LANDS

The school lands of the state of Iowa consist of the sixteenth section in every congressional township, or lands in lieu thereof, granted to the state by act of congress, approved March 3, 1845; the lands acquired by the state under the act of congress, approved September 4, 1841, known as the "500,000 Acre Grant," and the lands called the "Mortgage School Lands," the latter being the lands the state has acquired under foreclosure of mortgages given to secure loans of the school fund in the several counties.

The proceeds of the sales of lands acquired under the "Sixteenth Section Grant," and the "500,000 Acre Grant," together with five per cent. on the sales of the public lands within the state, granted by act of congress, and the proceeds of the sale of intestate estates which escheat to the state, constitute the permanent school fund of the state of Iowa, the interest of which is used for the support of the common schools.

In the report of this department for the biennial period ending June 30, 1901, was contained a summary of the acts of congress and of the general assembly relative to the school lands. As there are no more copies of that report for distribution it has been thought best to republish a summary in this report, with such additions as may be thought of interest to the public.

SIXTEENTH SECTION GRANT.

The sixteenth section in every congressional township in the state, or other land in lieu thereof where said section or a part thereof had been otherwise disposed of, was granted to the state by the act of congress approved March 3, 1845. The state came into possession of these lands upon her admission into the Union, December 28, 1846.

The first general assembly, by the act approved February 25, 1847, provided for the sale of the sixteenth section lands and placed them under the control of the school fund commissioners of the several counties in connection with the township trustees. The office of school-fund commissioner was abolished by an act of the seventh general assembly, approved March 23, 1858, and these lands were then placed under the control of

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

the county judge, in connection with the township trustees. The eighth general assembly, by an act approved April 3, 1860, gave the control and management of these lands to the boards of supervisors of the respective counties, and the township trustees, to be sold by the clerk of the district court. The office of county auditor was created by the act of the twelfth general assembly, approved April 7, 1868, and that officer was authorized to perform all the duties in respect to the school lands theretofore performed by the clerk of the district court. No changes of any importance have been made since this act relative to the control and management of the school lands.

About 1,014,331.05 acres of land were acquired by the state under the sixteenth section grant, of which there are still unpatented about 12,-042.735 acres.

FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND ACRE GRANT.

Congress, by the act approved September 4, 1841, granted each new state that should be admitted into the Union, upon such admission, so much lands for internal improvements as would make 500,000 acres, including such quantity as had been granted to such state before its admission while under territorial government; the land to be selected and located as the legislatures of the respective states should direct.

The state of Iowa was admitted into the union with a proviso in her constitution diverting these lands from the purposes of internal improvements to the support of the common schools. Congress, by the act of admission, approved December 28, 1846, expressly gave consent to such diversion.

The first general assembly of the state of Iowa, by the act approved February 25, 1847, (chapter 111), attempted to provide for the selection of these lands as follows: "Any person capable of contracting, having settied upon public lands, the quality whereof and the improvements thereon will, in the opinion of the fund commissioner of the county, render the selection a safe and profitable one, may in writing signify to said fund commissioner of the county wherein the land is situated, his or her desire to have the same recognized as school land, and thereupon the same, not exceeding three hundred and twenty acres, shall be returned by said fund commissioner, with the date of their selection, to the superintendent of public instruction, to be by him registered as lands selected by the state under the grant from congress referred to."

This act did not meet the requirements of selection of the total amount allotted, and the second general assembly by act approved January 15, 1849 (chapter 123), appointed John M. Whitaker of Van Buren county, William H. Morrison of Dubuque county and Robert Brown of Jefferson county "to select the remainder of the five hundred thousand acres of land granted to the state of Iowa, upon the admission of said state into the union, under the 8th section of the act of congress of September 4, 1841"; further providing that said Whitaker was to select lands in the Fairfield land district, Morrison in the Dubuque district and Brown in the Iowa City district.

This act also provided that the lands approved to the state under this grant should be sold by the school fund commissioners under the supervision of the superintendent of public instruction. The act approved January 25, 1855, withdrew the lands from the supervision of the superintendent of public instruction, and authorized school-fund commissioners to sell them. The act of March 23, 1858, abolished the office of schoolfund commissioner, and ampowered the county judge, in connection with the township trustees, to control and sell the sixteenth section lands, but made no mention of the 500,000 acre lands. This was remedied by the next general assembly by the act approved April 3, 1860, which gave control of both the sixteenth section and the 500,000 acre grant lands to the boards of supervisors, and provided for their sale by the clerk of the district court. The act of April 7, 1868, turning over the clerk's duties to the county auditor, applied to the 500,000 acre lands, as well as the sixteenth section lands as noted above. Sections 2840 to 2843 of the Code of Iowa, 1897, now govern the sale and control of the school lands,

There were selected, in all, 535,473.54 acres under this grant, or 35,473.54 acres in excess of the amount specified in the grant. The state was finally permitted to retain this excess by allowing the general government to retain, with the consent of the state and the Des Moines Valley Railroad Company (the beneficiary of the Des Moines River grant), an equal quantity of the Des Moines river indemnity lands due the state, under the act of congress approved July 12, 1862, the state paying the railroad company \$1.25\$ per acre for said lands.

The 35,473.54 acres in excess of the grant included the 12,813.51 acres of land lying in Hamilton and Webster counties known as the "Des Moines river school lands." The selections of lands in the said counties were approved by the commissioner of the general land office February 20, 1851. Afterwards, when the government authorities decided that the Des Moines river grant extended above the Raccoon fork, these lands were set apart and approved to the state under said grant on December 30, 1853. Previous to this action, however, the state, through the school-fund commissioner of Webster county, had disposed of over 3,000 acres of these lands.

The action of the secretary of the interior in approving the lands as a part of the Des Moines river grant was disapproved by a subsequent secretary of the interior under date of February 28, 1865, and on May 28, 1866, the lands were affirmed by the said secretary as inuring to the state under the original approval as a part of the 500,000 acre grant dated February 20, 1851. Since that time the lands have been treated as a part of said grant.

Governor Lowe, acting in accordance with the approval of the lands under the Des Moines river grant, bearing date of December 30, 1853, deeded the 12,813.51 acres to the Des Moines Navigation & Railroad Company. For the relief of the purchasers of these lands from the schoolfund commissioner of Webster county, the eighth general assembly passed

8

.

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

an act, approved April 2, 1860, providing that upon application therefor, and the proper showing, any purchaser of said lands should be entitled to draw from the treasury the amount of money paid, principal and interest, on the contract for the purchase of the lands from the school-fund commissioner, with interest at the rate of ten per centum per annum from the time it was paid. Practically all of the claims against the state on account of the sales of these lands have been paid.

The state, through its proper officers, tried to obtain possession of these lands after the re-approval of May 28, 1866, but the Des Moines Navigation & Railroad Company refused to yield possession to the state. Since then the title of the railroad company to said lands under the deed issued by Governor Lowe, May 3, 1858, has been sustained by the courts.

Of the 535,473.54 acres of land acquired by the state under the 500,000 acre grant, there were still unpatented at the close of the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, 6,369.26 acres.

THE MORTGAGE SCHOOL LANDS.

The mortgage school lands are the lands acquired by the state under the foreclosure of mortgages given to secure loans of the school fund in the several counties of the state. They were constituted a new class of school lands by an act of the ninth general assembly, approved April 8, 1862, and were to be disposed of in the same manner as other school lands. It is impossible to give an estimate of the quantity of lands obtained by the state under the foreclosure of mortgages, as the state land office has never been furnished with complete reports of the lands so acquired.

According to the reports of the county auditors, there are at this time no unsold school lands of either the sixteenth section or 500,000 acre grants; the number of acres still unpatented is shown in the tables immediately following.

SIXTEENTH SECTION GRANT.

TABLE NO. 1.

Giving the total number of acres in each county acquired by the state under the grant: the total number of acres patented; the number of acres patented during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, and the number of acres remaining unpatented.

Counties	Total number of acres in each county	Total number of acre- patented	Number of acrespatent- ed during the last two years	Number of acres re- maining unpatented
Adair	10,240.00	10.040.00	1	
Adams	7,680.00	10,240.00		
Allamakee		7,680.00		440.00
Appenoose	11,848.79 10,240,00	11,674,90		173.89
Audubon	7.680.00	9,880.00		360.00
Benton	12,653.03	7,680.00	00 50	
Black Hawk		12,575.90	32.50	77.18
	10,083.47	9,967.245		114.225
Boone	10,235.80	10,185.80		50,00
Bremer	7,680.00	7,660.00		20.00
Buchanan	10,240.00	10,200.00		40,00
Buena Vista	10.040.80	10,040,80		
Butler	10,240.00	10,080.00		160.00
Calhoun	10,240.00	10,240.00		
Carroll	10,240.00	10,240.00		
Cass	10,240.00	70,080.00		160.00
Cedar	10,240.00	10,040.00		200.00
Cerro Gordo	10,169.38	10,169.38		
Cherokee	10,240.00	10,940,00		
Chickasaw	7.680.00	7,640.00		40,00
Clarke	7,680.00	7.680.00		
Clay	10,230.92	10,150.12		80.00
Clayton	14,215.17	13,832.66		382.51
Clinton	13,081.50	12,446.57		634.93
Crawford	12,800.00	12,800.00		
Dallas	10,240.00	10,190.06		50.00
Davis	10,029.46	9,909,46		120.00
Decatur	10,240.00	10,120.00	40.00	120.00
Delaware	10,208.98	10,208.98		
Des Moines	8,229.37	7,134.28		1,095.09
Dickinson	6,791.85	6,791.85		
Dubuque	11,324.00	11,244.00		80.00
Emmet	7,552.89	7,462.89		90,00
Fayette	12,800.00	12,800.00		
Floyd	7,680.00	7,520.00		160.00
Franklin	10,240.00	10,080.00		160.00
Fremont	10,240.00	9,858.48		381.52
Greene	10,240.00	10,240,00		
Grundy	8,960.00	8,880.00		80,00
Guthrie	10,240.00	10,000.00		240.00
Hamilton	10,222.00	10,142.00		80.00
Hancock	10,240.00	10,160.00		80.00
Hardin	10,240.00	10,160.00		80,00
Harrison	12,494,64	11,752.37		742.27
Henry	7,680.00	7,460.00		220.00
Howard	10.940.00	10,080.68		159.32
Humboldt	7,620.65	7,620.65	160,00	
Ida	7,680.00	7,680,00		
Towa	10,181.38	9,901.38		280.00
Jackson	11,529.47	11,406.35		123.12
Jasper	12,800.00	12,800.00		
Jefferson	7,680.00	7,080.00		
Johnson	10,842.16	10,822.16		20.00
Jones	10,211.30	9,561.30		650.00
Keokuk	10,240.00	10,080.00		160.00
Rossuth	17,920.00	17,760.00		160.00
Lee	9,862.35	9,762.35		100.00
Linn	12,737.13	12,620,80		116.33
THEN	12,101.10	\$ 2,020,000		

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

TABLE NO. 1-Continued.

nenen militaria (m. 1997). A second a s A second a	an and the system of the second se			a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
Counties	Total number of acres in each county	fotal number of acres patented	Number of acres patent- ed during the last two years	Number of acres re- maining un- patented
5	Tota	Tota of pa	Nun ac ed ye	Nun ac me
oulsa	7,443.60	7,423.60	Ĭ	20.00
UC86	7.680.00	7,680,00		
von	11,441.86	11,441,86		
adison	10,240,00	10,240,00		
ahaska	10,207.07	10,127.07		80,00
arion	10.240.00	9,880.00		360.00
arshall	10,240.00	10,220.00		20,00
Ills successive and a second	8,000.00	7,880.00		120.00
tehell	10,240.00	9,800.00		440.00
onona	13,051.90	12,970.50	40.00	181.40
onroe	7,680,00	7.640.00	30.00	40.00
ontgomery	7,680.00	7.680.00		
uscatine	8,222.73	7,907,73	80.00	315.00
Brien	10,240.00	10,240.00	00.00	010101
ceola	7,680.00	7,680,00		
ige	10,240.00			100.00
ilo Alto	10,173.53	10,173.53		20010-
ymouth	15,686.25	15,686,25		
eahontas	9,891.75	9,891,75		
	10,153.83	9,963,83		190.00
olk	17,658.46	17,518.46		140.00
weshlek	10,240.00	9,960.00		280.00
nggold	10,240.00	10,230.00		10.00
ic	10,240.00	10,240.00		10.00
ott	8.633.64	8,503,64		1.80.00
ielby	10.240.00	10,240,00		100.00
oux	14,116.07	14,116.07		
Orv	10,240.00	10,200.00		40.00
uma	10,240.00	12,800.00		40.00
avior	10,240.00	10,240.00		
alon	7,680.00	7,675.00		5.00
in Buren	8,891.12	8,891.12		0.00
apello	7,581,93	7,461.93		120.00
arren	10.240.00	10.160.00		80.00
ashington	10,240,00	10,140.00		100.00
ayne association and a second and a second as a second	10,240.00	9,830,00		410.00
ebster	12.676.00	12,516.00		160.00
/innebago	7,680.00	7,600.00		80.00
/inneshiek	12,800.00	12,760.00		40.00
oodbury	15,680.00	15,120,00		560.00
Vorth	7,680.00	7,680,00		505.00
Wright	9,997.54	9,917.54		80.00
Totals	1,013,823.77	1,001,780.035	362.50	12,043.73

SIXTEENTH SECTION GRANT-LANDS PATENTED.

TABLE NO. 2.

Giving a description of the Sixteenth Section Grant patented during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, with the names of patentees and counties in which the lands are situated.

							فىمەسە مەسەرە
Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Name of Patentee	Date of	Patent
Benton County- NW, frl. ‡ of NW, ‡	16	85	9	32.50'	Mel Mather	July	1, 191
Black Hawk County-	16	89	13	10.00	J. C. Hubbard	October	23, 191
Decatur County- NW. 1 of SE. 1	16	68	27	40,00	W. W. Wiley	July	27, 191
Humboldt County- SW. 4 of	16	91	30	160.00	Andrew Telfer	May	23, 191
Monona County- SW. 1 of NW. 1	16	83	43	40.00	J. F. McCall	March	26, 191
Muscatine County- Lot 1 (E. 1 NE. 1)	16	77	4	80.00	Robt. H. McFarland	October	2, 19

FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND ACRE GRANT.

TABLE NO. 3.

Cliving the total number of acres in each county acquired by the state under the grant; the total number of acres patented; the number of acres patented during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, and the number of acres remaining unpatented.

n an				
	Total number of acres in each county	Number of acres patent- ed to June 30, 1912	31	umber of acres re- maining un- patented June 30, 1912
	ă a a	e e	. e 50	. n 61
	8.2	ate	olo	0 - 22 -
A	a e o	10-M	LAER	1 L L L L L D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Counties	C 2 C	0000	Ly 138	60.09
	a a o	au	amber acres p ad dur last tw years	lmbe acres main paten June
	eact	Number acresp. ed to Ju 30, 1912	Number of acres paten ed during last two years	Number of acres re- maining patented June 30,
	Ĕ	z	Z	ž
and a second the second se				
Adair	2,391,89	2,391.89		
Adams	1,920,00	1,905.00		15.00
Allantakée	70,211,03	69,436.72	32.62	774.31
Аррапоове	2,400.00	2,320.00		80.00
Benton	31,791.80	31,514.60		277.20
Black Hawk	8,382.84	8,382.84		
soone	1,052.12	1,052.1?		
tremer	19,160.57	18,840.57	80.00	320.00
Juchanan	2,485.44	2,405.44		80,00
Sutler	478.51	478.51		
Sedar	6,285,42	6,285.42		
hiekasaw	3,279.26	3,079.26		200.00
Olarke	16,009.00	15,849.00	40.00	160.00
Mayton	22,808.12	21,687.30	67.80	1,120.82
Winton	20,935.70	20,833.94		101.76
Jullas	13,699.16	18,699.16		
Javis	934.95	934.95		
)eentur	40,637.48	40,892.97	160.00	244.51
)elaware	11,395.12	11,395.12		
Dubuane	16,194.87	15,934.87		260.00
ayette	30,747.85	30,507.85		240.00
Moyd and a second and a second s	3,481.68	3,401.68		80.00
Hamilton	10,314.40	10,314.40		
[Inroln	1,360.00	1,360.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Inrison	7,581.67	7,581.67		120.00
0WA	23,976.17	23,856.17		120.00
(nekson	807.50	807.50		
108per - aucossissionical and an and a second secon	1,674.94	1,674.94 30,068.33		399,19
Tones	30,462.52	670.64		399.19
Kéokuk	670.64 11,046.07	10,914.47		131.60
(JMR	640.00	640.00		101.00
	640.00	640.00		
Madison accordences of the second sec	9,386.02	9,306.02	80.00	80.00
Mahaska and a second	9,227.75	9,227.75	00.00	
Marion	1,414.61	1,414.61		
Marshall	6,155,86	6,155.86		
Monroe	986.57	986.57		
Muscutine	357.33	357.33		
Polk	2,425,62	2,425.62		
Poweshiek and a second s	12,715.24	12,453.48		261.76
Ringgold	607.20	602.20		5.00
Story	3,796.74	3,716.74		80.00
Tama	11,650,44	11,006.85		643.59
Willon, issues and a second	10,738.07	10,523.07		215,00
Wapello	7,002.42	6,962.42		40.00
Warren andered	5,643.97	5,643.97		
Wayne wardswardswardswardswardswardswardswards	15,546.91	15,397.02		149.89
[Webster]	18,064.06	17,966.93		97.13
Winneshick	24,447.06	24,254.56		192.50
Totals	536,022.59	529,653.33	460.42	6,369.26
	1 000,022,000	020,000.00	400.42	0,309.26

*Iuchides 3,653.02 acres known as Des Moines River School Lands. Huchides 9,160.49 acres, Des Moines Biver School Lands.

FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND ACRE GRANT. LANDS PATENTED.

TABLE NO. 4.

Giving a description of the 500,000 acre school lands patented during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, with the names of patentees and counties in which the lands are situated.

Parts of Section	Section	Lown	Range	Acres	Name of Patentee	Date of	Patent
Allamakee County- Lot 4	10	96	3	32,62	Sarah J. Ross	Oct.	16, 1911
Bremer County- W.1 of SW.1	20	91	13	80.00	John Pattee	April	16, 1912
Clarke County- NE.1 of NW.1	17	71	27	40.00	John B. Stateler	Feb.	13, 1911
Clayton County- W. frl. 1 of NW. frl. 1	2	91	3	67.80	Amos Palmer	_ March	6, 1911
Decatur County	13 9	67 70	24 26	\$0.00 \$0.00	John A. Duncan William Craft	Dec. Dec.	9, 1910 9, 1910
Madison County- E.1 of SW.1	22	74	29	80.00	C. S. Wilson and B F. Murray	Mareh	30, 191

MORTGAGE SCHOOL LANDS.

TABLE NO. 5.

Giving a description of the lands bid in by the state upon foreclosure of loans made from the school fund, which have been patented during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, with the names of patentees and dates of patents.

Parts of Section	Section	Тоwп	Range	Acres	Name of Patentee	Date of Patent;
Allamakee County- Lot 116 of Johnsonsport, situated on Government Lot 3, in section- Undivided one-half of Lots 20, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 54, 56, 58, 60 and 62 of Johnsonsport, situated on Government Lot 1, in	15	96	3		George Boardman	July 27, 1910
section Hamilton County W.1 NW.1 and NW.1 SW.1.	15	96 87	3		George Boardman	
Lee County— An undivided one-third inter- est in following described land, to-wit: Commencing at the se corner of swå of see 1, tp. 65, n of r. 5 west, th. w on s line of sd. ¹ / ₄ see. to sw eor. of sd. qr. 11 ch. 42 lks., th. e parallel to s line of sd. qr. 30 chs. 50 lks., th. s 10 chs. 92 lks., th., parallel to s line of sd. qr. East 9 chs. 50 lks., th. s 50 lks. to beg.			20	120,00	H. E. Dally	
Lucas County- S.3 of SE.4 of NF.4 S.4 of SW.4 of NW.4, W.4 of S.4 of N.4 of SW.4 of	10	73	22	30.00		
NW.1 of see.	77	78 73	22 22	$25.00 \\ 40.00$	E. B. Cummings	March 29, 1912 March 29, 1912
Winnebago County- E.4 of Lots 27 and 28 in H. J. Rowland's Sub-division of NW.4 NE.4 SW.4 of sec. 2-09-23, and a tract of land, beg, at ne. cor. of said lot 28, th. E. 2 rds., S. 6 rds., W. 2 rds., N. 6 rds. to beg., all in town of Lake Mills					W. S. Wadsworth	*

UNSOLD SCHOOL LANDS.

The two parcels of land reported as unsold in the last biennial report, in Hancock and Monona counties, are reported by the county auditors as sold, from which it appears that there is now no unsold school land, of the 16th section and 500,000 acre grants.

TABLE NO. 6.

The following lots, taken under foreclosure of mortgages prior to January 1, 1874, for the use of the school fund, were reported as unsold at the close of the biennial period ending June 30, 1912.

County	Number of Lot	No. of block	Town
Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee Allamakee	Undivided % of Lot 6	7 10 22 23 26 27 28 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 30 33	Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Capoli Johnsonsport

THE UNIVERSITY LANDS

The University lands consist of lands granted to the state by acts of congress, approved July 20, 1840, and March 3, 1845, known as the "University Grant"; also lands acquired by the state under the "Saline Land Grant," under the act of congress, approved March 3, 1845; also lands obtained by donation and the foreclosure of mortgages given to secure loans of the university funds.

UNIVERSITY GRANT.

The act of congress, approved July 20, 1840, authorized the secretary of the treasury to set apart and reserve, within the territory of Iowa, a quantity of land not to exceed two entire townships for the support of a university when the territory should become a state. The act of congress, approved March 3, 1845, again granted these lands to the state of Iowa to be appropriated for such university in such manner as the legislature of the state might prescribe, and fixed the quantity at seventy-two sections of land. Under the grant seventy sections of land, containing 45,928.84 acres, were certified to the state.

These lands were, by law, first placed under the control and management of the board of trustees of the university; later under the control of the board of regents when that board was created, and are now under the control of the State Board of Education.

THE SALINE LAND GRANT.

By an act approved March 3, 1845, congress granted to the state of lowa, under certain restrictions, the use of the salt springs therein, not to exceed twelve in number, with six sections of land contiguous to each. By an act approved May 27, 1852, congress granted these salt springs and lands to the state in fee simple, to be disposed of as the legislature should direct. These lands, embracing seventy-two sections, containing 46,202.53 acres, were certified to the state December 19, 1856.

The act of the first general assembly of the state of Iowa, approved February 24, 1847, authorized the governor to appoint an agent to select the salt springs and the six sections of land contiguous to each. The legislature, by an act approved February 5, 1851, provided that these lands should be sold and the proceeds were to constitute a fund for the founding and supporting of a lunatic asylum. There appears to have been no sales under this act. Several additional acts were passed by succeeding legislatures, providing for the sale and disposition of the saline lands, but it appears that no sales were made under any of these acts. An act of the eighth general assembly, approved April 2, 1860, appropriated the saline lands and funds to the state university of Iowa. The tenth general assembly passed an act, approved March 25, 1864, authorizing the trustees of the state university to sell the saline lands, and placing the proceeds from the sales thereof under the control of said trustees,

The thirteenth general assembly passed an act, approved April 11, 1870, placing the saline lands under the control of the board of regents of the state university, and the thirty-third general assembly, by act approved March 29, 1909, abolished the board of regents and created the state board of education which took over all the duties and powers formerly held by the regents.

During the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, the following tract of University land was patented by the state:

Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	Date of Patent
(Hardin county). NE.2 of SW.1	5	88	19	40.00	John Peterie	June 26, 191

UNIVERSITY LAND GRANT.

TABLE NO. 7.

Giving the total actual number of acres in each county approved to the state under the grant; total number of acres patented by the state; the number of acres remaining unpatiented, and number of acres remaining unsold at the close of the biennial period ending June 30, 1912.

Marine Revenue Arrent Concerned and Concerned

Counties	Total number of acres in each county	Total number of acres patented	Acres remain- ing unpatent- ed	Acres remain- ing unsold
Appanoose (640.00	640,00		
Boone	2,613.48	2,613.48		
Jallas	572.07	572.07		والمحاصر والمستند المسالم المراجع المسالم المراجع
avis	1,297.36	1,257.36	40.00	40.00
ceatur	2,560.00	2,560.00		
Iardin	10,325.72	10,145.72	180.00	140.00
DWA	646.65	605.68	40.97	40.97
asper	4,611.35	4,611.35		
efferson	1,280.00	1,280.00		272.34
ucas	4,545.44	4,273.10	272.34	272.34
olk	5,194.13	5,194.13		
cott	645.16	645.16		
nion	5,221.40	5,080.04	141.36	
Mon Vapello	638.20	638.20		
Varren	1,920.00 3,218.00	1,920.00 3,138.00	80.00	80.03
Total	45,928,96	45,174.29	754.67	573.31

SALINE LAND GRANT.

Counties	Total number of acres in each county	Number of acres patent- ed	Number of acres remain- ing unpatent- ed	Acres remain- ing unsold
ippancose Javis Jecatur Joeanur Jones Jonroe Jan Buren Vayne	$\begin{array}{c} 12,964.68\\ 640.00\\ 2,560.00\\ 25,802.98\\ 1,120.00\\ 640.00\\ 2,490.79\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,594.69\\ 600.00\\ 2,400.00\\ 25,562.98\\ 1,120.00\\ 640.00\\ 2,490.79\end{array}$	1,369.99 40.00 160.00 240.00	809.99 40.00 160.00 80.00
Total.	46,218.45	44,408.46	1,809.99	1,089.9

UNSOLD UNIVERSITY LANDS.

The following descriptive lists of the unsold university lands at the close of the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, was kindly furnished by the state board of education, being compiled by J. W. Bowdish under supervision of Daniel A. Emery, Secretary.

UNIVERSITY LAND GRANT-LANDS UNSOLD.

TABLE	NO.

91.

Parts of Section,	Section	Town	Range	Acres	County
VE of NW.	31	70	15	40.00	Davis
	5	88	19	40.00	Hardin
SE. of NW.	8	88	19	40.00	Hardin
E. half of SE. of NE.	8	88	19	20.00	Hardin
SE. of NE.	9	86	19	40.00	Hardin
W. of NW.	5	81	12	40.97	Iowa
IP fr conster of NE	5	71	23	47.98	Lucas
SW fri quarter of NE.	5	71	23	48.05	Lucas
the consister of NW	5	71	23	48.12	Lucas
NW, frl. quarter of NW.	5	71	23	48.19	Lucas
SW of NW	5	71	23	40.00	Lucas
SW. of SW.	9	71	23	40.00	Lucas
NE. of NE.	28	77	24	40.00	Warren
SE. of SE.	28	77	24	40.00	Warren
Total	1	1	1	573.31	1

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

SALINE LAND GRANT-LANDS UNSOLD.

TABLE NO, 10.

