

State of Iowa 1918

REPORT OF THE

# State Highway Commission

FOR THE

Year Ended December 1, 1918

ISSUED BY THE STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION AMES, IOWA

> H. C. BEARD, Chairman J. W. HOLDEN S. W. BEYER State Highway Commissioners

THOS. H. MACDONALD CHIEF ENGINEER

> Published by THE STATE OF IOWA Des Moines

# LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

Hon. W. L. Harding, Governor:

Pursuant to the provisions of the Iowa road law, the State Highway Commission presents this, its Fifth Annual Report, for the year December 1, 1917, to December 1, 1918.

> H. C. BEARD, Chairman. J. W. Holden, S. W. Beyer, Commissioners.

Ames, Iowa, December 30, 1918.

# PART I

### FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT

# Chapter I. Summary of Work for 1918.

This Fifth Annual Report of the State Highway Commission is divided into two parts. Part One contains a resume of the chief activities of the Commission for the year ended December 1, 1918. It will be noted by comparing Part One of this Report with former annual reports, that the work handled by the Highway Commission has greatly increased in volume during the past several years. This is due to new duties imposed by law and to the increasing amount of assistance rendered other state departments and the several counties.

Part Two contains a summary of the annual reports of the ninety-nine county engineers for the year ending December 31, 1918, with comparison with expenditures for past years.

#### The Year 1918.

It is not possible to relate here, nor would it be of any profit to describe all of the difficulties which confronted the road builder in 1918.

During the year 1918, the whole thought of the people of the state and nation became centered on one great activity, that of winning the war. Not only was highway work necessarily curtailed by advancing prices of labor and materials but the actual shortage of labor made it difficult to perform even the necessary maintenance work and the restrictions placed on the use of materials, particularly steel, and on the use of rail transportation, towards the close of 1918, had practically brought road and bridge construction to a close. These conditions, of course, were not peculiar to highway work, but applied to all industries as well.

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

While laboring under the restrictions of the Federal Government relative to construction work not essential to the winning of the war, an effort was made by the Highway Commission to influence local highway officials to center their efforts on road maintenance not only to preserve what improvements had been made, but to facilitate the marketing of farm products.

#### Damage by Floods.

In June a storm of unusual severity swept the central portion of the state and did untold damage to roads and bridges in a number of counties. This flood, reliably reported to have been the greatest in this section in the past forty years, particularly affected the Skunk and Iowa rivers.

A number of large bridges supposed to have been of permanent construction, though built before the State Highway Commission standards, were generally adopted, were destroyed by this flood.

In August another flood almost as severe but more local in its extent, occurred in Dubuque county. The damage done in that county alone, to bridges and culverts was estimated to be in excess of \$100,000.00.

The counties affected by these floods were, under the prevailing conditions, unable to replace any great number of their structures with permanent construction, but in order to keep highways open for traffic, were forced to build temporary structures. Aside from the loss sustained in the destruction of bridges and culverts, great damage was done to road grades.

#### 1918 Prices.

While the prices of materials continued to advance as in 1917, the most notable advance in the cost of construction was in the price of efficient labor. Few counties were fortunate enough to have any great amount of material left over from the preceding year as buying had already become restricted.

The average price of earth excavation for the year was \$0.3421 per cubic yard as compared with \$0.2469 in 1917, and \$0.2143 per cubic yard in 1916. It will be noted that the average price for earth work in 1918 was 60% higher than in 1916 and 38.5% higher than in 1917.

The average price paid for standard sawed Douglas fir 3"x12" in car lots F. O. B. county in 1918, was \$37.00 per M as compared with an average of \$26.70 per M in 1917. This was an increase of 38.6%. The average price of standard sawed white oak, 3"x12", in 1918 was \$38.00 per M as compared with an average of \$32.00 per M in 1917, or 18.8% higher.

The average price paid for 16 feet red cedar piling in car lots F. O. B. county in 1918 was \$0.183 per foot as compared with an average of \$0.153 in 1917. This is an increase of approximately 20%. The average price paid for Class A corrugated pipe increased 7.8% over the average price paid in 1917. The average price paid for Class B corrugated pipe was 6.7% higher than that paid in 1917.

The average price paid for reinforcing steel in car lots, stock length, F. O. B. county was \$3.66 per cwt. for one-half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  inclbars and \$3.62 per cwt. for three-quarter  $(\frac{3}{4})$  inch bars. Tik average price paid in 1917 was \$3.52 for one-half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  inch bars and \$3.60 for three-quarter  $(\frac{3}{4})$  inch bars. In almost all cases, the three-quarter  $(\frac{3}{4})$  inch bars were purchased in small lots, the onehalf  $(\frac{1}{2})$  inch bars being used in large quantities.

The average price paid for steel trusses F. O. B. county in 1918 was \$6.50 per cwt., as compared with \$5.90 per cwt. in 1917. This was an increase of 10% over 1917 prices. The average price paid for I-beams F. O. B. county in 1918 was \$5.25 per cwt. as compared with \$5.15 per cwt. in 1917.

The dealers' average net price of cement F. O. B. Cedar Rapids, Iowa, was \$2.18 per bbl. in 1918 as compared with \$1.98 per bbl. in 1917. The cost to counties was in excess of these prices as these quotations represent prices to dealers and do not include dealers profits nor the cost of local transportation. During the year, the price of cloth sacks was increased from 10 cents to 25 cents each.

#### Co-operation of Local Officials and Contractors.

The Commission wishes to take this opportunity to express to the general public its appreciation of the co-operation of and the splendid assistance rendered by county engineers, county supervisors, township officials, city engineers, members of city and town councils and the contractors engaged on road, bridge and street improvements.

All of the federal restrictions which the Highway Commission was called upon to assist in interpreting and enforcing, were cheerfully met by all, as it became apparent that such restrictions were a vital element in bringing the war to a speedy and successful conclusion.

6

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

With the freight rates and prices of material rapidly advancing, some controversies naturally arose between contractors and officials over the adjustment of existing contracts. However, all differences of this character were almost universally approached by the various parties in a spirit of absolute fair mindedness.

While many contracting organizations finally brought their activities almost to a close before the end of the year, the Commission feels that in many instances the contractors rendered the public a very great service through their efforts to secure materials, transportation facilities and necessary labor in order to complete essential improvements, and thus assist in keeping the highways open for traffic.

On the other hand, the Commission gladly rendered contractors whatever assistance it properly could in securing materials and the use of transportation facilities, not only to aid in the prosecution of improvements already under way, but to enable contractors to retain, at least the nucleus of their organizations in order that these organizations could quickly be rebuilt when it became possible to prosecute highway improvements more vigorously.

#### Work of U. S. Highway Council.

8

In order to bring about some uniformity in the consideration of highway projects by the War Industries Board, the State Highway Departments, the Federal Bureau of Public Roads and the Highway Industries brought about the appointment of a committee known as the U. S. Highways Council to assist the War Industries Board, the Capital Issues Committee and other government boards in regulating street and highway improvement.

Orders were issued that all projects involving the use of materials required by the government or involving rail transportation or the issuing of bonds should be submitted to the U. S. Highways Council for approval. It was intended that no work on highways and streets not absolutely essential, should be allowed to proceed.

A few weeks before the signing of the armistice, it was ordered that each state should submit for approval, a program of all construction and maintenance work contemplated for 1919 by counties, cities and towns, and townships. The huge task of collecting this information was well underway by the Commission when actual hostilities ceased and the requirement was cancelled.

#### Men In Military Service.

During 1917 and 1918, twenty-two men in the employ of the Highway Commission entered military service. New men were employed to do the essential work which the remaining force could not accomplish. This involved the hiring of an almost entirely new force of draftsmen.

The Commission expects that most of the men who entered Federal service will return within a short time.

#### Bridge Plans.

Detailed plans were prepared for 236 bridges in 58 counties, estimated to cost \$1,298,000.00. Designs were checked or approved for 163 bridges submitted from 36 counties, the estimated cost of which was \$634,000.00.

#### Bridge and Material Contracts.

During the year, the Commission checked for approval, 173 bridge contracts totalling \$1,760,797.85 which were submitted from 68 counties. The total amount of bridge contracts approved in 1917 was \$2,179,000.00 and in 1916 was \$2,070,800.00.

#### Railroad Crossings.

During 1918 very little was accomplished in the improvement of railroad crossings. However, the work of making surveys and plans for the elimination or improvement of some of the more dangerous crossings was continued in anticipation of being able to give more attention to this class of improvement when conditions become more nearly normal.

During the year thirty (30) crossing projects were listed for improvement, bringing the number thus listed for improvement or elimination up to 305. Eleven (11) projects were surveyed; plans and estimates were prepared for six (6); conferences held on thirteen (13) and eighteen (18) projects were successfully adjusted. This subject is treated more fully in Chapter V of this report.

### Road Profiles Approved.

During the year, profiles for the improvement of 321.1 miles of road were checked and approved.

The following table shows the mileage of road profiles approved during past years:

Year	Profiles	Approved
1913		miles
1914		miles
1915		miles
1916		miles
1917		miles
1918		miles

#### Federal Aid Road Projects.

Thirty-nine federal aid road projects involving the improvement of 555.5 miles of road, have been outlined by the Commission. Thirty-three of these projects have been submitted to the Federal Government and 27 of these projects have been approved by that department. Surveys have been made for 27 projects and detailed plans have been completed for 16 projects.

During the year Project No. 1 located in Cerro Gordo County was completed and contracts were let for Project No. 2 in Woodbury County and Project No. 7 in Marion County. The two latter named projects consisted in building roads to finished grade and were incomplete when construction work for the season closed.

Bids were asked for on Projects in Buchanan and Delaware counties, but the prices received were so high that it was deemed advisable to postpone construction until conditions became more normal. Bids will be asked on a number of these projects early in 1919 with the thought of starting construction work as soon as the season opens.

A detailed report on the status of the various projects appears in Chapter VII.

#### Roads at State Institutions.

The Board of Control of State Institutions directed the Supervisor of State Roads to limit the road work during 1918 at the various state institutions to that which was essential or of particular importance. Consequently, road construction was carried on only where the work had been previously begun, or was necessary to maintain the roads in usable condition.

The grading of three and one-half  $(3\frac{1}{2})$  miles of road at the State Hospital at Cherokee was completed and all but three-quarters  $(3\frac{3}{4})$  of a mile of this road was surfaced with gravel. This work will be completed early in 1919.

The gravelling of the five miles of road through or adjacent to state lands at the Colony for Epileptics at Woodward was completed in 1918 with the exception of about three-quarters  $(\frac{3}{4})$  of a mile.

Surveys and plans were made for the improvement of one and one-half  $(1\frac{1}{2})$  miles of road at the Iowa Soldiers' Orphans Home at Davenport and contract was awarded for this improvement but the contractor was unable to do the work.

The Thirty-seventh General Assembly appropriated \$11,000.00 for paving at the State Hospital for Inebriates at Knoxville. This work was done under the direction of the Commission in 1918.

Maintenance and repair work was done on the roads at state institutions including the two first above named, the Iowa State College at Ames, the State Hospital at Mt. Pleasant, the State Penitentiary at Ft. Madison and several others.

#### Capitol Grounds Improvement.

Engineering services were furnished the Executive Council in making detailed plans for and in supervising the improvement of the State Capitol grounds at Des Moines. This involved the placing of sheet asphalt pavement and the preparation of detailed plans and specifications for a retaining wall, to be built along the south boundary of the grounds and for a heating tunnel to extend southeast from the State House to a point near the railroad tracks.

#### Selection of Inter-County Road System.

The Commission has selected a "comprehensive system" of intercounty highways, containing approximately 6,000 miles, in accordance with the provisions of an act of the Thirty-seventh General Assembly. While the full details of this system have not been determined, the system as a whole is complete.

#### Survey at Spirit Lake.

The executive Council requested the assistance of the Highway Commission in determining the level at which the water in Spirit Lake and the two Okoboji Lakes should be held. Several miles of levels were run, tying up the different high and low lake stages of past years. A study was made of the drainage areas tributary to these lakes and of the effect which drainage projects in this area will have on the run-off.

#### Designs for Dams at Lakes.

12

At the request of the State Fish and Game Department, plans were prepared for reinforced concrete dams at the outlets of six of the state lakes. The construction work was in charge of Mr. Paul Graham, engineer for the Fish and Game Department.

#### Survey of Keokuk and Odessa Lakes.

At the request of the State Executive Council, the Commission has caused the above named lakes, which lie in a joint drainage district in Louisa and Muscatine counties, to be surveyed and maps and descriptions of each forty acre tract prepared, so that state lands can be disposed of as required by law. The surveys and office work have been performed by the Central States Engineering Company of Muscatine, under the general direction of this department.

#### Standard Specifications.

During the year, the following standard specifications and plans were revised and published:

Bridge Lumber and Piling, Corrugated Metal Culverts, Bridge Paints.

The following new standard plans and specifications were issued:

Thru Riveted Truss Spans, "T" Series, Low Riveted Truss Spans with Joints, "Y" Series, Reinforced Concrete Abutments, Standard Specifications for Federal Aid Projects.

#### District Engineers.

The six district engineers spent 1,222 days in the various counties assisting in the county and township work. They attended 28 road lettings, 104 bridge lettings, and 75 lettings for road and bridge materials. Eighteen federal aid road projects, involving the improvement of 206 miles of road were examined in detail.

#### **Testing Materials.**

During the year, 67 samples of materials were tested and reported. This was a little more than half the number of tests that were made during 1917.

#### The Year 1919.

The conditions confronting the road builder and the prospects for highway work are far different from what they were in the beginning of 1918. With government restrictions almost entirely removed, with all classes of materials released for general use and , with a plentiful supply of labor, no reason appears why road and bridge building should not be pushed during 1919, to the full extent that funds are available.

There are two important factors which lend their influence toward an active program of road construction. Out of the experiences arising from the war, the people have seen the necessity for more adequate facilities for local transportation, and secondly the vest army of men being released from military service not only provides the labor necessary for such work, but places before the public, the necessity of providing these men with employment and it is quite generally felt that no better means of furnishing employment can be found than by inaugurating an extensive program of road improvement.

In addition to the usual local funds available for highway and bridge work, there is available for Federal Aid Projects in 1919, an accumulation of federal and state funds which have not been expended on account of the high prices and general restrictions prevailing.

The estimated funds available for 1919 are as follows:

Federal Aid Funds\$	1,372,000.00
State Aid Funds	1,372,000.00
Levies:	
County	7,208,000.00
Township	3,526,000.00
Auto Fee Distribution to Counties	1,600,000.00

\$15,078,000.00

### Organization of Commission.

The present organization of the Commission by Departments, is as follows:

#### COMMISSIONERS.

H.	C.	BeardMt. Ayr, Iowa, Chairman.
J.	w.	Holden Scranton, Iowa.
		Bever

# ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT.

T. H. MacDonald	Chief Engineer.
F. W. Parrott	
J. W. Eichinger	Bulletin Editor.

# IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

#### ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT .-- Continued.

Velda Rowland	Stenographer.
May Vanderlinden	Stenographer.
Edith Voorhees	File Clerk.
Ruth Haggin	Bookkeeper.
Luella Devereaux	Stenographer.

#### ROAD DEPARTMENT.

F. R.	White			÷	*	•	*		•	÷		. Road	Engineer.
Anne	Vanderlinden											.Steno	grapher.

#### PLANS.

W. E. Jones Assistant Road Engineer.
H. S. Leicht Assistant Engineer.
J. W. Brandt Assistant Engineer.
E. L. Kaser Assistant Engineer.
W. M. MacGibbon Assistant Engineer.
M. H. BryantDraftsman.
B. E. BrevikDraftsman.
F. C. Schneider Draftsman.
E. H. IrwinDraftsman.
Oscar Trueblood Draftsman.
U. Bozzi Draftsman.

#### CONSTRUCTION.

F. H. MannConstruc	tion Engineer.
G. S. FosterAssistan	Engineer.
Perry J. PrestonAssistant	Engineer.
E. A. ZackAssistan	Engineer.
Leroy BrownAssistan	Engineer.
Bert MeyersAssistant	t Engineer.
A. A. BaustinAssistant	Engineer.

#### SURVEYS.

Raymond R. Zack	Chief of Party.
Geo. H. Craig	Chief of Party.
M. E. Johnson	Chief of Party.
W. J. Smith	Chief of Party.

#### BRIDGE DEPARTMENT.

J. H. Ames	Bridge Engineer.
E. F. Kelley	. Assistant Bridge Engineer.
E. W. Blumenschein	Structural Engineer.
J. A. Paulsen	Construction Engineer.
S. J. Bell	Designer.
W. N. Adams	. Designer.
Harry Bowman	. R. R. Crossing Engineer.
J. E. Kirkham	Consulting Engineer.

# FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT .-- Continued.

H. B. Collins	Draftsman.
R. E. Braun	Draftsman.
H. A. Hanson	Clerk.
Mrs. M. F. Stigers	Clerk.
Mrs. J. A. Paulsen	

#### DRAINAGE DEPARTMENT.

R.	W.	Clyd	ie.			÷ .							• •								Dra	inage	F	Ingi	nee	er	ŝ
----	----	------	-----	--	--	-----	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	-------	---	------	-----	----	---

# WOMENS' DRAFTING DEPARTMENT.

Alda WilsonSuperintendent
Jennie CoventryDraftswoman.
Doris AmbroseDraftswoman.
Carita McCarrollDraftswoman.
Jessie Brooks Draftswoman.
Hazel BrandtDraftswoman.
Dorothy TwitchellDraftswoman.
Frances McCallDraftswoman.
Violet RobersonDraftswoman.
Belle CourtneyDraftswoman.

#### DISTRICT ENGINEERS.

W. F. BeardDistrict	No.	1.
W. H. RootDistrict		
E. W. DunnDistrict	No.	3.
L. M. MartinDistrict	No.	4.
J. S. MorrisonDistrict	No.	5.
W. M. Lee District	No.	6.

14

.

# RECOMMENDED HIGHWAY LEGISLATION

# Chapter II. Recommended Highway Legislation.

Iowa has come to the opening of a new period in highway development, a period in the life of the state that is quite distinctly shut off from the preceding years by the span of our participation in the war. For nearly two years, the whole thought of the people of the state has been on this one great activity. During this time, particularly during the past year, we have advanced materially but little in the development of our roads, but the loss is more than compensated, for during this period we have been building more certainly and securely than ever, a pride of citizenship and a loyalty to Iowa, with all its potential promise of united thought and effort to build a finer and more powerful commonwealth.

It is just now an interval when careful, constructive thought is possible. The turmoil and confusion of war demands and war activities is rapidly decreasing. We realize, perhaps only vaguely as yet, that years must follow years of constructive effort, to overcome the waste and destruction of the past four years. It is well that we should take thought of the future, before our constructive activity is fairly begun, that we may select with care the lines of endeavor that will bring about most surely and completely the ideal expressed when we speak of our state as a commonwealth. It must be remembered that the same character of people, the same lovalty and the same enthusiasm, will actuate those of other states in their reorganization and reconstruction problems which are the aftermath of the war, and in order that this state may hold her place, that she may hold a great place in the union of states, we must incorporate into our common activities and interests the things which are fundamental to the growth and development of every constituent part of the state. There are many lines of activity whose call is insistent, but none of more importance than the proper development of our transportation and communication system. The war has been a wonderful exposition of the fundamental relationship of food and transportation to any great effort of the race, and has emphasized the fact that our ability to produce exceeds our ability to transport. This point cannot be too well understood. An intelligent and substantial program of agricultural education and agricultural development has constantly increased our ability to produce, and a far less intelligent policy adopted towards our transportation systems has prevented our facilities from keeping pace with our transportation demands. In our hour of need, the weaknesses and delays which have handicapped the individual in times of peace, jeopardized and greatly limited the effort of the whole nation.

Our highways and our railways cannot be considered separately. They are both parts of a transportation system and each should be developed as supplementary and not competitive units. No matter to what extremes of thought enthusiasm for motor vehicle transportation leads, it is not within the realms of probability that the motor vehicle will supplant the railway as a freight carrier from this state to the eastern markets. It is apparent, however, that the motor vehicle, particularly the motor truck, is rapidly becoming an established agency for collection and distribution between the farms and the local markets. This fact alone is not sufficient to produce a close relation between consumer and producer, but it does become an essential part in the unity of production, transportation, and efficient marketing. That we are now faced with the necessity of bringing about a condition that will eliminate the waste and encourage the production of food stuffs, particularly the food stuffs such as eggs, milk and garden produce, which must be transported quickly and efficiently and at the same time provide a direct connection between producer and consumer, it is apparent to every student of economic and agricultural conditions. In this plan, the building of roads that will be continually serviceable under motor traffic is one of the essential requirements. Yet, the economic advantage of improved roads should not be emphasized to the detriment of their necessity from the social standpoint. It has yet to be proven that the value of improved roads is greater from a commercial standpoint than from that of the social service they will render. It is not necessary, however, to differentiate the two services. The same system that will give adequate commercial service to the state will likewise provide for the social uses, and it may be added, that any system of roads which gives adequate service to a community in times of peace will in times of war, with few modifications, serve the military demands.

# The Development of Highway Systems within the State.

The process of the development of highway systems in this state has been logical and the basis sound. Prior to 1913, the one hun-

RECOMMENDED HIGHWAY LEGISLATION

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

dred four thousand miles of public highway in the state were administered by the sixteen hundred odd townships. In 1913, the total mileage was divided into two systems; i. e., a township system of approximately eighty-eight thousand miles, and a county road system of approximately sixteen thousand miles. In 1917 the Highway Commission was authorized, in co-operation with the county boards of supervisors, to plan a third system; i. e., an intercounty system of from two thousand to six thousand miles. It has been found that an adequate primary system of roads, which will connect all of the large market places and county seat towns, and cover the principal traveled roads of each county, will require the maximum mileage stipulated. With the development of this system, our roads are now divided into the following classes:

Township road system, approximately 88.000 miles. County road system, approximately 10,000 miles. Inter-county road system, approximately 6,000 miles.

The exact details of the latter system have not been worked out, but the system as a whole is completed. The classification has not been based upon arbitrary methods, but by the natural selection of the main routes by the population in each community. The selection of the inter-county road system was made necessary by the requirements of the Federal Aid Road Act, which required the state to propose for improvement a system of roads on which would be expended the appropriations by the Federal Government.

# Highway Traffic Census.

The division of the highways of the state into continuous systems has produced a curious condition. While the amount of traffic has been increasing enormously, the amount of traffic on some of the roads of the township system has decreased and has concentrated on the roads of the county system. The concentration of the greatest portion of traffic on a limited mileage of roads has conclusively demonstrated the possibility of giving service to the public by improving a comparatively small percentage of the highways, which in general constitute the direct lines of communication between, or radiating from, population centers. In connection with the Federal Aid road projects during the past two years, accurate counts have been made of the travel over the roads proposed for improvement, with the following results:

In 1917, traffic counts were made at forty-seven stations located in seventeen different counties, widely distributed in the state. These counts were all taken on the roads of the intercounty system.

Local traffic, made up of the town to town, town to farm, and traffic originating in the towns, constituted forty-six per cent of total.

Inter-urban, and inter-county traffic, made up of traffic between towns in the same county, or between towns in adjoining counties constituted forty-seven per cent of the total.

Tourist, or inter-state traffic, constituted six and five-tenths per cent of the total.

The average daily traffic per station for the seventeen counties, was three hundred thirty-nine vehicles.

The daily average number of passengers carried was nine hundred ninety-six.

Of the average number of vehicles, three hundred thirty-nine, carried per day, two hundred ninety-two were motor driven and forty-seven horse drawn.

In 1918, traffic counts were made in nineteen counties at forty stations, with the following results:

Grand total units of traffic	57,886
Loaded freight vehicles	2,554
Total passengers carried	150,959
Average total units per station	1,808
Average daily traffic	260

This total traffic was divided as follows: Local, as defined above, forty-six per cent. Inter-urban and inter-county, forty-seven per cent. Tourist or inter-state traffic, six and one-half per cent.

The results of the traffic count for the two years agree quite closely, and indicate that the traffic over our roads on the basis of its origin, is divided into the following parts:

Local, or town to farm traffic, forty-six per cent. Inter-urban and inter-county, forty-seven per cent. Touris tor inter-state traffic, six and one-half per cent.

The traffic counts were taken at each station for a continuous period of seven days, and the results given are the average, daily results for all the stations. The counts taken during the two years, therefore, are the average for eighty-seven stations in thirty-six counties, over one-third of the total counties of the state. These counties are widely distributed and may be taken as fairly representative of the state away from the immediate vicinity of the larger cities, but the averages are misleading as to the total traffic which will occur on roads which lead into the larger cities. The average traffic on one of the main roads leading into the city of Atlantic in Cass county is nearly one thousand vehicles per day.

18

#### Preponderance of Motor Driven Vehicles.

Of equal interest is the classification of the traffic in accordance with its motive power. It was surprising to find that in 1917 the averages show that eighty-six per cent of the total traffic was motor driven and only fourteen per cent horse drawn. From the promised development of the motor truck, it is predicted that even this percentage of horse drawn vehicles will be decreased. The above figures are enlightening as to the reason why such an insistent demand is being made for the improvement of our main public highways in such a manner that they will render continuous service for motor driven vehicles.

Briefly summarized, it may be said that the average traffic on the main country road outside the influence of the larger cities, is three hundred vehicles per day, and these vehicles will daily carry more than eight hundred people, and that more than eighty per cent of these vehicles will be motor driven. Also that forty-six per cent of this traffic will be local, forty-seven per cent will be inter-town or inter-county, and six and one-half per cent will be inter-state. The results of future traffic counts may modify these results somewhat but it is considered that these figures present a fair basis on which to base our judgment as to the responsibility for bearing the cost for the improvement of the roads.

# Progress Made in Road Improvements.

In any plan proposed for road improvement in an agricultural state like Iowa, there are certain fundamental principles which should be recognized. An improved road leading from a market center into an agricultural district benefits, first, the property immediately adjoining, second, the local community, and third, the state and nation as a whole. If these benefits are recognized in any law providing for the cost of improved roads, there will be no necessity for the issuance of long term bonds or for the undue increase of taxes against property. Further, local initiative in the matter of road improvement should not be destroyed. It is an arduous task to build a system of administration in a state as large as Iowa, and any system proposed for highway improvement in this state should take into consideration and make use of existing agencies. A very large amount of fundamental work has already been done. We are now in a position to make use of the permanent grading, drainage, and bridge building already accomplished, as soon as a plan for financing road surfacing is provided. Through the joint agencies of the Boards of Supervisors, the County Engineers, and the State Highway Commission during the past four years, more than twenty-five hundred miles of road have been finished to permanent grade ready for a durable surface. During the past three years there have been placed on our roads a total of twenty thousand and twenty-nine culverts and bridges of reinforced concrete, and of structural steel and reinforced concrete. These are divided into eighteen thousand thirty-one reinforced concrete structures, built at a cost of seven million six hundred seventy-nine thousand dollars; and one thousand nine hundred ninety-eight structural steel and reinforced concrete structures, built at a total cost of four million sixty-seven thousand dollars.

It is estimated that there are fifteen hundred miles of permanently graded roads on the inter-county system. Plans are prepared or are now in the process of preparation for four hundred forty additional miles under Federal Aid projects. These roads should be placed under contract this year, which means that by the end of the year 1919 not less than one-third of the entire proposed inter-county road system will have been permanently graded, drained, and permanently bridged. In order to preserve these graded roads from destruction under the heavy traffic of motor vehicles and motor trucks that is developing so rapidly, some method of providing a durable surface is essentially the next step for highway legislation in this state.

It must be remembered that it will be a physical impossibility in this state to build an adequate system of roads within a limited period. Construction work of all character has been handicapped and practically brought to a close by the exigencies of the war. Now it will be necessary to rebuild organizations of contractors, to develop new sources of material and new lines of production adequate to meet the demands of a larger construction program. Any plan for road legislation providing for the surfacing of an adequate system should look forward to the completion of that system in not less than ten years and possibly longer. Even though the greatest efforts are concentrated on a main system of highways. there must not be interference with the improvement and maintenance of the other highway systems. This work must go forward, for these roads also will be constantly receiving an increased amount of traffic.

20

#### Federal Aid Appropriations.

The first Federal Aid Act, passed in 1916, provided a total appropriation of seventy-five millions of dollars to be distributed among the states on a five year allotment plan. This provided an average appropriation for the state of Iowa for each of the five years, of approximately four hundred twenty thousand dollars. There is a bill pending before the present congress which proposes to increase the total amount of Federal Aid to one hundred millions of dollars per year, which, on the same basis of distribution as used formerly, would appropriate to this state approximately two million eight hundred thousand dollars per year. It is impossible to predict the outcome of this measure, but the general and increasing sentiment for larger Federal Aid apportionments for road building will undoubtedly receive serious consideration from congress. It may be quite confidently expected that the Federal Aid apportionment to this state will be materially increased almost immediately.

# General Plan for Distributing the Cost of Road Improvement.

As an essential basis for any plan for road improvement, the cost must be distributed equitably. The figures which have been gathered by the traffic census provide a basis for such a distribution. As stated before, it is desirable in so far as possible, to avoid the issuance of long term bonds and also to avoid an increase in the taxes upon real property. It has been pointed out that approximately eighty-five per cent of the traffic on our main roads is provided by the motor vehicle. The demand for road improvement comes largely from the motor vehicle owner. It is believed, therefore, that with considerable fairness the greater portion of cost of a system of improved main roads may properly be placed upon the motor vehicle. There were registered in this state during the past eleven months period, approximately two hundred seventy-six thousand motor vehicles.

In Illinois, as a basis of the bond issue which has just been voted by the people of that state, there was a material increase in the motor license fee. This fee for 1920 is as follows:

10 H. P. and less\$	6.00
or TT D and more than 10	0.00
35 H. P. and more than 25 1	12.00

It will be noted that these rates average somewhat lower than the license fee required under the present Iowa statute. But this is

not the whole story. In Illinois, in addition to the license fee required, motor vehicles are taxed as personal property, and they are also required, in many cities, to pay a wheel tax. It appears equitable, therefore, that the license fee of motor vehicles in this state should be very materially raised. Motor trucks have not paid a fair license fee under the present Iowa law. In fact, it is doubtful if many of the heavier trucks are registered, and this condition should no longer be tolerated. It is believed that the license fees can be adjusted for this state so that the total revenues coupled with the revenues to be derived from registration fees for motor trucks, will be not less than five millions of dollars per year, and vet will not require a fee for the individual motor vehicle in excess of the Illinois registration fee plus the property tax, plus the wheel tax which is levied in many cities. With a fund of this amount available, the greater percentage of the cost of the improvement of the main system of highways would be placed upon road users. which is the fairest distribution that can be made.

The property which is directly served by the improved roads, should also pay an assessment in proportion to the benefits received. Practically all public improvements of streets, sidewalks, sewers, and such construction within our cities, is based upon the principle of a payment for the benefits by the benefited property. These benefits in the case of a public road should not be placed at a high per cent of the total cost. It is believed that somewhere between a minimum of one-tenth and a maximum of one-fifth of the cost would lie the fair proportion that should be paid by the directly benefited property. The limit of the benefited district should be left flexible to provide for special cases.

As stated before, the total amount of the increase in the Federal Aid appropriation for this state is still problematic, but with the reasonable certainty of an increased apportionment, it is believed that a material percentage of the cost of the system of improved roads would be paid from this source.

From the three sources, then, the increased revenue from motor vehicle funds, the increased Federal Aid apportionment, and the assessment against directly benefited property, in the neighborhood of eighty per cent of the total cost of road improvement would be financed outside of general property taxes. Perhaps an even higher percentage of the total cost might be paid from these sources. It is true that such a plan for financing the cost of road improvement would not leave a large percentage of the cost to be

22

25

paid by general property, but it must be borne in mind that the other roads must be built and maintained, and bridges and culverts must be built, and the present revenues are needed for this purpose.

In developing this method of financing the cost of main road improvement, the amount of the total cost that is levied against the general property should be met by taxes over the entire county property, including the property both within and without incorporated cities and towns. The community benefits of improved roads are general and extend to the cities and towns as well as to the country districts. There has been too long an unfair distribution of road taxes in this respect, and the situation should be remedied.

It may be said that this plan is open to the objection that in the pay-as-you go policy only a limited number of roads can be built each year. To meet this objection which is becoming serious around our larger centers of population, a plan similar to that used in Florida will provide that any community may proceed at a more rapid rate to improve the roads in its jurisdiction. Under this plan, surveys and plans would be prepared for a definite mileage of road, and bids taken from contractors, and the contracts let, subject to the stipulation that the contract is not effective until approved by a majority vote of electors in the county or district. Such a plan would necessitate the issuance of short term bonds by the county to carry the cost of the improvement, but the community would be reimbursed, except as to the amount to be paid by general property taxes, from the motor vehicle fees, the Federal Aid funds, and the assessments against benefitted property, as these revenues accrue.

Under the above general outline, each county in the state could proceed as slowly or as fast as it might desire, with the knowledge that the major part of the cost of its improvement would be paid for from the three sources mentioned. It should be borne in mind in considering this general plan, that the burden of the cost is thrown upon the road user and not upon property which may or may not be benefited. The property which is particularly benefited pays a direct assessment, and it is probable a very considerable percentage of the cost will be paid from Federal Aid funds. Without a material increase in the general taxes upon property, a construction fund of approximately ten millions of dollars per year can be aprovided from the four sources named, without interfering with the revenues for the upkeep of the township road system or for bridge purposes, and will still leave sufficient revenues for maintenance and some construction work on the county road system. There are portions of the state that will wish to proceed rapidly with the construction of roads, and other portions which will proceed more slowly. But under this plan, in a period of ten to twelve years, the actual cost of improving the entire inter-county road system will be financed and there will not be left at the end of the period, a staggering amount of bonds outstanding or the revenues from the motor vehicle fund anticipated for a considerable period in the future.

The construction work would be handled through existing agencies without the creation of new departments or new commissions. The boards of supervisors of the various counties, their engineers, and the organization of the State Highway Commission, are working in co-operation, and it is believed that a plan providing substantially for the principles as outlined will be most applicable to the conditions as they exist in this state, and will provide the next reasonable step in the development of highway legislation for the state.

It should be particularly kept in mind that the two sources of revenue from which the major portion of the construction funds would be derived, have come into existence within the past few years. In 1911 there were only thirty thousand motor vehicles in this state, and the total for the year 1918 will undoubtedly be above two hundred eighty thousand. The first assistance for road building to be derived from the Federal Government as a general procedure, was received in 1916. It is from these two new sources of revenue that the greatest part of the cost of road improvement for the main roads of the state is to be derived, and not by increasing the taxes either upon personal or real property.

#### Needed Legislation Along Other Lines.

Road Guide Posts. With the development of the inter-county system of highways, it becomes important that the system of roads should be defined by permanent markers which will direct the travelers over the road and mark the distances between the different points along the way. The last General Assembly for the State of Wisconsin provided for a system of marking the highways which has proven very convenient to the road users and has been the subject of many favorable comments from the travelers from this state who have been over the Wisconsin roads. In connection with the system of sign posts a map has been published showing

# IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

the routes and also describing the various points of scenic and historic interest. Such a guidebook is of inestimable value in advertising the state, and the example set by Wisconsin could well be followed in this state.

The system of sign posts should be placed and maintained under the direction of the State Highway Commission, and for this purpose the cost should be met from the maintenance fund of the State Highway Commission. There has been at the end of each biennial period a balance remaining from this fund which reverts to the General Treasury, and it should be specifically appropriated for the purpose of providing permanent and pleasing signposts. In this connection legislation should prohibit the use of the highways for advertising purposes of all character. It should be made a misdemeanor punishable by a sufficiently heavy fine to prevent absolutely the placing of signs along the public highway for advertising purposes, or for using for this purpose any of the structures built by the public, such as bridges. As travel over our roads becomes greater, the public highway will be used to a greater and greater extent for advertising purposes, unless such a development is prevented by legislation. Pennsylvania and Wisconsin absolutely prevent the placing of advertising signs upon the highway right of way, and such a plan should be followed in this state.

# Motor Truck Regulations.

As brought out in the preceding discussion, we do not at present have any legislation regulating the registration and operation of motor trucks of the heavier, slower moving class. A uniform motor truck regulatory measure has been proposed by the United States Chamber of Commerce and the general features of this measure were placed before the joint convention of the American Association of State Highway Officials and the Highways Industries Association in December of this year. It is desirable that any such law should be uniform for all the states, and it is particularly desirable that the laws of contiguous states be uniform. The general measure proposed by the U. S. Chamber of Commerce will be considered by the State Highway Departments of the Mississippi Valley states at an early meeting, and a uniform bill will be agreed upon to recommend to the General Assemblies of the states, including at least Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa and Missouri,

### Motor Vehicle Operators.

In addition to the regulation of motor trucks, there should be some adequate provision to guard against the use of the highways by incompetent and reckless drivers. A study of the accidents which are continually occurring on the highways shows that in practically every case accidents occur by reason of a reckless use of the highways, and often the victims of such accidents are not responsible by their own acts for the injuries which they receive. Reckless use of the highways will prevail so long as no adequate regulatory measure is enforced. As the highways are improved, this condition will become more acute. The actions of one reckless operator may destroy the incentive of a whole community to improve the highways and to such operators, after they have once demonstrated the lack of regard for the rights of others, should be refused permission to use the highways. In some such way only can the highways be made safe and the traveling public be protected.

# Issuing of Funding Bonds.

Another matter which deserves consideration is the present practice of issuing county funding bonds. In 1916, and again in 1917, the county expenditures for roads and bridges exceeded the current revenues by about three millions of dollars. This excess of expenditures over receipts was represented by warrants stamped "Not Paid for Want of Funds." After January First of each year over two million dollars worth of these warrants were taken up by issuing funding bonds.

The present law places no restrictions upon the issuing of funding bonds. Thus the overdraft and consequent bond issue may be for permanent improvements, for repairs or general maintenance. At the present time there are approximately \$10,000,000 of road and bridge funding bonds outstanding, about eighty per cent of this sum being for bridge expenditures.

During the past three years at least two-thirds of the counties have not kept their expenditures within their current revenues for road and bridge work, a majority of counties had a deficit in road and bridge funds on January 1, 1919, and more than two-thirds of them had a deficit in the bridge fund alone.

In respect to the issuing of funding bonds, several counties have reached their statutory limit of indebtedness, and others are fast

26

RECOMMENDED HIGHWAY LEGISLATION

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

approaching their limit. Some of these counties are continuing to exceed their yearly revenues and are not taking adequate provision for the retirement of their outstanding bonds. About twenty-five counties under the present law have accumulated a large bonded indebtedness for road and bridge construction and under no limitation or restriction as to the expenditure of the money derived from their overdrawing of funds.

In general, there is a tendency to make these bonds long term bonds, which accounts for the comparatively large accumulation of indebtedness of this form. If such bonds are issued, they should be retired within a few years.

The credit of many counties is decidedly impaired by the floating of "stamped warrants." When contractors are cashing these warrants at ridiculously high rates of discount it may be assumed that counties are paying high prices for what they purchase. In reading county advertisements for bids, it is common to note statements that "payment will be made in 'stamped warrants.'" It is poor practice for counties to be in position where they must pay their bills in "stamped warrants." Better prices can be secured if they are in position to pay cash.

#### Township Road Funds.

Some consideration may well be given the present system of handling township funds. The township funds amounting to over three and one-half millions of dollars annually, are paid over to the sixteen hundred township clerks by the county treasurers. Without attributing any element of dishonesty or misappropriation of funds, it is safe to say that much of this money is wasted. Furthermore, no adequate accounting for this vast sum is secured. Reports as to the use made of these funds are in hundreds of cases incomplete, or not forthcoming at all.

Township funds are sometimes placed in banks with the provision that township road superintendents could check on same in the payment of bills. Road superintendents are also allowed to collect poll taxes and expend same. Use of cemetery funds for road purposes has been known and the transfer of money from the drag fund to the road fund without the required legal action is common. In other cases large accumulations of township funds have been discovered in local banks. An instance recently came to light where the condition of the roads in a certain township was complained of and it was found that the township had over seven thousand dollars available for road work.

The cash balances in various county and township road funds reported for January 1, 1918, were as follows:

County Bridge Fund	
County Road Cash Fund	162,573.93
Motor Vehicle Road Fund	304,820.88
Total County Funds	488,615.14
Township Road Funds	643,443.75

While the county may be too large a unit for maintaining all of the public highways, there is evidence that the township is too small a unit for economically handling road construction. In a certain county during the past year, eight townships purchased tractor grading outfits. No one disputes that such outfits are the proper equipment for doing the work, but why are eight outfits necessary for the work in eight townships? The cost of this equipment represents too large an investment for the individual township.

The present law provides that counties may contract with townships to improve township roads and that the county shall be reimbursed from township funds for the cost of such improvements, but very rarely are such arrangements made. The township administration of road funds is fast becoming an economic impossibility under the conditions that are now imposed.

# ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT

# Chapter III. Administrative Department.

#### Organization.

The commission during 1918, consisted of H. C. Beard of Mt. Ayr, Chairman, J. W. Holden of Scranton and S. W. Beyer, Dean of Engineer of the Iowa State College. The two commissioners first named, have held office since April, 1913, when the commission was first organized under the present law. Dr. S. W. Beyer holds his membership ex-officio and has been a member of the commission since October 16, 1917.

The work of the commission is carried on under four general departments: The Administrative, the Road, the Bridge and the Department of Drainage Investigation.

#### **District Engineers.**

In 1918, it was found necessary to increase the number of district engineers from five to six. This reduced the number of counties under each district engineer from twenty to sixteen or seventeen. This change was made necessary on account of the increased amount of work handled through the district offices, the principal item of additional work being the administration of the Federal Aid Road Law, involving the taking of traffic census, the making of surveys and preparation of plans and estimates.

#### Fifth Annual Road Conference.

On February 26th, 27th and 28th, the Commission held its Fifth Annual Road Conference of county engineers and county supervisors. The meetings were attended by the employees of the Commission, 85 county engineers, 8 assistant county engineers, 56 county supervisors, 1 county auditor, and two other parties. These meetings are closed to contractors and salesmen in order that full time may be given to discussion and consideration of the topics presented.

In former years, these annual meetings were held primarily for the county engineers but the number of supervisors attending each year gradually increased, and the interest taken in the discussions by these officials, was so marked that for the past two years, the supervisors have been urged to attend and take part in the program. The complete program for the session is as follows:

# TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 26TH.

8:00 to 11.00 A. M .--

Registration-Room 105 of State Highway Commission.

11:00 A. M .--

Fifth Annual Road Conference called together by Chairman H. C. Beard. Topic One. 1918 Road Improvement Policies, State and Federal, Thos. H. MacDonald.

Announcements.

1:15 P. M .--

Topic Two. Materials of Construction, Present Conditions of Supplies, Prices, Contracts and Delivery, J. H. Ames. General Discussion.

Topic Three. Transportation and Power Problems. Motor Truck Economy, Geo. D. Steele.

Discussion led by W. H. Root, H. E. Cook, Wapello County.

Tractors-Hiring versus Owning.

General discussion.

Team Hauling-Prices and Availability, W. D. Maxwell, Sac City.

Actual Amounts and Weights of Traffic, J. S. Dodds.

Topic Four. Labor Problems.

Holding Labor by the Bonus Method, G. A. Blunt, Fayette County.

Day Labor or Contract, C. Coykendall, Polk County, J. C. Kerrigan, O'Brien County.

7:30 P. M ----

Chairman Beard, presiding.

Topic Five. Two Years Flying Over European Battlefields, Hal O'Flaherty.

Topic Six. Iowa's 1917 Highway Improvement Record.

A short summary of the progress recorded since our last annual conference.

Annual Report, F. W. Parrott.

Road Progress, F. R. White.

Bridge Progress, J. H. Ames.

Motion films showing road building within and without Camp Dodge. Polk County, and road building on Federal Aid Project No. 1, Cerro Gordo County.

### WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 27TH.

. 8:30 A. M .--

Topic Seven. 1918 Policy of Road Maintenance.

Patrol Law-Methods of Organization, F. R. White.

County Patrol Organization, Sam Steigerwalt, Story County; F. P. G. Halbfass, Muscatine County.

County Gang Organization, A. F. Fischer, Johnson County; B. F. Conlon, Montgomery County.

Topic Eight. Bridge Maintenance and Economy in Bridge Expenditure for 1918, E. W. Dunn.

Painting, C. E. Smith, Henry County,

32

Reflooring-General Discussion.

Topic Nine. Road Oiling-Specifications, J. S. Coye; Amos Melberg, Benton County; H. L. Phelps, Cedar County.

#### 11:00 A. M.-

Topic Ten. Law of Patents-Relation of Civil Engineers and Mechanical Engineers to the Patent Lawyers, Wallace R. Lane, Patent Attorney, Chicago, Illinois.

#### 1:30 P. M.-

Topic Eleven. Minnesota Roads, with Particular Reference to the Patrol System for the Maintenance of Gravel Roads, J. H. Mullen, Deputy Highway Commissioner of Minnesota.

General Discussion.

Topic Twelve. The Engineer in Army Service, Capt. George K. Mc-Cullough, 313th Engineers, Camp Dodge.

#### 5:30 P. M.-

Topic Thirteen. Engineering and Mechanical Skill as Distinguished from Invention, Wallace R. Lane.

#### 6:30 P. M.-

Loyalty Dinner, Hotel Sheldon-Munn, Chairman, H. C. Beard, presiding. Engineers' Roll of Honor, Commissioner S. W. Beyer.

Public Service, J. D. Buser, Muscatine County.

Ambulance Work on the Verdun Front, Bert Ragsdale, Des Moines.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 28TH.

8:30 A. M.-

Topic Fourteen. Road and Bridge Construction.

Bridge Field Notes and Plans, E. F. Kelley.

Securing Competition at Lettings, W. O. Price, Marion County; A. H. Withington, Appanoose County.

Inspection of Materials, E. B. Lorenzen.

Explosives in Road Work, F. H. Mann.

Filling Bridges and Culverts, C. D. Weller, Guthrie County; Don L. Teal, Jefferson County.

Topic Fifteen. Proportioning Concrete, Prof. D. A. Abrams, Lewis Institute, Chicago.

Discussion: R. W. Crum.

Topic Sixteen. Economics of Road Engineering, with Particular Reference to Road Grading, W. E. Jones.

Topic Eighteen. Inspection of Construction, J. A. Paulsen; R. W. Gearhart, Linn County.

#### County Engineers.

A large number of county engineers and assistant engineers enlisted for military service or were called in the draft, placing a serious handicap on the engineering department in some counties. This situation would have been of more serious moment had it not been that the Federal restrictions during the past season reduced operations to little more than maintenance and repairs, On December 21, 1917, the position of county engineer in Ida county was declared vacant by the commission, and the Board of Supervisors of said county instructed to immediately employ a competent engineer.

#### Appointments of Commissioners.

During the year, 32 formal Commission meetings were held of which 23 were attended by Commissioner Beard, 21 by Commissioner Holden and 31 by Commissioner Beyer. The Commissioners spent a total of 55 days inspecting the proposed intercounty road system of 26 counties. Other appointments involved road inspection, conferences with county boards of supervisors, county and township officers' meetings, conferences with other state officers and inspecting lakes for the State Executive Council.

The Commissioners spent a total of 185 days, attending meetings or on other assignments, while the Chief Engineer and other employees of the Administrative Department spent a total of 193 days on assignments outside the office.

#### Official Communications.

During the year, the following Official Communications were sent to county, city and town officials: No. 36 to the Trade, regarding State Material Letting; No. 37 to county engineers and county auditors regarding exemption of freight and express shipments from war tax; No. 38 to county supervisors and county engineers regarding restricted use of bitumen products; No. 39 to county supervisors and county engineers regarding priority order as to the use of open top cars; No. 40 to city and town councils, and city engineers regarding restricted use of bitumen products; No. 41 to county engineers, regarding delayed delivery of lumber contracted for; No. 42 to county supervisors, city councils, county engineers and city engineers regarding federal and state approval on all road, bridge and street improvements during the period of the war; No. 43 to county supervisors, city councils, county and city engineers regarding the continuance of construction work on roads, streets and highway bridges now substantially underway, until November 1, 1918; No. 44 to county supervisors, city council, county and city engineers regarding highway, street and bridge improvement, and materials therefor.

# ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

#### Contract Approval.

All contracts approved are entered on the Minutes of the Commission. Two hundred and sixty-three contracts, including road, bridge and material contracts were submitted for approval in 1918.

#### Accounting.

Although all expenditures by the Commission are made through the Auditor and Treasurer of State, a detailed record and classification of expenditures is kept in the Commission office. The records relating to expenditures in connection with Federal Aid Projects involve the greatest amount of labor.

#### Statistical Reports.

In addition to the annual reports filed by county engineers, several questionnaires were sent to county engineers and county auditors during the year in gathering the data required in formulating plans to meet conditions and to furnish information requested by various Federal Departments and Boards. Frequent requests for statistical information are received from other state departments, members of the General Assembly, official departments of other states and the general public. All such requests are complied with if the information is at hand or can be compiled. A trained office force has been developed to collect and compile this information.

#### Publications.

During the year, nine issues of the regular Service Bulletin were published. The following technical publications were issued:

Standard Specifications for Bridge Lumber and Piling. Standard Plans for Reinforced Concrete Abutments—Series "K". Standard Plans for Thru Riveted Truss Spans, "T" Series. Standard Plans for Low Riveted Truss Spans with Joists—"Y" Series. Standard Specifications for Corrugated Metal Culverts. Standard Specifications for Federal Aid Projects. Standard Specifications for Bridge Paints.

#### Changes in County Road System.

The statutes provide that the county road systems may be altered or added:

- 1. To eliminate dangerous crossings.
- 2. To eliminate dangerous curves.
- When the proposed change would materially decrease the cost of improving the road.

- 4. When the proposed change would materially decrease the cost of maintenance.
- 5. Whenever all of the roads of the county system have been improved according to the plans provided for.

Action to amend the county system must first be taken by the county board of supervisors but the action of the county board is not effective until approved by the Highway Commission.

Story county was the first to complete the grading of its county road system. Such grading was practically completed at the end of 1917 or a year ago, but instead of taking over a portion of the township system as may be done under provision 5 cited above, the board of supervisors has so far, pursued the policy of graveling the present county system before making additions to same.

During the year ended December 1, 1918, 82 requests from 50 counties were made for modifications in the county road system. After investigation, the Commission approved 71 of these requests, adding 144.75 miles to the county system, and removing 11.75 miles, making a net increase of 133 miles.

#### State Fair Exhibit.

At the request of the State.Board of Agriculture, the Commission presented its usual exhibit at the State Fair. A large number of visitors requested that their names be placed on the mailing list of the Commission's Service Bulletin.

#### Employment of Women in Drafting Department.

On account of the increased difficulty in securing and retaining men in the drafting department, it was decided by the Commission on May 31st, 1918, to establish a drafting department composed of women. To provide a trained force of draftswomen, a class was organized in which was enrolled about 20 young women, most of whom had had some training in mechanical drawing. A competent instructor was secured to develop this class, and within a few weeks, some of the members were able to begin tracing plans prepared by regular draftsmen for actual use.

The members of this class were paid in the beginning a salary of \$35.00 per month with the promise of advancement in salary as soon as they were able to turn out work for actual use. Within a period of about two months, all of the individuals were able to turn out finished tracings of acceptable workmanship and their salary was advanced in keeping with the amount of work produced. From this class, a force of about ten (10) was selected and retained on

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

regular work under the direction of a competent woman superintendent, and have been retained as a part of the present organization of the Commission.

#### Conference with Bridge Companies Regarding Essential Work.

On September 6, 1918, a conference was held between the Highway Commission and representatives of a number of bridge companies relative to bridge contracts under the ruling of the Federal Government, that contracts shall be approved only for essential work. These representatives requested that the bridge work be approved as essential before the actual lettings are held. The Commission agreed to endeavor to see that such proposed work was approved as essential before bids were taken on said work.

#### Conference with Grading Contractors.

A committee representing the grading contractors of Iowa appeared before the Commission on March 29, 1918, and represented to the Commission that under the then existing industrial conditions, it was impossible for grading contractors to figure successfully on grading work at a flat price, and asked that a plan for taking bids and making contracts on a cost plus percentage basis be approved. The Commission replied that there was some question as to the legal standing of a contract on a cost plus percentage basis under the Iowa laws, but asked the Committee to submit a proposed plan of procedure for consideration.

Later, a suggested plan for taking bids and making contracts for grading work on a cost plus percentage basis was submitted by the same committee of contractors. This plan was submitted by the Highway Commission to the Attorney General for an opinion as to the legal status of such a plan. The Attorney General replied that in his opinion, there was no provision in the Iowa statute under which such form of contract could legally be put into effect. No further action was then taken with reference to said proposal.

#### Restricting Expenditures for Road and Bridge Work.

Acting in accordance with rulings of the Capital Issues Committee, the Commission requested the county boards of supervisors to confine their expenditures to road and bridge maintenance, and the performance of new work which might be classed as essential.

This action was taken in an effort to keep expenditures for road and bridge work within the current revenue available for such work and as a result of this retrenchment on the part of the county boards of supervisors, the outstanding warrants at the end of 1918 amounted to two-thirds of a million dollars less than the amount outstanding at the end of 1917.

It has been the practice of counties for the past several years, to issue warrants for highway work amounting to several million dollars more than their receipts from current revenues, and to issue funding bonds at the end of the year to take up such outstanding warrants. Owing to the bond issues by the Federal government, it was thought that difficulty would be experienced in issuing funding bonds to take up warrants at the end of 1918.

#### Engineering Assistance Rendered Other State Departments.

During the past year, the Highway Commission at the request of other state departments, rendered engineering assistance in making surveys, plans and specifications and in superintending construction. At the request of the Executive Council, assistance was furnished in making detailed plans and specifications for improvement of the Capitol Grounds Extension and in inspecting and superintending the construction of said improvement.

The Executive Council also requested the Commission to survey certain lake beds which were involved in drainage projects. Surveys and plans were made for the improvement of several lakes under the jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and Game.

At the request of the Department of Agriculture, plans and specifications were furnished for paving the Walnut street entrance to the Fair Grounds, and an inspector was furnished while such paving was under construction.

Engineers were furnished to make surveys and plans and to oversee construction and maintenance of roads at various state institutions under the direction of the Board of Control of State Institutions.

At the request of the Department of Justice, the Commission made a survey of certain lands condemned by the state for the use of the cantonment at Camp Dodge.

# Preparation of Progressive Military Maps.

At the direct request of Governor W. L. Harding the Highway Commission undertook the preparation of progressive military maps and the compiling of certain information requested by the War Department.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The basic maps were prepared by the women's drafting department from data contained in various government maps and the collection of the detailed information required, was begun by a party supplied with a Ford car. It was intended to start other parties collecting such data but the weather became unseasonable for this character of field work, and work was suspended during the winter seasons.

#### Stream Guaging.

In co-operation with the U. S. Geological Survey, seven new stream gauging stations have been established in rivers in the south and west part of the state. These stations supplement those which the State Geological Survey had helped maintain in the eastern part of the state. The equipment for these stations was furnished by the Federal Department and the methods used in measuring the stream flow are those developed by that department.

#### Selection of Inter-County Road System.

As directed by the provisions of Chapter 249, Acts of the 37th General Assembly, the Highway Commission has selected a "comprehensive system" of inter-county highways containing approximately 6,000 miles. The full details of this system have not been definitely determined, but the system as a whole has been selected.

The selection of the inter-county highways involved numerous inspection trips on the part of members of the Commission and Engineers from the general office, and the District Engineers, and some additional inspections must be made before the details of location are finally decided.

Before taking any action towards the selection of the system, each county board of supervisors was asked to submit its recommendation to the Highway Commission. The system approved, conforms in most cases to the roads recommended by the boards of supervisors, but some adjustment had to be made where roads did not connect at county lines.

#### Bridge Patent Litigation.

The 34th General Assembly of Iowa, on the recommendation of the Highway Commission, passed an act empowering the governor of the state to direct the attorney general to appear for any county. city or town or other municipality, or any officer thereof or contractor therewith whenever any of these became a party to an action charging infringement of any patent involving any process or material entering into highway bridge or culvert construction.

During the interval since this law became operative, the state of Iowa, through the Department of Justice and the State Highway Commission, has prepared or assisted in preparing arguments and evidence for the defense in four patent cases in this state. The evidence gathered in these cases, has been made available for the use of the defense in similar suits in Kansas, Nebraska and Wisconsin. These states have likewise co-operated in making available to Iowa, the evidence gathered by them which is pertinent to the Iowa cases.

The four cases above referred to are as follows: Bone vs. Walsh Construction Co., Davenport, Iowa. Suit for infringement of patent on retaining wall reinforcement; Edwin Thatcher vs. Polk County. Edwin Thatcher vs. City of Des Moines—Suit for infringement of patent on arch reinforcement; Luten vs. Marsh Engineering Company. Infringement on patents of various details of bridge construction.

Of the above cases, the first was dismissed by motion of the plaintiff when the case came before the court in April, 1916. The second was tried before Federal Judge Wade in June, 1916, and under date of September 17th, 1916, the court in a sweeping decision, decided this case in favor of the defendant. Following this decision, the complainants petition in the third case was dismissed by the court. The fourth case was tried before Federal Judge Wade in December, 1917. Immediately after the close of the hearing, Judge Wade announced his decision in favor of the defendant. The decision denied all royalty claims and set aside all charges of infringement. The court further held that the patents involved, were invalid and that the ideas on which the patents were based, are unpatentable.

A detailed description of the evidence compiled by the Department of Justice and the State Highway Commission in the above named cases, is given in Chapter IV of the 1916 Annual Report of the State Highway Commission. Since 1916, however, some additional evidence was collected for the defense in the last named case. The decision of Federal Judge Wade as announced orally in the District Court of the U. S. in and for the southern district of Iowa, central division, on January 3, 1918, is of such great importance, not only to the state of Iowa, but to every state in the Union, that the full text of same is submitted herewith:

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

In The

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In and For the Southern District of Iowa

Central Division.

DANIEL B. LUTEN,

Complainant

versus

No. 4009 Equity.

J. B. MARSH, et al.

Respondents.

Oral Opinion Delivered by Hon. MARTIN J. WADE, Presiding Judge, on January 3, 1918.

Hood & Schley, Indianapolis, Ind., Counsel for Plaintiff. WALLACE R. LANE, Chicago, Ill., Special Counsel for the State of Iowa. H. M. HAVNER, Attorney General State of Iowa, Counsel for Defendants.

THE COURT: I am going to dispose of this case now, notwithstanding the fact that it must be self-evident that I have not had time to study the various items of evidence presented here upon both sides, and if I had any doubt in my mind as to what the decision ought to be, I would take the time to go through this record more carefully. But I have not the time to study this record within the next few months and I feel that this is a case that should be promptly disposed of, first, because of the public importance of it-the far reaching effect it may have, and second, because of some of the methods disclosed here which have been employed by the plaintiff in the business of constructing bridges or getting the business of designing bridges. These methods no court can approve-some of them, at least. I am not sure but what they ought to be construed as sufficient to deny the plaintiff relief on the ground that he does not come into equity with clean hands. I refer especially to the half-truths which are worse than falsehoods, in some of these representations made to contractors. Because when a man recites a list of cases as having been tried. or in which decisions have been rendered, without disclosing that nearly all of them have been consent decrees, it is not the truth. It is only half the truth. Those consent decrees should never have been utilized for any such purpose. It ought to appear upon their face, stamped plainly "by consent of parties," and not be held out as the solemn action of the court, which has never inquired into the facts at all. In fact, I am not sure but that there ought to be a prohibition of consent decrees in patent cases, because of the fact that they are by some persons used as the basis of obtaining settlements when the one party knows that the decree is not the decree of the court, but the decree prepared by consent of parties and simply approved by the court without investigation, and the other party does not. So that I feel that this is a matter which ought to be disposed of promptly, and I also feel that, in view of the disclosures in this case,

# ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT

Congress ought to pass a law providing that the Attorney General, or some one else, may institute a proceeding testing the validity of patents, settling the rights of parties on both sides—at least, as to the validity and as to the construction of the patent. Of course, anybody can see, in a field of this kind, which extends so far, that until these patents are settled, they will always be an obstacle—or always may be an obstacle to the development of the art, and to the utilization by communities of the best there is in bridge engineering. Plaintiff has certain rights, or he has not, and the plaintiff in this length of time, certainly should have some of these rights determined finally. I don't know whose fault it is, so far as the case which Judge Lewis decided so long ago, which has been lying there two years after his decision was rendered, with the rights of the public still in the balance, is all wrong. The final decision of that matter should and would aid very materially the rights of the plaintiff here, and the rights of the public. There is something wrong!

Well now, in this first group of claims under patent No. 852,970, nobody claims that this pavement under a bridge is new. No one claims that the method provided for putting in this pavement is new, but what plaintiff claims is, as I understand it, that the tapering edge extending into the bed of the stream is a new invention. Well, if there is any invention about it, I don't think it is new. Without going into the evidence, the publication in the Engineering News in 1891 is such that I feel that any mechanic called upon to do that work would do it, if he was sufficiently well educated to understand the matter in the manner pointed out by Mr. Luten in his patent. The Engineering News says:

Wherever water is to be carried, it is very necessary to protect both ends by sheet pile aprons or curb walls as shown in Figures 1 and 3. This is needed as much, if not more, at lower than at upper ends, because if water is at all rapid and material soft, failure most frequently takes place at lower ends, as shown by the line of scour in Fig. 3.

A filling of large and small broken stones to carry this protection still farther is desirable, and, in case of rapid fall in the water surface, several cross walls to protect the scour are often useful. Sheet piles can be used instead of cross walls, if always wet.

#### And further:

These remarks cover an important and much neglected matter. Our observation is that the protection is needed very much more at the lower end than the upper. Great carelessness is often shown in this respect when great care should rather be used to carry the water safely away from the structure.

In other words, anybody with powers of observation and experience in handling beds of streams—trying to pave the bed of a stream—would know instinctively when he saw the paving tapering off there that if it stopped with the actual, technical bottom of the stream, the effect of the current would be to do the very thing described in the Engineering News. The very warning that the Engineering News gives for the protection of the lower end must be provided against or else you would have a washout, and of course any one could see without the exercise of any inventive genius that a floor on the bottom of the stream, just level with the bottom of the stream, not extending into it to any degree, would simply invite a

counter-current under the edge there which would wash it out. In this patent, of course, it shows the curve of the whole paving downward to and into the bottom of the stream, but that is not essential at all. It doesn't make any difference, nor is it any advantage, what form the bottom of the paving is. The only thing that is of importance is what is the form of the top of the paving. In other words, if you put paving in there a lood deep in the bottom of the stream and have the lower edge taper off in the form described by Mr. Luten, I assume that you have the same thing exectly as if you had a three-inch paving which curved down and extended into the bed of the stream to the same degree that the surface of the other pavement extended. I do not think in the state of the art at the time that this patent was granted that Mr. Luten's patent is of any validity

Now, group two is under patent No. 852,970. That relates to the extend. ing of the spandrel and the wing walls. I have not yet been able to determine what Mr. Luten claims to have invented. I understand, of course, what he has described in his application, and what is described in the patent. I understand the purpose which he claims this construction will serve, but the particular thing invented I have not yet been able to grasp It is not disputed that the extension of the spandrel was old. There cannot be any question about that! It did extend prior to that time, sometimes to a greater or less degree, beyond the pier. Of course, if it was old and varied in its extension, then it became simply a matter of experience and custom of those skilled in the art, working in the peculiar roadway involved in each particular case as to how far the spandrel ought to extend out; how far it would have advantage in extending out, depending of course upon the length of the bridge and other things. And there is no claim here that the extension of the spandrel is a patentable novelty, or was at that time.

As to the wing wall, it is nothing more or less than a retaining wall, which probably has been in use as long as civilization has existed, because I apprehend that even the savage, if there was a bank of earth liable to wash down by the rains upon the back end of his tent, would go out and take stones or other material and try in a crude way to retain it frem sliding. Now, whether you need a retaining wall in connection with a bridge, or not, depends of course, upon the nature of the soil, the height of the banks, the extent to which the spandrel goes, and all those things. But I can find no patentable novelty or patentable idea in combining the old extended spandrel and the old retaining wall. It is true that Mr. Luten may have added very materially to the usefulness of the art of building bridges by pointing out a way in which the amount of material necessary for the retaining wall might be reduced by the proper conformation of the embankment or fill which might be possible with an extended spandrel; but this mere reduction of the amount of material, after all, is purely an engineering proposition, and, while to the layman, it may seem to involve invention to reduce materially the amount adequate to stand strain-to the mind of the engineer, it is just as simple as the adjustment of battens on the cracks of a barn, to the ordinary man-or should be at least. It is simply the application of knowledge which he possesses. In judging whether these things involve inventive genius, we have got to consider the field of scientific knowledge in which the party undertook to work. Things that might seem very dense to us, who are not educated is that field, would be matters of common knowledge there.

I thought yesterday when counsel was referring to the opinion of Judge Orr in the Melber case, that this language was peculiarly apt as applied to the claims put forth on this particular group:

A man is not entitled to a patent for a structure which is old just because he determines the reason why the structure should be used.

Now, it may be that nine-tenths of the men who build circular cisterns do not know or understand the reason why they can build a solid, strong structure with so few brick; they do not understand the arch construction which gives support, one brick to another all the way around, but it does it and it has been done right along. If some man comes along and points out that masons are using too many brick—that all pressure and strains can be mot by a wall half the thickness of the structure usually constructed under the methods employed by cisten builders, he has not invented anything at all—he has merely pointed out something that any competent person with knowledge, who sits down and studies the situation, would know.

Now, as to group three under patent No. 853.202, I cannot agree that this extended rod or wire, or support across the abutment, is or was at the time this patent was issued, a patentable invention. It is true that I have not given the study to this matter that possibly the subject requires, but I gave it considerable study at the time of the trial of the Thatcher case, and I have very grave doubts whether any of this method of reinforcing is patenable invention, or has been for twenty years, or has been at all after some man first found that reinforcing could be put into concrete and maintained, and that it would add to its strength. Once you have settled the problem that reinforcing can be added to concrete formation by the use of wires and rods, and that it is possible to form these structures and maintain them intact, and give them strength and power because of this reinforcement, all the rest is a matter of engineering knowledge, pure and simple. The cantilever principle which Mr. Luten sought to apply in this patent, of course, was old and well known. The cantilever principle has been applied in a thousand ways to bridges and other structures, and I do not believe that because a man uses it in a particular structure in which it was never used before, he can get a patent on that structure or the form of use in that structure. It is a matter of simply sitting down and figuring it out with a pencil and paper (that is, for the skilled engineer) where the reinforcement ought to go in order to bring the best support to the structure; and, as I understand, it is a matter which any competent engineer can figure out. Some of them, I admit, are more skilled than others, being perhaps better able to figure it out, but I do not believe that because one man is more skillful in that particular science than some other man, that what he figures out purely. I might say, as a mathematical problem, is invention, and I do not believe that it is patentable.

I do feel that somewhere along the progress of the art, when somebody discovered that it was possible to use these rods, and that they

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

might be utilized to good advantage in particular work, that he may have exercised inventive genius in bringing that knowledge to the world; but certainly after the Monier patent, and certainly after the disclosures made by the publications in the field of knowledge in which Mr. Luten worked, and certainly after the extensive discussion and great public interest in the work of reinforcement, I do not feel that anybody who in his structure simply applies well settled principles of mathematics applied to strain, has any patentable invention.

Judge Lewis says something about that, and his remarks ought to be approved. He says:

The complainant as a witness disclaimed that his patents, or any of them, embodied anything beyond or more than placing the steel in a new way that produces better results in a more efficient form. Now, in a concrete bridge, the greatest efficiency is always secured by resisting tension or pull with steel rods. That has been established for a half century; not perhaps with curved tension members, but the basic idea is very old. There is no question about that.

This is the language of complainant in this case, as I understand Judge Lewis' opinion. Judge Lewis continues:

But none of complainant's patents in its specifications, including drawing and in the claims, gives any specific direction as to just where any of the reinforcing members should be placed. This I suppose would in each instance depend upon the maximum load to be carried.

In other words, strictly speaking, the contention here must logically end in the proposition that you have patented a principle and not a structure. Again, Judge Lewis says:

This I suppose would in each instance depend upon the maximum load to be carried, the length of the spans, and other elements which involve mechanics only, and would necessarily, I assume, be worked out in determining the amount of compression and tension under the established formulae in statics. In a general way the points of greatest stress can be roughly approximated without the use of mathematical tables, but this is centuries old—that is, it is open to common observation, and the fundamental purpose of reinforcing concrete was to strengthen the structure at these points; such a discovery in Luten's day is no evidence of inventive genius.

I agree with the statement of Judge Lewis. Somewhere along in civilization, humanity came to the point where they same to use barbed wire fences; before that it was a smooth wire fence. The man who put up the first wire fence of any character found that he had to have his corner posts stayed in some way or his fence would fall down. From that time and since, there have been various devices produced to better stay corner posts. The first man that put a stay there may have fastened it on the post four feet from the ground, and may have carried it back eight feet into the earth, and his fence may have slackened because the post gave way in six months or a year. Some other man came along and fastened the wire to the top of the post and carried it back twenty feet, and better results were obtained. I don't think he invented anything at all. I think he has simply applied the ordinary rule of mechanics to a situation, the whole field of which was disclosed in the first conception of placing the post that way. The rest was a mere matter of detail in carrying it out, depending upon the height of the post and the weight of the fence. Another man comes along, and to hold the fence, he uses a brace on the inside, and I don't think he invented anything. Now, to the mind of the skilled and educated engineer, these bridges are but to a large extent, corner posts. Simple to their minds and complex to ours. And in the varying applications of supports to strains and stresses, they are not using inventive genius. I cannot sustain this patent. Aside from the considerations, I have already expressed, long before this patent was granted, Monier and Von Emperger had given the world—not perhaps the particular piece of wire or iron which the Luten patent contemplated, but the method of doing that very thing. The art is old.

Now, Patent No. 853,203 of 1907, relates to the arch supported on abutments or piers, as shown in Claim 1:

An arch supported on abutments or piers having tension members embodied near its concave surface, and other tension members passing back and forth through the material of the arch and between the first mentioned tension members and the adjacent surface, substantially as described.

What I have said with regard to the previous patent, No. 853,202, is applicable to this as well. I have also given some consideration to the questions involved in a similar claim in the Thatcher case and I feel that if this patent be valid at all, in view of the prior art, it would be so narrow that this construction of the defendant would not be an infringement. My own judgment, with all the consideration I have given the matter, is that in the description of the invention in this patent, the inventor or patentee simply disclosed an application of the knowledge which at that time had been disclosed by engineers and publications and patents. True, he may have given some study to it, but his conclusion from that study was not inventive. It was merely demonstrative. The application of well settled, well disclosed principles to peculiar conditions which vary in each structure perhaps. But if I should be wrong, if he got something in that patent, it must be limited simply to the very thing that he has disclosed, and that very thing in my judgment, is not infringed in this case. I need not say more about that because I have already considered the same question-not upon the same patents of course, but in the Thatcher case, where the same principles were involved.

Now as to group five, Patent No. 934,411, which pertains to the wall with the coping of such top formation as to divert attention from the defects of the lower wall—there Mr. Luten was applying knowledge which has existed ever since men have understood the placing of lights and shadows in art. So far as that being the particular function of the structure is concerned, I do not think it is patentable at all. He did to more, in that, so far as that particular purpose is concerned, than the men over in France who are now doing the camouflage for the army. If that wall for that purpose was natentable, then every gun over there with its barrel painted to represent a zebra or some other animal to tr to conceal its presence from the enemy, is patentable. As I see it, the principle involved in the patent is simply to adopt a method that will attract attention to one feature of an object and detract from another. It is simply the application of known peculiarities of humanity that they usually think about only one thing at a time, and if you can attract attention to the top of this wall, they don't see the rest. I think it was Josh Billings who disclosed the same theory to us when he said that tight boots were the greatest blessing of humanity because they made a man forget all of his other miseries. I don't think there is anything patentable in that at all. Insofar, now, as he did create a method of putting on a coping which would be more effective, or which might be easier constructed, or having some other valuable purpose in the construction of a wall, there might be a chance for a patentable invention. But it is quite apparent to me that in that particular structure which he describes, he wasn't doing any more than applying matters of knowledge common at that time. The building of a wall partially and then allowing it to harden. and then building on an additional portion on top whether it takes the form of a coping or the mere extension of the same size wall, I don't think is claimed to have been new. I think it must be conceded, in view of the record here, that it was in common use. When I say "common use" and when we talk about "common use" with relation to this art, of course, it does not mean what is ordinarily meant by the word "common", because back at that time there was very little of this concrete used. It was in the beginning of the development of this art, the building of concrete bridges and concrete structures, so that I could not say it was an every day event, but what I mean is that such structures were actually in use and built before this patent was issued, and in a manner practically as described in the patent. In other words, I see nothing of an inventive nature to improve on the methods the other fellows employed in the manner of building the coping or tops of walls. For that reason, I cannot sustain that claim, and the complainant's petition is dismissed, and judgment will be allowed against him for costs.

MR. LANE: May we have a dismissal as to all of the patents in this suit so that the record may show that? There are four patents mentioned in the petition that have not been taken out of the case and concerning which no testimony was introduced, and we would like to have a dismissal as to all of them.

THE COURT: The entire petition is dismissed, of course. The petition in Court here is dismissed.

#### **Registration of Highway Routes.**

.

During 1918, eight (8) highway routes were registered with the State Highway Commission under the Provision of Section 1527s22, Supplement to the Code 1913. A complete list of registered highways is given below. Numbers 35 to 42 inclusive were registered during the past year:

Number	Name of Route	Date R	egistered
	Great White Way	July	30, 1914
1	Charles Descent	July	30, 1914
2	Contag Doint MOTOR CIED.	Sept.	1914
3	D J Dall Doute	Jan.	2, 1915
4	THE THERE WANTED	June	28, 1915
5	(1 through (Prolls	June	28, 1915
6		April	8, 1916
7	ca ditter & Loon Short Lity	April	8, 1916
8	The The Trail	April	S. 1916
9	Charlest Tichway	June	9, 1916
10	The J Time	June	9, 1916
11	The second secon	June	9, 1916
12	Thesh Hawk (Prail	Sept.	25, 1916
13	T ff man Uighway	Sept.	25, 1916
14	The set Poulte	Sept.	25, 1916
15	Hawkeya Cut-Off	Sept.	25, 1916
16	Tatash Themond (Prail	Sept.	25, 1916
17	Timoolo Highway (IOWS DIVISIOD)	Dec.	2, 1915
18	Daniel Boong (Prail	Dec.	22, 1916
19	Desers Dillo	Dec.	22 1916
20	Diamond Trail	Dec.	22, 1916
21	Rex X Route	Dec.	22, 1916
22	Ch 1 II Macil	Mar.	23, 1917
23	Cadex Danide Ottumwa & Metiregor ITall	Mar.	28, 1917
24	mound meal	Mar.	23, 1917
25	Grand Line	Mar.	23, 1917
26	We ship ston Highway	Mnr.	23, 1917
27	Lineville-Indianola Short Line	Mar.	23, 1917
28 29	These I Highway	Mar.	23, 1917
	Howkawa Highway	June	29, 1917
30	Star Route	Dec.	1, 1917
31 32	Burlington Way	Dec.	1, 1917
32	Town Blue Gross Route	Dec.	1, 1017
33	Depleon Slour City Cut-Off	Dec.	1. 1917
34	Imparial Highway	Jan.	4, 1918
30 36	Timoolo Hewkova Pike	Jan.	22, 1918
30	Muscatine-Des Moines Short Line	Mar.	18, 1918
	River to River Route	April	16, 1918
38 39	Propert Dowors Highway	July	29, 1918
39	WATER WITCH STATES	Sept.	13. 1918
	Woodward Orden (111-011	Dec.	4, 1918
41	Diagonal Trail	Dec.	4, 1918

Chapter IV. Bridge Department.

# December 1, 1917, to December 1, 1918.

During the period of one year as covered by this report, the bridge department prepared detailed plans for 236 bridges for fifty-eight counties, estimated to cost \$1,298,500.00; approved 163 designs submitted from thirty-six counties, the estimated cost of which was \$634,000.00; checked and approved seventy-nine detailed shop drawings for steel structures from forty-one counties on work estimated at \$184,000.00; checked for approval 173 bridge contracts totaling \$1,760,797.85 from sixty-eight counties; approved fifty-six material contracts from thirty-six counties; developed nine new standard designs for bridges; made 103 special inspection trips to forty-six counties.

The district engineers representing the bridge department have attended 103 bridge lettings in sixty-nine counties on advertised work totaling \$2,226,000.00; attended seventy-five material lettings in fifty-seven counties; spent fifty-eight days in examining bridge sites; spent 180 days in supervising and inspecting bridge work and two days in certifying emergency work.

In addition to the above, this department has handled all of the field and office work on the preparation and checking of plans and estimates for railroad crossing improvements and attended a number of conferences on this work. A more detailed statement of the railroad crossing work appears in Chapter V. The tabulation following shows the summary and comparative statements of the detailed work of this department during the past three years exclusive of the work done on railroad crossing improvements.





Standard Iowa protecting guard rail design is shown in the two views above. The first will become a familiar sight to summer outing autoists who visit Spirit Lake. The second is in Story county on the Lincoln Highway on a heavy cut and fill west of the city of Ames.



Concrete arch bridge over Wapsipinnicon river in city of Independence built in 1917 at a total contract price of \$38,777.00. Bridge consists of three eighty-foot arch spans with a forty-foot roadway and two six-foot sldewalks. city of Independence.



Undergrade crossing constructed on Lincoln Highway three miles east of Nevada. A very dangerous grade crossing on the main line of the C. & N. W. R. R. was eliminated by a grade separation as shown above. The total cost of the improvement was estimated to cost \$25,000, of which the county paid \$3,000.00, the remaining amount being paid by the railroad company.

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

SUMMARY AND COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF THE DETAILED WORK OF THE BRIDGE DEPARTMENT ON BRIDGES AND CULVERTS, 1916, 1917, 1918.

	1916	1917		1918
Bridge designs	No. of designs	843		236
Approval of bridge plans	Estimated value \$ 1,511,000 No. approved \$ 1,511,000 288 No. of counties	\$ 1,441,000	\$	163
Approval of shop drawings	No. approved \$ 582,000 No. of counties 176	\$ 413,500 154	-	36 634,000 79 41
Approval of bridge contracts	No. approved \$ 324,000	\$ 355,000	49	
Approval of material contracts_	No. of counties 80 Total am'nt approved \$ 2,043,393 No. approved 68 No. of counties 87 No. of counties 87	\$ 2,128,000 49	\$	56
Bridge lettings attended	No. of bridges 139 No. of bridges 2,301 Est'd amount bridge	120 2,359		36 103 1,714
No. of material lettings at-	work involved \$ 2,322,000	\$ 2,631,000	87	2,226,433
No. of inspection trips	87 79	80 68		75 103

Since the present highway law became effective in April, 1913, the engineers of the bridge department have designed, checked or approved 4,155 detailed individual plans for specific bridges with a total estimated cost above \$11,130,000.00; checked and reported for approval 924 bridge contracts with a total contract price of \$8,344,800.00; prepared detailed railroad crossing plans on work estimated at \$732,353.00; approved 212 material contracts; attended 502 bridge lettings on advertised bridge work above \$8,856,-000.00 and attended 318 material lettings.

#### Bridge Designs for Specific Locations.

The Commission through its bridge department prepares special designs for bridge work for any county in the state without cost. Field notes secured by the county engineers and district engineers of the Commission form the basis of the general and detailed plans. A large number of bridge and culvert designs are prepared by the Commission each year under this plan.

The bridge department has prepared 2,339 designs for specific locations since the road law went into effect in April, 1913, which are estimated to cost \$7,169,500.00. The average estimated cost of structures designed for the past four years is as follows:

2,580	!		÷		 ς.	4		4		.,		÷	å	.)	÷		 • 1	÷.	5	• •		;	1	4			915	
3,200				 													 								.,		916	
4,200				.,	 				.,					 			 									 έ.	917	
5,500																												



# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

Designs for several bridges of importance were prepared by the Commission last year. Owing to the prevailing high prices of construction and the necessity for the conservation of materials and labor many of the more important pieces of construction were deferred for the period of the war. Among the important bridges which were designed but not built was the reinforced concrete arch span bridge in the city of Manchester, Delaware county. This bridge is a twin arch, each 80 ft. span, carrying a 40 ft. roadway and two 6 ft. sidewalks. The estimated cost of this bridge at the time the plans were prepared was \$38,500.00.

Plans were also prepared for a bridge over the Iowa river at Union in Hardin county. This bridge consists of three 65 ft.x18 ft. low riveted truss spans on concrete foundations. The estimated cost was \$25,000.00. This bridge was not constructed on account of war conditions. Complete plans were also prepared for two-100 ft.x18 ft. high riveted truss spans on concrete foundations over the Iowa river east of Marshalltown in Marshall county. The estimated cost of the bridge was \$27,000.00. Construction was deferred on this bridge for the period of the war.

A chart showing the summary bridge expenditures for 1916, 1917 and 1918 is included in this report. Attention is called to the large expenditures for permanent work as compared with temporary construction.

Under schedule one is given a detailed statement of bridge designs prepared for specific locations in 1918.

#### Approval and Analysis of Designs Submitted.

Many of the designs prepared by the county engineers and those prepared by private engineers are submitted to the Commission for approval. The work necessary to check and to approve such designs depends largely upon the type of structure, its importance and the completeness with which the details of the plans have been worked out. On the plans for the smaller structures which have been prepared from the standards of the Commission the detailed work of checking the designs is small. On important bridges, particularly of the reinforced girder or arch type, the mathematical analysis and field inspections necessary to check the design require considerable time. On steel bridges the bridge department checks the detailed shop drawings before the steel work is fabricated. Copies of the approved shop drawings are then furnished to the county engineer for his files and to check the steel work in the field. Since April, 1913, the bridge department has checked 2,339 designs submitted, the cost of which was estimated at \$2,667,500.00. During the same period of time and in addition to the above a total of 537 shop drawings were checked on work estimated to cost \$1,494,000.00. A detailed record is kept in the Commission's office of the shop drawings submitted for approval each year.

#### Standard Plans.

During 1918 a complete set of standards for wood trusses for 16 ft. and 18 ft. roadways ranging in length from 30 ft. to 57 ft. were developed. This set of standards was developed primarily to meet a demand arising out of war conditions. The wood trusses offered an opportunity to utilize available material for span lengths up to 57 ft. with the use of the minimum amount of steel. There are, however, many locations in the state where this type of construction may profitably be used at the present time because of the comparatively light traffic which must be carried.

Some work was also done on a revision of the standard plans for concrete thru girders and concrete box culverts. These revised standards will be issued in 1919. A complete set of standard plans revised to date is given below.

STANDARD BRIDGE AND CULVERT PLANS EFFECTIVE JAN. 1, 1919.

- Series C—Concrete box culverts for spans from 2 ft. to 12 ft. 22 sheets of designs and one estimate sheet, C-1 to C-23 inclusive. Dated 1916.
- Series J—Concrete slab bridges for spans from 14 ft. to 24 ft. inclusive. One design sheet J-1. Dated November, 1915.
- Series H—Concrete deck girder bridges for spans from 24 ft. to 40 ft. inclusive. Two design sheets H-1. Dated November, 1915. Series C, J and H are published together in booklet form.
- Series X—Riveted pony trusses, with concrete floors and without joists, for spans from 35 ft. to 100 ft. inclusive and for both 16 ft. and 18 ft. roadways. 28 designs, X-1 to X-28 inclusive.
- Series V—I-Beam spans. Four sheets of designs. V-1. Beam spans with concrete floor and angle railing. Dated Jan. 1, 1915. V-2. Beam spans with wood floor and pile abutments. Dated

January 15, 1917. Supersedes and renders void design V-2, dated September 1, 1915, and printed in booklet of designs for beam spans and X series pony trusses.

V-3. Beam spans with concrete floor and concrete railing. Dated September 15, 1915.

V-4. Beam spans with concrete floor and gas pipe railing. Dated September 15, 1915. Series X and V are published together in booklet form.

- Series M-Concrete bridge piers. One design sheet M-1. Dated July, 1916.
- Series D—Circular concrete culverts from 18" to 42" in diameter. Four sheets of designs and four sheets of estimates, D-1 to D-8 inclusive. Dated 1914.
- Series E-Metal culverts. One sheet E-1. Dated 1914.

52

- Series F-Concrete pipe culverts. One sheet F-1. Dated 1914.
- Series I—Concrete thru girder bridges for spans from 24 ft. to 40 ft. inclusive. Nine sheets. This series void. New series in course of preparation.
- Series Y-Riveted pony trusses with concrete floors on steel joists for spans from 40 ft. to 85 ft. inclusive and for both 16 ft. and 18 ft. roadways. 20 designs Y-1 to Y-20 inclusive. Published in booklet form.
- Series T-Riveted high trusses with concrete floors on steel joists for spans from 90 ft. to 150 ft. inclusive and for both 16 ft. and 18 ft. roadways. 14 designs T-1 to T-14 inclusive. Published in booklet form.
- Series G-Timber and steel construction. Three sheets of designs.
  - G-1. Pile trestle. Revised December, 1918.

G-2. Pile abutments for short pony truss spans, 16 ft. roadway. Dated January 1, 1917.

G-2a. Pile abutments for short pony truss spans, 18 ft. roadway. Dated January 1, 1917.

G-3. Pile abutment for long steel spans, 16 ft. roadway. Dated January, 1919.

G-3a. Pile abutment for long steel spans, 18 ft. roadway. Dated January, 1919.

G-4. Timber substructures for wood trusses. Dated December, 1918.

G-5 to G-10 inclusive. Six sheets timber trusses, spans 30 ft. 34 ft., 38 ft., 45 ft., 51 ft., and 57 ft., 16 ft. roadways. Dated December, 1918.

Series K—Concrete bridge abutments. Five designs of two sheets each, dated November, 1917 and superseding sheets K-1, K-2 and K-3. Dated November, 1913.

K-1. Abutments for steel truss spans. Heights 10 ft. to 19 ft. inclusive.

K-2. Abutments for steel truss spans. Heights 20 ft. to 30 ft. inclusive.

K-3. Abutments for I-Beam bridges. Heights 10 ft. to 24 ft. inclusive.

K-4. Abutments for slab bridges. Heights 10 ft. to 19 ft. inclusive.

K-5. Abutments for deck girder bridges. Heights 12 ft. to 24 ft. inclusive.

Published in booklet form.

Standard overhead bridges for railroad crossings in course of preparation.

Standard Specifications.

A complete revision of the standard specifications for bridge, lumber and piling was made early in 1918. Minor changes were also made in the standard specifications for corrugated metal culvert pipe and a new edition of these specifications was printed and distributed. The list of standard specifications covering bridge and culvert construction as issued by the Commission and which is effective on January 1, 1919, are as follows:

### STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS ON BRIDGES AND MATERALS, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1919.

Highway Bridge and Culvert Construction (Series 1917). Reinforcing Steel (Series 1914, revised 1917). Corrugated Metal Culvert Pipe (Series 1918).

A revision of the standard bridge and culvert specifications will be made early in 1919 and revised copies printed for general distribution. Standard specifications for reinforced concrete culvert pipe will also be issued in 1919.

#### Trips by Members of the Bridge Department.

A number of special inspection trips by members of the bridge department were made during the year at the request of the counties. Many of these inspection trips were made for the purpose of determining the carrying capacity of old bridges or the possibility of replacing temporary wood floors with more permanent construction. In addition to the above several trips were made by members of the department to inspect important bridge work under construction. Some shop inspections of fabricated steel work were also made during the year. The total number of special inspection trips made by members of the bridge department for the past four years as follows—1915, 73; 1916, 79; 1917, 68; 1918, 103.

#### Bridge Complaints.

A number of complaints are received each year concerning existing bridges and requests for new bridges. These requests are in general referred to the district engineers for examination and report. Whenever it is found that the complaint is meritorious, the matter is referred to the proper official for attention. A later examination and report are made to see that the proper steps have been taken to adjust the complaint. The number of inspection "ips made to the counties to adjust such complaints is indicated under the report of the work of the district engineers. Many of the complaints are of such a nature that they may be adjusted by correspondence and whenever possible this method of adjustment is followed.

### Approval of Contracts on Bridge Work.

One of the most important duties of the bridge department is the approval of bridge contracts. During 1918 the total number of such contracts submitted was 173. The total amount included in the contracts submitted for 1918 was \$1,760,797.85. The tabulation below gives a summary and comparative statement of the bridge contracts submitted from April, 1913, to December 1, 1918.

#### BRIDGE CONTRACTS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL.

Date of Report	No. sub- mitted	Average con- tract amt.	Total amt. approved
Apr. 1, 1913 to Dec. 1, 1913. Dec. 1, 1913 to Nov. 1, 1914. Nov. 1, 1914 to Dec. 1, 1915. Dec. 1, 1915 to Dec. 1, 1915. Dec. 1, 1916 to Dec. 1, 1917. Dec. 1, 1916 to Dec. 1, 1917.	53 121 172 208 197 173	\$ 7,774.00 7,830.00 9,950.00 10,803.00 10,184.00	344,162.24 731,205.55 1,337,069.15 2,043,393.47 2,128,238.53 1,760,797.83
Total	924		\$ 8,344,860.85

The use of the standard contract form issued by the Commission and supplied to all of the counties has greatly decreased the time required for the approval of contracts. A contract properly made out which is accompanied by sufficient information to enable approval to be made without the necessity of securing additional information will pass through the Commission's office in two or three days. Where insufficient information concerning the contract award is received with the contract it is necessary to refer the matter to the district engineer for investigation and the time required for approval is greatly increased.

The average contract price as well as the number of contracts submitted and the total contract amount has decreased slightly over that reported for 1917. This may be due to war conditions which curtailed highway bridge improvements to some extent. Since April, 1913, a total of 924 contracts for bridge work have been passed upon by the Commission totaling in amount \$8,344,866.82.

Schedule Two shows in detail the contracts which were submitted for approval for the period from December 1, 1917, to D2cember 1, 1918. (Refer to Schedule Two.)

### Approval of Contracts for Materials.

The use of the standard contract form as prepared by the Commission for material contracts has assisted greatly in reducing the time required for the approval of these contracts by the Commission. The majority of material contracts now submitted for approval are on the standard form properly filled out and signed and containing complete information regarding the amount of material to be purchased and the contract price. Contracts in the proper form as indicated above can under ordinary circumstances be passed upon and returned within two days from their receipt. A total of fifty-six material contracts from thirty-six counties were submitted for approval from December 1, 1917, to December 1, 1918. A detailed statement of each material contract submitted for approval is given under Schedule Three. (Refer to Schedule Three.)

#### Blank Forms for Annual and Special Report.

This department has assisted the administrative department in the preparation of blank forms for the county engineers' annual report on bridges. In addition a number of special blank forms for special reports were prepared.

# REPORT ON EXISTING BRIDGES AND CULVERTS ON EIGHT PRINCIPAL HIGHWAY ROUTES.

During the year this department with the assistance of the district engineers has compiled complete information regarding the condition of the bridges and culverts on eight of the principal registered highway routes crossing this state. The eight routes chosen for this study are as follows:

> North Iowa Pike, Hawkeye Highway, Lincoln Highway, River to River Route, Great White Way, Blue Grass Route, Red Ball Route, Jefferson Highway.

A detailed report of the condition of the existing bridges and culverts on these routes and the general observations has been prepared.

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

#### General Field Work in Connection with the Bridge Department.

A large part of the detailed field work of the bridge department is handled by the district engineers. Such work consists of assistance given at the request of the counties in determining the type and character of drainage structures, certification of emergency work, inspection of work under construction, preliminary work on large bridge projects, the adjustment of differences arising between the contractors and the counties over interpretations of the specifications and general advice pertaining to bridge and culvert work and the materials of construction.

During 1918 the district engineers of the Commission spent a total of fifty-eight days in examining bridge sites, 103 days in attending bridge lettings, seventy-five days in attending material lettings, 180 days in supervising and inspecting bridge and culvert work and two days on examination and certification of emergency work. The following tabulated statement shows in summary and comparative form the work of the district engineers on bridge work during the years of 1915 to 1918 inclusive.

Total	numb	per of	days
1915	1916	1917	1918
Examination of bridge sites	84	85	58
Attending bridge lettings	138	101	103
Attending material lettings	79	67	75
Supervising and inspecting bridge work	163	202	180
Emergency bridge work 33	12	13	2

#### Bridge and Material Lettings.

56

The estimated cost of bridge work included in lettings attended during 1918 was \$2,226,000.00 which is a decrease of \$410,000.00 over 1917. The records of the Commission on the advertised bridge work reported by the district engineers include the location, description, estimated quantities of material in the structures and the county and district engineers' estimated cost. These reports for 1918 cover in detail 1,714 structures which were advertised. The total number of bridge lettings attended during 1918 was 103, and the total number of material lettings attended was 75.

# Bridge Construction Work During 1918.

The costs of bridge and culvert construction as well as the costs of material used in connection with such work show a marked advance in price over previous years. This was due almost entirely to war conditions which created a labor and material shortage and operated to increase materially construction costs. Deliveries on materials from mill and warehouse were extremely slow and consequently the counties and contractors experienced serious difficulty in completing their work. The restrictions placed by the government on many of the materials of construction added further to the difficulty of completing the work on time and within the orginal estimates.

The mill and warehouse price of steel remained practically the same throughout the year due to government regulation of price. The government base price on structural steel for 1918 was \$2.90 per cwt., Pittsburgh. The Chicago warehouse base price on structural steel during the same period was \$4.20.

The following comparisons between the costs of materials in 1917 and 1918 will illustrate the marked advance in price which occurred on practically all construction materials during 1918:

### AVERAGE PRICES PAID FOR LUMBER IN 1917 AND 1918.

		1	Price	per 1,00	0 Ft. B.	M. F.C	).B. Co.	C. L.		
Size	Description	Material		1917		1918				
			Range i	in price	Average	Range	in price	Average		
8x12 ins. 8x14 ins. 8x16 ins.	Stand sawed Stand sawed Stand, sawed	Doug. Fir Doug. Fir Doug. Fir	25 te	o \$26 o 29 o 29	\$ 26.70 27.00 27.00	35 t	to \$39 to 40 to 40	\$ 37.00 38.00 38.00		
3x12 ins. 3x14 ins. 3x16 ins.	Full sawed Full sawed Full sawed	Doug. Fir Doug. Fir Doug. Fir	27 to 28 to 28 to	0 33	29.00 30.00 30.00	39 1	0 42 0 43 0 43	39.50 40.50 40.50		
ax12 ins.	Stand. sawed	White Oak			32.00			38.00		

#### AVERAGE PRICE PAID FOR PILING IN 1917 AND 1918.

		Price per Lin. Ft. F.O.B. County-Car Lots.												
Length	Kind			1917		-	1918							
		Range	in	price	Av	erage	Range	In	price	Ave	rage			
16 foot 20 foot 24 foot	Red Cedar Red Cedar Red Cedar		to	\$.17 .20 .21	8	,153 .170 .187		to	\$.21 .23 .26	\$	.183 .217 .234			
16 foot 20 foot 24 foot	Cypress Cypress Cypress	.13	to	.14 .15 .16		.130 .135 .140	.16	to	.17 .18 .20		.158 .178 .185			

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

AVERAGE PRICE PAID FOR CORRUGATED METAL CULVERTS IN 1917 AND 1918.

			Price per Lin.	Ft. F.O.I	. Count	ty-Less	Car Lo	ots
	Diameter	Class	191	7		1918		
_			Range in pric	e Average	Range	in price	Averag	ge
12	Inches	A	\$ .75 to \$ .85	\$ .80	\$ .78	to \$ .90	8 .	.85
15	inches	A	1.19 to 1.27	1.22	1.25	to 1.35		.31
18	inches	A	1.40 to 1.50	1.44	1.50	to 1.70		.57
24	inches	A	1.85 to 1.97	1.92	2.00	to 2.25		.08
30	inches	A	3.10 to 3.40	3.25	3.25	to 3.75		.50
36	inches	A	3.80 to 4.00	8.90	8.99	to 4.35	4.	.20
12	inches	в	.70 to .75	.73	.76	to .80	-	.78
15	inches	BB	1.08 to 1.15	1.11	1.18	to 1.25	1	.22
18	inches	B	1.25 to 1.35	1.30	1.38	to 1.48	1	.42
24	inches	B	1 1.70 to 1.80	1.73	1.80	to 2.00		.8
30	inches	B	2.85 to 3.10	2.96	3.00	to 3.30		.11
36	inches	B	3.30 to 3.70	3.58	3.60	to 3.90	3	1.73

#### AVERAGE PRICE PAID FOR REINFORCING STEEL IN 1917 AND 1918.

		Price pe	er Cwt.	Stock Le	ngths H	7.0.B. O	0C. L.
Size	Description	1917		1918		1	
		Range	in price	Average	Range	in price	Average
	O. H. Steel New Billet Stock. O. H. Steel New Billet Stock.		to \$3.75	\$ 3.52 3.60		to \$3.75 to 3.90	\$ 3.66

\*Less than car lots.

#### AVERAGE PRICE PAID FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL IN 1917 AND 1918.

		Price per Cwt.									
Description	Class of Work	1917 . 191					1918	8			
		Range	in	price	Ave	erage	Range	in	price	Ave	rage
I-Beams Trusses	Freeted F. O. B. County Erected F. O. B. County	6.00	to to	\$6.50 5.50 7.75 6.50	\$	5.75 5.15 6.50 5.90	7.00	to	\$7.25 5.75 8.26 6.75	\$	6.00 5.25 7.50 6.50

#### AVERAGE PRICE PAID FOR CEMENT IN 1917 AND 1918.

1917. Dealers net price per bbl. F. O. B. Cedar Rapids-Car Lots Range in Price \$1.79 to \$2.08. Average, \$1.98.

1918. Dealers net price per bbl. F. O. B. Cedar Rapids-Car Lots Range in Price \$2.08 to \$2.28. Average, \$2.18.

Bids received were from local dealers only for handling and storage at prices ranging from 10 cents to 25 cents per bbl. above prevailing dealers' price.

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

#### SCHEDULE ONE

# BRIDGE DESIGNS FOR SPECIFIC LOCATIONS.

County	No. of Designs	E	stimated Value	County	No. of Designs	Estimated Value
Appanoose	3	8	26,156.00	Kossuth		
Audubon	1	120	4,631.00	Kossuth	1	2,608.0
Bremer	4		25,621.00	Lee	3	20,502.0
Butler	1		3,443.00	Linn	2	20,959.0
Carroll	2		15,165.00	Lucas	4	22,870.0
erro Gordo	17		67,275.00	Lyon	4	20,204.0
Chickasaw	6		23,610,00	Marion	2	18,862.0
lay			26,328.00	Marshall	5	69,891.0
layton	5		39,695,00	Affect of the second second second	3	31,416.0
Prawford	5		37,700.00		4	10,732.0
Dallas	1		7,266,00	Muscatine	8	19,871.0
Decatur	ê		23,896.00	O'Brien	13	59,432.0
Delaware	1		38,488.00	Palo Alto	4	18,643.0
Dickinson	7		23,400,00	Pocahontas	2	8,527.00
Dubuque	i		2,453.00	Polk	14	65,337.00
Fayette	12		1,406.00	Pottawattamle	2	4,770.00
Franklin	3		6,969.00	Poweshiek	5	17,776.00
Fremont	1		4.082.00	Ringgold	3	8,437.00
	3		14,332.00	Shelby	3	10,321.00
	20		62,472.00	Sioux	1	7,966.00
house and a	3			Story	2	30,394.00
			19,470.00	Tanfa	7	22,692.00
	37 523		38,840.00	Van Buren	1	814.00
	1		19,440.00	Wapello	1	7,526,00
	D		49,119.00	Warren	3	10,664.00
Toront of the	Z		7,240.00	Winneshiek	3	7,258.00
	3		37,056.00	Woodbury	1	7,776.00
	7		40,965.00	Worth	4	13,420,00
asper	6		65,560.00	Wright	1	4,858,00
lefferson	4		16,813.00			
keokuk	1		5,109.00	Totals	228	\$ 1,298,500.00

#### SCHEDULE TWO.

#### BRIDGE CONTRACTS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL. DECEMBER 1, 1917, TO DECEMBER 1, 1918

County	Contractor Da			Date Approved		
Appanoose	I. W. Manson. Ottumwa Supply & Const. Co	Feb. Feb.	20,	1918\$ 1918	9,100.00	
Audubon	Staley Construction Co Jensen Construction Co Jensen Construction Co	Apr. Mar. May		1918 1918 1918	9,600.00 4,050.00 11,500.00	
Benton	Waterloo Construction Co	Mar. Mar.	15, 15,	1918 1918	7,180.00	
Boone	N. E. Marsh F. E. Marsh & Co S. Witmer Company	Apr. Apr. Apr.	22, 22, 23, 23,	1918 1918 1918	16,381.00 5,664.00 15,743.00	
Bremer	N. E. Marsh C. H. & J. H. Russell Fifield Construction Co	July	17, 11,	1918 1918 1918	5,272.00 11,750.00 8,700.00	
Buchanan	Clinton Bridge Works 1. B. Elliott. F. E. Reinhold. F. E. Reinhold.	May June July	28, 1, 30,	1918 1918 1918	8,345.00 2,906.00 5,676.00	
Butler	J. B. Elliott Waugh & Tackman	July	30,	1918	5,315.00	
C lhoun	Waugh & Tackman 7. H. Waugh Rockwell City Cement Co	Sept. Oct. Sept.		1918 1918 1918	7,238.00 8,319.76	
Cars Cedar Derno Goruo	S. K. Anderson. Clinton Bridge Works	Mar. Sept.	29.	1918 1918 1918	36,127.80 468.00	
Cherokee	Clinton Bridge Works H. B. Construction Co	Mar. Apr.	21, 23,	1918	36,985.14	

58

.

# **IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION**

# SCHEDULE TWO-Continued.

County	Contractor	Date Approved	Amount Approved
Chickasaw	Alfred Olson	Fab 8 1018	21,500.0
Clay	Alfred Olson	Feb. 8, 1918 Apr. 15, 1918	23,800.0
Clayton	Rodies & Bales	Mor 15 1019	00 005 N
	F F Marsh & Co	Mar. 15, 1918	20,800.0
	A C Poulo	June 9, 1918	16,783.0
linton	A. U. DOVIC	Sept. 13, 1918	2,220.0 33,759.0 5,662.0
inton	J. R. Kane	Apr. 29, 1918	33,759.0
Prawford	Taylor & Anderson	Apr. 11, 1918	5,662.0
and the second se	Pickus Engineering & Const. Co	June   9, 1918     Sept.   13, 1918     Apr.   29, 1918     Apr.   11, 1918     Apr.   11, 1918	14,223.0
	Deloit Bridge Co.	where and seamerees	
Jallas	F. E. Marsh & Co		
examples a second reaction of	R. E. Shaekleton	July 9, 1918 Aug. 6, 1918 Sept. 5, 1918 Sept. 16, 1918 May 18, 1918 May 18, 1918 May 22, 1918.	7 009 /
	Lowa Bridge Company	July 0, 1010	7,000.0
	E E Manue Company	Aug. 0, 1918	0,314.0
	F. E. MAIND & CO.	Sept. 5, 1918	12,500.0
S. T. Contraction	R. E. Shackleton	Sept. 16, 1918	3,310.0
Delaware	Geo. Herman	May 18, 1918	4,100.0
	Mills & Henderson	May 18, 1918	5,450.0
	Roht Hackbarth	May 92 1019	4,310.0
Dickinson	Harry V Brown	Mar 15 1010	10,099.0
	harry v. Drown	Mar. 15, 1918	10,000.0
habarone	R. E. Shackleton Geo. Herman	May 23, 1918 May 23, 1918 Mar. 15, 1918 Mar. 15, 1918	13,328.0
Dubuque	Staner-Herkes Const. Co		
	Anton Zwack	May 2, 1918	31,489.0
and the second se	Peter Eisbach		
Franklin	G. E. Sargent	May 12 1018	9 660 0
and the second second	Anton Zwäck Peter Elsbach	May 13, 1918 May 21, 1918 Aug. 5, 1918 Aug. 19, 1918 July 5, 1918	2,660.00 1,790.00 6,387.90 4,700.00 11,222.00
Fremont	I F maon	Aug 5 1010	8 997 0
Treene	D C Chapleter	Aug. 0, 1918	0,301.9
Freene	R. E. Snackleton	Aug. 19, 1918	4,700.0
Grundy	Iowa Bridge Company	July 5, 1918	11,222.0
	Des Moines Bridge & Iron Wks		
	R. E. Snackleton. Iowa Bridge Company Des Moines Bridge & Iron Wks Des Moines Bridge & Iron Wks Clinton Bridge Works Waterloo Const. Co Iowa Bridge Company Waterloo Const. Co.	Aug.   22, 1916     Sept.   7, 1918     Sept.   7, 1918     Sept.   18, 1918     Sept.   18, 1918     Oct.   28, 1918     Luby.   1918	1,058.0
	Clinton Bridge Works	Sent 7 1018	2 448 ()
	Waterloo Const Co	Cont 10 1010	7 000 0
	Waterioo Const. Co	Sept. 18, 1918	7,200.0
	lowa Bridge Company	Sept. 18, 1918	8,900.0
a shat	Waterloo Const. Co.	Oct. 28, 1918.	2,448.0 7,298.6 8,900.0 5,700.0
Guthrie	Lana Construction Co	Apr. 20, 1918	12,982.0
	Lana Construction Co	July 1, 1918	
the second s	Lana Construction Co	Aug. 9, 1918	1.300.0
Hamilton	Iowa Bridge Company	Aug. 9, 1918 Apr. 13, 1918 Apr. 13, 1918 May 21, 1918	7,846.0 1,300.0 4,394.0
	Albert Swanson & Co	Apr. 13, 1918	9,189.0
	A H Austin	Apr. 10, 1910	
	A. H. Austin Albert Swanson & Co H. A. Teget	May 21, 1918	
	Albert Swanson & Co.	July 5, 1918	5,000.11
Thereads	H. A. Teget	Aug. 5, 1918	3,685.0
Hancock	Hey-Keeler Const. Co		8,369.0 10,240.0 3,521.0
	Alfred Olson	June 1, 1918	10,240.0
	W. A. Hey Const. Co.	Aug. 10, 1918	3,521.0
Hardin	Fifield Const Co.	June 10 1918	10.395.0
	Alfred Olson W. A. Hey Const. Co	June 11, 1918 July 16, 1918	6,980.0
	T I Wagnar	July 16 1018	11,809.0
	E E March & Co	5 my 10, 1910	11,000.0
	Hey-Keeler Const. Co T. J. Wagner F. E. Marsh & Co Fifield Const. Co. Des Moines Bridge & Iron Works Standard Bridge Company Witmer Company Witmer Company		**********
	Fineld Const. Co.		
Tomlasm	Des Momes Bridge & Iron Works		
Harrison	Standard Bridge Company	Apr. 9, 1918	6,291.0
	Witmer Company	Apr. 9, 1918 Apr. 9, 1918	7,867.0
	Witmer Company	July 1, 1918	12,300.0
Henry and Washington			
Washington	Iowa Bridge Co	May 21, 1918	3, 350, 0
Iumboldt	C. A. Miller	Apr. 9, 1918	97 958 0
	Carl Johnson	Apr. 9, 1918 Aug. 25, 1918	3,350.0 27,856.0 5,939.6
	Chinten Daldes West	Aug. 25, 1918	0,939.0
da	LINEON DENGRE WOLKS	Apr. 13, 1918 Apr. 30, 1918	3,000.0
			15,000.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr. 30, 1918	
	Clinton Bridge Works Leslie G. Haywood Federal Bridge Co	Apr. 30, 1918	
	Leslie G. Haywood Federal Bridge Co Iowa Bridge Company	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918	
	Leslie G. Haywood Federal Bridge Co Iowa Bridge Company Federal Bridge Co.	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918	7,995.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918	7,995.0
	Leslie G. Haywood Federal Bridge Co Iowa Bridge Company Federal Bridge Co	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918 Apr. 11, 1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr. 30, 1918 Mar. 19, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918 Apr. 10, 1918 Apr. 11, 1918 Apr. 11, 1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0
	Leslie G. Haywood. Federal Bridge Co. Federal Bridge Company Federal Bridge Co. Waterloo Const. Co. M. O. Burnett. M. O. Burnett. M. O. Burnett.	Apr.   30,   1918     Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr.   30,   1918     Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0
	Leslie G. Haywood	Apr.   30,   1918     Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0
	Federal Bridge Co	Apr.   30,   1918     Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918     Apr.   22,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0
	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0
owa	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0
owa	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0
owa	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,995.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0
lackson	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   10,   1918     Apr.   11,   1918	7,996.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0
owa	Federal Bridge Co	Mar.   19,   1918.     Apr.   10,   1918.     Apr.   10,   1918.     Apr.   11,   1918.     Apr.   22,   1918.     Sept.   11,   1918.     May   2,   1918.	7,996.0 9,810.0 6,750.0 16,218.0 10,714.0 11,344.0 14,996.0 6,640.0

1

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

# SCHEDULE TWO-Continued.

County	Contractor   Waterloo Construction Co	D	ate .	Approved	Amount Approved
Keokuk	Waterloo Construction Co				
ACOAGA STEREST	Waterloo Construction Co	Apr	- 29	, 1918	34,800.0
Paranth	Waterloo Construction Co	Sept		1018	0.000.0
Loo	F. E. Marsh & Co.	Oet.	28.	1918	2,902.0
rec	Clinton Bridge Works	Jan	15	1918	1,020,00
Linn	tunton Bridge Works	Apr	2	1918	5 190.00
Latter	J. P. Riddle	Apr	. 19.	1918	97 003 0
	V Wighbar	Apr.	. 19.	1918	9.475.00
	Dania Louis	May	22	1918	6 623 6
Lucas	T D Diddle	July	31,	1918	9,625,00
Mahaska	H F Whitlatah	May	13,	1918	13,000,00
Marion	I D Diddla	July	20,	1918	10,778,50
Marshall	d. F. Mudie	Apr.	8,	1918	19,685,00
	A P Muncon	Mar	. 11,	1918	26,750,00
	A. P. Munson	Mar	. 15,	1918	16,684.00
	Cola Pros	Mar	. 15,	1918	10,700,00
	Lourseoll Stoufer Day	Mar	. 18,	1918	16,822.00
	Algerson-stouler Eng. Co	May	13.	1918	8,900.00
Mille	Alexander & Higble	May	21,	1918	16,200,00
	4. O. Evans	May	24.	1918.	5 180 00
	E. C. Barber	Sept	. 13.	1918	2 630 50
	lowa Bridge Company				a,000.00
Venene	standard Bridge Company				***************
aonona	J. P. Riddle	Feb.	25.	1918	3,500,00
	J. P. Riddle	Mar.	2.	1918	20, 802, 00
	standard Bridge Company	Apr.	9.	1918	5 995 00
	1. P. Riddle	Apr.	13	1918	4.494.00
	Standard Bridge Company	May	2	1918	5 100 00
donroe	J. A. Lafferty	May	8	1978	18 650 00
dontgomery	led Oak Bridge & Iron Works	Feb	25	1018	10,000.00
duscatine	L. R. Gabriel	May	21	1018	1,070,00
	S. R. Johnston	June	10	1018	0,000.00
	Thos. Maher.	July	0	1018	1 795 45
) Brien	Geo. Gardner & Sons	Anr	19	1018	1,100.40
	Eugene Grant	Anr	19	1918	2 250.00
	H. B. Construction Co	Apr.	20.	1918	5 509 00
	Illnois Steel Bridge Co.	Anr	99	1018	9,494,00
	Unylor & Anderson	July	30	1018	19 764 00
and an and a second second	Teylor & Anderson	July	30	1018	10,797,50
alo Alto	wittner Company	Mar	10	1018	8 710 00
	Witmer Company	July	18	1018	15 072 00
	Des Moines Bridge & Iron Works	ar way		*********	10,015.00
	L. D. Brereton	Ang		1019	COL 020 L
ocahontas	lowa Bridge Company	May	99	1918	8,509,00
Polk	N. M. Stark & Co.	Feb	20	1018	14 650 00
	Toss Construction Co.	June	11	1018	09 575 OO
	loss Construction Co.	Juna	17	1018	18 100 00
and the second se	N. M. Stark & Co	Juna	37	1010	18,900.00
ottawattamie	Wichham Bridge & Pine Co	Jule	411	1010	20,000.00
and an internet of the second second	Philip Cuneen	Inla	70	1010	1,100.00
Poweshiek	lowa Bridge Company	Apr	05	1010	00.975.00
ac	F. M. Stewart	Rob	20'	1010	22,313.00
	aws Bridge Company	Feb.	32	1018	1,208.00
	Ins Waddleor	Feb.	20,	1918	38,122.00
helby	Des Moines Eng & Const Co	reo.	20,	1918	2,380,00
	Lana Construction Co	Apr.	20,	1918	24,178.22
	Jansen Construction Co.	Apr.	20,	1918.	2,634.00
tory	Pole Pros	Apr.	23,	1918	9,375.00
ama	A D Manuer	Jan.	31,	1918	31,000.00
	A. D. Munson	Apr.	27	1918	6,778.00
	A D Munson	Apr.	2,	1918	6,673,00
	A P. Munson	Apr.	8,	1918	16,500.00
-	int on Bridge Works	Sept.	18,	1918	1,670.00
nion	C and and Daid	NOV.	21,	1918	4,010.00
	Company	May	22,	1918	4,665.00
ollege	Carey-morrison Co	May	28,	1918	4,337.00
Varran	Const. Co	July	10,	1918	9,866.00
Inchinet on	thes Moines Bridge & Iron Works	Aug.	22,	1918	24,687.00
Sinneshiels	lows Bridge Company	May	21,	1918	4,820.00
ninesmex	E. B. Fowler	June	10,	1918	8,800,00
	Des Moines Bridge & Iron Works	Anr.	2	1918	10.953.00
oodbury	atomes bridge & fron works		1.000		and harden a start
Conthe Sector	L. G. Hayward	Apr.	20,	1918	17,000.00
Vorth	L. G. Hayward C. A. Halvik	Apr. Mar.	20, 18,	1918 1918	17,000.00 7,550.00

61

\$ 1,589,746.85

#### SCHEDULE THREE.

### MATERIAL CONTRACTS SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL. DECEMBER 1, 1917, TO DECEMBER 1, 1918.

County	Company	Material	Date Approved
dams	Nebr. Br. Sup. & Lbr. Co	Lumber and piling.	
Allamakee	Speers-Jevne	Reinforcing steel	March 15, 1918
remer	Speers-Jevne Clinton Bridge Works	Reinforcing steel	Starten 10, 1010
ass	Lana Construction Co	Concrete pipe	
	Standard Bridge Co	Concrete pipe Lumber and piling_	March 11 1018
		Constate nine	March 11, 1918 March 29, 1918
	Lana Construction Co	Concrete pipe	Jula 00 2018
	Iowa Pure Iron Co Clinton Bridge Works	Concrete pipe Corrugated pipe Reinforcing steel	July 29, 1918 Sept. 2, 1918
Vedar	Fuller-Hiller Hdw. Co.	Reinforcing steel	Sept. 2, 1918 Sept. 2, 1918
herokee		Lumber and piling.	
and the base of the state of th	Wheeler Br. Lbr. & Sup. Co Fort Dodge Culvert Co	Corrugated culverts	April 23, 1918
hickasaw	wheeler Br. Lbr. & Sup. Co	Lumber	April 2, 1918
lay	Fort Dodge Culvert Co	Corrugated culverts	June 27, 1918 April 2, 1918 July 9, 1918
layton	I. James Lumber Co	Lumber	April 2, 1918
linton	L. James Lumber Co Ia. Culv. & Sheet Metal Co Fort Dodge Culvert Co	Corrugated culverts	July 9, 1918
trawford	Fort Dodge Culvert Co	Corrugated culverts	
		Str. & Reinf. steel_	April 9, 1918
es Moines	Clinton Bridge Works	Corrugated culverts	April 9, 1918 March 5, 1918
the storage states	Wilson Concrete Co.	Concrete pipe	
	Burlington Sand & Gravel Co.	Sand and gravel	March 26, 1918
	Iowa Pure Iron Co.	Concrete pipe Sand and gravel Corrugated pipe	July 30, 1918
Dubuque	Standard Lbr Vard Co	Lumber	May 18, 1018
Annat	I James Lumber Co	Lumber	Angil 0 1019
Shillet	Clinton Bridge Works	Pime and b ches	Tuln 18 1019
ayette	Childe Bridge Works	B'ms, ang. & chan.	July 10, 1918
ranklin		Reinforcing steel	March 26, 1918 July 30, 1918 May 18, 1918 April 9, 1918 March 2, 1918 March 2, 1918 April 13, 1918 Luly 2, 1918
remont	Wilson Concrete Co Gaynor Lumber Co	Concrete pipe	April 13, 1918
Frundy	Gaynor Lumber Co	Piling	
	Waterloo Const. Co L. James Lumber Co Waterloo Const. Co	Reinforcing steel	July 31, 1918
Iancock	L. James Lumber Co.	Lumber	Feb. 25, 1918
lardin	Waterloo Const. Co.	Reinforcing steel	March 29, 1918
Devices and an an and a second	Klauer Manufacturing Co.	Concrete pipe	May 18, 1918
Henry	Waterloo Const. Co	Reinforcing steel Reinforcing steel	Feb. 25, 1918 March 29, 1918 May 18, 1918 Dec. 20, 1917
dn	Standard Bridge Co	Reinforcing steel	
ackson	Standard Bridge Company	Lumber	Jan. 11, 1918
lefferson	Spaulding & Kearns	Cement Reinforcing steel Corrugated pipe Corrugated pipe Reinforcing steel Lumber	
enerson	Clinton Bridge Works Midland Metal Mfg. Co Paul J. Kalman Co Wheeler Lbr. Br. & Sup. Co Standard Bridge Company Wheeler Lbr Br & Supply Co	Reinforming steel	March 5, 1918
	Midland Matal Mfg Co	Corrugated nine	March 5, 1918 March 5, 1918
- have a second	Midland Metal Mfg. Co	Corrugated pipe	March 18, 1918
ohnson	Baul I Felmon Co	Reinforming steel	March 18, 1918
	Paul J. Kaiman Commence	Tumber	March 18, 1019
	wheeler Lor. Br. & Sup. Co	Lumber	March 18, 1918
KeokukKossuth	Standard Bridge Company	Lumber	April 29, 1918
Kossuth	Wheeler Lor. Br. & Supply Co	Lumber and piling_	
Lee	Wheeler Lbr. Br. & Supply Co Wheeler Lbr. Br. & Supply Co Clinton Bridge Works	Lumber	
THE REPORT AND DURING	Clinton Bridge Works	Reinforcing steel	Jan. 18, 1918
	Standard Bridge Company Hawkeye Lumber Company 8. & J. C. Atlee Klauer Manufacturing Co	Reinforcing steel	Jan. 23, 1918
	Hawkeye Lumber Company	Cement	
and the second	S. & J. C. Atlee.		
Linn	Klauer Manufacturing Co	Corrugated nine	Feb. 25, 1918
Mahaska	Wilson Concrete Company	Concrete pipe	April 9, 1918
AUTOCAN	Western Boiler Pipe Co	Concrete pipe Corrugated pipe Corrugated pipe Corrugated pipe Corrugated pipe	Feb.   25, 1918     April   9, 1918     Feb.   25, 1918
	Iowa Pure Iron Company	Corrugated nine	April 9, 1918
		Corrugated nine	April 9, 1918
file and the	Lyla Corrugated Culvert Co	Corrugated pipe	Feb. 95 1019
Mitchell	Lyle Corrugated Culvert Co Nebraska Br. Sup. & Lbr. Co Muscatine Lbr. & Coal Co Klauer Mfg. Co Wheeler Lbr. Br. & Supply Co Wickham Bridge & Pipe Co Elancer Manufacturing Co.	Lumber	2001 201 1010
Ionona	Mussating The & Goal Co.	Lumber	March 21, 1918
duscatine	Bulles Hilles Hdes Coar Co.	Poinfording stort	March 01 1010
	Fuller-filler Hdw. Co.	Reinforcing steel	March 21, 1918
	Klauer Mig. Co.	Corrugated pipe Lumber and piling_ Lumber and piling.	March 21, 1918
Pocahontas	wheeler Lor. Br. & Supply Co.	Lumber and plling.	**************
Pottawattamie	Wickham Bridge & Pipe Co	Lumber and pling.	72.b OF 1001
		Corrugated pipe	Feb. 25, 1918
ac	Standard Bridge Company	Lumber	Feb. 23, 1918
AND A STORE AND A STORE AND A	Standard Bridge Company Clinton Bridge Works	Reinforcing steel	Feb. 23, 1918
	Nebr. & Iowa Steel Tank Co	Corrugated pipe	March 11, 1918
Santt	Fuller-Hiller Hdw. Co	Reinforcing steel	Feb. 25, 1918 Feb. 23, 1918 Feb. 23, 1918 March 11, 1918 March 11, 1918
scott	Builders Lime & Cement Co	Cement	
Shallor	Wiskham Bridge & Pine Co	Lumber and piling	ALCONO CONTRACTOR
Shelby	Wilson Congrate Co	Concrete pipe	March 26, 1918
Tama	Wilson Vonerete Co.	Cor, & Cast iron p.	March 90 1019
21 G	Wilson Concrete Co Klauer Manufacturing Co S. E. Wainwright. Nebr. Br. Sup. & Lbr. Co Clinton Bridge Works	Comont	BLUICH 20, 1010
Poulor	S. E. Wainwright	Cement Lumber and piling.	
Taylor	AT A THE CLARK A THE CA		

# BRIDGE DEPARTMENT

# SCHEDULE THREE-Continued.

County	Company Material		Date Approved
Washington Webster Winnebago	Klauer Manufacturing Co Nebr. Br. Sup. & Lbr. Co Crystal Farmers Association Lake Mills Lumber Co	Corrugated pipe Lumber Cement Cement	April 2, 1918
	F. Weyerhauser Co Fort Dodge Culvert Co Clinton Bridge Works Nebr. Br. Sup. & Lumber Co Thompson Yards Co.	Cement Corrugated pipe Reinforcing steel Lumber Cement	March 15, 1918 March 21, 1918
Winneshiek	Nebr. Br. Sup. & Lbr. Co Lyle Corrugated Culvert Co	Lumber Corrugated pipe	March 15, 1918

#### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF WORK ACCOMPLISHED-RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS TO JAN, 1, 1919.