Parts of Section	Section	Section Town	Range	Acres	Count	
of NE.	21	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of SE.	91	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
, of NE.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
. of NE.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of NE.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of NE.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of NW.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of NW.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of SW.	10	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of SE.	5)	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of SE.	9	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of SP.	- 9	70	16	40.00	Appanoose	
of NE.	1	69	17	40.00	Appanoose	
of NE.	1	69	17	40.00	Appanoose	
of SE.	1	69	17	40.00	Appanoose	
of NE.	22	70	17	40.00	Appanoose	
of SE.	26	70	17	40.00	Appanoose	
. of NW.	31	70	16	44.30	Appanoose	
of SE.	25	70	17	40.00	Appanoose	
of NW	1	69	17	45.69	Appanoose	
of SE,	10	70	12	40.00	Davis	
of SE	28	69	24	40.00	Decatur	
of SW.	28	69	24	40.00	Decatur	
, of SE.	33	69	24	40.00	Decatur	
of SE.	83	69 71	24	40.00	Decatur	
. of SE	29		21	40.00	Lucas	
of NE.	15	71	21	40.00	Lucas	
l'otal				1089.99		

LANDS DONATED TO STATE UNIVERSITY—LANDS UNSOLD.

TABLE NO. 11.

Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	County
NE. of NW.	23	86	32	40,00	Calboun
SE. of SE.	14	84	38	40.00	Crawford
NW. of NE.	22	56	14	40.00	Tama
South half of	30	95	35	320.00	Clay
Total				440.00	

LAND DEPARTMENT

LANDS ACQUIRED BY FORECLOSURE-LANDS UNSOLD.

And a second state of the		1	1	1	a dealerstanders for a state and an end of the state of t
Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	County
SE. of NW	34 34 31	79 79 79	7 7 16	40.00 40.00 40.00	Johnson Johnson Poweshiek
Total				120.00	

RECAPITULATION OF UNSOLD UNIVERSITY LANDS.

Aeres	
578.8	
University grant 1.089.99	
Carline and a second	
University grant 1,089.90 Saline grant 440.0	}
Danks Brand Street Stre	
Saline grant 440.0 Donated lands 120.0	<u>)</u>
Dollarood talaas allore allo	
By foreclosure	-
	2
2,225.0	1
A service to suppold	
Aggregate unsold2,223.3	

THE AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE LANDS

The agricultural college lands consist of lands acquired by the state under the act of congress, approved July 2, 1862, known as the "Agricultural College Grant", also lands acquired by the state under the "Five Section Grant," made by the act of congress approved March 9, 1845; also lands acquired by purchase, by donation and by foreclosure of mortgages given to secure loans of the college funds.

AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE GRANT.

TABLE NO. 13.

Giving the total actual number of acres in each county acquired by the state under the grant as shown by the official plats of the townships; the total number of acres patented by the state, and the number of acres remaining unpatented at the close of the biennial period ending June 30, 1912.

Countles	Total number acres in each county	Total number acres patent- ed to June 30, 1912	Number of acres remain- ing un ratent- ed
Buena Vista Caliboun Cherokee Clay Dickinson Greene Hamilton Hamilton Hamilton Paio Aito Pirmouth Pirmouth Pirmouth Story Stor	$\begin{array}{c} 5,837.58\\ 3,068.86\\ 2,249,62\\ 8,719,42\\ 4,984.95\\ 16,732.43\\ 4,178.65\\ 2,481.50\\ 3,063.13\\ 8,322.87\\ 84,108.29\\ 1,120.00\\ 1,600.00\\ 1,600.00\\ 27,723.11\\ 3,842.00\\ 3,549.04\\ 640.00\\ 1,280.00\\ 3,249,75\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,837.58\\ 3,008.85\\ 2,249.62\\ 8,719.42\\ 4,984.95\\ 16,732.43\\ 4,478.65\\ 2,481.50\\ 3,063.13\\ 8,228.87\\ 83,268.81\\ 1,120.00\\ 1,600.00\\ 27,723.11\\ 3,842.60\\ 3,549.04\\ 640.00\\ 1,220.00\\ 3,249.75\\ \end{array}$	*229.48
Winnebago Woodbury	2,429.75 10,103.46 196.56 4,645.45 204,222.99	2,429.75 10,103.46 196.56 4,645.45 203,993.51	*229.48

"The NW, quarter of 30:97:25 and the S. half of NE. of 29:95-30, containing 229.48 acres, were approved to the state under this grant. The same tracts were also patented to the state under the swamp land grant and disposed of by the state as swamp lands.

THE SWAMP LANDS

Since the date of the swamp land grant, the state selected about 4, 572,816.27 acres of swamp lands. The department of the interior has held that a large amount of the lands embraced in these selections was not of the character defined and granted by the act of 1850. The state has acquired 873,776.42 acres of swamp lands in place, and 321,976.98 acres of indemnity swamp lands; and has received cash indemnity for about 471, 072.64 acres. The state has received in lands and cash only about 1,666. 826.04 acres out of the 4,572,816.27 acres selected.

The following table shows the status of the swamp land grant accounted to the state by the government.

 Total quantity of swamp lands in place and swamp land cash and land indemnity in Iowa, selected, approved and patented, from September 28, 1850, to June 30, 1912.

	Acres.
Selected	.4,572,936.29
Approved-Lands in place	. 944,578.84
Patented-Lands in place	. 873,776.42
Approved-Cash indemnity (\$587,477.50) c	n
basis of	. 471,072.64
Approved-Land indemnity	. 341,632.97
Patented-Indemnity lands	. 321,976.98

2. Total quantity of swamp land selections remaining unadjusted on June 30, 1912:

SWAMP LANDS PATENTED.

During the biennial period ending June 30, 1912, there has been but one patent issued by the government to the state for swamp and overflowed lands, covering forty acres in Pocahontas county, which have been by the state patented to said county. The following is a descriptive statement of the land so patented.

Nw¼ of ne¼, section 7, twp. 90, N. R. 34; dated April 4, 1912.

SWAMP LAND GRANT.

Congress, by the act approved September 28, 1850, granted to the state of Arkansas and other states all the swamp and overflowed lands within their limits, made unfit thereby for cultivation, which remained unsold at that date, and provided that the secretary of the interior should make accurate lists and plats of such lands, transmit them to the governors of the respective states, and upon the request of said governors issue patents therefor; the patents to vest in the states the fee simple title to said lands subject to the disposal of the legislatures of said states. This act further provided that the lands and proceeds thereof were to be applied to the reclaiming of said lands by levees and drains. The act also defined the said lands to be all legal subdivisions of the public lands the greater part of which were wet and unfit for cultivation.

The department of the interior decided originally that this act was not a present grant, and that it did not apply to the land until it was selected and reported to the proper officer for approval, and that the title thereto did not vest in the state until the patent was issued. On December 23, 1851, however, he reversed his decision and held that this act was a grant *in praesenti*. This was the correct construction, according to decisions of the courts, and the act at the time of its passage conveyed to the states all the lands coming within the terms of the grant.

Although this decision was made, the locating and selling of the public lands continued at the various government offices in the state in the usual way. Thousands of acres of land were located and entered upon by settlers which were afterwards claimed by the state as swamp lands. The government having parted with her title to the lands under the grant, should not have attempted to dispose of them a second time. The situation was clarified by the act of congress, approved March 2, 1855, providing that purchasers of the swamp lands from the government should have patents for them, and that the state should receive the purchase money for such tracts as were entered with cash, and, for such lands as had been located with warrant or scrp, should be authorized to locate a like amount on any of the public lands subject to entry at \$1.25 per acre or less, and receive patents therefor. Congress passed an act, approved March 3, 1857, continuing in force the act of March 2, 1855 to that date, and confirming all selections of swamp and overflowed lands that had been made and reported to the commissioner of the general land office. and also providing that they should be approved and patented to the state. except such tracts as had been disposed of for cash, warrant, or scrip.

By the act approved March 2, 1860, congress limited the time for selecting the swamp and overflowed lands to two years from the adjournment of the next legislature after said act, as to lands that had already been surveyed, and as to lands that had not been surveyed placed the time limit to within two years from the adjournment of the legislature after the secretary of the interior had notified the governor of the state that the surveys had been completed. The following is a synopsis of the legislation of the state relative to the Swamp Land Grant:

The third general assembly passed an act. approved February 5, 1851, authorizing the commissioner of the state land office to take such steps as he should think necessary to secure the swamp and overflowed lands to the state; to sell the same, and, after defraying the expenses of selecting and reclaiming, to pay the balance into the state treasury. The act also authorized the governor to discharge the duties of the commissioner until such commissioner could be elected and qualified.

The fourth general assembly, by an act approved January 12, 1853, granted these lands to the several counties, and provided that the counties should carry out the provisions of the grant relative to the protection and reclamation of the swamp lands. The same general assembly passed an act, approved January 24, 1853, providing that the selecting agent should report to the secretary of state and that the secretary of state should forward the said report to the surveyor general.

The fifth general assembly passed an act, approved January 25, 1855, authorizing the governor to draw from the United States the swamp indemnity money, and also to take such steps as he thought best to secure the swamp lands to the state. Another act passed by the same general assembly and approved the same day, provided that the swamp lands in the unorganized counties should not be disposed of until title was perfected in the state; when the title was perfected it would then be transferred to such counties provided they refund to the state the expenses of selecting such lands. The act also authorized organized counties to apply the proceeds of irreclaimable lands to the erection of public buildings, that the drainage commissioner in such cases should not be sold for less than \$1.25 per acre.

Another act approved the 25th of January, 1855, provided for preventing waste or trespass on swamp lands.

The act approved July 15, 1856, provided that swamp land funds should be paid into the county treasury, and were to be paid out only on the order of the county judge and swamp land commissioner. The act also provided for the loaning of the swamp land fund.

The sixth general assembly passed an act, approved January 24, 1857. repealing all laws granting pre-emption rights on swamp lands.

By an act, approved January 27, 1858, the general assembly authorized the governor to appoint an agent to go to Washington for the purpose of effecting a settlement of the swamp land matters with the United States, also to appoint two more agents to complete selections in unorganized counties. The act further provided for the expenses and for refunding the same to the state with interest.

The same general assembly passed another act, approved March 22. 1858, authorizing the counties to use the proceeds of the swamp lands for the erection of buildings for educational purposes, and building roads, bridges, and railroads, after the question had been voted on by the people;

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

also providing that the lands might be sold for the purposes mentioned, the purchaser taking them on the conditions of the grant of September 28, 1850, and releasing the state and county from all liability.

Another act, passed by the same general assembly and approved the same day, extended the time for proving up and perfecting pre-emptions to persons who had valid claims on September 5, 1857.

The act approved April 2, 1860, amended the act approved January 25, 1855, relating to trespass and waste on the swamp lands of the state.

The eighth general assembly assembled in extra session, also passed an act, approved May 28, 1861, giving control of the swamp lands in the several counties of the state to the board of supervisors.

The act, approved 1862, amended the act approved March 22, 1858, by further giving the county authorities power to devote the proceeds of these lands to the permanent school fund.

The act of April 8, 1862, provided for the appointment of general agents by the governor to settle swamp land matters with the commissioner of the general land office, fixed their compensation and the method of paying same by the counties; provided for the reception and location of swamp land indemnity scrip; required the locating agent to report to state land office; provided for appointment of special county agents to settle with the commissioner of the general land office, and authorized them to receive the proceeds of such settlement for their respective counties, the costs and expenses to be paid by the counties; provided that the swamp land indemnity money when received should be paid into the state treasury and only paid out to the authorized agent of the county.

The tenth general assembly passed an act, approved March 22, 1864, which provided that the board of supervisors might have the swamp lands appraised, and that they might sell the same at public or private sale for not less than the appraisement.

The act, approved March 30, 1866, appointed Hon. Josiah A. Harvey a commissioner to adjust the swamp land matters with the general government, compensation to be \$2.00 per day and expenses.

The act of April 7, 1868, increased the compensation of Mr. Harvey to \$5.00 per day and expenses, and provided for the filling of the vacancy should the position from any cause become vacant. Mr. Harvey made two reports to the governor while acting as such commissioner, the last one under date of March 14, 1872. He resigned the office, and was succeeded by John Cleghorn, who entered upon the discharge of the duties of the office, May 28, 1872, and held the same until it was abolished by the act approved March 18, 1874.

The seventeenth general assembly passed an act, approved March 25, 1878, authorizing the state treasurer to pay over the swamp land indemnity fund of each county to the county treasurer, and to take receipts therefor. The act also authorized the board of supervisors to make such disposition of said money as should be just and for the best interests of the county. The nineteenth general assembly passed an act. approved March 25, 1882, authorizing the boards of supervisors of the various counties to sell the indemnity swamp land to the highest bidder where the title to the same was vested in said counties.

The twenty-fourth general assembly passed an act amending the act of the nineteenth general assembly providing for the sale of the indemnity swamp lands at public sale.

The twenty-eighth general assembly passed an act, approved April 6, 1900, repealing section 9 of the acts of the ninth general assembly, chapter 160, which provided for the appointment of an agent by the county receiving swamp land indemnily money who should go to Des Moines and obtain the same; the later act directed the state treasurer to pay such money directly to the treasurer of such county and prescribed the procedure to be followed.

The act of congress, approved September 28, 1850, making the swamp land grant, required the secretary of the interior to make out lists and plats of the swamp and overflowed lands in the various states, and transmit them to the respective governors thereof. The secretary of the interior did not furnish such lists and plats for Iowa. He permitted the state through its agents to ascertain in the field which were the swamp and overflowed lands. The selections for Iowa were made by these agents who were appointed by the governor. They followed the forms and instructions provided by the secretary of the interior, and forwarded the lists of selections to said department. While some of the lists were awaiting the action of the department, the commissioner of the general land office, on June 23, 1860, changed the forms and instructions relative to the preparing and certification of said lists. As a result of this order the selections of several of the counties of the state were rejected. The state contended that the lists were prepared and certified in good faith according to the forms and instructions of the department at the date they were filed, but the commissioner of the general land office insisted that the lists should be changed so as to conform with the requirements of his order of June 23, 1860, before the department would consider them again. This was a matter of contention between the state and the government for several years. Congress finally settled the controversy by passing an act, approved March 5, 1872, which provided that the commissioner of the general land office should receive and examine the selections of swamp lands in the said counties and allow or disallow the selections according to the acts of congress in relation thereto at the time such selections were made.

The agents appointed to make the selections of swamp and overflowed lands within the state selected many tracts which were within the limits of the railroad grants. These tracts of land were claimed by the railroad companies under their grants, and they succeeded in getting the commissioner of the general land office to certify most of the disputed tracts of land to the state for the aid of their respective roads. The commissioner acted in accordance with the decision of the secretary of the interior, given February 8, 1860. This decision required the commissioner to determine from the records and files of the general land office whether

these lands passed to the state under the swamp land grant or not; in other words, if the original field notes of survey filed in said land office showed the said lands to be swampy, they were to be certified as swamp lands; if not, then they were to be certified as railroad lands. The state protested against this action, but to no effect. The state contended for many years that this was unfair, owing to the loose and careless way in which the said surveys were made in the western states. The commissioner of the general land office, however, continued to certify tracts of land, selected as swamp land, to the state under the railroad grants. There were over 500,000 acres of such land certified under the railroad grants.

THE RAILROAD LANDS

The railroad lands of lowa consist of all lands granted by the various acts of congress to aid in the construction of certain railroads in the state of lowa. Lands which inured to the state under these grants have either been certified or patented to the state by the proper government officials, and in turn were granted to the railroad companies entitled thereto by the general assembly of Iowa.

The lands inuring to the railroad companies under the act of congress, approved June 2, 1864, were certified and approved direct to said companies by the commissioner of the general land office and secretary of the interior. Certified copies of lists of lands approved under this act are of record in the state land office.

The biennial report of this department for the period ending June 30, 1908, contained a complete list of all the acts of congress and of all the acts of the general assembly of Iowa relative to the railroad grants. There are still on hand copies of that report which may be obtained on application.

The railroad land grants have been practically all adjusted by the department of the interior; those not yet approved have been awaiting decision of conflicts with other grants.

The Iowa Central Air Line Railroad Company was granted a large amount of land, under the act of Congress approved May 15, 1856, upon complying with the terms of that act and of the act of the general assembly. Because of the failure of that company to comply with the terms of the acts mentioned, the general assembly resumed all the rights granted to the company, including the title to all lands granted except 120 sections. The United States supreme court held, in a case involving some of these lands, that under the terms of the grant the railroad company was entitled to absolute title to 120 sections of land before beginning any work upon the road. The railroad company proceeded to sell some of the lands granted, though this office has not yet been able to procure an authentic list of the 120 sections claimed by the railroad. A list of lands in Woodbury and Monona counties has been furnished by the Iowa Railroad Land Company of Cedar Rapids, which was secured from the books of the railroad company some years ago, which probably contains a complete list of the lands claimed by the company under the grant of the 120 sections. This list shows the description of the several parcels of land, to whom deeded by the company and date of sale. If the com-

8

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

pany deeded not over 120 sections of land contained in the approved lists under their grant, and this list checks with the records of the recorders offices of Woodbury and Monona counties, it may be presumed that these are the lands that they selected under the 120 section clause of the grant and that the title to these lands was vested in the railroad company.

All of these lands were included in the original approved lists of lands granted to this company. The recorders of Woodbury and Monona counties have checked these lists with the records of their offices and have reported to this department.

The lists are appended hereto.

32

Under the grant to the Dubuque & Pacific Railroad Company, they were also entitled to 120 sections of land, before beginning work on the road. So far this department has been unable to secure an authentic list of the lands selected and claimed by that company under the 120 section clause of the grant.

As neither of these two companies complied with the terms of their respective grants, though the Dubuque & Pacific Railroad Company did comply partially and did earn a large amount of land, this department has had to refuse many times to furnish a certificate of ownership as called for in section 82 of the Code of Iowa, 1897, in cases where the railroad companies had failed to have certificates issued and deeded land to individual purchasers without them. The owners of these lands have imperfect titles, so far as the records of this office are concerned, and the titles will be imperfect until such time as it is possible to confirm in some way the transfer of title in these lands from the United States and the State of lowa to the railroad companies.

TRANSCRIPT OF LANDS SOLD BY THE IOWA CENTRAL AIR LINE RAILROAD COMPANY IN MONONA COUNTY, IOWA.

LAND DEPARTMENT

			1.70	LE NO.	14.	2 ¹
Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date	To Whom
\n	29	83	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	T. E. Walker G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth M. Courtwright G. W. Bettesworth Wm. O. Curry C. M. Reed O. Lake B. Morris
<u> </u>	33	83	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
F. 3	1	84 84	42 42	820.29 822.28	1839, NOV. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
All	5	84	42	655.32	1839, NOV. 28	M. Courtwright
	ğ	84	42	640	1831 Nov 18	Wm O Curry
A11	13	84	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	C. M. Reed
0. 1	17	84	42	320	1859, Nov. 28	O. Lake
NW. 3 NW. 3 SW. 3 NW. 3 SW. 3 SW. 4 SW. 4 SW. 3 SW. 4 SW. 3 SW. 3	17	84	42	160	1859; Nov. 28	G. Morris
NE.4 SW.4	17	84	42	40	1859, Nov. 28	O. Lake
SW 2 SW 2	17 17	84 84	42 42	40 40	1859, NOV. 28	G. Morris
SE 3 SW 3	17	84	42	40	1860 June 26	G. W. Bettesworth
All	21	84	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	M. Bishop
P 1	25	84	4?	320	1859, Nov. 28	L. G. Fisher
NW. 4	25	84	42	160	1800, Jan. 30	G. W. Bettesworth
SW. \$	25 29	84 84	42 42	160 3?0	1800, Jan. 10	O. Laké G. Morris O. Lake G. Morris G. W. Bettesworth M. Bishop L. G. Fisher G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth G. Morris
W. 1 SW. 2 M. 3	29	84	42	320	1859 Nov. 28	M. B. Pritchard
	33	84	42	640	1859. Nov. 28	Z. Moore
411	1	85	42	631.56	1859, Nov. 28	Z. Moore
N. 1 and SE. 1 NE. 1 SW. 1	5	85	42	471.80	1859, Nov. 28	M. Bishop
VE. 3 SW. 3	55	85	42	40 80	1859, Nov. 28	M. Bishop
3.4 SW.4	9	85 85	42 42	640	1803, NOV. 18 1859 Nov 28	G W Bettesworth
	13	85	42	640	1859. Nov. 28	C. M. Reed
NI	17	85	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	M. B. Pritchard
<u>\]]</u>	91	85	4?	640	1859, Nov. 28	 G. W. Bettesworth G. Mooreis M. B. Pritchard Z. Moore M. Bishop M. Bishop M. Bishop G. W. Bettesworth C. M. Reed M. B. Pritchard G. W. Bettesworth Ceo. W. Bettesworts S. N. Haight Seo. W. Betteswords
W.1	$\frac{25}{25}$	85	42	160 160	1859, Nov. 78	W. Berteswol
VFINEL	25	85 85	42 42	40	1850 Nov 28	iseo W Hetteswo
WWINEI	25	85	42	40	1859, Nov. 28	M. Bishop
NW 3 NE 3 NE 4 NW 4 NE 4 SW 3 NE 5	25	85	42	40	1859, Nov. ?8	 N. Haight N. Bishop M. B. Pritchard G. W. Bettesworth C. B. Raymond G. Morris B. Henn
SP.2 NE.2	25	85	42	40	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
2.1	99 99	85 85	42 42	320 320	1859, NOV. 28	G Morris
W. §	33	85	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	B. Henn
NW 1 SW 1 NE 1 NF 1 NW 2 NF 1 NW 2 NE 1 SE 2 NE 2 SE 3 NE 2 SE 3 NE 2	5	83	43	40	1560, Jan. 6	3. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
NE.1 NE.1	21	83	43	40	1800, Jan. 6	G. W. Petteswort
WWANE 4	23	83	43	40 40	1860, Jan. G	G. W. Betteswort
WANEA	21 21	83 83	48 43	40	1860, Jan. 0 1860, Jan. 6 1860, Mar. 12 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1850, Man 16.	G W Retterworth
2F 1	29	83	43	100	1800. Mar. 12	G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort
(1)	33	83	43	640	1859, Nov. 78	M. K. Jessup
7 1	9	84	43	320	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Betteswort
W.4 SW.3	9 9	84 84	43 43	80 40	1809, NOV. 78	G W Bettewort
W.4 SW.4	13	84	43	640	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort
5.3 NE.3	17	84	43	80	18°0, Jan. 16	G. W. Bettesworth
E.3	17	84	43	160	18°0, Jan. 16 1859, Nov. 28	
E.2 3.4 SW.4	17	84	43	03	1060 This 96	G. W. Bettesworth W. Courtwright
C. 1.	21 21	84 84	43 43	320 320	1859, Nov. 284 1859, Nov. 28	W. C. Curry
V.3	21 25	84 84	43	320	1859. Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup
0.3 V.3	55	84	43		1859, Nov. 28	W. C. Allen
	20	84	43	640	1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
W.3 NW.3	33	84	43	80	1859, Nov. 78	G. W. Betteswort
W.4 NW.4	33 33	84 84	43 43	80	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort
E.3 SE.4 W.3 SW.3	33 33	84	43	80 .	1859. Nov. 28	G. W. Betteswort G. W. Betteswort
All	1	85	43			10 Yr Westernmerick

TABLE NO. 14-Continued.

Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date	To Whom
IE & NE & IW & NE & IW & NE & IF & NE & IF & NE & IF & NE & IF & NE & IW & NE & IW & NW & IW & NW & IF & NW &	5	85	43	37.03	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
W.3 NE.3	5	85	43	36.93	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
W.4 NE.3	5	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
E.1 NE.1	5	85 85	43	40 36,83	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
E.a NW.a	5	85	43	36.73		G. W. Bettesworth
W.2 NW.2	5	85	43	40	1859 Nov 28	G. W. Bettesworth
7 1 NW 1	5	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28	G W Rettesworth
3 SW.3	5	85	43	80	1859. NOV. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
5 SW.3	5	85	43	80	1859. Nov. 28	
. <u>a</u> SE. <u>a</u>	5	85	43	80	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
5 SE.3	5	85 85	43 43	80 160	1800, Mar. 12	G. W. Bettesworth
W.3	9	80	43	160	1860, June 26	W. C. Allen G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
W 3 SF 1	9	85	43	40		G. Morris
A NE.4	9	85	43	80	1859. June 26	G. W. Bettesworth
W. ½ W. ½ SE. ½ 	9	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1860, Mar. 12	
W. 1 NW. 1	13	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1860, Mar. 12	
V. 3	17	85	43	160	1860, Jan. 16	G. W. Bettesworth
3 	17	85 85	43 43	3°0 160	1860, Jan. 16 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth T. E. Walker T. E. Walker
	21	85	43	320	1859, Nov. 28	
С. э	21	85	43	160	1859. Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup
W.1 SE.1	21	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup M. K. Jessup
W. 1 SE.	21	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup
5.3 SE.3	21	85	43	40	1860, June 26	G. W. Bettesworth
-4 NE.4	25 25	85 85	43 43	50 50	1859, Nov. 58	M. K. Jessup G. W. Bettesworth Z. Moore W. C. Curry M. Bishop
1 gw 1	25	85	43	50	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	M Bishop
A SEA	25	85	43	50		M. Courtwright
E. 1 NW.1	25	85	43	40		
E. 1 NW. 1	25	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28	T. E. Walker
E. 1 SW.1	25	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28	T. E. Walker T. E. Walker T. E. Walker T. E. Walker T. E. Walker
6.5 SW.3	25	85	48	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	T. E. Walker
W.1 0E.1	25 25	85 85	43 43	40 40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	T F Wolker
E 3 NE 1	25	85	43	40	1830, Jan. 16	G W Bettesworth
7.3 NE.3	25	85	43	40	1830, Jan. 16	G. W. Bettesworth
1	29	85	43	640	1830, Jan. 16 1859, Nov. '8	T. E. Walker
E.A	33	85	43	160	1859, Nov. 28	. Wm. Nourse
W.3	33	85	43	160	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth T. F. Walker Wm. Nourse G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
2 3 WX 2	33	85	43	80 40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth M. Bishop M. Courtwright M. Courtwright
3 NW 1	33	85	43	82.61	1859, Nov. 98	M. Courtwright
E.1 and SW.1	i	84	43	323,96	1850, Nov. 28	
4 SE.4	î	84	43	80	1859, Nov. 28	
W. SE.	1	84	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1850, Nov. 28 1850, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
1 NE.4	5	84	43	80	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
1 SF X	5	84 84	43 43	171.98 80	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright M. Courtwright G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
W.1 SW.1	5	84	43	40	1859, Nov. 28	G. W. Bettesworth
1	1	83	42	648,96	1859, Nov. 28	B. Henn M. Courtwright
	5	83	42	360	1850 Nov 'S	M. Courtwright
W.1	5	83	42	168.99	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
5.3	5	83 83	42	168.79 160	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 78	M. Bishop M. B. Pritchard
Y .3	5	83	42 42	640	1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
	13	83	42	640		C. M. Reed
2	17	83	42	640	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 29	C. M. Reed M. K. Jessup
l	21	83	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	C. M. Reed
	25	83	42	640	1859, Nov. 28	C. B. Raymond
	3	83	42	648.52	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore
F & NF &	7	88 83	42 42	648.48 40	1859, Nov. 29 1861, May 16	Jones County
W.3 NE.3	11	83	42	40	1859, Nov. 29	Mary Bishop Jones County Wm. N. Marsh
W.3 NE.3	11	83	42	40	1831. May 16	Jones County
E.4 NE.2	11	83	42	40	1861. May 16	Jones County
E. 3 NW. 3	11	83	42	40		
W.1 NW.3	11	83	42	40	1861, May 16	Jones County
1	11	83 83	42 42	40	1861, May 16 1861, May 16	Jones County M. C. Eaton Jones County G. Morris
W.1 and SE.1	11	83	42 42	40 320	1801, May 10	pours county

LAND DEPARTMENT

TABLE NO. 14-Continued.

Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date	To Whom
All NE 4 NE 4 NW 4 NW 4 NW 4 NW 4 NE 4 NW 4 N	15	83	42	640	1850, Nov. 20 1860, April 20, 1861, Mny 10, 1859, Nov. 20, 1859, Nov. 20,	
NE 3 NW 5	19 19	83 83	42	160	1860, April 20.	M. C. Faton
SWA NW 1	19	83	42	40	1861, May 16	Jones County
WW. NW.	19	83	42	43.7	1859, Nov. 29	Wm, C. Curry
SE.4 NW.4	19	83	42 42	43.5	1859, Nov. 29.	Wm. C. Curry
SE.3 SE.3	19	83	42	40	1859, Nov. 29	M. Courtwright
W.4 SE.3	19	83	42	40	1859, Nov. 29	M. Courtwright
W.4 SE.4	19	83	42	40	1859 Nov. 29	M. C. Eaton
CF 1 SW 1	19 19	83	42	40	1859, Nov 99	M. C. Eaton
W.3 SW.3	19	83 83	42 42	40	1859, Nov. 29	M. C. Faton
W.3 SW.3	19	83	42 42	43.95	1860, July 23	M. Courtwright
E.1 SW.1	19	83	42	44.13	1859, Nov. 28	B. Bagley
	23	83	42	640	1859 Nov. 28	M. C. Eaton
II	27	83	42	640	1859. Nov 20	W. C. Curry
n 11	31 35	83 83	42	648.58	1861, May 16	Jones County
n	3	84	42 42	640	1861, May 16	Jones County
E.1		84	42	049.98	1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
K. NW. A	7	84	42	40	1859 Nov 30	Jones County
Π E. § F. § W. § </td <td>11111111</td> <td>84</td> <td>42</td> <td>28.98</td> <td>Issop Nov. 29 1801 May 10 1801 <</td> <td>A. Moore</td>	11111111	84	42	28.98	Issop Nov. 29 1801 May 10 1801 <	A. Moore
E.3 NW.3	4	84 84	42	38.95	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore
W.3	÷	-84	42 42	40	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore
E.3	7	84	42	160	1859, NOV. 29 2	. Moore
1	n	84	42	640	1859 Nov. 28	H. Sherrill
······································	15 19	84 84	42	320	1859, Nov. 29	ucius G Fisher
E.4 NE.4	19	84	42 42	40	1859, Nov. 29 M	I. Courtwright
W.3 NE.1	19	84	42	40	1859, Nov. 29 []	inn County
W.4 NE.3	19	81	42	100.00	1850 Nov. 29	inn County
	19	84	42	40	1859, Nov. 28	Coht Smyth
4 SW.3	19	84	42	80.75	1859, Nov. 29 I	inn County
VA SW.1	19	84	42 42	40	1859, Nov. 29 1	ann County
.1 SW.1	19	84	42	40	1861, May 16 J	ones County
*** ***********	19	84	42	160	1859. Nov 28	ann County
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	84 84	42	640	1859, Nov. 28M	. Courtwright
	31	84	42 42	640 646.52	1859, Nov. 28 M	. Courtwright
	35	84	42	160	1859, Nov. 28 J	ames H. Sherrill
V. 4 8		84	42	160	1859 Nov 28	. C. Eaton
4 8		84	42	160	1859 Nov. 29	Marsh
	3 1		42 42	160 631.54	1859, Nov. 29 Ja	mes H. Sherrill
NE.1	7		42	80	859, Nov. 29 B	Bagley
		85	42	40	1859, Nov. 29	bas. M. Reed
1			42	640	1859, Nov. 29 G	Morris
1	9 .		12 12	640 630,76	859. Nov. 29 W	m. N. Marsh
2	3 3	85	12	320 1	850 Nov. 29 M	. Courtwright
2 2 2	3 1	85	12	160 1	859 Nov 29 W	. C. Allen
4 SE.4 22			12	40 1	859, Nov. 29W	G Fisher
3 SE 3 2			2	40 1	859, Nov. 29 Ma	ary Bishop
3 SE.3 2	3		2	40 1	859, Nov. 29 Ma	ary Bishop
4 NE.3	7 1 8	35 4	2	40 1	859 Nov. 29 L.	G. Fisher
NE.3	18	5 4	3	80 1	859, Nov. 29N	E. Gates
1 NF. 4 27			2	40 1.	859, Nov. 20 H.	Bassett
1 NW.1 27		5 4		40 1	60, Feb. 14 N.	Boardman
4 NW.4 27	8	5 4		40 14	800, Feb. 14 N.	Boardman
8W.1 27	8	5 4	2	40 18	60, Feb. 14	locardman
4 SW.4	8	5 4	2	40 18	60, Feb. 14. Jon	as Clark
1 SW.1 27	8			40 18	60, Feb. 14 Jor	as Clark*
SW.2 07	8			40 18	1859. Nov. 20	rman Boardman
27	8	5 4	8	160 18	61 May 16 Ch.	as. M. Dunbar
31	1 8	5 4		320.80 18		

* Not of record.

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

TABLE NO. 14-Continued.

Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date	To Whom
E.3	81	85	42	320	1861, May 10	Jones County
All NE.4 NE.4	35 3	85 83	42 43	640	1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
SEA NEA	3	83	43	42.29 40	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore Z. Moore
SE.4 NE.4 NW.3 NW.4 SW.4 NW.4	3 93	83	43	43.04	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore
NW.1 SW.1	3	83 83	43 43	40 40	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore Z. Moore
NW.4 SW.4 SW.1 SW.4 SW.1 NW.4	3	83	43	40	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore
All	15 31	83 83	43 43	$40 \\ 642.88$	1859, Nov. 29	F. H. Gibbs
All NW.4 NE.4	35	83	43	40	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	Chas. M. Reed M. C. Eston
SW.4 NE.4	85 85	83 83	43	40	1859. NOV. 29.	IO, Sage
NW.2 NW.3	35	83	43 43	40 40	1859, Nov. 29	Philip Deeds Philip Deeds
SW.4 NW.4 SE.4 NW.4	35	83	43	40	1859, Dec. 22	A. R. Cotton
NW.3	85 85	83 83	43 43	40 160	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Dec. 22 1859, Dec. 22 1859, Dec. 22	A. R. Cotton
Blug and and an and an and and and and and a	35	83	43	160	1859, Dec. 22	Linus Shepard
NEA SEA	8 3	84 84	43	164.92	1859, Dec. 22	Philip Deeds
N 12 3. 19 137 8.	3	84 84	43 43	160 40	1866 April 20	A. R. Cotton M. C. Eaton
SE 4 SW 4 SW 4 SW 4 NE 4 SE 4 NW 4 NE 4 SW 2 NW 4 SW 2 NW 4	3	84	43	40	1860, April 20 1860, April 20 1860, April 20 1860, April 20	M. C. Faton
NEA NEA	3 7	94 94	43 43	40 40	1860, April 20 1860, April 20 1860, April 20 1860, Aug. 53	M. C. Eaton M. Courtwright
NW.1 NE.1	7	84	43	40	1860, July 23	M. Courtwright
	7 7 7	94 84	43 43	40	1860, July 23	M. Courtwright
NW.X NW.X	7	84	43	40 38,62	1860, July 23 1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright B. Bagley
SW.1 NW.1	7	84	43	38.75	1880, July 23	M. Courtwright
SF.4 NW.4 NW.4 SW.4 SW.2 SW.4	7 7	84 84	43 43	40 38.88	1860, July 23 1860, July 23	M. Courtwright
SW.4 SW.4	7	84	43	39,01	1860, July 23	M. Courtwright
NW 3 NE 3	11 15	84 84	43 43	640 40	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	10. W. Isbell
0.0 N.E.S	15	84	43	80	1859, Nov. 29	E. W. Isbell E. W. Isbell
	15 15	84 84	48 43	320 160	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	E. W. Isbell E. W. Isbell
SE3 S.4 NW3	19	84	43	78.77	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 28	K. W. Jsbell M. Courtwright
	19 23	84	43	317.09	1859, Nov. 28	M. Courtwright
All	23	84 84	43 43	640 100	1859, Nov. 98 1859, Nov. 28	Thomas E. Walker M. K. Jessup
NW 1 NW 1	27	84	43	40	1859. Nov. 28	F. H. Gibbs
SW.4 NW.4	27 27	84 84	43 43	40 40	1899, NOV. 28	M. A. Jessup
NE.4 NW.4	27	84	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup
SE 3	27 27	84 84	43 43	160	1859, Nov. 28	M. K. Jessup
	ŝi	84	43	160 633.92	1859 Nov 20	Mary Richon
All	35 3	84 85	43	640	1859, Nov. 29	Z. Moore F. H. Gibbs M. Courtwright
All	7	85	43 43	629.00 636.00	1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	F. H. Gibbs M. Courtwright
P.A W.J	11	85	43	320	1509. NOV. 29	B. Bagley
NEA NEA	11 15	85 85	43 43	320		
SEA NEA	15	85	43	40	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 28	G. Morris
SWANEA SWANWA	15 15	85 85	43 43	40 40	1859, Nov. 28	G. Morris
Track ANY CL MANAGEMENT	15	85	43	40	1800, April 20	M. O. Eaton
SE.1	15 15	85 85	43 48	160 160	1859 Nov 98	C B Raymond
	40	85	43	034.90	1859, Nov. 28 1860, July 28	M. Courtwright
NW.4 NW.4 NE.4 NW.4 SE.3 NW.4	23 27	85	43	40	1860, April 20	M. Courtwright M. C. Faton
SE.4 NW.4	27	85	43 43	40 40	1809, Nov. 29 1859 Nov. 29	Mary Bishop Mary Bishop
	21	85	43	40	1809, NOV, 29	Mary Bishon
SE.4 SW.3 SW.4 SW.4	27 27	85 85	43 43	40 40	1859, Nov. 28	G. Morris
All E.A and SW.3	31	85	43	633.28	1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 29	Wm, C, Curry
E.4 and SW.4	35 35	85 85	43	480 80	1859, Nov. 29	Wm. C. Curry Chas. M. Reed Chas. M. Reed
					1859, Nov. 29	Chas. M. Reed
Total		.1		55,158.88		

.

LAND DEPARTMENT

TABLE NO. 14-Continued.

CORRECTED LIST.

(Cheeked by A. H. Hoffman, Recorder of Monona County.)

Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date	To Whom	
SE. ¹ / ₂ SE. ¹ / ₄ NE. ¹ / ₄ N. ³ / ₂ NE. ¹ / ₄ SW. ¹ / ₄ SW. ¹ / ₄ NW. ¹ / ₄ SE. ¹ / ₄ NW. ¹ / ₄	11 11 11 11 11 11 27	85 85 85 85 85 85	43 43 43 43 43 43 42		1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 18 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 30 1859, Nov. 30 1869, Sept. 15	B. Bagley S. S. Jones F. H. Gibbs F. H. Gibbs Deed to Clark not record. Clark en	
SE.1 NE.1	19 19	84 84	42 42		1869, Sept. 15 1869, Sept. 15	Veys same to Al- McLaughlin Feb. 1868. Deed to Robt. Smy not of record, sar land conveyed by (R. & M. R. R.	
SE 4 SE 4 W 3 SW 4 N 4 SW 4 N 5 SE 4 SW 4 SE 4 SW 4 SE 4 SW 4 SE 4 N 5 SE 4 N 5 SE 4 N 5 SE 4	85 85 85 85 85 5 5	84 84 84 84 84 84 84	42 42 42 42 42 43 43		1859, Nov. 28 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29 1859, Nov. 29	to I. R. R. L. Co Sept 15, 1869 James H. Sherrill Wm. N. Marsh Steven S. Jones Steven S. Jones Steven S. Jones Deed to Geo. W. Be tesworth not of re ord. G. W. Be	
NW.1 SW.1	5 5	84 84	43 43		1859, Nov. 29	tesworth convey same land. Same as above Same as above	

TRANSCRIPT OF LANDS SOLD BY THE IOWA CENTRAL AIR LINE RAILROAD COMPANY IN WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA.

TABLE NO. 15.

Description	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres		Date		To Whom
	Se	To	Ra	Ac	8			
	1	86	42	684,36	1859.	Nov.	28	M. Courtwright
	5	86	42	633.36	1859,	Nov.	28	N. W. Isbell
W.3 NE.4	9 13	86	42	640	1859.	NOV.	28	N W Ishell
3 SE.4	13	86 86	42 42	40	1859.	Nov.	28	Geo. W. Bettesworth Geo. W. Bettesworth M. C. Eaton
and the second	17	86	42	80 640	1859,	Nov.	28	Geo. W. Bettesworth
3	21	86	42	320	1859,	Nov.	28	M. C. Eaton
4 NE.4	21	86	42	40	1859	Nov.	28	A. W. Pratt Geo. W. Bettesworth
V.4 NE.4	21	86	42	40	1859	Nov.	28	A. W. Pratt
V.4 NE.4	21	86	42	40	1859.	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
3 NE.3	21	86	42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	Elisa Goodman
C.4 NW 1	21 29	86	42	160	1859,	Nov.	28	Elisa Goodman
V.4 NW.4	29	86 86	42 42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	M. C. Eaton
14 NW.4	29	86	42 42	40 40	1809,	NOV.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
. NW.1	29	86	42	40	1850	Nov.	28 28	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
	25	86	42	320	1859	Nov.	28	M. C. Eaton
V.A advancesitation	25	86	42	160	1859.	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
	25	86	42	40	1859.	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
1 NE.4	25	86	42	80	1859,	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
	$\frac{25}{29}$	86 86	42	40		Nov.		G. W. Bettesworth
	29	86	42 42	160	1859,	Nov.	28	M. C. Eaton
a ing. a	33	86	92 42	160 80	1839,	NOV.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
· a announcement	33	86	42	160	1850	NOV.	28	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth
12 manual and a second	1	87	42	160	1859	Nov.	28 28 28 28	3. W. Bettesworth
4 & SW.4	1	87	42	464.74	1859.	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
V.4 NE.4	5	87	42	35.64	1859,	Nov.	28	M. Courtwright
VANE 1	5	87	42	35.33				
V.4 NE.4	5 5	87	42	40	1859,	NOV.	28	W. T. Goodhue
.1 NW.1	5	87	42 42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	W. T. Goodhue
V.4 NW.4	5	87	42	$ \begin{array}{r} 85.01 \\ 84.70 \end{array} $	1859,	NOV.	28	M. Courtwright
V 4 NW 1	5	87	42	40	1950	Mour	28	M Constant at t
14 NW 4	5	87	42	40	1859	Nov	28	G W Bettesworth
	5	87	42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
VI SWI	6	87	42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	L. D. V. Mason
V.4 SW.4 V.4 SW.4 .4 SW.4	5	87	42	40	1860,	Jan.	16	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth L. D. V. Mason G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth Z. Moore
	5	87	42 42	40	1860,	Jan.	16	G. W. Bettesworth
I approximate the second	9	87	42	160 640	1860,	Jan.	16	G. W. Bettesworth
	18	87	42	640	1859	Nov.	20	G W Battagmonth
1 waaraa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ah	17	87	42	820	1859.	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth G. W. Bettesworth N. W. Isbell G. W. Bettesworth Wm. T. Goodhue F. H. Lorring
	17	87	42	320	1859,	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
W.1	21	87	42	160	1859,	Nov.	28	Wm. T. Goodhue
4	21 21	87 87	42 42	160	1859,	Nov.	28	E. H. Leaming G. W. Bettesworth O. M. Reed
-	25	87	42	320 640	1859,	Nov.	28	G. W. Bettesworth
	29	87	42	640	1850	NOV.	28	U. M. Reed
	33	87	42	640	1859	Nov.	28	M. Courtwright L. D. V. Mason
5.5	3	86	42	152,40	1861	May	16	Jones County
W .Z. munumun	3	86	42	152	1861	MAV	16 1	Jones Countr
V.4 E.4 SE.4 &W.4 SE.4	8	86	42	160	1861,	May	16	Jones County Mitchell & Pangborn Cunent & Dearborn
E.1 SE.1	3	86 86	42	120	1859,	Nov.	28	Mitchell & Panghorn
II	7	86	42	40	1859,	Nov.	28	Cunent & Dearborn
I have been to be a set of the se	11	86	42	636.76 640				
11	15	86	42	640	1850	Nov.	28	S. S. Jones M. Cortwright
a Marine in a second to be a second	19	86	42	320				
W.4 NE.3	19 23	86	42	310.61	1859	Nov.	28	S. S. Jones M. Cortwright
				40				

LAND DEPARTMENT

TABLE NO. 15-Continued.

	Section	Town- ship	Range	Acres	Date		To Whom
V.1 SW.1	23	86	42	00	1.000		
V.1 NW.1 E.1 NW.1	23	86	42	80 80	1859, Nov. 1859, Nov.	28	M. Cortwright
E.4 NW 4	23	86	42	40	1 18:01 8:00	ମ୍ବ 🌐	1 2 4
E. I NE. I	27	86	42	40	15.19 NOV		Y You man
W.4 NE.4	27 31	86	42	40	1859, Nov.	8	M. Cortwright
Wit	31	86 86	42 42	160	I DOM NOT S	30	
W.3 E.1 SW.1	31	86	42	149.13			
1.0 DW - 2	- 51	86	42	67,95	1859, Nov. 2 1859, Nov. 2	28	S. S. Jones
L.2 SW 5	31	86	42	40	1859, Nov. 2 1859, Nov. 2	8	S. S. Jones
F1. 7	31	86	42	160			
K.1 W.1	35 35	86	42	160	1859, Nov. 2	28	B. B. Hart
E.1	35	86 86	42	160	1859, Nov. 2 1859, Nov. 2	28	M. C. Eaton O. Lake
W.3	35	86	42	160			
11	0	87	42 42	160	1859, Nov. 2	8	Elijah Bueil L. D. V. Mason Chas. M. Reed
11	7	87	42	633.24 601	1859, Nov. 1	9	Chas. M. Reed
11	11	87	42	640	1050 31	0	M. Cortwright
11	15	87	42	640	1861. May 1	6	M. Cortwright L. D. V. Mason Jones County Jones County Jones County Jones County
E.§	19	87	42	160	1861. May 1	6	Jones County
W.1	19 19	87	42	144.23	1861, May 1	6	Jones County
P. 4 88 4	19	87 87	42 42	142.05	1861, May 1	6	Jones County
1.0 SE.2	19	87	42	40	1861, May 1	G	Jones County Jones County H. Randall
E.4 SE.4 E.4	19	87	42	80	1859, NOV. 1	9	H. Randall
F.4	23	87	42	1 160	1859 Nov 1	0	Jones County
W.1	23	87	42	160	1859, Nov. 1	9	Chas. M. Reed Chas. M. Reed Chas. M. Reed Chas. M. Reed
W.4 .1 SE.3	23	87	42	160	1859, Nov. 1	9	Chas. M. Reed
W.1 SE.3	23 23	87	42	80	1859, Nov. 1	9	Chas. M. Reed
E.1 SE.1	23	87	42	40 40	1000 11		Unus, M. Reed
	27	87	42	640	1859, Nov. 1	9	Ed. Mendell S. S. Jones W. T. Goodhue W. T. Goodhue W. T. Goodhue E. Loaming
E.A NE.A	31	87	42	40	1859. Nov 1	0	S. S. Jones
W.Z AF.S	31	87	42	40	1859, Nov. 1	9	W. T. Goodhue
V.4 NE.4	31	87	42	40	1859, Nov. 1	9	W. T. Goodhue
E.4 NW.4	31 31	87 87	42	40	1859, Nov. 19 1859, Nov. 19		
.1 NW .1	31	87	42 42	40 66.18	1859, Nov. 19	9	Wm. T. Goodhue
.2 NW.3 E. 3 NW. 3 E. 3 SW.3	31	87	42	40	1861, May 16 1859, Nov. 19 1859, Nov. 19	0	Jones County
5.4 SW.4	31	87	42	40	1859, Nov. 19	9	W. T. Goodhue
A DW.2 manual	31	87	42	69.18	1861. May 16	6 I	W. T. Goodhue Jones County
5.4 SW.4	31	87	42	40	1859 Nov 10	0 1	W 91 Coodbuo
	31 35	87	42	160	1809, NOV. 19	9	E. Leaming
A 3.	35	87 87	42 42	320 *	1861, May 10	6	Jones County
6.1 SW.1	35	87	42	160 40	1861, May 10 1859, Nov. 11	8	Innae County
W.1 SW.1	35	87	42	40	1859 Nov 10	0	A. C. ROOU I. I. Huber
C.1 SW.1 W.1 SW.1 V.1 SW.1 L.1 SW.1	35	87	42	40	1859. Nov. 1 1859. Nov. 1 1859. Nov. 1	0	J. J. Huber
LA DW.4	85	87	42	40	1859, Nov. 19	9	A. O. Root Jones County S. S. Jones
5.7 INB.9	23	86	43	40	1861, May 16	·	Jones County
SEA	$\frac{27}{27}$	86	43	160	1859, Nov. R)	S. S. Jones
V.1 NE.1	31	86	43 43	80 40	1000, NOV. 11	2	S. S. Jones
	35	86	43	640	1859, Nov. 19 1859, Nov. 19		S. S. Jones S. S. Jones
Total							o. o. Jones

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE.

ABANDONED RIVER CHANNELS, SAND BARS OR ISLANDS

Chapter 212 of the acts of the Thirty-first General Assembly, authorizes the survey, appraisement and sale of "land between high water mark and the center of the former channel of any navigable stream, where such channel has been abandoned, so that it is no longer capable of use, and is not likely again to be used, for the purposes of navigation, and all land within such abandoned river channels, and all bars or islands in the channels of navigable streams not heretofore surveyed or platted by the United States or the state of Iowa, and all within the jurisdiction of the state of Iowa."

BRASSFIELD'S ISLAND.

In January, 1912, C. J. Hawley of Sergeant Bluff, Iowa, made application for the sale of the south end of Brassfield's Island in section two, township 87, North of range 48, West of the 5th P. M., in Woodbury county, said to contain about seven acres. On January 30, 1912, Martin Holmvig, of Sioux City, a surveyor, was appointed by the secretary of state to survey and plat the land applied for. On February 17, 1912, Mr. Holmvig filed his report of survey, together with the plat and field notes of the survey, showing 11.22 acres in the tract. On July 5, 1912, appraisers were appointed who on July 6, 1912, appraised the land at \$11.00 per acre. The sale is now pending.

COUNCIL BLUFFS LAND.

On April 14, 1904, Ches. R. Hannan, of Council Bluffs, Iowa, made application for the purchase of a certain sand bar or island in the channel of the Missouri river west of and opposite to sections ten (10) and fifteen (15) in township seventy-four (74) north, range forty-four (44) west of the 5th principal meridian, in Pottawattamic county, Iowa, and north of section sixteen (16) in said township; also all the land comprising the channel

LAND DEPARTMENT

of the Missouri river and sand bars or islands in such channel in the north part of section twenty-eight (28), township seventy-five (75) north, range forty-four (4) west of the 5th P. M., Pottawattamic county, Iowa, and all such land north and northwest of said section, being all of the land comprising the channel of the Missouri river and the islands or sand bars in such channel in the bend made by such river in the north part and north and northwest of said section on and prior to the time of the so-called cut-off in said river channel in the spring of 1877, the same being a strip of land in somewhat the shape of a horseshoe. The tracts of land covered by the application contained an estimated area of twelve hundred (1200) acres.

On May 4, 1904, the secretary of state appointed Thomas Tostevin, county surveyor of Pottawattamic county, to survey the lands covered by this application; because of his being unable to do the work at that time, L. P. Judson, surveyor, was appointed on July 19, 1904, and commenced to survey the lands July 21, 1904. On October 3, 1904, Smith McPherson, judge of the United States district court for the southern district of Iowa, ordered the issuance of temporary writs of injunction against the secretary of state and the surveyor doing anything further toward the survey, appraisement or sale of these lands. On January 13, 1905, these writs were so ordered not to file the field notes of the survey with or in the office of the secretary of state of Iowa, or with or in the office of the land commissioner of Iowa.

After the passage of the act of the 31st general assembly relating to this subject, the secretary of state received three notices of ownership of a portion of the lands covered by this application; one was filed April 10, 1906, by John A. Scott, agent and attorney for Samuel Carr, Grafton St. L. Abbott and Charles Francis Adams, and embracing practically all the lands in township seventy-five (75), north of range forty-four (44), covered by the application, except a certain right of way; the two others were filed April 13 and May 15, 1906, by the attorney for the Omaha Bridge and Terminal Railway, and embracing the right of way of said company across the lands in township seventy-five (75) north of range forty-four (44).

On April 14, 1906, a notice of injunction was served upon the secretary of state restraining him from taking any action under the new law, relative to the appraisement and sale of sald lands.

The Omaha Bridge and Terminal Railway Company, by a stipulation with the attorney general of Iowa, agreed to pay the state \$5,000 for 28.226 acres of land in which they were interested and dismiss their action in the case. The money was received by the state and patent issued to the company June 27, 1907. The other plaintiffs in the suit went to trial and a decision was rendered in the United States district court adverse to the claims of the state and Jessie W. Hannan, who was a grantee of Charles R. Hannan, the applicant for purchase of the lands from the state.

The state and Jessie W. Hannan appealed the case to the Circuit Court of Appeals, and on October 20, 1911, the decision of the United States dis-

trict court was by the Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed. The decision is reported in Vol. 191 of the Federal Reporter at page 257.

Because of the importance of this decision the full text is herewith appended.

STATE OF IOWA v. CARR et al.

HANNAN V. SAME.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. October 20, 1911.)

Nos. 2,936, 2,937.

(Syllabus by the Court.)

 NAVIGABLE WATERS (§§ 36, 44*)—LANDS UNDER WATER—ACCRETIONS— OWNERSHIP—RULES OF DECISION.

The settled decisions of the courts of a state and its laws which infringe no right secured by the Constitution of the United States, or by the general or commercial law, determine the title to the beds of navigable streams and the extent of the rights of riparian owners to accretions to their lands in that state.

2. NAVIGABLE WATERS (§ 36*)-LANDS UNDER WATER-OWNERSHIP.

It is the law of Iowa, established by uniform decisions of its highest judicial tribunal, that the title of riparian owners upon the shores of navigable streams therein extends to high-water mark only and that the state is the owner of the beds of such streams.

3. NAVIGABLE WATERS (§ 42*)-RIPARIAN RIGHTS-TITLE TO ISLANDS.

The title to an island which springs up in the bed of a navigable stream vests in the owner of that part of the bed upon which the land forms.

4. NAVIGABLE WATERS (§ 44*)-RIPARIAN RIGHTS-ACCRETION AND RELIC-TION.

The title to land which, by natural and gradual erosion from one bank of a river and gradual and natural accretion to the opposite bank, becomes attached to the latter and rises above high-water mark, vests in the owner of the latter bank, and the title to land which by gradual and natural accretion attaches itself to an island vests in the owner of the island.

5. NAVIGABLE WATERS (§ 45*)-RIPARIAN RIGHTS-AVULSION.

But, where, by an avulsion, a river suddenly abandons its former channel, and never returns to it, the titles to the islands in, to the bed, and to the banks of the abandoned channel remain fixed where they were at the time of the avulsion.

6. BOUNDARIES (§ 48*)-DETERMINATION-ACQUIESCENCE OF PARTIES.

Where the lands of respective owners adjoin, and for many years one, with the silent acquiescence of the other, has had possession and occupation to a certain line between them claiming title, these facts constitute strong evidence of the correctness of the line, and that line should be taken as the correct line in the absence of persuasive countervailing evidence.

 APPEAL AND ERROR (§ 1009*)—REVIEW—QUESTIONS OF FACT—FINDINGS BY CHANCELLOR.

When a court of equity has considered conflicting evidence and made a finding and decree, it is presumptively right, and unless some obvious error of law has intervened, or some serious mistake of fact has been made, the finding and decree must be permitted to stand.

 Equity (§ 85*)—Limitation of Actions (§ 11*)—Operation as to State or Nation.

Neither limitation nor laches founded on mere delay bars a state or the nation from maintaining suits to preserve and enforce its just rights.

9. ESTOPPEL (§ 62*)-EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL-ESTOPPEL AGAINST PUBLIC.

In a controversy between the rights of a state or nation and those of a citizen, while the state or nation is not barred by mere delay, its rights are measured and adjudicated by the doctrine of estoppel and the other principles and rules of law and equity applicable to the like rights of a citizen under similar circumstances.

10. ESTOPPEL (§ 62*)-EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL-ESTOPPEL AGAINST PUBLIC.

The equitable claims of a state or nation appeal to the conscience of a chancellor with the same, but with no greater or less, force than would those of a private citizen, and, barring the effect of mere delay, they are judicable in a court of chancery, to whose jurisdiction the state or nation voluntarily submits them, by every principle and rule of equity applicable to the rights of private citizens under like circumstances.

11. ESTOPPEL (§ 62*)-EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL-ESTOPPEL AGAINST PUBLIC-GROUNDS-TITLE TO LAND.

By an avulsion of the Missouri river whatever foundation there ever was to the claim of the state of Iowa to any land in controversy arose in 1877. It gave no notice of and took no action to enforce any such claim until 1904, when it passed an act to sell abandoned river beds and islands therein to the first applicant, and Hannan immediately applied to buy. The plaintiffs and their grantors had then been in possession of the land in controversy for more than 20 years. During this time the state had levied and collected taxes upon this land as theirs and had acquiesced in their possession, and the plaintiffs and their grantors had paid the taxes and had made costly improvements upon the land.

Held: There was no equity in the claim of the state and it was estopped from maintaining this claim by these facts. "Nothing can call a court of equity into activity but conscience, good faith and reasonable diligence." Appeal from the circuit court of the United States for the southern district of Iowa.

In Equity. Suit by Samuel Carr and others against Charles R. Hannan and others, and the state of Iowa intervenes. From a decree for complainants, intervener and Jessie W. Hannan, a grantee of defendant Charles R. Hannan, appeal. Affirmed.

Jacob Sims (H. W. Byers, Atty. Gen., on the brief), for appellants. Edgar H. Scott (Lodowick F. Crofoot, on the brief), for appellees.

Before SANBORN and VAN DEVANTER, Circuit Judges, and POL-LOCK, District Judge.

SANBORN, Circuit Judge. The state of Iowa and Jessie W. Hannan. who is the grantee of Charles R. Hannan, one of the original defendants, appeal from a decree of the circuit court which quiets the title of the complainants below to the lands which are the subject of this suit, and enjoins the defendants and the state of Iowa, which intervened in the suit. from claiming or asserting any title thereto adverse to that of the complainants and from clouding their title by surveys, reports, or conveyances. The complaint of the appellants here is that the court below failed to find that the land in controversy was an island which sprang up between 1851 and 1867 in the Iowa part of the bed of the Missouri river and accretions thereto, that it also failed to find that this land was a part of the Iowa share of the old bed of the Missouri river which was abandoned during the flood of 1877, and that, on the other hand, the court found that this land consisted of gradual and natural accretions between 1851 and 1877 to the land on the Iowa shore of the river to which the complainants and their immediate and remote grantors had held the title from the United States for many years.

The land here in controversy is a part of the bottom lands round about the Missouri river between Council Bluffs and Omaha. In 1851 that river as it came down from the north turned from its southerly course near Council Bluffs and flowed for a distance of about four miles in a westerly direction across the bottom lands between the higher banks, and then turned again toward the Gulf of Mexico and swept on southerly. In the year 1851 a survey of the land on the easterly or Iowa shore of the river was made, the meander line of that bank was run and fixed by the United States, and upon that survey the patents to the land on the Iowa side of the river were based. In 1856 a survey of the land on the west shore of the river was made by the United States, the meander line of that bank was run and fixed, and the patents to the land on the Nebraska shore were based upon the latter survey. The complainants and their immediate and remote grantors had acquired the title, patented in part by the United States and in part by the state of Iowa under a grant by the United States to that state, of all the lands material to this controversy bordering upon the river upon the east and south as it flowed when these surveys were made. Between 1851 and 1877 the river gradually washed away the sand and soil on the Iowa side and crowded its channel to the south at a point called Busha's Bend on its way across the valley, and at the same time

at a point westerly of Busha's Bend it gradually and naturally cut away the soil on the Nebraska side and moved its channel to the north until in 1877 it flowed in the form of an oxbow from Busha's Bend northerly and then westerly and then southerly around a large tract of land from 500 to 1,000 acres in extent. On July 8, 1877, during a freshet, this river cut across the neck of this oxbow, forever abandoned its old bed in that bow and flowed on to the south. There is within this oxbow a triangular tract of land of several hundred acres in extent which was not disturbed by the wanderings of the channel of this river and to which the state makes no claim. On the northerly and westerly sides of this triangular tract and within the outer line of the oxbow formed by the abandoned channel of the river lies a tract of land several hundred acres in extent which was gradually and naturally made during the years between 1851 and 1877. by the washing away of the soil and sand on the Nebraska shore of the river, and the natural and gradual accretion of sand and soil either to the Iowa shore of the river or to an island that sprang up in the Iowa part of the bed of the stream. The land in controversy is a part of this accreted tract. The complainants and their grantors had been in possession of substantially all of the land in controversy and had been paying taxes upon it to the state of Iowa and to the county in which it is situated for more than 20 years before the state or any of the defendants ever made claim to it. During this time they had spent many tens of thousands of dollars building streets, railroads, and other improvements upon it without any notice from the state or the defendants or any denial by the state or any of the defendants of their title to it. They claim title (1) by their long continuous adverse possession. (2) by the accretion of this land to the lands on the shore of the river to which they hold title from the United States, and (3) by the estoppel of the state from claiming title to this land by reason of the state's long acquiescence in their title and possession by reason of its levy and collection of taxes on this disputed land as the property of the complainants and by reason of the state's failure to give notice of its claim while they were making these expensive improvements and paying their taxes upon it. The state claims title to it (1) on the ground that it is an accretion to an island which arose between 1851 and 1867 on the Iowa part of the bed of the river and lay along the northerly and westerly side of, but separated by navigable water from, the triangular tract whose title is not challenged and (2) on the ground that this land is the Jowa part of the abandoned bed of the river. The defendant Hannan claims the preference right to purchase the title of the state by virtue of a first application to buy it and the payment of a part of the purchase price therefor by her grantor. Charles R. Hannan, in April, 1904, under chapter 185. Session Laws of Iowa for that year. If the state has no equitable title to this land superior to the equitable rights of the complainants, Hannan has none, hence the claims of the state will first be considered.

In Nebraska v. Iowa, 143 U. S. 359, 12 Sup. Ct. 396, 36 L. Ed. 186, the supreme court decided that the line between the two states was not changed by the sudden abandonment of the oxbow by the river in 1877, but that it remained the center of the old channel although there was no water in it, and pursuant to that decision the line between the states of Iowa and Ne-

braska throughout the oxbow was surveyed and established in that suit. The adjudication of that line in that case, however, is not res adjudicata in the suit in hand because the complainants were not parties to that suit.

[1] The settled decisions of the courts of a state and its laws which infringe no right secured by the constitution of the United States, or by the general or commercial law, determine the title to the beds of navigable streams and the extent of the rights of riparian owners to accretions to their lands in that state. Barney v. Keokuk, 94 U. S. 324, 338, 339, 24 L. Ed. 224; Hardin v. Jordan, 140 U. S. 371, 380, 11 Sup. Ct. 808, 838, 35 L. Ed. 428; Knight v. U. S. Land Association, 142 U. S. 161, 12 Sup. Ct. 258, 35 L. Ed. 974; Hardin v. Shedd, 190 U. S. 508, 519, 23 Sup. Ct. 685, 47 L. Ed. 1156; Harrison v. Fite, 148 Fed. 781, 783, 78 C. C. A. 447, 449; Hall v. Hobart, 186 Fed. 426, 428, 108 C. C. A. 348.

[2] It is the law of Iowa, established by uniform decisions of its highest judicial tribunal, that the title of riparian owners upon the shores of navigable streams therein extends to high-water mark only and that the state is the owner of the beds of such streams. McManus v. Carmichael, 3 Iowa, 1; Tomlin v. Dubuque R. R. Co., 32 Iowa, 106, 7 Am. Rep. 176; Barney v. Keokuk, 94 U. S. 324, 338, 339, 24 L. Ed. 224; Hardin v. Jordan, 140 U. S. 371, 380, 11 Sup. Ct. 808, 838, 35 L. Ed. 428.

[3] The title to an island which springs up in the bed of a navigable stream vests in the owner of that part of the bed upon which the land forms. Bigelow v. Hoover, 85 Iowa, 161, 52 N. W. 124, 39 Am. St. Rep. 296; Smith v. Miller, 105 Iowa, 688, 70 N. W. 123, 75 N. W. 499; Holman v. Hodges, 112 Iowa, 714, 84 N. W. 950, 58 L. R. A. 673, 84 Am. St. Rep. 367; 1 American & English Encyl. of Law (2d Ed.) 475; 3 Kerr on Real Property, 2294.

[4] The title to land which by natural and gradual erosion from one bank of a river and gradual and natural accretion to the opposite bank becomes attached to the latter and rises above high-water mark, vests in the owner of the latter bank, and the title to land which by gradual and natural accretion attaches itself to an island vests in the owner of the island. New Orleans v. United States, 10 Pet. 662, 717, 9 L. Ed. 573; Jones v. Soulard, 24 How. 41, 16 L. Ed. 604; Banks v. Ogden, 2 Wall. 57, 17 L. Ed. 818; Saulet v. Shepherd, 4 Wall. 502, 18 L. Ed. 442; County of St. Clair v. Lovingston, 23 Wall. 46, 23, L. Ed. 59; Jefferis v. East Omaha Land Co., 134 U. S. 178, 10 Sup. Ct. 518, 33 L. Ed. 872; Nebraska v. Iowa, 143 U. S. 359, 360, 12 Sup. Ct. 396, 36 L. Ed. 186; Missouri v. Nebraska, 196 U. S. 23, 35, 25 Sup. Ct. 155, 49 L. Ed. 372; Washington v. Oregon, 214 U. S. 205, 215, 29 Sup. Ct. 631, 53 L. Ed. 969; McManus v. Carmichael, 3 Iowa, 1; Tomlin v. D. B. & M. R. R. Co., 32 Iowa, 106, 7 Am. Rep. 176; Cooley v. Golden, 117 Mo. 33, 23 S. W. 100, 21 L. R. A. 300.

[5] But where, by an avulsion, a river suddenly abandons its former channel and never returns to it, the titles to the islands in, to the bed, and to the banks of the abandoned channel remain fixed where they were at the time of the avulsion. Nebraska v. Iowa, 143 U. S. 359, 361, 12 Sup. Ct. 396, 36 L. Ed. 186; Cooley v. Golden, 117 Mo. 33, 23 S. W. 100, 21 L. R. A. 300.

A very large proportion, if not all, of the land in controversy in this suit lay on the north and west of that part of the triangular tract to which the plaintiffs had title from the United States. If an island sprung up in the Iowa part of the bed of the stream between 1851 and 1867 practically all this land was an accretion to that island prior to the avulsion in 1877, and, under the rules of law which have now been stated, the property of the state of Iowa. But if there was no such island this land was an accretion to the Iowa bank, and it was the property of the plaintiffs. The great question in the case therefore was whether or not an island arose on the Iowa part of the bed of the river on the north and west of the triangular tract between 1851 and 1877, and to that issue almost exclusively the evidence, the briefs, and the arguments in the court below and in this court have been addressed.

[11] The evidence upon this issue consists of a great many maps. blueprints and photographs and of more than 700 printed pages of testimony. As usual in cases where the ownership of valuable property depends upon the location and condition of the bed of such a wandering river as the Missouri more than 35 years before the witnesses testified, this evidence is conflicting. No adequate recital of it would be permissible within the reasonable limits of an opinion of this court, and a review of it would be useless because evidence like that before us will probably never be produced in any subsequent case and the decision upon the question of fact it elucidates can never be drawn into precedent. All this evidence, all the briefs and arguments of counsel, have been patiently and deliberately examined by each of the members of this court, and it has reached the same conclusion as did the court below, that there never was an island on the Iowa part of the bed of the Missouri river between 1851 and 1877 on the north or west of the triangular tract and that the land in controversy accreted to the Iowa shore of the river by the gradual and natural deposit by the stream of sand and soil against and upon it prior to the avulsion of 1877. This conclusion disposes of the main issue in this case, the issue to which all the evidence seems to have been directed, and to which all the evidence seems to have been directed, and to which counsel for the appellants addressed nearly all their arguments and 162 out of 164 pages of their briefs.

They did, however, assert, and they still insist, that the decree below was erroneous "because by the permanent change in the channel of the Missouri river by avulsion in 1877, as set forth in the pleadings and shown by the evidence, the title to all the land in controversy between high-water mark and the center or thread of the channel, as it existed at the time, vested absolutely in the state of Iowa, and the court erred in not so finding and deciding." Conceding that the title to the land in the abandoned channel between high-water mark on the Iowa side and the middle thread of the river at the time of the avulsion was vested in the state thereby, yet the decree was right unless the proof before the court below was that the land in controversy was a part of Iowa's share of the abandoned bed.

48

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

Possession is prima facie evidence of title to real estate. The undisputed testimony that the complainants and their grantors had been in continuous possession of the land in question in this suit for more than 20 years before the state made any claim to it, that during that time the state had levied and they had paid taxes upon it as their land and had made improvements upon it at an expense of many tens of thousands of dollars, was competent, and, in the absence of countervailing evidence, adequate proof of title to the property in the complainants and of the fact that it was not a part of the state's share of the abandoned channel of the river.

[6] Moreover, the arguments and the briefs leave no doubt that a very large proportion, if not all, of the land in controversy is outside of the abandoned river channel, and this fact reduces the issue here to a mere question of the correctness of the boundary lines of the complainants' property, according to which they have held undisputed possession, paid taxes and made costly improvements claiming title with the silent acquiescence of the state for more than 20 years, and brings this portion of the case under the reasonable and salutary rule of evidence that such facts constitute strong proof of the accuracy of the boundary lines, a rule which prevails in the state of Iowa and is stated by its supreme court in these words:

"Without any reference to the doctrine of title by adverse possession, the fact that a party owning a tract of land has for many years occupied and claimed up to a particular line as the true boundary, and the owner of the adjoining tract has silently acquiesced therein, is a circumstance strongly tending to show the correctness of the claim; and in the absence of other controlling circumstances the line so indicated should be taken as the true division between the respective premises."

Corey v. City of Fort Dodge, 118 Iowa, 743, 747, 92 N. W. 704, 705 and cases there cited. In view of these facts, of this rule of law and of the evidence of title which the long-continued possession in accordance with these boundary lines produced, the burden was thrown upon the state in the court below to show where, in what respect and to what extent, if at all, these boundary lines were incorrect, and where the true lines were between the plaintiffs' land and the state's part of the abandoned channel of the river. The court below considered all the evidence in the case upon this subject, found that the state had not successfully borne this burden, that the boundary lines in accordance with which the plaintiffs and their granters had occupied and improved were the true boundary lines of their property and confirmed their title in accordance therewith.

[7] This is a suit in equity. And when a court of equity has considered conflicting evidence, and made a finding and decree, it is presumptively correct, and, unless some obvious error of law has intervened or some serious mistake of fact has been made, the finding or decree must be permitted to stand. Kimberly v. Arms, 129 U. S. 512, 9 Sup. Ct. 355, 32 L. Ed. 764: Tilghman v. Proctor, 125 U. S. 136, 8 Sup. Ct. 894, 31 L. Ed. 664; Furrer v. Ferris, 145 U. S. 132, 134, 12 Sup. Ct. 821, 36 L. Ed. 649; Warren v. Burt. 7 C. C. A. 105, 110, 58 Fed. 101, 106; Paxson v. Brown, 10 C. C. A. 135, 144, 61 Fed. 874, 879; Stuart v. Hayden, 18 C. C. A. 618, 623, 72 Fed. 402. 407; Fitchett v. Blows, 20 C. C. A. 286, 290, 74 Fed. 47, 51; Coder v. Arts. 82 C. C. A. 91, 94, 152 Fed. 943, 946, 15 L. R. A. (N. S.) 372. The burden in this court is therefore upon the state to show from the record of the evidence that the court below made a serious mistake in its finding of this issue of fact. To bear this burden it presses upon our attention a certain blueprint marked "Exhibit No. 9," the testimony of the surveyor who made it and Allen's Suburban map. Exhibit No. 9 has a line upon it which fixes, with reference to the government surveys, the boundary line throughout the oxbow between Iowa and Nebraska which was established by the decree in Nebraska v. Iowa, 143 U. S. 359, 12 Sup. Ct. 396, 36 L. Ed. 186. There are also upon this exhibit numerous lines upon each side of this boundary line drawn to portray Cut-Off Lake as it existed in 1904 when the surveyor made this map, but these lines are not fixed with reference to the lines of the government surveys, nor do they purport to represent the river or its high or low water mark in or prior to the year 1877. Moreover, Cut-Off Lake is not identical in extent with the river as it flowed around the oxbow in that year. It occupies but a part of the abandoned channel and the record does not show what part. A comparison of the boundary lines of the land in controversy which was possessed and occupied by the plaintiffs with the description of the boundary line between the states upon this Exhibit 9 discloses the fact that in some cases the boundary lines of the land in controversy coincide for different distances with this boundary line between the states, and if there was any substantial evidence where the high-water mark of the river as it flowed through the oxbow was in 1877, and that the boundary line between the states marked on this exhibit was the middle line of the stream at that time, there might be some data here from which the deduction could be justly drawn that the plaintiffs' boundary lines were incorrect and that they included some part of the Iowa part of the abandoned bed. But the surveyor who made Exhibit No. 9 testified that he made the plat and the survey for it in the year 1904, that Cut-Off Lake was in a part of the old river bed, but he nowhere indicated what part of that bed it occupied, that he did not find or locate the river or its bed in 1877, except as it was shown by this lake in 1904, that he never located the river, that he was one of the surveyors selected to locate the state boundary line in the case of Nebraska v. Iowa, that in doing so they did not look up or determine where the center line of the river had been when it ran through the oxbow, but they ran the boundary line between the states from data furnished to them from records in the courthouse in Pottawattamie county, and this line thus run was the boundary he drew and described in his blueprint Exhibit No. 9. Allen's Suburban map bears the date 1898. Neither that map nor the testimony of any witness concerning it tends to show more definitely than the evidence which has been already recited where the middle thread of the river as it flowed through the oxbow was at or prior to the avulsion in 1877. Neither of these exhibits shows, or purports to show, where the high-water mark of the river was on its Iowa side in 1877 at or prior to the avulsion, and the entire record of this case has been searched in vain for any evidence that locates it. In this state of the case there is no escape from the conclusion that the state's evidence falls far short of showing 4

that the court below made any mistake of fact, when, in view of the plaintiffs' possession for more than 20 years, and hence their presumptive title to the land in controversy, in accordance with the boundary lines they claimed, of the state's silence and acquiescence therein, of its levy and the plaintiffs' payment of taxes on the land as their own and of their costly improvement thereof during this time, it concluded that there was no evidence in this case sufficient to prove that their boundary lines were incorrect and confirmed their title.

The equity and righteousness of the result to which this study and analysis of the evidence upon the direct issues in this case leads is demonstrated by other considerations which the record forces upon our attention. The avulsion that vested in the state every claim it ever had to any land in or about the oxbow occurred about July 8, 1877. More than 26 years passed before the state took any action that indicated any intention to claim any interest therein. Meanwhile the lands in controversy were. for more than 20 years, in possession of the plaintiffs and their grantors. They built streets, railways, buildings, and other improvements upon them. The state taxed and treated them as the property of the plaintiffs. Without notice or warning on the 13th day of April, 1904, the legislature of Iowa enacted chapter 185 of the Session Laws of that year to the effect that all land between high-water mark and the center of the abandoned channel of any navigable stream, and all bars, islands, and land within such channels, should be sold to the person who should make written application therefor, should deposit 50 cents per acre, and should pay the balance of the value thereof after a survey of the land and a report of appraisers. On April 14, 1904, Charles R. Hannan made his written application to purchase of the state all the land within the outer lines of the oxbow and deposited \$1,000 in part payment of the purchase price of the tract. The state appointed L. P. Judson to survey this land, and thereafter in October, 1904, the complainants exhibited their bill in this suit against Hannan, Judson, and W. B. Martin, the secretary of the State of Iowa, to enjoin them from clouding the complainants' title to the lands in controversy by further proceedings under chapter 185. The state of Iowa was not a party to this suit and no attempt was ever made by the complainants to make it a party. On March 2, 1907, upon the application of the Attorney General of the state, the court permitted it to intervene, and thereupon it voluntarily filed its petition of intervention in which it alleged that it was the owner of the land here in controversy by virtue of its ownership of the alleged island and of its part of the abandoned river bed. It was then almost 30 years after its claim to any of this land first arose, and if it had been a private party its silence, acquiescence, and laches would undoubtedly have estopped it from asserting any claim to this land against these plaintiffs. Counsel for the appellants, however, invoke the general rule that neither by the statute of limitations, nor by laches, does mere delay bar the sovereignty from maintaining its rights or from sustaining a suit to enforce them. United States v. Insley, 130 U. S. 263, 266, 9 Sup. Ct. 485, 32 L. Ed. 968: United States v. Beebe, 127 U. S. 338, 344, 8 Sup. Ct. 1083, 32 L. Ed. 121; United States v. Winona & St. P. R. R. Co., 67 Fed. 969, 971, 15 C. C. A. 117, 119; United States v. Dalles Military Road Co.,

140 U. S. 599, 632, 11 Sup. Ct. 988, 35 L. Ed. 560; CHy of Pella v. Scholte, 24 Jowa, 283, 95 Am. Dec. 729; Davies v. Huebner, 45 Jowa, 574, 577; Manatt v. Starr, 72 Jowa, 677, 34 N. W. 784. They also contend that every sovereignty is exempted from the rule of equitable estopped.

[S, 9] But the great weight of authority, the stronger reasons and the settled rule upon this subject in the courts of the United States, is that, while mere delay does not, either by limitation or laches, of itself constitute a bar to suits and claims of a state or of the United State, yet, when a sovereignty submits itself to the jurisdiction of a court of equity and prays its aid, its claims and rights are judicable by every other principle and rule of equity applicable to the claims and rights of private parties under similar circumstances.

[10] The equitable claims of a state or of the United States appeal to the conscience of a chancellor with the same, but with no greater or less force than would those of an individual under like circumstances. United States v. Stinson, 197 U. S. 200, 204, 205, 25 Sup. Ct. 426, 49 L. Ed. 724; United States v. Detroit Timber & Lumber Co., 67 C. C. A. 1, 10, 131 Fed. 668. 677; United States v. Chicago, M. & St. P. Ry. Co. (C. C.) 172 Fed. 271, 276; United States v. Chandler-Dunbar Water Power Co., 152 Fed. 25, 26, 27, 37, 38, 40, 41, 81 C. C. A. 221, 222, 223, 233, 234, 236, 237; United States v. Stinson, 125 Fed. 907, 910, 60 C. C. A. 615, 616; Herman on Estoppel, §§ 676, 677; State of Michigan v. Jackson, L. & S. R. Co., 16 C. C. A. 345, 351, 69 Fed. 116, 122; State v. Flint & P. M. R. Co., 89 Mich. 481, 51 N. W. 103, 106; United States v. California & Oregon Land Co., 148 U. S. 31, 41, 13 Sup. Ct. 458, 37 L. Ed. 354; Carr v. United States, 98 U. S. 433, 438, 25 L. Ed. 209; United States v. Walker (C. C.) 139 Fed. 409, 411, 412. 413: United States v. Willamette Valley & C. M. Wagon Road Co. (C. C.) 55 Fed. 711, 717; Attorney General v. Central Railway Co., 68 N. J. Eq. 198, 59 Atl. 348. Thus a state is estopped from ousting a city organized under a void law after the city has been exercising its assumed powers for only four years, but has levied and collected taxes and assessments, constructed bridges and streets, and made other improvements meanwhile without protest or objection on the part of the state. State v. City of Des Moines, 96 Iowa, 521, 532, 533, 65 N. W. 818, 31 L. R. A. 186, 59 Am. St. Rep. 381. And a state is estopped from ousting a private corporation for illegality in its organization after a delay of a few years while the corporation has been exercising, without objection on the part of the state. its assumed corporate powers, has been collecting and expending money and changing its financial relations to its stockholders and creditors in reliance upon the acquiescence of the state. Commonwealth v. Bala & Bryn Mawr Turnpike Co., 153 Pa. 47, 25 Atl. 1105; State of Wisconsin v. Janesville Water Power Co., 92 Wis. 496, 66 N. W. 512, 515, 32 L. R. A. 391; State v. Lincoln Street Ry. Co., 80 Neb. 333, 114 N. W. 422, 427, 14 L. R. A. (N. S.) 336; State v. School District No. 108, 85 Minn. 230, 88 N. W. 751; Attorney General v. Delaware & Bound Brook R. R. Co., 27 N. J. Eq. 1, 24; People v. Alturas County, 6 Idaho, 418, 55 Pac. 1067, 1068, 44 L. R. A. 122; Vermont v. Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, 2 Paine (C. C.) 545, Fed. Cas. No. 16,920. According to the decisions of the highest judicial

52

tribunal of the state of Iowa a city may be estopped from claiming a street or an alley, or from maintaining the original lines thereof, by acquiescing in the possession, occupation and improvement of it, or of a part of it, by a citizen who claims title thereto by possession and estoppel only. Corey v. City of Fort Dodge, 118 Iowa, 742, 749, 92 N. W. 704. The same court holds that a like estoppel may arise against a city by its taxation of the property when the claimant in possession pays the taxes. Smith v. City of Osage, 80 Iowa, 84, 89, 45 N. W. 404, 8 L. R. A. 633; Dillon on Corporations, § 533; Audubon County v. Emigrant Co., 40 Iowa, 460; Page County v. B. & M. R. R. Co., 40 Iowa, 520; Austin v. Bremer County, 44 Iowa, 155; Adams County v. B. & M. R. R. Co., 39 Iowa, 507.