# Chapter V. Railroad Crossing Improvement.

#### January 1, 1918, to January 1, 1919.

During the year of 1918 the work done and results accomplished by county boards and the Commission toward the improvement of dangerous railroad crossings does not measure up to that done in former years. The necessity for the conservation of all materials of construction and labor on account of war conditions necessitated the postponement of many projects of merit. Governmental control of the railroads became effective on January 1, 1918, and with it a revision of the responsibilities and authority of the officials with whom such matter have been adjusted in the past. Furthermore, the policy of the United States Railroad Administration, as announced through their Regional Directors, was to defer, for the period of the war, all improvements not of the utmost importance in winning the war.

In view of these conditions active work to secure the improvement of crossings in general was abandoned and only such projects as were of immediate necessity to the welfare and safety of the traveling public and those projects requiring a very limited expenditure for materials or labor were considered.

Conditions are now more favorable for a continuance of this work and many of the projects which had to be abandoned on account of the war will be taken up in 1919.

The Commission has no means of keeping an accurate record of the accidents or deaths resulting from railroad crossing accidents, but in newspaper clippings there were reported a total of sixtytwo deaths in 1918 resulting from crossing accidents. In addition to the deaths reported, a total of 136 accidents to automobiles struck by trains and their occupants injured, was obtained from the same source. These records, while incomplete, serve to emphasize the necessity for greater efforts towards the elimination of dangers at railroad crossings. Below is given in tabulated form the summary and comparative results accomplished on railroad crossing improvements during the past five years.

		The second secon	The second s		
	During 1917	During 1918	Grand total to Jan. 1, 191		
rossing projects listed	38	30	30		
sumber of railroad crossings involved on projects listed	41	32	37		
voluets surveyed	11	11	14		
projects for which plans and estimates were prepared	30	6	14		
Sumber of conferences held	36	13	11		
Sumber of projects satisfactorily adjusted	27	18	9		
Projects completed during the year	28	17	9		
No. of projects listed which have been appealed to Rail-		1. 2.7			
d Clemmission	4	2			
Projects adjusted by Railroad Commission	4	20	1		
beccinge entirely eliminated		0	12		
trade crossings eliminated by grade separation	5	1	2		
Cheverings improved	17	12	· 16		
Crossing projects temporarily abandoned	40	8	1		
Estimated cost of crossing improvements satisfactorily	the second	0 11-025	115 11565 LES		
adjusted	\$ 50,172				
Estimated cost of improvements on Commission plans.	103,650	67,216	732,35		
Total estimated amount appropriated by railroad com-		1.000			
panios for crossing improvements	201.091	4,600	172,01		
Total estimated amount appropriated from public	00 000	10.00			
funds for crossing improvements	20,674	10,227	111,05		
Average percentage of cost paid by railroad com-	60%	30%	80%		
panies for crossing improvements		00%	00%		
Average percentage of cost paid from public funds for crossing improvements	40%	70%	20%		

The location of all of the individual crossing projects listed to January 1, 1919, in the state are shown on a map accompanying this report. Many of the projects listed have been adjusted and completed.

# Methods of Handling Railroad Crossing Complaints.

The Commission receives each year many complaints from highway officials, associations and individuals of dangerous railroad crossings. Each complaint when received is investigated and if found meritorious a project number is assigned and correspondence is taken up to secure the necessary improvement. If it develops that a survey and plan is necessary, an engineer of the Commission is assigned to the work. This engineer and the county engineer go over the situation and secure such notes as are necessary to work out the details of the proposed improvement. Plans and estimates of cost are then prepared by the Commission and are furnished without cost to the proper highway officials and railroad companies. Unless it develops that the matter can be satisfactorily adjusted by correspondence a conference is held at or near the site of the proposed improvement, where the plans and estimates can be gone over in detail. If possible an agreement is reached at this time on the proposed improvement and the distribution of cost between interested parties. It has been found that in the majority of cases the representative of the railroad company, county, Commission and those interested can agree on the method of improvement and the distribution of expense at these conferences. In the event that no agreement can be reached and the improvement is of importance the entire matter is appealed to the Railroad Commission as provided by statute. Out of a total of 305 crossing projects listed to date it has been found necessary to appeal only twenty or approximately six and six-tenths per cent to the Railroad Commission for adjustment. A total of ninetynine projects have been satisfactorily adjusted of which number ten or approximately ten per cent have been adjusted by a formal order of the Railroad Commission.

Owing to the dissimilarity of the projects it has been found impractical to follow a uniform method for the distribution of cost of these improvements. Each project is taken up separately and adjusted in so far as possible on the basis of the benefits to be derived by the contributing parties.

#### Distribution of Dangerous Crossings.

The dangers existing at grade crossings have received the principal attention of the Commission in the past. However, many of the complaints received and investigated refer to dangerous conditions existing on overhead and undergrade crossings. The following tabulation shows the distribution of crossings classified according to the number and type of individual crossings listed for improvement.

#### DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF CROSSINGS LISTED FOR IMPROVEMENT OR IMPROVED TO JANUARY 1, 1919.

	Number	Distribution of original cross ings as listed by Commission			
. Type of Crossing	listed 1918	Number	Percentage		
Grade Overhead Undergrade New erossings	18 5 9 0	292 32 47 5	78. 9 12 1		
Total	32	376	100%		

### RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENT

DISTRIBUTION OF CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS ACCORDING TO THE PLANS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMISSION.

	Elimin	ated	Impr	oved	Grade Se	Total	
Type of Crossing	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	number
Grade Overhead Undergrade	60 2 5	26 7 12	110 25 35	48 93 88	58	26	228 27 40
New crossings Total number Percentage of total	67	23	170		58	19	295

#### DISTRIBUTION OF CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS SATISFACTORILY ADJUSTED TO JANUARY 1, 1919.

Type of Crossing	Eliminated		Improved		Grade Separation		Total
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	number
Grade crossing Overhead Undergrade	25 1 2	20 5 8	75 17 23	62 95 92	22	18	122 18 25
New crossings Total number Percentage of total	28		115	70	22	13	165

### Methods of Improvements.

The following outline suggests the possible methods of improvement which may be utilized in securing the elimination of dangers at railroad crossings. Each crossing project if important is inspected in the field by a representative of the Commission and whenever practical plans are prepared or recommendations made for the improvement of the crossing. In general the recommendations for improvement are made in accordince with the most practical and feasible means of eliminating or minimizing the danger about in the order as listed below :

#### Grade Crossings.

- 1. Elimination by relocation of highway.
- 2. Separation of railroad and highway grades.
- 3. Relocation of highway to divert major portion of traffic from the the crossing.
- 4. Removal of obstructions interfering with clear view of approaching trains and vehicles.
- 5. Installation of crossing gates or adequate protection to the traveling public on crossings which cannot be made reasonably safe by one or more of the methods mentioned above.
#### 68

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

#### Overhead Crossings.

- 1. Elimination of crossings by relocation of highway.
- 2. Construction of substantial overhead bridges capable of carrying the standard loadings and provided with roadways adequate to accommodate the traffic.
- Reduction of steep approach grades to facilitate the hauling of heavy loads and to obtain better view of approaching vehicles on the highways.
- Elimination of short turns and obstructed views at the approaches of overhead crossings.
- 5. Relocation of highway to divert traffic from overhead crossings which cannot be put in a safe condition for travel by one or more of the methods of improvement suggested above.

#### Undergrade Crossings.

- 1. Elimination of crossing by relocation of highway.
- 2. Provision for an adequate horizontal and vertical clearance where the highway passes under the railroad.
- 3. Removal of obstructions interfering with a clear view of approaching vehicles on the highway.
- 4. Improvement of drainage so that roadway beneath the tracks will be properly drained at all times of the year.
- 5. Relocation of highway to divert the traffic in the event that the dangerous condition cannot be satisfactorily remedied by one of the methods indicated above.

#### Recommendations for Crossing Improvements.

The minimum requirements as adopted by the Commission for the different type of crossings are given in detailed form below:

#### Grade Crossings.

Location of crossing signs at all grade crossings a minimum distance of 300 ft. from the crossing.

Maximum approach grade to the crossing of 6 per cent.

Level approach grade on either side of the tracks of 25 ft.

Minimum width of planking measured at right angles to the center line of the highway of 24 ft. on the County Road System and 20 ft. on the Township Road System.

Clear view which allows a person in a vehicle 200 ft. from the crossing to observe an approaching train an equal distance from the crossing.

#### Undergrade Crossings.

Minimum vertical clearance of 13 ft. with a recommended clearance of at least 14 ft. wherever practical.

Minimum horizontal clearance for temporary construction of 18 ft.

Minimum horizontal clearance for permanent construction of 24 ft. for crossings on the County Road System and 20 ft. for crossings on the Township Road System.

### RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENT

Clear view which will permit one vehicle on the highway to observe another vehicle approaching a minimum distance of 200 ft.

## Overhead Crossings.

Minimum vertical distance from top of rail to low steel of 22 ft. A bridge structure capable of safely carrying the Commission's standardized loadings.

Minimum width of roadway for temporary construction of 20 ft.

Minimum width of roadway for permanent construction on the County Road System of 24 ft. and for the Township Road System of 20 ft.

Changes in grade on the bridge structure not to exceed 2% between bents.

Clear view which will permit one vehicle on the highway to observe another vehicle approaching for a minimum distance of 200 ft.

## Distribution of Crossing Improvements.

The distribution of crossing improvements according to the number of crossings in the county and township road system and the mileage of track in the various railroad systems in the state is given in tabulated form below. It will be noted that the distribution of crossings listed for improvement is almost in direct ratio to the mileage in each of the railroad systems. Attention might also be called to the large number of crossings existing on the highways in the state.

## DISTRIBUTION OF CROSSINGS AND PROJECTS LISTED.

Railroads	No. of crossings on courty road system	No. of crossings on township road system	Total number of crossings	No. of crossings on projects listed by Commission	Total mileage of track in the state
C., R. I. & P C., M. & St. P C. B. & Q C. & N. W C. G. W. M. & St. I. I. C. Wabash Great Northern C., M., St. P. & O MiscR. R. and Interurban	349 251 272 208 123 111 95 41 9 11 63	$\begin{array}{c} 1,526\\ 1,277\\ 915\\ 1,183\\ 633\\ 582\\ 442\\ 142\\ 142\\ 56\\ 60\\ 327\\ \end{array}$	$1,875 \\ 1,528 \\ 1,187 \\ 1,391 \\ 756 \\ 693 \\ 537 \\ 183 \\ 65 \\ 71 \\ 390$	60 41 70 44 27 22 16 11 4 3 18	2,959 2,663 2,020 2,539 1,066 1,053 928 237 103 139 481
Total	1,533	7,143	8,676	316	14,188

70

### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## Plans for Future Crossing Work.

Surveys have been completed and plans are in the course of preparation for several important crossing improvements. The attention of the Commission will be directed during 1919 to securing the improvement of crossings located on Federal Aid projects and other crossings on important highways in the state.

## SCHEDULE FOUR.

Detailed Statement of Work Accomplished on Individual Crossing Projects Listed.

#### NO. 24-CLARKE COUNTY.

In Singler's Addition, town of Woodburn; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

On January 30, 1918, the Commission was advised by the Secretary of the Board of Railroad Commissioners that a formal hearing would be held in the matter of this improvement.

#### NO. 66-MARION COUNTY.

In Section 2, Knoxville Township, 2 miles west of Knoxville; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

Arrangements were made with the railroad company and the board of supervisors for a conference to consider the plans prepared by the Commission for this improvement and to secure an adjustment of the distribution of cost of the proposed improvement. The railroad company requested that the discussion of this matter be deferred for the period of the war owing to the fact that they were using this branch line for extensive troop movement and which would be interfered with to some extent if the construction work were undertaken at this time. The consent of the board of supervisors to defer this improvement for the period of the war was secured. Correspondence will be taken up soon to arrange for the conference which was postponed as noted above.

#### NO. 74-LEE COUNTY.

Between Sections 3 and 4, West Point Township, near West Point; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

On January 17th the Commission was advised by the county attorney that adjustment of this crossing project had been referred to the Board of Railroad Commissioners. Owing to war conditions no further negotiations were carried on to secure the improvement at this time.

## RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENT

#### NO. 125-STORY COUNTY.

Between Sections 3 and 10, Nevada Township, 3 miles east of Nevada; Chicago and North Western Railroad and Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Further negotiations with the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific concerning the overhead bridge carrying the highway over their tracks, which is a part of this project, were deferred for the period of the war upon the request of the Regional Director of the United States Railroad Administration. The present overhead bridge was satisfactorily repaired to accommodate traffic and upon the conclusion of the war an agreement will be sought which will insure the construction of the reinforced concrete structure over the Rock Island tracks.

#### NO. 126-SCOTT COUNTY.

Section 35, Princeton Township; Davenport, Rock Island and North Western Railroad.

In accordance with the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the Davenport, Rock Island and North Western Railroad submitted detailed plans for the subway crossing to the Commission for approval. Upon examination it was found that these plans conform to the general plans as prepared by the Commission and on June 13th the detailed plans were approved and returned to the railroad company. On July 29th the Board of Railroad Commissioners granted an extension of time to the board of supervisors and railroad company for the construction of this project until June 1, 1919.

## NO. 146-PLYMOUTH COUNTY.

Section 6, Fredenia Township; Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis and Omaha Railroad.

At a conference held in LeMars on April 9th between representatives of the board of supervisors of Plymouth and Sioux counties, the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis and Omaha Railroad and the Commission, a proposition was formulated and submitted to the railroad company concerning the improvement of the two grade crossings involved in this project. Previous conferences have been held with the railroad company concerning the improvement proposed. A refusal was received from the railroad company under date of May 9th to accept or modify the proposition as submitted to them. Accordingly a formal appeal was made to the Board of Railroad Commissioners for the adjustment of this improvement. No date of hearing has been set by the Board of Railroad Commissioners of which this Commission has been advised.

## NO. 148-KEOKUK COUNTY.

Jackson Street in northwest part of Sigourney; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Survey has been made for the proposed replacement of the existing wooden overhead bridge with a permanent structure. Plans and estimates will be prepared by the Commission and furnished to the county and railroad company.

#### NO. 181-WEBSTER COUNTY.

North line of Section 1, Fulton Township; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

Upon the request of the railroad company the construction of this crossing was deferred for the period of the war owing to the prevailing high prices of construction work and the scarcity of labor and materials.

#### NO. 212-JEFFERSON COUNTY.

Sections 31, 32 and 33, Lockridge Township, near Glendale; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

The board of supervisors and railroad company being unable to agree on the distribution of the cost of carrying out this improvement in accordance with the Commission's plans, an appeal was made to the Board of Railroad Commissioners for a formal order requiring the improvement. The petition was forwarded to the Board of Railroad Commissioners on March 16th. The estimated cost of the improvement on the basis of the present plans and present cost of materials and labor is \$21,200. The county has requested the railroad company to donate about three acres of right of way parallel to their tracks and pay the sum of \$4,000 toward the improvement, the county to assume the balance of the expense. Practically all of the traffic which now crosses the main line tracks of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad on two grade crossings and one overhead and one subway crossing would be diverted so that no crossing of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy tracks would be necessary. Negotiations are still in progress with the railroad company in an effort to secure an adjustment of this proposition.

#### NO. 216-MUSCATINE COUNTY.

Section 21, 22 and 23, Montpelier Township; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

At a conference held in Des Moines between representatives of the county, railroad company and Commission, an agreement was reached which insures the completion of this crossing project in 1918 in accordance with the plans as prepared by the Commission. The plans contemplate a relocation of two miles of the county road which will avoid three grade crossings on the tracks of the railroad company. The estimated cost of the improvement is \$13,500. The county agreed to construct the crossing project as planned and the railroad company agreed to pay \$4,000 toward the improvement when completed. In addition, the railroad company is to donate a portion of their right of way required for highway purposes. The proposition has been satisfactorily adjusted and is awaiting final approval of the contract form by the railroad management and federal authorities.

#### NO. 227-O'BRIEN COUNTY.

Sections 2 and 11, Liberty Township; Chicago and North Western Railroad.

Survey has been completed for the improvement of this crossing. Plans will be prepared early in 1919.

## RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENT

### NO. 243-JEFFERSON COUNTY.

In town of Batavia; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad. Listed for survey.

#### NO. 245-STORY COUNTY.

Sections 16 and 17, Washington Township; Fort Dodge, Des Moines and Southern Railroad.

On April 27th a conference was held between representatives of the Commission and the railroad company concerning this improvement. The railroad company agreed to pay \$200 of the cost of improving this crossing. The improvement has been made and the crossing project satisfactorily adjusted.

### NO. 247-JONES COUNTY.

Sections 13 and 14, Cass Township; Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railroad.

Project listed for survey.

## NO. 256-MAHASKA COUNTY.

Sections 8 and 9, White Oak Township, one mile west of Rose Hill; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

The Commission took up correspondence with the railroad company regarding the improvement of this crossing and secured the consent of the railroad company to contribute \$400 or approximately 50 per cent of the estimated cost of the improvement. The crossing project was completed in 1918.

## NO. 264-WAPELLO COUNTY.

Sections 23 and 24, Columbia Township; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Survey completed for the improvement and plans now in the course of preparation.

## NO. 272-WRIGHT COUNTY.

Section 33, Iowa Township; Chicago Great Western Railroad.

A letter was received from the railroad company advising the Commission that improvements to this crossing would be made substantially in accordance with the recommendations of the Commission. These improvements were to be made during the year of 1918. A further inspection will be made of this crossing to determine if it is in satisfactory condition and the complaint satisfactorily adjusted.

## NO. 275-IOWA COUNTY.

Sections 11 and 12, Hartford Township, 1 mile west of Ladora; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Listed for survey.

#### NO. 276-BOONE COUNTY.

Crossings in city of Ogden; Chicago and North Western Railroad.

The Commission was requested to investigate the condition of the crossings located in the city of Ogden to assist in securing some relief from the conditions complained of. A conference was held on February 5th at which time a representative of the Commission met with members of the city council and the mayor of the city of Ogden to discuss the proposed improvement and methods for remedying the conditions complained of. It appeared at this conference that the matter had previously been referred to the Board of Railroad Commissioners and an opinion had been rendered by them under date of August 3, 1917. Owing to the fact that the matter was being handled by the Railroad Commission and that the expenditure necessary to satisfactorily adjust the complaint would be large, it was considered advisable to defer further action in this matter for the period of the war.

#### NO. 277-SIOUX COUNTY.

Sections 11 and 12, Reading Township, 3 miles west of Maurice; Chicago and North Western Railroad.

Plans were submitted by the railroad company for approval. The improvement contemplated consists of the construction of a permanent undergrade crossing to replace a temporary wooden undercrossing in this location. An examination of the conditions at the site of the crossing was made by our district engineer and with a slight modification of the road grade near the crossing the plans were approved.

#### NO. 278-MONROE COUNTY.

Section 12, Troy Township, 2 miles northeast of Albia; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

The complaint which was received by the Commission concerning the condition of this crossing was satisfactorily adjusted at a conference held to consider the improvements which were to be made. The railroad company, county and Commission were represented at the conference and the project was satisfactorily adjusted. The crossing improvement has been completed.

#### NO. 279-MONROE COUNTY.

Near center of Section 6, Mantua Township, 2 miles west of Avery: Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad. (Same report as No. 278.)

#### NO. 280-MONROE COUNTY.

Section 33, Troy Township, 2 miles south of Avery; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

(Same report as No. 278.)

## RAILROAD CROSSING IMPROVEMENT

#### NO. 281-MONROE COUNTY.

Section 14, Pleasant Township, 2 miles southwest of Eddyville; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

(Same report as No. 278.)

### NO. 282-POWESHIEK COUNTY.

Sections 3 and 10, Lincoln Township, 1½ miles north of Guernsey; Chicago and North Western Railroad.

Plans for the construction of a permanent undergrade crossing to replace a temporary wood bridge in this location were submitted to the Commission for approval by the railroad company. Upon the request of the Commission a modification of the vertical clearance was made and the plan was then approved. This crossing improvement has been completed.

### NO. 283-UNION COUNTY.

Section 13, Jones Township, 1 mile east of Thayer; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

Plans for the improvement of a wooden overhead bridge by the substitution of a steel and concrete structure were submitted to the Commission for approval by the railroad company. An investigation of the conditions was made by a representative of the Commission and upon his recommendation the matter was temporarily deferred. Later a further and more detailed examination of the possibility of relocating the existing road so as to entirely avoid this crossing was made by the board of supervisors and Commission. It was found that an extensive relocation was possible which would avoid several crossings of the railroad and improve highway conditions in the county. Owing to the prevailing high prices of construction work at this time it was considered advisable to request the railroad company to repair the existing overhead wooden bridge in this location and to defer the construction of the permanent bridge until the county was in a position to give full consideration to the possibility of relocating the road and avoiding this and several other crossings. The matter has been temporarily deferred as noted above.

## NO. 284-CLARKE COUNTY.

Section 7, Troy Township, 2 miles west of Murray; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

(See report on project No. 283.)

## NO. 285-JEFFERSON COUNTY.

Sections 28 and 29, Fairfield Township; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

Plans were submitted by the railroad company to the Commission for approval which contemplated the reconstruction of the present wooden overhead bridge in this location by the substitution of a steel and concrete structure of a permanent nature. Plans were approved by the Commission with slight modifications.

#### NO. 286-KEOKUK COUNTY.

Section 20, English River Township, 2 miles northeast of Webster; Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railroad.

Listed for survey.

#### NO. 287-WAYNE COUNTY.

In the city of Lineville; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad. Listed for survey.

#### NO. 288-WAYNE COUNTY.

Section 16, Walnut Township; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Upon request of the county a representative of the Commission investigated the conditions complained of at the site of this crossing. The project was satisfactorily adjusted later by correspondence and has been completed.

#### NO. 289-WAYNE COUNTY.

Section 5, Union Township, 2 miles north of Millerton; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Upon request of the county the Commission's representative made an inspection of this crossing improvement and reports that some improvement to the drainage conditions will be necessary. This matter is being taken up with the railroad company at this time.

#### NO. 290-MONONA COUNTY.

Sections 21 and 28, Franklin Township, 3¼ miles south of Onawa; Chicago and North Western Railroad.

Request was made to the Commission for assistance in preparing a survey and securing the improvement of this railroad crossing. The matter was later taken up by the county with the railroad company and satisfactorily adjusted. The improvement has been carried out in accordance with the plans made by the county engineer.

#### NO. 291-HANCOCK COUNTY.

Sections 1 and 2, Madison Township, 2 miles south of Forest City; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

Complaint was received by the Commission concerning the obstructed view to approaching trains at this crossing. The Commission took the matter up with the railroad company by correspondence and secured a promise from the railroad company to remove the obstructions which were interfering with the view of approaching trains. This satisfactorily adjusted the complaint and the improvement was completed in 1918.

#### NO. 292-DES MOINES COUNTY.

In the city of West Burlington; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

-----

Plans for the reconstruction of a wooden overhead bridge on the main line of the Burlington was submitted to the Commission for approval. Modifications in the approach grade and in the details of the overhead structure were made by the Commission and accepted by the railroad company. The plans were later approved and the project has been completed.

## NO. 293-POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY.

Section 28, Garner Township; Chicago Great Western Railroad. Plans for the improvement of this undergrade crossing by reconstruction of the railroad bridge was submitted to the Commission for approval. An engineer of the Commission made an inspection of the crossing and reported that the plans as prepared by the railroad company were not satisfactory for acceptance. Modifications to the plans are being made and will be submitted to the railroad company for consideration at an early date.

## NO. 294-BREMER COUNTY.

Section 20, Lafayette Township; Illinois Central Railroad. Project has recently been surveyed and plans are in the course of preparation for the improvement of this crossing.

## NO. 295-RINGGOLD COUNTY.

Section 2, Rice Township, 2 miles west of Mount Ayr; Chicago, Bur-

lington and Quincy Railroad. The improvement of this crossing is included as a part of the federal

aid project of Ringgold County and detailed plans for the crossing improvement will be worked up in connection with the federal aid plans.

## NO. 296-MONROE COUNTY.

Section 5, Jackson Township, 1 mile west of Melrose; Chicago, Bur-

lington and Quincy Railroad. Plans for the improvement of this grade crossing were prepared by

the county engineer and forwarded to the Commission with a request that the matter be taken up with the railroad company. The Commission took the matter up with the railroad company by correspondence but has not been able to secure an approval of the plans from the railroad company or a satisfactory distribution of cost. The project is under adjustment at this time.

## NO. 297-CASS COUNTY.

Section 31, Grant Township, 2 miles west of Anita; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

The Commission received a petition signed by a large number of citizens living in the vicinity of this crossing requesting our assistance in securing the improvement of an undergrade crossing in the above location. A survey was made and plans and estimates were prepared by the Commission and furnished to the railroad company and county. A proposition has been submitted to the railroad company for temporary repairs

.

to the crossing which will relieve the conditions complained of. No reply has been received from the railroad company to date. The matter is under adjustment at this time.

#### NO. 298-LEE COUNTY.

Section 3, Van Buren Township, ½ mile west of Belfast; Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad.

Complaint was received by the Commission concerning the unsatisfactory condition of an undergrade crossing in the above location. An investigation by a representative of the Commission disclosed the fact that the conditions complained of should be relieved. The matter was taken up with the railroad company but no satisfactory adjustment has been secured to date.

#### NO. 299-DECATUR COUNTY.

Section 19, Eden Township, 4 miles southwest of Leon; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

This crossing is located on the proposed federal aid projects in Decatur County and plans for its improvement were worked up in connection with the plans for the improvement of the road. Surveys were made by the Commission and alternate plans and estimates submitted to the board for consideration. Upon acceptance of one of the plans by the board of supervisors the matter will be taken up with the railroad company in an effort to secure a distribution of cost between the interested parties.

#### NO. 300-DECATUR COUNTY.

Sections 3 and 10, New Buda Township, 2½ miles southwest of Davis City; Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad.

A survey was made for the improvement of this crossing and plans and estimates of cost have been prepared and furnished to the county. The matter will be taken up with the railroad company at an early date.

#### NO. 301-WOODBURY COUNTY.

Section 9, Woodbury Township; Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railroad.

A survey was made and plans have been prepared for the substitution of an overhead crossing for the existing grade crossing in the above location. The estimated cost of the improvement based on the plans as prepared was \$30,000. The matter was taken up with the board of supervisors of Woodbury County in connection with their federal aid project upon which the crossing is located. The plans as prepared by the Commission have been presented to the board and are under consideration at this time.

#### NO. 302-FREMONT COUNTY.

In the town of Summit, Sections 26 and 35, Monroe Township; Wabash Railroad.

At a conference held at the site of this crossing on September 27th it was agreed between the representatives of the county, railroad company and Commission that an improvement to this crossing should be made, the county to do the necessary grading work outside of the railroad company's right of way and the railroad company to take care of the grading work and drainage within the right of way lines. The improvement contemplated consists of securing a greater vertical and horizontal clearance to an existing undergrade crossing. Work will probably be undertaken in 1919.

#### NO. 303-STORY COUNTY.

In the city of Ames; Chicago and North Western Railroad. Listed for survey.

## NO. 304-MAHASKA-POWESHIEK COUNTIES.

Present crossing in Section 1, Prairie Township, Mahaska County, proposed improvement in Section 36, Sugar Creek Township, Poweshiek County; Minneapolis and St. Louis Railroad.

Survey has been made and plans have been prepared for the improvement of this crossing. The present grade crossing will be improved by the construction of an overhead crossing on a relocated road. The project is under adjustment at this time.

## NO. 305-BREMER COUNTY.

At corner of Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14, Jefferson Township, 2 miles north of Denver; Chicago Great Western Railroad.

Survey has been made and plans and estimates have been prepared for the improvement of an overhead crossing in the above location. The plans for the improvement are being submitted to the railroad company and county. Chapter VI. Road Department.

## December 1, 1917, to December 1, 1918.

In 1918, due to war conditions, the mileage of road work contracted fell 42 per cent below the 1916 mileage and 58 per cent below the 1917 mileage; the prices of earthwork increased 59.6 per cent over the 1916 prices, and 38.5 per cent over the 1917 prices. In 1918 the 272 miles contracted cost the same as 434 miles in 1916.

During the period covered by this report, engineers of the road department have checked and approved county profiles for the improvement of 321.1 miles of road, involving the moving of 1,797,-753 cubic yards of earth; approved thirty-four contracts for road work amounting to \$477,365.00; investigated and undertook the adjustment of sixty-two road complaints; investigated and passed upon eighty-two requests for changes in county roads; supervised state road work involving the grading of one mile, the graveling of five and one-half miles, the building of three concrete culverts, the laying of 3814 square yards of paving, and the building of 5600 lineal feet of guard rail; gave detailed supervision to county road work involving the construction of four miles of brick pavement, one and one-fourth miles of concrete pavement, and five miles of gravel road; prepared and submitted to the federal authorities project statements for twenty-one federal aid projects, and collected data for six federal aid projects for which the project statements have not been submitted; made counts of the traffic on nineteen federal aid projects; made field surveys of nineteen federal aid projects involving 260 miles of road; prepared detailed plans for fifteen federal aid projects involving 248 miles of road, prepared special specifications for six federal aid projects, and prepared standard federal aid specifications for earth roads, gravel roads, concrete roads, monolithic brick roads, and bituminous filled brick roads.

District engineers representing the road department have made field examination of the 321.1 miles for which profiles were approved; attended twenty-eight road lettings for road work costing \$580,944.00 and involving the moving of 1,587,741 cubic yards of earth; made field examination of all the proposed changes



To hasten the improvement of its transcontinental route, the Lincoln Highway for several years made a standing offer to counties through which the road passed to supply free of charge enough cement for the construction of a mile of concrete road surfacing. These roads were to be called "seedling miles." Linn county was the only county in Iowa to take advantage of this offer. The two views show the road under construction and a finished section. The "seedling mile" is located about six miles east of Cedar Rapids.



designate f between the needs nap shows sting of rs, with t bove ma and o select consistin centers, The abo to Thirty-Seventh general assembly instructed the Highway Commission to help of the boards of supervisors, a state system of inter county roads, 1 6,000 miles, which should connect all the county seats and main market at as a whole, not the individual counties, as the chief consideration, on practically complete. It comprises 6,284 miles. with the helt 2,000 and 6,0 of the state The



built many miles of ture and under traffic itions or under heavy b be impossible. The moist U.M.O t of r dr el for this picture. Toy nder the right amount o der extremely wet or dr condition, has been sh 1 been neglected. ravel through gravel" not on it would be an appropriate label f road and has some beautiful stretches of such surfacing. Under not too heavy the gravel surface is giving fine service. Under it goes to pieces rapidly and its maintenance in suffactory con road was gravelled, but at this particular spot drainage had be gravel r that is l traffic, l above re

#### ROAD DEPARTMENT

in the county road systems concerning which there could be any question, and made reconnaissance surveys and estimates of the cost of eighteen proposed federal aid projects involving 206.56 miles of road, and have given general supervision to the construction work in progress on the three federal aid projects under contract.

## Road Profiles Approved. (Refer to Schedule Five.)

Profiles for the improvement of 321.1 miles of road have been checked and approved. This required the handling and checking of 369 separate profile drawings. Since the Commission was organized in 1913, profiles for 3123.6 miles of road have been checked and approved.

The following table shows the mileage of road profiles approved each year since the Commission was organized, and indicates the falling off of road work due to the war:

Year	Profiles A	approved
1913		niles
1914		"
1915		**
1916		.4
1917		**
1918		"

A comparison of the maximum grades, rise and fall, and quantity of earthwork for the profiles approved in 1916, 1917 and 1918 follows:

	1916	1917	1918	
Average maximum grade before improvement	5.77%	5.55%	5.49%	
Average maximum grade after improvement.	3.61%	3.55%	3.48%	
Reduction in average maximum grade	2.16%	2.00%	2.01%	
Average rise and fall per mile before im- provement	50.0 ft.	48.1 ft.	51.9 ft.	
Average rise and fall per mile after improve- provement	40.1 ft.	37.9 ft.	41.7 ft.	
Reduction in average rise and fall	9.9 ft.	10.2 ft.	10.2 ft.	
Earthwork required by profiles-cubic yards.4	,739,485	4,806,668	1,797,753	
Average quantity earthwork per mile-cubic yards	5,655	5,418	5,599	

#### Specifications.

Special specifications have been prepared for six federal aid projects including one mile of pavement, thirty miles of gravel, and one hundred four miles of earth road. Standard federal aid road specifications have been prepared for earth roads, gravel roads, concrete roads, and brick roads.

6



#### ROAD DEPARTMENT

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

### Road Lettings Attended. (Refer to Schedule Six.)

Twenty-eight lettings for the improvement of 272.1 miles of road have been attended. The total contract price was \$580,-944.45. The earth excavation included in these lettings amounted to 1,587,741 cubic yards, which cost \$543,167.87, or an average of 34.21 cents per cubic yard.

The effect of the war in reducing the amount of road work and increasing the prices for such work is well illustrated in the following table:

	Miles of Road	Average Cost of
	Constructed	Earthwork
1916		21.43c per cu. yd.
1917		24.69c " " "
1918		34.21c " " "

The number of miles contracted in 1918 was only 58.1 per cent of the mileage contracted in 1916. The price for earthwork in 1918 was 59.6 per cent higher than in 1916. Thus in 1918 it cost as much to build 272 miles as it would have cost in 1916 to build 434 miles. It will be noticed that the mileage contracted in 1917 was greatly in excess of the mileage contracted in 1916. A large per cent of the 1917 work was contracted early in the year before the United States entered the war. The mileage contracted in 1918 was only 42 per cent of the mileage contracted in 1917.

#### Road Contracts Approved. (Refer to Schedule Seven.)

Thirty-four contracts for the improvement of 204.25 miles of road have been approved. The total amount of these contracts is \$477,365.67, of which \$393,242.50 is for earth excavation. These contracts provide for the moving of 1,167,102 cubic yards of earth, at an average price of 33.69 cents per cubic yard.

## Road Complaints. (Refer to Schedule Eight.)

The records show that during 1918 the Commission received and undertook the adjustment of sixty-two road complaints. In many instances, when these complaints were investigated it was found that the roads were in bad shape because the road superintendent had gone to the army and no one had been found to take his place. Since April, 1913, there have been filed with the Commission 704 road complaints, as follows:

1913	 			*	•	• •		•	• •		٠	• •	• •		• •	• •	*	•	• •	• •		* 1	• •		٠		0			28	complaints	
1914																			•											83	**	
1915						•				• •			• •			• •				• •			• •						.2	54		
1916	 					•	• •			• •		•		• •		•	• •		•	• •	• •		.,						.1	51	**	
1917										• •			•													*			1	26		
1918			• •			,	• •		•	• •			•	• •	•	•	• •			• :	• •		• •	•	•		•	• •		62	**	

## Inspection and Supervision of Road Work.

The district engineers of the Commission give general supervision to the ordinary county road construction work. Sixtyseven days have been spent on this general supervisory work.

On special county road projects and those requiring more detailed supervision than the county engineer can give, the Commission has assisted the counties by assigning special engineers to give the work detailed inspection and supervision. Five such special projects were handled in 1918. The days spent on each were as follows:

Camp Dodge Road, Polk County	514	days
Dubuque Post Road, Dubuque County	124	
Sageville Road, Dubuque County	558	**
Seedling Mile, Linn County		
Colby Road, Polk County		
	-	

1,276 "

The work on the Camp Dodge Road consisted of giving detailed inspection and supervision to the laying of 32,000 square yards of monolithic brick pavement, the construction of 28,000 square yards of gravel shoulders, the construction of 5,000 lineal feet of guard rail, and the general work of finishing the whole five miles included in this project. This project is completed. From one to three engineers were employed on this work.

The work on the Dubuque Post Road consisted in giving detailed supervision and inspection to the grading of three miles, the scarifying and re-shaping of 15,833 square yards of old macadam, the graveling of five miles, and the construction of 14,000 lineal feet of guard rail. This road is now completed from Dubuque to Dyersville. One engineer was employed on this work.

The work on the Sageville Road consisted in giving detailed inspection and supervision to the laying of about 18,000 square yards of monolithic brick pavement and the general work of finishing the three and one-third miles included in this project. This project is completed except that it will be necessary to remove and reconstruct about one-third mile of defective pavement laid in the fall of 1917.

The work on the Seedling Mile in Linn County consisted of inspecting the construction of about three-fourths mile of concrete pavement on the Lincoln Highway between Mt. Vernon and Cedar Rapids. The cement for this mile was donated by the Lincoln Highway Association. This work is about threefourths completed. One engineer was employed on this work.

The work on the Colby Road consisted of inspecting the construction of about one-half mile of concrete pavement on the Clive Road just west of the city limits of Des Moines. This work is completed. One engineer was employed on this work.

## Changes in County Road System. (Refer to Schedule Nine.)

The Commission has taken action on proposed additions or alterations in the county road system in fifty counties, involving eighty-two separate requests by the boards of supervisors. Seventy-one of these requests were approved. These involve the addition of 144.75 miles to the county road system and the removal of 11.75 miles from the county system. The net increase in the mileage of the county road system was 133.00 miles.

#### Annual Report Blanks.

In co-operation with the administrative department the blanks for the annual reports of township trustees, clerks, and road superintendents, and the road division of the county engineers' annual reports have been revised and copies sent out to the various officers.

### Surveys for Federal Aid Projects.

Engineers of the road department have made detailed surveys for nineteen federal aid projects involving 260.46 miles. In making these surveys the Commission furnished the chief of party and one roadman. The county furnished the necessary additional help and the transportation. It is believed that better results will be secured by the Commission furnishing the chief of party, one rodman, one chairman, and the transportation.

#### Plans for Federal Aid Projects.

In addition to checking and approving plans sent in by the county engineers for 321 miles of road, engineers of the road department have prepared plans for thirteen federal aid projects involving 182.13 miles of road, and have checked and approved the plans for two other projects involving 65.85 miles of road.

#### State Road Work.

The engineering work on the roads at state institutions was as follows:

Made detailed surveys for two and one-half miles at the Clive Custodial Farm. The plans are now being prepared.

Prepared plans for improvement of one and one-half miles at the Soldiers Orphans Home at Davenport.

Supervised the finished grading of three miles at the Cherokee and Mount Pleasant Hospitals.

Supervised the graveling of five and one-half miles at the Cherokee and Mount Pleasant Hospitals.

Supervised the graveling of five and one-half miles at the Cherokee and Woodward Hospitals and the college at Ames.

Supervised the construction of one-fourth mile of concrete pavement at the Knoxville Asylum.

Supervised the building of 5,600 lineal feet of guard rail at Cherokee and Ames.

Repaired flood damages at Ames, requiring the building of two temporary bridges and the rebuilding of grades.

Constructed three concrete culverts, one at the Clive Custodial Farm and the other two at Knoxville.

#### SCHEDULE 5.

#### ROAD PROFILES APPROVED.

County		County	
		Delaware	
dair		Des Moines	
damse			
llamakee		Dickinson	
ppanoose		Dubuque	
udubon	1	Emmet	
enton	1	Fayette	
lack Hawk		Floyd	
0000		Franklin	
remer		Fremont	
uchanan		Greene	
uena Vista		Grundy	
		Guthrie	
	13	Hamilton	2
alhoun	6	Hancock	
arroll		Hardin	
858		Harrison	
erro Gordo	12	Henry	
herokee	12	Howard	
edar		Hnmboldt	- 5
hickasaw	1	A COMPANY OF A COM	
larke		Ida	199
lay		Iowa	
layton		Jackson	
linton	8	Jasper	
rawford	14	Jefferson	
allas	2	Johnson	
		Jones	
Decatur		Keokuk	

84

County		County
Rossuth	*	t
	7	Poweshiek
linn		winkkold
Jouisa		Scott
JUCAS		Shally
yon	00	Sloux
dadison		Story
dexn	1	Tama
archall	1	Taylor
file	20	Union
liteball		Van Buren
	100	wapello
IONTOA	7	Warren
onteomary		Washington
Viscostina		wayne
Average and	n	Webster
7 DUICH	9	Winnebago
		Winneshiek
Dala Alta		Woodbury
AIRO	20	Worth
Tymouth		Wright
Dolly Dolly	14	and the second se
orratta managemente annon annon annon an	23	Total
LOURANTING		and all all all all a sound and a set of the

### SCHEDULE SIX.

ROAD LETTINGS ATTENDED.

Country	No. of	1.1	Miles (	of Road		Quantity	Find of Work and Kult Driver	Approx. Tota
County	No. of lettings	Finished grade	Gravel	Pavem't	Total	Quantity	Kind of Work and Unit Prices	Approx. Tota
Carroll		6.0			6.0	\$3,000	Earthwork 25c per c. y	\$ 20,750.0
	4	15.7		****	15.7	103,000		
	4			****			Earthwork 381/2 per c. y	
layton	-	0.5		****	0.5	5,000	Loose rock 55c per c. y.	
rawford	1	5.0	****		5.0	58,525	Earthwork 36.2c per c. y.	21,171.3
lamilton	2	24.0			24.0	87,000	Earthwork 31.8c per c. y.	
loward	1	8.0			8.0	32,700	Earthwork 35c per c. y	
lumboldt	1	16.0			16.0	. 32,500	Earthwork 39%c per c. y.	
ackson	1	1.0			1.0	15,600	Earthwork 54.5c per c. y	
						2,600	Rock \$1.44 per c. y	. 12,246.0
ossuth	2	7.0			7.0	29,124	Earthwork 33c per c. y.	9,622.9
inn	2			1.0	1.0	7,000	Earthwork 52c per c. y	3,640.0
		0.000		1000		600	Guard rail \$1.00 per lin. ft	600.0
						148	Temp. Culv. 75c per lin. ft.	111.0
				1		9,387	Concrete Pavem't, \$2.84 per sq. yd	26,659.0
yon	1	3.0			3.0	29,064	Earthwork 41%c per c. y.	
ladison		0.75			0.75	9,000	Earthwork 40c per c. y	
darshall	ĩ	9.0			9.0	84,515	Earthwork 36.8 per c. y	
darion	2	19.0			19.0	174.277	Earthwork 36.7c per c. y	64,314.0
)'Brien	ī	16.0			16.0	53,944	Earthwork 36.5c per c. y	
Palo Alto	T.	24.0			24.0	91,764	Earthwork 30.1c per c. y	
Polk		8.0		2000	8.0	48,800	Earthwork 41.8c per c. y	20,399.20
Sloux		10.0			10.0	55,525	Earthwork 38.9 per c. y	
Sac		34.4		10.000	34.4	140,000	Earthwork 32c per c. y	44,800.00
Wapello		01.1				3,600	Earthwork 27% per c. y	900.00
Winnebago		9.0			9.0	65,000	Earthwork 27% per c. y.	17.875.00
Woodbury		53.75		1.0	54.75	383,803	Earthwork 32.4c per c. y.	124,178.65
HOURS		50.10		1.0	01.10	000,000	Guard rail 50c per lin. ft.	3,304.50
						10000	6-inch tile 20c per lin. ft.	299.00
the second s				-	1	the second second	which the soe per hit. It as a second second	200.00
Totals	28			2.0	272.1	1,587,741		\$ 580,944,45

Total quantity of earthwork, 1,587,741 cu. yd. Total cost of earthwork, \$543,167.87. Average cost of earthwork, \$4.21c per cu. yd.

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ROAD DEPARTMENT

## SCHEDULE SEVEN.

.

## ROAD CONTRACTS APPROVED.

Laying 8-in. tile 1.00- 1.50 per rod 32 rd Laying 10-in. tile 1.25- 2.00 per rod 33 rd	\$ 715.00 40.50 53.50 06.00 7,500.00
Laying 8-in. tile 1.00- 1.50 per rod 32 rd Laying 10-in. tile 1.25- 2.00 per rod 33 rd	53.50
Y - ula - this - the	
Laying 12 in. tile 1.25- 2.50 per rod 36 rd	yd 7,500.00
Darroll Thos. Carey & Son Earthwork52 per cu. yd 14,420 cu.	
Dubuque Anton Zwack 5.0 Earthwork Cost plus 10% 6,250 cu.	yd
Searifying old macadam Cost plus 10% 15,833 sq.	yd
	yd
Gravel surface Cost plus 10%	
	yd 12,600.00
	yd 6,435.00
	yd 3,406.34
	yd 2,212.20
	yd 3,388.00
Iardin E. A. Brownfield 4.0 Earthwork 0.27 per cu. yd 18,800 cu.	
Iardin John Birch 2.75 Gravel 0.675 per cu. yd 2,420 cu.	
	yd 1,540.00
Iancock Vern May 2.0 Gravel 1.25 per cu. yd 1,760 cu.	
	yd 11,500.00
	yd 2,166.00
	yd 3,182.00
	ft 840.00
	yd 5,320,00
	yd 3,744.00
	yd 3,640.00
	ft 600.00
	ft 111.00
	yd 26,659.08
	yd 5,215.00
	ft 290.00
6-in. tile	ft 20.00
	yd 45,156.76
	yd 13,632.33
Iarshall R. F. Elzy 0.3 Earthwork 0.60 per cu. yd 1600 cu.	
useatine Fuller Bros. & Co 0.7 Earthwork 0.45 per cu. yd 2,000 cu.	
	ft 60.20
Load'g and hau'g gravel 1.35 per cu. yd 1.670 cu.	
Building gravel surface	

O'Brien       C. F. Betz		Earthwork Earthwork Earthwork Earthwork Earthwork Clearing and grubbing Earthwork Earthwork Earthwork Guard rail 6-in tile Earthwork Earthwork	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.315\\ 0.32\\ 0.53\\ 3.00\\ 150.00\\ 0.40\\ 0.275\\ 0.315\\ 0.50\\ 0.20\\ 0.311\\ 0.305\end{array}$	per c per c	u. yd u. ft u. ft u. yd u. yd	$\begin{array}{c} 29,038\\62,726\\64,000\\76,000\\30,000\\200\\8\\17,000\\65,000\\186,114\\6,600\\1,495\\6,0440\\59,893\end{array}$	eu. yd eu. yd cu. yd cu. yd eu. yd eu. yd eu. yd eu. yd in ft in ft cu. yd cu. yd	$\begin{array}{c} 7,840,29\\ -19,768,69\\ -20,480,00\\ -24,320,00\\ -15,900,00\\ -1,200,00\\ -6,800,00\\ -6,800,00\\ -6,800,00\\ -7,875,00\\ -58,625,91\\ -3,304,50\\ -299,00\\ -18,937,87\\ -21,860,95\\ -24,753,92\\ \end{array}$
Totals	204.25							\$ 477,365.67

Total number of contracts approved, 34. Total quantity of earthwork in approved contracts, 1,167,102 cu. yd. Total cost of earthwork, \$393, 242.50. Average cost of earthwork, \$3.69 cts. per cu. yd.

\*

ROAD DEPARTMENT

## SCHEDULE EIGHT.

## ROAD COMPLAINTS.

County	Filed	Adjusted	County	Filed	Adjusted
Adair			Inenes		
Adams			Jasper		
Allamakee	1	1	Jefferson		
Audubon	2	1	Johnson	3	1
Appanoose	1		Jones Keokuk		
Benton	2			1	
Black Hawk		1	Kossuth	****	
Boone		****	Lee	and and a second	
Bremer		*****	Linn		
Buchanan	100	1	Louisa		
Buena Vista			Lucas		
Butler		****	Lyon		
alhoun			Madison	1	1
arroll			Mahaska	2	1
ass			Marion	1	1
Cerro Gordo		****	Marshall		
herokee			Mills		
edar	1	NAME	Mitchell		
hickasaw	1		Monona		
Horko	1		Monroe	1	1
Jarke	3	2	Montgomery	1	
lay			Muscatine		
layton			O'Brien		
linton	1		Osceola		
rawford			1.486		
avis	2		Palo Alto	1	
allas		****	Plymouth		
ecatur	****		Pocahontas	1	
elaware	1		Polk		
es Moines			Pottawattamie	8	3
ickinson			Poweshiek		
ubuque	****		Ringgold	1	
mmet			Sac		
syette			Scott		
loyd	1	1	Shelby	1	1
ranklin	4	2	Sioux		
remont			Story	1	
reene			Tama		
rundy			Taylor		
uthrie	1		Union	1	1
amilton			Van Buren		A manual
BDCOCK			Wapello	1	1
ardin	1	1	Warren	1	
arrison	3 .		Washington		
enry			Wayne	3	2
oward			Webster	1	
umboldt	1	1	winnebago		
8		-	Winneshiek	1	
Wa			Woodbury	3	
ickson			Worth		
		****	Wright	9	1

No final report received on 9 complaints listed above as not adjusted.

## ROAD DEPARTMENT

## SCHEDULE NINE.

## COUNTY ROAD CHANGES.

County	Date filed with Commission	Date of action by Commission	Was Board's ac- tion approved?	Mi. +., be added to county system	Mi, to be de- ducted from
Allamakee	11-17-17	5- 6-18	Yes	10.0	
Allamakee	11-17-17	5- 6-18 5- 6-18	Yes	4.5	
Allamakee	11-17-17	5-16-18	Yes	9.0	
Buena Vista	6-14-18 6-15-18	6-28-18 7-19-18	Yes Yes	0.15	
Cass	4-30-17	4-26-18	Deferred	11.0 7.0	
Cass	5-22-18 5-22-18	$\begin{array}{c} 6-28-18\\ 6-28-18\\ 6-28-18\end{array}$	Yes	1.0	2.0
Cass	5-22-18	6-28-18	Yes		
Cass	5-22-18 5-22-18	6-28-18 6-28-18	Yes		****
Cass Cass Cerro Gordo	5-22-18	6-28-18	Yes Yes		
Cerro Gordo	4-23-18	5-6-18	Yes	3.4	
LICIOACU	4-15-18	4-26-18	Yes	1.5	
Clarke		4-16-18	No	1.5	****
Clarke	10-21-18 5-11-18	11- 6-18 5-17-18	Yes Yes	1.9	
Clinton	8-2-18	10-11-18	Yes	2.0 5.75	
Dallas		8-15-18	Yes	1.0	
Dallas	4-18-18	4-26-18	Yes		
Decatur	6-10-18	6-28-18	Yes		
Decatur	6-10-18	6-28-18	Yes Yes		
Delaware	6-10-18 4- 4-18	6-28-18 4-26-18	Yes	0.5	
Delaware	9-26-18				
Des Moines	4-4-18	4-16-18	Yes	.50	.50
Dickinson	4- 3-18 4- 3-18	4-16-18 4-16-18	Yes Yes		
Dickinson	6-8-18	6-28-18	Yes	5.00	
Emmet	6-8-18 6-11-18	6-28-18 6-28-18	Yes	2.50	
Fayette	3-6-18	3-29-18	Yes		****
Floyd	1-30-18 5-20-18	3-29-18 5-31-18	Yes Yes	2.00	
Greene	9-12-18	0-12-18	Yes	0.12	
Greene	9-12-18	9-13-18 10-11-18 10-11-18	Yes	0.25 2.00	
Humboldt	9-23-18	10-11-18	Yes	2.00	
Hancock	8-12-18	10-11-18 5-17-18	Yes Yes	2.00 9.00	
Hamilton	10-25-18	5-17-18	Yes	7.00	
Hardin	5-16-18	5-17-18	Yes	4.00	
lowa	6-15-17	9-20-18	Yes	5.25	
Jasper	4-22-18	4-26-18 4-16-18	Yes		.25
Jackson Johnson	4-9-18 5-14-17	4-10-18 9-20-18	Yes Yes	10.00	****
Johnson	8-10-18	9- 6-18	No	7.75	
Johnson	8-10-18	9-6-18	No	7.75	****
Johnson	8-10-18	9- 6-18	Yes	6.00	
Jones	4-9-18	5-31-18 4-16-18	Yes Yes	0.50	****
Kossuth	4-9-18 4-15-18 10-11-18	10-11-18	No	0.00	
Lucas	12-31-17	4-16-18	No	******	
Lucas	12-31-17	4-16-18	Yes	1.00	
Lucas	5-27-18	6-28-18	Yes Yes	0.62	
Lucas	12 - 5 - 18 4 - 22 - 18	12-19-18	Yes	1.50	
Mahaska	3-19-18	6-28-18 4-16-18	Yes	******	
Mahaska	3-29-18	4-16-18	Ye5	0.75	
Marion	3-4-18	8-16-18	Yes	$0.25 \\ 1.00$	2.00
Marion	3-6-18	5-31-18 4-16-18	Yes Yes	4.00	0.75
Marion Marshall	3-29-18 4-17-18	4-26-18	Yes	0.75	0.50
Monona	5-7-18	6-28-18	Yes		
Muscatine	5-18-18	10-11-18	No	0.75	
O'Brien	4-14-17	4-26-18	Yes	1.50 3.00	3.00
Osceola Palo Alto	2-7-18	3-16-18 4-26-18	Yes No	3.00 -	6.00
Palo Alto	3-25-18 1-24-18	4-20-18 9- 6-18	No	6.00	
Polk	4-24-18	5- 6-18	Yes		

SCHEDULE NINE-Continued.

County	Date filed with Commission	Date of action by Commission	Was Board's ne- tion approved?	Mi. to be added to county system	Mi. to be de- ducted from county system
Polk Polk Pottawattamie Sae Sott Kott Wayne Winnebago Winnebago Winnebago Winnebago Vinnebag	$\begin{array}{c} 7-17-18\\ 7-17-18\\ 9\cdot 26\cdot 18\\ 12\cdot 13\cdot 17\\ 3\cdot 30\cdot 18\\ 3\cdot 28\cdot 18\\ 3\cdot 28\cdot 18\\ 9\cdot 27\cdot 18\\ 5\cdot 28\cdot 18\\ 10\cdot 24\cdot 17\\ 10\cdot 124\cdot 17\\ 10\cdot 10\cdot 17\\ 10\cdot 10\cdot 17\\ 10$	$\begin{array}{c} 7-19-18\\ 7-19-18\\ 6-28-18\\ 4-16-18\\ 4-16-18\\ 4-16-18\\ 4-16-18\\ 5-31-18\\ 9-6-18\\ 9-6-18\\ 9-6-18\\ 9-6-18\\ 9-6-18\\ 10-11-18\\ 9-6-18\\ \end{array}$	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	2.50 3.00 2.50 21.00 0.95  8.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.62 6.00 0.13	1.00 2.00 1.75

## Chapter VII-Federal Aid for Rural Highways

Thirty-nine Federal Aid road projects, involving the improvement of 555.5 miles of road, have been outlined. Thirty-three of these projects, including 457.74 miles of road and estimated to cost \$2,193,930.00, have been formally submitted to the government. Twenty-seven of these projects have been approved by the government. Detailed surveys have been made for twenty-seven projects, including 402.21 miles of road. Detailed plans have been completed for sixteen projects including 252.55 miles of road, and plans for three other projects including 54.3 miles of road are nearing completion. One project, involving the paving of 4.07 miles, has been completed. Two other projects, involving the paving of one mile and the permanent grading of 71.75 miles, are partly constructed.

Traffic counts taken in thirty-six counties in different parts of the state and at eighty-seven different stations, show an average daily traffic on the inter-county road system of 300 vehicles per day. This traffic is classified as follows:

Motor driven	1%
Horse drawn	1%
Farm-town	%
Inter-urban and inter-county46.1	%
Inter-state 6.1	

These percentages may be slightly changed by future traffic counts in the remaining counties, but it is believed that the counts already taken are fairly representative.

### Inter-county Road System.

The Inter-county Road System connecting all county seats, all cities, all important towns, and including all the main thoroughfares of the state, has been selected by the boards of supervisors and the Highway Commission. This Inter-county Road System constitutes a "comprehensive system" of highways reaching all sections of the state and "giving equitable consideration to the claims of each county." Some details of this system remain to be adjusted, but the system as a whole has been designated. The completed system will contain about 6,000 miles.

## FEDERAL AID FOR RURAL HIGHWAYS

## IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## Projects Outlined.

94

Thirty-nine road improvement projects located in as many different counties have been definitely outlined under the Federal Aid Road Law. These projects include the improvement of 555.5 miles of road, or an average of 14.24 miles per county.

Thirty-three of these projects have been submitted to the federal authorities, and twenty-seven have been approved by them, as follows:

	Project No.	Approved or Pending
Come Conto Subr	nitted in 1917	
Cerro Gordo	1	Approved
Woodbury	2	"
Jefferson	3	**
Delaware	4	**
Buchanan	5	**
Ringgold	6	44
Marion	7	**
Decatur	8	**
Johnson	9	
Warren	11	
Dallas	12	
Calhoun	10	
Webster	13	
Harrison	14	
Mills	15	
Montgomery	16	
Clinton	18	
Monroe	20	
Jackson	21	
Linn	22	
Polk	24	
Black Hawk	25	
Adams	26	Pending
Appanoose	27 -	Approved
Wright	29	
Winnebago	30	"
Howard		Pending
Des Moines	31	Approved
Clarke	32	Pending
Keokuk	33	"
	34	Approved
****	35	**
	41	Pending
Wapello	42	**

These thirty-three projects include the improvement of 457.74 miles of road. Of this mileage 271.25 miles are to be built to finished grade but not surfaced; 171.37 miles are to be surfaced

with gravel, and 15.12 miles are to be paved. The preliminary estimate is distributed as follows:

то	be	paid	from	Federal Aid Funds\$	709,161 48
To	be	paid	from	State Aid Funds	709.161 48
То	be	paid	from	County Funds	775,607,94

#### \$2,193,930.90

A detailed statement of projects No. 1 to No. 21 inclusive appears in the report for 1917. Project No. 19 as described therein was disapproved by the Commission, and a new project has been tentatively outlined extending eastward from Grundy Center through Reinbeck to the east county line. This project will include about five miles of gravel surfacing or a short section of pavement. A detailed statement of the other eighteen projects outlined follows:

Project No. 22, Linn County. Length 17.8 miles. Located on the cutoff to the Lincoln Highway east of Cedar Rapids, the boulevard between Cedar Rapids and Marion, and the Marion-Manchester Road. The improvement proposed consists of building the entire mileage to finished grade, surfacing 10.5 miles with gravel, and surfacing 2.05 miles with pavement. Project statement approved by Secretary of Agriculture, September 28, 1918.

Project No. 23, Marshall County. Length 15.33 miles. Located on the inter-county road from Marshaltown west to the county line. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing with gravel. Project statement not yet submitted to the Federal Department.

Project No. 24, Polk County. Length 7.75 miles. Located on the Jefferson Highway from Des Moines to the Warren County line; also on the Ames-Des Moines road extending south five miles from the north county line. The improvement proposed consists of building the entire project to finished grade and surfacing same with gravel. Project statement approved by Secretary of Agriculture, October 18, 1918.

Project No. 25, Black Hawk County. Length 4.08 miles. Located on the Whitney Road between Waterloo and Cedar Falls. The improvement consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing the same with a pavement 20 feet wide. Project statement submitted to the Federal Department, June 3, 1918.

Project No. 26, Adams County. Length 10 miles. Extends west from Corning to the county line. The improvement consists of constructing the road to finished grade. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, October 8, 1918.

Project No. 27, Appanoose County. Length 11 miles. Located on the Waubonsie Trail, 5½ miles east and 5½ miles west of Centerville. The Improvement consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, October 7, 1918.

Project No. 28, Sioux County. Length 24.25 miles. Extends from Sioux Center to Maurice and from Orange City to Hawarden. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement has not yet been submitted to the Federal Department.

Project No. 29, Wright County. Length 14.88 miles. Located on the Eagle Grove-Goldfield road, the Goldfield-Clarion road, and the Goldfield-Belmond road. The improvement proposed consists of reshaping the grades and surfacing the entire project with gravel. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, November 4, 1918.

Project No. 30, Winnebago County. Length 7:5 miles. Located on the Forest City-Thompson road. The improvement proposed consists of building 4 miles to finished grade, reshaping 3.5 miles which are now built to finished grade, and surfacing the whole project with gravel. Project statement submitted to the Federal Department, November 15, 1918.