Notwithstanding these authorities the state insists that the plaintiffs may not maintain an estoppel here because the title to the land in controversy is the same as that of a certain tract of 13 acres which the East Omaha Land Company, a predecessor in interest of the complainants, claimed as an accretion to a government lot owned by it in a suit between that company and one Hansen and others which was commenced in the year 1890 and in which the ultimate decision was that this 13 acres was not such an accretion, but was an island which arose in the Iowa part of the bed of the Missouri river, and hence was not the property of the Land Company. But the claim of estoppel here is based on the tacit acquiescence of the state in the possession and claim of the plaintiffs and their grantors and on its taxation of this property as theirs. The suit and decree in Land Company v. Hansen and others was no notice that the state had not waived, and was not by its acquiescence and taxation waiving, all claim to the lands is controversy in this suit (1) because the state was not a party to that litigation, made no claim and gave no notice of any demand therein; (2) because the 13 acres involved in that suit is not any part of the subject-matter of this suit; and (3) because the evidence in this case is that there never was any island where the 13 acres are located and notice of the claim in that suit that there was such an island would have been, as the evidence now proves, notice of a baseless claim and for that reason futile.

Counsel invoke the conceded rule that there may be no estoppel of a party from asserting his titles and rights where knowledge, or the means of knowledge of them, is equally open to both parties. There are, however, two reasons why this rule is not controlling in the case in hand. In the first place the rule has an exception that the owner of a known right or title may by his representations, acts or silence so lead another to act in the belief that the owner has waived, surrendered or abandoned his right or title that he will be estopped from asserting it to the injury of him who has changed his position in reliance upon the owner's representations, acts or silence. In the second place no one had or could have had either knowledge, or means of knowledge, of the right of the state, if any, in the lands in controversy here before the final decrees of the courts upon them are rendered. Its right always depended upon the proof which would be adduced in any controlling litigation which might arise over it, first, of

LAND DEPARTMENT

the location of the middle thread of the Missouri river and its highwater mark on its Iowa side through the oxbow in 1877 prior to the avulsion, and, second, of the existence and location of one or more islands between 1851 and 1867 between the thread of the stream and the high-water mark of that river at the time the island or islands sprang up. No man could learn, foresee or foretell what the memories of witnesses that might be found and their testimony would be regarding the existence and location of such islands and lines, years before they were called to testify, in a river changing its bed and channel so constantly and notoriously as the Missouri. This condition of the river and the property and these facts render the doctrine of equitable estoppel peculiarly applicable and salutary in the case at bar. The authorities which have been already cited amply illustrate and sustain these views. United States v. Chandler-Dunbar Water Power Co., 152 Fed. 25, 26, 27, 37, 38, 40, 41, 81 C. C. A. 221, 222, 223, 233, 234, 236, 237, is very persuasive. There were islands in the Detroit river which were the property of the United States if duly surveyed and claimed by it. The United States neglected to survey and claim them, and in 1883 it issued a patent to the bank of the river which, in the absence of the title and claim of the United States, would convey to the patentee as riparian owner the bed of the stream on which the island stood and hence the islands themselves. Knowledge of the right of the United States was always equally open to all the parties in interest. The patentee and his grantee had made improvements upon the land at an expense of \$135,000 to \$150,000 on the faith of the patent and the neglect of the government to survey and claim the islands. On September 2, 1903, the government brought a suit in equity to remove the cloud of the patent from its title to the island. Jugde Severens, delivering the opinion of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, said:

"Following the ancient common-law maxim 'nullum tempus occurrit regi,' it has been settled as the rule here that the United States is not affected in respect to its pursuit of remedies by mere delay or general statutes of limitation. But when it sues in equity as a private suitor on a cause of action relating to its proprietary interests, it is held to be affected by those equities which are recognized as fundamental in controversies between private parties. And why should this not be so? It derogates from the dignity and character of the government to suppose that, formed as it is to secure impartial justice between individuals, it may nevertheless in the conduct of its own affairs, without regard to the principles it represents, perpetrate upon its citizens wrongs which it would promptly condemn if practiced by one of them upon another."

In State of Michigan v. Jackson, L. & S. R. C., 16 C. C. A. 345, 346, 350, 351, 352, 69 Fed. 116, 117, 121, 122, 123, 50,000 acres of land were granted to the state as swamp lands by the act of Congress of September 28, 1850. But these lands were subsequently certified, and finally between 1869 and 1873 erroneously patented to a railroad company in supposed execution of a grant of the United States by the act of June 3, 1856, to the state to aid in the construction of railroads. Knowledge of the title of the state to these lands was, by the acts of Congress and the public records, equally open to all parties in interest. A railroad company to whom the lands were conveyed by the patentee built the

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

54

railroad in reliance upon the title evidenced by the patents, sold a part and still held a part of the land when in 1887 the state of Michigan brought a suit in equity to remove the cloud of these patents and of the conveyances under them from its title to the land under the swamp land grant. The Court of Appeals of the Sixth Circuit dismissed the bill and said:

"The state cannot be permitted to say that it has slept during all this long period and abandoned its sovereign duties to its citizens, as well as its reciprocal moral obligations to the government which had made it so magnificent a gift. The state is not to be regarded as a mere machine, incapable of intelligence or conscience. And, while it is necessary and right to restrain or annul the unauthorized acts of its agents by which its interests might be impaired, yet there must come a time afer long-continued acquiescence in public action with knowledge of it, when, in the interest of its citizens, the state itself shall be precluded from despoiling others by the assertion of its original rights."

To the same effect on a similar state of facts was the decision of the Supreme Court of Michigan in State v. Flint & P. M. R. Co., 89 Mich. 481, 51 N. W. 103, 106.

In United States v. Walker (C. C.) 139 Fed. 409, 412, 413, 420, Walker was a United States marshal from 1889 to 1893. During this time he presented in his accounts charges for services rendered by his deputies which he and the accounting officers supposed to be lawful, but which were in fact illegal. The United States allowed and paid these charges from time to time during the four years he held his office, knowing that a large portion or all the amounts so paid to him would be immediately paid over by him to his deputies in payment of their services, as it was. Five years after his accounts had been allowed and closed and after the expiration of his term as marshal, the United States presented to the Circuit Court, a claim for the repayment of these amounts by Walker, but the court refused to sustain the claim and said:

"When the sovereign comes into court to assert a pecuniary demand against the citizen, the court has authority, and is under duty, to withhold relief to the sovereign, except upon terms which do justice to the citizen or subject, as determined by the jurisdiction of the forum in like subjectmatter between man and mun."

The state comes into this court of equity and prays its decree that the title to the land in controversy be quieted in it. Its claim originated in 1877. It never asserted or suggested it until more than 26 years thereafter, and one cannot wink so hard as not to see that it never would have asserted it if the hope of gain had not inspired Hannan, or some other speculator, to instigate and promote the demand. For more than 20 years the plaintiffs and their grantors were in undisturbed possession of this land, claiming title to it. Meanwhile they expended tens of thousands of dollars in its improvement, and the state quietly acquiesced in their property, and now by its silence, acquiescence, and taxation it is equitably estopped from taking from these plaintiffs this land and the costly improvements they and their grantors were thereby induced to make upon them.

In Smith v. Clay, 3 Brown, Ch. 639, Lord Camden said:

"Nothing can call forth this court into activity but conscience, good faith and reasonable diligence."

There is no equity in the claim of the state against the plaintiffs in this case, it does not appeal to the conscience, it is met by an equitable estoppel, it was not presented or prosecuted with reasonable diligence, and a court of equity may not sustain it.

Questions which have not been discussed in this case were presented by the briefs and arguments of counsel but the conclusions which have been reached render them immaterial.

And because the evidence in this case fails to convince that the court below fell into any error of law, or made any mistake of fact in its finding that the proof failed to establish that the land in controversy, or any part of it, was ever an island in the Missouri river or accretions to such an island, or a part of the abandoned channel of the river between the thread of the stream and high-water mark on the Iowa side in 1877, prior to the avulsion, and because by the continued adverse possession of this land by the plaintiffs and their grantors, claiming title for more than 20 years before the state made any claim to it, by the acquiescence of the state in their possession and claims and its levy and collection from them of taxes upon it as their property during this time and by the expensive improvements they made upon it in reliance upon this acquiescence and taxation, the state is now estopped from asserting title to this property in equity, the decrees must be affirmed and it is so ordered.

STATE OF IOWA v. JOHN A. CREIGHTON REAL ESTATE & TRUST CO. et al.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. October 20, 1911.)

Nos. 2,938, 2,939, 2,940, 2,941, 2,942, 2,943, 2,944, 2,945,

(Syllabus by the Court.)

ESTABLISHMENT OF BOUNDARIES-ACQUIESCENCE.

Riparian rights and estoppel of state sustained for reasons stated in the opinion in State of Iowa v. Carr. 191 Fed. 257.

Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Iowa.

In equity. Suits by the John A. Creighton Real Estate & Trust Company and others against Charles R. Hannan and others, and the state of Iowa intervenes. From decrees for complainants, Jessie W. Hannan, grantee of defendant Charles R. Hannan and the intervener appeal. Affirmed.

Jacob Sims (H. W. Byers, Atty. Gen., on the brief), for appellants. Edgar H. Scott (Lodowick F. Crofoot, on the brief), for appellees.

Before SANDBORN and VAN DEVANTER, Circuit Judges, and POLLOCK, District Judge.

SANBORN, Circuit Judge. These cases were commenced and proceeded to decrees in the same way as the case of Carr v. Hannan et al., entitled in this court. State of Iowa. Intervener. v. Samuel Carr. and Jessie W. Hannan v. Samuel Carr, 191 Fed. 257, in which the opinion has just been delivered. They involve the title to lands within the outer line of the oxbow, described in that opinion, which the Missouri river abandoned on July 8, 1877, but these lands lie north of the meander line of 1856 mentioned in that opinion. These cases present the issues whether or not an island sprang up on the Iowa part of the bed of the river where this oxbow was formed between 1851 and 1867, to which these lands accreted, and whether or not these lands were a part of Iowa's share of the channel abandoned by the avulsion of 1877, and these issues were submitted and were decided against the state and the defendants by the court below on the evidence in Carr's case and stipulations of certain facts which did not substantially vary the proof upon these issues from that received in his case. The only substantial difference between Carr's case and these is that the government title in the former, under which the complainants claimed, was to lands described in the patents according to the government survey of 1851 upon the Iowa side of the river, while in the cases now in hand the title from the government was to lands described in the patents according to the government survey in 1856 on the Nebraska side of the river. The lands here in controversy are in the northerly part of the tract inclosed by the oxbow and on the Nebraska side of the meander lines of 1851 and 1856. They are lands over which the river worked its way by the gradual degradation of the Nebraska shore and accretion to the Iowa shore between 1851 and 1877. In some of these cases the complainants hold title under patents to the government subdivisions according to the survey of the Nebraska shore, in some under conveyances from those holding patents to lands on the Iowa shore, of the lands in controversy which accreted to these Iowa lands, and in some under tax titles from the state of Iowa. This difference, however, between the original titles in these cases and those in Carr's case is not material to a decision of the cases before us, because in each of these cases, as in Carr's case, the complainants and their grantors had possession of the lands in controversy claiming title more than 20 years before the state gave notice of or made any claim to the lands, during this time they made costly improvements upon them, the state of Iowa levied taxes upon them as the lands of the complainants and their grantors, and the latter paid these taxes. The controversy over the title in each of these cases is between the complainants and the state. Laying all other sources of title in the complainants aside, their possession is prima facie evidence of title in them, and, against the state's claim that this land was an accretion to its island, this possession is conclusive proof of title because the evidence has convinced that there was no such island. Against the state's claim that this land was in its part of the abandoned river channel, the long possession of the complainants and their grantors to the boundary lines they claim, together with the tacit acquiescence of the state therein, is strong proof that those boundary lines were correct, proof which must prevail in the absence of countervailing evidence (Corey v. City of Fort Dodge, 118 Iowa, 743, 747, 92 N. W. 704), and there is no convincing evidence in the record that those lines were wrong.

For the reasons stated more at length in the opinion in Carr's case, therefore, and briefly because the record in each of these cases fails to convince that the court below fell into an error of law, or made any mistake of fact in its finding that the evidence before it failed to prove that the land in controversy, or any part of it, was ever an island in the Missouri river or accretions to such an island, or a part of the abandoned channel of the Missouri river between the thread of the stream and highwater mark on the Iowa side in 1877 prior to the avulsion, and because by the continued adverse possession of this land by the plaintiffs and their grantors claiming title for more than 20 years before the state made any claim to it, by the acquiescence of the state in this possession and by its levy and collection from them of the taxes upon this land as theirs during this time and by the expensive improvements made upon it in reliance upon this acquiescence and taxation, the state is now estopped from asserting title to it in equity, and the decree below in each of these cases must be affirmed. It is so ordered.

MEANDERED LAKES

Chapter 186, Acts of the Thirtieth General Assembly, authorized the executive council to survey the meandered lakes and lake beds in the state and to determine what lakes shall be maintained and what meandered lake beds may be drained, improved, demised or sold. This act was amended by chapters 196 and 197, Acts of the Thirty-second General Assembly and by chapter 191 of the Acts of the Thirty-third General Assembly. Chapter 196 (32 G. A.) vested authority in the executive council to grant authority to construct, equip and maintain canals between any of the lakes so maintained; chapter 197 (32 G. A.) provides that any person or corporation who has heretofore purchased from any county any lake or lake bed in aid of or because of the construction of a work of internal improvement shall be considered a bona fide purchaser, provided that an actual sale of such lake or lake bed had not been previously made by the executive council: and chapter 191 (33 G. A.) provides that when the lake beds are offered for sale "the persons owning lands abutting upon such lake bed and contiguous to lands owned by the state therein, shall have the first right to purchase the lands offered for sale by the state, in an amount sufficient to make the lands owned by them which abut upon the lake or lake bed and are contiguous to lands of the state, conform to the smallest government sub-division of public lands, at the price fixed by the appraisers." This option to purchase expires 90 days after the date of filing the appraisers' report in the office of the secretary of state, and none of the land can be sold for less than appraised value; nor the lake bed for an amount less than the aggregate expense incurred or authorized by the state for surveying, appraising, draining or other expenses on account of the lake or lake bed.

The following lakes have been authorized drained during the biennial period ending June 30, 1912: Bancroft Lake, in Kossuth county; Wall Lake, in Wright county: East Swan Lake, in Emmet county; Eagle Lake, in Kossuth county, and two lakes (Nos. 1 and 2) in Dickinson county.

Preliminary surveys have been authorized of the following lakes, but they have not yet been ordered drained: Rush Lake, in Palo Alto county; Mud Lake, in Clay county; Lily Lake, in Dickinson county; Berge Lake, in Emmet county, and Ryan Lake, in Emmet county.

BASS LAKE, HUMBOLDT COUNTY.

On December 21, 1910, the state issued a patent to Humboldt county for a portion of Bass Lake (meandered as Bass Lake No. Two), under the provisions of chapter 186 (30 G. A.), it appearing to the executive council that said Humboldt county had in good faith sold and conveyed said lands by deed to a bona fide purchaser, as provided in said act; the land conveyed being described as follows: "The unsurveyed portion of the east half of the southeast quarter and the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of section thirty-three (33) and the south half of the southwest quarter and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the northwest gestion thirty-four (34), in township ninety-one (91) north, of range twenty-nine (29) west of the Fifth Principal Meridian."

POND GROVE LAKE, CALHOUN COUNTY.

On January 17, 1911, the state sold and patented to Melius W. Madsen of Calhoun county, Iowa, for the sum of \$2,774.50, the following lots in the lake bed of Pond Grove Lake, in Calhoun county, to-wit: Lots lettered "G," "H," "J," and "K," in section ten (10), township eighty-six (86) North, Range thirty-three (33) west of the fifth P. M.

SPECIAL ACTS OF THE GENERAL ASSEM-BLY RELATIVE TO THE CON-VEYANCE OF LAND

The thirty-fourth general assembly authorized the issuance of patents to quiet title and to donate lands for public purposes as follows:

Chapter 216 authorized the releasing and quitclaiming to the city of Cherokee, for cemetery purposes only, all of that part of the south half of section twenty-eight (28), in township ninety-two (92) north of range forty (40) west of the 5th p. m., which lies south of the center lines of the public road which is in part on the south boundary line of said half section and in part wholly within it; being a tract of irregular shape about 1671 feet long and 181 feet wide at the widest point, and containing about 4.44 acres. Patent was issued December 7, 1911.

Chapter 217 authorized the issuance of a patent to Margaret Dewitt for the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of section sixteen (16) township seventy-six (76) north of range twenty-one (21), west of the 5th P. M. Patent was issued April 21, 1911.

Chapter 218 authorized the issuance of a quit-claim deed to R. C. Ditto and L. E. Ditto, conveying all the right, title, claim and interest of the state of Iowa in and to lot five (5) of section one (1), township seventy-three (73) north of range two (2) west of the 5th P. M. Deed was issued April 21, 1911.

Chapter 219 authorized the issuance of a patent to Jacob W. Hoover for the west half of the northwest quarter of section thirty-three (33), township ninety-five (95) north of range eight (8) west of the 5th P. M. Patent was issued September 25, 1911.

Chapter 220 quieted the title in and authorized the issuance of a patent to John A. Jasinsky, his heirs and assigns, for the southeast quarterof the southwest quarter of section fourteen (14), township eighty-four (84) north of range twenty-nine (29) west of the 5th P. M. Patent was issued March 24, 1911.

Chapter 221 quieted the title in and authorized the issuance of a patent to O. D. McGeorge for the west half of the southwest quarter of section twenty-five (25), township seventy-seven (77) north of range thirty-four (34) west of the 5th P. M. Patent was issued April 18, 1911. Chapter 222 authorized the issuance of a patent to John A. Reed for lot six (6) of block ninety-six (96) of Iowa City, Johnson county, Iowa. Patent was issued May 6, 1911.

Chapter 223 authorized the issuance of a patent to Joseph Tucker, upon the payment of the sum of eighty dollars (\$0.00) for the use and benefit of the school fund, conveying to him the right, title and interest of the state of Iowa in and to the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of section five (5), township eighty-seven (87) north of range one (1), west of the 5th P. M., with a provision that said act shall not affect pending Hitgation. Fatent was issued April 27, 1911.

DES MOINES RIVER LAND GRANT

This grant was made by act of congress, approved August 8, 1846. The act provided that "there be, and hereby is, granted to the Territory of Iowa, for the purpose of aiding said territory to improve the navigation of the Des Moines River from its mouth to the Raccoon Fork, (so-called), in said territory, one equal moiety, in alternate sections, of the public lands, (remaining unsold and not otherwise disposed of, incumbered or appropriated), in a strip five miles in width on each side of said river, to be selected wthin the said territory by an agent or agents to be appointed by the governor thereof, subject to the approval of the secretary of the treasury of the United States."

The grant was accepted by joint resolution of the general assembly of the state of Iowa, January 9, 1847. By an act, approved February 24, 1847, the general assembly provided for the creation of a Board of Public Works, consisting of a president, secretary and treasurer, who were to be elected by the people. This board was to have entire charge and control of the work of river improvement and was authorized to pay for such work out of the funds to be derived from the sale of the lands granted, which the board was authorized to sell under the regulations adopted for the sale of United States lands. This act also defined the nature of the improvements to be made in the river.

The state, through its agents, who were appointed by the governor, elected to select the odd numbered sections of land within the limits of the grant. The selection of the odd numbered sections was approved by the secretary of the treasury. The selections made included all the odd numbered sections of the public lands then (remaining unsold and not otherwise disposed of, incumbered or appropriated), lying and being within the state of Iowa within a strip of five miles in width on each side of the Des Moines river from its mouth to its source.

About a year and a half after the passage of the act making the Des Moines river grant, a question arose before the commissioner of the general land office whether the grant of the odd sections extended only to the Raccoon Fork or from the mouth of the river to its source. He decided that it extended throughout the whole line of said river within the limits of Iowa. He afterwards changed this decision, however. Then the attention of the secretary of the treasury was called to the matter and he decided that the grant extended above the Raccoon Fork. On April 18, 1850, the secretary of the interior, whose department had in the meantime

LAND DEPARTMENT

been established and to which the control of the general land office had been assigned, reversed the decision of the secretary of the treasury and held that the grant only extended to the said Fork. The matter was then brought before the president of the United States and was referred by him to the attorney general, who decided that the grant extended above the Raccoon Fork. But before this decision was promulgated the president died. A new cabinet was formed and among others there was a new attorney general. He over-ruled the decision of his predecessor, and affirmed that of the secretary of the interior. The matter was then submitted to the new president and cabinet, and on October 29, 1851, the see retary of the interior decided that, in view of the great conflict of opinion among the executive officers of the government, and in view of the opinion of several prominent jurists which were presented to him in favor of the construction contended for by the state, the claim of the state would be recognized and the selections approved without prejudice to the rights of other parties. Under this arrangement selections above the Raccoon Fork were approved and certified to the state until December, 1853, the number of acres so certified amounting to 271,572. The commissioner of the general land office decided in March, 1856, that the grant only extended to the Raccoon Fork, and the matter was again referred to the attorney general of the United States, who advised the secretary of the interior to acquiesce in the views of his predecessor and to continue the approval of the lands as certified to him under the law.

The matter of the extension of the grant was finally passed upon by the supreme court of the United States in the December term in 1859 and 1860. The supreme court held that the grant only extended to the Raccoon Fork.

On the second day of March, 1861, congress passed a joint resolution quieting the title in the state of Iowa to the lands above the mouth of the Raccoon Fork, which had been certified to the state of Iowa under the provisions of the Des Moines river grant. By an act, approved July 12, 1862, congress extended the grant so as to include the alternate sections lying within the five mile limit between the Raccoon fork and the northern boundary of the state. This act also provided that the lands so granted should be held and applied in accordance with the provisions of the original grant, except that the consent of congress was given to the application of a portion thereof to aid in the construction of the Keokuk, Fort Des Moines & Minnesota railroad, afterwards the Des Moines Valley railroad.

During this period of controversy over the extent of the grant, the work of improving the Des Moines river in accordance with the provisions of the said grant continued from year to year. The board of public works had entire control of the river improvement, also the sale of the lands acquired under the grant, and the application of the proceeds thereof in payment for the work of improvement, from 1847 to 1851, when the board was abolished by the act approved February 5, 1851. This act also authorized the appointment of a commissioner and a register of the Des Moines river improvement, and empowered them to contract for the completion of that part of the improvement of the river at or below Keosau-

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

qua, and to allow contractors in payment for such work any portion of the lands granted for the improvement of the said river, which lay below the Raccoon Fork. By an act, approved January 19, 1853, the commissioner and the register of the Des Moines river improvement were empowered to sell and dispose of all and any lands which have been or hereafter may be granted by congress for improvement of the Des Moines river, for not less than \$1.300.000. This was the amount estimated by the commissioner and register it would take to complete the work of improvement and to pay off existing indebtedness. On the 24th of January. 1852, the general assembly passed an act providing for the election of a commissioner by the people, and appointing George G. Wright, of Van Buren county, and Uriah Biggs, of Wapello county, as assistant commissioners, and authorized them to make a contract, selling the lands of the improvement for \$1,300,000, and if necessary to sell the water rent, tolls, etc. These commissioners made a contract with the Des Moines Navigation and Railroad company, agreeing to sell all the lands donated to the state under the Des Moines river grant, which had not been sold prior to December 23, 1853, for \$1,300,000, which amount was to be used in paying the indebtedness of the Des Moines river improvement, and on the further improvement of the river. Up to June 9, 1854, the date of this contract. the state had sold 327,000 acres of Des Moines river lands, the proceeds of which had been applied on the improvement of the river, in accordance with the provisions of the act making the grant.

After June 9, 1854, the Des Moines Navigation and Railroad company carried on the work of improving the river under their contract. As the work progressed, certificates for land were issued the said company by the authorized officers of the state. These certificates did not convey title, but merely specified that the company was entitled to so many acres of land for work done toward improving the Des Moines river. The first of these certificates, dated May 14, 1855, embraced 88,853.10 acres, and the second and last, dated May 6, 1856, embraced 116,638.54 acres.

Owing to the fact that matters of disagreement and misunderstanding had arisen between the Des Moines Navigation & Railroad company and the state officials, the general assembly, for the purpose of making a final settlement with the said company, passed a joint resolution March 22, 1858, making propositions for such settlement. The company accepted the propositions and in accordance therewith the state deeded the said company all the lands not otherwise disposed of which had been certified to the state under the grant. Fifteen deeds in all were made, embracing 266,108 acres, of which 53,367 acres were below, and 212,741 acres were above the Raccon Fork.

By an act, approved March 22, 1858, the general assembly donated all the lands granted to the state under the act of congress August 8, 1846, known as the Des Moines river grant, and such other lands and compensation which should be given by congress in extension of such grant or in lieu of any portion thereof, to the Keokuk, Fort Des Moines & Minnesota Railroad company, (Des Moines Valley Railroad company), except the lands already sold or which should thereafter be deeded to the Des Moines Navigation and Railroad company. Under this act and subsequent acts of the legislature the said railroad company acquired practically all of the lands certified to the state under the act of congress, approved July 12, 1862, extending the Des Moines river grant to the northern boundary of the state.

All of the acts of the general assembly with reference to this grant, in so far as they related to the said Keokuk, Fort Des Moines & Minnesota Railroad company (Des Moines Valley Railroad company), will be found in the 1908 report of this department.

Under the act of congress, approved July 12, 1862, there were approved and certified to the state 214,616.88 acres of land in place and 298,919.45 acres of indemnity land. The supreme court of the United States held, at the December term 1866, that the land in place inured to the state and its grantees under the joint resolution of congress, March 2, 1861, therefore the state was not entitled to the indemnity award, and no title passed to the state when these lands were certified under the act of 1862. Congress however, by the act, approved March 3, 1871, confirmed titled to the indemnity lands to the state and its grantees.

The following statement gives the total number of acres of land certified to the state under the Des Moines river grant and also shows the disposition made of the same by the state.

STATEMENT.

In brief, of the Lands approved and certified to the State by the Department, under the Des Moines River Grant, and of the disposition of the same by the State:

Certified under act of August 8, 1846:		
Below the forks	321,188.33	acres
Above the forks	271,572.24	acres
Patented by the State to Des Moines Navigation and R. R. Company:		
Below the forks	53,680.69	acres
Above the forks	212,558.35	acres
Patented by the State to individuals under said grant:		
Below the forks	267,507.64	acres
Above the forks	59,013.89	acres
Certified under act of July 12, 1862:		
Lands in place	314,616.88	acres
Indemnity lands	298,919.45	acres
These were disposed of as follows:		
Patented and certified to the D. V. R. R. Co.	502,573.50	acres
Patented to S. H. Taft	6,363.48	acres
Patented to settlers	2,320.64	acres
Released to United States	320.00	acres
Tracts which were priorly disposed of	1,958.71	acres

64

DES MOINES RIVER LAND SETTLERS.

There were a number of settlers that had claims to Des Moines river lands under color of title from the government; some of these settlers had received patents for the lands claimed, and all had more or less improved the land on which they had located. The United States courts decided against these titles, and efforts have been frequently made to obtain relief for the settlers by congressional action. The forty-ninth congress passed a bill entitled "An act to quiet the title of settlers on the Des Moines river lands in the state of Iowa, and for other purposes," which was veloed by President Cleveland, who suggested in his veto that the settlers be indemnified for their losses through an appropriation for that purpose. The fiftieth congress passed an act similar to the one passed by the forty-ninth congress, which was also vetoed by President Cleveland.

The act of congress, approved March 3, 1893, provided for the appointment of a special agent to obtain information which would enable the United States to properly and equitably adjust the claims of the Des Moines river land settlers. Robert L. Berner was appointed as such special agent and he made a full report to the secretary of interior in accordance with the provisions of the said act.

The sundry civil act approved August 18, 1894, appropriated \$200,000 to adjust the claims of settlers on the Des Moines river lands and to be expended by the secretary of interior as directed by said act. The act also authorized the appointment of a special agent to investigate and determine the claims of such settlers or their heirs or assigns, and also determine the amount due the said settlers or their heirs or assigns; the special agent was required to report to the secretary of interior, who was to approve said report and pay the said claims in the order of their apnroval.

Robert L. Berner was again appointed as special commissioner. His report was approved by the secretary of interior. The total amount of claims allowed by the commissioner and approved by the secretary of the interior was \$183,854.07.

The sundry civil act, approved July 1, 1898, under the heading "Des Moines River Land Settlers," made further provisions relative to claims of the Des Moines river land settlers and authorized the secretary of interior to secure further evidence if he deemed it necessary.

The sundry civil act, approved March 3, 1899, made an additional appropriation for the payment of the claims of the Des Moines river land settlers.

Hon. J. L. Stevens, of Boone, Iowa, was appointed as special commissioner August 4, 1898, to adjust the claims remaining unadjusted, and there have been submitted to him for consideration 581 claims. The following is an extract from his report made to the secretary of the interior August 16, 1900:

"The Secretary of the Interior-

"SIR: I was appointed on the 4th day of August, 1898, to take other and further evidence respecting the claims of the Des Moines River land settlers, in addition to that taken under the act of August 18, 1894, which provides that the commissioner in making his examination should determine:

"First.—The amount of the just claims of persons, their heirs or assigns, holding patents or other written evidence of title from the United States who are now or have been in continuous possession thereunder.

"Second.—The claims of persons, their heirs or assigns, holding written evidence of title from the United States who have been evicted from said lands by process of court at the suit of the Des Moines River Navigation Company, or its assigns.

"Third.—The claims of persons, their heirs or assigns, for a valuable consideration, whose claim of title runs back to the person making the original entry of said land and who have heretofore purchased the paramount title,

"The sundry civil act approved July 1, 1898, provides as follows:

"Des Moines River Settlers: To enable the Secretary of Interior to expend any balance of money appropriated under the act of August eighteenth, eighteen hundred and ninety-four, remaining unexpended, which sum is hereby reappropriated to pay any such sums as may be found due to duly qualified settlers who have in good faith filed pre-emption or homestead claims, made settlement, resided upon for a period not less than five years, unless sooner evicted, cultivated, and made valuable improvements upon the land claimed, and in cases where such persons made actual settlement in good faith under the pre-emption and homestead laws, at a time when others where permitted to file on like lands, and in good faith resided upon the same for a period of not less than five years, unless sooner evicted, cultivated, and made valuable improvements upon the lands so occupied and duly offered to file for the land settled upon within the time prescribed by law, but were not permitted to do so by the officers of the Land Department, and did not abandon said lands or procure title to other public lands under any law of the United States, and the further sum of twentyfive thousand dollars is hereby appropriated to enable the Secretary to make such payments : Provided, That no part of the aforesaid sum shall be paid until the Secretary of the Interior shall find and determine upon the evidence heretofore taken by the special commissioner appointed under said act of August eighteenth, eighteen hundred and ninety-four, and upon such other and further evidence as he may in his discretion take, all of which shall be preserved in his office, what sum, if anything, is justly due to such persons, their heirs or assigns, and the measure of damages shall be in all respects as was provided for claims under said act of August eighteenth, eighteen hundred and ninety-four, and of the aforegoing sums two thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, may be expended in making such further examination: Provided further, That no claim of any pre-emptor or homesteader shall be considered or allowed except upon proofs of settlement, residence, and improvements as herein required: Provided further, that nothing herein shall be construed as authorizing the re-opening or further consideration of any claim reported in lists A and B of the special commissioner's report as the same appears in Senate Document numbered two hundred and fifty-eight, fifty-fourth Congress, first session.'

"Under the last act I proceeded to examine the evidence taken by the former commissioner and to take additional evidence offered by claimants in support of their claims, in order to ascertain:

"First.—Those qualified settlers who have in good faith filed pre-emption or homestead claims, made settlement and residence upon for a period of not less than five years unless sooner evicted, cultivated, and made valuable improvements on the land.

"Second.—Those duly qualified settlers who made actual settlement In good faith under the pre-emption or homestead laws at the time when others were permitted to file on like lands, and in good faith resided upon the same for a period of not less than five years unless sooner evicted, cultivated and made valuable improvements upon the land so occupied and duly offered to file for the land settled upon within the time prescribed by law, but were not permitted to do so by the officers of the Lend Department, and did not abandon said lands or procure title to other public lands under any law of the United States,

"The act of 1894 expressly provided that payment should not include any claim

of a pre-emptor or homesteader who had actual notice of the adverse claim of the Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company at the time he made such pre-emption or homestead claim. And it was held under said act that 'Declaratory statements in pre-emptions' and 'applications to enter homesteads' did not constitute written evidence of title, and a majority of the claims under consideration now were rejected on one or both of these grounds; but the act of July 1, 1898, permits duly qualified settlers to show their good faith, notwithstanding they may have had actual notice of the adverse claim of the Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company.

"I have permitted additional evidence to be offered by claimants whose claims were denied because of notice of the adverse claim of the Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company, and have considered the question of 'notice' as bearing upon the question of 'good faith' only.

"In determining the question of 'good faith,' I have considered the action of the settler as evidenced by the time he resided upon the land, the value of his improvements, the character of his settlement, his conduct with respect to the adverse claim of the Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company, or its grantees, when such claim was made, the date of his settlement, viz, that by the terms of the act granting this land it should not be conveyed or disposed of by the Territory of Iowa or the state to be formed out of the Territory except as the improvements of said river shall progress; that both State and national authorities at the time of the grant construed the act as granting the lands to the Raccoon Forks only; that the governor of the State of Iowa was not authorized to make a deed to said lands passing that title to the Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company; that many able counsel advised settlers up to 1869, and some even later, that the courts would on proper showing sustain their contention.

"That in 1860 the Supreme Court of the United States decided that the grant of 1846 did not extend above the Raccoon Forks; that the settlers believed the settlement made by the State of Iowa in 1858 with the said Des Moines River Navigation and Railroad Company was without authority and void; that there were many suits pending in the State and Federal courts against the settlers when a decision was made in the case of William B, Wells v. Hannah Riley by the United States Supreme Court in 1869, previous to which time the settlers all believed that the decision would finally be in their favor.

"And in all cases where it has been found, after a consideration of all the facts, that a duly qualified settler has in good faith filed a pre-emption or homestead claim, made settlement and resided upon for a period of not less than five years, unless sooner evicted, cultivated and made valuable improvements upon the land claimed; or, where a duly qualified settler made actual settlement in good faith under the pre-emption or homestead laws at a time when others were permitted to file on like lands, and in good faith resided upon the same for a period of not less than five years, unless sooner evicted, cultivated and made valuable improvements upon the land so occupied, and duly offered to file for the land settled upon within the time prescribed by law, but was not permitted to do so by the officers of the Land Department and did not abandon said lands or procure title to other public lands under any law of the United States, I have allowed his claim and fixed the amount to which he was entitled under said act, which provides that in such cases the measure of damages shall be the amount heretofore expended to purchase the paramount title to said lands, or, in case they have not heretofore purchased the outstanding or paramount title, the measure of their damages shall be a reasonable value of such paramount title, if they are still in possession, or the reasonable value of the same at the time of eviction in case of eviction.

"Where the settler conceded the correctness of the decision of the courts and surrendered possession upon the demand of the holder of the paramount title, rather than engage in useless and expensive litigation, such settlers have been regarded as being evicted within the meaning of the law.

"The equities of many whose claims are based upon declaratory statements and settlements alone are fully equal to those who received patents or other written evidence of title, and in many cases they seem even stronger. Their settlements were made under the same belief; they saw others settle on like lands and receive patents therefor; they invested all they had in their improvements, but not being able to procure patents could not sell and were forced to a legal contest that lasted for years and ended in defeat, although the equities at all times seemed to be in their favor.

"In January, 1899, there were 437 cases pending before me, and it being apparent that an additional appropriation was necessary in order to pay all the just claims undetermined, Congress made the following appropriation as part of the sundry civil bill of 1899, to-wit:

" 'Des Moines River Land Settlers: To pay the Des Moines River land settlers, upon the provision of the paragraph in the sundry civil act approved July first, eighteen hundred and ninety-eight, under the heading of 'Des Moines River land settlers,' in addition to the amount already appropriated for said purpose, one hundred and fifty thousand dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, the same to be in full of all claims adjusted or in process of adjustment provided for by said act, and no part of the claims shall be paid by the Secretary of the Interior until all the claims heretofore filed are examined, and all claims not presented within sixty days after the passage of this act shall be barred from consideration : Provided, That of the foregoing sum three thousand five hundred dollars, or so much thereof as may be necessary, may be expended in making the examination provided for by said act approved July first, eighteen hundred and ninety-eight.'

"After the passage of said act and within sixty days thereafter 144 new cases were filed, making a total of 581 cases that I have examined and passed upon.

"The total amount claimed in the 581 cases is \$1,181,817.45; the sum allowed is \$1\$3.623.02.

"Respectfully submitted,

J. L. STEVENS. Special Commissioner."

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS.

There are now on file in this department several hundred of the original patents for the Des Moines River lands. The present owners of the said lands can obtain these patents by surrendering to the state the original receipt or certificate of sale, or filing an affidavit as to ownership of the land, and stating that the original receipt or certificate of sale has been lost. The affidavit should be similar to the following form, and blanks can be obtained from this office:

AFFIDAVIT.

STATE OF IOWA,

.....County, \$8.

I,do solemnly swear, that I am the owner in fee simple of the following described real estate, to-wit:

	which was originally
purchased by	; that I have
made diligent search and inquiry	for the certificate of purchase, or re-

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

70

ceipt given said purchaser at the time full payment was made for said real estate, and have been unable to find the same; that I believe it is either lost or destroyed, and I make this affidavit for the purpose of obtaining the patent issued for said real estate, which I agree to file for record, forthwith, in the proper county recorder's office.

......

Witness my hand and official seal.

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS

Statement giving a complete list of the Des Moines river land patents deposited in the wault of state land department, giving the number of patent, description of land, name of patentee, and name of county in which the land is situated.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	Count
1	n fr 1 of ne	5	70	12	49.64	Seveir Lewis	Davis
22	n h of se	9 17	71	12	120	Joseph Zollinger	Wapello
25	ne of nw	83	71	12 12	80	Hannah Anu Wood	
32	sw of se	3	71	13	40	Hiram Royse	Wapello
58	w 3 of ne	27	71	11			Wapello
58	se of nw	33	71	11	\$ 120	Joseph Ingersol	Jefferson
64	e h of se	31	71	11	80	John Rumford	Jefferson
79	sw of nw, nw of sw	1	73	15	80	Benjamin Thomas and John F. Moore	Wapello
102	nw of se	19	78	14	40	Thomas Nelson	Wapello
108	nw of sw, sw of nw	3	72	13	80	Milton Campbell	Wapello
110	ne fr of nw	5	72 72	13		Josiah M. Kight	Wapello
113	w h of nw n fr h of nw	19	73	18	80	John Moore	Wapello Wapello
134	ne of nw	27	72	13	40	Geo. W. Knight, Jr.	Wapello
138	ne of se	11	70	11	40	William Wilson	Van Buren
139	nw of sw	23	72	114	40	Alexander Crawford	Wapello
142	sw of ne	13	73	15	40	Thomas Wilson	Wapello
145	sw of se	7	73	14	40	Jacob Kipp	Wapello
150	se of ne	27	72	14	40	Rinaldo Brown	Wapello
158 169	s h of sw	23	71	11	80	Robert Brown	Jefferson Davis
109	se of ne, ne of se se of sw		70	13	80	William Duffield Elijah Spangler	Van Bure
1791	sw of nw		1 75	15	40	Thomas C. Walker	Mahaska
191	sw fr 1	19	72	13		Jas. B. Wright, Henry Smith and Charles Dudley, commis'n'rs	Wapello
195	nw of sw	27	72	13	40	Samuel Magee	Wapello
255	ne of se	19	74	15	40	Lewis I. Walker	Mahaska
261 261	nw of nw	15	71	12	80	Samuel M. Wright	Wapello
261 262	ne of ne	21 3	71	12	40	Daniel A. McIntire	Wapello
265	s 1 of nw, Lw of sw	5	74	15	120	Jacob Scott	Mahaska
268 268	ne of sw	25 27	72	13	1 80	Abijah F. Clark	Wapello
269	se of se-	21	12	13	40	Thomas Deford	Wapello
280	sw of se	î	70	13	40	Adam Winneck	
283	sw of se	27	72	13	40	Richmond Cheadle	
285	ne of se	17	71	12	40	James Estep	Wapello
317	se of sw	17	71	12	40	David Johnson	
327	se of nw	17	72	13	40	Malon Hibbs	Wapello
347 347	w 1 of se	1	70	12	240	James G. Campbell	Davis
348	w fr h of sw	11 7	70	12 11	1	Samuel Walker	Jefferson
853	he of ne	13	71	13	40	Lewis F. Temple	Wapello
854	ne fr of ne	10	69	12		John Wilkinson	Davis

* No. 179 is sw of ne in sale book.

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
1	s h of nw, nw fr of						
7	nw ne of se, sw of se	3 35	72 70	13 12	131.59 80	Thomas Jones George Blything	Wapello
	e 1 of ne	23	70	11	80	Moege Store	Wan Buren
	ne of se	23	70	11	40	Bencha Freel	Van Buren
	lots 7 and 8		70	11	78.54	Bencha Freel Willard F. Miles Hugh H. Young George W. Nelson Dudley Feris	Van Buren
	lot 9 sw of se, se of sw	13 31	71 71	13 11	12.31 80	Hugh H. Young	Wapello
	se of se	13	71	12	40	Dudley Feris	Wapello
	ne of nw	7	70	12	40	wimain w: Carson	Davis
	lot 6 and sw of sw	17	70	11	78.46	Daniel McHugh	Van Buren
-	e ½ of ne lot 8	7	72	13 13	80	Abraham Mace	Wapello
-	lot 5	9	71	13	57,85	Andrew Cummins	Wapello
	ne or nw	29	72	13	40	Andrew Cummins Conrad Schank	Wapello
	W g of se	15	70	11	80	William Pork	Van Buren
Concernant of the other	e b of se	7 25	71 70	11 12	80	Benjamin F. Brown	Davis
	nw fr of nwsw of ne	7	70	12	40 46.64		Davis
of the local division of the local divisiono	sw of ne	21	71	12	40	Oliver H. Mitchell William Reveil	Wapello
Contraction of the local division of the loc	lot 2		73	14	55.55	William Reveil	Wapello
	se of nw ne of nw	3 35	72 78	14 14	40	George W. Linkenback Lewis T. Baker	Wapello Wapello
	he of nw se of ne c ½ of ne s # of ne	23	72	14	57.64	Joseph Delay	Wapello
	se of ne	23	74	16	40	Joseph Delay Heman Snow John Boyd	Mahaska
	e s of ne	15	73	14	80	John Boyd	Wapello Van Buren
	s i of ne c i of se		70 68	11 10	80 80	Horace D. Goram Isaac Hoskin	Van Buren
	W a of se	27	68	10	80	William Hoskin	Van Buren
	lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and nw fr of ne				l		
Ì	Ir of ne	1	76	20	229.43	Elihu Alley Abner Beale Isaac Holderman Jonas Carsner	Marion
	se of sw. sw of se	81 13	71 72	10 13	40	Isaac Holderman	Jefferson Wapello
	W g of se, ne of sw	21	77	22	120	Jonas Carsner	Warren
	nw of ne	13	71	12	40		Wapello
	sw fr of sw	7	70	10	44.21	William Wilson	Van Buren
	nw of se w b of sw	13 11	72 74	13 17	40 80	William Wilson William W. Nelson Samuel Martin Joseph Myers	Wapello Mahaska
	se or ne	8	71	13	40	Joseph Myers	Wapello
	se of sw sw of ne	27	72	13	40	VIUS FRANKIII	Wapello
	sw of ne- lots 5 and 6	3	71	13	40	John Abernathy, Sr Michael S. Morris	Warello Marion
	n fr h of sw	31	76 75	19 17	103.03	John Halowell	Mahaska
	ne of ne nw fr of ne	29	73	14	40	Isaac Fisher	Wapello
	nw fr of ne	3	75	19	34.86	George G. Rose Charles H. Hamlin	Marion
	sw of se, se of sw	35 33	78 78	22	80	Charles H. Hamlin	Polk
	e t of ne	33 29	78 72	23 14	160 80	Peter Vandevanter Richard Bush	Polk Wapello
	e t of ne- lot 1 lot 2	17	73	15	59.50	James Baker	Wapello
	lot 2 se of se	1	73	18	36	Henry C. Waldrip George N. Rosser Jacob Basinger	Monroe
	lots 1 and 2	$\frac{1}{25}$	69 74	12 16	40 71.54	Jacob Basinger	Davis Mahaska
	lots 1 and 2	21	71	12	40	James Michael	Wapello
	e i of se	17	70	12	80	James Michael Aaron Wilkinson Owen Doud	Davis
	nw of se	35	75	18	40	Owen Doud	Marion
and the second second	101 2	15	74 74	18 16	40 53.10	Thomas Nichols	Marion Mahaska
	w h of sw. se of ne.	17	73	14	80	Daniel Covey Anne Newell George Cain	Wapello
ł	se of ne	5	73	16	40	George Cain	Monroe
-	ne of ne	$\frac{13}{9}$	72 72	14 14	40	Barney Royston Anderson Vowell	wapello
-	ne of se	29	72	14	59.35 40	Mehard Buch	Wapello Wapello
	se of sw	23	73	14	40	Anderson Vowell	Wapello
	ne of se	8	71	14	80	Anderson Vowell Joseph Delay Lawrence Scott	Wapello
	sw of nw	7 21	67 78	.8 23	80 40	Lawrence Scott	Van Buren
	se of nw, ne of sw	-21	76	23 19	80	James McRoberts Rufus R. Jones	FOIR
	se of nw, ne of sw lots 7 and 8	19	75	17	95.34		
	ne of sw.	21	75	16	40	THOIL TROUT	Mabaska
	sw of se	8	77	23	40	John Hargis James A. Scott and John	Warren

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Namber	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
923	n h of se	21	75	18	80	Charles Cox and Jas.	Mariou
947 947	lots 1, 8, 9 and e h of se nw of ne	3 15	78 78	23 23	(-251.84	Lewis Barlow	Polk
956 959	e 1 of ne, ne of se, and lot 8 e 1	13 9	76 76	19 18	158.20 320	Cornelis T. Lam Geendert Colyn, Gysbert VanHojewelingen, Hen-	
988 989 990 991 994	ne of sw sw of sw se of nw ne of se se of ne	1	72 72 67 70 71	13 13 9 11 12	40 40 40 40 40	VanHoiewelingen, Hen- rick Van Vliet and Dirk der Otter James Davidson Thos. W. Wilson William Monroe George Humphry David Newhouse Allen Lawhead Daniel Earl George Argabright	Marion Wapello Wapello Van Buren Van Buren Wapello
009 016 019 031 032	nw fr of nw w b of sw nw of ne	31 25 11	69 77 76 75 77	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 16 \\ 20 \end{array} $	38.34 80 40 40 190.80	David Newhouse Allen Lawhead Daniel Farl George Argabright Hannah Alley	Van Burer Marion Marion Mahaska Marion
1057 1083 1084 1095 1101	ne fr 4, se of ne ne fr 4 sw of se nw of se w ½ of nw lot 1	13	77 75 73 73 73	2? 18 15 16 15	140.60 40 40 80 51	George Argabright Hannah Alley Creath Renfro Stanford Doud John Kavanaugh William L. McKinney Christian Ver Wayen Esan S. Folk William Welch John Harls David Armstrong Jonathan Thompson Jacob Teter	Warren Marion Wapello Wapello Mabaska
1109 1119 1122 1128 1132 134	w h of ne, e h of nw e h of se nw h e h of se sw of se	29 35 1 11 17	76 76 78 72 73 68	17 18 24 14 16	240 160 80 160 80 40	John Haris David Armstrong	Marion Polk Wapello Monroe Van Burer
134 1143 1158	nw fr of ne	3 33	69 70	10 12 12	42,44	Jacob Teter Samuel Mathews Catharine Winton, guar dian for heirs of Brad- ley Winton	Davis Davis Wanello
1160 1163 1168 1166 1167 1170	nw of sw e h of sw n h of sw n h of sw se of se	83 83 7	71 77 71 71 75	14 22 13 13 16	40 80 120 80 40	Samuel Mathews Catharine Winton, guar dian for heirs of Brad- ley Winton Curtis Knight Charles W. Freel- James Rowland Israel Ruve William McCurdy	Warren Wapello Wapello Mahaska
1186 1195 1197	nw fr of nw, se of nw se of se n <u>h</u> se of ne	81 1 85	72 77 79	14 21 23	80.39 40 320	Daniel C. Nichols Phomas Karr George Knoop	Wapello Marion Polk Wapello
1198 1221 1229 1235	sw of ne	13	71 69 71 76	13 12 13 18	40 40 40 160	James Rowland George N. Rosser William O. McIntire	Davis Wapello Marion Wapello
1245 1255 1275 1279	sw fr of sw se of nw w h of se sw of sw	31 35 7 1	71 75 77 69 77	12 16 22 12 22	48.58 40 80 40 120	William McCurdy Daniel C. Nichols Phomas Karr George Knoop James Rowland Seorge N. Rosser William C. McIntire Joseph Porter Henry Avery Levi S. Terwilliger John M. Draper James A. Clark William H. Reynolds. James A. Brown and John D. Sanford Simon F. Likans Dan Kygar	Mahaska Warren Davis Warren
1284 1287 1300	w 1 of sw, se of sw. se of nw, w 3 of ne. ne of nw.	21	71 71 71 77	13 12 12 21	120 40	James A. Brown and John D. Sanford Simon F. Likens Dan Kygar	Wapello Wapello Marion
1301 1303 1304 1325	se of ne se of se nw of sw e ½ of se w ½ of sw		76 70 76 78	21 21 12 20 21	40 40 39.62	George Washington Adam Richabaugh	Davis Marion Issper
1336* 1336 1341 1342 1345	w b of se w b of sw sw of nw lots 1 and 3 s b of sw		18 78 77 77 77	21 21 22 22 22	40 70.51	Henry Sheerer William Donelson Uriah Roby Stephen Howell Jan, L. Hengveld Cornelis den Hartog	Warren Warren Warren
1340 1353 1370 1433	ne of sw ne of sw lot 6 lot 6 and ne of ne	21	76 76 77 77		40	Jan. L. Hengveld Cornelis den Hartog Elizabeth Williams Napoleon B. Allison	Marion Marion Marion

* No. 1336. This description same as in sale book; patent has both tracts in section 21.