Project No. 31, Howard County. Length 10.5 miles. Located on the Cresco-Riceville road extending west from Cresco. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing same with gravel. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, November 1, 1918.

Project No. 32, Des Moines County. Length 2.82 miles. Located on the Agency Road and the Blue Grass Road extending west from Burlington. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing with a pavement 9 feet wide. Project statement was submitted to the Federal Department, December 5, 1918.

Project No. 33, Clarke County. Length 9.6 miles. Located on the Jefferson Highway extending south from Osceola to the county line. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement was submitted to the Federal Department, November 11, 1918.

Project No. 34, Keokuk County. Length 12.25 miles. Located on the White Pole Road from the west county line through Sigourney to a point  $1\frac{1}{2}$  miles east of that town. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, October 9, 1918.

Project No. 35, Chickasaw County. Length 13.07 miles. Located on the road extending south from New Hampton to the county line. Also extending three miles north from New Hampton. The proposed improvement consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing same with gravel. Project statement approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, October 22, 1918.

Project No. 36, Palo Alto County. Length 11.93 miles. Located on the North Iowa Pike extending west from Emmetsburg to the county line. The improvement proposed consists of building 3.93 miles to finished grade, reshaping 8 miles, and surfacing the whole road with gravel. Project statement has not yet been submitted to the Federal Department.

Project No. 41, Floyd County. Length 11.72 miles. Located on the North Iowa Pike extending from Charles City to Rudd. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade and surfacing same with gravel. Project statement was submitted to the Federal Department, December 3, 1918.

Project No. 42, Wapello County. Length 10.8 miles. Located on the Bloomfield-Ottumwa road extending south from Ottumwa to the county line. Also on the Air Line road extending west from Ottumwa 3 miles. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement was submitted to the Federal Department, November 15, 1918.

Project No. 44, Cass County. Length 12 miles. Located on the White Pole Road from Anita to Atlantic. The improvement proposed consists of building the road to finished grade. Project statement has not yet been submitted to the Federal Department.

#### Surveys.

7

Detailed surveys have been completed on twenty-seven projects, including 402.21 miles of road, as follows;

#### Surveyed in 1917.

County	Project No.	County	Project No.
Cerro Gordo		Marion	7
Woodbury		Johnson	9
Delaware		Warren	11
Buchanan			

#### Surveyed in 1918.

County	Project No.	County	Project No.
Jefferson	and the second	Monroe	20
Ringgold		Linn	22
Decatur		Polk	
Calhoun		Black Hawk	
Dallas		Appanoose	
Webster	10	Sioux	
Harrison	. 14	Howard	0.0
Mills	. 15	Des Moines	10.1
Montgomery	. 16	Keokuk	0.5
Clinton	. 18	Chickasaw	

Seven of these surveys, involving 134 miles of road, were made in 1917. The remaining twenty surveys, involving 268.21 miles of road, were made in 1918. The surveys for the projejct in Cerro Gordo, Johnson, Clinton, and Polk Counties, involving 41.23 miles of road, were made by the respective county engineers. The remainder of the surveys have been made by cooperation between the Commission and the counties. The Commission usually furnished a chief of party and one assistant, the county furnishing the transportation and the remainder of the help.

95

#### FEDERAL AID FOR RURAL HIGHWAYS

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

#### Plans.

98

Plans have been completed for sixteen projects involving 252.55 miles of road, as follows:

County	Project No.	County	Project No.
Cerro Gordo	. 1	Johnson	9
Woodbury	. 2	Calhoun	
Jefferson	. 3	Dallas	
Delaware	. 4	Warren	11
Buchanan	. 5	Webster	13
Ringgold	6	Montgomery	
Marion	. 7	Monroe	20
Decatur	. 8	Black Hawk	25

Plans for three other projects, located in Harrison, Clinton, and Linn Counties respectively, are nearing completion. These projects include 54.3 miles of road.

#### Construction.

One project (located in Cerro Gordo County) has been completed. Two projects (located in Woodbury and Marion Counties) have been contracted and are now partially completed. Lettings were held on two projects located in Delaware and Buchanan Counties) but no bids were received. Lettings would have been held on a number of other projects had it not been for the existing war conditions.

A detailed statement of each of the three projects on which construction has been started, follows:

#### Project No. 1, Cerro Gordo County.

The project included the grading and paving of 4.07 miles of the Mason City-Clear Lake Road. The pavement is of concrete and is sixteen feet wide. The contract was let September 4, 1917, to the Bryant Asphalt Paving Company at Waterloo. The road was completed and dedicated August 29, 1918-A statement of the completed cost of the project follows:

#### Construction.

#### Contract Price.

Earthwork, 14,162 cu. yd. at \$0.50\$	7,081.00
Overhaul, 12,378 cu. yd. stations, at \$0.02	247.56
Clearing and grubbing	148.42
Lateral drains, 4 at \$1.50	6.00

Concrete pavement (not including cement) 38,352.4 sq. yd. at \$1.62 ..... 62,130.89 Cement and freight on same, 12,418.75 bbl. at \$1.873 per bbl., 23,259.57 \$ 92,873.44 Deductions.

Use of county's grader\$ Difference in cost between stone specified and	15.00	
gravel used on last mile	1,571.82	
Total deductions		\$ 1,586.82
Total net cost of construction		\$ 91,286.62

#### Engineering.

Surveys, plans, specifications, traffic county, letting contract, etc	351.97	
administration	1,459.30	
Total engineering cost		\$ 1,811.27
Total cost of project		\$ 93,097.89

#### Payments.

Paid	by by	Federal Government\$ State	22,453.75 22,453.75
Paid	by	Cerro Gordo County	48,190.39
		8	93.097.89

### Project No. 2, Woodbury County.

This project includes building 54.75 miles to finished grade and paving one mile with concrete 16 feet wide. This project is located on the Sioux City-Correctionville Road and the Sioux City-Smithland Road. A letting was held July 23, 1918. Contracts were let for part of the grading work. The remainder of the grading was re-advertised and let on August 5th. No bids were received on the mile of concrete pavement. The contracts awarded were as follows:

### Buis & Olson, St. Joseph, Mo.

Earthwork, 186,114 cu. yd. at \$0.315\$	58,625.91		
Guard rail, 6,609 lin. ft. at \$0.50	3,304.00		
6-inch tile in place, 1,495 lin. ft. at \$0.20	299.00	\$ 62,229.41	

M. Moran, Moville, Ia.

Earthwork, 60,440 cu. yd. at \$0.311/3.....\$ 18,937.87 \$ 18,937.87

W. H. Dugan, Omaha, Neb.

Earthwork, 59,893 cu. yd. at \$0.365.....\$ 21,860.95 \$ 21,860.95

R. C. Ward, Correctionville, Ia.

Earthwork, 77,356 cu. yd. at \$0.32..... \$ 24,753.92 \$ 24,753.92

No contracts were let for the mile of concrete, nor for the tile drainage or guard rail on the Smithland Road. These items will be constructed later when conditions are more favorable.

To date the earthwork is 28.6 per cent completed, or 110,000 cubic yards have been moved.

#### Project No. 7, Marion County.

This project includes building 18.0 miles to finished grade. A letting was held August 8th, at which time contracts were awarded as follows:

Shugart & Barnes, Nevada, Ia.

Earthwork, 29,723	cu. yć	I. at	\$0.375\$	11,146.13
Earthwork, 39,753	cu. yd	l. at	0.398	15,921.69
Earthwork, 50,387	cu. ye	I. at	0.359	18,088.94

#### Sam Bowers, Ames, Ia.

Earthwork, 39,514 cu. yd. at \$0.345 \$ 13,632.33
Total earthwork contracts\$58,789.09
Total quantity of earthwork159,377 cu. yd.
Average price of earthwork\$ 0.369 per cu. yd.

No contracts were let for the tile drainage nor the guard rail. These items will be let at a later date or built by day labor.

The earthwork contracts are 68.5 per cent completed, that is. 109,079 cubic yards of material has been moved.

#### Traffic Count. (Refer to Schedule Ten.)

In preparing the data for submitting projects to the Federal Department, traffic was counted on the roads included in nineteen projects, requiring 40 counting stations. The average daily traffic for all of these stations was 260 vehicles or units of traffic. This traffic was classified:

#### FEDERAL AID FOR RURAL HIGHWAYS

According to motive power,

Motor	driven	 5%
Horse	drawn	 5%

According to origin and object or destination,

Farm-Town			.,	ŝ	*		÷		•	 		÷	-		 14	÷	÷				 	*		4	7.	79	6	
Inter-urban										 			•	• •	 				*	• •	 .,			 3	0.	49	6	
Inter-county						*		•		 					 				 ÷			+		 1	5.	09	6	
Inter-state												+						-		**			**	 e.	6.	99	10	

Farm-Town. All traffic from farm to town or from town to farm, or from one farm to another, is classified as "farm-town" traffic. This includes all purely local traffic.

2. Inter-urban. All traffic starting from a town and going to another town within the same county, or to another town in another county and not over twenty-five miles distant; also all traffic starting from a town and passing out into the country, then returning to the town from whence it came without having touched any other town, o. stopped on business or to visit at a farm, is classified as "inter-urban" traffic.

3. Inter-county. All traffic starting in one county and traveling to a point in another county more than twenty-five miles distant, is classified as "inter-county" traffic.

4. Inter-state. All traffic passing from one state to a point in another state, which is more than twenty-five miles distant, is classified as "inter-state" traffic.

It will be noted that these classifications differ from the classifications used in 1917. The 1918 class "Farm-Town" includes the two 1917 classes "Farm" and "Town." The 1917 class "Inter-urban" includes both the 1918 classes "Inter-urban" and "Inter-county." The 1918 class "Inter-state" is the same as the 1917 class "Tourist."

A comparison of the traffic data collected in 1917 and 1918 follows:

	1917	1910
Average daily traffic	339 units	260 units
	86.1%	78.5%
Motor driven	13.9%	21.5%
Horse-drawn	46.6%	47.7%
Farm-town		
Inter-urban	46.9%	30.4%
Inter-county		15.0%
	6.5%	6.9%
Inter-state		

#### SCHEDULE TEN.

SUMMARY OF TRAFFIC DATA ON FEDERAL AID PROJECTS.

		ing	Total Daily Per	Average Traffic Station	Motor	Driven	Horse	Draw
County		No. of counting stations	No. of units	No. of passengers	No. of units	Per cent	No. of units	Per cent
Adams	26	1	96	212	51	53.1	45	46.9
ppanoose	27	2	347	878	251	72.4	96	27.6
loux	28	4	159	382	121	76.1	38	23,9
Vright	29	3	195	558	181	92.8	14	7.2
Vinnebago	30	1	144	384	106	73.6	38	26.4
foward	31	1	238	628	173	72.7	65	27.3
es Moines	32	1	358	950	293	81.8.	65	18.2
Marke	33	2	251	696	148	59.0	103	41.0
eokuk	34	2	241	556	185	76.8	56	23.2
hickasaw	25	2	199	520 1	146	73.4	53	26.6
alo Alto	36	223	277	783	229	82.6	48	17.4
lymouth	38	3	403	1,171	369	91.6	34	8.4
loyd	41	3	216	609	171	79.2	45	20.8
Vapello	42	2	208	461	118	56.8	90	43.2
888	44	22	557	1,389	505	90.6	52	9.4
inn	22	4	310	689	237	76.5	73	23.5
farshall	23	2	256	569	218	85.2	38	14.8
Polk	24	2	310	793	230	74.2	80	25.8
Black Hawk	25	ĩ	180	313	142	78.9	38	21.1
Average daily traffic			260	657	204	78.5	56	21.5

SCHEDULE TEN-(CONT.)

	Classific	ation o	of Avera	ge Daily or Pu	y Traff irpose	ie Accord	iing to	Origit	
	Farm-	rown	Inter-	Urban	Inter-	County	Inter-State		
County	No. of units	Per Oent	No. of units	Per Cent	No. of units	Per Cent	No. of units	Per Oent	
Adams Appanoose Sloux Wright Des Moines Clarke Keokuk Chickasaw Palo Alto Plymouth Floyd Wapello Cass Linn Marshall Polk Black Hawk	$     \begin{array}{r}       137 \\       150 \\       87 \\       134 \\       123 \\       132 \\       85 \\       72 \\     \end{array} $	75.0 57.9 61.0 33.8 65.2 57.6 41.9 84.7 55.6 61.8 47.7 21.1 33.4 47.7 21.1 33.4 47.6	16 118 35 97 42 85 145 75 52 54 50 115 88 26 183	16.7 34.0 22.0 49.7 29.2 35.7 40.5 29.9 21.6 27.2 18.0 28.6 40.7 12.5 32.8	1 16 17 29 4 11 53 46 15 18 17 175 29 9 11 175 80	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 4.6\\ 10.7\\ 14.9\\ 2.8\\ 4.6\\ 14.8\\ 18.3\\ 6.2\\ 9.0\\ 25.6\\ 43.5\\ 18.1\\ 5.3\\ 14.4\\ \hline \end{array}$	7 12 10 3 4 5 10 43 40 4 24 24 17 5 5 1	7.3 3.5 6.3 1.6 2.8 17.1 16.6 2.8 17.1 16.6 2.0 8.7 7.8 2.4 9.2	
Average per station	124	47.7	79	30.4	39	15.0	18	6.9	

Note: Traffie for counting stations in Linn, Marshall, Polk and Black Hawk counties not classified as to origin or purpose.

## Chapter VIII-Work of the District Engineers

The district engineers have spent 1,222 days in the various counties assisting in the county and township highway work. They have attended twenty-eight lettings for the improvement of 2/2.1 miles of road costing \$580,944.45; 104 lettings for the construction of 1,714 bridges costing \$2,226,433.00, and seventyfive lettings for bridge and road material. The profiles for 321 miles of road have been examined in the field to pass upon the improvement contemplated. Eighteen federal aid road projects involving 206.56 miles of road have been examined in detail. Thirty-six complaints regarding the condition of the highways have been investigated, and a number of meetings have been held for the purpose of explaining the annual report blanks to the county engineers.

The number of days spent in the field are classified as follows:

	1916	1917	1918
Examination of bridge sites	84	85	58
Examination of bridge sites	138	101	118
Attending bridge lettings	79	67	69
Attending material lettings	163	202	180
Inspection and supervision bridge work Examination of emergency work	12	13	2
Special assignments	2		
Attending road lettings	44	38	30
Attending road lettings	166	125	60
Approval of grade lines Inspection and supervision road work	99	83	67
Inspection of proposed changes in county road		51	46
system	77	63	45
Investigation of complaints	93	56	66
Explanation of report blanks		.35	24
Railroad Crossing work		139	243
Federal Aid road work		111	214
	1,177	1,169	1,222

It will be noted that the time spent in the field in 1918 by the six district engineers is only fifty-three days more than the time spent in the field in 1917 by five district engineers. This is accounted for as follows: This report covers twelve months beginning December 1, 1917. The sixth district was not estab-

lished until March 18, 1918, when more than one-fourth of the year was gone. District Engineer Martin was in the army from January 1st to March 18th, during which time there was no district engineer in his district. District Engineer Phelps resigned August 15th and District Engineer Lee, who took his place, was not appointed until September 24th. District Engineer Coykendall resigned February 1st and District Engineer Dunn was not appointed until February 12th.

It has been necessary to cover the field work on the districts left at periods without a district engineer, from the central office force. The impossibility of maintaining competent engineers in each district continuously because of the demands of the Federal service has at times seriously interfered with prompt and efficient action by the Commission on matters submitted by the county boards.

#### Re-organization of Districts.

At the beginning of 1918 it was evident that an increase should be made in the number of district engineers. The counties needed additional help, due to the fact that many of the experienced county engineers had gone into the army. The Federal Aid road work was demanding more and more of the district engineers' time. The number of district engineers was accordingly increased from five to six and the districts were reorganized. The headquarters of the sixth district was located at Cedar Rapids, the other district headquarters remaining as before.

#### Changes in Personnel.

District Engineer C. Coykendall resigned February 1st to become county engineer of Polk County. County Engineers H. L. Phelps of Cedar County, and E. W. Dunn of Hardin County, were appointed to fill the vacancies. In July Mr. Phelps resigned to enter the army and former county engineer, Will M. Lee, of Winneshiek County, was appointed to fill the vacancy.

### Districts.

A statement of the counties included in each district, the location of headquarters, and the district engineer assigned to each district follows:

#### WORK OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEERS

#### FIRST DISTRICT.

Engineer, W. F. Beard, Headquarters, Ames.

Boone	
Calhoun	
Carroll	
Crawford	

Bue

Ap) Da Des He

Dallas

Jasper Greene

Hamilton	Polk	Warren
Hardin	Marshall	Webster

Humboldt

#### SECOND DISTRICT.

#### Engineer, W. H. Root, Headquarters, Mason City.

Allamakee	Cerro Gordo	Franklin	Mitchell
Black Hawk	Chickasaw	Hancock	Winnebago
Bremer	Clayton	Howard	Winneshiek
Butler	Floyd	Kossuth	Worth
Putterer		Wright	

#### THIRD DISTRICT.

Engineer, E. W. Dunn, Headquarters, Sloux City.

ena Vista Cherokee	Emmet Ida	O'Brien Osceola	Poeahontas Sac Sloux
Clay	Lyon	Palo Alto	Woodbury
Dickinson	Monona	Plymouth	

#### FOURTH DISTRICT.

Engineer, L. M. Martin, Headquarters, Atlantic.

Adair Adams Audubon Cass	Clarke Decatur Fremont Guthrle	Harrison Mills Montgomery Page Union -
-----------------------------------	---	--

#### Pottawattamie Ringgold Shelby Taylor

Madison

Story

#### FIFTH DISTRICT.

### Engineer, J. S. Morrison, Headquarters, Ottumwa.

panoose	Jefferson	Lucas	Van Buren
vis	Keokuk	Mahaska	Wapello
s Moines	Lee	Marion	Washington
nry	Louisa	Monroe	Wayne

SIXTH DISTRICT.

Engineer, Will M. Lee, Headquarters, Cedar Rapids.

Benton	Delaware	Iowa	Linn
Buchanan	Dubuque	Jackson	Muscatine
Cedar	Fayette	Jones	Powesblek
Clinton	Grundy	Tama	Scott

## Summary of Field Work for each District Engineer.

C. Coykendall, Dec. 1, 1917 to Feb. 1, 1918. Attended five material lettings, one bridge letting for fifty-three structures costing \$31,000.00; one road letting for work costing \$10,949.00; investigated two federal aid projects; spent two days in taking field measurements for bridges, one day on field examination of road profiles, and five days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work. A total of thirty-six days was spent in the field.

W. H. Root, Dec. 1, 1917 to Dec. 1, 1918. Attended eighteen material lettings, eighteen bridge lettings for seventy-seven structures costing \$241,993.00; five road lettings for 24.5 miles of road costing \$41,736.91; investigated six federal aid projects; investigated ten road complaints; spent twenty-two days in taking field measurements for bridges; eleven days on field examination of road profiles, and fifty days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work. A total of 259 days has been spent in the field.

W. F. Beard, Dec. 1, 1917 to Dec. 1, 1918. Attended seventeen material lettings, twenty-four bridge lettings for 412 structures costing \$578,660.00; nine road lettings for 80.15 miles of road costing \$151,479.14; investigated one federal aid project: investigated two road complaints; spent ten days taking field measurements for bridges, sixteen days in field examination of road profiles, and thirty-seven days in the inspection and supervision of bridge and road work. A total of 221 days has been spent in the field.

L. M. Martin, Dec. 1 to 31, 1917 and March 18 to Dec. 1, 1918. Attended four material lettings, nine bridge lettings for 107 structures costing \$159,762.00; investigated three federal aid projects; investigated twelve road complaints; spent six days taking field measurements for bridges; three days on field inspection of road profiles, and thirty-eight days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work. A total of 162 days was spent in the field.

J. S. Morrison, Dec. 1, 1917 to Dec. 1, 1918. Attended twelve material lettings, nineteen bridge lettings for 27 structures costing \$349,453.00; three road lettings for 19.00 miles costing \$65,-304.00; investigated four federal aid projects; investigated four road complaints; spent ten days in taking field measurement for bridges, four days in field examination of road profiles, and fiftythree days in the supervision and inspection of road and bridge work. A total of 240 days has been spent in the field.

H. L. Phelps, March 19 to August 15, 1918. Attended three material lettings, sixteen bridge lettings for 317 structures costing \$301,313.00; two road lettings for two miles of road costing \$44,204.00; investigated one road complaint; spent seven days in taking field measurements for bridges, nine days on field examination of road profiles, and twenty-one days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work. A total of eighty-six days was spent in the field.

E. W. Dunn, February 12 to Dec. 1, 1918. Attended twelve material lettings, sixteen bridge lettings for 422 structures costing \$432,556.00; seven lettings for 139.45 miles of road costing \$261,949.43; investigated two federal aid projects; investigated five road complaints; spent twenty-three days on field examination of road profiles, and thirty-two days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work. A total of 189 days were spent in the field.

Will M. Lee, Sept. 24 to Dec. 1, 1918. Investigated one federal aid project; investigated two complaints; spent ten days in the supervision and inspection of bridge and road work, and ten days in general administrative work. A total of thirty days was spent in the field.

## SCHEDULE ELEVEN. DAYS SPENT IN EACH COUNTY BY DISTRICT ENGINEERS.

County	Days	County	Days
dair	7	Johnson	3
(1811	8	Jones	
dams	7	Keokuk	2
llamakee	13	Kossuth	1
ppanoose	8	Lee	1
ndubon	6	Linn	2
noton	14	Louisa	1
Inch Howk	12	Lucas	1
0000			1
Pomor	15	Lyon	1
nebanan	9	Mndison	
mang Vista	8	Mahaska	-
utler	12	Marion	1
alhoun	6	Marshall	
arroll	9	Mills	
	23	Mitchell	
ass erro Gordo	21	Monona	
erro Gordo	10	Monroe	
herokee	9	Montgomery	
edar	9	Musentine	
hickasaw	11	O'Brien	
larke	6	Osceola	
lay		Page	
layton	11	Palo Alto	
Vinton	12	Plymouth	
trawford	8	Poreahontas	
Dallas	19	Pocanontas	
)avis	9	Polk	
Decatur	11	Pottawattamie	
Delaware	9	Poweshiek	
Des Moines	19	Ringgold	
Dickinson	.9	Sac	
Dubuque	21	Scott	
Emmet	6	Shelby	
Fayette	5	Sloux	
Fuyelle	10	Story	
Floyd	12	Tama	
Franklin	7	Toylor	
Fremont	12	Union	
Greene	10	Van Buran	
Grundy		Wanalla	
Guthrie	8	Warran	
Hamilton	14	Washington	
Hancock	12	Wayne	
Hardin	19	Webster	
Harrison	11	Webster Winnebago	
Henry	7	Winneshiek	
Howard	14	Winneshiek	
Humboldt	2	Woodbury	
Ida	10	Worth	
Iowa	19	Wright	1
	7		1,
Jackson	12	Total	4.
Jasper Jefferson			1 22

#### ROADS AT STATE INSTITUTIONS

## Chapter IX—Roads at State Institutions

At the beginning of the season, the Board of Control of State Institutions requested the Supervisor of State Roads to do no more work during 1918 than was necessary. For this reason, the road work at the various institutions was not carried on as previously planned. In fact, little or no new work was done except that which had been started the year before, and was in such condition that it could not be abandoned. During the coming season however, it is anticipated that the road building at these places will proceed at the usual rate.

### Iowa State College:

A heavy flood in June washed out 150 feet of grade on the

North Campus road; also about 100 feet on the Lincoln Highway between the College and the City of Ames. For four days, wheel traffic between the two was absolutely cut off except by a very round about trip of a dozen miles or more. An emergency repair gang was immediately organized and the damage repaired. This repair work involved the building of 120 feet of temporary bridge, and rebuilding approximately 100 feet of grade—a 26-foot roadway in a 6-foot fill.

In addition to these flood repairs, the entire system of roads at this place, was reshaped with a heavy grader, drawn by a 10-ton steam roller. These roads, except for about one-quarter of a mile, have all been gravelled, and this operation of blading and rolling put all the roads in excellent condition. A patrolman is employed here who devotes his entire attention to the care of the roads. In addition to his dragging and blading, he finds time to haul considerable gravel—it being the plan to have this patrolman put on a second course of gravel in conjunction with his regular work. The following expenditures were made at this Institution:

Flood repairs and general repairs, including part of	
patrolman's charge\$	3,530.40
,Patrolman on general maintenance	927.92
Finished grade, sloping and shaping	200.40

Surfacing with gravel; second course partially due

to flood	 516.09
Tiling-drainage	 5.10
Equipment and repairs	 92.57
Guard rail, 1,620 feet	 350.81
Engineering	 8.00
Oiling roads	 230.92

\$5,862.21

## State Hospital-Cherokee:

In 1917, a contract was let to Geo. W. Condon for grading one mile of road on the South boundary of the State Farm, extending from the city limits one mile west. This mile is the last of a three and one-half  $(3\frac{1}{2})$  mile system which is all now built to a permanent grade, some of which has been extremely heavy work. This last mile which was completed this season was unusually heavy work and involved the moving of almost 30,000 tubic yards, most of which was moved in the first three-quarter  $(3\frac{4}{2})$  mile. The total cost of improving this mile is as follows:

27.193	cu. yds. of earth @ .254c\$	6,907.02
	cu. yds. of loose rock @ 75c	948.75
1,200	cu. yds. of solid rock @ \$2.00	14.00
	acres, clearing and grubbing @ \$175	295.75
	acres, clearing @ \$60	63.00

	Cost of 3 concrete culverts	\$8,229.12 918.55
	Total cost	\$9,147.67
	Of this amount, there was spent on this road dur- ing the season of 1917	\$6,051.55
	Balance spent in 1918	\$3,096.12
In	addition to this, the following expenditures we	re made:
	Dragging\$ Repairs	150.00 308.78

Dragging	308.78
Repairs	100 CO 100 C 20 CC
Patrol	214.75
Patrol	1 828 77
Guard rail, 4,000 feet	1,000.11
Gravelling 2½ miles of road, labor, cost	1.633.66
Gravelling 21/2 miles of road, labor, coact	17.27
Miscellaneous	
Miscenaneous	524.25
Engineers' salary and expenses	WH ATTE
	and the second se

#### \$4,687.48

The work of gravelling was halted on account of cold weather but will be continued in the spring. There remains but threequarters (34) of a mile yet to gravel, and when finished, the en-

tire system of roads at this place will have been permanently graded and gravelled.

There are some very high grades on this road system-fills twenty to thirty feet in height and have all been provided with a good substantial guard rail, true to alignment and grade, and painted white.

### Colony for Epileptics-Woodward:

In the past three seasons, the five (5) miles of road here have all been permanently graded and one course of gravel put on all except for three-quarter (3/4) of a mile. The grading work was done nearly all by contract but the gravel was placed by day labor using convicts, farm hands from the State Farm.

The first course of gravel was obtained from a pit located on the state land, and while it made a very good material for a first course, was not considered quite right for wearing quality. A second course was applied this season, using gravel from the Flint pit at Granger. This gravel is a much better grade and has excellent wearing qualities. A contract for gravelling about three (3) miles was let to the Deven Construction Company of Omaha.

Prior to the gravelling operation, the road bed was made ready and re-shaped, using a heavy blade grader drawn by a ten-ton roller, which put the road in first class condition to receive the second course. The expenditures are as listed below:

#### Gravelling Contract.

Gast of manal 91 715 94		
Cost of gravel\$1,715.84		
Freight on same 1,949.62		
Hauling contract 2,864.63		
Spreading 406.30		
	6,936.39	
Shaping roadbed, rolling-from General		
Maintenance	\$1,095.22	
		\$8,031.61
Dragging	394.15	
Repairs	441.00	
Patrol	49.40	
Tiling	3.56	
Equipment	35.50	
		923.61

\$8,955.22

## School for the Deaf-Council Bluffs:

The following expenditures are listed below:

	\$ 90.75
Repairs	 92.00

\$ 182.75

An appropriation of \$6,000.00 was made by the Thirty-Seventh General Assembly for paving from the city limits west to the Institution gateway, providing further that convicts be used for this work. Owing to the high prices and difficult labor conditions, it has been deemed wise to put off this work until 1919, at which time, the paving will undoubtedly be constructed, and additional funds provided to pave entirely in front of ground.

## Iowa Soldiers' Orphans' Home-Davenport:

Surveys and plans have been made here for the improvement of approximately one and one-half (11/2) miles of road, the estimated cost of which is \$6,798.00.

This sum has been appropriated for the improvement of these roads and bids were taken in connection with some grading work the county was letting. The price bid for the work on the state roads was 63c per cubic yard, which was considered somewhat excessive even for this year. Even at this price, the contract would have been approved but the contractor could not cover this work this season, and undoubtedly next season will see lower prices.

## State Hospital for Insane-Mt. Pleasant:

The work that was started here in 1917 was finished during this season-all on the day labor basis, under the supervision of the Institution Steward, Ralph Hueling. Satisfactory results were produced and the roads put in a very satisfactory condition. Total expenditures for this entire work, 1917 and 1918, are as follows:

5 10110 W 5.	.\$ 980.44
Concrete culverts	. 2,415.19
Grading	
	\$3,410.47
	.\$1,448.52
Spent in 1917	. 1,961.94
Spent in 1917 Spent in 1918 Repairs	. 11.25
Repairs	
	\$1,973.19

## State Penitentiary-Ft. Madison:

Work, on the improvement of one-half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  mile of road. started in 1917, under the management of the warden of the penitentiary who used convicts for the work, his pay to be the amount of the engineer's estimate which was \$2,700.00. At the beginning of 1918, this work had nearly all been completed but final payment has not been made. There will be due for this work, the sum of \$1,930.12 when settlement is finally made. (See 1917 Report)

## Custodial Farm-Clive:

Surveys have been made of the entire road system and during the coming season, it is contemplated that the greater part of the road system here will be improved. The cost of the survey of three (3) miles amounted to \$244.68.

## State Hospital for Inebriates-Knoxville:

The Thirty-Seventh General Assembly made appropriation for \$11,000.00 to cover paving from the city limits to the cemetery past the State grounds. The work was let to Akin & Flutter in conjunction with one-half  $(\frac{1}{2})$  mile of city work, all one project. The cost is distributed as follows:

3,814.33 cu. yds. concrete paving @ \$2.09	\$ 7,971.95
2,921.5 ft. of curb and gutter @ 62c	1,811.33
26 ft. header curb @ 35c	19.60
2 street inlets @ \$50.00	100.00
42 ft. of 12-inch drain @ \$1.25	52.50
924.7 cu. yds. extra grading @ 60c Force account work, extras including 15%-	
culverts	400.10
Engineering, paid from General Maintenance	\$ 10,910.30 326.53

## Institutions-General:

.....

\$ 11,236.83

s

Each year inspection trips are made to the various State institutions and arrangement made either for construction or other improvements. This work has been under the supervision of an engineer from the State Highway Commission, who spends parts of his time on this work. In addition, there is now employed, a good practical road builder, skillful in the handling of road maintenance machinery, whose duty during the coming season will be to visit as many institutions as possible, taking with him the necessary road machinery and actually spend time enough at each place to put the roads in good condition. The greater part of his time will be spent on roads that have been permanently graded and gravelled, but in addition, he will have time to visit other places that require attention.

Under this head-Institutions General-there was spent, during the year, \$289.73.

#### DRAINAGE DEPARTMENT

The new stations are located as follows:

RIVER	TOWN	COUNTY	OBSERVER
Grand	Davis City	Davis	W. L. Severe
Nodaway	Clarinda	Page	Floyd Kelley
Seven Mile Creek	Villisca	Montgomery	G. S. Dunn
Nishnabotna	White Cloud	Mills	Chas. Nones Hammock
Boyer	Logan	Harrison	C. F. Peckenpaugh
Little Sloux	Correctionville	Woodbury	Edwin H. Worrell
Nodaway	Red Oak	Montgomery	Leonard England
Nousway			

In addition to the measurements made at the regular stations, the engineer visits other streams where severe floods have been reported, and if conditions are favorable, either makes direct measurements of the flow or estimates based on the slope of the water surface and the size and nature of the cross-section. In June, 1918, a storm of unusual severity swept central Iowa, and floods, (the largest in forty years) occurred in the Skunk and Iowa Rivers. Careful estimates of the flow in some of the smaller tributaries to these rivers were made by Mr. D. P. Weeks, Jr. for this Department. Surveys were made in the following named areas: Linn Creek, Marshall County; Skunk River at Colfax in Jasper County; Bear Creek in Iowa County and Bear Creek in Poweshiek County.

### Special Assignments:

In addition to the regular work, there has been undertaken special work at the request of other state departments.

#### Keokuk and Odessa Lakes:

These two lakes lie in a joint drainage district in Muscatine and Louisa Counties, and in what is known as Muscatine Slough. Keokuk Lake in Muscatine County has been drained by a dredge ditch, and Odessa Lake in Louisa County has been partly drained. At the request of the Executive Council, the Commission has caused both lake beds to be surveyed, old lines run out. the state land divided into forty acre tracts, and maps and descriptions of each tract prepared so that the state lands can be disposed of as required by law. The surveys and office work have been performed by The Central States Engineering Company of Muscatine, under the general direction of this Department.

At the request of the Executive Council, the Department has conducted some investigations regarding the level at which Spirit and the two Okoboji Lakes should be held, and the methods by which such regulation can be obtained. Several

## Chapter X. Drainage Department.

### Purpose:

In the preparation of bridge and culvert plans, one of the main features governing the design is the amount of flood flow which the structure will be called upon to carry. Very meager data is on hand regarding the size and frequency of floods, particularly on the smaller streams. The Department of Drainage Investigation was organized, primarily to obtain this information.

The flood flow of every stream depends first of all upon the rainfall. The size, shape and slopes of the area affect the run-off. The amount of loss from evaporation and plant life as well as the natural storage in ponds and swamps, and in the soil itself, all influence the size of floods. It is evident then that the effect of many and varying factors must be considered before the most economical size of bridge opening can be intelligently decided upon.

### Organization of Department:

The Department as organized, consists of one engineer who devotes his time to the various investigations undertaken. From time to time such technical and other help has been employed as, has been necessary.

### Work Done:

During the year, a very complete compilation has been made of all the available rain fall records in the State which shows not only the amount of rainfall but also the average frequency with which storms of different intensities have occurred.

In co-operation with the U. S. Geological Survey, seven new stream gaging stations have been established on rivers in the south and west part of the state. These stations supplement those which the State Geological Survey has helped maintain in the eastern part of the state. The equipment for these stations has been furnished by the Federal Department, and the methods used in measuring the stream flow are those developed by that department. miles of levels have been run tying up the different high and low lake stages of past years, as given by old settlers. A study has been made of the drainage areas tributary to these lakes and of the effect which drainage projects in this area will have on the run off.

For the Attorney General's office, a rather complete outline of the literature bearing on the subject of the valuation of public utilities, has been prepared.

At the request of the Mahaska, Wapello and Monroe County Boards, plans for plank and willow mats and for bank revetment have been prepared, and specifications written covering the construction of bank protection for the Des Moines River at the county bridge near Eddyville. Owing to war time conditions in the labor and material market, bids have not been taken on this work.

At the request of the Fish and Game Department, plans have been prepared for reinforced concrete dams at the outlets of six of the state lakes. The fixing of lake level, permissible variation in water surface, as well as the superintendence of construction was all done by Mr. Paul Graham, Engineer for the Fish and Game Department.

Working in co-operation with the Bridge Department, a special survey was made of the Skunk River bottom, near Colfax, for the purpose of deciding how best the river could be bridged. and the flood conditions relieved.

## Chapter XI. Experiments, Tests and Technical Investigations

The testing work for the Highway Commission is done in the laboratories of the Iowa State College by members of the Experimental Station staff. No charge is made by the Experiment Station for this work. Samples of materials for use on county road and bridge work sent to the Commission for examination. are tested and a report made as to the compliance with the Commission's specifications and the requirements of standard practice.

Owing to the decrease in constructing work in 1918, the number of samples submitted for tests was much smaller than in 1916 and 1917. The following schedule shows the number of tests of various kinds of material made for the Commission during the past year:

Cement	5
Culvert metals	3
Gravel	5
Paints	9
Road oils	30
Sand	2
Steel reinforcing	6
Stone	7
	-
Total	67

### Experimental Work.

Industrial conditions and the necessity of assigning all available engineers to other work, prevented the doing of any experimental work in 1918. In previous years some experiments have been conducted on gravelled and oiled roads.

The only work of this character attempted in 1918 was an inspection in the spring of some roads previously oiled and on which previous observations had been recorded.

#### Inspection of Oiled Roads.

During the first week in April 1917 an engineer from the Highway Commission and an Engineer from the Engineering Experi-

ment Station of Iowa State College made an inspection of roads that had been oiled in 1916 and previous years in eleven counties of the state. During the first week in April, 1918, this inspection trip was repeated by an engineer from the Highway Commission. It is the plan to inspect oiled roads each year at about the time the roads break up in the spring, visiting a number of the same roads each year, particularly if they have received a treatment of oil since the last inspection. Roads in nine counties were inspected on this trip.

The object of these inspections is to observe the more permanent benefits, if any, that are derived from oiling earth and graveled roads, to compare the results obtained from the use of various grades of oil and various methods of application, also by questions addressed to road officials and people living near the roads to determine the general benefits derived from road oiling. Where roads had been oiled for two or three successive years an effort was made to determine if any cumulative benefits were derived from repeated application of oil.

One road that was inspected in 1917 and again in 1918 and which had not received any oil treatment during the intervening year was the Toledo-Traer road in Tama county. While this road showed beneficial results from oiling in 1917, the only trace of oil treatment shown in 1918 was a slight coloring at the sides of the road.

One road that was inspected in 1917 and again in 1918 which had received a second treatment of oil in the summer of 1917 was the Anamosa-Marion road in Jones county. The soil on this road is yellow clay. It received  $\frac{1}{4}$  gallon per square yard treatment of light oil in 1916 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  gallon per square yard treatment of heavy oil in 1917. The condition of the road was practically the same on both inspections. In both cases the road was reported to have been in good condition all summer but had grown rough in the spring.

In 1918 a number of roads in Cedar county were inspected that had received a fairly heavy application of light oil. The people near the road reported that this oil had prevented dust in the fall, but little trace of oil was found when the inspection was made.

A heavy grade of oil had been used in Scott, Muscatine and Clinton counties. These roads did not become as muddy in the spring as adjacent unoiled roads. The effects of the oil was quite apparent on these roads at the time the inspection was made.

The observations made on both inspections were practically the same. They were briefly as follows: That a heavy oil applied hot gives more lasting benefits than a lighter oil; that good drainage is absolutely necessary if benefit is to be derived from oiling; that a heavy grade of oil con be expected to be more than a dust preventive; that people along the oiled roads consider an oiled road an improvement on the untreated earth road.

## Specifications for Road Oils.

In 1918 tentative specifications for road oils were issued for the benefit of county, city and town officials desiring to apply oil to their earth or gravelled roads. These specifications specified the minimum flash point, the maximum specific viscosity, the minimum per cent of asphaltenes and the minimum per cent of fixed carbon for four general classes of road surfaces and for both hot and cold application.

#### FINANCIAL REPORT

## Chapter XII-Financial Report

Showing Appropriations and Expenditures, State Highway Commission Maintenance Fund, Federal Aid Engineering Fund, Federal County Cooperation Road Fund.

### General.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 1918 the volume of work handled by the State Highway Commission has been greater than that handled in any previous year since its organization. This increase has been due to added lines of activity, chief of which has been the application of the so-called Federal Aid Law.

The total expenditures from the maintenance fund of the State Highway Commission for the year ended June 30, 1918 amounted to \$113,488.49 of which sum, \$23,073.91 was for surveys, plans and specifications of Federal Aid Projects. The maintenance fund was reimbursed by transfer from the Federal Aid Engineering Fund for the sum last above named, so that the actual expenditure from the maintenance fund was but \$90,414.58 as compared with \$89,786.84 for the year ended June 30, 1917 and \$90,-821.34 for the year ended June 30, 1916.

Brief reference to the new features handled by the various departments during the past year is given below.

#### Administrative Department.

Under this classification is included all of the general office work as well as the supervision of all lines of activity the details of which are managed by the various department heads.

The larger special projects handled by this department were the Merle Hay Road, connecting the city of Des Moines and the Camp Dodge Cantonment; assisting the Executive Council in the preparation of plans for the improvement of State Capitol Grounds; outlining and approving plans for the application of the Federal Aid Law and handling the many problems presented by the unusual conditions resulting from the war.

In the office a system of accounting was inaugurated to care for the construction of Federal Aid Projects and the engineering cost connected therewith. This system provides for records in sufficient detail to give cost of each step in the preparation of plans and in the construction of the project.

This additional feature increased the bookkeeping until it was necessary to employ a person to take charge of the bookkeeping work, thus one employee was added to this department.

### Road Department.

The road department was called upon to make surveys, plans and specifications for Federal Aid Projects under the provisions of Chapter 249, Acts of the 37th General Assembly, and to furnish engineering supervision and inspection on Roads at State Institutions, the Hawkeye Highway and Dubuque-Sageville Road in Dubuque County, the Merle Hay Road to Camp Dodge and on the State Capitol Grounds Improvement. This necessitated the employment of a much larger force than in former years and as most of the men with this department at the beginning of the year and many hired during the year went into the Federal Service, the labor turnover was quite large.

Schedules attached to this report show the cost of engineering on the various special assignments named, the totals being as follows:

Roads at State Institutions\$	458.32
Hawkeye Highway, Dubuque County	248.47
Dubuque-Sageville Road	3,094.38
Merle Hay Road, Polk County	3,111.50
State Capitol Grounds Improvement	454.84

### Bridge Department.

The volume of work handled by the bridge department was approximately the same as for the previous year. While the amount of county construction work diminished to some extent, the Highway Commission was called upon to a greater extent in designing new bridges as the engineering departments of most of the counties were considerably curtailed by reason of men entering military service.

During the past year the counties have been assisted a great deal in inspecting the construction of larger structures, an engineer from the bridge department being assigned to this work as well as the district engineers. 122

## Testing and Experimental Work.

No special work was undertaken by this department during the year except the testing of materials for the Merle Hay Road, Federal Aid Project No. 1 in Cerro Gordo County and the State Capitol Grounds Improvement but the usual testing of materials used by counties and cities was carried on.

The cost of such work charged to the testing and experimental department is less than in previous years but a large part of such work was cared for through other departments.

## Drainage Department.

This department has been engaged chiefly in gathering data regarding stream flows and in establishing gauging stations on various streams in connection with the U. S. Geological survey. In addition, assistance has been rendered the Executive Council and the Department of Fish and Game in surveying lakes and making plans for their improvement.

## Equipment and Supplies.

The outlay for equipment and supplies for all departments as a whole has been greatly in excess of that for the preceding two years. In making surveys and plans for Federal Aid Projects a great deal of new equipment was required. The sum of \$2,766.14 was expended for transits and \$2,384.42 for other field equipment and drafting room supplies and equipment. In supervising and inspecting construction work it became necessary for engineers of the Highway Commission to be provided with auto transportation. Two Ford cars were purchased which with equipment cost \$1,018.45. One was assigned for the use of District Engineer L. M. Martin at Atlantic, Iowa, and the other for use of engineers at headquarters.

From the total expenditure of \$21,621.50 for equipment and supplies for the year, can be deducted \$6,773.09 the cost price of permanent equipment alone purchased during the year. The total value of equipment belonging to the Highway Commission on July 1, 1918 was \$13,729.49.

## Audit and Payment of Bills.

Under an act of the Thirty-sixth General Assembly all bills for salaries and expenses of the State Highway Commission are audited by the State Board of Audit. All bills are examined and

### FINANCIAL REPORT

approved by the Commission and then forwarded, to the State Board of Audit at Des Moines. All funds credited to the State Highway Commission are disbursed by the Treasurer of State on warrants drawn by the Auditor of State.

### Federal Aid Engineering Expense.

The act of the Thirty-seventh General Assembly dealing with Federal Aid provides that the State Highway Commission shall on the first of each month prepare an itemized voucher for all expenses incurred in the preparation of plans and specifications for Federal Aid Projects and shall present such voucher to the Auditor of State who shall draw a warrant on the Federal Aid Engineering Fund transferring the amount of such voucher to the maintenance fund of the State Highway Commission.

This provision requires that a detailed and exact record be kept of all time and expense devoted to the preparation of plans and specifications for Federal Aid Projects.

In the attached schedules the Federal Aid Engineering expense is shown in columns parallel to the columns showing total amount paid by the State Highway Commission.

### Purchase of Supplies.

Practically all supplies and equipment used by the Highway Commission are purchased through the purchasing department of the Iowa State College or through the Secretary of the State Executive Council. On all larger jobs of printing, bids are taken from a number of firms in the State. Office rooms, heat, light and janitor service are furnished free by the Iowa State College.

## SCHEDULE TWELVE.

## SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES-JULY 1, 1917 TO JULY 1, 1918.

Department	Tota	d Amount I	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.				
Department	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total	
Commissioners Administrative Dept Road Department Bridge Department	13,386.59	\$ 1,041.57 1,005.78 3,330.39 741.54	\$ 2,711.57 14,453.16 33,486.92 20,183.69	902.34 15,316.85	133.17 1,726.02	1,035.51 17,042.87	
Work Drainage Department	2,211.95 2.446.87	179.22 338.24	2,391.17			198.27	
C. Coykendall-Dist. Engr. W. F. Beard-Dist. Engr.	1,400.00 2,100.00	547.06 876.77	1,947.06 2,976.77	272.08		304.4	
W. H. Root—Dist. Engr E. W. Dunn—Dist. Engr L. M. Martin—Dist. Engr.	2,400.00 767.91	951.37 279.92	3,351.37 1,047.83	26.66	10.89	37.5	
J. S. Morrison-Dist. Eng. H. L. Phelps-Dist. Engr.	1,890.32 2,400.00 598.40	653.51 732.99 257.12	2,543.83 3,132.99 855.52	319.26	107.74		
Equip. & Sup., All Depts			21,621.50			1,392.4	
Totals	\$ 80,910.68	\$ 10,935.48	\$ 113,488.49	\$20,080.87	\$ 2,993.04	\$23,073.9	

#### SCHEDULE THIRTEEN.

## COMMISSIONERS-JULY 1, 1917 TO JULY 1, 1918.

Department	Tota	al Amount Pa	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.			
	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
H. C. Beard, Chairman. J. W. Holden S. W. Beyer, ex officio	\$ 990.00 680.00		1,586.75 993.90 130.92	160.00		252.49 262.44 69.37
Totals	\$ 1,670,00	\$ 1.041.57 \$	2,711.57	\$ 295.00	\$ 289.30	584.8

#### SCHEDULE FOURTEEN.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Department	Tota	al Amount P	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.			
Department	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
Thos. H. MacDonald F. W. Parrott J. W. Eichinger	2,349.99		4,856.52 2,551.14 2,248.11	177.29		
Beryl Bogue Mrs. Donald C. Elder Edith Voorhees	432.59 760.00 219.03		432.59 760.00 219.03	151.20 81.80		81.80
Thora Tallman May Vanderlinden Maude Spence	900.00 900.00 447.79		900.00 900.00 447.79	4.50		4.5
Grant L. Hayes Anna S. Lynch Extra help-Mailing Bultn.	316.75 344.99		316.75 344.99			
Extra help—Annual Report Extra help—Stenographic Extra help—Road School	48.95	60.79	245.79 48.95 109.29	5.00		
Totals	\$ 13,386.59	\$ 1,005.78 \$	14,453.16	\$ 902.34	\$ 133.17	\$ 1,035.5

#### FINANCIAL REPORT

#### SCHEDULE FIFTEEN.

### ROAD DEPARTMENT.

Department	1	Tota	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.								
		Salary	F	xpense		Total	Sa	lary	E	xpense	Total
	1										
General Office— F. R. White, Road Engr J. S. Dodds, Asst. Engr Anne Vanderlinden, Steno	-	3,300.00 366.66 1,140.00	\$	574.83 65.43	89	3.874.83 432.09 1,140.00	\$ 1,	000.80 29.31 97.86		141.77 \$ 14.51	1,142.57 43.82 97.86
Totals	- 45	4,806.66	-	640.26	\$	5,446.92	\$ 1	,127.97	\$	156.28 \$	1,284.25
W. E. Jones, Asst. Rd	. 8	2,200.00	\$	111.58	\$	2,311.58	\$	939.54	ş	62.53 \$	1,002.07
Plans- W. E. Jones, Asst. Rd Engr. H. S. Leicht, Asst. Rd Engr. W. M. MacGibbon, Rd Engr.	•	1,600.00	,	184.66		1,784.66	1	,416.47		175.20	1,591.67
W. M. MacGibbon, Rd		1,366.65 1,473.28 322.11 375.00		244 64		1,611.29	1	.084.32		244.64	1,328.96
Engr.	7	1 473 95		17.58		1,490.86		838.94	1		838.94
Engr. W. A. Reeves, Draftsman, B. E. Brevik, Draftsman, M. H. Bryant, Draftsman E. H. Irwin, Draftsman D. M. Finley, Draftsman W. J. Smith, Draftsman W. J. Smith, Draftsman	-	200 10	5	11.00		322,10		322.19	1		322.19
B. E. Brevik, Draftsman.	-	975 /4	1		1	375.00		375.00	)	253.79	375.00
M. H. Bryant, Draitsina	п	407 02			1	487 97		304.21	1		304.21
E. H. Irwin, Draitsman.		901.01		953 70		1 163,79		875.6	1	253.79	1,129.39
D. M. Finley, Draftsman	-	510.0	7	200.10	1	558.07		507.0	3 _		507.00
W. J. Smith, Draftsman.	1	000.0				272 60	1	254.0	0		254.00
H. W. Fleming, Draftsma	n	212.0	0		1	200.00	E.	205.2	10	1000000	295.25
Oscar Trueblood, Dratts	n	300.0	0			207.00	3	190.8	ñ.		190.80
T. J. Medicielo, Draftsm	n	207.0	0	0.00	5	120.00	1	113 8	5	6.08	119,93
H. P. Hertz, Draftsman.		124.8	1	0.00	2	11 25		0 3	7		9.37
D. C. Elder, Draftsman.		11.2	D			11.20		50.4	6		50.40
R. D. Demirjean, Drafts	'n	49.3	ð		-1	49.00	1				72.00
H. Uhlig, Draftsman		88.0	0		-11	00.00					86.25
Wm. Behrens, Draftsman	n_	88.0	0		-	101.50	3	50 4	0		59,40
H E. Crosby, Draftsma	n	104.5	0 -		-	104.00	1	95.5	õl-		85.50
Engr. W. A. Reeves, Draftsman, B. E. Brevik, Draftsman, M. H. Bryant, Draftsman, D. M. Finley, Draftsman, W. J. Smith, Draftsman, H. W. Fleming, Draftsman, H. W. Fleming, Draftsman, D. C. Elder, Draftsman, D. C. Elder, Draftsman, R. D. Demirjean, Draftsman, R. D. Demirjean, Draftsman, H. E. Crosby, Draftsman, H. G. Singer, Draftsman, U. Bozzi, Draftsman,	n	84.0	0 -		-	63.00	ó	32.8	5		32.85
Totals	1.15	\$ 10,685.7	70 \$	\$18.3	34	\$ 11,504.0	3 \$	7,912.9	4	\$ 742.24	\$ 8,655.18
Tracing Department-						07 5		87 5	10		8 87.50
W. T. Ide. Superintende	nt	\$ 87.1	50 _		-17	501.0	10	59.1	6		52.50
Jennie Coventry, Tracer.		52.4	50 _		-	DZ. 0	0	91.0	5		31.50
Doris Ambrose, Tracer		31.4	50 _		-	81.0	0	91.1	3		31.50
Carita McCarroll, Trace	-T.	31.	50 .		-	01.0	8	91 1	sol		31.50
Jessie Brooks, Tracer		31.	50		-	31.0	2	91 1	3		31.59
Hazel Brandt, Tracer		31.	50 -			31.0		91	sól		
Marie Haskamp, Tracer.		31.	50.		-	31.0		00.	00		28.0
Frances McCall, Tracer.		28.	00].		-	20.0		94	50		
Dorothy Twitchell, Trac	er_	24.	50			24.0	0	01	50		24.5
		24.	50].			24.0	0	04	50		
Belle Courtney, Tracer_	100	24.	50			24.0	0	94	50		24.5
Belle Courtney, Tracer- Florence Clark, Tracer-			50			04.5	6	24	50		24.5
Belle Courtney, Tracer- Florence Clark, Tracer- Florence Porterfield, Tra-	ac.	24.				2. T . U					
Belle Courtney, Tracer. Florence Clark, Tracer. Florence Porterfield, Tra Belle Hamilton, Tracer Mudrad Uiff, Pracer	ac.	24. 24. 24.	50 50			24.5	6	24.	24	*********	-
Tracing Department- W. T. Ide, Superintende Jennie Coventry, Tracer Carita McCarroll, Trace Jessie Brooks, Tracer Marie Haskamp, Tracer Marie Haskamp, Tracer Frances McCall, Tracer. Bolle Courtney, Tracer. Fiorence Clark, Tracer. Fiorence Porterfield, Tr Belle Hamilton, Tracer. Middred Iliff, Tracer	ac.	24. 24. 24. \$ 472.	50 50 50			8 472.5	60 50 \$	472.	644		
Totals		\$ 472.	50			9 110.0	1		50		\$ 472.5
Totals		\$ 472. \$ 1.600	50	s 319.	14	9 112.0			50	a 907 84	\$ 472.5
Totals		\$ 472. \$ 1,600.	.50 .00 .58	\$ 319. 152.	14	9 112.0			50	a 907 84	\$ 472.5
Totals		\$ 472. \$ 1,600. 1,079.	.50 .00 .58	\$ 319. 152.	14	\$ 1,919.1 1,231.0 315.1	14 \$ 39 31	1,002. 1,053. 184.	50 63 31 00	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6
Totals		\$ 472. \$ 1,600. 1,079.	.50 .00 .58	\$ 319. 152.	14	\$ 1,919.1 1,231.0 315.1	14 \$ 39 31 34	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402	50 63 31 00	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.8
Totals Surveys— S. A. Schackle E. L. Kaser P. F. Hopkins Frnest Nelson		\$ 472. \$ 1,600. 1,079.	.50 .00 .58	\$ 319. 152.	14	\$ 1,919.1 1,231.0 315.1	14 \$ 39 31 34	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402. 131.	50 63 31 00 00 13	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31 40.98	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.8 172.1
Totals Surveys— S. A. Schackle E. L. Kaser P. F. Hopkins Frnest Nelson		\$ 472. \$ 1,600. 1,079.	.50 .00 .58	\$ 319. 152.	14	\$ 1,919. 1,231. 315. 653. 172. 229.	14 \$ 39 31 54 11	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402. 131. 93.	50 63 31 00 13 94	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31 40.98	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.3 172.1 93.6 183.4
Totals Surveys— S. A. Schackle E. L. Kaser P. F. Hopkins Frnest Nelson		\$ 1,600. \$ 1,600. 1,079. 226. 508. 131. 207. 173.	50 .00 .58 .66 .33 .13 .50 .98	\$ 319. 152. 88. 145. 40. 21. 12.	14 11 65 31 98 64 50	<ul> <li>\$ 1,919.</li> <li>\$ 1,231.0</li> <li>\$ 315.</li> <li>\$ 653.0</li> <li>\$ 172.</li> <li>\$ 229.</li> <li>\$ 186.</li> </ul>	14 \$ 39 31 54 11	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402. 131. 93.	50 63 31 00 13 94	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31 40.98	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.3 172.1 93.6 183.4
Totals Surveys— S. A. Schackle E. L. Kaser P. F. Hopkins Ernest Nelson H. H. Howie J. G. Dean Extra help		\$ 1,600 1,079 226 131 207 173	50 .00 .58 .66 .33 .13 .50 .98	\$ 319. 152. 88. 145. 40. 21. 12.	14 11 65 31 98 64 50	<ul> <li>\$ 1,919.</li> <li>\$ 1,231.0</li> <li>\$ 315.</li> <li>\$ 653.0</li> <li>\$ 172.</li> <li>\$ 229.</li> <li>\$ 186.</li> </ul>	14 \$ 39 31 54 11	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402. 131. 93.	50 63 31 00 13 94	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31 40.98	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.3 172.1 93.0 186.4
Totals Surveys— S. A. Schackle E. L. Kaser P. F. Hopkins Frnest Nelson		\$ 1,600. 1,079. 226. 508. 131. 207. 173. 35. 1072. 173. 1072.	50 .00 .58 .66 .33 .13 .50 .98 .55	\$ 319. 152. 88. 145. 40. 21. 12.	14 11 65 31 98 64 50 00	<ul> <li>\$ 1,919.</li> <li>\$ 1,231.0</li> <li>\$ 315.</li> <li>\$ 653.0</li> <li>\$ 172.</li> <li>\$ 229.</li> <li>\$ 186.</li> </ul>	14 \$ 39 31 34 11 14 48 55 32	1,002. 1,053. 184. 402. 131. 93. 173. 9 1,922	63 63 31 00 13 94 .30 .42	\$ 307.84 152.11 88.65 145.31 40.98 12.50 30.25	\$ 472.5 \$ 1,310.4 1,205.4 272.6 547.3 172.1 93.6 186.4 9.5 1,952.5

#### SCHEDULE FIFTEEN-(CONT.) ROAD DEPARTMENT.

The sector of the	To	tal Amount P	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.			
Department	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
Construction— F. H. Mann, Con. Engr E. A. Zack, Res. Engr LeRoy Brown, Res. Engr A. S. Miller, Res. Engr U. S. Gates, Res. Engr W. P. Hall, Inspr O. M. Briley, Inspr A. F. Miller, Inspr J. D. Kaser, Inspr Perry J. Preston, Inspr A. A. Baustian, Inspr	1,106.9 1,076.6 1,400.0 399.9 176.8 222.0 145.1 104.0 711.1	5 294.17 379.88 37.98 0 14.85 5 52.72 0 25.67	1,166.95 1,370.77 1,779.88 437.97 176.80 236.85 197.88	\$ 208.00 347.50 110.82 58.66		347.50 110.82 84.49
Totals	\$ 8,252.6	2 \$ 1,050.47 \$	9,303.09	\$ 830.73	\$ 49.83	\$ 880.56
Grand Total, Road Dept.	\$ 30,256.5	3 \$ 3,330.39	33,486.92	\$15,316.85	\$ 1,726.02	\$17,042.87

SCHEDULE SIXTEEN. BRIDGE DEPARTMENT.

Department	Tot	al Amount P	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.			
	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
J. H. Ames, Bridge Engr. E. F. Kelley, Asst. Bridge	\$ 2,700.00	\$ 294.54 \$	2,994.54	\$ 49.50	\$ 57.10	\$ 106.60
E. W. Blumenschein, St.	2,600.00	135.00	2,735.00			
Engr.	2,300,00	63.77	2,363,77	and the second second		
I. A. Paulsen, Con. Engr.	2,100.00	189.38	2,289,38	8,75		8.75
S. J. Bell, Designer. E. Williams, R. R. Cross-	1,145.97	16.61	1,162.58			
Engr. H. J. Bowman, R. R.	240.00	4.59	244.59			
Engr.	165.00	27.60	192,60			
. E. Kirkham, Con. Eng.	500,08					
W. N. Adams, Draftsman						
V. Enslow, Draftsman			1,010.68	15.16		15.1
. C. Nichols, Draftsman			306.25	\$1.00		31.0
R. J. Freshour, Draftsm'n		**********	721.94	5.46		5.4
C. H. Cook, Draftsman	407.42	1.75	409.17			
H. B. Collins, Draftsman_	599.68	***********	599.68		********	
R. E. Braun, Draftsman	245.67	**********				
. E. Richardson, Drafts'n	86.18		86.18			
H. A. Hanson, Clerk	1,500.00		1,502.65			
Mrs. J. A. Paulsen, Steno.	1,080.00	**********	1,080.00	14.30		14.3
Totals	\$ 19,442.15	\$ 741.54 \$	20,183,69	\$ 495.51	8 57.10	\$ 552.61

#### SCHEDULE SEVENTEEN. TESTS AND EXPERIMENTAL DEPARTMENT.

Department	Tot	al Amount P	Federal Aid Engr. Exp.			
Department	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
Bert Meyer, Asst. Engr Velda Rowland, Steno R. W. Crum, Asst. Engr. L. Kirschbraun, Con. Eng. Foster Preston, Asst. Engr Elmer Reed, Asst. Engr Elmer Reed, Asst. Engr Henry W. Brandt, Asst.	900.00 343.20 150.00 4.90 2.60 1.25	33.28 51.79	900.00 376.48	119.27		\$ 79.00 119.27
Engr. Totals	\$ 2,211.95		2.00			\$ 198.27

#### FINANCIAL REPORT

#### SCHEDULE EIGHTEEN. DRAINAGE DEPARTMENT.

	Tota	I Amount P	aid	Federal	Ald Eng	r. Exp.
	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
W. Clyde, Drain. Engr.	2,000.00	8 310.06 \$	2,310.06			
E. Holmes, Asst. Engr.	165.75		165.75			********
m. Pellersells, Foreman.	16,80		16.80			
ha Cooper, Laborer	6.30	******	6.30			
P. Weeks, Jr., Asst.	135.00	28.18	163.18		*********	
Engr. J. Olbrich, Rodman			75.00			
warrell, Gauge Rar,	6.58		6.58		*******	
F. Peckenpaugh, Gauge Reader	5.81	**********	5.81			
N. Hammock, Gauge	6.99		6.29			
Reader Pdr			6.77			
lenn Briggs, Gauge Rdr			7.09			
. S. Dunn, Gauge Reader			7.58			
Novd Kelley, Gauge Rdr V. L. Severe, Gauge Rdr.			7.90			
Totals	\$ 2,446.87	\$ 338.24	\$ 2,785.11			

Totals -----

R

### SCHEDULE NINETEEN. DISTRICT ENGINEERS.

	Tota	Amount Pa	lid	Federal	Aid Engr.	Exp.
	Salary	Expense	Total	Salary	Expense	Total
C. Coykendall W. F. Beard W. H. Root E. W. Dunn L. M. Martin J. S. Morrison H. L. Phelps	\$ 1,400.003 2,100.00 2,400.00 707.91 1,890.32 2,400.00 598.40 \$ 11,556.63	876.77 961.37 279.92 653.51 732.90 257.12	1,947.06 2,976.77 3,351.37 1,047.88 2,543.83 3,132.99 855.52	205.15 329.66 26.66 247.12 319.26	99.26 130.37 10.89 237.00 107.74 43.57	430.70 304.41 400.03 37.55 484.13 427.00 124.05 \$ 2,267.8

## SCHEDULE TWENTY. EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES—ALL DEPARTMENTS.

Description	Total Amount Paid	Federal Aid Eng. Expense
Freight and Drayage       s         Express       s         Telephone       s         Postage       s         Postage       s         Postage       s         Postage       s         Postage       s         Bulletins and Specifications       s         Blanks       s         Stationery and Office Supplies.       *         Road Department       pratting Department         Drating Department       pratting Department         State Pair Exhibit       s         Maps       Motor Vehicle Transportation-No. 1 Car and Equipment.         Repairs       Supplies         No. 2 Car and Equipment.       Repairs         Supplies       supplies         Balance charged to Federal Aid.       supplies	$\begin{array}{c} 227,46\\ 630,02\\ 177,37\\ 2,563,16\\ 876,53\\ 3,183,25\\ 1,474,68\\ 1,935,11\\ 2,552,13\\ 1,558,43\\ 32,09\\ 16,93\\ 1,408,63\\ 1,56,06\\ 461,10\\ 65,35\\ 159,00\\ 2,155,46\\ 461,10\\ 65,35\\ 282,89\\ 563,85\\ 10,10\\ 89,71\\ \end{array}$	\$ 139.25 176.12 168.75 248.95
Totals	\$ 21,621.50	\$ 1,392.47

.

#### SCHEDULE TWENTY-ONE.

#### ROAD DEPARTMENT-SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS.

	P	Salary	1	Expense		Total
State Institution Roads- F, H. Mann	40	458.32				458.3
Hawkeye Highway, Dubuque County- L. S. Gates	10 10	458.32 247 95	100	7.62	1	458.35 248.47
Dubuque-Sageville Road, Dubuque County— F. H. Mann		240.85 440.00 669.96 659.93 187.10 130.16 104.00	* *	7.62 167.27 337.30 234.94 55.33 52.72 25.67	35 95	248.47 607.22 1,037.29 894.67 242.40 182.88 129.67
Merle Hay Road, Polk County— F. H. Mann. E. A. Zack. Perry Preston S. A. Schackle J. G. Dean. W. P. Hall Ernest Nelson O. M. Briley. A. A. Baustian. A. F. Miller.		$\begin{array}{r} 2,221.15\\ 611.83\\ 800.23\\ 711.13\\ 3390.99\\ 21.78\\ 176.80\\ 111.33\\ 125.00\\ 125.00\\ 125.00\\ 15.00\end{array}$		873.23	\$	3,094.38 611.85 800.22 711.13 399.96 35.19 176.80 111.33 125.00 125.00 15.00
State Capitol Graunds Improvement— F. H. Mann	W 91	8,098.09 454.84	\$	13.41	-	3,111.50
	4	454.84			\$	454.84

### SCHEDULE TWENTY-TWO. COMPARISON OF EXPENDITURES FOR FOUR YEARS.

	1914-15	1915-16	1916-17	1917-18
Commissioners Administrative Department Road Department Bridge Department Drafting Department District Engineers Remodel'g and Repr. Offices and Drft. Dept. F. and E. W. Department Bridge Patent Litigation State Institution Roads	14,834.87 10,308.53 16,064.47 13,053.59 4,622.42	\$ 2,593.22 12,137.98 11,186.35 7,944.33 10,309.20 13,711.09 5,300.07 1,855.90 3,226.54	\$ 2,839.63 12,479.78 11,139.89 9,139.02 12,633.25 14,386.51 3,886.58 2,032.37	Note 1 8 2,127.2 13,417.6 16,444.0 19,631.0 Note 2 13,587.4 3,192.9 Note 3 Note 4
Railroad Orossing Surveys and Plans Lake Bed Survey Drainage Department Equipment and Supplies		2,031.30	2,076.58 4,814.19 882.31 13,476.73	Note 5 2,785.1 20,229.0
Totals	\$ 80,935,18	\$ 90,821.34	\$ 89,786.84	\$ 90,414.5

Note 1-Excluding cost of Federal Aid Plans which is charged to Federal Aid Engineering Fund. Note 2-Divided between Road and Bridge Department. Note 3-Included under Administrative Department. Note 4-Included under Road Department. Note 5-Included under Bridge Department.

#### FINANCIAL REPORT

#### SCHEDULE TWENTY-THREE.

### APPROPRIATION-STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION. JULY 1, 1917 TO JUNE 30, 1918.

#### STATEMENT, JUNE 30, 1918.

#### Debits.

Unexpended appropriation on July 1, 1917	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	\$ 173.314.96

Credits.	
Warrants issued in July, 1917	7,873.02
Warrants issued in September, 1917	10,181.66 9,799.25
Warrants issued in November, 1917 Warrants issued in December, 1917	10,042.75
Warrants issued in January, 1918 Warrants issued in February, 1918	8,255.51
Warrants issued in March, 1918 Warrants issued in April, 1918 Warrants issued in May, 1918	10,605.46
Warrants issued in June, 1918 Balance July 1, 1918	15,120.09
	\$ 173,314.96

## STATEMENT SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERAL AID ENGI-NEERING EXPENSE,

#### JULY 1, 1917-JUNE 30, 1918.

#### COST BY MONTHS.

July, 1917	
August. 1917	1,102.39
September, 1917	2,038.01
October, 1917	1,430.69
November, 1917	1,502.46
December, 1917	2,280.62
January, 1918	2,030.15
Pebruary, 1918	2,176.96
March, 1918	
April, 1918	2,071.16
May, 1918	
June, 1918	
	\$23,073.91

FEDERAL AID ENGINEERING FUND. Thebilte

Appropriation August 1, 1917	35,000.00
Engineering Expense July 4, 1917-June 30, 1918	23,073.91 11,926,09
SUMMARY CLASSIFICATION.	\$5,000.00
Reconnaissance	3,493.37 2,399,66 5,722.30

#### 130

## IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

County	Reconnais- sance	Traffic	Survey	Plans	Specifica- tions	Conferences	Records	Total
dair dams llamakee	\$ 5.66 66,19	\$ 89.39		\$ 6.55			\$ 7.75 12.64	\$ 13.4 174.7
ppanoose	22.92	123.14		6.62			5.17	157.8
udubon	5.11	********					$7.75 \\ 11.96$	12.8 142.1
lack Hawk	84,33 80,93	34.44	257.88	2.94		\$ 45.84 50.38	$     \begin{array}{r}       11.96 \\       20.96     \end{array} $	142.1 447.5
uchanan uchanan uena Vista	72.67	4.18	318.52	687.29	\$ 38.81		23.36	1,144.8
utler						22.90	.42	23.3
alhoun	74.12	77.08		13.07		14.68	8.06	187.0
855								
edar erro Gordo	59.79 18.88	6.16		50,10	157.46	52.11	9.35	69.1 292.4
herokee	12.52	0.19			157.40		7.76 7.76	20.2
larke								
lay								
layton Ninton Trawford	148.05	91.57		11.40		35.38	12.85	299.2
allas	106.85	58.96	278.88	278.07		5.05	$28.40 \\ 7.76 \\ 41.97$	751.1
Decatur	69.31	126.38	377.35	552.56		13.82	41.97	1,181.5
elaware	181.91	38.88	272.61	927.69	42.88		22.91	1,486.8
es Moines	165.09	7.18		*********			6.14	178.4
Dickinson Dubuque	137.76	47.04		7.81		57.62	8.72	258.9
ayette				*********				
ranklin	24.83						.89	25.
Premont	21.75						7.76	29.
Grundy	82,48	93.82		8.81		18.70	10.20	214.
Juthrie	5.67					1.41	7.75	14.1
familton	12.11						7.70	19.
Iardin								
farrison	70.73	231.08		5.18		17.30	8.03	332.
Ienry Ioward	00.94 12.32					26.15	7.76	94. 12.
Iumboldt	1							1
da	12.71						7.76	20.
owa Jackson Jasper	38.15	81.66		12.82		34.43 12.25	8,86 7.75	175. 20.
efferson	132.76	77.29 82.10	1,115.95 11.78	1,347.04	38.80		79.61	2,791. 361.
Johnson	50.03	82.10	11.78	112.21		93.26	12.11	361.
fones Keokuk	11.61						9.07	12.
Cossuth	34.04							
.ee								402.
Linn	125.57	155.10		16.02		87.88	17.02	15.
Jucas	14.00							
Madison								
Mahaska	. 22.55			1 045 00	17.03	9.35	7.94 54.40	89. 2,225.
Marion Marshall	81.70 18.70	103.57 83.41	624.92	1,345.30 6.96	15.61		11.92	120.
Mills	104.88	144.73	105.59	22.68		33.15	11.22 17.81	428.
Mitchell								
Monona	129.71	57.04		4.88		9.31	8.72	209.
Monroe		57.19		140.34		3.01	31.54	835.
Montgomery Muscatine						26.94	7.75	34.
O'Brien	- 6.01					13.53	7.76	6. 38.
Osceola								

## FINANCIAL REPORT

County	Reconnais- sanco	Traffic	Survey	Plans	Specifica tions	Conferences	Records	Total
Plymouth	6.11						.22	6.33
Polk Pottawattamie	\$6.59 5.37 26.10	51.24		3.85		11.65	8.37 7.75	106.70 13.12
Poweshick Ringgold Sac	26.10 96.73	16.19	291.44	676.30	38.81	22.60	7.76 41.44	83,86 1,188.51
Scott								
Shelby Sioux Story	42.06	18,96		2.75			7.76	52.57 26.71
Tama	110.67					45.84	12.92	168.78
Union Van Buren					*******	4.61	8.45 7.75	47.63 12.36
Wapello Warren	132.42	104.15	442.67	181.22		64.56	8.86 15.88	118.30 876.34
Wayne								*******
Webster Winnebago	15.17	$     41.15 \\     64.60 $	601.37	187.42		62.25 5,96	30.55 10.45	1,026.53 96.18
Winneshiek	158.71	116.14	639.88	3.20 2,230.68			$.12 \\ 92.67$	8.82 3,354.74
Worth Wright Gen. Tracings	24.64	114.84-						144.19 365.87
Totals	\$3,493,37	\$2,399.66	\$5,722,30	\$9,217.63	\$449.03	8922.58	\$869.34	\$23,073.91

#### STATEMENT FEDERAL AID GENERAL ACCOUNTS.

#### JULY 4, 1917-JUNE 30, 1918.

JULY 4, 1917-JUNE 30, 1918.		Debits
Secretary of the Treasury of the U. S. Allotment, 1916-17 Allotment, 1917-18	\$ 146,175.60 292,851.20	
Certified Federal Allotments. Allotment, 1918-19 (available July 1, 1918) Treasurer of State. Apportionment, 1916-17 Apportionment, 1917-18 Apportionment, 1918-19 (1/2)	\$ 146,175.60 292,351.20	434,653.61
Expended on Project No. L.	\$ 655,853.61 18,100.62	\$ 637,752.99
<ul> <li>Certified State Apportionment, Apportionment, 1918-19 (%) (available Aug. 1, 1918)</li> <li>County Appropriations.</li> <li>Estimated cost of projects exceeds Federal and State funds of projects, approved by O. P. R</li></ul>	n 	217,326.80 412,798.32
Total Project Funds Expended		30,898.38
		\$2,171,856.90 Oredits.
Federal Allotment, 1917-18. For which project statements have not yet been approved by Federal Allotment, 1918-19. For which project statements have not yet been approved by		
For which project statements have not yet been approved by		
State Apportionment, 1918-19. For which project statements have not yet been approved by Project Statements Approved (Est. Cost) Project Agreements Signed (Est. Cost)		000,012.00
and a strength of the strength		\$2,171,856.90

#### STATEMENT FEDERAL AID PROJECT ACCOUNTS. JULY 4, 1917-JUNE 30, 1918.

#### Project No. 1-Cerro Gordo County.

#### DEBITS.

Allotment Federal Funds	22,453.75 22,453.75 54,634.31
CREDITS.	99,541.81
Expenditures, Federal County Co-operative Fund (State)	18,100.62 13,270.26 26,806.88 41,364.06

Note: The full county allotment of Federal and State funds is to be paid out by the Treasurer of State from the Federal-County Co-operation Road Fund and the state is to be reimbursed by the Federal Treasury for one-half the sum thus paid out.

## PART II

# County Engineers' Report

## INTRODUCTION ·

This summary is prepared from the annual reports of the county engineers of the ninety-nine counties of Iowa and is prepared and submitted in accordance with the provisions of Section 1527-s2, SS 1915.

The county engineers' reports include detailed statements of all county expenditures for road and bridge work. Efforts were made through the county engineers to secure detailed reports of township expenditures. Out of 1613 townships, reports were secured from 1412 up to date when this report was tabulated.

Statements of expenditures are based on warrants issued by the county auditors for the period covered by this report. The county engineers are dependent upon the following sources for information contained in their reports:

(a) Total expenditures from County Bridge, County Motor Vehicle Road, and County Road Cash Funds; County Auditor's warrant Register; detailed classification of these expenditures must be made by the county engineer.

(b) Financial statement of the receipts and disbursements in above named funds, County Treasurer's Cash Book.

(c) Statement of county's indebtedness, records of county auditor and county treasurer combined.

(d) Statement of classified expenditures from all township funds, and financial statement of receipts and disbursements in said funds, annual reports of township clerks.

This report includes a general summary of the activities of the ninety-nine counties, paragraph summaries of the road and bridge expenditures of the individual counties, and twenty-nine summary tables showing in detail the expenditures for road and bridge work from all funds and the present financial condition of the several counties.
#### REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

# TOTAL EXPENDITURE FROM SEVERAL FUNDS.

	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918
County bridge fund County road cash fund Motor vebicle road fund Township road fund Tederal-county road find All other sources	8,171,000.00	3,396,364.95	3,236,318.71 998,292.37 2,508,459.13	3,710,383.02 1,223,746.06 2,886,652.93	3,514,575.87 1,215,931.72 2,669,987.83 726,281.67 75,853.12
Totals	\$11,601,000.00	\$12,702,353.22	\$13,520,294.65	\$15,165,475.76	\$14,095,752.61

# Cash Balances January 1, 1919.

On January 1, 1919, there were cash balances on hand in the county and township road and bridge funds amounting to \$1,666,-406.69 as follows:

County bridge fund\$	44,813.02
	140,471.91
	525,761.81
	711,056.74
	955,349.95

Total.....\$1,666,406.69

#### Indebtedness.

There were outstanding funding bonds, for road and bridge work, amounting to \$10,008,301.08 as follows:

ing\$ g	7,954,280.13 2,054,020.95

Total h	onds	outstanding\$10,008,301.00	5
---------	------	----------------------------	---

During the year funding bonds were issued as follows:

Bridge bond	8	\$1,979,767.29
Road bonds		1,103,760.63

madel	 \$3,083,527.92
Total	

Bonds were retired during the year as follows:

	\$921 962 50
Bridge b	nds\$231,962.50
Road box	ds 14,000.00
То	al\$245,962.50

It will be noted that the amount of bonds retired did not nearly equal the amount of bonds issued. It may be remarked here that it is the practice in many counties to issue funding bonds to take

# Summary of Financial Statement for Entire State.

# Annual Reports of County Engineers, January 1, 1918, to January 1, 1919.

# Total Expenditure:

From January 1, 1918, to January 1, 1919, the counties and townships spent \$14,095,752.61 for road and bridge work on the 104,082 miles of road in the county and township road systems. This total expenditure for both and bridge work is an average of \$135.43 per mile on the total mileage above named. The total expenditure is \$1,069,723.15 or 7.05 per cent less than the total expenditure reported for 1917. The expenditures are classified as follows:

Bridge work on both county and township roads\$	6,808,818.16
Road work on county roads	3,856,051.88
Road work on township roads	3,430,882.57

Total.....\$14,095,752.61

The expenditure for bridge work alone averaged \$67.24 per mile on county and township roads together.

The expenditure for road work proper on the 16,185.53 miles in the county road system averaged \$238.24 per mile and for road work on the township road system, \$44.58 per mile.

The following schedule compares expenditures for the past several years, according to purpose for which spent and according to fund from which derived.

# TOTAL EXPENDITURE FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE WORK.

	- 1914	1915	1916	1917	1918
Bridge work on both county and township roads	5,027,000.00	\$ 6,629,252.24	\$ 7,172,246.02	\$ 7,466,796.69	\$ 6,809,818.10
Road work on county roads	3,403,000.00	8,396,364.97	8,276,025.71	4,140,340.56	3,856,051.88
Road work on township roads	3,171,000.00	2,676,736.03	3,072,022.92	3,558,338.51	3,430,882.57
Totals	11,601,000.00	\$12,702,358.22	\$13,520,294.65	\$15,165,475.76	\$14,095,752.61

#### REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

# IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

up outstanding warrants and to extend the payment of such bonds over a term of from ten to twenty years. In several counties the bonded indebtedness has very nearly reached the statutory limitation.

Reports indicate that 77 counties have funding bonds outstanding for road and bridge expenditures, 44 counties being bonded for road work and 75 for bridge work. Of the total bonded indebtedness, 79.5 per cent is for bridge work and 20.5 per cent for road work.

The total indebtedness of the ninety-nine counties as reported January 1, 1919, and as compared with previous years is as follows:

#### TOTAL INDEBTEDNESS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE WORK.

COUNTY BRIDGE FUND.

	January 1, 1917	January 1, 1918	January 1, 1919
Outstanding bills Outstanding warrants Outstanding bonds	\$ 216,253.16 2,556,460.00 3,872,817.27		\$ 157,910.05 2,081,701.72 7,954,280.13
Total Bridge Indebtedness	\$ 6,645,530.43	\$ 8,875,215.84	\$ 10,193,891.90

COUNTY ROAD FUND.

	January 1, 1917	January 1, 1918	January 1, 1919
Outstanding bills Outstanding warrants Outstanding bonds Total road indebtedness	\$ 125,475.25 809,506,17 454,457.03 1,389,438.45	\$ 86,709.12 1,174,430.27 1,054,645.79 2,315,785.18	\$ 114,530.96 837,137.99 2,054,020.95 3,005,689.90
Total Indebtedness	\$ 8,034,968.88	\$ 11,191,001.02	\$ 13,199,581.80

## EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS ON HAND.

Reports of county engineers show that the several counties owned equipment and tools for road and bridge work valued on January 1, 1919, at \$597,293.96. A summary of the principal items and equipment reported is as follows:

Items	Number	Estimate
Tractors	$106\\38\\137\\84\\787\\1,143\\1,123\\3,103\\137\\46\\433\\23$	\$ 159,339.4 52,107.0 22,589.9 10,070.8 163,882.3 25,684.0 6,474.9 33,113.7 1,832.9 8,492.2 5,417.8 3,772.6 3,772.6
Total		

A detailed statement of the inventory of equipment in each county is given in Summary Table No. 29, Parts 1 and 2.

This does not include equipment owned by townships which consists principally of blade graders, tractors, drags, wheeled scrapers, slip scrapers, plows and small tools.

The total expenditure for bridges and culverts in the State during 1918 was \$6,808,818.16, or \$657,978.53 less than the total expenditure for like purpose in 1917.

Below is a comparison of the classified expenditures for each of the past five years including 1918, a comparison of permanent and temporary construction and a statement of the amounts spent for the various types of construction for the past four years. The amounts given were expended on work classified as shown.

Permanent bridges and culverts include only structures composed entirely of masonry or steel construction. If a part of the work is of a temporary nature, the structure is classified under the heading of temporary construction. Pipe culverts not provided with masonry bulk heads are classified as temporary construction.

The item of filling bridges and culverts is not included in the totals for the year of 1914. The item of culvert material purchased for townships appears only for the years of 1917 and 1918 as the law providing that such material shall be furnished became effective in 1917. Prior to that time the counties not only furnished the material for temporary culverts on the township road system but installed same, so that for the years 1914, 1915 and 1916 this item is included under temporary bridges and culverts constructed.

# COMPARISON OF CLASSIFIED BRIDGE EXPENDITURES.

# AMOUNTS.

Classification	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	Total Five Year Period
Permanent bridges and culverts	445,000.00	1,091,000.00 1,212,000.00	1,222,023.37 1,026,735.76	603,490.24 1,039,391.95	598,426.88 1,027,526.00	3,959,940.49 5,465,653.74
Special cases	457,000.00	247,000.00 249,000.00	386,626.82	356,255.98 362,319,27	650,984.96 385,118.02	1,882,381.61
Totals	\$5,027,000.00	\$6,878,000.00	\$7,172,246.02	\$7,466,796.69		
PER	CENTAGES		1	-	1	1
Classification	CENTAGES.	1915	1916	1917	1918	Total Five Year Period
Classification termanent bridges and culverts	1914 \$ 52.85 8.85 23.03	1915 \$ 59.30 15.85 17.63	1916 5 56.20 17.03 14.30	\$ 60.08 8.08 13.91	1918 \$ 52.60 8.78 15.08	Total Five
Classification Permanent bridges and culverts	1914 \$ 52.85 8.85	\$ 50.30 \$ 15.85	56.20 17.08	\$ 60.08 8.08	1918 \$ 52.60 8.78	Total Five Year Period \$ 56.49 11.87

# COMPARISON OF EXPENDITURES FOR PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

		1914		1915				1916		
	No.	Expenditures	%	No.	Expenditures	%	No.	Expenditures	%	
ermanent bridges and culverts	6,587 5,002	\$ 2,655,000.00 445,000.00	85.65 14.35	7,131 34,283*	\$ 4,079,000.00 1,091,000.00*	78.9 21.1	11,116 33,500*	\$ 4,026,309.01 1,222,023.37*	76.7 23.3	
Totals	11,589	\$ 3,190,000.00	100.00	41,364	\$ 5,170,000.00	100.00	44,616	\$ 5,248,332.38	100.00	
*Includes temporary culverts for townships.				1						
*Includes temporary culverts for townships.		1917 -			1918		1	Five Year Period	1	
*Includes temporary culverts for townships.	No.	1917 -	%	No.	1918 Expenditures	%	No.	Five Year Period	1 %	
*Includes temporary culverts for townships.	7,166	1	% 80.77 10.88 3.35	No. 5,698 4,539		% 78.00 13.05 8.95		1		

\*Included under temporary bridges and culverts for 1914, 1915, and 1916.

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

140

REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

# COMPARISON OF CLASSIFIED BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

_			1915		1916		1917
0.	Туре	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
1	Concrete box culvert	4,886	\$ 1,675,889.00	5,136	\$ 1,883,066.05	1 000 2	1 072 000 0
			68,155,00	880	105,754.11	4,330 \$	1,982,831.1
5	Concrete arch cuiverts	100	23,309.00	147	16,238.93	782	96,223.4
			129,835.00	2,768	89,614.24	1.816*	7,382.5
1			537,464.00	30,486	721, 834, 58	5,330*	209,154.2
			803.00	56	7.006.71	0,000	540,498.90 777.90
8.1	Donei pipe cuiverts	3 050	98,018.00	1,566	77,925.11	524*	45,001.3
		255	21,085,00	478	41,088,49	188*	
24		23	5,421.00	30	5,562.63	24	17,532.1
24		594	62,384.00	1.085	82,250.46	208	14,257.2
		138	173,034,00	128	165,555,72	115	23,340.33 220,271.61
	concrete area prages	15	199,554.00	42	73,907.88	8	79,803.47
		68	60,904.00	90	91,888,26	37	54,576.09
	Concrete thru girders	16	35,668.00	23	45,142.53	22	50,986.38
	Concrete deck gridels	55	167,273.00	79	187,566,90	64	179,046.34
		41	14,085,00	24	12,838.62	13	7,423.05
	Masonry abutments	6	2,940,00	4	3,433,81	8	5,501.76
	I-beam spans on piling abutments	110	53,533.00	129	65,171,45	69	49,172.49
	I-beam spans on concrete abutments	404	444,479.00	411	553,942,34	456	684,115,55
	Steel girders-concrete abutments	7	21,200.00	4	13,446.76	1	1,580.14
	Pony trusses on piling—wood floor Pony trusses with concrete abutments	39	46,002.00	68	86,339,73	35	41,955.07
	High steel trusses-concrete abutments.	214	566,449.00	185	545,555.91	201	727,498,19
13	Deck trusses concrete abutments	23	82,309.00	23	133,981,99	27	168,313.27
	Wood pile bridges	0	0.00	1	4,422,50	6	43,352.84
1	Wood pile bridges	834	210,305.00	773	180,462.27	811*	274.484.28
1	and curver bases and curver bases and a second seco	644	186,788.00	564	54,834.40	600*	27,745.18
	Totals	11 101	4 000 000	-	-		
		41,464 \$	4,886,886.00	45,180 \$	5,248,332.38	15,738 \$	5,552,825.06

"Total number does not include pipe enlyerts furnished to townships. Note-See report, as above, for 1918 and total of four year period on next page.

# COMPARISON OF CLASSIFIED BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.

1       Concrete box culvert       3,312       \$ 1,741,751.62       17,664       \$ 7,283,537         2       Circular concrete culverts       617       84,903.78       2,957       355,000         3       Concrete pipe culverts       69       7,201.06       400       54,132         4       Concrete pipe culverts       1,211       108,572.25       8,285       50,000         5       Corrugated pipe culverts       2,300       81,089.74       65,944       1,880,371         5       Corrugated pipe culverts       303       23,092,13       4,313       244,033         8       Oast from pipe culverts       313       5,445,994       99       80,483         9       Mascoury box culverts       133       5,445,994       99       80,483         10       Concrete slab bridges       10       80,945,93       76       146,200,548,84       545       819,908         12       Concrete through girders       133       5,448,994       99       30,489       22       25       258,109         13       Concrete slab bridges       10       90,904,829       75       444,109         14       Concrete horight girders       15       33,240,83       76       16			1	918	Total for	Four Years
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	šo.	Туре	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
25         Wood pile bridges         897         355,142,60         3,315         1,020,394.           26         Concrete eantilever         1         749.81         1         749.	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{4}{4} \frac{5}{5} \frac{6}{6} \frac{7}{7} \frac{8}{8} \frac{9}{10} \frac{11}{12} \frac{13}{14} \frac{15}{16} \frac{16}{17} \frac{16}{11} \frac{17}{18} \frac{19}{10} \frac{21}{22} \frac{22}{23} \frac{11}{12} \frac{11}{10} \frac{11}{10}$	Circular concrete culverts. Concrete arch culverts. Concrete pipe culverts Corrugated pipe culverts Boiler pipe culverts Boiler pipe culverts Cast iron pipe culverts. Masonry box culverts Concrete slab bridges Concrete abutments Concrete abutments Concrete deck girder Retaining walls Masonry abutments Libeam spans on concrete abutments. Steel girders-concrete abutments Steel girders-concrete abutments. Pony trusses on piling-wood floor. Pony trusses with concrete abutments. High steel trusses-concrete abutments. High steel trusses-concrete abutments.	$\begin{array}{c} 617\\ 69\\ 1,211\\ 2,360\\ 4\\ 303\\ 78\\ 13\\ 198\\ 108\\ 108\\ 10\\ 13\\ 15\\ 55\\ 55\\ 15\\ 16\\ 40\\ 253\\ 3\\ 26\\ 155\\ 15\\ 16\\ 15\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18\\ 18$	$\begin{array}{c} 84,903,78\\7,201,96\\8,572,25\\81,089,74\\1,829,49\\23,092,13\\6,737,39\\5,448,98\\27,933,17\\260,548,84\\99,904,29\\51,151,36\\33,240,83\\226,727,35\\5,544,66\\336,240,83\\226,727,35\\5,544,91\\33,644,66\\380,078,16\\4,693,29\\55,648,41\\442,932,94\\64,475,66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,987\\ 400\\ 8,326\\ 65,944\\ 65,944\\ 999\\ 999\\ 2,085\\ 545\\ 75\\ 228\\ 76\\ 253\\ 98\\ 34\\ 348\\ 1,524\\ 15\\ 168\\ 735\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	25 26 27	Wood pile bridges Concrete cantilever	897 1	355,142.60 749.81	1	1,020,394.2 749.8 287,129.6

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

142

143

REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

# CULVERT MATERIAL PURCHASED FOR TOWNSHIPS.

Operating under the law which provides that counties may furnish townships with the materials for temporary culverts, the 1612 townships were furnished material in 1918 at a total cost to the counties of \$410,171.73. In 1917 such material was furnished • at a cost of \$463,533.59.

A summary of the cost of material of various classes furnished in 1917 and in 1918 is as follows:

	1917	1918
Corrugated pipe	360,912.72 47,262.28 26,204.49 1,883.40 22,411.94 3,878.76	47,558.33
Totals	463,553.59	\$ 410,171.73

# Summary of Road Work and Expenditures for Entire State.

# January, 1, 1918, to January, 1, 19191

#### County Road Expenditures.

=

During the period covered by this report, the total expenditures for road work on the county system was \$3,856,051.88. This sum includes all expenditures for construction, maintenance, repairs, and miscellaneous work on the county system, all expenditures for road equipment and unused material, new right of way, gravel pits, railway crossing improvements, drainage assessments, and all other expenditures by the county for road purposes. It does not include the expenditures from the county motor vehicle road fund for permanent culverts amounting to \$574,807.15, nor the expenditures from the county road cash fund of \$391,552.52 of which \$385,118.02 was spent for filling bridges and culverts. These expenditures are listed under the bridge work.

Of the above county road expenditures of \$3,856,051.88, \$1,567,-094.46 or 40.6% was spent for permanent work; \$395,085.72 or 10.2% was spent for temporary work; \$1,376,480.17 or 35.7% was spent for repairs and maintenance; \$298,840 or 7.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$218,551.53 or 5.7% was spent for miscellaneous work.

A statement of the total number of miles improved and comparative charts showing the mileage surfaced and permanently graded to date follows this summary.

"Permanent Work" includes roads to the permanent grade lines established by the county engineer and to the standard sections, constructing roads to temporary grade lines and standard sections, that is, widening cuts and fills to standard widths and working toward a permanent grade line; tile drainage; and surfacing roads with gravel, macadam, sand-clay or some form of paving.

It will be noted that the percentage of county road expenditures which went for permanent work in 1918 decreased 8.4% from that of 1917. Likewise the repairs and maintenance increased 9.4%.

#### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

During 1918 repairs and maintenance cost \$85.04 per mile, against \$67.64 per mile for this work in 1917.

"Temporary Work" includes "oiling roads," and "tractor grading." No tractor grading is included in this classification unless the cost was in excess of sixty dollars per mile. Such work costing less than \$60.00 per mile is classified as repair work.

"Filling bridges and culverts" which was classified with the road work in 1915, has been classified under bridge work in the 1916, 1917 and 1918 reports.

During 1918 there were 508.33 miles of road built to permanent grades at a cost of \$830,161.10, or an average of \$1,633.11 per mile. This includes 38.27 miles constructed as Federal Aid projects at a cost of \$135,559.71. There were 29.51 miles built to temporary grade at a cost of \$52,248.66 or an average of \$1,770.54 per mile. 2,185.19 miles of road were constructed to natural grade at a cost of \$335,739.35, or an average cost of \$153,64 per mile. 354.99 miles were surfaced at a cost of \$617,298.80. Of this amount \$250,444.87 or 41.3% was spent on 7.87 miles as follows: \$56,770.61 was spent on the remaining 2.6 miles of the 4.07 miles of the Iowa Federal Aid project No. 1 between Mason City and Clear Lake; \$44,877.21 was spent on the remaining 1.67 miles of the Sageville road out of Dubuque; \$127,138.34 was spent on the remaining 2.8 miles of the Merle Hay road extending from the city limits of Des Moines toward Camp Dodge; \$21,663.71 was spent for surfacing with concrete 0.8 mile of the Lincoln Highway between Cedar Rapids and Mt. Vernon. The remaining amount, \$366,853.93 or 58.7%, was spent for surfacing 335.52 miles with gravel at an average cost of \$1,093.38 per mile. Nearly all of this surfacing was single course gravel conforming to the class B, standard cross section, which requires 880 cubic yards per mile.

Of the total expenditure for repairs and maintenance amounting to \$1,376,480.17, \$489,024.21, or 35.5% was spent for dragging which includes the dragging done by patrolmen; \$418,972.25 or 30.4% was spent for repairs and maintenance by patrolmen; \$468,483.71 or 34.1% was spent for repairs not done by patrolmen. 15,765 miles, or 97.5% of the county road system were regularly dragged an average number of 30 times at an average cost of \$0.87 per one mile round trip. The average cost per mile for dragging was \$31.02. The county engineers' reports show that 11,792 miles, or 72.9% of the county road system were under patrol. Seven counties, Calhoun, Clarke, Grundy, Jackson, Lyon, Madison, and Van Buren have not reported any patrol system and several counties report that their patrol systems are not fully organized. There were 683 patrol districts, or an average of 7.4 per county having an average length of 17 miles. The patrolmen are paid an average salary of \$143.43 per month. Their work consists of dragging, repairs and general maintenance. Deducting the cost of dragging which is included under dragging, the total average cost of repairs and general maintenance by patrolmen was \$35.53 per mile.

The total expenditures for repairs and maintenance in 1918 averaged \$85.05 per mile. In 1917 this expenditure averaged \$67.64 per mile. The total county road expenditure in 1917 averaged \$251.12 per mile. In 1918 the total expenditure averaged \$238.24 per mile.

Since April 1913, surveys have been made on 6,234.21 miles or about 38.6% of the county road system. 8,818.90 miles or 54.5% of the county road system has been built to natural grade. 1,904.46 miles or 11.8% have been built to permanent grade but not surfaced and 1,116.43 miles or 6.9% have been surfaced.

Detailed comparisons of the road work and expenditures on the various county road systems are shown in tables Nos. 9 to 14 inclusive. Table No. 22 shows the number and value of gravel pits owned by the counties.

# Township Road Expenditures.

Reports from 1,412 of the 1,613 townships were received in time to be included in this report. Two counties, Cherokee and Wright, had not submitted any report of township expenditures when this report was completed. Several counties were unable to secure reports from all townships in the county in time to include same in their reports. In all 201 townships are not included in this report.

The 1,412 townships reporting show a total road expenditure of \$3,430,882.57 as compared with a total expenditure of \$3,558,338.51 reported by 1,521 townships in 1917. The average expenditure per township for those reporting in 1918 is \$2,429.80 as compared with an average of \$2,339.50 per township for the townships reported in 1917. The township expenditures for 1918 are distributed as follows:

#### TOTALS.

Permanent work\$	345,644.55
Temporary work	623,701.73
Repairs	
Maintenance	729,644.96
Equipment and unused material	332,232.63
Special cases	375,055.51
Totals	3,430,882,57

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		*********	\$3,430,882.57
---	--	-----------	----------------

#### PERCENTAGES.

Perma	nent wo	rk					 		 	. 10.1%
Mainte	enance .						 		 	. 21.3%
Equipr	nent and	l unus	ed n	nater	ial.		 	· · · ·	 	. 9.7%
Specia	l cases						 		 	. 10.9%
						-				
	Total						 		 	.100.0%

The above percentages show that 80% of the township money went for repairs, maintenance, temporary and miscellaneous work. This is as it should be. It is surprising to note that any of the township money went for permanent work. There are approximately 87,897 miles of township road, and the estimated number of miles in the 1,412 townships reporting in 1918 is 76,954 so that the average expenditure per mile is \$44.58.

The township expenditures reported are shown in detail in summary tables Nos. 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19.

# COMPARISON OF CLASSIFIED ROAD EXPENDITURES.

	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918
Permanent work Repairs and mainte'nce Temporary work—	\$ 895,000.00 969,000.00	\$1,159,764.00 1,143,382.00	\$1,309,884.00 932,142.00	\$2,028,625.08 1,088,050.58	\$ 1,567,094.46 1,376,480.17
(a) Tractor grading. (b) Olling roads	161,000.00	359,205.00	513,600:00 28,003.00	426,552.17 51,068.96	373,699.80 21,385.92
Filling bridges and culverts		249,061.00			
Machinery and unused material Miscellaneous	182,000.00 1,292,000.00	227,920.00 257,078.00	242,962.00 249,435.00	264,192.98 281,855.79	298,840.00 218,551.53
Total	\$3,403,000.00	\$3,396,365.00	\$3,276,026.00	\$4,140,340.56	\$ 3,856,051.88

## ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### PERCENTAGES.

	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918
Permanent work Repairs and maintenance	25.3% 28.6%	\$4.1% \$3.7%	40.0% 28.5%	49.0% 26.3%	40.6% 35.7%
(a) Tractor grading	2.9%	10.6%	15.7% 0.8%	10.3% 1.2%	9.7% 0.5%
Filling bridges and culverts	5.8%	7.8% 6.7%	7.4%	6.4%	7.8%
Miscellaneous	37.9%	7.6%	7.0%	6.8%	5.7%

# A COMPARISON OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION DURING 1914, 1915, 1916, 1917, AND 1918.

#### NUMBER OF MILES CONSTRUCTED.

Classification .	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	Total Five-year Period
Built to permanent grade Built to temporary grade Tractor grading	418.0 416.0 1,210.0 75.6	462.7 855.9 2,358.8 182.0	625.2 107.83 3,680.9 277.0	858.44 213.11 2,467.58 424.58	471.06 22.71 2,127.60 347.70	2,835.40 1,115.55 11,844.88 1,306.88
Total mileage constructed	2,119.6	3,359.4	4,690.93	3,963.71	2,969.07	17,102.71

# PERCENTAGE OF COUNTY ROAD SYSTEM CONSTRUCTED.

Classification	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	Total Five-year Period
Built to permanent grade Built to temporary grade Tractor grading	2.5 2.5 7.5 0.4	2.9 2.2 14.5 1.1	3.9 0.6 22.8 1.7	5.3 1.3 15.3 2.6	2.9 0.1 13.1 2.1	17.5 6.7 73.2 7.9
Total percentage constructed	12.9	20.7	29.0	24.5	18.2	105.3*

Note—The tables show the actual number of miles improved under each class of construction in any one year and during the five year period. This makes the total number of miles constructed in excess of the number of miles in the county road system, 6,185.53 miles, for the reason that during the five year period miles of road were constructed to permanent grades and surfaced that earlier in the period had been graded with the tractor or built to temporary grades.



\*

# CHART SHOWING COMPARATIVE MILEAGE OF ROADS SURFACED OF ALL TYPES January 1, 1919.

(Scale-1 inch-8 miles)



ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES



Floyd Franklin Fremont Greene Grundy Guthrie Hamilton Hancock Hardin Harrison Henry Howard Humboldt Ida Iowa Jackson Jasper Jefferson Johnson Jones Keokuk Košsuth Lee Linn Louisa Lucas Lyon Madison Mahaska Marion Marshall Mills Mitchell



# CHART SHOWING COMPARATIVE MILEAGE OF ROADS SURFACED OF ALL TYPES January 1, 1919 (Continued) (Scale-1 inch-8 miles)

Monona Monroe Montgomery Muscatine O'Brien Osceola Page Palo Alto Plymouth Pocahontas Polk Pottawattamie Poweshiek Ringgold Sac Scott Shelby Sioux Story Tama Taylor Union Van Buren Wapello Warren Washington Wayne Webster Winnebago Winneshiek Woodbury Worth Wright



ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

153

152

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION



#### CHART SHOWING COMPARATIVE MILEAGE OF ROADS BUILT TO PERMANENT GRADE, BUT NOT SURFACED (Scale-1 inch-16 miles) January 1, 1919

Floyd Franklin Fremont Greene Grundy Guthrie Hamilton Hancock Hardin Harrison Henry Howard Humboldt Ida Iowa Jackson Jasper Jefferson Johnson Jones Keokuk Kossuth Lee Linn Louisa Lucas Lyon Madison Mahaska Marion Marshall Mills Mitchell



ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES



# Summary of Road and Bridge Expenditures by Counties.

January 1, 1918, to January 1, 1919.

#### ADAIR COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$22,590.86, of which \$1,067.68, or 4.72%, was spent for permanent work; \$2,988.89, or 13.22%, was spent for temporary work; \$2,451.87, or 10.86%, was spent for repairs; \$9,506.04, Of the 172 miles in the county road system, 81 were patrolled, there

Of the 172 miles in the county roat system, of were partoned, more being 5 districts with an average length of 16 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 18 townships was \$37,267.41.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$59,356.53, of which \$33,735.37, or 56.8%, was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$9,106.38, or 15.3%, was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$8,068.94, or 13.6%, was spent for repairs; \$930.84, or 1.6%, was spent for culvert material for townships; \$349.37, or 0.6%, was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,075.63, or 11.9%, was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$90.00, or 0.2%, was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount, \$42,841.75 was spent for new bridges and culverts; \$33,735.37, or 78.8%, was spent for permanent work; and \$9,106.38, or 21.2%, was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction:

Fourteen concrete box culverts, costing \$15,748.58; 65 concrete pipe (with headwalls), costing \$16,489.93; 1 masonry arch culvert, costing \$50.29; 22 headwalls on culverts previously constructed, costing \$1,328.53; retaining walls, costing \$118.04; 192 concrete pipe (without headwalls), costing \$8,477.14; 1 wood pile bridge, costing \$629.24.

or 42.10%, was spent for maintenance; \$5,672.80, or 25.10%. was spent for equipment and unused material; \$903.58, or 4.00%, was spent for special cases; 0.4 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$997.50 no roads were built to temporary grade; 41.75 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,988.89; no roads were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 34 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$69.52 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$131.20.

# ADAMS COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$11,997.95, of which \$55.35, or 0.4%, was spent for permanent work; \$196.50, or 1.6%, was spent for temporary work; \$4,477.68, or 37.3%, was spent for repairs; \$4,818.40, or 40.3%, was spent for maintenance; \$1,923.82, or 16.0%, was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$526.20, or 4.4%, was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent or temporary grade or surfaced. Three miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$196.50.

The county road system was dragged an average of 42 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$73.34 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$95.20.

Of the 126 miles in the county road system, 50 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 50 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$22,051.42.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$37,673.18, of which \$9,227.45, or 24.6%, was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,526.64, or 12.1%, was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,786.10, or 20.6%, was spent for repairs; \$2,953.67, or 7.8%, was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,416.58, or 14.4%, was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,525.32, or 19.9%, was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$237.42, or 0.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount, \$13,754.09 was spent for new bridges and culverts; \$9,227.45, or 67.2%, was spent for permanent work; \$4,526.64, or 32.8%, was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: Forty concrete pipe culverts with headwalls, costing \$9,190.93; 1 headwall on culvert, costing \$36.52; 14 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$466.57, and 14 wood pile bridges, costing \$4,060.07.

#### ALLAMAKEE COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$43,674.46, of which \$2,617.14, or 6.0%, was spent for permanent work; \$17,931.90, or 41.0%, was spent for temporary work; \$11,493.72, or 26.3%, was spent for repairs; \$5,700.30, or 13.0%, was spent for maintenance; \$5,064.15, or 11.6%, was spent for equipment and unused material; \$867.25, or 2.1%, was spent for special cases. 1.3 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,617.14. No roads were built to temporary grade. 35.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$17,931.90. No roads were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$132.01 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$335.00.

Of the 130 miles in the county road system, 33 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 11 miles.

The total township road expenditure, as shown by reports from all of the 18 townships, was \$29,817.25.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$43,218.53, of which \$12,530.41, or 29.0%, was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$9,465.70, or 21.9%, was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,776.29, or 27.2%, was spent for repairs; \$2,500.11, or 5.8%, was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,180.47, or 9.7%, was spent for equipment and unused material; \$495,75, or 1.1%, was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$2,269.80, or 5.3%, was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount, \$21,996.11 was spent for new bridges and culverts; \$12,530.41, or 57%, was spent for permanent work; \$9,465.70, or 43%, was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 10 concrete box culverts, costing \$4,828.93; 2 masonry arch culverts, costing \$1,402.35; 4 masonry box 'culverts, costing \$1,117.65; 3 concrete slab bridges, costing \$3,521.03; 2 concrete arch bridges, costing \$810.45; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments, costing \$850.00; 24 concrete pipe (without headwalls), costing \$1,475.60; corrugated pipe (without headwalls), costing \$29.25; 1 I-beam span on piling abutments, costing \$311.61; 1 pony truss on piling, costing \$970.35; 8 wood pile bridges, costing \$6,480.39; miscellaneous bridges and culverts, costing \$198.50.

# Roads.

#### APPANOOSE COUNTY.

ius.

The total county road expenditure was \$28,561.20 of which \$12,045.62 or 42.2% was spent for repairs; \$8,036.06 or 28.0% was spent for maintenance; \$6,209.05 or 21.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,270.47 or 7.9% was spent for special cases.

The county road system was dragged an average of 37 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$120.60 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$171.90.

Of the 166 miles in the county road system, 69 were patrolled, there being 2 districts with an average length of 34.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 17 townships was \$28,992.45.

158

Roads.

#### 160

# IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

# Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,398.79 of which \$13,771.80 or 27.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$3,770.81 or 7.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$10,592.59 or 21.1% was spent for repairs; \$8,454.06 or 16.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,884.40 or 7.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$9,559.25 or 19.0% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$365.88 or 0.7% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$17,542.61 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$13,771.80 or 78.5% was spent for permanent work and \$3,770.81 or 21.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 2 concrete box culverts, costing \$1,770.00; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$9,955.00; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments, costing \$2,046.80; 2 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$69.60; 71 boller pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$3,653.21 and 1 cast iron pipe without headwalls, costing \$48.00.

#### AUDUBON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$13,263.74 of which \$11.25 or 0.1% was spent for permanent work; \$5,511.95 or 41.5% was spent for repairs; \$6,507.03 or 49.1% was spent for maintenance; \$879.51 or 6.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$354.00 or 2.7% was spent for special cases.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$85.85 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$94.75.

Of the 140 miles in the county road system, 140 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 46% miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 8 of the 12 townships was \$21,165.90.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$59,231.63 of which \$27,792.16 or 47.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$14,664.81 or 24.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$6,098.09 or 10.4% was spent for repairs; \$4,086.76 or 6.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,924.21 or 4.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,976.02 or 5.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$689.58 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$42,456.97 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$27,792.16 or 65.5% was spent for permanent work and \$14,664.81 or 34.5% was spent for temporary work.

# ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 19 concrete box culverts costing \$23,058.64; 4 concrete pipe with headwalls, costing \$1,027.07; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$3,683.55; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$22.90; 16 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$750.72; 5 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$154.68 and 37 wood pile bridges costing \$12,759.41.

#### BENTON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$41,726.63 of which \$2,233.65 or 5.3% was spent for permanent work; \$8,901.06 or 21.3% was spent for temporary work; \$14,035.77 or 33.7% was spent for repairs; \$5,962.35 or 14.3% was spent for maintenance; \$10,378.80 or 24.9% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$215.00 or 0.5% was spent for special cases.

0.36 of a mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$1,714.56, and 92.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$8,901.06. There were no roads surfaced or built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26.3 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$93.23 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$195.00.

Of the 214 miles in the county road system, 214 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 214 miles.

The total.township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 20 townships was \$60,344.77.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$106,331.64 of which \$75,431.68 or 70.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,263.04 or 2.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$12,522.47 or 11.8% was spent for repairs; \$4,361.73 or 4.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,980.14 or 2.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,258.14 or 6.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$1,514.44 or 1.4% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$77,694.72 spent for new bridges and culverts. \$75,431.68 or 97.1% was spent for permanent work and \$2,263.04 or 2.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 95 concrete box culverts costing \$48,237.51; 5 concrete slab bridges costing \$10,246.59; 6 concrete thru girder bridges costing \$12,925.34; 2 pony trusses on concrete abutments, costing \$3,272.43; 1 concrete cantilever girder costing \$749.81; and 95 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$2,263.04.

# Roads.

# BLACK HAWK COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$28,127.99, of which \$1,037.33 or 3.7% was spent for permanent work; \$8,528.28 or 30.4% was spent 11 for temporary work; \$2,686.70 or 9.5% was spent for repairs; \$12,646.09 or 44.9% was spent for maintenance; \$1,516.74 or 5.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; and \$1,712.85 or 6.1% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade, and none were surfaced. 40.8 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$8,528.28.

The county road system was dragged an average of 40 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$82.24 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$151.00.

Of the 186 miles in the county road system, 186 were patrolled, there being 14 districts with an average length of 13.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 18 of the 18 townships was \$35,246.56.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$54,074.56, of which \$13,400.75 or 24.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,454.19 or 4.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,980.48 or 25.8% was spent for repairs; \$3,368.03 or 6.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,149.06 or 17% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,219.90 or 15.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,502.15 or 6.5% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$15,854.94 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$13,400.75 or 84.5% was spent for permanent work; \$2,454.19 or 15.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 57 concrete box culverts costing \$12,532,43; 1 corrugated pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$139.88; 1 retaining wall costing \$728.44; 117 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,302.81; and 18 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$151.38.

#### Roads.

#### BOONE COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$25,220.18 of which \$7,446.46 or 29.5% was spent for permanent work; \$5,063.85 or 20.1% was spant for temporary work; \$379.41 or 1.5% was spent for repairs; \$9,213.73 or 36.6% was spent for maintenance; \$990.93 or 3.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,125.80 or 8.4% was spent for special cases.

0.25 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$1,854.58. 55.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,063.85. 2.65 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$3,980.14. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 30 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$61.10 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$160.30. Of the 157 miles in the county road system, 157 were patrolled, there being 4 districts with an average length of 39.25 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 17 townships was \$49,148.67.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$82,729.43 of which \$63,952.64 or 77.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$3,156.51 or 3.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,407.72 or 4.1% was spent for repairs; \$3,478.49 or 4.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,545.48 or 5.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$3,655.69 or 4.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$532.90 or 0.7% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$67,109.15 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$63,952.64 or 95.3% was spent for permanent work and \$3,156.51 or 4.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 32 concrete box culverts costing \$39,438,93; 1 circular concrete culvert costing \$133.72; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,853.62; 3 concrete arch bridges costing \$8,308.20; 3 concrete deck girders costing \$8,276.75; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$5,941.42 and corrugated pipe without headwalls, costing \$2,196.72.

#### Roads.

#### BREMER COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was 20,734.55 of which 4,213.02 or 20.3% was spent for permanent work; 4,048.63 or 19.5% was spent for temporary work; 2,099.18 or 10.2% was spent for repairs; 5,449.66 or 26.3% was spent for maintenance; 2,554.42 or 12.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and 2,369.64 or 11.4% was spent for special cases.

1.25 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,909.47 and 19.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,048.63. There were no roads built to temporary grade, and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 32 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$60.15 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$165.50.

Of the 125 miles in the county road system, 51 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 17 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 9 of the 14 townships was \$24,740.98.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$41,018.54 of which \$23,646.59 or 57.7% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$497.90 or 1.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$5,025.98 or 12.2% was spent for repairs; \$1,000.00 or 2.5% was

spent for culvert material for townships; \$7,677.18 or 18.7% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$3,170.89 or 7.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts.

Of the total amount \$24,144.49 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$23,646.59 or 97.9% was spent for permanent work and \$497.90 or 2.1% was spent for temporary work.

• The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 23 concrete box culverts costing \$9,072.79; 2 concrete deck girders costing \$8,862.80; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments, costing \$5,711.00 and 17 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$497.90.

#### BUCHANAN COUNTY.

#### Roads.

### Decinantal coolin

The total county road expenditure was \$38,391.48, of which \$18,788.63 or 48.9% was spent for permanent work; \$4,553.49 or 11.9% was spent for temporary work; \$225.49 or 0.6% was spent for repairs; \$7,197.59 or 18.7% was spent for maintenance; \$5,337.72 or 13.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,288.56 or 6.0% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade. 0.1 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$161.55. 24 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,553.49. 15 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$15,167.77.

The county road system was dragged an average of 49 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$42,32 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$219.80.

Of the 175 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 13 districts with an average length of 13.4 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 16 townships was \$32,407.59.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$37,468.87, of which \$23,505.00 or 62.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,406.81 or 3.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$6,004.89 or 16% was spent for repairs; \$6,215.77 or 16.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$32.33 or 0.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$304.07 or .8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$24,911.81 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$23,505.00 or 94.4% was spent for permanent work; and \$1,406.81 or 5.6% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 48 concrete box culverts costing \$17,695.75; 1 concrete abutment costing \$1,511.65; 2 concrete deck girders costing \$4,297.60; and 60 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,406.81.

#### BUENA VISTA COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$60,794.88, of which \$28,794.73 or 47.4% was spent for permanent work; \$251.25 or 0.4% was spent for temporary work; \$5,319.34 or 8.8% was spent for repairs; \$12,427.71 or 20.4% was spent for maintenance; \$5,652.73 or 9.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$8,349.12 or 13.7% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent, temporary or natural grade. 25 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$28,521.15.

The county road system was dragged an average of 39 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$104.15 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$357.00.

Of the 170 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 34 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 16 of the 18 townships was \$46,240.38.

#### Bridges.

Roads.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$28,519.95, of which \$25,630.17 or 89.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$83.02 or .2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$776.64 or 2.7% was spent for repairs; \$105.60 or .4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,142.30 or 4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$782.22 or 2.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$25,713.19 spent for new bridges and culverts \$25,630.17 or 99.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$83.02 or .3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 55 concrete box culverts costing \$16,129.77; 9 headwalls on culverts costing \$1,755.47; 1 retaining wall costing \$191.43; 19 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$7,553.50; 2 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$3.90, and 2 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$79.12.

#### BUTLER COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$19,301.04 of which \$248.86 or 1.3% was spent for permanent work; \$614.57 or 3.2% was spent for temporary work; \$2,646.39 or 13.7% was spent for repairs; \$12,773.48 or 66.2% was spent for maintenance and \$3,017.74 or 15.6% was spent for equipment and unused material.

3 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$614.57. There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade and none were surfaced

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$83.04 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$104.40.

Of the 185 miles in the county road system, 185 were patrolled, there being 13 districts with an average length of 14.2 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 16 townships was \$38,205.90.

#### Bridges.

166

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$57,906.11 of which \$15,667.27 or 27.17% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6,384.00 or 11% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$23,745.31 or 40.8% was spent for repairs; \$8,020.10 or 14.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$4,069.43 or 7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$20.00 or .03% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$22,051.27 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$15,667.27 or 71% was spent for permanent work and \$6,384.00 or 29% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 4 concrete box culverts costing \$872.54; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$496.81; 2 concrete through girders costing \$5,664.80; 1 steel girder on concrete abutments costing \$1,634.24; 3 pony trusses on concrete abutments costing \$6,998.88 and 8 wood pile bridges costing \$6,-384.00.

#### CALHOUN COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$43,138.37, of which \$26,953.09 or 6.3% was spent for permanent work; \$1,807.20 or 4.2% was spent for temporary work; \$2,073.12 or 4.8% was spent for repairs; \$4,048.19 or 9.4% was spent for maintenance; \$4,911.54 or 11.4% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$3,345.23 or 7.9% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to a temporary grade. 8.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$13,859.12. 16 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,807.20. 6.25 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$12,318.00.

The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$35.26 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$249.00.

Of the 173 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as being patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$43,830.22.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$47,902.49, of which \$22,294.85 or 46.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,727.36 or 3.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$4,768.53 or 9.9% was spent for repairs; \$3,783.68 or 7.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$12,788.26 or 26.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$843.35 or 1.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,696.46 or 3.5% was spent for special cases. Of the total amount \$24,022.21 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$22,294.85 or 92.9% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,727.36 or 7.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 10 concrete box culverts costing \$7,769.29; 17 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,447.85; 1 concrete abutment costing \$2,399.00; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$2,878.80; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,915.61; 1 high steel truss on concrete abutments costing \$2,884.30; 5 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$151.36; 34 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls, \$593.56; 1 I-beam span on piling costing \$201.24, and 13 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$781.20.

#### CARROLL COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$51,241.13 of which \$24,071.64 or 47.0% was spent for permanent work; \$13,061.80 or 25.5% was spent for temporary work; \$7,446.33 or 14.5% was spent for repairs; \$5,229.47 or 10.2% was spent for maintenance; \$1,092.19 or 2.1% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$339.70 or 0.7% was spent for special cases.

6 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$23,681.35; 41.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$13,035.60; 0.5 of a mile was surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$187.40. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged at an average cost of \$1.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$72.43 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$292.20.

Of the 175 miles in the county road system, 22 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 22 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 16 townships was \$34,393.40.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$69,203.79 of which \$28,903.35 or 41.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,095.53 or 5.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9,846.63 or 14.2% was spent for repairs; \$5,731.38 or 8.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,269.00 or 7.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$867.93 or 1.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$14,489.97 or 20.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$32,998.88 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$28,903.35 or 87.7% was spent for permanent work and \$4,095.53 or 12.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 40 concrete box culverts costing \$17,529.30; 4 circular concrete culverts costing \$736.72; 1 concrete pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$95.45; 1 corrugated pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$115.95; 1 cast iron pipe with headwalls costing \$13.04; 4 concrete deck girders 168

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

costing \$9,766.89; 2 retaining walls costing \$446.00; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$200.00; concrete pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$30.00; corrugated pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$1,495.25; wood pile bridges costing \$2,315.27, and miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$255.01.

#### Roads. .

CASS COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$19,196.46, of which \$219.42 or 1.1% was spent for permanent work; \$60.00 or 0.3% was spent for temporary work; \$5,977.56 or 31.2% was spent for repairs; \$6,448.99 or 33.6% was spent for maintenance; \$925.56 or 4.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, \$5,564.93 or 29% was spent for special cases.

The final cost of \$219.42 was paid on permanent grade built in 1917. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged at an average cost of \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$87.05 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$135.40.

Of the 142 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as being patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$37,216.27.

#### Bridges.

Roads.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$83,701.40, of which \$36,702.85 or 44% was spent for permanent bridge and culverts; \$10,037.54 or 12% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,686.58 or 13.9% was spent for repairs; \$5,655.50 or 6.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$8,852.26 or 10.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; and \$10,766.67 or 12.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts.

Of the total amount \$46,740.39 was spent for new bridges and culverts, \$36,702.85 or 78.6% was spent for permanent work, and \$10,037,54 or 21.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 28 concrete box culverts costing \$30,498.71; 1 boiler pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$336.90; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$569.00; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$5,298.24; 27 concrete pipe culverts with no headwalls costing \$3,856.34; 5 boiler pipe culverts with no headwalls costing \$258.05; 17 wood pile bridges costing \$5,666.59, and 8 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$256.56.

#### CERRO GORDO COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$109,773.83 of which \$82,167.03 or 74.8% was spent for permanent work; \$2,698.02 or 2.5% was spent for temporary work; \$12,796.79 or 11.6% was spent for repairs; \$9,117.05

or 8.3% was spent for maintenance; \$1394.40 or 1.3% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$1,600.54 or 1.5% was spent for special cases.

10.9 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$5,553.44. No roads were built to temporary grade. 10.4 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,698.02. 3.2 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$3,372.25; .25 miles was surfaced with asphalt at a cost of \$7,888.11; and 2.60 miles were surfaced with concrete at a cost of \$56,770.61.

The county roads system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$141.06 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$70.90.