74

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
1452 1456 1462 1467 1472 1483 1483 1487 1491 1496	ne fr 3- ne of ne- se of sw	25 1 23 13 19 29 5	77 77 77 77 77 78 78 78 78	22 22 23 23 24 22 22 23	$142.64 \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 80 \\ 52.40 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ 80 \\ $	John Howard William Glenn Mordecai Disney Harmon Hayworth David D. Cummins Hiram Niday Robert Canady Bluford Barlow	Warren Warren Warren Warren Polk Polk Polk
1497 1503 1507 1509 1518 1521 1525 1588	ne se of ne e of ne e of nw nw of nw se of sw. se of se. sw of ne	$ \begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 15 \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 35 \\ 21 \\ 25 \\ 9 \end{array} $	78 78 78 78 78 78 78 70 73 70 70	23 23 23 23 23 10 15 21 12	129.55 40 40 80 40 40 40 40 40 40	William Dawson Lewis Barlow Lewis Deaton Job Dewey Joshua Chapman William Park Henry Michael William Bristow Cath, Winter, guardian of heirs of B. Winter.	Polk Polk
$\begin{array}{c} 1534\\ 1534\\ 1544\\ 1552\\ 1556\\ 1560\\ 1561\\ 1563\\ 1582\\ 1583\\ 1588\\ 1596\\ 1608\\ 1608\\ 1608\\ 1608\\ 1608\\ 1611\\ 1612\\ 1624\\ 1629\\ 1632\end{array}$	lot 8 nw of se ne of se e ½ of se nw fr of nw lot 6 sw of nw, ne of nw w ½ of sw sw of se ne of se nw of ne sw of nw ne of se nw of ne sw of ne nw of ne sw of ne sw of ne sw of ne nw of ne s ½ of se ne of se nw of ne s ½ of se ne of se nw of ne s ½ of se ne fr of ne nw of se nw of se <td>$\begin{array}{c} 9\\17\\9\\11\\19\\25\\33\\9\\7\\13\\11\\1\\27\\25\\7\\3\\8\\8\end{array}$</td> <td>75 69 74 78 77 78 78 78 77 79 77 69 77 8 77 78 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7</td> <td>$18\\18\\17\\23\\19\\24\\19\\24\\14\\19\\17\\14\\11\\22\\14\\22\\22\\22\\22$</td> <td>40 40 80 42,57 33,83 80 80 40 40 40 40 40 40</td> <td>Samuel Bacon David Tade Hezekiah Lee Andrew McF. Thompson Iames Arter Fromas Dickey Ieremiah Lemming Jonathan Wittenmyer Tonathan Wittenmyer Cornelius Vanhalsin Charles C. Warden Samuel D. Hoi-omb Ionas Carsner Joseph Langdon Vinaldo Brown Hiram A. Lambert</td> <td>Marion Van Buren Mahaska Polk Wapello Marion Polk Polk Wapello Mahaska Wapello Waren Polk Warren Polk Warren Polk Warren</td>	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\17\\9\\11\\19\\25\\33\\9\\7\\13\\11\\1\\27\\25\\7\\3\\8\\8\end{array}$	75 69 74 78 77 78 78 78 77 79 77 69 77 8 77 78 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	$18\\18\\17\\23\\19\\24\\19\\24\\14\\19\\17\\14\\11\\22\\14\\22\\22\\22\\22$	40 40 80 42,57 33,83 80 80 40 40 40 40 40 40	Samuel Bacon David Tade Hezekiah Lee Andrew McF. Thompson Iames Arter Fromas Dickey Ieremiah Lemming Jonathan Wittenmyer Tonathan Wittenmyer Cornelius Vanhalsin Charles C. Warden Samuel D. Hoi-omb Ionas Carsner Joseph Langdon Vinaldo Brown Hiram A. Lambert	Marion Van Buren Mahaska Polk Wapello Marion Polk Polk Wapello Mahaska Wapello Waren Polk Warren Polk Warren Polk Warren
1638 1644 1645 1650 1651 1670 1687 1680 1687 1688 1697 1701	sw 1, wh of se nw of se nw of se nw of se nw of se nw of se nw of sw lot 4 lot 1 nw of se nw of se	9 25 23 21 23 29 25 23 7 85 3 3	77 70 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78	23 12 24 23 19 12 23 23 11 23 16 19	240 40 40 40 40 39.64 23.15 40 40 68.99 40	Robert Baley Lyle Garrett Francis Ham Edward Shelton Tramuel D. Gillaspie Martin Smith Phomas Brumsey Job Dewey Joremiah Church Jonathan Ferris Joshua B. Chapmen Willoughby Randolph John King	Polk Polk Wapello Polk Polk Van Buren Polk
1704 1718 1729 1738 1738 1734 1744 1744 1776 1783 1783 1785	n h of ne, nw of se and lot 2 lot 6 se of sw	25 11 21 5 11 35 7 13 5	78 78 78 70 78 70 78 70 72 69 70 73 78 70	23 24 14 13 24 13 15 10 13 15 24 12	169.50 62	Job Dewey Henry B. Mitchell Seth Fairchild David W. Morris Henry B. Mitchell Lucian B. Sweet Beniamin G. Sayers William Roberts William Roberts	Polk Polk Mahaska Davis Polk Davis Wapello Van Buren
1801 1800 1822 1847 1859 1860	ne of ne	9	78 78 78 78 78 78 78 70 69	22 93 21 12 12	80 40 120 40 50 80	Jeremiah Lemming Jeremiah Lemming William T. Fishburn and Peter Hendricks James McCullum Lewis Deaton Harrison Jordan. Mahlon Hibbs William Mitchell William Anderson	Davis Polk Polk Marion Wapello Davis Van Buren

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Mumber	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
1967	n 3 of ne	17	71	13	80	John D. Derrin	1
3 8788	sw of sw	3	76	21	40	John D. Devin Isaac Vinson	Wapello
1881	w à of ne	7	77	23	7120	Allen B. Hall.	Warning
1861	ne of se	21	77	23	1	1	1
1983	ne or sw	5	70	10	40	Joseph Patterson	Van Buren
1824	W G OT SW	33	77	22	80	Frederick A. Perry.	Warren
1899	ne of sw	23	74	16	40	Frederick A. Perry.	Mahaska
1910 1915	se of se	13	78	23	40	William A. Porter	Polk
1918	lots 1 and 2	5	78	23	18.93	Lohn Voune	Polk
1921	n 1 of ne. s 2 of se.	97 83	78	59	80	Asa Kraps William A. Porter Thomas H. Napler John Young William R. Butler John D. Devin Alanson Harrison	Polk
1924	se of se	33	78	23	80	John D. Davin	Polk
1090	e h of sw. nw of se	20	79	13	40	Alanson Harrison	Wapello
1930*	w 1 of nw	33	78	23	100	Jonathan Keeney	L'OIK Delle
1964	nw fr of nw	5	71	14	53 83	Rinaldo Brown	Wanetlo
1908	nw of sw	15	78	10	10	James Caldwell	Marion
1968	sw it of my	7	69	11	41 89	Jonathan Ferris	Van Buren
1979	ne of sw	17	-8	00	40	Alanson Harrison Jonathan Keeney Rinaldo Brown James Caldwell Jonathan Ferris Grandville Hendricks William L. Baber	Polk
1976	W A OT SW	29	71	14	en.		
1950	ne of se	33	40	00	30	Jonathan Keency Tramuel D. Gillaspie	Polk
1081 1089	sw of se	21	78	23	40	Able Lanson	Polk
1984	e k of nw	7	78	92 99	80	William Danaldean	ITTY IS IN IN IN IN
1997	n h of nw ne of sw	85	77 77	00	80 40	James T. Gelvin David B. Horseman	Warren
2010	w k of se, ne of se_	21		00	120	David B. Horseman.	Warren
2028	ne of ne. ne of se	29	78	00	80		
2004	se of ne	99	78	09	in	David Johnson	Polk
2040	e h of nw	91	75	16	80	John W. Johnson	Mahaska
2045	ne of ne	29	71	11	40	Samuel Brown and Geo. Brown	Informer
2056	nw of ne	33	79	00	40	David W. Johnson	Polk
2063	se of se	3	70	13	40	William Duffield Francis M. Childs	Davis
2065	nw of se	35	78	23	40	Francis M. Childs	Polk
208/2	ne of ne	17	77	21	40	Fdmond Murry William Means	Marion
2086	s i of sw	15	78	21	80	Anderson Bradford	lasper
2087† 2092	se of se	29	78	21	40	Anderson Bradford	Dalla
2094	ne of nw	17 7	78	23	40	John D. Devin-	Davia
2100	se of sw	29	70	12	40	Haney McM. Farley	Warren
2101	sw of ne	33	77	18	40	A. Van Deiley	Marion
2105	n & of nw	25	77	20	80	Daniel Hiskey	Marlon
2133	n h of nw w h of nw	35	70	19	80	Christian Ham	Davis
2149	w fr 4 of nw sw of se	19	78	21	56.62	Jacob Booker	lasper
2169	sw of se	7	70	10	40	Jacob Suwyel	Van Buren
278	ne of ne	27 25	77	22	40	James Stone Peter P. Fisher	Wanella
2227 2227	nw of ne		73	14	40	James Winton	Davis
2227	sw of sw		1 69	10	40	Joseph Freeman	Van Buren
2239	sw of sw	13	71	13	40	Joseph Freeman James A. Brown and	
			1			John D. Sanford	Wapello
2243	nw fr of ne	5	73	16	34.72	Peter Chitwood	Monroe
2248	ne of se	85	78	23	40	Lewis Powell	Polk
2249	se of ne	35	78	23	40	Francis M. Childs	Warron
2256 2759	nw of ne sw of nw	17 21	77 70	22	40 40	Martha Thornton	Van Buren
2278	lot 8	21 9	77	22	42.10	Peter Ridgeway	Warren
2280	lot 8 ne of sw	29	77	22	40	Peter Ridgeway William Myrick	Warren
2281	ne of ne	25	77	23	40	Henry H. Peterson Andrew I. Myrick Hemen P. Graves	Warren
2782	nw of ne	25	77	23	40	Andrew I. Myrick	Warren
2290	se of sw	25	77	23	40	Hemen P. Graves	Warren
2291	sw of ne	33	78	22	40	Valentine Boatwright	Polk
2297	ne of sw	9	70	12	40	James Duffa	Davis
2298	sw of se	23	78	24	40	Byron Rice	Wanello
2299 2300	sw of ne	7 25	72 78	14 24	40	Grandville Holland	Polk
2300	nw of nw	20 25	78	15	40	John I. Sponseller	Polk
2329	se of nw	27	77	20	40	John I. Sponseller Admiral B. Miller Thomas Lyon	Wapello
	nw fr of nw					and a set	In man of the second se

* No. 1630, sale book says: Sold to Joseph Keeney, and No. 1744, a prior patent to William Lewis. Vol. A, page 116.
† No. 2087, sale book, has the range 22 instead of 21.

76

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
2378	sw of se	31	78	21	40	James Hamlin	Jasper
382	lot 6	3	76	18	44.87	Washington Campbell	Marion
397*	se of se	5	77	21	40	William Cottrell	Marion
143 149	sw of nw	11	77	23	40	Absalem Hollingsworth	Warren
155	nw of ne nw of sw	15 15	76	21	40	Gilmore Logan	Marion
68	sw of se	10	71	14 10	40 40	Benjamin Baum	wapeno
79	sw of nw	33	78	22	40	Jonathan Sawvell	Polle
00	lot 7	29	77	20	37.18	John Hall, Sr.	Marlon
97	sw of nw	1	71	12	40	Simeon Reynolds Ward Lamson	Wanello
03	ne of nw	17	77	21	40	William H. Palmer	Marlon
12	ne of sw	35	75	17	40	William H. Palmer Francis Whitenger William Carr	Mahaska
17	lot 4 sw of sw	7	70	19	4.84	William Carr	Marion
19	SW OI SW	25	79	23	40	Nolomon Rusvon	Polk
28	se of ne	27	77	23	40	Allen B. Hall	Warren
28	lot 1 nw of sw		76	20	19.65	James W. Harp. Henderson Polston	Marion
29	se of ne	5	76	21	40 40	Henderson Polston	Marion
42	sw of sw		73	16	40	Jeremiah Miller	Monroe
61	sw of ne	15	76	21	40	George Miller Jeremiah Miller Gilmore Logan Jesse Williams Alvin Miller McCord Daniel Miller	Marion
68	nw fr of nw	1	71	13	59.75	Jesso Williame	Wapello
78	nw of se	25	72	15	40	Alvin Miller McCord	Wapello
82	sw of ne	21	70	12	40	Daniel Miller	Davis
83	sw of ne. se of nw ne fr of ne n h of se, ne of sw	23	70	12	80	HAVIG CICICICOL	DEVIS
93	ne ir or ne	1	71	15	53.95	Henry B. Bones	Wapello
20	n g of se, ne of sw	3	77	23	120	Jeremiah Church and	
03	aw of me					Daniel Moore	Warren
04	sw of nesw of nw	7 23	77	20	40	Charles N. Lester	Marion
08	sw of se	23	78	21	40	James D. Norris Abner Fuller	lasper
12	sw of nw	21	73	17 15	40 40	Aoner Fuller	Manaska
15	sw of sw	31	73	15	39.04	James Myres	Wapeno
18	sw of se, ne of se	9	77	22	80	John Stevenson Thomas Ward William Bureh	Wapeno
22	se of se	25	76	20	40	William Bureh	Marion
25	8 & OI SW. SW OF SA	97	78	22	120	Gideon Ferguson	Polk
141	whof sw	13	77	23	80	Gideon Ferguson Solomon Wright	Warren
42	sw of nw	13	77	23	40	John white	warren
145	ne fr of nw		77	24	40.89	William Childs	Warren
47	lot 7	3	78	23	40	Lewis Barlow	POIK
148	sw of se	1	76	19	40	Mint Ostinger	Marion
157	nw fr of nw sw of ne	31 23	71	13	40.99	David H. Johnson	Wapello
358	nw fr of ne	28	77 69	28	40	Charles Hinhsaw Isaac Overall John D. Parmlee Charles Owens	warren
359	n fr h of ne, s h of se	î	77	23	40.90 143.87	lohn D Parmico	Warron
160	se of ne	3	77	21	40	Charles Owens	Farion
862	sw of se	23	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
166	se of second	17	79	23	40	Jesse C. Melton	Polk
368	se of nw	13	77	28	40	Renjamin Smith	Warron
178 170	nw fr of nw	3	75	19	84.98	Jairus E. Neal-	Marion
180	ne of se	33	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal Jairus E. Neal Jairus E. Neal Charles W. Freel Phomas Clark	Marion
185	ne of sw.	35 1	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
188	n fr h of ne	15	77	22 13	40	Charles W. Freel	Marion
107	sw of nw	3	70	13	50.13 40	Thomas Clark	Davis
398	n i of se	3	74	18	80	I HOHIAS CHAFK	Davis
03	nw of swa	13	78	24	40	John Hamaker Nathan Andrews	Polk
04	w d of ne, ne of ne	29	78	22	120	Manon Warren	Polk
06	se of nw	29	78	22	40	Manon Warren Robert Kennedy	Polk
107	se of ne		78	22	40	John D. Wells	Polk
111	se of ne	17	72	14	40	James C. Tolman	Wapello
712 719	he of se	29	77	22	40	Samuel Black	Warren
741	n h of nw	27 7	73	15	80	John D. Wells James O. Tolman Samuel Black Joseph Gardner	Wapello
751	nw of nw	25	71 77	$\frac{13}{22}$	40 40		
752	w fr 3 of sw	31	77	22 21	40 59.42	Richard R. Conn Homen P. Graves	warren
756	se of nw	7	77	20	40	Jonathan Mulling	viarion .
766	ne of se	13	71	14	40		
767	ue of nw	5	76	20	40	Peter Rickabaugh	Marion
769	se of sw ne of nw	11	75	18	40	James Seby Parsons Heman P. Graves	Marion
		905	73	16	40	TTana and Th. C.	

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	. County
2753	sw of nw (canceled)	55	78	22	40	Isaac Everett	Palk
1785 1783	se of ne	27	75	18	40	John Ridhn	atarian
27283 25674*	se of sw	23	73	16 1	40	Henry McBride Mormon Johnson	Monroe
2802	ne of ne lots 2 and 6, and sw	25	78	22	40	Mormon Johnson	Polk
	OI SC	3	78	23	129.(8	John Baclow	pon-
新闻	se of pe	23	78	23	40	John Barlow John M. Townsend James Oglevie	Poll-
1805	ne of se	35	79	23	41)	James Oglevie	Polk
28716 2827	ne of se nw of sw nw of sw	3	76	21	40		
2828	se of se	17 33	76 77	20 21	40 40	Daniel McQuarry	Marian
2830*	ne of se	25	77	22	40	Daniel McQuarry Hemen P. Graves Samuel R. Cain	Marion
28.31	sw of ne	35	77	22	40	William Cambell	Warren
2672	s h of se nw of nw	35	77	22	80	Gustavus M. Alairch	Warren
2833	nw of nw	9	76	21	40	David Tuttle	Marion
2853	w fr 1 of nw, ne of	10	*0		800.00		
2858	nw s h of sw	19 1	78 79	24 24	126.6S 80	Phineas M. Cassady	
2803	w d of ne. ne of se	15	79	24	120	Stephen Divelbiss Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
2866	ne }	19	79	24	100	Henry Hutsonpiller	Polk
2869	s ± of sw w ± of ne, ne of se ne ± sw of nw	3	79	24	40	John Hays	Polk
2875	lot 6	31	80	54	45.85	Stephen Diverbiss Nathaniel J. Powell John Hays John W. Wilkin Edwin R. Clapp Richard L. Prowter Jonathan W. Bruner Jonathan W. Bruner	Polk
$2876 \\ 2877$	lots 3, 4 and 5 lot 2	31 31	80 80	24 24	$152.86 \\ 37.16$	Edwin R. Clapp	Polk
2879	e & of sw	31	80	24	80	William H MeHoney	Polk
2880	e h of sw ne of nw	31	80	24	40	Jonathan W. Bruper	Polk
2882	w 1 of nw w 1 of se	33	80	24		Edwin R. Clapp. Hendrick Raseboon	Polk
2884	w b of se	33	80	24	80	Hendrick Raseboon	Polk
2891	nw of nw	11	78 80	25 25	40	Charles Murrow	Polk
$2902 \\ 2903$	w lof na	21	80	25	40 80	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
2912	w h of se	21	81	25	50	Joseph Hiner George H. B. Hopkins John M. Nicewander	Polly
2915	w h of sw	25	81	25	80	John M. Nicewander	Polk
2918	w b of nw w b of ne w b of se s b of sw s b of nw and lots 1						ar.
necim b	800 Z	3 5	81 81	26	161.85	John Rickhart	Dollas
2619 2527	sw of ne		82	26	40	Dichord Green	Danas
29337	nw of ne n ½ of sw	85	82	26	80	Benjamin Williams	Boong
2953	nw of nw	21	78	24	40	Jesse Williams Jesse Williams John M. Townsend John D. Parmlee	Polk
2955	nw of nw nw of sw	27	68	10	40	Isaac Tiffy	Van Buren
2057	lot 2	23	78	23	39.76	John M. Townsend	Polk
79888. Antoine	s h of ne, ne of se	1	77	23	120	John D. Parmiee	warren
2253	e h of ne, sw of ne se of nw, and nw of			1	1		
	. CA	9	81	26	200	James W. Ince Jeduthan Waldo Russel Boman	Dallas
物的	sw of nw, ne of sw lots 5 and 6	9	81	26	40	Jeduthan Waldo	Dallas
1993	lots 5 and 6	29 17	81	25 25	98.18	Russel Boman	Polk
2971	ne 1 sw of nw		81	25	40	John Wear John Wear	Polk
$\frac{2972}{2973}$	lot 6	6	78	24	48.75	Samuel Gray Lawson G. Terry Lawson G. Terry	Polk
2986	ne of se	5	75	19	40	Lawson G. Terry	Marlon
2988	nw of sw sw nw of se, nw of sw	5	75	19	40	Lawson G. Terry	Marion
2996	nw of se, nw of sw	35	76	19	80	Jairus E. Neal	narion fiarta
3000	sw of sw ne of nw	21 25	70 80	12 25	40	Alexander Fouts	Polk
$3013 \\ 3014$	nw of nw	19	81	25	49,17	John H. Moots	Polk
3014	se of se		81	25	40	Altria E. Kell Samuel G. Sconce Alexander Fouts John H. Moots Felix G. Farris Albert W. Wasson Ephriam Pierson Large Willburg	Polk
3018	is fr l of sw	1 7	80	24	86.61	Albert W. Wasson	Polk
3022	se of se se of nw	35	178	23	40	Ephriam Pierson	Polk
3026	se of nw	23 23	78	24 12	40	Stephen Collins	Davis
3027	sw of sw	40	80	25	40	Jesse Williams Stephen Collins Joseph Hiner Peter Stroud James Clark	Polk
3040 3048	ne of se		75	19	40	Peter Stroud	Marion
3048	se of ne	3	70	13	40	James Clark	Davis
3053	se of se	1 23	80	25		Linn Melean	L'UIN
3056	nw of sw	1 27	70	12 22		Amon Shook	Warren
3072	nw of ne ne of se n h of se		77	19	40	Nelson Davis Simon Elsworth Peter Butler	Marion
3074	I BC OI SC	1 0	75			Peter Butler	Mahaska

* See sale book for cancellation of No. 2397.

* No. 2801 is nw of ne in sale book. No. 2830 is nw of se in sale book. No. 3050 is se of nw in sale book.

.

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
085	aw of sw	90	73	14	40	Duth Battor	Fanolla
86	aw of sw	22	75	18		Ruth Rector Jonathan Edwards	Marion
91	n fr è of ne se of ne sw of se se of ne	3	70	13	50.99	Jonathan Edwards Thomas Duffield William S. Freel Jairus E. Neal Joseph M. Griffith Joseph Waller Daniel Fisher John Tyler Filizabeth Leak Ira S. Sellers Nicholas Mothorn	Davis
93	se of ne	3	76	22	40	William S. Freel	Warren
07*	sw of se	3	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
98	se of nese of se	3	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
14*			78	24	40	Joseph M. Griffith	Polk
97畫	se of ne n fr h of nw sw of se	9	75	18	40	Joseph Waller	Marion
)() 1	n ir e of nw	7	79	24	81.13	Daniel Fisher	Polk
2	sw of sw	21	78	22	40	John Tyler	Polk
3	n l of sw	17	78 78	22	40	Willis Tyler	POIK
4	ne of se	10	78	22 22	80	Iro & Collors	Polk
5	nw of se	15	76	19	40 40	Nicholae Mothorn	Marian
9	e h of sw	0	78	22	40 80 .	James M Warren	Polk
1	e à of nw	33	78	22	80	Nicholas Mothorn James M. Warren D. W. Johnson	Polk
0	sw of se	35	78	23	40	Ephriam Pierson	Polk
1	nw of nw	15	78	22	40	Ephriam Pierson Riley Jones	Polk
13	sw of se se of sw n b of sw ne of se of sw e b of sw e b of sw sw of se nw of nw ne of se	7	72	15	40	George O., Helen J., and	
						George O., Helen J., and John D. S. Montieth John M. Hamilton	Wapello
4	ne of se	5	70	13	40	John M. Hamilton	Davis
5	sw of ne	5	70	13	40	James Moore Andrew Clark	Davis
2	se of ne, ne of se	27	80	25	80	Andrew Clark	Foik
3	w fr h of no fr 1	23	75	18	40	Ell Legget	Marion
5	w of ne fr 1	95	73 78	15 21	90.53	Jesse Ritchio	Wapeno Laenaw
0	se of ne se of ne nw of sw sw of sw sw of nw	17	68	10	80 40	William Boswell Samuel D, Kingdom Isage Metealf William Beckwith Alexander Fouts	Van Buren
0	se of ne	97	77	21	40	Isage Matealf	Marion
1	nw of sw	85	77	21	40	William Beekwith	Marion
4	sw of sw	25	80	25	40	Alexander Fouts	Polk
5	sw of nw	1	77	21	40	Jonu Samuel Busey	Marion
4			78	22	40	Looi Dimon	Dalle
4	sw of nw	33	79	22	40	Alexander Burk Riley Jones Hemen P. Graves James Morse John Hargis	Polk
78	ne or se	15	78	22	40	Riley Jones	Polk
0	w of nw ne of se nw of nw ne of sw nw of nw, nw of ne nw fr w, ne of ne sw of ne	11	71	14	40	Hemen P. Graves	Wanello
2	nw of nw nw of no	- 3 - 11	81	26 23	40	James Morse	Dallas
ñ	nw fr of ne	11	77 77	23 24	$\frac{80}{40.70}$	John Hargis	warren
6	sw of ne	7	77	22	40.70	Willia Cook	Warren
7			80	24	40	George W Hall	Polk
3	se of sw	23	79	24	40	Benjamin F Bowen	Polk
8	se of sw nw of sw sw of sw	17	72	14	40	Joseph Havne	Wapello
3	sw of sw	11	83	26	40	George Hull	Boone
6	sw of sw	3	75	19	34.80	William B. Young	Marion
8	BU OF SU	28	78	22	40	William Wilson	Polk
0	nw of sw	27	78	23	40	Annias Rice	Polk
8	sw of ne	20	81	20	40	John Bailey	Dallas
6	sw of ne	95	82 78	26 22	40	James Morse James Morse John Hargis John Hargis William Chiles George W. Hall Benjamin F. Bowen Joseph Hayne George Hull William B. Young William Wilson Anuias Rice John Balley David Jones William Carter Thomas J. Gooch Amon Shook William Cock Lewis Gooding Frederick M. Stumbo. John W. McBride David Miller Jairus E. Neal James W. Ince Joseph Newland John Ridgeway John Clary John Clary Jacob Pifer Charles Harward William H. H. Ward. Lewis F. Randolph. Doetor F. Hunt	DOOD0 Dolla
0	s b of ne nw of sw sw of sw	n.	$\frac{78}{70}$	12	40 80	William Contac	Dovia
2	nw of sw	21	78	28	40	Thomas I Cooch	Polly
3	sw of sw	27	70	12	40	Amon Shock	Davis
9	se of sw se of sw n fr 1 of nw sw of sw	1	77	28	40	Willis Oook	Warren
0	se of sw	33	78	22	40	Lewis Gooding	Polk
1	n ir h of nw	1	77	22	66.27	Frederick M. Stumbo	Polk
0	sw of sw	35	73	16	40	John W. McBride	Monroe
1 6	n b of se	29	82	26	80	David Miller	Boone
8	h ± of se sw of nw s ± of se s ± of se se of se n fr ± of ne nw fr of nw sw of sw sw of nw sw of nw	23	76	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
9	s à of se	5	81	26	40	James W. Ince	Dallas
3	se of se	20	81 78	26 22	80	Joseph Newland	Dallas
4	n fr h of ne	1	18	21	$\frac{40}{67.60}$	John Ridgeway	Polk
07	nw fr of nw	31	80	24	43,10	Tohn Olonn	marion
86	sw of sw	17	70	12	40.10	John Hinning	Polk
03	nw of nw	11	75	19	40	Jacob Pifer	Davis
04	sw of nw	35	71	13	40	Charles Harward	Wanolle
15	lot 6 ne of ne	31	78	22	35.00	William H. H Ward	Polk
08	ne of se	27	79	23	40	Lewis F. Randolph	Polk
	AUC OL NO	12 13	80	25	40	Doctor P Hunt	

* No. 3104 is se of ne in sale book. No. 3097, sale book, has township "75" instead

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
313 1	se of sw	31	77	21	40	George N. Disney	Marion
8321	sw of ne	5	77	20	40	George N. Disney Alfred Vertrees Henry Bean Philip Hull	Marion
	ne of se	33	83	26	40	Henry Bean	Boone
	se of sw	21	83	26	40	Philip Hull	Boona
3338	lot 7	31 25	78 80	22 25	37.20	Èphriam Pearson Alexander Fouts Edward G. McKinney William H. McBride	Polk
1341	nw of pr	17	80 70	12	40	Alexander Fours	POIK
3342	nw of sw sw of nw sw of se	27	73	16	40 40	William H Mallata	Monroa
3343	nw of nw	6	81	26	40	John Repolds	Dallae
9244	lot B	0	80	25	58.90	John Reynolds John Nichelson	Polk
8345	sw of nw sw fr of nw nw fr of nw sw of se pe of nw	13	81	26	40	John Nichelson Thomas Hazleton William Curry Martin P. Brook William Carson William Hastie Joseph Newland John Walker Call Anderson	Dallas
3348	sw fr of nw	7	73	14	43.41	William Curry	Wapello
3349	nw fr of nw	1	75	19	84.28	Martin P. Brook	Marion
3353	sw of se	31	71	10	40	William Carson	lefferson
3355	ne of nw	11	77	23	40	William Hastie	Warren
3360	lot 3 and sw or se	3	81	26	98.20	Joseph Newland	Sinborha
3364 3366	ne of nwse of se	19	76	$17 \\ 26$	40 40	Call Anderson and	namiska
3300	se or se	10	82	20	40	Christine M. Anderson	
3367	sw 1	97	81	26	160		
3368*	se of nw	23	76	23	40	Daniel Atkinson	Warren
3369	se of nw	35	76	19	40	Garrían Weaver	Marion
3382	lot 4sw of se	19	78	23	49.51	Charles C. Evans	Polk
3389	sw of se	Б	75	17	40	Daniel Atkinson Garrían Weaver Charles O. Evans Ruth Jane Cummins Jacob Fifer	_Mahaska
3391	sw of nw	11	75	19	40	Jacob Fifer	_ Marion
3392	ne of sw	15	76	19	40	Garrian Weaver Gideon M. Ferguson Ebenezer M. Funk William Barbee Moses Sanders Edward Thornley	Warran
3393	lot 1	11	77	22 19	24 40	Eheneger M Funk	Marion
3396 3401	nw of sw ne of nw nw of se	00	77	21	40	William Barbea	Marion
3402	nw of se	9	71	14	40	Moses Sanders	Wapello
3403	lot 3	25	81	26	51.79	Edward Thornley	Dallas
3411	sw fr of nw and ne	-		1			
	of nw	1 7	72	15	79.74	Nelson Davis	- Wapello
3417	se of sw sw of se	33	70	11	40	William F. Grinsley Benjamin Hunt John Lodewick Herywil Richard Green	- Van Bure
3421	sw of se	117	81	25	40	Benjamin Hunt	A Mahacka
3426	w fr h of sw	7	76	17	60.3.	Pichard Croop	Boone
3427	w of nw nw of nw nw of sw, sw of nw ne of ne sw of nw	11 21	82	26 14	40 40	Angus E. Ross James B. Young Joseph Petree	Wapello
$\frac{3431}{3432}$	nw of ew sw of nw	23	78	22	80	James B. Young	Polk
3432	ne of ne	111	77	23	40	Joseph Petree	Warren
3437	sw of nw	25	80	25	40	Alexander Fouts Joseph Bivins Samuel Hunt John McClain	Polk
84391	sw of ne	27	74	17	40	Joseph Bivins	Mahaska
3443	se of sw	25	80	25	40	Samuel Hunt	POIR
3448	, ne of se	23	80	25	40	John McClain	LOIR
3451	ne of se	17	75	18 18	1 80	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
3451	ne of sw	15	75	23	40	Julion Filis	Polk
$\frac{3452}{3456}$	se of sw e h of sw	25	81	25	80	Julian Ellis John M. Nishwonder John Balley	Polk
3458	ew of sw	25	81	26	40	John Balley	Dallas
3460	sw of sw nw of ne	25		1 19	40	Jesse Sherwood Jairus E. Neal James Philips	Marion
3463	e h of ne	1 20	70	20	80	Jairus E. Neal	- Marion
34691	ne of se	_ 23		24	40	James Philips	Polk
3470	e à of ne	19			80	Francis C. Grimmei	Marion
3473	se of sw	- 27			40	James Printps Francis C, Grimmel William Scott Renson Pritchard Jane Pritchard	Polk
34781	sw of sw	- 21			40	Japa Pritchard	Polk
3479	sw of sw	- 23			40	George Doran	Polk
3480	nw of se		1 76		40	Jairus E. Neal	Marion
$3481 \\ 3484$	nw of nw	20	80		40	Alexander Fouts	(Polk
3484 3491	se of se	20			40	James Hunt	FOIR
3491	ne of se	30		21	40	George Brown	Marian
3492	se of ne	. 19	1 77	20	40	William P. Norris	Dallag
3495	se of nw	_ 25	3 78	3 28	40	James D. Norris	Polk
3501	se of nw	- 8			160	A G Kompher	aiarion
3504	So or.	- 23			160	Lamas Orahtree	Polk
3505	sw of se	_ Zi			40	George Doran Jane Pritchard George Doran Alexander Fouts Alames Hunt George Brown William P. Norris James D. Norris William F. Ayres A. C. Kempper James Orabtree James Philips 02 John H. Poague	Polk
3507							

* No. 3368, sale book, has township 77, instead of 76, which is correct. † No. 3439, entry cancelled and money refunded to Joseph Bivins—a prior entry. † No. 3469, prior sale to Jesse Williams. No. 3478, cancelled—prior sale.