Of the 155 miles in the county road system, 128 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 14.2 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 16 townships was \$40,941.16.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$69,178.67 of which \$51,883.92 or 75.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$682.89 or .99% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,335.71 or 10.5% was spent for repairs; \$1,769.15 or 2.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$6,480.00 or 9.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$927.00 or 1.35% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$100.00 or .16% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$52,566.81 spent for new bridges and culverts \$51,883.92 or 98.7% was spent for permanent work and ...682.89 or 1.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction; 30 concrete box culverts, costing \$10,066.81; 46 circular concrete culverts costing \$5,195.14; 7 concrete slab bridges costing \$8,701.22; 4 concrete deck girders costing \$14,621.60; 3 I-beam spans concrete abutments costing \$4,845.05; 2 pony trusses concrete abutments costing \$8,454.10; 25 boiler pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$580.10; 1 wood pile bridge costing \$47.44 and 6 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$55.35.

# CHEROKEE COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$18,430.22 of which \$4,349.14 or 23.6% was spent for permanent work; \$1,171.06 or 6.4% was spent for temporary work; \$3,983.03 or 21.6% was spent for repairs; \$5,352.11 or 29% was spent for maintenance; \$2,416.78 or 13.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,158.10 or 6.3% was spent for special cases.

2 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$4,349.14. No roads were built to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 6 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,171.06.

The county road system was dragged an average of 29 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$60.32 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$119.50.

Of the 154 miles in the county road system, 33 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 11 miles.

No report of township expenditures was received.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$96,271.36 of which \$67,229.64 or 69.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$65.20 or .01% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$6,155.42 or 6.4% was spent for repairs; \$7,344.03 of 7.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$6,323.01 or 6.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$3,560.19 or 3.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$5,593.87 or 5.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$67,294.84 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$67,229.64 or 99.9% was spent for permanent work, and \$65.20 or .1% was spent for temporary work.

The amount last above referred to was spent on the following construction; 59 concrete box culverts, costing \$25,837.06; 5 concrete slab bridges, costing \$2,723.55; 1 Retaining wall, costing \$983.50; 32 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$14,960.17; 7 pony trusses on concrete abutments, costing \$1,702.17; 1 high steel truss, concrete abutment, costing \$5,698.19; 1 woodpile bridge costing \$52.80; 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$12.40.

# CEDAR COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total road expenditure was \$15,237.83 of which \$570.25 or 3.7% was spent for permanent work; 2,938.15 or 19.3% was spent for temporary work; \$1,574.94 or 10.3% was spent for repairs; \$7,751.10 or 50.9% was spent for maintenance; \$2,227.34 or 14.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; and \$176.05 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grades and no surfacing work was done. 33.23 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2.848.14.

The county road system was dragged an average of 40 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$58.91 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$96.50.

Of the 158 miles in the county road system, 126 were patrolled, there being 4 districts with an average of 31.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 17 townships was \$40,626.40.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$29,553.88 of which \$13,323.22 or 45.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$94.80 or .3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9,230.67 or 31.2% was spent for repairs; \$21.60 or .1% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$6,106.63 or 20.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$145.88 or .5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$631.08 or 2.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$13,418.02 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$13,323.22 or 99.3% was spent for permanent work and \$94.80 or .7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 25 concrete box culverts, costing \$10,919.44; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments, costing \$2,403.28; corrugated pipe culverts, no headwalls, costing \$52.50 and 1 boiler pipe culvert, no headwalls, costing \$42.30.

#### CHICKASAW COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total road expenditure was \$25,209.48 of which \$13,162.38 or 52.2% was spent for permanent work; \$2,488.22 or 9.9% was spent for temporary work; \$837.80 or 3.3% was spent for repairs; \$5,741.27 or 22.8% was spent for maintenance; \$2,444.36 or 9.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$535.45 or 2.1% was spent for special cases.

11.44 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$7.712.78 There were no roads built to temporary grade. 7½ miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,488.22. 9.87 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$5,311.75.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.01 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$42.04 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$161.75.

Of the 156 miles in the county road system, 152 were patrolled, there being 16 districts with an average length of 9.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$31,023.04.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$57,267.36 of which \$28,659.39 or 50.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$12,310.39 or 21.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,969.79 or 13.9% was spent for repairs; \$369.60 or .6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,791.13 or 10.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,868.41 or 3.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$298.65 or .5% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$40,969.78 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$28,659.39 or 69.9% was spent for permanent work, and \$12,310.39 or 30.1% was spent for temporary work.

#### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 5 concrete box culverts, costing \$1,512.19; 1 concrete slab costing \$2,912.07; 1 masonry abutment costing \$120.28; 2 I-beam spans concrete abutments costing \$4,558.31; 3 pony trusses concrete abutments, costing \$19,556.54 and 43 wood pile bridges, costing \$12,310.39.

#### CLARK COUNTY.

#### Roads.

172

The total county road expenditure was \$14,466.67 of which \$2,317.95 or 16% was spent for temporary work; \$7,496 or 51.8% was spent for repairs; \$2,933.98 or 20.3% was spent for maintenance; \$1,162.98 or 8.1% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$555.00 or 3.8% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grades and no surfacing was done. 22 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,317.95.

The county road system was dragged an average of 35 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$90.01 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure of county road was \$125.60.

Of the 115 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 5 of the 12 townships was \$9,194.00.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$43,963.56 of which \$15,074.11 or 34.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,329.16 or 12.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$5,587.95 or 12.8% was spent for repairs; \$4,796.68 or 10.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,110.50 or 11.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,223.30 or 16.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$841.86 or 1.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$20,403.27 spent for new bridges and culverts \$15,074.11 or 73.5% was spent for permanent work, and \$5,329.16 or 26.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 22 concrete box culverts, costing \$12,888.14; 1 pony truss concrete abutment, costing \$2,185.97; 1 concrete pipe culvert, no headwalls, costing \$225.60; 66 corrugated pipe culverts, no headwalls, costing \$2,753.07; 2 I-beam spans on piling, costing \$1,421.59; 3 woodpile bridges, costing \$928.90.

#### Roads.

.

### CLAY COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$45,787.32 of which \$25,580.65 or 55.9% was spent for permanent work; \$1,272.60 or 2.8% was spent for

repairs: \$7,969.16 or 17.4% was spent for maintenance; \$2,127.46 or 4.6% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$8,837.45 or 19.3% was spent for special cases.

1.25 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$889.97. No roads were built to temporary or natural grade. 2414 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$23,116.34.

The county road system was dragged an average of 21 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$61.61 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$305.00.

Of the 150 miles in the county road system, 75 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 15 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 11 of the 16 townships was \$24,035.60.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$60,842.70 of which \$46,141.55 or 75.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,944.96 or 3.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$1,428.37 or 2.3% was spent for repairs; \$2,324.78 or 3.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$7,110.31 or 11.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,360.45 or 2.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$532.28 or .8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$48,086.51 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$46,141.55 or 96% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,944.96 or 4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 57 concrete box culverts costing \$28,711.79; 1 circular concrete culvert costing \$72.84; 14 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$15,992.52; 3 pony trusses, concrete abutments costing \$1,364.40; corrugated pipe, no headwalls costing \$1,787.91; 2 woodpile bridges costing \$106.00, and 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$51.05.

# CLAYTON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$29,782.82, of which \$4,967.95 or 16.6% was spent for permanent work; \$4,234.39 or 14.2% was spent for temporary work; \$416.35 or 1.4% was spent for repairs; \$18,674.29 or 62.8% was spent for maintenance; \$1,439.84 or 4.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$50.00 or 0.2% was spent for special cases.

0.45 mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,774.00. .35 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$2,193.95. 36.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,234.39. There were no roads surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 49 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.72 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$94.83 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$148.30.

Of the 201 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 38 districts with an average length of 5.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 22 townships was \$44,377.02.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was 63,971,13 of which 46,949.47 or 73.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; 2,631.88 or 4.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; 6,342.95 or 9.9% was spent for repairs; 3,314.34 or 5.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; 949.36 or 1.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and 5595.90 or .9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$49,581.35 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$46,949.47 or 94.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$2,631.88 or 5.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 20 concrete box culverts costing \$6,423.00; 8 concrete slab bridges costing \$8,210.85; 1 concrete arch costing \$18,473.92; 2 concrete abutments costing \$1,969.60; 1 masonry abutment costing \$30; 5 I-beam spans with concrete abutments, \$2,692.10; 2 high steel trusses with concrete abutments costing \$9,150.00; 41 corrugated pipe culverts with no headwalls costing \$1,383.57, and 5 wood pile bridges costing \$1,248.31.

#### Roads.

# CLINTON COUNTY.

all of spent for culvert material for townships; \$896.07 or 1.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,386.33 or 4.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,109.20 or 2.1% was spent for special cases.

Bridges.

Of the total amount \$37,967.23 spent for new bridges and culverts \$37,440.58 or 98.6% was spent for permanent work, and \$526.65 or 1.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 41 concrete box culverts costing \$16,640.11; 1 headwall costing \$126.00; 1 I-beam span concrete abutment costing \$3,307.21; 4 pony trusses concrete abutments costing \$17,367.26; 6 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$54.05; 1 boiler pipe culvert costing \$65.60, and 5 woodpile bridges costing \$407.00.

# CRAWFORD COUNTY.

## Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$77,641.29 of which \$48,129.08 or 62% was spent for permanent work; \$16,856.72 or 21.7% was spent for repairs; \$8,491.85 or 11.0% was spent for maintenance; \$1,247.99 or 1.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,915.65 or 3.7% was spent for special cases.

9.61 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$48,129.08. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade, and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 44 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.76 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$168.43 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$517.50.

Of the 150 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 30 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 20 townships was \$59,798.11.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$178,265.74 of which \$119,283.86 or 67.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,653.42 or 1.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$28,198.15 or 15.7% was spent for repairs; \$10,160.96 or 5.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$8,000.00 or 4.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,343.60 or 4.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,625.75 or 0.9% was spent for special cases.

or 24.3% was spent for permanent work; \$1,853.23 or 6.7% was spent for temporary work; \$5,134.30 or 18.6% was spent for repairs; \$8,615.50 or 30.6% was spent for maintenance; \$2,706.12 or 9.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,810.55 or 10.1% was spent for special cases. 0.91 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,218.36. No

The total county road expenditure was \$27,868.96 of which \$6,749.26

roads were built to temporary grade. 5.05 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$587.45. 1.66 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$4,530.90.

The county road system was dragged an average of 35 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$68.32 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$138.00.

Of the 201 miles in the county road system, 43 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 7.16 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 19 of the 20 townships was \$48,050.81.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was

\$51,858.65 of which \$37,440.58 or 72.2% was spent for permanent bridges

and culverts; \$526.65 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and cul-

verts; \$4,492.87 or 8.6% was spent for repairs; \$5,006.95 or 9.8% was

Of the total amount \$121,937.28 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$119,283.86 or 97.8% was spent for permanent, and \$2,653.42 or 2.2% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 99 concrete box culverts costing \$96,118.09; 3 I-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$7,590.77; 6 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$15,575.00; 15 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$826.32; 3 cast iron pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$323.00, and 13 wood pile bridges costing \$1,504.10.

#### DALLAS COUNTY.

#### Roads.

cases.

176

#### and the second second second

The total county road expenditure was \$39,036.87 of which \$14,542.17 or 37.2% was spent for permanent work; \$9,661.51 or 24.9% was spent for temporary work; \$561.79 or 1.40% was spent for repairs; \$6,223.24 or 15.9% was spent for maintenance; \$3,919.06 or 10% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$4,129.10 or 10.6% was spent for special

3.3 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$8,401.87. No roads were built to temporary grade. 52.65 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,661.51. 2.75 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$5,117.16.

The county road system was dragged an average of 14 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$39.42 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$226.50.

Of the 172 miles in the county road system, 172 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 57.33 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$50,067.01.

1.4

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$76,646.06 of which \$47,653.16 or 61.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,929.03 or 2.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,085.04 or 9.2% was spent for repairs; \$9,703.14 or 12.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,422.48 or 7.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$4,343.08 or 5.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$510.13 or .7% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$49,582.19 spent for new bridges and culverts \$47,653.16 or 96.1% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,929.03 or 3.9% was spent for temporary work.

The last amount above referred to was spent on the following construction: 32 concrete box culverts costing \$19,266.96; 3 circular concrete culverts costing \$732.12; 2 concrete slab bridges costing \$6,679.20; 3 concrete abutments costing \$17,278.88; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$3,696.00, and 28 corrugated pipe, no headwalls, costing \$1,929.03.

#### DAVIS COUNTY.

# Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$7,853.27 of which \$379.35 or 4.8% was spent for temporary work; \$1,986.32 or 25.3% was spent for repairs; \$5,242.70 or 66.8% was spent for maintenance, and \$244.90 or 3.1% was spent for equipment and unused material.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade and none were surfaced. 2.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$379.35.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$46.40 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$507.00.

Of the 155 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 20 districts with an average length of 7.75 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 15 townships was \$25,232.02.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$31,767.58 of which \$9,914.27 or 31.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,142.72 or 16.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,456.11 or 42.3% was spent for repairs; \$142.08 or .4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$1,143.32 or 3.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,331.85 or 4.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$637.23 or 2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$15,056.99 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$9,914.27 or 65.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$5,142.72 or 34.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 7 concrete box culverts costing \$2,965.21; 4 circular concrete culverts costing \$257.18; 55 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$5,973.74; 16 headwalls costing \$718.14; 2 I-beam spans on piling costing \$2,232.78, and 20 wood pile bridges costing \$2,909.94.

# DECATUR COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$27,668.03 of which \$3,355.25 or 12.1% was spent for permanent work; \$3,451.97 or 12.5% was spent for temporary work; \$1,364.76 or 5% was spent for repairs; \$12,047.77 or 43.5% was spent for maintenance; \$5,073.21 or 18.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,375.07 or 8.6% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent grade and no surfacing was done. 1.75 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$3,355.25. 16.75 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,185.61.

The county road system was dragged an average of 30 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.40 per mile one round trip." The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$88.23 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$179.00.

Of the 152 miles in the county road system, 152 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 19 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 16 townships was \$22,976.91.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,141.05 of which \$14,372.09 or 28.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,796.14 or 9.7% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,760.41 or 15.5% was spent for repairs; \$3,029.25 or 6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$10,743.92 or 21.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$5,978.03 or 11.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,461.21 or 6.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$19,168.23 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$14,372.09 or 75% was spent for permanent work and \$4,796.14 or 25% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 7 concrete box culverts costing \$5,537.13; 36 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$8,180.57; 4 boiler pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$654.39; 14 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$959.76; 1 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$55.50, and 26 woodpile bridges costing \$3,780.88.

#### Roads.

#### DELAWARE COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$39,393.57 of which \$13,477.78 or 34.1% was spent for permanent work; \$5,688.11 or 14.5% was spent for temporary work; \$16,734.72 or 42.5% was spent for maintenance; \$2,835.46 or 7.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$657.50 or 1.7% was spent for special cases.

4.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$6,211.70. No roads were built to temporary grade. 29.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,688.11. 7.75 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$6,948.00.

The county road system was dragged an average of 37.5 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.78 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$94.92 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$223.90.

Of the 176 miles in the county road system, 176 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 22 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 16 townships was \$37,174.26.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$36,808.01 of which \$19,625.36 or 53.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,943.58 or 5.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$6,884.72 or 18.7% was spent for repairs; \$3,230.26 or 8.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,847.09 or 10.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,067.60 or 2.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$209.40 or .6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$21,568.94 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$19,625.36 or 91% was spent for permanent work and \$1,943.58 or 9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 47 concrete box culverts costing \$13,007.47; 8 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,288.25; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$5,329.64; 37 corrugated pipe culverts no headwalls costing \$1,422.73; 2 woodpile bridges costing \$520.85.

#### DES MOINES COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$23,355.79 of which \$8,160.94 or 35% was spent for permanent work; \$2,103.65 or 9% was spent for temporary work; \$1,737.56 or 7.3% was spent for repairs; \$5,143.11 or 22.1% was spent for maintenance; \$4,989.55 or 21.4% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,220.98 or 5.2% was spent for special cases.

2.12 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$7,160.60. No roads were built to temporary grade. 30.7 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,095.25. 2 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$356.69.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$84.16 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$289.00.

Of the 81 miles in the county road system, 81 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 16.20 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 13 townships was \$28,284.54.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$30,665.98 of which \$19,114.60 or 62.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,858.13 or 6.1% was spent for repairs; \$4,126.43 or 13.44% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,520.14 or 8.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$19.05 or .06% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,027.63 or 9.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$19,114.60 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$19,114.60 or 100% was spent for permanent work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 7 concrete box culverts costing \$3,837.07; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$487.56; 20 concrete pipe culverts, headwalls, costing \$3,418.56; 1 cast iron pipe culvert, headwalls, costing \$194.48, 2 concrete deck girders costing \$4,251.42; 2 pony trusses concrete abutments costing \$6,925.51.

#### DICKINSON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

180

The total county road expenditure was \$44,110.05 of which \$21,025.70 or 47.7% was spent for permanent work; \$7,677.47 or 17.4% was spent for repairs; \$11,817.31 or 26.8% was spent for maintenance; \$1,024.97 or 2.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,564.60 or 5.8% was spent for special cases.

5.9 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$5,624.27. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade. 7.6 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$11,065.76.

The county road system was dragged an average of 30 times, the average cost of dragging being \$2.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$174.95 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$397.00.

Of the 111 miles in the county road system, 110 were patrolled, there being 11 districts with an average length of 10 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 9 of the 12 townships was \$23,057.61.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$41,951.54 of which \$33,750.99 or 80.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,157.25 or 2.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$2,711.15 or 6.5% was spent for repairs; \$2,192.93 or 5.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$500.00 or 1.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,391.72 or 3.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$247.50 or 0.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$34,908.24 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$33,750.99 or 96.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,157.25 or 3.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 25 concrete box culverts costing \$9,661.92; 86 circular concrete culverts costing \$10,078.15; 1 concrete abutment costing \$476.66; 4 I-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$6.567.00; 3 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$6,967.26, and 2 wood pile bridges costing \$1,157.25.

# ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### DUBUQUE COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$106,682.40 of which \$72,649.19 or 68.0% was spent for permanent work; \$3,270.02 or 3.1% was spent for temporary work; \$17,541.46 or 16.4% was spent for repairs; \$6,664.90 or 6.3% was spent for maintenance; \$5,282.49 or 5.0% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,274.34 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

6 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,410.25. No roads were built to temporary grade. 8 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,763.60. 5 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$33,361.97 and 1.8 miles were surfaced with brick at a cost of \$34,297.41.

The brick surfacing referred to above was started in 1917 and consisted of 3.23 miles of monolithic brick pavement 18 feet wide on what is known as the Dubuque, Sageville and Luxumberg road extending from the city limits of Dubuque to the town of Sageville. During 1917 an amount of \$42,650.85 was expended, all the grading and tiling being completed together with approximately 8,000 feet of the paving.

The project was completed in October, 1918, and final estimates given which will show a total cost of \$98,710.88. Approximately ten per cent of this amount has been withheld to cover the cost of replacing some defective work done in 1917.

The gravelling and permanent grading referred to above includes the cost of finishing the improvement of the Hawkeye Highway between Dubuque and Dyersville.

This work was started in 1915 but on account of advanced prices due to war conditions work by the contractor was abandoned in the fall of 1917.

In 1918 the work was finished on the basis of cost plus profit fee.

Five miles of road were gravelled and about three miles reshaped and graded at a total cost of \$39,298.85.

With the completion of this work there is now between Dubuque and Dyersville a 28-mile stretch of permanently graded road with a two course gravel surface which will allow traffic for 365 days a year.

The county road system was dragged an average of 50 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$140.23 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$620.00.

Of the 172 miles in the county road system no portion was reported as under patrol.

The township road expenditure as shown by reports from 4 of the 17 townships was \$12,471.90.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$84,119.99 of which \$48,860.35 or 58.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$927.23 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$15,535.95 or 18.5% was spent for repairs; \$385.98 or 0.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$8,759.27 or 10.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,160.92 or 8.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,490.29 or 3.0% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount of \$49,787.58 spent for new bridges and culverts \$48,860.35 or 98.1% was spent for permanent work and \$927.23 or 1.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 28 concrete box culverts costing \$12,914.64; 1 corrugated pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$95.00; 1 concrete arch costing \$32,086.84; 3 concrete abutments costing \$3,763.87; 8 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$228.86; 1 wood pile bridge costing \$669.37; and 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$29.00.

#### EMMET COUNTY.

#### Roads.

182

The total county road expenditure was \$48,456.87 of which \$41,223.43 or 85.0% was spent for permanent work; \$1,479.20 or 3.1% was spent for repairs; \$5,344.52 or 11.0% was spent for maintenance; \$184.89 or 0.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$224.83 or 0.5% was spent for special cases. 20.15 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$22,389.70. 18.8 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$18,638.99.

The county road system was dragged an average of 16 miles, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$64.37 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$457.00.

Of the 106 miles in the county road system, 68 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 11.33 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 12 of the 12 townships was \$32,734.79.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$19,900.75. of which \$8,296.83 or 41.7% was spent for bridges and culverts; \$2,242.85 or 11.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$1,245.83 or 6.1% was spent for repairs; \$527.86 or 2.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,967.62 or 30.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$840.85 or 4.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$778.91 or 3.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$10,539.68 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$8,296.83 or 78.7% was spent for permanent work; \$2,242.85 or 21.3% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last referred to were spent on the following construction:

10 concrete box culverts costing \$3,312.15; 25 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,919.58; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,788.89; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$276.21; 5 wood pile bridges costing \$1,590.29; miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$652.56.

# FAYETTE COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$51,379.45 of which \$7,645.95 or 14.9% was spent for permanent work; \$8,464.51 or 16.5% was spent for temporary work; \$2,904.01 or 5.6% was spent for repairs; \$11,002.96 or 21.4% was spent for maintenance; \$11,655.79 or 22.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$9,706.23 or 18.9% was spent for special cases. Twenty-five hundredths of a mile was built to a permanent grade at a cost of \$337.65. 1 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$5,143.08. 35 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$8,464.51. 3 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$1,799.12.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile the round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$68.84 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$254.00.

Of the 202 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 10 districts with an avverage length of 20.2 miles.

The total township road expenditures as shown by reports from all of the 20 townships was \$48,047.80.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$60,521.75, of which \$35,031.91 or 57.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$656.44 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,796.54 or 24.5% was spent for repairs; \$4,046.11 or 6.7% was spent for culvert materials for townships; \$1,209.98 or 2.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$446.35 or 0.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$4,324.42 or 7.1% was spent for special cases. Of the total amount \$35,688.35 spent for new bridges and culverts,\$35,031.91 or 98.2% was spent for permanent work; \$656.44 or 1.8% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last referred to were spent on the following construction:

22 concrete box culverts costing \$9,780.36; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,542.15; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$12,913.16; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$5,709.60; 1 high steel truss on concrete abutments costing \$5,086.64; 32 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$656.44.

# FLOYD COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$34,047.27 of which \$12,716.55 or 37.3% was spent for permanent work; \$1,226.54 or 3.6% was spent for temporary work; \$5,690.76 or 16.7% was spent for repairs; \$8,273.38 or 24.3% was spent for maintenance; \$5,597.26 or 16.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$542.78 or 1.6% was spent for special cases.

7½ miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$6,444.00. No roads were built to temporary grade. 6 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,226.54. 4.28 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$3,472.50.

The county road system was dragged an average of 44 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$96.71 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$236.00.

Of the 144 miles in the county road system, 144 miles were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 18 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$35,828,45.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$42,671.61 of which \$22,670.90 or 53.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$7,945.54 or 18.7% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,9566.55 or 9.3% was spent for repairs; \$1,000.00 or 2.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,350.21 or 12.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,341.25 or 3.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$407,16 or 1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$30,616.44 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$22,670.90 or 74% was spent for permanent work, and \$7,945.54 or 26% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 53 concrete box culverts costing \$16,809.63; 1 corrugated pipe culvert, headwalls, costing \$184.32; 5 concrete slab bridges costing \$3,267.56; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$72.90: 3 I-beam spans concrete abutments costing \$2,258.29; 1 pony truss concrete abutment costing \$78.20; 1 pony truss on piling costing \$576.63, and 20 wood pile bridges costing \$7,368.91.

# Roads.

#### FRANKLIN COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$49,080.48 of which \$21,796.94 or 44.4% was spent for permanent work; \$3,223.75 or 6.6% was spent for temporary work; \$8,121.88 or 16.6% was spent for repairs; \$4,453.14 or 9.2% was spent for maintenance; \$1,392.03 or 2.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$10,092.74 or 20.4% was spent for special cases.

11.37 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$10,055.66. No roads were built to temporary grade. 14 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,223.75. 10.25 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$11,470.40.

The county road system was dragged an average of 32 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$68.07 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$267.00.

Of the 184 miles in the county road system, 9 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 9 miles.

The total township expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$47,783.17.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$54,159.95 of which \$32,384.32 or 59.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$364.06 or .7% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,456.09 or 13.8% was spent for repairs; \$4,051.46 or 7.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$7,180.40 or 13.3 was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,827.27 or 3.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$896.35 or 1.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$32,748.38 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,384.32 or 98.9% was spent for permanent work and \$364.06 or 1.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 29 concrete box culverts costing \$16,528.72; 2 concrete slab bridges costing \$6,214.51; 1 concrete abutment and floor costing \$211.41; 2 I-beam spans concrete abutments costing \$1,749.19; 8 pony trusses concrete abutments costing \$7,680.49, and 13 corrugated pipe culverts, no headwalls, costing \$364.06.

# FREMONT COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$25,010.50 of which \$9,145.36 or '36.5% was spent for repairs; \$12,961.07 or 51.8% was spent for maintenance; \$555.81 or 2.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,348.26 or 9.4% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent, temporary or natural grade, and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 2 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile the round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$143.20 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$162.00.

Of the 154 miles in the county road system, 154 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 51.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$34,188.38.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$90,520.32 of which \$19,245.41 or 21.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$24,854.46 or 27.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,543.42 or 16% was spent for repairs; ;\$5,619.00 or 6.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$20,472.45 or 22.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$231.70 or .3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$44,099.87 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$19,245.41 or 43.6% was spent for permanent work, and \$24,854.46 or 56.4% was spent for temporary work.

ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 15 concrete box culverts costing \$15,486.12; 19 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$3,373.45; 4 headwalls costing \$385.84; 57 wood pile bridges costing \$23,858.63, and 18 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$995.83.

#### Roads.

#### GREENE COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$24,057.95 of which \$11,548.23 or 48.1% was spent for permanent work; \$2,056.80 or 8.6 was spent for temporary work; \$2,635.08 or 10.9% was spent for repairs; \$4,478.03 or 18.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,338.06 or 5.5% was spent for special cases.

1.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$1,257.36. 9.75 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,056.80, and 10.5 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$9,359.53. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 17.3 times, the avverage cost of dragging being \$0.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$53.69 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$181.75.

Of the 132 miles in the county road system, 38 were patrolled, there being 4 districts with an average length of 9.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 15 townships was \$55,707.38.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$66,793.86 of which \$39,491.24 or 59.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$949.15 or 1.4% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$12,126.04 or 18.1% was spent for repairs; \$1,455.08 or 2.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$10,564.53 or 15.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,777.69 or 2.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$430.13 or 0.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$40,440.39 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$39,491.24 or 97.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$949.15 or 2.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 18 concrete box culverts costing \$9,172.39; 59 circular concrete culverts costing \$7,647.34; 14 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$720.31; 2 headwalls costing \$123.34; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$2,509.68; 4 concrete deck girders costing \$19,318.18; 5 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls cotsing \$48.18; 2 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$32.02, and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$868.95.

### GRUNDY COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$19,063.75 of which \$1,119.27 or 5.9% was spent for permanent work! \$3,810.96 or 20.0% was spent for temporary work; \$4,252.04 or 22.3% was spent for repairs; \$4,255.56 or 22.3% was spent for maintenance, and \$5,625.92 or 29.5% was spent for equipment and unused material.

.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$234.60, and 18 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,810.96. There were no roads built to temporary grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 33 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$53.85 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$120.50.

Of the 158 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 9 of the 14 townships was \$35,287.08.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$100,094.43 of which \$52,897.08 or 52.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$9,950.94 or 10.0% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$8,299.38 or 8.3% was spent for repairs; \$3,325.60 or 3.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$14,345.61 or 14.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$4,035.25 or 4.0% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$7,240.57 or 7.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$62,848.02 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$52,897.08 or 84.1% was spent for permanent work, and \$9,950.94 or 15.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 68 concrete box culverts costing \$32,654.82; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$410.93; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$10,431.61; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$9,399.72; 35 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing\$1,148.94, and 7 wood pile bridges costing \$8,802.00.

# GUTHRIE COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$33,295.26 of which \$9,153.07 or 27.5% was spent for permanent work; \$5,268.86 15.8% was spent for temporary work; \$6,360.08 or 19.1% was spent for repairs; \$7,964.43 or 23.9% was spent for maintenance; \$3,905.07 or 11.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$643.75 or 2.0% was spent for special cases.

1.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$5,840.01. 0.32 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$2,744.04. 11.54 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,124.55. 0.5 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$424.17.

The county road system was dragged an average of 20 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$73.08 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$170.00. Of the 196 miles in the county road system, 60 were patrolled, there being 4 districts with an average length of 15 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 17 townships was \$32,402.65.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$83,499.50 of which \$51,228.96 or 61.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6,788.56 or 8.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,591.44 or 9.1% was spent for repairs; \$4,390.98 or 5.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,468.88 or 2.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$9,051.79 or 10.8% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,978.89 or 2.4% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$58,017.52 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$51,228.96 or 88.3% was spent for permanent work, and \$6,788.56 or 11,7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 51 concrete box culverts costing \$36,184.99; 12 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$1,647.05; 3 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$308.80; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$557.06; 4 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$9,254.07; 2 high steel trusses with concrete abutments costing \$3,276.99; 1 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$41.00; 8 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$460.74; 10 wood pile bridges and culverts costing \$5,770.68; 45 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$516.14.

#### HAMILTON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$105,239.69 of which \$83,038.20 or 79.0% was spent for permanent work; \$4,519.14 or 4.3% was spent for temporary work; \$2,977.97 or 2.8% was spent for repairs; \$7,089.10 or 6.7% was spent for maintenance; \$4,216.30 or 4.0% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$3,398.98 or 3.2% was spent for special cases.

30.47 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$35,313.79. 46 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,519.14. 25.81 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$44,665.19. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 31 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$52.06 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$54.40.

Of the 193.0 miles in the county road system, 12 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 12 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 12 of the 17 townships was \$36,757.23.

# Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$70,873.73 of which \$49,865.51 or 70.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,814.26 or 3.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,624.52 or 19.4% was spent for repairs; \$1,092.86 or 1.5% was spent for culvert material for townships, and \$3,476.58 or 4.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$52,679.77 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$49,865.51 or 94.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$2.814.26 or 5.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 38 concrete box culverts costing \$19,370.15; 5 circular concrete culverts costing \$724.27; 1 corrugated pipe with headwalls costing \$158.30; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$6,291.61; 1 concrete thru girder costing \$4,441.80; 4 concrete deck girders costing \$8,266.64; 5 I-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$10,545.20; 1 high steel truss with concrete abutments costing \$67.54, and 119 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,814.26.

#### HANCOCK COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$30,356.93 of which \$8,918.29 or 29.0% was spent for permanent work; \$1,308.15 or 4.3% was spent for temporary work; \$2,090,37 or 6.9% was spent for repairs; \$13,723.20 or 45.6% was spent for maintenance; \$2,602.12 or 8.6% was spent for aquipment and unused material; \$1,714.80 or 5.6% was spent for special cases. 4 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$3,661.75. 13 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,308.15. 3.5 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$3,978.76.

The county road system was dragged an average of 37 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.11 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was 94.90 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$183.00.

Of the 166 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average length of 23.6 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 8 of the 16 townships was \$23,730.23.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$59,212.60 of which \$41,353,81 or 69.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,223.50 or 3.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$8,422.55 or 14.3% was spent for repairs; \$5,240.63 or 8.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$466.22 or 0.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$178.90 or 0.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$1,326.99 or 2.2% was spent for special cases\_ Of the total amount \$43,577.31 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$41,353.81 or 94.9% was spent for permanent work; \$2,223.50 or 5.1% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction:

52 concrete box culverts costing \$23,551.85; 6 circular concrete culverts costing \$817.20; 1 concrete pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$4.65; 6 concrete slab bridges costing \$12,777.79; 1 I-beam span with concrete abutments costing \$4,194.82; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$750.00; 15 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls cosing \$335.76; 7 wood pile bridges costing \$1,887.74.

#### HARDIN COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$57,877.13 of which \$37,129.12 or 62.4% was spent for permanent work; \$285.01 or 0.5% was spent for temporary work; \$3,228.28 or 5.6% was spent for repairs; \$9,066.69 or 15.6% was spent for maintenance; \$1,188.77 or 2.0% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$6,979.26 or 12.1% was spent for special cases.

24.10 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$33,927.66. There were no roads built to temporary grade. 2 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$220.00. 5.25 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$3,147.72.

The county road system was dragged an average of 23 times, the average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$68.96 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$325.50.

Of the 178 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 13 districts with an average length of 13.7 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 15 townships was \$40,889.21.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was 104.982.01 of which 83.571.63 or 79.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; 7.379.26 or 6.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; 4.874.85 or 4.7% was spent for repairs; 5.305.40 or 5.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; 3.465.14 or 3.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; 79.68 or 0.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$90,950.89 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$83,571.63 or 92% was spent for permanent work, and \$7,379.26 or 8.0% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 68 concrete box culverts costing \$33,404.92; 64 circular concrete culverts costing \$7,637.25; 5 concrete slab bridges costing \$7,414.25; 3 concrete thru girders costing \$3,777.99; 5 concrete deck girders costing \$24,245.95; 5 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$1,199.93; 1 steel girder with concrete abutments costing \$72.00; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$5,819.34; 17 wood pile bridges costing \$7,209.45, and 2 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$169.81.

#### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

### HARRISON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$31,055.84 of which \$2,368.60 or 7.6% was spent for permanent work; \$9,547.80 or 30.8% was spent for temporary work; \$6,876.86 or 22.1% was spent for repairs; \$7,191.38 or 23.1% was spent for maintenance; \$995.62 or 3.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$4,075.58 or 13.2% was spent for special cases.

1.65 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,368.60. There were no roads built to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 17.4 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,547.80.

The county road system was dragged an average of 20 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$83.74 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$184.50.

Of the 168 miles in the county road system, 168 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 56 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 20 townships was \$45,358.54.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$72,698.35 of which \$30,297.70 or 41.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$3,304.62 or 4.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$24,086,37 or 33.2% was spent for repairs; \$1,331.94 or 1.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,400.37 or 3.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$562.80 or .8% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$10,714.55 or 14.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$33,602.32 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$30,297.70 or 90.2% was spent for permanent work; \$3,304.62 was spent for temporary work.

The amount last above referred to was spent on the following construction: 1 concrete box culvert costing \$1,965.90; 32 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$8,692.27; 2 concrete abutments costing \$479.27; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$245.12; 7 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$18,915.14; 4 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$569.50; 2 pony trusses on piling with wood floor costing \$1,075.00, and 4 wood pile bridges costing \$1,660.12.

# HENRY COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$23,548.18 of which \$4,615.32 or 19.6 was spent for permanent work; \$3,461.96 or 14.7 was spent for repairs; \$13,564.66 or 57.6% was spent for maintenance; \$866.69 or 3.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,039.55 or 4.4% was spent for special cases.

1 mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$4,418.95. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade, and none were surfaced. The county road system was dragged an average of 30 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$119.65 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$165.50.

Of the 142 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average length of 20,3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 10 of the 12 townships was \$22,877.48.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$53,314.63 of which \$45,232.85 or 85% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,126.48 or 2.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$2,318.82 or 4.3% was spent for repairs; \$407.12 or 0.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,277.76 or 4.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,835.10 or 3.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$116.50 or 0.2% was spent for speci 1 cases.

Of the amount \$46,359.33 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$45,232.85 or 97.5% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,126.48 or 2.5% was spent for temporary work

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 108 concrete box culverts costing \$30,424.08; 11 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,327.12; 8 concrete slab bridges costing \$8,779.84; 1 concrete abutment costing \$1,636.50; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,065.31, and 32 corrugated pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$1,126.48.

# HOWARD COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$21,870.99 of which \$12,407.14 or 56.7% was spent for permanent work; \$1,803.49 or 8.3% was spent for temporary work; \$829.35 or 3.8% was spent for repairs; \$4.488.49 or 20.5 was spent for maintenance; \$1,094.23 or 5.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,248.29 or 5.7% was spent for special cases.

7 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$8,646.37. There were no roads built to temporary grade, and none were surfaced. 11 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,803.49

The county road system was dragged an average of 19 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$43.48 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$179.00.

Of the 122 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 40.66 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$21,090.44.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 were \$40,465.87 of which \$18,290.06 or 45.2% was spent for permanent bridges

# ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

and culverts; \$9,247.70 or 22.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,550.33 or 8.8% was spent for repairs; \$2,987.28 or 7.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,228.31 or 12.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,142.19 or 2.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$20.00 or 0.1% was spent for special cases

Of the amount \$27,537.76 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$18,290.06 or 66.4% was spent for permanent work, and \$9,247.70 or 33.6% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent in the following construction: 19 concrete box culverts costing \$8,256.02; 8 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,117.07; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,558.86; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$6,938.57; 1 high steel truss with concrete abutments costing \$419.54; 4 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$64.34, and 36 wood pile bridges costing \$9,183.36.

#### HUMBOLDT COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$45,868.25 of which \$36,925.17 or \$0.5% was spent for permanent work; \$815.60 or 1.8% was spent for \$6,864.82 or 15% was spent for maintenance; \$305.41 or 0.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$957.25 or 2.0% was spent for special case.

25.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$22,709.13. There were no roads built to temporary grade or natural grade. 17.3 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$13,363.65.

The county road system was dragged an average of 28 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$57.53 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$345.00.

Of the 133 miles in the county road system, 100 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average of 20 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 12 of the 12 townships was \$37,867.36

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,518.54 of which \$40,074.89 or 78.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,261.97 or 2.5% was spent for repairs; \$5,287.26 or 10.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,704.48 or 5.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$809.54 or 1.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$380.40 was spent for special cases.

Of the amount \$40,074.89 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$40,074.89 or 100% was spent for permanent work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 35 concrete box culverts costing \$17,462.40; 6 circular concrete culverts costing \$849.60; 3 headwalls costing \$180.00; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$7,805.25; 3 concrete abutments costing \$3,273.40; 1 con-

crete thru girder costing \$440.10; 1 retaining wall costing \$100.60; 41-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$2.846.79, and 2 pony trasses with concrete abutments costing \$7,116.75.

#### IDA COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$12,557.52 of which \$34.00 or 0.3% was spent for temporary work; \$6,362.41 or 50.7% was spent repairs; \$4,324.94 or 34.4% was spent for maintenance; \$1,353.66 or 103% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$482.51 or 3.3% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade, none to temporary grade and none surfaced. 1 mile was built to natural grade at a cost of \$3400.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$80.96 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$95.00.

Of the 132 miles in the county road system, 132 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 26.4 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$25,470.52

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,292.49 of which \$23,022.65 or 45.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$8,096.40 or 16.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9.753.90 or 19.4% was spent for repairs; \$2,313.84 or 4.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,129.88 or 6.2% was spent for equiment and unused material; \$3,729.63 or 7.4% was spent for filing bridges and culverts, and \$246.19 or 0.5% was spent for special cases.

Of the amount \$31,119.05 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$23,022 % or 74.1% was spent for permanent work; \$8,096.40 or 25.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 18 concrete box culverts costing \$20,138,03; 1 pony trues with concrete abutments costing \$2,884,62; 1 concrete pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$2,280; 36 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,071.10; 3 1-beam spans on piling abutments costing \$2,577.31 and 4 wood pile bridges costing \$2,425.12.

#### IOWA COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$36,424.24 of which \$1,834.54 or 2.8% was spent for permanent work; \$2,616.71 or 7.2% was spent for temporary work; \$8,191.83 or 22.5% was spent for repairs; \$18,858.46 or 51% was spent for maintenance; \$6,000.66 or 16.5% was spent for equipment and unused material.

#### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

0.25 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$128.40. No roads were built to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 16.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,616.71.

The county road system was dragged an average of 47 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$151.68 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$206.80.

Of the 176 miles in the county road system, 176 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 19.5 miles.

The total township expenditure as shown by reports from 17 of the 18 townships was \$37,514.50.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$161,465.13 of which \$109,813.73 or 68.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,596.59 or 2.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$23,780.66 or 14.7% was spent for repairs; \$7,943.73 or 4.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$13,445.89 or 8.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$972.86 or .6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$911.67 or .6% was spent for special cases.

Of the amount \$114,410.32 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$109.813.73 or 96% was spent for permanent work and \$4,596.59 or 4% was spent for temporary work

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 45 concrete box culverts costing \$48,374.50; 1 concrete pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$107.40; 1 headwall costing \$315.60; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$8,821.79; 1 pair concrete abutments costing \$4,940.32; 9 1-beam spans concrete abutments costing \$24,659.78; 5 pony trusses concrete abutments costing \$21,594.34; 37 corrugated pipe culverts, no headwalls, costing \$1,200.69; 1 pony truss on piling costing \$3,109.80; one wood pile bridge costing \$175.00; 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$11.10.

#### JACKSON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$22,246.53 of which \$3,884.00or 17.4% was spent for permanent work; \$10,933.61 or 49.2 was spent for repairs; \$5,023.85 or 22.6% was spent for maintenance; \$775.07 or 3.5% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,629.96 or 7.3%was spent for special cases.

L16 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$3,854,00. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 40 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.78 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$101.32 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$141.59.

Of the 157 miles in the county road system, there was no mileage reported as patrolled. The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 18 townships was \$27,124.99.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was 666,698.55 of which 335,066.37 or 52.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; 1,255.21 or 1.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; 27,324.47 or 41.0% was spent for repairs; 70.76 or 0.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; 20.40 or 0.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; 2,128.29 or 3.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and 831.05 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$36,321.58 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$35,066.37 or 96.6% was spent for permanent work and \$1,255.21 or 3.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 45 concrete box culverts costing \$20,600.96; 25 circular concrete culverts costing \$5,231.69; 2 corrugated pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$514.50; 1 masonry arch culvert costing \$367.35; 2 headwalls costing \$852.00; 1 concrete abutment costing \$13.00; 1 retaining wall costing \$521.93; 2 masonry abutments costing \$1,814.60; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,184.25; 2 pony trusses on concrete abutments costing \$1,965.59; 2 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$49.60; 3 wood pile bridges costing \$840.94, and miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$364.67.

#### JASPER COUNTY.

#### Roads.

# 1. 「三周國書

The total county road expenditure was \$62,132.60 of which \$14,225.02 or 22.9% was spent for permanent work; \$9,795.38 or 15.8% was spent for temporary work; \$14,704.85 or 23.7% was spent for repairs; \$13,048.04 or 21.0% was spent for maintenance; \$7,676.32 or 12.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,682.99 or 4.3% was spent for special cases.

2.0 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$7,400.00. 3.0 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$6,700.00 52.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,599.77. There were no roads surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 18 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$135.51 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$304.00.

Of the 204 miles in the county road system, 160 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 20 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the townships was \$61,799.59.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$156.044.64 of which \$80,583.36 or 51.7% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,316.43 or 2.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$36,401.11 or 23.4% was spent for repairs; \$17,116.87 or 10.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$484.14 or 0.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$16,343.43 or 10.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$599.30 or 0.4% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$84,899.79 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$80,583.36 or 94.9% was spent for permanent work and \$4,316.43 or 5.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 65 concrete box culverts costing \$39,939.77; 3 boiler pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$991.66; 16 concrete slab bridges costing \$20,466.19; 4 retaining walls costing \$792.60; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,225.86; 3 pony trusses on concrete abutments costing \$9,777.78; 1 high steel truss on concrete abutments costing \$5,389.50, and 62 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$4,316.43.

#### JEFFERSON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$22,545.60 of which \$2,373.19 or 10.5% was spent for permanent work; \$3,773.50 or 16.8% was spent for temporary work; \$2,420.63 or 10.7% was spent for repairs; \$8,884.08 or 39.4% was spent for maintenance; \$5,044.20 or 22.4% was spent for equipment or unused material, and \$50,00 or 0.2% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade, none built to temporary grade and none surfaced. 27.8 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,474.46.

The county road system was dragged an average of 29 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$80.01 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$159.20.

Of the 141 miles in the county road system, 141 were patrolled, there being 2 districts with an average length of 70.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$37,840.33.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$41,628.46 of which \$17,393.29 or 41.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,042.26 or 4.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,247.95 or 17.4% was spent for repairs; \$5,125.66 or 12.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,714.13 or 11.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,057.05 or 5.0% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,048.12 or 7.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$19,435.55 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$17,393.29 or 89.5% was spent for permanent work; \$2,042.26 or 10.5% Was spent for temporary work.

ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 22 concrete box culverts costing \$11,054.60; 2 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$315.69; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$6,023.00; 30 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,608.48, and 3 wood pile bridges costing \$433.78.

#### JOHNSON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

198

The total county road expenditure was \$31,911.14 of which \$120.90 or 0.4% was spent for permanent work; \$12,447.70 or 39% was spent for temporary work; \$2,981.62 or 9.3% was spent for repairs; \$14,342.43 or 45% was spent for maintenance; \$1,667.32 or 5.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$351.17 or 1.1% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade, and none were surfaced. 60.7 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$12,447.70.

The county road system was dragged an average of 31 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$100.14 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$184.50.

Of the 173 miles in the county road system, 173 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 21.6 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 21 townships was \$34,700.37.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$60,421.78 of which \$27,357.66 or 45.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,510.62 or 9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$10,157.45 or 16.8% was spent for repairs; \$7,692.68 or 12.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,934.18 or 4.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$6,030.19 or 10% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$739.00 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$32,868.28 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$27,357.66 or 83.3% was spent for permanent work, and \$5,510.62 or 16.7% was spent for temporary work

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 27 concrete box culverts costing \$11,410.20; 18 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,376.02; 1 headwall costing \$26.94. 4 I-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$6,981.74; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$6,562.76; 48 corrugated pipe culverts with no headwalls costing \$2,686.46, and 139 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$2,824.16.

#### JONES COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$38,744.46 of which \$3.776.89 or 9.8% was spent for permanent work; \$11,048.51 or 28.5% was spent for

temporary work; \$8,087.56 or 20.8% was spent for repairs; \$11,063.04 or 28.5% was spent for maintenance; \$3,974.71 or 10.2% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$793.75 or 2.2% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade, and none were surfaced. 1.1 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$3,451.54. \$2 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$8,530.70.

The county road system was dragged an average of 29 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$103.51 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$209.20.

Of the 185 miles in the county road system, 165 were patrolled, there being 11 districts with an average length of 15 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$41,189.32.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,219.76 of which \$25,947.97 or 51.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,951.50 or 9.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,868.03 or 27.7% was spent for repairs; \$1,800.31 or 3.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,172.95 or 6.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$327.50 or 0.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$151.50 or 0.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$30,899.47 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$25,947.97 or 83.9% was spent for permanent work, and \$4,951.50 or 16.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 14 concrete box culverts costing \$8,325.08; 1 masonry box culvert costing \$105.10; 4 headwalls costing \$473.50; 8 I-beam spans with concrete abutments costing \$17,044.29; 14 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$592.00, and 4 wood pile bridges costing \$4,359.50.

#### KEOKUK COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$16,816.65 of which \$101.97 or 0.6% was spent for permanent work; \$2,258.43 or 13.4% was spent for temporary work; \$3,861.64 or 23% was spent for repairs; \$9,383.58 or 55.8% was spent for maintenance; \$1,170.98 or 7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$40.05 or 0.2% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade and none were surfaced. 31 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,258.43.

The county road system was dragged an average of 17 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$79.53 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$100.50.

#### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

Of the 167 miles in the county road system, 162 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 18 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 17 townships was \$35,890.60.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$82,607.09 of which \$52,950.89 or 64.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,485.65 or 1.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,098.01 or 17.1% was spent for repairs; \$2,088.01 or 2.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,214.98 or 11.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,769.55 or 3.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts.

Of the total amount \$54,436.54 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$52,950.89 or 97.3% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,485.65 or 2.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 39 concrete box culverts costing \$37,068.90; 13 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$2,880.33; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$13,001.66; 18 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,405.63, and miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$80.02.

#### Roads.

### KOSSUTH COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$45,253.24, of which \$21,041.52 or 46.5% was spent for permanent work; \$1,575.50 or 3.5% was spent for temporary work; \$6,146.91 or 13.6% was spent for repairs; \$13,287.27 or 29.4% was spent for maintenance; \$1,300.26 or 2.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,901.76 or 4.1% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to temporary grade. 7.41 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$12,945.30. 10 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,575.50. 6.53 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$7,363.67.

The county road system was dragged an average of 32 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.97 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$69.41 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$161.30.

Of the 280 miles in the county road system, 280 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 56 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 28 townships was \$46,803.53.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$67,620.42 of which \$2,400.82 or 3.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$25,714 or 38.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,321.98 or 16.7% was spent for repairs; \$7,088.26 or 10.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$16,000.00 or 23.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,483.81 or 3.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,611.45 or 3.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$28,114.92 spent for new bridges and culverts. \$2,400.82 or 8.6% was spent for permanent work, and \$25,714.10 or 91.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 3 concrete box culverts, costing \$482.30; 16 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,918.52; 159 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,703.97; and 35 wood pile bridges costing \$23,010.13.

#### LEE COUNTY.

#### Roads,

The total county road expenditure was \$23,820.38, of which \$3,760.71 or 15.8% was spent for permanent work; \$7,133,49 or 29.9% was spent for temporary work; \$294.12 or 1.2% was spent for repairs; \$10,576.33 or 44.5% was spent for maintenance; \$2,055.73 or 8.6% was spent for equipment and unused material.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade. 36 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2.858.63. 25 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$1,157.89.

The county road system was dragged an average of 10 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$72.67 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$158.50.

Of the 150 miles in the county road system, 150 were patrolled, there being 23 districts with an average length of 6.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 15 townships was \$32,145.94.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$46,342.75 of which \$26,870.80 or 58.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$463.39 or 1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$5,383.80 or 11.5% was spent for repairs; \$2,464.56 or 5.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$11,035.45 or 23.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$124.75 or 0.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts.

Of the total amount \$27,334.19 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$26,870.80 or 98.5% was spent for permanent work, and \$463.39 or 1.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 8 concrete box culverts, costing \$3,477.09; 17 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,638.47; 13 boiler pipe culverts, with headwalls costing \$1,217.27; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$16,512.46; 10 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$208.25; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$3,025.51; 1 boiler pipe culvert costing \$71.60, and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$183.54. 202

#### Roads.

# LINN COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$71,400.03, of which \$26,562.50, or \$7.2% was spent for permanent work; \$5,837.68 or 8.1% was spent for temporary work; \$10,903.00 or 15.5% was spent for repairs; \$21,551.35 or 30.1% was spent for maintenance; \$4,402.22 or 6.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,144.28 or 3.0% was spent for special cases; 0.8 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$3,042.00. No roads were built to temporary grade. 37.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,757.97. 0.8 miles were surfaced with concrete at a cost of \$21,663.71.

The concrete paving and permanent grading reported above, the first project of the kind in the state, is located on the Lincoln Highway midway between Marion and Mt. Vernon. The Lincoln Highway Association furnished 3000 barrels of cement for the construction of this pavement, which was started in September 1918, but owing to war conditions was retarded so that the season closed with approximately 1000 ft. unfinished. This pavement is of single course reinforced concrete 16 feet wide.

The county road system was dragged an average of 47 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$148.33 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$327.50.

Of the 218 miles in the county road system, 168 were patrolled, there being 21 districts with an average length of 8 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 20 townships, was \$50,874.17.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$79,864.48, of which \$41,640.75 or 52.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$3,423.10 or 4.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$17,469.25 or 21.9% was spent for repairs; \$3,960.87 or 4.6% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,764.51 or 12.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$3,425.00 or 4.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$451.00 or 0.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$45,063.85 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$41,640.75 or 92.4% was spent for permanent work; \$3,423.10 or 7.6% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to, were spent on the following construction: 29 concrete box culverts, costing \$16,458.65; 7 circular concrete culverts, costing \$1,109.39; 2 corrugated pipe culverts (with headwalls) costing \$276.33; 2 boiler pipe culverts (with headwalls) costing \$523.50; 2 concrete slab bridges, costing \$6,483.28; 1 concrete deck girder, costing \$2,919.20; 4 I-beam spans on concrete abutments, costing \$11,498.90; 1 high steel truss-concrete abutment, costing \$2,371.50; 8 corrugated pipe (without headwalls) costing \$121.60; 1 pony truss on piling-wood floor, costing \$744.45; 6 wood pile bridges, costing \$2,557.05.

### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

#### LOUISA COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$23,303.02 of which \$2,767.49 or 11.8% was spent for permanent work; \$7,217.80 or 31.1% was spent for temporary work; \$458.02 or 1.9% was spent for repairs; \$9,085.70 or 39.0% was spent for maintenance; \$1,473.30 or 6.3% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$2,300.71 or 9.9% was spent for special cases.

0.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$557.75. There were no roads built to temporary grade. 79 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$7,187.42. 0.33 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$875.38.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$85.20 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$208.00.

Of the 112 miles in the county road system, 112 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of  $37\frac{1}{3}$  miles.

The total township expenditure as shown by reports from 10 of the 12 townships was \$28,086.72.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$30,347.89 of which \$7,632.49 or 25.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6,887.32 or 22.7% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,778.80 or 38.8% was spent for repairs; \$2,052.39 or 6.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$1,299.75 or 4.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$526.80 or 1.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$170.34 or 0.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$14,519.81 spent for new bridges and culverts \$7,632.49 or 52.5% was spent for permanent work and \$6,887.32 or 47.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 5 concrete box culverts, costing \$4,714.51; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$957.94; 1 cast iron pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$590.62; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$1,265.87; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$103.55; 3 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$145.60; 40 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,007.80; 10 wood pile bridges costing \$5,351.12 and miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$382.80.

# Roads.

# The total county road expenditure was \$15,515.93 of which \$4,013.97 or 25.9% was spent for repairs; \$7,674.64 or 49.4% was spent for maintenance; \$2,326.32 or 15.0% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$1,501.00 or 9.7% was spent for special cases.

LUCAS COUNTY.

No work of a permanent or temporary nature was done.

204

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$78.98 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$104.75.

Of the 148 miles in the county road system, 148 were patrolled, there being 11 districts with an average length of 131/2 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$22,449.66.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$54,044.04 of which \$24,435.70 or 45.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,129.59 or 3.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$4,962.84 or 9.2% spent for repairs; \$5,410.09 or 10.0% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,383.79 or 4.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$13,018.41 or 24.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$1,703.62 or 3.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$26,565.29 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$24,435.70 or 92.1% was spent for permanent work and \$2,129.59 or 7.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 27 concrete box culverts, costing \$15,622.34; 52 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$8,171.28; 2 cast iron pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$195.52; 1 retaining wall costing \$446.56; 34 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,503.54; 3 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$174.53; 1 boiler pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$9.00; 4 cast iron pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$278.26 and 2 wood pile bridges costing \$164.26.

#### Roads.

# LYON COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$17,418.11 of which \$3,285.75 or 18.9% was spent for permanent work; \$4,253.24 or 24.4% was spent for temporary work; \$1,672.75 or 9.7% was spent for repairs; \$3,362.28 or 19.3% was spent for maintenance; \$1,589.56 or 9.1% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$3,254.53 or 18.6% was spent for special cases.

0.25 of a mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$3,285.75. 23.4 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,253.24. There were no roads built to temporary grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 20 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$26.50 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$91.50.

Of the 190 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 18 townships was \$23,076.55.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$60,386.19 of which \$35,642.01 or 59.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$134.90 or 0.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,129.44 or 21.8% was spent for repairs; \$2,904.73 or 4.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$1,634.20 or 2.7% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$4,804.48 or 7.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,136.43 or 3.5% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$35,776.91 spent for new bridges and culverts. \$35,642.01 or 99.6% was spent for permanent work and \$134.90 or 0.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 18 concrete box culverts costing \$4.417.83; 2 concrete deck girders costing \$9,667.42; 6 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$4,160.60; 4 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$8,173.10; 3 high steel trusses on concrete abutments, costing \$9,223.06, and corrugated pipe culvert without headwalls, costing \$134.90.

# MADISON COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$23,555.46, of which \$7,285.79 or 31% was spent for temporary work; \$5,993.88 or 25.4% was spent for repairs: \$4.243.99 or 18% was spent for maintenance; \$4,505.79 or 19.1% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,526.01 or 6.5% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade and none were surfaced. 47.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,783.79.

The county road system was dragged an average of 16 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$63.19 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$145.00.

Of the 162 miles in the county road system, there were none reported as being patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 16 of the 16 townships was \$35,960.84.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was 68,020.49, of which 22,009.41 or 32.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,869.06 or 8.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$13,325.75 or 19.7% was spent for repairs; \$8,999.88 or 13.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,416.08 or 8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$10,869.80 or 15.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,530.51 or 2.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$27,878.47 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$22,009.41 or 79% was spent for permanent work, and \$5,869.06 or 21% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 31 concrete box culverts, costing \$20,572.28; 1 concrete pipe culvert costing \$524.84; 1 masonry box culvert costing \$818.17; 1 concrete abutment costing \$94.12; 1 concrete pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$225.02; 27 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,902.74; 1 I-beam span on piling abutments costing \$498.99 (one-half from Adair county), and 11 wood pile bridges costing \$3,442.31.

#### MAHASKA COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$38,144.61 of which \$8,815.60 or 23% was spent for permanent work; \$8,947.05 or 23.4% was spent for temporary work; \$4,906.96 or 12.9% was spent for repairs; \$11,125.00 or 29.4% was spent for maintenance; \$3,873.00 or 10% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$476.20 or 1.3% was spent for special cases.

3.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$7,782.20. 1 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$250.00. 33 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,402.50. No roads were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$103.39 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$245.50.

Of the 155 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 25.8 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 18 townships was \$45,896.92.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was 65,470.44 of which 31,604.87 or 48.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; 3.250.02 or 4.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; 6,665.40 or 10.4% was spent for repairs; 9,513.81 or 14.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; 9,252.69 or 14% was spent for equipment and unused material; 2,993.74 or 4.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and 2,189.91 or 3.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$34,854.89 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$31,604.87 or 90.7% was spent for permanent work, and \$3,250.02 or 9.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 22 concrete box culverts, costing \$16,757.49; 3 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls, costing \$496.35; 1 boiler pipe culvert costing \$90.00; 6 headwalls costing \$1,776.18; 2 concrete slab bridges costing \$2,982.15; 2 high steel trusses with concrete abutments costing \$9,502.70; 54 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$1,560.09; 39 boiler pipe culverts without headwalls, costing \$992.06; 3 wood pile bridges costing \$797.87.

#### MARION COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$84,426.26 of which \$48,432.12 or 57.5% was spent for permanent work; \$14,050.80 or 16.6% was spent for temporary work; \$3,939.16 or 4.6% was spent for repairs; \$8,268.77 or 9.8% was spent for maintenance; \$4,750.47 or 5.6% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$4,984.94 or 5.9% was spent for special cases.

14.7 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$47,961.74. No roads were built to temporary grade or surfaced. 43.9 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$14,050.80.

The county road system was dragged an average of 31 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.86 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$71.87 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$500.00.

Of the 169 miles in the county road system, 47 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 15.66 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 15 townships was \$34,030.97.

#### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$70,186.34 of which \$36,213.24 or 51.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$812.00 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$4,260.52 or 6.1% was spent for repairs; \$4,409.88 or 6.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$11,413.98 or 16.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$11,961.06 or 17.0% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$1,115.66 or 1.6% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$37,025.24 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$36,213.24 or 97.6% was spent for permanent work and \$812.00 or 2.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 36 concrete box culverts costing \$25,939.59; 17 circular concrete culverts costing \$3,533.96; 1 cast iron pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$120.90; 1 headwall costing \$20.80; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$1,020.00; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$5,577.99; 2 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$632.00; 10 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$180.00.

# MARSHALL COUNTY.

#### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$69,018.66, of which \$48,290.60 or 70.0% was spent for permanent work; \$1,728.14 or 2.5% was spent for temporary work; \$2,197.10 or 3.2% was spent for repairs; \$13,655.26 or 19.8% was spent for maintenance, and \$3,147.51 or 4.5% was spent for equipment and unused material.

14.0 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$47,722.00. No roads were built to temporary grade. 23.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,728.14. 0.74 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$471.40.
The county road system was dragged an average of 42 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$83.85 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$364.50.

Of the 189 miles in the county road system, 189 were patrolled, there being 11 districts with an average length of 17.2 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 18 townships was \$44,718.75.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$165,334.99, of which \$134,929.22 or \$1.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,810.97 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,648.10 of 7.1% was spent for repairs; \$3,228.08 or 1.9% was spent for culvers material for townships; \$3,473.51 or 2.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,824.55 or 5.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,420.56 or 0.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$136,740.19 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$134,929.22 or 98.6% was spent for permanent work, and \$1,810.97 or 1.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 98 concrete box culverts costing \$64,593.51; 15 circular concrete culverts costing \$3,848.17; 1 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$186.85; 5 headwalls costing \$466.07; 8 concrete slab bridges costing \$19,970.23; 1 concrete arch bridge costing \$16,010.00; 5 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$16.888.71; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$3,444.28; 1 high steel truss with concrete abutments costing \$9,521.40; 5 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$267.30; 6 cast iron pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$474.56; 1 wood pile bridge costing \$556.56, and 13 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$512.55.

### Roads.

### MILLS COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$29,242.84 of which \$5,828.98 or 19.9% was spent for temporary work; \$9,701.25 or 33.2% was spent for repairs; \$7,257.61 or 24.8% was spent for maintenance; \$5,830.00 or 20.0% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$625.00 or 2.1% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade, and none were surfaced. 51.4 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,828.98.

The county road system was dragged an average of 12 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$154.17 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$265.00.

Of the 110 miles in the county road system, 108 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 13.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 10 of the 13 townships was \$17,474.80.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$90,-194.32 of which \$20,768.57 or 23.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$18,169.05 or 20.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$12,812.90 or 14.2% was spent for repairs; \$3,358.48 or 3.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$20,472.61 or 22.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$6,571.85 or 7.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$7,940.86 or 8.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$38,937.62 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$20,768.57 or 53.3% was spent for permanent work and \$18,169.05 or 46.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 11 concrete box culverts costing \$16,187.31; 12 concrete pipes with headwalls, costing \$3,306.50; 3 headwalls on culverts previously constructed, costing \$1,274.76; 2 pony trusses on pillng, wood floors, costing \$8,720.28 and 14 wood pile bridges costing \$9,448.77.

### MITCHELL COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$25,575.42 of which \$5,351.78 or 20.9% was spent for permanent work; \$6,202.28 or 24.2% was spent for temporary work; \$5,278.04 or 20.6% was spent for repairs; \$3,617.78 or 14.3% was spent for maintenance; \$4,947.92 or 19.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$177.62 or 0.7% was spent for special cases.

2.0 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$831.20. 30.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$6,202.28; 5.0 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$4,714.54. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 26 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.88 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$68.83 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$198.00.

Of the 129 miles in the county road system, 3 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$24,106.82.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$65,314.85 of which \$38,308.82 or 58.7% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$2,057.40 or 3.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$8,036.75 or 12.3% was spent for repairs; \$1,378.82 or 2.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,512.25 or 8.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$7,501.83 or 11.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,518.98 or 3.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$40,366.22 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$38,308.82 or 95.0% was spent for permanent work and \$2,057.40 or 5.0% was spent for temporary work.

210

### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 93 concrete box culverts costing \$29,142.54; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$2,246.88; 4 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$6,919.40; and 115 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,057.40.

### MONONA COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$30,259.44, of which \$4,927.61 or 16.3% was spent for permanent work; \$4,175.76 or 13.8% was spent for temporary work; \$2,499.72 or 8.3% was spent for repairs; \$13,248.92 or 43.8% was spent for maintenance; \$2,741.98 or 9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,665.45 or 8.8% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to temporary grade, and none were surfaced. 4.3 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$4,922.01. 31.0 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,175.76.

The county road system was dragged an average of 28 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$97.52 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$188.00.

Of the 161 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 17.9 miles.

The total township road expenditures as shown by reports from all of the 19 townships was \$37,507.42.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$74,287.37, of which \$14,677.48 or 19.7% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$31,197.13 or 42% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$16,480.55 or 22.2% was spent for repairs; \$2,505.72 or 3.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,288.97 or 7.1% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,974.07 or 2.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,163.45 or 2.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$45,874.61 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$14,677.48 or 32.0% was spent for permanent work, and \$31,197.13 or 68% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 21 concrete box culverts costing \$9,760.10; 1 headwall costing \$126.90; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$4,726.80; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$63.68; 33 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$2,454.41; 11 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$724.16; 1 pony truss on tubes costing \$626.20; 6 I-beam spans on piling abutments costing \$3,540.87; 7 pony trusses on piling with wood floors costing \$20,622.16; wood pile bridges costing \$3,200.93, and miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$28.40.

### MONROE COUNTY.

Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$19,244.82 of which \$6,645.90 or 34.5% was spent for repairs; \$9,646.80 or 50.1% was spent for maintenance;

\$2,094.52 or 10.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$857.60 or 4.5% was spent for special cases.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$97.27 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$115.10.

Of the 167 miles in the county road system, 153 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 17 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 12 townships was \$22,290.84.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$49,365.71 of which \$32,038.92 or 65.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,967.63 or 4.0% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$7,172.04 or 14.5% was spent for repairs; \$3,695.83 or 7.4% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$696.42 or 1.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,689.87 or 5.5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$1,105.00 or 2.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$34,006.55 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,038.92 or 94.2% was spent for permanent work and \$1,967.63 or 5.8% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 18 concrete box culverts costing \$18,836.46; 1 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$765.00; 2 masonry box culverts costing \$1,300.10; 6 headwalls costing \$2,129.15; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,447.00; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$7,561.21; 1 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$74.75; 20 corrugated pipes without headwalls costing \$881.11 and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$1,011.77.

### MONTGOMERY COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$28,185.98 of which \$4,191.40 or 14.9% was spent for permanent work; \$4,588.55 or 16.3% was spent for temporary work; \$9,760.10 or 34.6% was spent for repairs; \$7,537.49 or 26.8% was spent for maintenance; \$665.32 or 2.4% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$1,443.12 or 5.0% was spent for special cases.

7.0 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$4,170.60. There were no roads built to permanent or natural grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 46 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$136.47 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$223.80.

Of the 126 miles in the county road system, 126 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 21 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 6 of the 12 townships was \$16,167.19.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$76,080.51 of which \$21,988.31 or 28.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts: \$10,825.44 or 14.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$17,135.42 or 22.7% was spent for repairs; \$3,548.72 or 4.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$20,286.12 or 26.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,296.50 or 3.0% was spent for special cases. 1 1 1 15

Of the total amount \$32,813.75 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$21,988.31 or 66.9% was spent for permanent work and \$10,825.44 or 33.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 2 concrete box culverts costing \$6,468.46; 30 concrete pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$8,138.47; 18 headwalls costing \$3,466.54; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$3,914.84; 30 concrete pipes without headwalls costing \$1,829.57; 1 pony truss on piling with wood floor, costing \$2,986.79; 21 wood pile bridges costing \$5,970.08 and 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$39.00.

### Roads.

### MUSCATINE COUNTY.

### 

The total county road expenditure was \$37,108.90 of which \$16,048.15 or 43.3% was spent for permanent work; \$2,127.00 or 5.7% was spent for temporary work; \$590.57 or 1.5% was spent for repairs; \$11,680.89 or 31.5% was spent for maintenance; \$1,214.86 or 3.3% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$5,447.43 or 14.7% was spent for special cases.

7.55 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$9,281.46; 25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,110.34 and 3.18 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$6,089.18. There were no roads built to temporary grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 53 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$87.68 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$267.00.

Of the 139 miles in the county road system, 139 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 15.45 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 15 townships was \$31,130.98.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$37,536.23 of which \$23,385.26 or 62.3% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$832.31 or 2.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts: \$5,779.08 or 15.4% was spent for repairs; \$3,707.59 or 9.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$1,885.67 or 5.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$534.25 or 1.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$1,412.07 or 3.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$24,217.57 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$23,385.25 or 96.5% was spent for permanent work and \$832.31 or 3.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 74 concrete box culverts costing \$17,509.46; 17 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,293.93; 3 concrete pipes with headwalls costing \$351.36; 5 boiler pipe culverts with headwalls costing \$319.82; 7 headwalls on culverts previously constructed, costing \$490.12; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$2,420.57; 2 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$137.80; 48 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$554.29; 15 boiler pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$107.82 and 9 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$32.40.

### O'BRIEN COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$34,535.74 of which \$18,312.13 or 53.0% was spent for permanent work; \$3,054.15 or 8.8% was spent for temporary work; \$1,510.62 or 4.4% was spent for repairs; \$5,519.04 or 16% was spent for maintenance; \$2,826.23 or 8.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$3,313.57 or 9.6% was spent for special cases.

23.27 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$16,539.05 and 19 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,054.15. There were no roads built to temporary grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$37.19 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$182.50.

Of the 189 miles in the county road system, 189 were patrolled, there being 5 districts with an average length of 37.8 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 16 of the 16 townships was \$39,415.25.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$76,546.14 of which \$64,057.06 or 83.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6.27 or 0.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,125.08 or 4.1% was spent for repairs; \$2,421.99 or 3.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$6,051.39 or 7.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$21.25 or 0.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$863.10 or 1.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$64,063.33 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$64,057.06 or 99.9% was spent for permanent work and \$6.27 or 0.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 83 concrete box culverts costing \$15,555.76; 5 circular concrete culverts costing \$694.00; 11 concrete slab bridges costing \$12,854.90; 6 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$12,053.53; 11 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$22,898.87, and 2 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$6.27.

214

### 10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

### OSCEOLA COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$14,994.63 of which \$1,303.63 or 8.7% was spent for permanent work; \$4,268.00 or 28.5% was spent for temporary work; \$1,622.98 or 10.8% was spent for repairs; \$6,685.70 or 44.5% was spent for maintenance; \$884.32 or 5.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$230.00 or 1.6% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade and none were surfaced. 5 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$1,060.00. 24 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$4,038.00.

The county road system was dragged an average of 29 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$62.95 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$113.50.

Of the 132 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 12 districts with an average length of 11 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 10 of the 12 townships was \$16,094.92.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$47,033.42 of which \$32,261.57 or 68.7% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6,600.84 or 14% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$916.41 or 1.9% was spent for repairs; \$1,816.85 or 3.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,171.10 or 8.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,233.95 or 2.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$32.70 or 0.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$38,862.41 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,261.57 or 83% was spent for permanent work; \$6,600.84 or 17% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 55 concrete box culverts costing \$20,741.08; 29 circular concrete culverts costing \$3,565.39; 1 corrugated pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$82.93; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$4,413.22; 1 deck girder costing \$259.31; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$3,199.64; 1 corrugated pipe culvert without headwalls costing \$58.10, and 16 wood pile bridges costing \$6,542.74.

### Roads.

### PAGE COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$32,714.18 of which \$7,838.94 or 24% was spent for permanent work; \$5,126.50 or 15.6% was spent for temporary work; \$2,593.73 or 7.9% was spent for repairs; \$10,979.94 or 33.6% was spent for maintenance; \$2,805.54 or 8.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$3,369.53 or 10.6% was spent for special cases.

### ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

1.0 mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,067.80. 1.0 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$5,758.14. 51.0 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,126.50. There were no roads surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$78.01 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$188.00.

Of the 174 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled, there being 12 districts with an average length of 14.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 16 of the 16 townships was \$40,685.27.

### Bridges.

.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$91,769.07 of which \$39,994.84 or 43.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$8,633.29 or 9.4% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$16,439.23 or 18.0% was spent for repairs; \$10,178.01 or 11.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$857.57 or 0.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$13,154.93 or 14.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,511.20 or 2.7% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$48,628.19 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$39,994.84 or 82.3% was spent for permanent work and \$8,633.29 or 17.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 20 concrete box culverts costing \$18,688.05; 3 headwalls costing \$191.21; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$21,115.58; 11 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$862.80; 31 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$1,014.26; 2 pony trusses on piling with wood floors costing \$4,244.20, and 7 wood pile bridges costing \$2,512.53.

### PALO ALTO COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$51,999.48 of which \$41,249.15 or 79.3% was spent for permanent work; \$1,889.33 or 3.6% was spent for repairs; \$6,193.22 or 11.9% was spent for maintenance; \$294.65 or 0.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,373.13 or 4.6% was spent for special cases.

32.48 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$38,131.16. There were no roads built to temporary or natural grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$48.43 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$313.00.

Of the 166 miles in the county road system, 140 were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average length of 20 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 8 of the 16 townships was \$16,421.26.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$48,349.83 of which \$32,111.87 or 66.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,264.55 or 10.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,054.50 or 6.3% was spent for repairs; \$1,360.95 or 2.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,345.35 or 9.0% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,133.26 or 2.3% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,079.35 or 2.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$37,376.42 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,111.87 or 85.9% was spent for permanent work and \$5,264.55 or 14.1% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 28 concrete box culverts costing \$18,163.69; 9 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,522.00; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$2,254.75; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$3,719.64; 4 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$6,451.79; 127 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$3,084.61; 1 I-beam span on piling abutments costing \$752.20; 3 wood pile bridges costing \$962.56, and 3 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$465.18.

### Roads.

### PLYMOUTH COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$37,088.47 of which \$6,769.45 or 18.2% was spent for permanent work; \$2,660.78 or 7.2% was spent for temporary work; \$9,507.92 or 25.6% was spent for repairs; \$13,706.01 or 37% was spent for maintenance; \$2,714.37 or 7.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,729.94 or 4.7% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade and none were surfaced. 3.0 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$6,769.45. 24 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,660.78.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$111.34 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$178.10.

Of the 208 miles in the county road system, all were patrolled. there being 12 districts with an average length of 17.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 21 of the 24 townships was \$33,827.70.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$114,521.50 of which \$41,856.51 or 36.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$15,547.60 or 13.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9,547.29 or 8.3% was spent for repairs; \$4,022.80 or 3.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$36,773.94 or 32% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,943.70 or 2.6% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,829.66 or 3.4% was spent for special cases. ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

Of the total amount \$57,404.11 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$41,856.51 or 73% was spent for permanent work and \$15,547.60 or 27% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 36 concrete box culverts costing \$26,964.22; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$69.03; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,495.40; 3 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$11,327.86; 63 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$3,089.46, and 41 wood pile bridges costing \$12,458.14.

### POCAHONTAS COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$95,400.58 of which \$68,337.50 or 71.6% was spent for permanent work; \$459.75 or 0.5% was spent for temporary work; \$4,257.02 or 4.5% was spent for repairs; \$8,981.55 or 9.4% was spent for maintenance; \$3,766.36 or 3.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$9,598.40 or 10.1% was spent for special cases.

49.1 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$33,869.89. There were no roads built to temporary grade. 5.25 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$459.75. 30.85 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$33,440.78.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$78.57 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$567.50.

Of the 168 miles in the county road system, 156 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 19.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 18 of the 18 townships was \$47,823.19.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$54,454.39 of which \$24,907.92 or 45.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$8,454.98 or 15.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9,655.72 or 17.8% was spent for repairs; \$3,706.99 or 6.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$5,735.83 or 10.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$933.54 or 1.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,059.41 or 1.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$33,362.90 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$24,907.92 or 74.7% was spent for permanent work and \$8,454.98 or 25.3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 19 concrete box culverts costing \$7,602.66; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$424.84; 2 headwalls costing \$266.15; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$1,317.08; 4 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing

ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

\$9,049.86; 5 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$6,247.33; 13 wood pile bridges costing \$5,635.58; miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$612.07.

### POLK COUNTY.

### Roads.

218

The total county road expenditure was \$267,161.11 of which \$209,615.28 or 78.50% was spent for permanent work; \$4,734.58 or 1.8% was spent for repairs; \$32,532.04 or 12.2% was spent for maintenance; \$11,132.98 or 4.1% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$9,146.23 or 3.4% was spent for special cases.

26.76 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$51,805.35. No roads were built to temporary or natural grade. 2.5 miles were surfaced with brick, monolithic construction with gravel shoulders 6 feet wide at a cost of \$127,138.34. 14.9 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$28,961.12.

The monolithic pavement reported above was constructed partly on 58th St. and partly on the Beaver Road extending from the city limits of Des Moines to Camp Dodge, a distance of 4.8 miles.

In the fall of 1917 all of the grading and approximately two miles of pavement was completed—the balance of the work being finished in July, 1918. This pavement is 20 feet wide with a 6 foot gravel shoulder on each side.

The total cost of this work including the cost of all gravel and sand which was furnished by the county is as follows:

> Construction .....\$228,448.13 Engineering Expense by County.....\$2,458.67

> Total cost to county......\$230,906.80

In addition to this amount the Commission paid the sum of \$4,203.52 which amount covered the entire cost of preparing plans and specifications also all engineering, supervision and inspection for the entire time the work was in progress.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repair and maintenance was \$194.86 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of the county road was \$1,395.00.

Of the 191 miles in the county road system, 165 were patrolled, there being 15 districts with an average length of 11 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 19 of the 19 townships was \$43,842.97.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$199,628.33 of which \$145,517.70 or 73.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$7,879.63 or 3.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$12,333.04 or 6.2% was spent for repairs; \$7,741.80 or 3.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,214.41 or 4.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$14,244.97 or 7.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,696.78 or 1.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$153,973.33 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$145,517.70 or 94.7% was spent for permanent work and \$7,879.63 or 5.3% was spent for temporary work

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 65 concrete box culverts costing \$68,874.02; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$512.72; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$11,675.08; 1 concrete arch bridge costing \$1,210.00; 1 concrete thru girder costing \$3,727.80; 8 concrete deck girders costing \$55,425.88; 1 retaining wall costing \$342.20; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments costing \$3,750.00; 18 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls, \$1,713.89; 101 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls, \$2,525.46; 10 wood pile bridges costing \$3,593.84, and 3 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$46.44.

### POTTAWATTAMIE COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$45,135.05 of which \$14,301.07 or 31.7% was spent for temporary work; \$5,819.66 or 12.9% was spent for repairs; \$19,575.36 or 43.4% was spent for maintenance; \$1,771.99 or 3.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$3,666.97 or 8.1% was spent for special cases.

The county road system was dragged an average of 39 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$99.39 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$177.00. Of the 255 miles in the county road system, 255 were patrolled, there

being 10 districts with an average length of 25.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 13 of the 28 townships was \$62,297.29.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$219,262.81 of which \$32,849.13 or 15.0% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$75,535.19 or 34.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$17,582.36 or 8.0% was spent for repairs; \$32,754.94 or 14.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$44,151.22 or 20.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$13,522.55 or 6.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$2,867.42 or 1.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$108,384.32 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,849.13 or 30.3% was spent for permanent bridge work and \$75,535.19 or 69.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 7 concrete box culverts costing \$6,544.11; 60 concrete culverts with headwalls costing \$17,566.59; 25 headwalls costing \$2,958.85; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$2,167.47; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$625.06; 1 steel girder with concrete abutments costing \$2,987.05; 12 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$424.21; 13 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$455.27; 5 cast iron pipe culverts 220

### **IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION**

without headwalls costing \$106.00; 11 I-beam spans on piling abutments costing \$12,525.32; 4 pony trusses on piling with wood floors costing \$8,909.96; 106 wood pile bridges costing \$52,784.69, and 5 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$329.74.

### POWESHIEK COUNTY.

Roads.

### The total county road expenditure was \$33,857.85 of which \$3,161.70 or 9.3% was spent for permanent work; \$8,166.60 or 24.3% was spent for temporary work; \$8,059.90 or 23.8% was spent for repairs; \$10,388.96 or 30.6% was spent for maintenance; \$1,916.00 or 5.6% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,164.69 or 6.4% was spent for special cases.

1.5 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$3,161.70 and 14.5 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$5,198.30. There were no roads built to permanent grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$133.39 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$245.00.

Of the 138 miles in the county road system, 112 were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average length of 16 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 16 townships was \$46,120.00.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$103,484.48 of which \$63,375.98 or 61.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$360.20 or 0.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$17,094.08 or 16.6% was spent for repairs; \$8,598.00 or 8.3% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$1,485.40 or 1.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,665.10 or 8.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,905.72 or 3.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$63,736.18 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$63,375.98 or 99.4% was spent for permanent work and \$360.20 or 0.6% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 41 concrete box culverts costing \$25,977.24; 3 circular concrete culverts costing \$599.59; 1 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$718.28; 1 headwall costing \$182.31; 9 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$23,460.67; 4 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$12,437.89. and 6 concrete pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$360.20.

### Roads.

### RINGGOLD COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$8,139.67 of which \$1,856.70 or 22.8% was spent for repairs; \$4,521.95 or 55.6% was spent for main-

tenance; \$1,761.02 or 21.6% was spent for equipment and unused material. No roads were built to permanent, temporary, or natural grade, and no roads were surfaced.

ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.51 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$34.79 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$44.50. Of the 183 miles in the county road system, 108 were patrolled, there

being 3 districts with an average length of 36 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 18 townships was \$18,679.44.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$64,349.01 of which \$27,242.93 or 42.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,630.92 or 7.2% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$8,141.19 or 12.6% was spent for repairs; \$3,828.64 or 6.0% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,657.41 or 14.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$10,731.32 or 16.7% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$116.60 or 0.2% was spent for special cases. Of the total amount \$31,873.85 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$27,242.93 or 85.6% was spent for permanent work and \$4,630.92 or 14.4% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction:

37 concrete box culverts costing \$20,071.90; 19 concrete pipe culverts costing \$2,374.60; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$4,796.43; 29 wood pile bridges costing \$4,680.92.

### SAC COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$\$9,680.08 of which \$73,021.89 or 81.5% was spent for permanent work; \$1,811,86 or 2.0% was spent for repairs; \$5,718.54 or 6.4% was spent for maintenance; \$404.27 or 0.4% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$8,723.52 or 9.7% was spent for special cases.

34.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$60,341.47. 1,15 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$1,596.40. 8.58 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$10,101.21. There were no roads built to natural grade.

The county road system was dragged an average of 18 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$50.14 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$597.50.

Of the 150 miles in the county road system, 40 were patrolled, there being 2 districts with an average length of 20 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$48,233.90.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$110,168.38 of which \$93,271.57 or 84.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,175.40 or 1.1% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,808.00 or 3.6% was spent for repairs; \$1,934.11 or 1.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,161.20 or 3.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,039.55 or 0.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$4,778.55 or 4.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$94,446.97 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$93,271.57 or 98.8% was spent for permanent work and \$1,175.40 or 1.2% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 63 concrete box culverts costing \$29,631.83; 6 circular concrete culverts (encased tile) costing \$525.62; 69 concrete arch culverts costing \$7,201.96; 46 corrugated pipe culverts with head walls costing \$2,303.05; 8 headwalls costing \$173.28; 1 concrete arch bridge costing \$14,004.88; 9 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$23,562.39; 2 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$13,984.26; 1 high steel truss with concrete abutments costing \$13,984.26; 1 high steel truss with concrete abutments costing \$1,884.30; 3 concrete pipe culverts costing \$12.70; 45 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$616.60; 1 I-beam span on piling abutments costing \$190.03, and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$356.07.

### Roads.

### SCOTT COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$35,093,91 of which \$16,909.60 or 48.2% was spent for permanent work; \$7,334.62 or 20.9% was spent for temporary work; \$596.07 or 1.7% was spent for repairs; \$6,191.29 or 17.6% was spent for maintenance; \$3,796.33 or 10.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$266.00 or 0.8% was spent for special cases.

3.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$14,909.33. 0.25 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$125.00. 3.50 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$606.10. 0.75 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$1,875.27.

The county road system was dragged an average of 37 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$49.61 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$258.00.

Of the 136 miles in the county road system, 113 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 18.8 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 14 townships was \$36,505.85.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$31,949.82 of which \$16,619.57 or 52.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,192.31 or 3.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$3,103.35 or 9.7% was spent for repairs; \$666.85 or 2.7% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$4,969.71 or 15.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,616.45 or 5.2% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$3,781.58 or 11.8% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$17,811.88 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$16,619.57 or 93.5% was spent for permanent work and \$1,192.31 or 6.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 18 concrete box culverts costing \$11,265.87; 14 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,319.67; 2 slab bridges costing \$3,034.03; corrugated pipe culverts costing \$581.35; boiler pipe culverts costing \$319.26, and 2 wood pile bridges costing \$291.70.

### SHELBY COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$27,638.06 of which \$69.85 or 0.2% was spent for permanent work; \$2,749.91 or 10.0% was spent for temporary work; \$13,154.65 or 47.6% was spent for repairs; \$9,929.84 or 36.0% was spent for maintenance; \$1,313.01 or 4.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$420.80 or 1.5% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent or temporary grade. 23 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,749.91. There were no roads surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 39 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$146.33 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$175.80.

Of the 157 miles in the county road system, 157 were patrolled, there being 8 districts with an average length of 19.4 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$34,751.99.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$78,551.22 of which \$29,542.68 or 37.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$12,239.31 or 15.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$18,837.51 or 24.0% was spent for repairs; \$5,,611.97 or 7.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,729.45 or 4.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,590.30 or 10.9% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and nothing was spent for special cases.

222

1 S. William

Of the total amount \$41,781.99 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$29,542.68 or 70.6% was spent for permanent work; \$12,239.31 or 29.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 17 concrete box culverts costing \$21,594.44; 2 concrete deck girders costing \$7,948.24; 5 concrete pipe (without headwalls) costing \$507.46; 36 corrugated pipe (without headwalls) costing \$2,458.98, and 17 wood pile bridges costing \$9,272.87.

### SIOUX COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$47,282.37 of which \$14,880.00 or 31.4% was spent for permanent work; \$11,623.30 or 24.6% was spent for temporary work; \$2,083.58 or 4.4% was spent for repairs; \$11,021.03 or 23.3% was spent for maintenance; \$5,527.69 or 11.7% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,146.77 or 4.6% was spent for special cases.

7.1 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$14,880.00. There were no roads built to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 50 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$8,380.10.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$60.67 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$219.00.

Of the 216 miles in the county road system, 171 were patrolled, there being 9 districts with an average length of 19 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 23 townships was \$44,343.06.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$51,186.52 of which \$9,402.10 or 18.5% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$11,062.18 or 22.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$17,941.39 or 34.7% was spent for repairs; \$1,028.28 or 1.9% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$10,246.45 or 19.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$945.51 or 1.8% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$560.61 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$20,464.28 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$11,062.18 or 54.5% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction:

76 concrete box culverts costing \$5,077.62; 3 slab bridges costing \$2,326.55; 1 deck girder costing \$847.18; 1 I-beam span costing \$1,150.75; 7 concrete pipe costing \$517.95; 12 corrugated pipe costing \$1,058.20; 11 wood pile bridges costing \$8,575.47, and 36 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$910.56.

### STORY COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$37,031.85 of which \$20,172.02 or 54.4% was spent for permanent work; \$3,164.58 or 8.6% was spent for repairs; \$10,144.30 or 27.4% was spent for maintenance; \$824.47 or 2.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,726.48 or 7.4% was spent for special cases.

0.75 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$920.25. No roads were built to temporary or natural grade. 21.0 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$18,189.05.

The county road system was dragged an average of 25 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.85 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$100.44 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$280.00.

Of the 132 miles in the county road system, 132 were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average of 18.9 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 16 townships was \$40,989.33.

### Bridges.

The total expenditures for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$66.551.78 of which \$30,503.67 or 45.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$8,214.84 or 12.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$23,708.15 or 35.6% was spent for repairs; \$3,152.92 or 4.73% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$105.00 or 0.16% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$857.75 or 1.29% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$9.45 or .02% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$38,718.51 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$30,503.67 or 78.8% was spent for permanent work and \$8,214.84 or 21.2% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 42 concrete box culverts costing \$13,749.75; 21 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,754.68; 13 I-beam spans on concrete costing \$13,084.59; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments costing \$1,914.65, and 5 wood pile bridges costing \$8,214.84.

### TAMA COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$52,728.74 of which \$18,944.86 or 36.0% was spent for permanent work; \$7,552.86 or 14.3% was spent for temporary work; \$4,808.29 or 9.1% was spent for repairs; \$14,250.48 or 27.0% was spent for maintenance; \$6,321,15 or 12.0% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$851.10 or 1.6% was spent for special cases.

12.1 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$13,466.74. .2 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$995.98. 58 miles were

ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES

built to natural grade at a cost of \$7,552.86, 2.2 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$4,482.14.

The county road system was dragged an average of 20 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$92.07 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$254.00.

Of the 207 miles in the county road system, 207 were patrolled, there being 10 districts with an average length of 20.7 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 18 of the 21 townships was \$49,759.84.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$118,488.29 of which \$64,451.04 or 54.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$20,798.67 or 17.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$19,648.91 or 16.6% was spent for repairs; \$6,457.81 or 5.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,533.92 or 2.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,521.34 or 2.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,076.60 or 0.9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$85,249.71 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$64,451.04 or 75.6% was spent for permanent work; \$20,798 or 24.4% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 35 concrete box culverts costing \$18,164.45; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$2,678.19; 1 concrete abutment costing \$84.60; 4 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$7,228.93; 3 pony trusses with concrete abutments costing \$36,294.87; 10 concrete pipe without headwalls costing \$825.92; 22 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$573.68; 48 cast iron pipe without headwalls costing \$4,331.40, and 40 wood pile bridges costing \$15,067.67.

### TAYLOR COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$13,785.35 of which \$2,917.25 or 21.2% was spent for temporary work; \$4,358.27 or 31.6% was spent for repairs; \$4,719.45 or 34.2% was spent for maintenance; \$1,228.38 or 8.9% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$562.00 or 4.1% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade, none to temporary grade and none surfaced. 24 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$2,917.25.

The county road system was dragged an average of 31 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.65 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$52.72 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$80.25.

Of the 172 miles in the county road system, 50 were patrolled, there being 1 district with an average length of 50 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 17 townships was \$28,451.13.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$69,639.41 of which \$26,215.89 or 37.6% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$5,006.38 or 7.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,227.70 or 16.0% was spent for repairs; \$956.20 or 1.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$14,561.32 or 20.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$11,671.92 or 16.7% was spent for filling new bridges and culverts.

Of the total amount \$31,222.27 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$26,215.89 or 84% was spent for permanent work; \$5,006.38 or 16% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 28 concrete box culverts costing \$16,552.17; 67 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$8,316.61; 2 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$99.85; 1 pony truss on piling costing \$862.82; 11 wood pile bridges costing \$1,765.56, and 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$2,378.00.

### UNION COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$16,094.59 of which \$2,445.67 or 15.4% was spent for permanent work; \$3,630.13 or 22.6% was spent for temporary work; \$3,398.34 or 21.1% was spent for repairs; \$5,522.05 or 34.2% was spent for maintenance; \$685.33 or 4.2% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$403.07 or 2.5% was spent for special cases.

1.1 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$2,455.67. 38.20 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$3,630.13. There were no roads built to permanent grade and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 24 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$65.91 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$119.20.

Of the 135 miles in the county road system, 132 were patrolled, there being 7 districts with an average length of 18.9 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 9 of the 12 townships was \$16,890.80.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$39,224.46 of which \$26,777.89 or 68.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$263.58 or 0.7% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$1,348.54 or 3.4% was spent for repairs; \$2,463.06 or 6.3% was

spent for culvert material for townships; \$3,320.07 was spent for equipment and unused material; \$4,871.82 or 12.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$179.50 or 0.5% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$27,041.47 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$26,777.89 or 99.1% was spent for permanent work and \$263.58 or 0.9% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 8 concrete box culverts costing \$3,285.47; 85 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$11,703.38; 23 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$323.73; 2 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$4,014.58; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments costing \$4,298.85, and 12 corrugated pipe without headwalls costing \$263.58.

### VAN BUREN COUNTY.

Juads.

The total county road expenditure was \$18,425.74 of which \$6,333.24 or 34.4% was spent for temporary work; \$2,584.41 or 14.0% was spent for repairs; \$4.547.11 or 24.7% was spent for maintenance; \$2,555.39 or 13.8% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,405.59 or 13.1% was spent for special cases.

There were no roads built to permanent grade, none to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 37.75 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$6,333.24.

The county road system was dragged an average of 39 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.70 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$53.50 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$138.40.

Of the 133 miles in the county road system, no mileage was reported as patrolled.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 13 of the 14 townships was \$28,424.06.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$65,003.20 of which \$18,777.46 or 28.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$13,907.13 or 21.5% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$15,336.67 or 23.3% was spent for repairs; \$2,699.60 or 4.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$13,315.70 or 20.6% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$166.27 or .25% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$800.37 or 1.25% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$32,684.59 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$18,777.46 or 57.4% was spent for permanent work; \$13,907.13 or 42.6% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 23 concrete box culverts costing \$15,223.12; 1 boiler pipe with headwalls costing \$185.77; 1 concrete abutment costing \$2,208.25; 2

masonry abutments costing \$1,160.32; 130 Lotler pipe costing \$11,597.62; 2 I-beams on piling costing \$881.32; 1 pony truss on piling costing \$395.74. and 7 wood pile bridges costing \$1,032.45.

### WAPELLO COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$25,662.62 of which \$4,846.44 or 18.9% was spent for permanent work; \$3,924.48 or 15.3% was spent for repairs; \$10,038.54 or 39% was spent for maintenance; \$3,326.57 or 13% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$3,526.59 or 13% was spent for special cases.

.2 mile was built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,960.69. .33 mile was built to temporary grade at a cost of \$1,175.71. There were no roads built to natural grade and none surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 70 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$101.83 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$187.50.

Of the 137 miles in the county road system, 136 were patrolled, there being 13 districts with an average length of 10.5 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 14 townships was \$32,205.46.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$81,633.63 of which \$29,277.88 or 35.9% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$7,524.63 or 9.3% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$23,222.76 or 28.5% was spent for repairs; \$9,437.70 or 11.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$6,466.88 or 7.9% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$5,564.99 or 6.8% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$138.79 or .1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$36,802.51 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$29,277.88 or 79.5% was spent for permanent work; \$7,524.63 or 20.5% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 14 concrete box culverts costing \$14,204.92; 11 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$5.343.69; 6 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$2,544.05; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$222.30; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$3,113.57; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments costing \$3,849.33; 3 concrete pipe costing \$447.77; 1 corrugated pipe costing \$11.10, and 13 wood pile bridges costing \$7,065.76.

### WARREN COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$19,624.80 of which \$49.25 or 0.2% was spent for permanent work; \$7,366.69 or 37.6% was spent for

### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

temporary work; \$2,592.95 or 13.2% was spent for repairs; \$7,839.53 or 40% was spent for maintenance; \$404.80 or 2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,371.58 or 7.0% was spent for special cases. No roads were built to permanent grade. No roads were built to temporary grade. 65 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$7,366.69. No surfacing was done.

The county road system was dragged an average of 20 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$61.37 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$115.30.

Of the 170 miles in the county road system, 170 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 56.66 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 15 of the 16 townships was \$35,313.81.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$61,383.62 of which \$29,085.48 or 47.4% was spent on permanent bridges and culverts; \$4,369.39 or 7.1% was spent on temporary bridges and culverts; \$6,446.71 or 10.5% was spent on repair work; \$3,097.95 or 5.1% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$11,756.33 or 19.2% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$6,422.97 or 10.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$204.97 or 0.3% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$33,454.87 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$29,085.48 or 86.9% was spent for permanent work; \$4,369.39 or 13.1% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction:

15 concrete box culverts, costing \$12,044.78; 20 circular concrete culverts costing \$2,550.80; 1 concrete pipe culvert with headwalls costing \$318.40; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$6,475.50; 1 pony truss with concrete abutments costing \$7,696.00; 3 corrugated pipe culverts without headwalls costing \$167.80; 20 wood pile bridges costing \$3,992.81; miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$208.78.

### Roads.

### WASHINGTON COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$51,735.10 of which \$9,514.47 or 18.4% was spent for temprorary work; \$2,234.75 or 4.3% was spent for repairs; \$29,258.76 or 56.6% was spent for maintenance; \$8,972.32 or 17.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,754.80 or 3.4% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent or temporary grade and none were surfaced. 73.98 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,514.47.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.80 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$163.60 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$269.50. Of the 192 miles in the county road system, 120 were patrolled, there being 12 districts with an average length of 10 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 14 of the 15 townships was \$48,605.82.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,138.43 of which \$20,644.25 or 41.2% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$8,992.67 or 17.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$4,762.89 or 9.5% was spent for repairs; \$6,005.04 or 12% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$9,513.02 or 19% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$102.60 or .23% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$117.96 or .27% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$29,636.92 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$20,644.25 or 69.6% was spent for permanent work and \$8,992.67 or 30.4% for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 20 concrete box culverts costing \$12,855.25; 3 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$1,469.27; 1 concrete abutment costing \$3,952.88; 1 I-beam span on cncrete abutments costing \$2,366.85; \$1 corrugated pipe costing \$3,172.00; 3 boiler pipe costing \$2,16.00; 8 wood pile bridges costing \$3,369.23; 1 miscellaneous bridge or culvert costing \$2,235.44.

WAYNE COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$18,750.39 of which \$1,415.93 or 7.6% was spent for temporary work; \$1,578.97 or 8.4% was spent for repairs; \$9,694.74 or 51.7% was spent for maintenance; \$4,405.66 or 23.5% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,655.09 or 8.8% was spent for special cases. No roads were built to permanent grade. 20 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,415.93. No surfacing was done.

The county road system was dragged an average of 34 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$65.18 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$108.70.

Of the 172 miles in the county road system, 172 were patrolled, there being 12 districts with an average length of 14.4 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 16 townships was \$26,398.81.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$50,252.91 of which \$14,875.67 or 29.7% was spent on permanent bridges and culverts; \$3,726.20 or 7.4% was spent on temporary bridges and culverts; \$4,022.93 or 8.0% was spent on repair work; \$3,279.00 or 6.4%

230

### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

was spent on culvert material for townships; \$15,274.81 or 30.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$8,054.30 or 16.1% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$1,020.00 or 2.0% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$18,601.87 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$14,875.67 or 80% was spent for permanent work; \$3,726.20 or 20.0% was spent for temporary work. The amounts last referred to were spent on the following construction:

12 concrete box culverts costing \$10,142.30; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments costing \$4,733.37; 7 concrete pipe culverts costing \$542.20; 5 boiler pipe costing \$230.00; 10 wood pile bridges costing \$2,950.00.

### WEBSTER COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$54,678.18 of which \$38,356.05 or 70.1% was spent for permanent work; \$1,239.69 or 2.3% was spent for temporary work; \$2,936.54 or 5.4% was spent for repairs; \$8,750.48 or 16.0% was spent for maintenance; \$1,807.51 or 3.3% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$1,587.91 or 2.9% was spent for special cases.

4.8 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$4,013.94. There were no roads built to temporary grade. 10.0 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$1,239.69. 16.55 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$29,256.03.

The county road system was dragged an average of 31 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.00 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$63.05 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$295.50.

Of the 185 miles in the county road system, 185 were patrolled, there being 4 districts with an average length of 46.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from all of the 23 townships was \$67,570,57.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$54,266.86 of which \$32,797.19 or 60.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$1,435.43 or 2.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,882.97 or 27.2% was spent for repairs; \$4,361.62 or 8.0% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$415.45 or .73% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$374.20 or .67% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$34,232.62 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$32,797.19 or 95.8% was spent for permanent work and \$1,435.43 or 4.2% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 6 concrete box culverts costing \$6,585.14; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$8,064.37; 1 concrete thru girder costing \$2,263.00; 3 concrete deck girders costing \$13,058.31; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$2,826.37; 56 concrete pipe costing \$564.24; 5 corrugated pipe costing \$171.11, and 5 cast iron pipe costing \$61.61.

### WINNEBAGO COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$27,987.71 of which \$11,878.28 or 42.5% was spent for permanent work; \$693.05 or 2.5% was spent for temporary work; \$4,553.45 or 16.3% was spent for repairs; \$8,483.00 or 30.2% was spent for maintenance; \$135.80 or .5% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$2,244.13 or 8% was spent for special cases.

5.5 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$11,319.79. No roads were built to temporary grade and none were surfaced. 6 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$693.05.

The county road system was dragged an average of 49 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.90 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$99.16 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$213.50.

Of the 131 miles in the county road system, 120 were patrolled, there being 3 districts with an average length of 40 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 12 of the 12 townships was \$31,668.93.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$29,600.41 of which \$12,526.17 or 42.1% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$452.40 or 1.8% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$9,191.00 or 31% was spent for repairs; \$4,471.58 or 15.2% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,959.26 or 9.9% was spent for equipment and unused material.

Of the total amount \$12,978.57 spent for new bridges and culverts,  $12,526.17 \ {\rm or} \ 96.5\%$  was spent for permanent work and  $452.40 \ {\rm or} \ 3.5\%$ for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 10 concrete box culverts costing \$4,230.49; 11 circular concrete culverts costing \$1,471.75; 3 concrete slab bridges costing \$5,039.38; 5 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$1,784.55, and 19 corrugated pipe costing \$452.40.

### WINNESHIEK COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$27,960.91, of which \$2,920.46 or 10.4% was spent for permanent work; \$9,295.90 or 33.2% was spent for temporary work; \$5,468.21 or 19.6% was spent for repairs; \$8,003.79 or 28.6% was spent for maintenance; \$1,970.31 or 7.1% was spent for equipment and unused material, and \$302.24 or 1.1% was spent for special cases.

Roads.

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

.25 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$2,043.51. 49.75 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,258.40. There were no roads built to temporary grade, and none were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 28 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.98 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$66.54 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$138.50.

Of the 202 miles in the county road system, 108 were patrolled, there being 17 districts with an average length of 6.3 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 19 of the 20 townships was \$38,428.73.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$55,267.95, of which \$28,648.31 or 51.8% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$6,702.12 or 12.6% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$11,272.24 or 20.3% was spent for repairs; \$2,515.79 or 14.5% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$2,471.28 or 4.4% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$1,896.71 or 3.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$1,761.50 or 3.1% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$35,350.43 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$28,-648.31 or 80.3% was spent for permanent work, and \$6,702.12 or 19.7% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following construction: 70 concrete box culverts costing \$13,999.12; 2 circular concrete culverts costing \$37.38; 5 masonry box culverts costing \$2,107.96; 2 headwalls on culverts previously constructed costing \$21.70; 1 concrete slab bridge costing \$1,419.75; 6 concrete abutments costing \$2,463.00; 1 concrete deck girder costing \$4,901.91; 1 retaining wall costing \$749.87; 10 masonry abutments costing \$2,079.71; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments costing \$867.91; 62 corrugated pipe culverts costing \$1,913.95; 3 I-beam spans on wood piling costing \$632.94; 2 pony trusses on wood piling costing \$2,430.23; 6 wood pile bridges costing \$1,326.53; 3 miscellaneous bridges and culverts costing \$398.47.

### Roads.

### WOODBURY COUNTY.

The total road expenditure was \$60,330.22 of which \$34,467.38 or 57.0% was spent for permanent work; \$981.75 or 1.7% was spent for temporary work; \$8,801.15 or 14.6% was spent for repairs; \$10,873.31 or 18.0% was spent for maintenance; \$1,199.22 or 2.0% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$4,007.41 or 6.7% was spent for special cases.

27.02 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$33,383.43. 0.36 miles were built to temporary grade at a cost of \$980.50. 9.1 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$981.75. No roads were surfaced.

The county road system was dragged an average of 27 times, the average cost of dragging being \$1.37 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$92.36 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$283.00.

Of the 213 miles in the county road system, 96 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 16 miles.

The total township road expenditure as shown by reports from 13 of the 23 townships was \$26,626.29.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$97,103.72 of which \$35,426.72 or 36.4% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$14,058.08 or 14.4% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$19,636.88 or 20.2% was spent for repairs; \$1,805.15 or 1.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$17,620.87 or 1847, was spent for equipment and unused material; \$3,298.00 or 3.4% was spent for filling bridges and culverts and \$5,258.02 or 5.4% was spent for special cases. Of the total amount \$49,484.80 spent for new bridges and culverts

\$35,426.72 or 71.5% was spent for permanent work and \$14,058.08 or 18.5%

was spent for temporary work. The amounts last above referred to were spent on the following con-

struction: 19 concrete box culverts, costing \$13,828.79; 14 concrete pipe with headwalls costing \$2,547.59; 2 headwalls on concrete previously constructed costing \$177.73; 4 concrete slab bridges costing \$6,729.50; 3 I-beam spans on concrete abutments costing \$2,855.18; 2 pony trusses on concrete abutments costing \$9,287.93; 32 concrete pipe costing \$1,504.28; 7 I-beam spans on piling abutments costing \$6,878.39 and 22 wood pile bridges costing \$5,675.41.

WORTH COUNTY.

### Roads.

The total county road expenditure was \$22,257.55 of which \$12,102.88 or 54.4% was spent for permanent work; \$943.75 or 4.2% was spent for repairs; \$2,936.53 or 13.2% was spent for maintenance; \$3,632.60 or 16.3% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$2,641.79, or 11.9% was spent for special cases. 6.8 miles were built to permanent grade at a cost of \$7,117.41; 6.95 miles were surfaced with gravel, at a cost of \$3,970.70.

The county road system was dragged an average of 28 times, the average cost of dragging being \$0.75 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$33.89 per mile of county road. The total

average expenditure per mile of county road was \$195.00. Of the 114 miles in the county road system, 36 were patrolled, there

being 2 districts with an average length of 18 miles. The total township road expenditures as shown by reports from all of

the 12 townships was \$25,324.83.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$30,338.49, of which \$25,349.22 or \$3.5% was spent on permanent bridges and culverts; \$95.96 or .3% was spent on temporary bridges and culverts; \$476.40 or 1.5% was spent for repairs; \$2,472.34, or 8.2% was spent for cul-

vert material for townships; \$1,448.12 or 4.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$154.90 or .5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$341.55 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$25,445.18 spent for new bridges and culverts. \$25,349.22 or 99.7% was spent for permanent work; \$95.96 or .3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last referred to were spent on the following construction: 77 concrete box culverts, costing \$12,663.26; 2 corrugated pipe culverts with headwalls, costing \$79.55; 1 concrete slab bridge, costing \$978.22; 6 I-beam spans on concrete abutments, costing \$8,078.19; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments, costing \$3,550.00; 1 corrugated pipe culvert costing \$20.60; 1 wood pile bridge, costing \$75.36.

### WRIGHT COUNTY.

The total county road expenditure was \$42,957.25 of which \$13,189.86 or 30.7% was spent for permanent work; \$9,695.73 or 22.6% was spent for temporary work; \$4,113.79 or 9.6% was spent for repairs; \$5,312.84 or 12.4% was spent for maintenance; \$7,020.98 or 16.3% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$3,624.05 or 8.4% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent or temporary grades. 39 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,590.86. 4 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$11,847.22.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.87 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$52.48 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$240.00.

Of the 179 miles in the county road system, 90 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 15 miles.

No report of township expenditures was received.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$46,658.56 of which \$27,998.19 or 60% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$875.30 or 1.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,492.57 or 31.1% was spent for repairs; \$2,707.46 or 5.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$63.77 or .14% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$76.40 or .16% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$444.87 or .9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$28,873.49 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$27,998.19 or 97% was spent for permanent work and \$875.30 or 3% was spent for temporary work.

The last named amounts referred to were spent on the following construction: 42 concrete box culverts, costing \$13,762.96; 1 circular concrete culvert costing \$137.36; 1 concrete abutment costing \$4,071.22; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments, costing \$5,306.85; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments, costing \$4,719.80; 37 corrugated pipe, costing \$752.30, and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$123.00.

sər p	na ogbird LafoT road expenditu	School 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,
	Into'T	92,500,86 525,500,86 525,501,30 525,501,30 525,501,30 525,501,30 525,200,10 51,31,720,03 52,210,138 51,345,55 51,341,138 51,341,138 51,341,138 51,341,138 51,241,138 51,241,258 51,242 51,241,258 51,242 51,241,258 51,242 51
	19410 IIA. 8991008	\$ 063.00 3.018.85 20,800.88
Roads	Bridge fund	85.00
	sibider rotok buni	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	County road bant fund	<ul> <li>\$ 12,000,05</li> <li>\$ 11,907,05</li> <li>\$ 11,907,05</li> <li>\$ 303,74</li> <li>\$ 303,74</li> <li>\$ 303,74</li> <li>\$ 303,74</li> <li>\$ 304,10</li> <li></li></ul>
	Isto'T	<ul> <li>269, 3866, 58</li> <li>377, 673, 58</li> <li>377, 673, 58</li> <li>361, 233, 56</li> <li>361, 233, 56</li> <li>361, 233, 56</li> <li>362, 233, 36</li> <li>361, 246, 36</li> <li>376, 266, 36</li> <li>376, 266, 36</li> <li>376, 266, 36</li> <li>376, 266, 36</li> <li>376, 368, 37</li> <li>387, 111, 36</li> <li>387, 211, 37</li> <li>387, 213, 36</li> <li>315, 366, 37</li> <li>317, 366, 36</li> <li>317, 366, 36</li> <li>317, 366, 36</li> <li>318, 366, 36</li> <li>318, 368, 36</li> <li>318, 368, 36</li> <li>318, 368, 36</li> <li>318, 368, 36</li> <li>317, 366, 36</li> <li>317, 366, 36</li> <li>318, 366, 36</li> <li>318, 366, 36</li> <li>318, 368, 36</li> <li>318, 36</li> <li>319, 36</li> <li>319, 36</li></ul>
erts	All other sources	\$ 5,066.65 \$
Bridges and Culverts	baut brost	\$ 3,801.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,755.63           9,83,010           3,170.86           9,743.89           3,170.89           8,170.86           8,110.66           6,011.67           1,351.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,551.00           1,601.77           1,601.77
Bridge	alaiday totoM buul	6,855,06 3,279,40 12,458,20 17,288,00 17,288,00 10,187,08 133,50 2,200,31 3,003,33 1,632,47 1,632,47 3,003,33 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,02 4,507,00 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 6,600,031 7,600,030 7,600,030 7,600,031 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,030 7,500,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00
	bnul szbirä	43, 602, 50 57, 135, 50 58, 50

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

Annual Reports of County Engineers

i.

SUMMARY TABLE NO.

County Funds

IIV-

Work.

and Road

Spent for Bridge

mount

236

Roads.

vert material for townships; \$1,448.12 or 4.8% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$154.90 or .5% was spent for filling bridges and culverts; \$341.55 or 1.2% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$25,445.18 spent for new bridges and culverts. \$25,349.22 or 99.7% was spent for permanent work; \$95.96 or .3% was spent for temporary work.

The amounts last referred to were spent on the following construction: 77 concrete box culverts, costing \$12,663.26; 2 corrugated pipe culverts with headwalls, costing \$79.55; 1 concrete slab bridge, costing \$978.22; 6 !-beam spans on concrete abutments, costing \$8,078.19; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments, costing \$3,550.00; 1 corrugated pipe culvert costing \$20.60; 1 wood pile bridge, costing \$75.36.

### WRIGHT COUNTY.

### The total county road expenditure was \$42,957.25 of which \$13,189.86 or 30.7% was spent for permanent work; \$9,695.73 or 22.6% was spent for temporary work; \$4,113.79 or 9.6% was spent for repairs; \$5,312.84 or 12.4% was spent for maintenance; \$7,020.98 or 16.3% was spent for equipment and unused material and \$3,624.05 or 8.4% was spent for special cases.

No roads were built to permanent or temporary grades. 39 miles were built to natural grade at a cost of \$9,590.86. 4 miles were surfaced with gravel at a cost of \$11,847.22.

The county road system was dragged an average of 22 times, the average cost of dragging being \$.87 per mile one round trip. The average cost of repairs and maintenance was \$52.48 per mile of county road. The total average expenditure per mile of county road was \$240.00.

Of the 179 miles in the county road system, 90 were patrolled, there being 6 districts with an average length of 15 miles.

No report of township expenditures was received.

### Bridges.

The total expenditure for bridge and culvert work during 1918 was \$46,658.56 of which \$27,998.19 or 60% was spent for permanent bridges and culverts; \$875.30 or 1.9% was spent for temporary bridges and culverts; \$14,492.57 or 31.1% was spent for repairs; \$2,707.46 or 5.8% was spent for culvert material for townships; \$63.77 or .14% was spent for equipment and unused material; \$76.40 or .16% was spent for filling bridges and culverts, and \$444.87 or .9% was spent for special cases.

Of the total amount \$28,873.49 spent for new bridges and culverts, \$27,998.19 or 97% was spent for permanent work and \$875.30 or 3% was spent for temporary work.

The last named amounts referred to were spent on the following construction: 42 concrete box culverts, costing \$13,762.96; 1 circular concrete culvert costing \$137.36; 1 concrete abutment costing \$4,071.22; 1 I-beam span on concrete abutments, costing \$5,306.85; 1 pony truss on concrete abutments, costing \$4,719.80; 37 corrugated pipe, costing \$752.30, and 1 wood pile bridge costing \$123.00.

### ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS 237

SUMMARY TABLE NO.

236

Roads.

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 1 .- Continued.

-		Brid	ges and Cu	lverts				Roads			S
County	Bridge fund	Motor vehicle fund	Road fund	All other sources	Total	County road cash fund	Motor vehicle fund	Bridge fund	All other sources	Total	Total bridge and road expenditur
Dubuque	76,883.15			7.236.84	84,119.99	92,936,47	13,745.93			102 200 10	100 000 00
Emmet	16,157.89	2,416.91	1,325.95	11200101	19,900.75	37,497.13	10,866.24			106,682.40	190,802.39
Fayette	48,881.07	7,570.82	1,020.00	4,069.86	60,521.75	51,379.45		03.00		48,456.87	68,357.62
Floyd	41,052.12	278.24		1,000.00	42,671.61	26,602.86	PT 02 1 11			51,379.45	111,901.20
Franklin	50,109,14	4,050.81			54,159.95	41,590,60	7,004.41			34,047.27	76,718.88
Fremont	76,373.60	11,571.06	9 575 64		90,520.32	23,170,99	7,489.88			49,080.48	103,240.43
Freene	52,699.45	********			66,793.86		1,839.01			25,010.50	115,530.82
Frundy	83,056.88	13,309.13	0.700 10	**********		23,697.20		********	360.75	24,057.95	90,851.81
Authrie	76,441.55	1,174.60	0,120,92 A 000 05		100,094.43	19,063.75				19,063.75	119,158.18
Iamilton	70,873.73	1,114.00	1,000.00		83,499.50	23,146.89	9,339.26			33,295.26	116,794.76
Hancock.	53,814.97	5,380,60	17 00		70,873.73 59,212.60	105,239.69		*********		105,239.69	176,113.42
Iardin	99.393.83	5,588.18	1111/0		104,982.01	17,861.19	12,495.74			30,356.93	89,569.53
Iarrison	64,428.71	8,269.64			72,698.35	50,247.87	4,227.41			57,877.13	162,859.14
Ienry	44,277.80	7,201.78			53,314.63	26,675.86 21,117.83	4,379.98			31,055.84	103,754.19
Ioward	37,672.68	2,459.34			40,465.87	12,241.91	2,430.35			23,548.18	76,862.81
fumboldt	49,996.37	11100101			50,518.54	32,549.83	9,629.08			21,870.99	62,836.86
da	42,882.72	4,141.78			50,292.49	5,149.98				45,868.25	96,386.79
0W0	152,693.78	8,273.20			161,465.13				**********	12,557.52	62,850.01
ackson	51,115.61	15,418.84				29,239.59				36,424.24	197,889.37
asper	139,501.21		and and the second s		66,698.55	19,722.15				22,246.53	88,945.08
efferson	37,883.73	1,687.68		*********	156,044.64	62,132.60				62,132.60	218,177.24
ohnson	54,563.64	1,001.09	2,057.05		41,628.46	16,519.18	6,026.42			22,545.60	64,174.06
one		000 00			60,421.78	30,251.89				31,911.14	92,332.92
ones	49,419.76	800.00			50,219.76	24,493.79	14,250.67			38,744.46	88,964,22
eokuk	58,801.37	23,803.91	1.81		82,607.09	16,402.15	414.50			16,816.65	99,423.74
ossuth	67,620.42				67,620.42	27,744.44	the last service of the service			45,253.24	112,873.66
ee	43,153.45	3,189.30			46,342.75	18,355.54				23,820.38	70,163.18
inn	76,123,48				79,864.48	50,440.09			1,046.75	71,400.03	151,204.5.
ouisa	26,584.14	3,612.59	151.16		30,347.89	6,260.89	when in the later.		1,010,10	23,303.02	53,650.91
ucas	41,025.63		13,018.41		54,044.04	3,515.93				15,515.93	69,559,97
yon	46,632.73	7,571.92			60,386.19	8,012.63				17,418.11	
adison	41,932.74	14,259.01			68,020,49	48,963.24			35,463,02	84,426,26	77,804.30
lahaska	53,641.81	9,391.68			65,470.44	22,462.86	1 009 00		35,403,02	84,420.20 23,555.46	154,612.60 91,575.95

Marion	$\begin{array}{r} 152, 210, 80\\ 83, 622, 47\\ 43, 836, 77\\ 01, 757, 74\\ 21, 976, 70\\ 30, 077, 72\\ 37, 536, 23\\ 64, 810, 71\\ 25, 719, 52\\ 68, 606, 70\\ 42, 122, 94\\ 91, 806, 50\\ 52, 444, 04\\ 161, 643, 24\\ 185, 975, 95\\ 55, 025, 80\\ 36, 714, 70\\ 110, 168, 38\\ 31, 599, 32\\ 54, 780, 06\\ 34, 719, 61\\ 33, 964, 38\\ 113, 397, 65\\ 46, 706, 57\\ 21, 632, 65\\ 465, 930, 63\\ 550, 133, 43\\ 354, 930, 63\\ 550, 133, 43\\ 37, 360, 00\\ 54, 2906, 86\\ 21, 809, 951\\ 431, 159, 51\\ 88, 44, 54\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,735,43\\6,500,00\\9,499,74\\6,226,89\\19,271,75\\23,432,53\\20,141,78\\9,793,58\\10,268,21\\17,587,00\\530,22\\12,070,20\\4,045,74\\11,261,92\\12,720,59\\19,007,38\\19,591,21\\1,2591,21\\4,838,61\\3,782,48\\12,596,13\\10,656,18\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,272,74\\ 6,571,85\\ 7,312,85\\ 2,642,63\\ 14,964,01\\ 20,926,83\\ \hline \\ 11,813,90\\ 20,926,83\\ \hline \\ 12,05,90\\ 3,602,63\\ \hline \\ 13,145,08\\ 8,665,10\\ 8,366,10\\ \hline \\ 350,50\\ 6,184,16\\ 1,865,36\\ 517,20\\ 1,044,90\\ 11,671,92\\ 4,871,82\\ \hline \\ 5,111,79\\ 6,422,97\\ \hline \\ 8,054,30\\ \hline \\ 3,947,98\\ 1,512,31\\ \hline \end{array}$	14,071.83	50,252.91 54,266.86 29,600.41 55,207.95 97,103.72	25,416.38 39,259.44 - 19,244.82 - 24,906.29 20,554.42 3,805.29 25,462.14 25,462.14	$\begin{array}{c} 3,279,69\\ 15,834.84\\ 4,991,32\\ 11,189,34\\ 7,252,04\\ \hline \\ 5,215,53\\ 14,051,08\\ 3,001,92\\ \hline \\ 15,429,46\\ 14,482,64\\ \hline \\ 7,920,29\\ 19,656,75\\ 3,008,30\\ 1,023,10\\ \hline \\ 20,134,71\\ 7,116,33\\ 33,049,81\\ 6,969,72\\ 6,089,58\\ \hline \end{array}$	64.78 3,503.68 1,694.73	116.75	$\begin{array}{c} 38,144.61\\ 69,018.66\\ 29,242.84\\ 25,575.42\\ 30,259.44\\ 19,244.82\\ 23,185.98\\ 37,108.90\\ 34,555.74\\ 14,994.63\\ 32,714.18\\ 37,089.48\\ 37,088.47\\ 95,400.58\\ 267,161.11\\ 45,135.05\\ 33,257.85\\ 8,139.67\\ 89,680.08\\ 85,003.91\\ 27,668.06\\ 47,222.37\\ 37,031.85\\ 52,728.74\\ 13,755.35\\ 16,094.59\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,680.08\\ 35,003.91\\ 27,658.66\\ 47,225.35\\ 16,204.59\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.62\\ 19,684.83\\ 27,987.71\\ 25,660.91\\ 18,750.39\\ 54,678.18\\ 27,987.71\\ 27,960.91\\ 19,7960.91\\ 22,257.55\\ 22,$	$\begin{array}{c} 193,015,05\\ 234,353,65\\ 119,437,16\\ 99,800.27\\ 104,546,81\\ 68,610,53\\ 104,206,49\\ 74,645,13\\ 114,206,49\\ 74,645,13\\ 114,83,25\\ 100,349,31\\ 151,854,97\\ 466,789,44\\ 264,307,86\\ 137,342,33\\ 72,488,68\\ 199,488,46\\ 67,043,73\\ 100,189,28\\ 199,488,46\\ 67,043,73\\ 100,189,28\\ 199,488,46\\ 67,043,73\\ 100,189,28\\ 199,488,46\\ 67,043,73\\ 100,189,28\\ 103,533,63\\ 171,217,63\\ 83,424,70\\ 55,319,05\\ 83,428,91\\ 107,296,25\\ 83,428,91\\ 107,296,25\\ 81,008,42\\ 101,873,53\\ 60,003,30\\ 108,945,04\\ 57,588,12\\ 83,228,86\\ 157,433,94\\ 52,596,04\\ 89,615,81\\ \end{array}$
Woodbury Worth Wright Total8	30,338.49 46,658.56				30,338.49 46,658.56	42,957.25				42,957.25 \$3,856,051.88	\$10,664,870.04

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 2.