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
514	nw of ne	13	78	22	40	John Frazier	Polk
517	sw of nwse of nwse of nw	13		23	40	James Stewart	Polk
525	s 1 of nw	29	78 77 79 78	22	SO	Milliam S. Ross. William S. Ross. Mexander Smith Ninrod Caple	Warren
525	se of nw	21	72	14	40	William S. Ross.	Wapello
36	SW 01 DW	35	15	16	40	Alexander Smith	Monroe
528	se of nw	21	77	20	40	Nunrod Capie	Marion
40	se of sw	25	79	23	40	Solomon Runyan	POR
12	s i of ne	29	77	18	80 80		
43*	se of sw s $\frac{1}{2}$ of ne s $\frac{1}{2}$ of sw	25	77	18 24	83,65	Ezra G. Doughman William H. Leas	Poll
50	lots 5 and 6	13	78 75	18	75,28	Herman Van Dam	Sigrion
51	s $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 5 and lot 4	29	77	18	40	Herman Van Dam	Marion
53 54	w fr 1 of nw	7	76	18	(8.67		
57	nw of ne	29	77	18	40	William H Loos	Marion
60	ne gr.	25	72	15	160	Thomas Sharp	Wapello
61	w 1 of nw	11	74	16	80	Alexander Cassiday	Mahaska
64	w 1 of ne, n 5 of se	19	78	2.4	160	Thomas Sharp Alexander Cassiday Phineas M. Cassaday	Polk
18	sw of se	19	70	12	40	Aaron Wilkinson	Davis
70	se of ne	7	70	10	40	Phineas M. Cassaday Aaron Wilkinson	Van Buren
731	se of nw w h of sw	13	72	15	40	James Bowen	Poll
74	whof sw	11	79	24	80	David Zimmerly	Polk
79	se of nw, ne of sw	13	78	24	80	Laba W Cumpingham	Mahaska
85	se of nw	23 21	75	17 20	40	Silon I Hughee	Varion
87	nw of nw	21	77	20	40	Francisco H Hughes	Marion
85	sw of nw	21 25	75	18	40	John MeVay	Marion
87	se of se	17	78	22	40	Tracy Gilpin	Polk
05	nw of ne	21	73	16	40	William Crews	Monroe
06	se of se	n	75	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	lasper
07	nw of nw	13	75	19	40	Jairus E. Neal	Jasper
20	nw of nw, se of nw	21	80	25	89	Tracy Gilpin William Crews Jairus E. Neal Jairus F. Neal Nathaniel J. Powell Nathaniel J. Powell Nathaniel J. Powell Francis Chiles	Polk
30	se of pw	17	80	25	40	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
31	w h of sc. e h of sw	17	80	25	160	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
33	ne of se	27	80	24	40	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
35	sw of ne	35	78	23	40	Francis Chiles	Polk
36	sw of se	33	78	22	40	William Park	Polk
338	se of nw ne of sw se of nw	23	81 76	25	40	Francis Chiles William Park Samuel Fowler Jairus E. Neal Noah Biggs Nelson W. Nunnally	Marion
139 151	ne of sw	7	78	21	40	Nonh Biggs	Lasper
56	lot 2	11	77	21	66.2	Nelson W Nunnally	Warren
61	ne of nw	33	82	26	40	John Blaugher	Boone
69	ne fr of ne	5	80	25	52.81	Alfred Bowman	Polk
370	ne fr of ne lot 5 and sw of sw	33	81	25	79.30	Alfred Bowman	Polk
373	lot 3	7	76	19	35.02	William Staly	Marion
576	se of ne	3	75	19	40	Sarah Wilson	Marion
636	nw of sw	5	79	24	40	Thomas McNullin	Polk
184	se of ne nw of sww 1 of se	17	82	26	80	Nelson W. Nunnally ohn Blaugher	Doble
86			81	26	39.50	Daniel I. Skinner	Dallas
389	nw of se, se of nw	5	81	26	50	Daniel I. Skinner	Dallas
300 192	nw of se, se of nw n fr h of nw ne of nw nw of ne	9 9	81 80	20	57.01 40	Abraham Elliott	Polk
701	nw of ne	31	76	19	40	Zopher Ball Richard D. Jones Edward O. Towne Jacob Gutshall John Henning	Marion
703	se of se	- 9	78	22	40	Richard D. Jones	Polk
706	na of em	17	76	18	40	Edward O. Towne	Marion
08	sw 4 se of ne se of ne nw of sw n h of sw, sw of se	5	83	26	160	Jacob Gutshall	Boone
15	se of ne	19	70	12	40	John Henning	Davis
27	se of ne, nw of se	13	78	23	80	Andrew J. Stevens Nathaniel J. Powell Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
729	nw of sw	17	80	25	40	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
730	n h of sw, sw of se	29	80	25	120	Nathaniel J. Powell	Polk
731			77	18	40	Samuel Clutter William Brown	Marion
738	ne of se	5	76	21	40	William Brown	Marion
740	e h of se	23	77	19	80	John Henderson	Racinon
100* 756	c i of se, sw of ne se i	29	84 84	26	120	Benton Post	Boone
759	s 1 of ne, sc of nw	29	84	26	120	Saban Latham	Boone
	bo or ne, se or nw	00	84	26	40	James R. Payne	00000

* No. 3543 should be 25, 75, R. 18, as per sale book, which is correct. * No. 3755 should be c 1 of sw and sw of ne.

† No. 3573. This purchase vacated by order of court. Tract resold and patented to Daniel Bowen. See 3866.

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
3769*	se of sw	13	84	27	40	Elisha_Speckelmire	0
3779	se of ne, ne of se	3	85	27	80	Ezra Rathbun	Boone
3788	s & of nw	11	87	27	80	Jessa Goodrich	Woheter
3790	whof sw	11	87	27	80	Daniel Galer	Wabetor
3801	ne of nw	31	77	19	40	Dennis Payton	Marion
3804	w b of sw ne of nw ne of sw	15	78	21	40	Daniel Galer Dennis Payton Thomas Ashler	Techor
3815	sw of sw	21	77	20	40	David Clark	Marion
3819	e à of se	1	79	24	80	James Halterman	Polk
3821	ne of se	5	83	26	40	James Halterman Nelson J. Meeker	Boone
3822	ne 1, e 1 of nw	31	81	25	240	Clement Murphy	Polk
3823	n h of se	31	81	25	80	Clement Murphy	Polk
3830	se of ne nw of nw nw of nw	5	70	13	40	Wm. H. Tull	Davis
3836	nw of nw	33	82	26	40	Alex P. Townsend	Boone
3842	nw of nw	17	89	28	40	Francis W. Allen	Webster
3847	w 2 OI Se	1 23	79 80	24	80 80	Byron Rice	Polk
3848	w h of se e h of sw sw of ne	1	76	29	40	Byron Rice	Polk
3849 3851	nw of se	23	78	22	40	John Linn	Warren Dolla
3852	ne of ne	27	80	25	40	Jenne Wentings	Polk
3854	sw of se	9	82	26	40	Robert Tones	Boong
3860	sw of se, se of sw	27	70	11	80	William Akers	Van Burdn
3869	nw of se	27	73	16	40	Reuben W. Moss	Monroe
3871	w h of sw se of sw	13	76	21	80	James W. Gill.	Marion
3872	se of sw	3	76	21	40	Briant Young	Marion
3874	se of se	9	82	26	40	Sanford B. Williams	Boone
3877*	ne of se	17	72	14	40	John Smith	Wapello
3878	sw of ne. nw of se	5	69	11	80	George W. Brown	Van Buren
3879	ne of se	19	85	26	40	Tyler Higbee	Boone
3883	se of sw	21	84	26	40	John Howser	Boone
3886	ne 1	21	84	26	160	Tames Hahrennan Nelson J. Meeker. Clement Murphy Clement Murphy Clement Murphy Generat Murphy Win, H. Tall Byron Rice James Smith Byron Rice Francis W. Allen Byron Rice James Smith Sease Hastings Robert Jones William Akers Reuben W. Moss Francis W. Moss Thinat Young Sanford B. Williams John Snith Williams John Snith Briese Samford B. Williams John Steller Samford B. Brown Tylet Hickser Samuel Snyder Sonseller William Wallace Waldo Jackison Jones Hillo Clark William Wallace Waldo Jackison Jones Hiller Histor Barby John J. Sponseller William Fraizer Jacob Crum Jackien	Boone
3893	se of sw	15	76	19 21	40	Joan J. Springer	Marion
3894 3896	nw of nw	17	78	18	40	Miletus D Clerk	Monion
3890	se of sesh of ne	11	79	23	80	Foster Filiott	Poll
3901	nw of nw	25	80	25	40	Nathanial J Powell	Polk
3902	ne of sw	25	72	15	40	John J Snopseller	Wanella
3905	nw of sw	0	81	26	40	William Wallace Waldo.	Dallas
3919	nw of sw sw of ne sw of nw	11	74	18	40	Jackson Jones	Marion
3924	se 1	13	79	24	160	Jenkin W. Morris	Polk
3930	sw of nw nw of se nw of sw	17	75	18	40	Elias Ramey	Marion
3934	nw of se	25	81	25	40	John Crabtree	Polk
3941	nw of sw	27	75	18	40	John Forrence	Marion
3942	ne of sw nw of ne	23	78	22	40	Thomas Mitchell	Polk
3954	nw of ne	35	81	26	40	William Jacobs	Dallas
3956	ne 1 lot 3	9	80	25 25	160 22	William Fraizer	Polk
3957 3958	100 8	31	80 80	20	42.96	Jacob Crum	Poll
3958	sw fr of nw sw of ne	25	81	25	40	John Crahtrae Ir	Polk
3972	ne of se	5	73	16	40	Sarah M Rockwell	Monroe
3973	sw of ne		72	14	40	Jacob R. Shrieve	Wanello
3974	ne of nw	9	81	26	40	John Newland	Dallas
3975	nw of ne	8	81	26	40	Jeduthan Waldo	Dallas
3986	e à of sw	33	85	26	80	Elias Zimmer	Boone
8987	e h of sw nw of nw, nw of ne.	31	79	22	82.66	Milton Smith	Polk
3988	ne of sw	1	77	23	40	Robert Wallace	Warren
3994	lot 6	13	84	27	61.73	James Corbin	Boone
3995	lot 8	13	84	27	26.51	Isaac Nutt	Boone
3996	sw of nw. lot 4 and nw fr. of ne	13	84	27	40	william C. Crooks	Boone
3997	lot 4 and nw fr. of ne	5	82	26	87.94	washington J and Ed-	7
1000			-			ward U. Nutt	BOODO
4001	nw of nw	29	72	14	40	Henry B. Hendershott	Wapeno
4003			83	26 21	40	Charles W Lamb	Laspor
4015	nw of ne	21	78 78	21 21	40 40	Charles W. Lamb	Teener
4016	nw or se	23	77	21 21	40	William Common	Marion
4020 4025	nw of ne nw of se nw of se se of se	17	70	14	40	William Prinzee John Crabbree, Jr Sarah M. Rockwell Jacob R. Shrieve. John Newland Jacob R. Shrieve. John Waldo Karaka Jacob K. Shrieve. James Corbin Liene Nut Waldo Washington J and Ed- ward O. Nutt. Henry B. Hendershott. James W. Washb. Charles W. Lamb William Cowman Jacob R. Shreeor James B. Nireer	Wanello
4026	se of se e 1 of se, sw of se	27	70	12	120	James Davis	Davis
3020	e g or se, sw or se	41	101		A=0	former partia monthemeter	

•No. 3769 should be section 15, instead of section 13. No. 8877 cancelled-prior sale to Pheirk Berick. October 1, 1853. See No. 3886.

REPORT OF SECRETARY OF STATE

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

$\frac{1}{2}$ <		1						
a_{000}^{0} a_{000	County	Patentee	Acres	Range	Town	Section	Parts of Section	Number
a_{000}^{0} a_{000	Polk	Jerome Eastridge	40	22	78	19	a at an	10.00
and bits we of se and bits and bits bits bits <td>Wapello</td> <td>James Cottrell</td> <td>40</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>se of sw</td> <td></td>	Wapello	James Cottrell	40				se of sw	
answ of nw of nw 17 79 23 40 Benjamin Bryant Dryant Denjamin Bryant Denjamin Bryant 4010 ej of ne. se of ne. 35 84 27 80 Tstam Nitt B 4010 ej of ne. se of ne. 35 84 26 S0 Bendick Cattwright B 4010 ny of ne. se of ne. 57 83 120 Sch Rick Cattwright B 4015 ny of sw. sec of se. 57 83 120 John D. Sanford B 4050 hw of nw. 57 53 24 22 Sch Richards B 4050 hu of nw. 57 53 36 130 Charles Cooper N 4050 hu of nw. 57 53 54 140 Charles Cooper N 4075 hu of nw. 57 53 54 40 Sch Richards D 4076 nw of ne. 23 81 56 40 Sch Richards D Sch Richards D Sch Richards D Sch Richards D Sch	Dallas -	William Jacobs	40				eur of co	
0409 07 04 0.01 0.	Polk	Benjamin Bryant	40	23			nw of nw	
0409 07 04 0.01 0.	Boone	Isaac Nutt	80	27		13	s & of sw	
9405 million Q. P. Son Sector is set. 55 54 120 1011 D. Sanford. p 1011 D. Sanford. D. Sanford. <thd. sanford.<="" th=""> <thd.< td=""><td>Boone</td><td>Redick Cartwright</td><td>80</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>e à of ne</td><td></td></thd.<></thd.>	Boone	Redick Cartwright	80				e à of ne	
9405 million Q. P. Son Sector is set. 55 54 120 1011 D. Sanford	Boone	Redick Cartwright	120			25	n h of ne, se of ne	4041*
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Polk	John D Sanford	40		79			4049
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Boone	Soth Richards	120		18	20	e h of se, sw of se	
4077 set of ne 23 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4075 ne of ne 37 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4079 ne of ne 37 81 36 40 Seth Richards D 4079 nw of ne 37 81 36 40 William Teinity J 4088 no f. 37 85 564 Seth Richards P 4088 no f. 37 82 36 Seth Richards P 4090 nv of nw 22 78 11 40 William Lenshaw P 4101 se of se 27 71 14 40 John Saylor P 4103 se of se 77 71 40 Caryon N 4107 sb of sw 77 72 38 So John B. Montgomery N 4118 se of sw 11 83 26 80	Polk	Daniel Rittgers	29				s 5 of sw	
4077 set of ne 23 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4075 ne of ne 37 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4079 ne of ne 37 81 36 40 Seth Richards D 4079 nw of ne 37 81 36 40 William Teinity J 4088 no f. 37 85 564 Seth Richards P 4088 no f. 37 82 36 Seth Richards P 4090 nv of nw 22 78 11 40 William Lenshaw P 4101 se of se 27 71 14 40 John Saylor P 4103 se of se 77 71 40 Caryon N 4107 sb of sw 77 72 38 So John B. Montgomery N 4118 se of sw 11 83 26 80	Marion	Charles Cooper	40				lot 6	
4077 set of ne 23 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4075 ne of ne 37 81 26 40 Seth Richards D 4079 ne of ne 37 81 36 40 Seth Richards D 4079 nw of ne 37 81 36 40 William Teinity J 4088 no f. 37 85 564 Seth Richards P 4088 no f. 37 82 36 Seth Richards P 4090 nv of nw 22 78 11 40 William Lenshaw P 4101 se of se 27 71 14 40 John Saylor P 4103 se of se 77 71 40 Caryon N 4107 sb of sw 77 72 38 So John B. Montgomery N 4118 se of sw 11 83 26 80	Webster	Seth Richards	95.10				lots 2 4 5 7	
aprix ne of of ne ne <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1018 0, 4, 0, 1</td><td></td></th<>							1018 0, 4, 0, 1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dallas	Seth Richards	40		81	27	ne of ne	4078
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dallas	Seth Richards	40			23	nw of no	4079
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Jasper	William Fairly	40		78	35	se of sw	4082
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	POIK.	Seth Richards	40			13	ne of se	4087
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					81	7	n h of nw	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wanello	William Hopehaw	40			23	nw of nw	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Polk	Dapiel Beir	91 21			29	se of se	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Polk	Robert Logan	40	25		17	lot o	
	Wapello	John Saylor	40			17	SU OI SW	
	Mahaska	Cary W. Carson	40	17	74	27	ne of ne	
	Polk	Seth Richards	80	23	79	17	s 1 of sw	
	Marion	Elijah S. Hurd.	20.95	19	76	2	l lot 2	
	Boone	John B. Montgomery	40			11	se of sw	
	Boone	John Pea	85.90			23	lots 5 and 6	4119
	Boone	John D. Sanford	40	26	82	11	nw of ne	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				07	0	~~	w fr h of se (or lot 7	4131
4141 at of sw 25 73 16 40 Untries	Boone	John D. Sanford				23	and sw of se)	
4141 ne of sw $\frac{5}{25}$ $\frac{7}{73}$ $\frac{16}{26}$ $\frac{16}{100}$ <td< td=""><td>Boone</td><td>John D. Sanford</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td>W 2 01 SW</td><td></td></td<>	Boone	John D. Sanford				21	W 2 01 SW	
4141 at of sw 25 73 16 40 Untries	Wapello	Seth Richards				10	se of sw	
4141 at of sw 25 73 16 40 Untries	Mahaska	Jesse Beals			76	35	al of se nw of se	
222 no of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Monroe	Charles Anderson	40			25	ne of sw	
222 no of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady		John Noble and W. A.	40	23	79	27	sw of ne	
222 no of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Polk	Noble						
222 no of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Boone	Thomas Edmunds	42.95			33	lot 2	
222 no of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Marion	Jahn Bullington	40			9	se of sw	
222 pro of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Boone	Robert Meek	40			27	nw of sw	
222 pro of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Polk	Augustus D Walker	148 52			01	S IF 3 OI HW	
222 pro of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Polk	William Sweeney	120					
222 pro of sw 5 77 23 40 Phineas M. Casady	Polk	Richard Pierce	40			9	nw of sw	4213
4225 104 6 -27 82 26 19.73 Fininesas in Casady	Polk	Seth Richards		25		9	se of sw	4214
4225 10.6 f 27 82 26 19.7 stringers mit. Casady 4225 nv of se 3 76 20 40 George W. Holeman 4234 w of se 3 76 20 40 George W. Holeman 4235 nv of se 27 84 26 80 Robert A. Moon. 4235 nv of se 77 23 40 John Watts 4242 se of nw 35 73 16 40 Receiver W. Moss	Warren	Phineas M. Casady	40	23	77	5	ne of sw	4222
4242 se of nw 35 73 16 40 Reuben W. Moss 4243 ne of sw 5 77 21 40 William Fairly 4245 nw of ne 27 80 25 40 Nathaniel J. Powell	Marion	Phineas M. Casady				27	lot 6	4225
4242 se of nw 35 73 16 40 Reuben W. Moss 4243 ne of sw 5 77 21 40 William Fairly 4245 nw of ne 27 80 25 40 Nathaniel J. Powell	Boone	Behert A Moon				3	nw of se	
4242 se of nw 35 73 16 40 Reuben W. Moss 4243 ne of sw 5 77 21 40 William Fairly 4245 nw of ne 27 80 25 40 Nathaniel J. Powell	DODIG	John D. Parmlee and				23	w a of se	4234
4242 se of nw 35 73 16 40 Reuben W. Moss. 4243 ne of sw 5 77 21 40 William Feirly 4245 nw of ne 27 89 25 40 Nathanled J. Towell.	Warren	John Watts	-10	23	"	1	nw or se	4230
4243 ne of sw 5 77 21 40 William Fairly 4245 nw of ne 27 80 25 40 Nathaniel J. Powell	Monroe	Reuben W. Moss	40	16	73	35	se of nw	4949
4245 nw of ne 27 80 25 40 Nathaniel J. Powell	Marion	William Fairly	40	21	77	. 5		
	Polk	Nathaniel J. Powell		25	80	. 27	nw of ne	4245
4248 se of ne 25 84 27 40 James M. Martin	Boone	James M. Martin	40	27	84	. 25	se of ne	4248
4250 ne of ne 35 83 26 40 William Dyer	Boone	William Dyer				. 35	ne of ne	
4252 nw of sw 29 77 19 40 Jairus E. Neal	Davis	Jairus E. Neal				29	nw of sw	
4256 ne of nw 29 70 12 40 Philip B. Dodson 4257 se of ne 35 77 19 40 Stephen A. Smith	Marion	Ctaphon A Smith				29	ne of nw	
4245 se of ne 27 54 27 40 James M. Martin	Marion	Wilson Stanlay				- 39	se of ne	4950
4263 ne of ne 25 85 27 40 Joseph Richardson	Boone	Joseph Richardson	40		85	95	ne of ne	4963
4273 nw of sw 9 78 22 40 Jefferson Robertson	Polk	Jefferson Robertson				. 0	nw of sw	4273
4275 e 1 of nw 25 77 19 80 Yelle Yelsma	Marion	Yelle Yelsma			77	25	e h of nw	4275
4278 se of se 21 77 23 40 Rolan Prentice	Marion	Rolan Prentice	40	23	77			4278
4279 sw of sw, sw of nw 23 80 24 80 Seth Richards	Polk	Seth Richards				_ 23	sw of sw, sw of nw	4278
4280 ne of ne 27 80 24 40 Seth Richards	Polk	Seth Richards	40	24	80	- 27	ne of ne	4280

No, 4041 should no doubt be for n h of nw and se of nw; the land described in patent was decided to D. N. & R. R. Co.
 No. 4356, patent cancelled and money refunded, prior sale to Isaac Overkamp.

LAND DEPARTMENT

DES MOINES RIVER LAND PATENTS-Continued.

Number	Parts of Section	Section	Town	Range	Acres	Patentee	County
4287	sw of se	19	71	In	40	land m. o	1
4290	sw of ne	3	74	24	40	Jacob W. Creese	Jefferson
4295	se of ne	22	70	12	40	Nathaniel J. Powell	Warren
4297	se of nw	19	79	24	40	John Connor	Davis
4300	sw of ne, se of nw.				40	Seth Richards	Polk
	ne of sw	11	75	19	120	Absalom Black	Mandan
4303	n i of ne	25	77	19	80	Syaerd A. Sipma	atarion
4305	nw of nw	19	70	11	44.35	John Ram	Marion
43091		21	79	24	40	Jesse Williams	van Buren
4310	ne of nw	19	70	11	40	Calvin P. Davis	POIK
4311	sw fr of sw	7	90	28	40	Jesse Williams	van Buren
4312	n 3	9	88	28	320	Jesse Williams	Webster
4317	nw fr of nw	3	82	26	42	Robert Meek	Webster
4338	ne of se	11	75	19	40	Daniel Newman	Doone
4348	s 1 of se	23	79	23	80	Reason Pritchard	Dalla
4350	lot 8	33	83	26	31.85	Joshua Bennett	POIK
4353	n h of se	31	79	22	80	William Sweeney	Boone
1357	s i of sw, nw of sw	21	71	13	120	John MeCune	POIK
4362	sw of ne	35	85	27	40	David C. Hull	wapello
4364	s } of se, se of sw	23	88	28	120	Seth Richards	Boone
4370	n 1 of sw, se of sw	33	78	24	120	John B. Hiskey	webster
1372	se of nw	15	87	26	40	Solomon Reighler	POIR
1375	se of ne	35	85	27	40	Isom C. Hull	Hamilton
1383	nw of nw	29	78	23	40	Henry Manbeck	Boone
1385	nw of se	23	74	17	40	William W. Waddle	POIK
4389	se of se	7	77	22	40	Miles White	Manaska
4397	se of se lot 7	27	84	27	63	Norman C. Averile	warren
4399	se of sw	7	70	12	40	Charles Howard, Sr	Doone
4410	nw 3	25	88	28	160	Thomas Weaver	Davis
1411	whof sw	23	79	25	40	Miles White	webster

t No. 4309, patent cancelled. Prior sale to Jesse S. Dicks; see No. 3638.