Bridge and Culvert Construction.-County Expenditures.-Annual Reports of County Engineers.

÷

18

	1000	1							
County	Permanent bridges and culverts	Temporary bridges and culverts	Repairs	Culvert material purchased for townships	Equipment and material	Filling bridges and culverts	Miscellaneous right of way, channel channel	Total	IOWA
Adair	\$ 33,735,37 9,227,45 12,530,41 133,771,80 27,792,16 75,431,68 13,400,75 63,952,64 23,646,59 23,505,00 25,630,17	4,526,64 9,465,70 3,770,81 14,664,81 2,263,04 2,454,19 3,156,51 497,90 1,406,81	\$ 8,068.94 7,786.10 11,776.29 10,592.59 6,008.09 12,522.47 13,980.48 3,407.72 5,025.98 6,004.89	\$ 930.84 2.953.67 2.500.11 8.454.06 4.086.76 4.361.73 3.368.03 3.478.49 1.000.00 6.215.77	\$ 349.37 5,416.58 4,180.47 3,884.40 2,924.21 2,980.14 9,149.06 4,545.48 7,677.18 32.33	7,525.32 405.75 9,559.25 2,976.02 7,258.14 8,219.90 3,655.69	\$ 93.00 , 237.42 2,259.80 365.88 689.58 1,514.44 3,502.15 532.90	\$ 59,356.5; 37,673.14 43,218.5; 50,398.7; 59,231.6; 106,331.6; 54,074.5; 82,729.43 41,018.54	STATE HIGH
Butler.         Calhoum.         Carroll.         Cass.         Cedar.         Cedar.         Cetro Gordo.         Cherokee.         Chiekasaw         Clayton.         Clinton.         Crawford.         Dailas.         Davis.         Deeatur.         Des Moines.         Dickinson         Dubugue.         Emmet.         Fayette.	$\begin{array}{c} 25,330,11\\ 15,667,27\\ 22,994,85\\ 28,903,35\\ 36,702,85\\ 13,323,22\\ 51,883,92\\ 67,229,64\\ 28,650,39\\ 15,074,11\\ 46,141,55\\ 46,949,47\\ 37,440,58\\ 119,283,86\\ 47,653,16\\ 9,914,27\\ 14,372,09\\ 19,625,36\\ 19,114,60\\ 33,750,99\\ 49,860,35\\ 8,296,83\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 83.02\\ 6,384.00\\ 1,727.36\\ 4,005.53\\ 10,037.54\\ 94.80\\ 682.89\\ 65.20\\ 12,310.39\\ 5,329.16\\ 1,944.96\\ 2,631.88\\ 526.65\\ 2,633.42\\ 1,929.03\\ 5,142.72\\ 4,756.14\\ 1,942.58\\ \hline 927.23\\ 2,242.85\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 776.64\\ 23.745.81\\ 4.768.53\\ 9.846.63\\ 9.230.67\\ 7.335.71\\ 6.155.42\\ 7.909.79\\ 5.557.95\\ 1.428.87\\ 6.342.95\\ 4.492.87\\ 28,108.15\\ 7.085.04\\ 13.456.11\\ 7.760.41\\ 6.884.72\\ 1.858.13\\ 2.711.15\\ 15.535.95\\ 1.245.83\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,783.08\\ 5,731.28\\ 5,655.50\\ 21.60\\ 0,1,709.15\\ 7,344.03\\ 369.60\\ 4,796.68\\ 2,324.78\\ 3,314.34\\ 5,006.95\\ 10,160.96\\ 9,703.14\\ 142,08\\ 3,029.25\\ 3,230.26\\ 4,126.43\\ 2,192.93\\ 385.98\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102.30\\ 105.60\\ 8,020.10\\ 12,788.20\\ 5,280.00\\ 8,852.25\\ 6,106.63\\ 6,480.00\\ 6,323.01\\ 5,791.13\\ 5,110.50\\ 7,110.31\\ 5,110.50\\ 7,110.31\\ 949.36\\ 806.07\\ 8,000.00\\ 5,422.48\\ 1,143.32\\ 10,743.92\\ 3,847.69\\ 2,520.14\\ 500.00\\ 8,759.27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 1,142,30\\ 4,060,43\\ 843,35\\ 867,93\\ 10,706,67\\ 145,88\\ 927,00\\ 3,560,19\\ 1,808,41\\ 7,223,30\\ 1,350,45\\ 3,187,23\\ 2,386,33\\ 8,343,60\\ 4,343,08\\ 1,331,85\\ 5,978,03\\ 1,067,60\\ 19,06\\ 1,391,72\\ 7,160,92\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3)4.07\\782.22\\20.00\\1.606.46\\14.489.97\\100.00\\5.593.87\\293.65\\293.65\\293.65\\293.65\\293.65\\293.65\\295.50\\1.109.20\\1.625.75\\510.13\\637.23\\3.461.21\\209.40\\3.027.63\\2.490.29\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37,463,87\\ 225,519,95\\ 57,906,11\\ 47,902,49\\ 09,203,79\\ 83,701,40\\ 29,553,88\\ 00,178,67\\ 96,271,36\\ 57,267,36\\ 43,963,56\\ 69,842,70\\ 63,971,13\\ 51,858,65\\ 178,285,74\\ 76,646,06\\ 31,767,58\\ 50,141,05\\ 36,808,01\\ 30,665,98\\ 41,951,54\\ 84,119,59\\ \end{array}$	
Floyd	35,031.91 22,670.90 32,384.32 19,245.41	656.44 7,945.54 364.06 24,854.46	3,956,55 7,456,09 14,543,42	4,046.11   1,000.00 4,051.46 5,619.00	5,907.62 1,219.98 5,350.21 7,180.40 20,472.45	840,85 446,35 1,341,25 1,827,27 5,553,88	778.91 4,324.42 407.16 896.35 231.70	19,900.75 60,521.75 42,071.61 54,159.95 90,520.32	
Fremont.         Greene.         Grundy.         Guthrie.         Hamilton.         Hancock.         Hardin.         Harrison.         Henry.         Howard.         Humboldt.         Ida.         Jackson.         Jasper.         Jefferson.         Johnson.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r} 949.15\\ 9,950.94\\ 6,788.56\\ 2,814.26\\ 2,223.50\\ 7,379.26\\ 3,304.62\\ 1,126.48\\ 9,247.70\\ \hline 8,096.40\\ 4.596.59\\ 1.255.21\\ 4.816.43\\ 2.042.26\\ 5.510.62\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12, 126, 04\\ 8, 299, 38\\ 7, 591, 44\\ 13, 624, 52\\ 8, 422, 55\\ 4, 874, 85\\ 24, 086, 37\\ 2, 318, 82\\ 3, 550, 33\\ 1, 261, 97\\ 9, 753, 90\\ 92, 780, 66\\ 9, 753, 90\\ 23, 780, 66\\ 9, 753, 90\\ 23, 780, 66\\ 1, 247, 95\\ 1, 247, 95\\ 1, 247, 95\\ 1, 11\\ 7, 247, 95\\ 1, 157, 45\\ 1, 157, 45\\ 1, 126, 126\\ 1, 126, 126\\ 1, 126, 126\\ 1, 126, 126\\ 1, 126\\ 1, 126, 126\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,455.08\\ 3,325.60\\ 4,390.98\\ 1,092.86\\\\ 5,240.64\\ 407.12\\ 2,987.28\\ 5,287.26\\ 2,313.84\\ 7,943.73\\ 70.76\\ 17,116.87\\ 5,125.66\\ 7,692.68\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,564,53\\ 14,345,61\\ 2,468,88\\ \hline \\ 406,22\\ 3,405,14\\ 2,400,37\\ 2,277,76\\ 5,228,31\\ 2,704,48\\ 33,129,88\\ 13,445,89\\ 22,40\\ 484,14\\ 4,714,13\\ 2,934,18\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,777,69\\ 4,035,25\\ 9,051,79\\ \hline \\ 178,99\\ 79,68\\ 562,80\\ 1,835,10\\ 1,142,19\\ 809,54\\ 3,729,63\\ 972,86\\ 972,86\\ 3,729,63\\ 972,86\\ 2,128,29\\ 16,543,43\\ 2,057,05\\ 6,030,19\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 420 & 13 \\ 7,240 & 57 \\ 1,978 & 89 \\ 3,476 & 58 \\ 1,326 & 99 \\ 306 & 65 \\ 9,714 & 55 \\ 20.00 \\ 380 & 40 \\ 246 & 19 \\ 911 & 67 \\ 831 & 06 \\ 590 & 30 \\ 8,048 & 12 \\ 739.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66,703.86\\ 100,094.43\\ 83,499.50\\ 70,873.73\\ 59,212.60\\ 104,982.01\\ 72,608.35\\ 53,314.63\\ 40,405.87\\ 50,518.54\\ 50,292.49\\ 161,405.13\\ 66,608.55\\ 156,044.64\\ 41,628.46\\ 60,421.78\end{array}$	ANNUAL REPORTS
Jones Keokuk Kossuth Lee Linn Louisa Lueas Lueas Luyon Mahaska Madison Marion Marshall Mills Mitchell Monona Monroe Montgomery Museatine	25,947.97 52,950.89 2,400.82 26,870.80 26,870.80 24,435.70 35,642.01 31,604.87 22,000.41 36,213.24 34,929.22 20,768.57 35,308.82 21,988.31 21,988.31 23,385.26	2,129.59 134.90 3,250.02 5,860.06 812.00 1,810.97 18,169.05 2,067.40 31,197.13 1,967.63	$\begin{array}{c} 13,868,03\\ 14,098,01\\ 11,321,98\\ 5,383,80\\ 4,962,84\\ 4,962,84\\ 13,129,44\\ 6,665,40\\ 13,325,75\\ 4,260,52\\ 11,648,10\\ 12,812,90\\ 8,036,75\\ 16,480,55\\ 7,172,04\\ 17,135,42\\ 5,770,08\\ \end{array}$	2,464.56 3,690.87 2,052.39 5,410.09 2,904.73 9,513.81 8,999.88 4,440.88 3,328.08 3,328.48 1,378.82 2,505.72 3,695.83 3,548.72 2,505.72	1,634.20 5,416.08 9,252.69	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	151.50 2,611.45 451.00 170.34 1,703.62 2,136.43 1,530.51 2,189.91 1,115.66 4,420.56 1,420.56 1,440.86 5,518.98 1,063.00 2,966.50 4,412.07	$\begin{array}{c} 50, 219, 76\\ 82, 607, 00\\ 67, 020, 42\\ 46, 342, 75\\ 79, 864, 48\\ 30, 347, 89\\ 54, 044, 04\\ 60, 336, 19\\ 68, 030, 49\\ 65, 470, 44\\ 70, 186, 34\\ 165, 334, 99\\ 90, 194, 32\\ 65, 314, 85\\ 74, 287, 37\\ 49, 365, 71\\ 76, 089, 51\\ 37, 536, 23\\ \end{array}$	OF COUNTY
O'Brien. Osceola. Page. Palo Alto. Plymouth. Pocahontas.	$\begin{array}{c} 64, 657, 66\\ 32, 261, 57\\ 39, 994, 84\\ 32, 111, 87\\ 41, 856, 51\\ 24, 907, 92\\ 145, 517, 70\\ 32, 849, 13\\ 63, 375, 98\\ 27, 242, 98\\ 93, 271, 57\\ 16, 619, 57\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.27\\ 6,600.84\\ 8,633.29\\ 5,264.55\\ 15,547.60\\ 8,454.98\\ 7,879.63\\ 75,535.19\\ 300.20\\ 4,630.92\\ 1,175.40\\ 1,102.81\\ \end{array}$	3,125.08 916.41 16,439.23 3,054.50 9,547.29 9,655.72 12,333.04	$\begin{array}{c} 2,421,90\\ 1,816.85\\ 10,178,01\\ 1,350.95\\ 4,022.80\\ 3,706.99\\ 7,741.80\\ 32,754.94\\ 8,508.00\\ 3,828.64\\ 1,934.11\\ 666.85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,051,39\\ 4,171,10\\ 857,57\\ 1\\ 4,345,35\\ 6,773,94\\ 5,735,83\\ 9,214,41\\ 1,4,151,22\\ 1\\ 1,485,40\\ 9,057,41\\ 1\\ 4,161,20\\ 4,069,71\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.25\\ 1,233.95\\ 33.154.93\\ 2.943.70\\ 933.54\\ 1\\ 3,552.55\\ 2\\ 3,552.55\\ 2\\ 3,652.55\\ 10\\ 3\\ 3,522.55\\ 1\\ 1,039.55\\ 4\\ 1,616.45\\ 3\end{array}$	863.10 32.70 ,511.20 ,079.35 ,829.66 ,059.41 ,666.78 ,867.42 ,905.72 116.60	$\begin{array}{c} 80, 900, 20\\ 76, 546, 14\\ 47, 033, 42\\ 91, 769, 07\\ 48, 349, 83\\ 114, 521, 50\\ 54, 454, 39\\ 199, 628, 33\\ 219, 262, 81\\ 103, 484, 48\\ 64, 349, 01\\ 110, 108, 38\\ 31, 949, 82\\ 78, 551, 22\\ \end{array}$	ENGINEERS 241

**IOWA STATE HIGH** 

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 2 .- Continued.

				The second				
County	Permanent bridges and eulverts	Temporary bridges and culverts	Repairs	Culvert material purchased for townships	Equipment and material	Filling bridges and cuiverts	Miscellancous right of way, channel changes, etc.	Total
Sloux	30,503.67 64,451.04 26,215.89 26,777.89 18,777.46 29,985.48 20,685.48 20,644.25 14,875.67 32,797.19 12,526.17 28,648.31	$\begin{array}{c} 11,062.18\\ 8,214.84\\ 20,798.67\\ 5,006.38\\ 203.58\\ 13,907.13\\ 7,524.63\\ 4,369.39\\ 8,092.67\\ 3,726.20\\ 1,435.43\\ 452.40\\ 6,702.12\\ 14,058.08\\ 95.93\end{array}$	23,708.15 19,648.91 11,227.70 1,348.54 15,336.67 23,222.76 6,446.71 4,702.89 4,022.93 14,882.97	$\begin{array}{c} 1,028,28\\ 3,152,92\\ 6,457,81\\ 956,20\\ 2,463,06\\ 2,699,00\\ 9,437,70\\ 3,097,95\\ 6,005,04\\ 3,279,00\\ 4,361,62\\ 4,471,58\\ 2,515,79\\ 1,805,15\\ 2,472,34\\ \end{array}$	3,320.07 13,315.70 6,406.88 11,756.33 9,513.02 15,274.81 2,950.26 2,471.28 17,020.87	857.75 2,521.34 11,671.92 4,871.82 166.27 5,564.99 6,422.97 102.60 8,054.30	560.61 9.45 1,076.60 179.50 800.37 138.79 204.79 117.96 1,020.00 374.20	$\begin{array}{c} 51,186.52\\ 66,151.78\\ 118,488.29\\ 69,639.41\\ 39,234.46\\ 65,003.20\\ 81,633.63\\ 61,383.62\\ 50,138.43\\ 50,252.91\end{array}$
Wright Totals	27,998.19 \$3,578,451.05	875.30 \$ 598,426.88	14,492.57	2,707.46 \$ 410,171.73	1,448.12 63.77 \$650,984.96	154.90 76,40 \$385,118.02	\$158,129.52	30,338.49 46,658.56 \$ 6,808,818.16

E ----

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART I.

Classification of Permanent Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918 .-

County Expenditures .- Annual Reports of County Engineers.

County	Culverts Concrete Box		Culverts Circular Concrete			Julverts erete Arch	Culv	erts With adwalls	Corrugated Pipe Culverts With Headwalls		Masonry Arch Culverts	
county	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
dair	14	\$ 15,748.58					65 40	\$ 16,489.93 0 100 93				\$ 50.25
dams Ramakee	10										2	1,402.35
panoose	2 19						4	1,027.07				
ack Hawk	95 57	12,532.43										
oné	32 23	39,438.93 9,072.79	1	\$ 133.72								
chanan ena Vista	48 55	17,695.75 16,129.77			a set of the set of the							
itler	4 10	872.54 7,769.29	17									
irroll	40 28	17,529.30 30,498.71	4	736.72			1	95.45	1	115.95		
dar	25	10,919.94				**********						
erro Gordo	30 59	10,066.81 25,837.06										
nickasaw	5 22	1,512.19 12,888.14										
ay	57 20	28,711.79 6,423.00	1	72.84								
inton	41 99	16,640.11 96,118.00									*******	
allas	32	19,266.96	3	732.12								
avis	77	2,965.21 5,537.13	4	257.18						*************		
elawarees Moines	47	13,007.47 3,837.07	82	1,288.25 487.56								
ickinson	25 28	9,661.92 12,914.64	86	10,078.15								
mmet	10	3,312.15	25	2,919.58								

242

TOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART I.-Continued.

County		ulverts crete Box	Culverts Circular Concrete		Culverts Concrete Arch		Culv	erete Pipe erts With adwalls	Culve	ated Pipe erts With adwalls	Masonry Arch Culverts	
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
Favatta					1.10							
Fayette	22	9,780.36		the second second	A							the second second
Floyd	53	16,809.63					· ····································					
Franklin	28	16,528.72				************			1	184.32	********	
Fremont	15	15,486.12			*******					1.1.0.		***********
Greene	18	9,172.39	59	7 047 04	*******		- 19	3,378.45			******	******
Grundy	89	32,654.82		7,647.34			- 14	720.31				
Guthrie	51		2	410.93	Annania la					************		
Hamilton	38	36,184.99					12	1,647.05	*******			
Hancock		19,370.15	5	724.27	10000		1.4					
Hardin.	52	23,551.85	6	817.20					1	158.30		
Harrison	68	33,404.92	64	7,637.25				4.65				
Hanna	1	1,965.90										
Henry	108	30,424.08	11	1,327.12	********	***********		8,692.27	Sindak I.		*******	
Howard	19	8,256.02	8								*******	************
Humboldt	35	17,462.40	6	1,117.07				A STATE OF				
Ida	18	20,138.03	0	849.60					*******			
IOW8	45									***********	*******	
Jackson		48,374.50							*******			
Jasper	45	20,600.96	25	5,231,69			4	107.40				
Jefferson	65	39,939.77						***********	2	514.50	1	367.83
Tohnson	22	11.054.60				**********						101110
Johnson	27	11,410.20	18	2,376.02					2000000			
Jones	14	8,325.08		4,010.02								
Keokuk	39	37,068.90				***********	1000 C	5.00 States		***********		
Kossuth	3	482.30					13	2,880.33			*******	
Lee	8		16	1,918.52				4,000.00				
Linn		3,477.09	17	2,638.47								
Louisa	29	16,458.65	7	1,109.39	10000		TRADES &					and the second
Lucas	5	4,714.51	2	957.94					2	276.33		
Lyon	27	15,622.34	- Internet			***********						
Lyon	18	4,417.83					52	8,171.28				************
Madison	31	20,572.28						and the second s				**************
Mahaska	- 22	16.757.49					1	524.84				
Marion	36	25,939,59	THEFTAR				3	496.35				
Marshall	98		17	3,533.96				100.00				
Mills		64,593.51	15	3,848.17								
Mitchell	11	16,187.31							1	186.85		
Monona	93	29,142.54				***********	12	3,306.50		a harmonic and here a	and the second	
Monona.	21	9,760.10										
MONFOE	18	18,836.46									******	
		10,000.40			And the second second	and the second second second	The second s		TONEMENT AN			
Montgomery	21	6,468.46		a second s				765 00				

Muscatine	74	17,509.46	17	2,293.93								
O'Brien	83	15,555.76	D									
Osceola	55	20,741.08	- 29	3,565.39			*******		1	82.93		
Page	20	18,688.05			1.2.2.2.2.2.2							
Palo Alto	28	18,163.69	9	1,522,00								
Plymouth	36	26,964.22								and and a state of the state of		
	19	7,602.66	0	491 81	1000		1.00					
Pocahontas			â	#10.70								
Polk	65	68,874.02	2	512.72								
Pottawattamie	7	6,544.11					60					
oweshiek	41	25,977.24	3	599.59		************	1			************		
linggold	37	20,071.90					19	2,374.60				
ac	63	29,631.83	6	525.62	69	\$ 7,201.96			46	2,303.05		
cott	18	11,265.87	14	2,319,67	20200	Sector States						
helby	17	21,594,44	-			the second s						
lour	76	5,077,62										
oux	42	13,749.75	01	1.754.68	and the second sec				1			
tory			1 ml									
ama	35	18,164.45			*******							
aylor	28	16,552.17					67					
nion	8	3,285.47					85	11,703.38				
an Buren	23	15,223.12										
Vapello	14	14.204.92					11	5,343,69				
arren	15	12.044.78	20					318,40				Contractor State
ashington	20	12,855.25						010110				
Tanna Con	12	10,142,30										
ayne	6			En loss con on our	100000000000000000000000000000000000000							
/ebster		6,585.14										
innebago	10	4,230.49	11									
/inneshiek	70	13,999.12	2	37.38								
loodbury	19	13,828.79					14	2,547.59				
orth	77	12,663.26				**************			2	* 79.55		
Vright	42	13,762.96	1	137.36								
Totals	3,312	\$1,741,751.62	617	\$ \$4,903.78	69	\$ 7,201,96	672	\$ 133,691,91	59	\$ 4,136,66	4	\$ 1.820.4

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNT? ENGINEERS

244

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART II.

### Classification of Permanent Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918 .-

County Expenditures .- Annual Reports of County Engineers.

County	Culy	iler Pipe zerts With eadwalls	Cul	t Iron Pipe verts With leadwalls			Headwalls on Culverts Previously Constructed			erete Slab Fridges	Concrete Arch Bridges	
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
							22 1	\$ 1,328.53 36.52				
llamakee ppanoose udubon									1	3,683.55		\$ 810.
enton lack Hawk oone									5	10,246.59		8,308.
emer rehanan rena Vista								1,755,47				
utleralhoun									1	496.81		
dar	1	\$ 336.90		\$ 13.04								<u></u>
erro Gordo nerokee nickasaw									7 5 1	8,701.22 2,723.55 2,912.07		
larke lay layton										8,210.85		18,473.
inton								126.00		6.679.20		
avis	4	654.39					16					
lawares Moines ekinson	Concerning the second second		1	194.48		*********			4	5,329.64		

									100	1 100 00		***********
						and the second second			1	1,788.00 -		
		and a second							1	1,012.10 -		
						***********			5	3,207.50 -		
FRVelle									2	6,214.51 -		
Floyd		The second second				***********	4	385.84 -	*******		*******	
Franklin						***********	2	123.34	1			
Fremont								Assort	4	10,431.61		
Greene					instead of					Av, warer .	PAST CAL	
Grundy			*******				3	308.80 .	3	13 100 5		( The second sec
Guthrie	1000					Concession of the second				10 777 70		
Hamilton									6	7 414 35		
Hamilton						No. of Concession, Name			D	1,414.20		
Hancock										and a mining of a	******	
Hardin	12000			***********					8	8,119.81		
Harrison									1	1,558.86	*******	
Henry			1.00			************	3	180.00	4	7,805.25		
Howard							1					
Humboldt							1	315.60	4	9,821.79		A
Ida		************						852.00				and an and the state of
Iowa							2	692.00	162	20,466.19	2 /////	
Jackson									10			
Jasper	3				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		2					
Jefferson							1	26.94				
Johnson					1	105.10	4	473.50				
						Activity	1. Sugar					
Jones			Lunener									
Keokuk	1222					8						
Kossuth	18	1,217.27	1.5.5						2	6,483.28		
Lee	2	523,50										
Linn		0,000,000	1	590.62				e annument seven				
Louisa			9	195.52					*******			
Lucas			-	1.000	1000000	LANDARSTONATO					and the second	
Lyon			******		1	818.17			2	0 029 15		
Madison	Concession of				Contraction of the		- 6		2	6,006,49		The second second second
Mahaska	1	90.00					1 1			30 070 00	1	16,010,00
Marion.							5	466.07	8	19,970.23		
Marshall							5	1,274.76				
							Same and		1			
Mills							1	126.90	3	4,726.80		
						1,300.10			1	1,447.00		
Monona	a second second second					1,000.10	15					
Monroe		A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	Contraction of the						3	2,420.57		
Montgomery	5						-	100.14	11	12,854.90		
Muscatine		010100							3	4,413,22		
O'Brien												-
Ösceola							- 3	3 191.21	3	9 954 75	Contracts	
Page										60 03		
Palo Alto				in the second second					3	1,317.08		
Plymouth								2 266.15	3	1,011.00		
Pocahontas					1				-	11,675.08	1	1.210.00
Polk									. 4	11,073.08		
Pottawattamie	THE CONTRACTOR OF						2	5 2,958.85	1	2,167.47		
Poweshiek.								1 182.31				
Ringgold												
Sac.								and the second se				
Outressessessessessessesses	and the second											

246

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART II.-Continued.

County	Boiler Pipe Culverts With Headwalls		Cast Iron Pipe Culverts With Headwalls		М	Masonry Box Culverts		Headwalls on Culverts Previously Constructed		Concrete Slab Bridges		ncrete Arch
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Bridges
Scott Shelby Sloux							8	173.28			1	14,004.8
SiouxStory									2	3,034.03		
l'ama l'aylor	*******									m3020.00	was no interested	
nion							2	99.85	1	2,678.19		
apello	1	185.77					00	10 2 2 2 2 2 2	******		a new second	
arrenashington	*******						6	2,544.05	1	222.30		
								1,469.27				
innebago												
					anner			********	4	8,064.37	*******	***********
			*******	*******	1	2,107.96	2	21.70	1	1 (10 27		***********
					ana ana			177.73	4	6,719.50	*******	***********
Totals	30	8 4 310 31	0			*****				978.22		************
	1		0	* 1,114.56	13	\$ 5,448.98	198	27,933.17	164	8 960 548 84	10	

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART III.

Classification of Permanent Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918 .--

County Expenditures-Annual Report of County Engineers.

County		oncrete butments		oncrete gh Girders		oncrete Girders	Retai	ning Walls		ntments lasonry	Abu	im Spans itments Concrete
County	Nø.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
Idair.							1	TALL TRADUCTOR				
dams							*******	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O			1	\$ 850.0
llamakee						\$ 9,955.00				************	0	2,046.8
ppanoose					1	the restaurants		a second s			î	22.9
udubon			6		law of the second se	************		**********				
enton				A selfaring				728.44				************
lack Hawk					3	8,276.75	4		*******	***********		5,941.4
00ne											0	9,041.1
remer					2 2	8,862.80						*****
uchanan	1	A. alaura			2	4,297.60						7,553.0
Buena Vista						*******	1	191.43			19	7,003.0
Butler			2	5,664.80			******				and see a	Adda and a state of a
Jalhoun	1	and the second sec			1	2,878.80					2	3,915.6
					4.	9,766.89	2	446.00			30	200.0
											1	569.0
Jedar							*******	***********			1	2,403.2
Cerro Gordo					4	14,621.60					3	4,845.0
							1	983,50			32	14,960.1
hickasaw									1	\$ 120.28	2	4,558.3
larke							********					
Clay						***********	*******				14	15,992.5
Clayton	2	The Representation of the second								30.00	5	2,692.1
Clinton				and the second se	10.110.000				-		1	3,307.2
Crawford											8	7,590.7
Dallas	3	The Arrest Street Stree			1	3,696.00					BARREN .	
Davis												
Decatur												
Delaware								**********				
Des Moines					2	4,251.42	*******					
Dickinson	1	476.66		**********					*******		4	6,567.00
Dubuque	3	3,763.87					*******					
Emmet					1	276.21	*******					
ayette					1	12,913,16					1	5,709.6

# ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

248

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

County		oncrete outments	Control	oncrete gh Girders		oncrete k Girders	Retain	ning Walls		asonry utments	on C	m Spans loncrete ments
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost
Novd					1	72.90					8	2,258.29
ranklin	1										2	1,749.19
											*******	
reene			*******		4	19,318.18				**********		6 000 bi
rundy					*******					*************	3	9,399.72
uthrie						0 000 01			*******	***********		557,06
lamilton			1	4,441.80	4	8,266.64			*******	*********	5	10,545.20
ancock				0 mmm 00		04 045 05					1	4,194 85
ardin			3	3,777.99	5	24,245.95					0	1,199.93
larrison	21									***********	1	245.12 3.065.31
enry	I	1,636.50								***********	3	6,938.57
oward	3	3,273.40	********				1	100,60	*******	**********		2,846.79
la	3	3,213.40	1				-	100.00	******	***********	.2	
W8	2	4,940,32	*******					***********	****	***********	9	24,659,78
ackson	1	4,940.32					-1	521.93	2	1,814.60		3,184.25
asper							4				22	3,225,80
efferson								132.00	*******			0,220,80
ohnson								*************	*******			6.981.74
						********				**********	4	17,044.29
ones						**********			*******		0	17,044.20
eokuk											*******	
ee												0 000 51
	and the second se		and the second se								1	3,025 51
inn	and the second se				1	2,919.20	*******	*****				11,498.90
ouisa								110 50	********		1	1,265.87
ucas	*******		Contraction of the second			9,667,42	1	446.56				4 100 00
yon	1	94.12			2	C				************	6	4,160.60
ahaska							water to be a				-	
arion											1	1,020.00
arshall											5	16,888.71
1118				***********								
itchell									*******		4	6,919.40
onona.												
onroe											2	7,561.21
ontgomery			and and and	Carl Contractor			Sugar in				and a starter	and the second second
uscatine												
Brien											6	12,053.53

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART III.-Continued.

159.31 3,719.643,495.409,049.86Osceola\_\_\_\_\_ Page\_\_\_\_ Palo Alto\_\_\_\_\_ Plymouth\_\_\_\_\_ 1 34 -----1 625.08 342.20 1 3,727.80 8 55,425.88 Pocahontas\_\_\_\_\_ Polk\_\_\_\_\_ Kellin. 23,460.67 9 ...... ----4,796.43 Pottawattamie .... 3 Poweshiek\_\_\_\_\_ Ringgold\_\_\_\_\_ 9 23,562.3.) -----....... Sac\_\_\_\_\_ Scott\_\_\_\_\_ Shelby\_\_\_\_\_ Sioux\_\_\_\_\_ Story\_\_\_\_\_ 7,948.24 847.18 1,150.75 13,084.59 7,228.93 21 1 13 \$4.60 1 Tama\_\_\_\_\_ Taylor\_\_\_\_\_ Union\_\_\_\_\_ Van Buren\_\_\_ -----4,014.58 2 323.73 ----2 1,160.32 3,113.57 6,475.50 11 3 2,208.25 1 Van Buren. Wapello..... Washington..... Washington..... Webster..... Winnebago..... Winneshiek...... Woodbury 2,366.85  $\substack{2,826.37\\1,784.55\\867.91\\2,855.18\\8,078.19\\5,306.85}$ 1 3,952.88 -----and in succession 151 13,058.31 ...... -----1 2,263.00 2,079.71 1 749.87 10 1 4,901.91 6 2,463.00 361 .... -----Woodbury\_\_\_\_ Worth\_\_\_\_\_ Wright\_\_\_\_\_ ----------------.......... 4.071.22 1 380,078.16 253 8 16 \$ 5,204.91 5,421.17 15 \$ 33,240.83 55 \$ 226,727.35 15 51,151.36 33 3 Totals\_\_\_\_\_

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

250

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART IV.

# Classification of Permanent Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918 .--

County Expenditures-Annual Report of County Engineers.

County		cel Girders Concrete butments	Cor	y Truss with acrete Abut- is and Floor	Truss	ligh Steel ses Concrete butments		concrete	Total Cost
*	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	- Bridges and Culverts Constructed
dair							-		
dairdams				and the second					
Hamakee					*******				- \$ 33,735.37
									- 9,227.45
ack Hawk			a constant						13,771.80
			- 2		*******				m111041170
			*******						
aban an			0	E					13,400.75 63,952,64
				5,711.00					23,646,59
itler	-								23,505.00
lhoun	- 1	\$ 1,634.24	3	6,998.88	*******				25,630,17
lhoun rroll ss			1	0,000.00					15,667.27
						\$ 2,884.30			22,294,85
ual			1	5,298.24	*******	**********			28,903.35
rro Gordo								************	36,702.85
Laboration and the second s			2	8,454.10		***********			13,323.22
lekasaw			7	17,027.17	1	5,698,19			51,883.92
AND ADDRESS ADDRES			3	19,556.54		0,000110			67,229.64
ly			1 2	2,185.97		States and the state of the			88,659.39
nton			3	1,364.40					15,074.17
and and			4	37 007 00	2	9,150.00			46,141.55 46,949,47
Das			6	17,367.26 -					37,440.58
vie				15,575.00 _			and the second se		119,283,86
atur	*****								47,653.16
									9,914.27
Molmer									14,372.09
bingon			2	0.005					19,625,36
			3	6,925.51 _					19,114,60
				6,967.26 _					33,750.90
met	1233263 201						CONCERCION IN		48,860,35

iyette			1				35,031,91
oyd	1	78.20					22,670.90
anklin	8	7,680.49	anteres .				32,384.32
emont							19,245.41
							39,491.24
						The second second	52,897.08
	4	9,254.07	0				51,228.96
thrie		0,201.01		01.410.00	*******		49,805,51
milton			1				
neoek	1	7.50					41,353.81
rdin 1 72.00	2						83,571.63
rrison	7						30,297.70
iry							45,232.85
ward				419.54			18,290.06
mboldt	2	7,116.75					40,074.89
	1	2,884.62					23,022.65
8	5						109,813,73
kson	2	1,965.59					35,066,37
per	3	9,777.78	1				80,583.36
	1			61000.00	*******		17,393,29
		6,562.76					27,857.66
nson							
							25,947.97
kuk	2						52,950.89
suth							2,400.82
	2	16,512.46					26,870.80
D				2.371.50			41,640.75
nisa	1	103.55					7,632,49
948	and the second second						24,435,70
00	4	8,173,10					35,642.01
dison						***********	22,009.41
haska							31,604,87
							36,213.24
	1						
rshall	1	3,444.28	1			********	134,929.22
18							20,768.57
						***********	38,308.82
nona	1						14,677.49
nroe							32,038.93
ntgomery	1	3,914.84				************	21,988.31
scatine							23,385.26
Brien	11	22,898.87					64,057.06
eola	1	3,199.64	24.000				32,261.57
ze	2	21,115.58					39,994,8/
o Alto	4	6,451.79					32,111.87
mouth	8	11,327,86					41.856.51
ahontas		6,247.33					24,907.92
k	1	8,750.00					
ttawattamie 1 2,987.05		3,730.00					145,517.70
	4	12,437,89				***********	32,849.13
		12,457.89					63,375.98
iggold		10 001 00			*******		27,242.93
	2	13,984.26	1	1.884.80			93,271.57

SUMMARY TABLE NO. 3-PART IV .- Continued.

County	(	el Girders Jonerete Dutments	Cor	v Truss with acrete Abut- 8 and Floor	Truss	igh Steel es Conerete outments	(	k Trusses Concrete butments	Total Cost Permanent
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	Bridges and Culverts Constructed
helby			_					-	
									29,542.63
			1	1,914.65					9,402.10
ylor			3	36,294.87					
1011								*********	64,451.0
u buren			1	4,298.85			*******		26,215.8
apeno				0.010.00					26,777.88 18,777.40
the first sector is a sector of the sector is a sector			1	3,849.35 7,696.00					29,277.88
	And the second sec	President and the second s	-						29,085,48
bster			1	4,733.37				************	20,644.25
onebago									14,875.67
									32,797.10
									12,526.17 28,648.31
			2	9,287.93					35,426.72
ight			1	3,550.00					25,349.22
				4,719.80	Conservation of the local division of the lo				27,998,19
	3 5	\$ 4,603.29	135	3 442,932.94	18	\$ 61,475.66			
Note-Grand total for state includes one concrete					10	2 04,410.00			3,578,451.65

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 4-PART I.

# Classification of Temporary Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918 .-

County Expenditures .- Annual Reports of County Engineers.

	Pipe	without dwalls	Pipe	rugated Without adwalls	Pipe	Boller Without adwalls	Pipe	Without adwalls		am Spans Piling	Sub-total
County	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cosț	No.	Cost	
											8 8,477.14
lair	192 \$	8,477.14									466.57
dams		466.57					*******		1	\$ 311.61	1,816.46
llamakee		1,475.60		\$ 29.75		\$ 3,653.21	1	8 48.00			3,770.81
ppanoose			2	69.60 154.68	71	3 0,000.01					905.40
ndubon		750.72	5				*******				2,263.04
enton			.95	2,263.04							2,302.81
lack Hawk			117	2,302.81							2,195.72
oone				2,196.72							
remer			17	497.90							1,406.81
uchanan			60	1,406.81					1000		3.90
uena Vista			2	3.90			1				
utler				593.56					1	201.24	946.16
alhoun		151.36	34		*******						1,525.22
arroll		30.00		1,495.25	5	258.05			autoriant		4,114.00
10.55	27	3,856.34	a a section with	52.50		42.30					38.00
Jodan				02.00	95	580.10		1022			580.10
lerro Gordo							100000000				
therokee											
Thickasaw			66	2,753.07	1000		12000		2	1,421.59	4,400.20
Jarke		225.60		1.787.91							1,787.91
lay		************		1,383.57							1,000,01
Mayton			6	54.05	1	65,60					119 65
Clinton			-	826.32			3	323,00			1,149.35
Crowford				1,929.03					++++++++++		1,929.05 2,232.78
Dallas				1,000.000	100000		and the second second		2	2,202.10	10 + 017 m + F1
Davis		959.76	1	55,50				a second second second			
Decatur				1,422.73			and the second			· ····································	1,100.00
Delaware			-								
Des Moines		1000									228.80
Dickinson			8	228.86							-
Dubuque		1	- harris								656.44
Fayette				656.44	and the second						

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 4-PART I.-Continued.

County	Pip	Concrete e Without eadwalls	Pipe	rugated Without adwalls	Pipe	Boller Without adwalls	Pipe	without adwalls		am Spans 1 Piling	Sub-total
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	
Ployd											
Franklin			13	364.06							364.00
remont											
reene		48.18	2	32.02							80.20
rundy	1	Contra and C	35	1,148.94			1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C				1,148.9
uthrie		41.00	8	460.74	and the second se						501.74
amilten			119	2,814.26							2,814.2
Iancock			15	235.76							335.70
laroin							1.1.1.1.1				
larrison			4	539.50							569.5
lenry			32	1,126.48							1,126.4
loward			4	64.34							64.3
umboldt								************	*******		
la		22.80	36	2,071.10					3	3,577.38	5,671.2
awa			37	1,200.69							1,200.6
ackson			2	49.60							49.6
asper			62	4,316.43							4.316.4
efferson			30	1,608.48							1,608.4
ohnson			48	2,686.46							2,686.4
ones			14	592.00							592.0
eokuk			18	1,405.63							1,405.6
ossuth			159	2,703.97				*************	*******		2,703.9
ee			10	208.25	1	71.60		************	********	************	279.8
inn			8	121.60	annen .	Section and the section of the secti					121.6
ouisa	3	145.60	40	1,007.80	*******						1,153.4
ueas		1,503.54	3	174.53	1	9.00	4	278.26			1,965.3
yon			4	134.90			********				134.9
fadison	1	225.02	27	1,902.74						498.99	2,626.73
lahaska			54	1,460.09	39	992.06					2,452.1
farion	2	632.00	10	180.00					*******		812.0
larshall			5	267.30			6				741.8
lills			and the second				and the second second			Constant and	Sumal Son
litehell			115	2,057.40						100	2,057.4
Ionona		2,454.41	11	724.16	1	626.20			6	3,540.87	7,345.6
Ion"de	1	74.75	20	881.11	4.						955.80
Iontgomery	30	1.829.57	1.	081.11	acessies -				12		
	00	137.80	48	554.29				0.000			1,829.5
Iuscatine	2	137.80	48	004.29	1 15	107.82				***********	799.9

D'Brien			2	6.27 58.10	Summer						
Page	11	862.30	31 127	1,014.26 3,084.61					1	752.20	3,836.81 3,089,46
lymouth	63	3,089.46	96	2,207.33							2,207.33 4,239.35
olk	18 12 6	1,713.89 424.21 360.20	101 13	2,525.46 455.27			5	106.00	11	12,525.32	13,510.80 360.20
oweshiek									1	190.03	\$19.33
ac	3	12.70	45	616.60 581.35		319.26					900.61 2.966.44
elby	57	507.46 517.95	36 12	2,458.98 1.058.20	*******						1,576.1
013		825.92	22	578.68			48	4,331.40		***********	5,731.0
ama		0.0000		263.58							263.5
uion an Buren			12		130	11,597.62			2	881.32	12,478.9
apello	3	447.77	1 3	11.10	112111-0000						107.8
arren			81	3,172.00	3 5	216.00 234.00	and a second second		Charles and the		776.5
ayne	7 56	542.20 564.24	5	171.11		No. 200 and and a state of	. 5	61.61			459 4
innebago			19 62	452.40 1,913.95					3	632.94 6,878.39	2,546.8
oodbury	32	1,504.28	1	20.60			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O			0,818.08	20.6
orth			37	752.30							
Total	539	\$ 34,880,34	2,301	\$ 76,953.08	333	\$ 18,772.82	72	\$ 5,622.83	40	\$ 33,644.66	\$ 169,873.7

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

256

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 4.-PART II.

-

### Classification of Temporary Bridge and Culvert Construction for Which Warrants Were Issued in 1918.— County Expenditures.—Annual Reports of County Engineers.

County	on	y Trusses Piling— od Floors		ood Pile Bridges	Bri	ellaneous dges and ulverts	Sub-total	Total Cost Temporary Bridges
county	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost		and Culvert Constructed
dair dams Iamakee		<b>8</b> 975.35	1 14 8	\$ 629.24 4,060.07 6,480.39		\$ 198.60	\$ 629.24 4,060.07 7,649.34	\$ 9,106.3 4,526.6 9,465.7
ppanoose				18,759.41			13,759.41	3,770.8 14,664.8
nton lack Hawk					18	151.38 959.79	151.38 959.79	2,263.0 2,454.1 3,156.5 497.9
ichanan iena Vista itlerihoun				6,384.00	13	79.12	79.12 6,384.00 781.20	. 1,406 8 83.0 6,381.0 1,727.3
INTRO			17	2,315.27 5,666.59	8	255.01 256.56	2,570.28 5,923.15	4,095.5
dar rro Gordo erokee lickasaw			1 1 43	47.44 52.80 12,310.39	6	55.53 12.40	102.79 65.20 12,310.39	94.8 682.8 65.2 12,310.3
arke ay ayton Inton awford			•.3 5 5 18	928.90 106.00 1,248.31 407.00 1,504.00		51.05	928.90 157.05 1,248.31 407.00 1,504.00	5,329.1 1,944.9 2,631.8 526.6 2,653.4
llns vis eatur laware			20 26 2	2,909.94 3,780.88 520.85			2,909.94 3,780.88 520.85	. 1,929.0 5,142.7 4,796.1 1,943.5
s Moines			2 1 5	1,157.25 669.37 1,590.29	1	29.00 652.56	1,157.25 698.37 2,242.85	1,157.2 927.2 2,242.8
loyd		576.63	20	7,368.91			7,945.54	- 656.4 7,945.5

anklin			57			995.83	24,854.46 868.95	24,854.40 949.15
			17				8,802.00	9,050.91
andy			10	5,770.68	45	516.14	6,286.82	6,788.56 2,814.20
Pipipi							1,887.74	2,223.50
nilton			7		2	169.81	7,379.26	7,379.26
ieoek			17	7,209.45			2,735.12	3,304.62
rdin	2	1,075.00	4	1,660.12				1,126.48
rrison				9,183.36		Add Half Could be ready	9,183.36	9,247.70
ward			36	9,183.30				
mboldt				2,425.12			2,425,12	8,096.40
nboidt			4	175.00	1	111.10	3,395.90	4,596.59
a	1	3,109.80	1	840.94	1	364.67	1,205.61	1,255.21
0			3	840.94		001.01		4,316.43
kson				400 50		************	433.78	2,042.26
1000			3	433.78	139	2,824.16	2,824.16	5,510.62
erson							4,359.50	4,951.50
nson			4	4,359.50		80.02	80.02	1,485.65
108			Annana				23,010.13	25,714.10
kuk	10000		35				183.54	463.39
suth			1			************	3,301.50	3,423.10
Sutu	1	744.45	6				5,733.92	6,887.32
D			10		*******	382.80	164.26	2,129.59
			2	164.26	and and a			134.90
			1000000					5,869.06
00			11	3,242.31			3,242.31	3,250.02
dison			3	797.87			797.87	812.00
shaska			-					1.810.97
arion			1	556.56	13	512.55	1,069.11	18,169.05
rehull		8,720.28	14	9,448.77	wannesse.		18,169.05	2,057.40
118	- 4	0,140,00						
itchell	7	20,622.16		3,200.93		28.40	23,851.49	31,197.13 1,967.63
onona			1	1.011.77			1,011.77	10,825.44
onroe	1		21	5,970.08	1	39.00	8,995.87	832.31
ontgomery	-	wyowarte			9	32.40	32.40	6.27
uscatine			0.000			*************	TAD 74	6,600,84
Brien			16	6,542.74				8,633.29
seeola	0	4.241.20	7	2,512.53			6,756.73	5,264.55
820	The second is	1	3	962.56	3	465.18	1,427.74	15,547.60
alo Alto			41	12,458.14			12,458.14	8,454.98
alo Alto			13	5,635.58		612.07	6,247.65	7,879.63
oeahontas			30	3,593.84	3	46.44	3,640.28	75,535.19
11-	4	8,909.96	106	52,784.69	5	329.74	62,024.39	360.20
towattomia	- united				1			4,630.92
- mahlab			29	4,630.92			4,630.92	1,175.40
In month		19978	1	356.07			356.07	1,192.31
			2	291.70				12,239,31
and b			- 17	9,272.87			9,272.87	11,062.18
helby		No.	1 11	8,575.47	36	910.56	9,486.03	11,002.10

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

SUMMARY TABLE NO. 4-PART II.-Continued.

County	Pon On Woo	Pony Trusses on Piling- Wood Floors	M	Wood Pile Bridges	Bri Bri	Miscellaneous Bridges and Culverts		Total Cost
	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	Sub-total	Temporary Bridges and Culverts Constructed
Story Tama			10	8.914.84				
Taylor Union Union	1	802.82	41	15,067,67	1	2,378.00	8, 214, 84 15, 067, 67 5, 006, 38	20,798.67 5,006 95
Wapelo Warrow	H	305.74	2	1,032.45			1.428.19	
Washington	-		20	3.992.81		04 000	7,005.76	
11			10 8	3,369.23	1-	2,235.44	5,004.67	
20 00					15	638.47	2,950.00	
ury -	61	2,430.23	98	1,326.53	00	398.47	4,155.13	
Wright				123.00			0,010.41 75.36 193.00	
International Action of the Ac	26 \$	55,618.41	268	\$ 355,142.69	331		100 669 12	1.

261

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 5.

### Repairs to Bridges and Culverts-County Expenditures-Annual Reports of County Engineers.

County	Wooden bridges and culverts	Steel bridges and culverts	Permanent bridges and eulverts	Repairs by patrolmen	Miscellaneous	Potal
		0.	H	H H	R	E E
Adair	\$ 7,903.73		\$ 165.21			2. 2.200
Adams	5,949.11	\$ 1,355.43	57.30	3 424.26		\$ 8,068.1
Allamakee	11,583.89	192.40	01100	Y 343.40		7,786.
Appanoose		1,204.14	43.85		\$ 740.40	11,776.5
Andubon	6,086.09		12.00	*********	\$ 120.40	10,592.
Renton	12,175.62	346.85				12,522.
Black HawkBoone	5,548.63	4,537.62	1,167.18	2.702.70	24.35	13,980.4
Boone	2,540.12	413.54	201.66	252.40		3,407.1
Bremer	3,111.67	1,914.31				5,025.1
Buchanan	2,179.45	2,578.34		1,078.97	168.13	6,004.8
Buena Vista	183.72	414.16	178.76			776.
Butler	15,966.96			454.19	7,324.16	23,745.5
Calhoun	1,009.05	2,469.44	467.08	41.00	822.96 614.30	4,768.0
Carroll	7,514.52	1,256.42	399.39	41.00	614.30	9,846.6
Cass		113.00	165.16		93.30	11,686.
Cedar Cerro Gordo	1,665.27	900.60	54.05	610.75		9,230.0
Cerro Gordo	5,351.00	1,053.58	331.25		16.31	7,335.
Therokee Thickasaw	4,328.82 7,969.79	969.20		852.90	4.50	6,155.
Clarke	4,758.00	077 00				7,969.7
May		655.20 290.40			174.75	5,587.1
Chyton	2,027,12	1,924.09	$6.00 \\ 2,391.74$		69.02	1,428.3
Clinton	952.31	990.70	2,391.74			6,342.9
Prawford	26,574.23	550.70	2,519.86			4,492.8
Crawford Dallas	4.076.37	0.000.00	1,623.92	20.50		28,198.1
Davis	10.920.45	2,892.98 2,142.11	156 10	62.50	53.19	7,085.4
Decator	5,224.62	2,397.08	156.10	237.45 94.23	44.49	13,456.
Delaware	5,125.07	1,108.85	6.00	94.20	44.48 644.80	6,884.
Des Moines	1.063.70	128.65	23.33	142.45	500.00	1,858.
Dickinson	913.98	1.274.07	245.24	142.40	277.86	2,711.1
Dubuque	328.40	6,477.23	8,468.70		261.62	15,535.9
Smmet	791.48	239.75	13.20	201.40	201.02	1 945 1
Fayette	10,275.55	4,500.00	10.20	201.40	20.99	1,245.8 14,796.1
Floyd	2,663.10	624.50		668.95	20.00	3,956.5
Franklin	5 350 100	1,934.72	72.00	000.00	289.45	7 456 (
Fremont	11,259.03	2,747.36	503.31	10.15	23.57	7,456.0
reene	9,725.84	2,111.00	2,216.70	183.50		12,126.0
irundy	7.889.93	409.45	2,210.10	100100		8,299.3
iuthrie	6 379.68	726.48	220.68		264.60	7,591.4
Iamilton	9 480 92	11,144.29				13,624.4
lancock	8,422.55					8,422.0
Iardin	4,582.63	214.29	57.93		20.00	4,874.8
Tarrison			80.58		24,005.79	24.086.2
denry	2,243.82		75.00			2,318.8 3,550.3
Ioward	2,616.25	432.97	43.72	59.52	397.87	3,550.3
lumboldt	104.50	611.51		522.17	23.79	1,261.9
(18	7,824.52	1,065.38	17.45	356.95	489.60	9,753.9
BWO	13,589.84	3,540.83	1,515.24	897.45	4,237.30	23,780.0
ackson	13,681.75	12,877.07	328.50		437.15	27,324.4
	32,594.62	3,495.36	276.18	34.95		36,401.1
lefferson	2,618.97	3,169.34	1,145.47		314.17	7,247.5
	4,481.14	3,128.54	1,043.74	211.70	1,292.33	10,157.4
		1,691.20	580.51	800.00	9.65	13,868.0
	12,110.95	1,768.23	112.30		106.53	14,098.0
NUSSILLI	1 000 40	5,513.04	1,775.54			11,321.5
Linn	3,580,98	1,054.49	586.23	162.10		5,383.
	8,500.90	8,000.01	351.49		95.90	17,469.1

# ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

### IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 5 .- Continued.

County	Wooden bridges and culverts	Steel bridges and culverts	Permanent bridges and culverts	Repairs by patrolmen	Miscellancous	Total
Louisa	8,136.50 2,073.68		1,181.14	1,830.28	110,10	11,778.9
Lyon	10,626,43	2,459.01	44.00	-04.00	111.84	4,962.8
Madison	13,220.65	14.00	55.10		- 36.00	13,129.4
Mahaska	2,747.78	3,226.77	408.35		282.50	13,325.7
Marion	2,321.43	1,522.88	228,00		188.21	6,665.4
Marshall	10,590.87	515.53		- 541.70	- 100.21	4,260.5
Mills	11,382.90	618.00	101.00	611.00	100.00	11,648.1
Mitchell	204.95	3,030.99	1,142.25	PARIOU	- 3,658.56	12,812.9
Monona	13,992.05	505.20	182.50	1,800.80	- 0,000.00	8,036.7
Monroe	7,068.39	103.65		21000100		16,180.3
Montgomery	8,254.51	8,055.10	656.14		169.67	7,172.0
Muscatine	67.75	4.086.54	503.58	711.95	409.26	17,135.4
O'Brien	2,493.25			631.83	400.20	5,779.0
Osceola	885.16	3.75		. 27.50		3,125,0
Page	16,146.53			292.70		916.4
Palo Alto	1,500.76	536.66		1,000.78	16.30	16,439.2
Plymouth	6,906.28	2,641.01	Conference of the	and the second second	10.00	3,054.5
Pocahontas	7,495,13	627.03	811.13	209.21	513.22	9,547.2
Polk	6,449.82	3,786.02	1,756.79	and a state	340.41	9,655.7
Pottawattamie	11,511.50	477.90	2,271.49	3,277.50	43.97	12,333.0
Poweshiek	16,641.80	429.55		wy.211-00	22.73	17,582.3
Ringgold	6,864.32				1,276.87	17,094.0
ac	1,866.62	1,588.16	317.20		36.02	8,141.1
seott	1,659.32	906.33	51.27	****	486.43	3,808.0
shelby	14,906.10	3,931,41	C		100,10	3,103.3
loux	16,919.37		655.00	289.72	77.30	18,837.5 17,941.3
story	16,251.13	2,095.61	3,310.38	. 2,051.03	11.00	23,708.1
ama	15,516.48	1,111.65	363.30	2,657.48		19,648.9
aylor	8,379.72	2,529,49	189.06		129.43	11,227.70
Inion	1,115.24	23.10		82.25	127.95	1,348.54
an Buren	7,564.84	7,771.83			Aartes	15,336.67
Vapello	2,449.24	8,841.97	11,586,58	138.25	206.72	28,222.76
Varren	2,659.46	3,647.77	any occurrent.	1001.00	129.48	6,446.71
Vashington	3,322.20	1,107.40	333.29		4.60+30	4,762.89
Vayne	4,022.93					4,022.93
Vebster	5,608.49	7,193.33	1,631.94		449.21	14,882.97
Vinnebago	9,191.00				110.01	9,191.00
Vinneshiek	3,046.66	7,117.04	672.69	163.85	272.00	11,272.24
Voodbury	12,670.18	6,850.00		116.70	ara.00	19,636.88
Vorth		380.95		110.10	95.45	476.40
Vright	10,542.49		3,913.48		36.60	14,492.57
Total	\$683,786.12	\$198,399.64	\$ 63,278.97	\$ 28,865.09	\$ 53,196.18	\$ 1,027,526.00

SUMMARY TABLE NO. 6. Culvert Material Purchased for Townships.—County Expenditures.—Annual Report of County Engineers.

County	Oorrugated plps	Concrete pipe	eqiq 19lioH	oqiq nori fenO	Lumber	auosuallessiM alaitetann	latoT
	18.12 422.48	\$ 2,481.19			\$ 300.00	\$ 612.72	\$ 930.84 2,958.67
	9 708 00	770.00	5.746.06		1,730.11		2,500.11 8,454.0
	1,909.60	2,109.36				67.80	4,086.70
	4,206.53	88-50 28 20	56.70				3,368.00
	3,155.21	-				323.28	3,478.45
-	6 215 77				1,000.00		6.215.77
		87 82 68					3.783.6
	5,106.75	15.08			488.38	122.17	5.731.3
	3,150.54	2,504.96	-		09 10		0,050.0
					1.769.15		1,769.15
	7,344.03	-	-		09 096		7,844.08
	4,571.08	225.60					4,796.68
	2,324.78						2,324,78
医脊髓管 建有 医子 化合合剂 化合金 建金属的 人名 化合合剂 医子 化化合合合合物 化合合物 医子 医子	40° 420'Z		104.001		160.74	0.80	20:000:02
	10.160.96						10.160.96
	5,108.16	4,504.98					9,703.14
	1 784.08	856.00	-		363 01	25.96	3 029 25
	3,147.31		-		76.20	6.79	3,230.26
	4,126.43						4,126.43
	000 000	2,192.93 -	-				2,192.98
	200.002	AC 184			46.10		1000
	二日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日	- DIVICE :	1	2.82 2 3 2 4 2 3 8 4 4 2	DI DE	······································	100-100

1

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 6 .- Continued.

County	Corrugated pipe	Concrete pipe	Boller pipe	Cast iron pipe	Lumber	Miscellaneous materials	Total
loyd					1.000.00		1,000.00
ranklin	4,051.46						4,051.46
remont					324.80	1,825.20	5,619.00
reene		1,178.10 _			188.10	******	1,455.08
rundy	3,325.60				***********		3,325.60
athrie	3,806.78						4,390.98
amilton	1,092.86						1,092.86
ancock	5,240.63						5,240.63
ardin	5,305.40						5,305.40
arrison	1,284.84	47.10 -					1,331.94
enry						407.12	407.12
oward	2,099.76				882.27	5.25	2,987.28
umboldt	2,824.96				2,462.30		5.287.26
ñ	2,313.84						2,313.84
wa	7,943.73						7,943.73
ekson	70.76						70.76
sper	17,116,87						17,116.87
fferson			44.00		700.00		5,125,66
ohnson	4,919.70		11.00		2.762.98	10.00	7,692.68
ones.						40+99	1,800,31
eokuk						104.30	2,088.01
ossuth	7,020,16	68.10				101.00	7.088.26
					1,609.00		2,464.56
eeinni				************	1,009.00		
All	a,090.8/				8.39		3,690.87 2,052.39
ouisa	4,046,74			000.04		40 80	
ucas	4,046.74 2,904.73				195.01	48.70	5,410.09 2,904.73
yon	8,999,88					*********	
adisonahaska	6,121,50	0.454.00	861.46		15,12	60.77	8,999.88
arion	6,121.50	2,454.96					9,513.81 4,409.88
arshall	1,286.90			1,941.18			3,228.08
lills	3,358,48			1,041.18 -			3,358,48
litchell	1,378,82						1.378.82
fonona	2,505.72						2.505.72
Monroe	3,490.63	126.00	79.20				3,695.83
Montgomery	206.40	3,106.14			169.53	66,65	3,548.72

the second se	3,543.04	91.60	72.95			Terra . Day	3,707.5/ 2,421.9 1,816.8
scatine	2,137.75				651.20 -	400.63	10,178.0
scatine						and the second	1.360.
3rlen reola	5,000.52						4.022.
ge	1.360.95 .			-			3,706
ge lo Alto							7,741
lo Alto	3,706.99 .						32,754
enhontas	7,303,02				18.39	185.30	32,104
eahontasik	32,022.71	528.63					8,598
Ik	8,598.00				2,390.00	200.00	3,82
lk					16.34	8.10	1.93
ttawattamie	1,238.04					States in the second states in the	66
weshiek	1,890.09		170.75			************	5.61
							1.62
	5,288.50	323.47			1,028.28		3.15
	Sherrow .				251.87	67.13	
	2,833.92			160.15	336.00	8.00	6,4
UX	5,888.86	64.80		1.	850.62	105.58	93
01X					1 110 50		2.4
0Fy					1,113.52		2.6
ima					1,018.00		9,4
iylor	1,681.60						3.0
n Buren	9,127.99	309.71		M = 20 (20) (20)			6.0
apello	6,005.04						3,2
arren	0,000104	9,856,00	423.00		*************	79.56	4.3
arren. ashington. ayne	2,257.34				1.027.80		4.4
ayne	2,201.04						2.5
ayne	3,443.78				346.30		1.8
							2.4
Vinnebago Vinneshiek		1,805.15			912.34		2.4
					and the second second		2.4
		13.25					
VorthVright	LIPPONTAL TO	-		\$ 2,433.97	\$ 27,589.00	7 \$ 4,925.28	\$ 410,1
Vrignu	\$ 320,010.96	\$ 47,558.33	\$ 7,654.12	\$ 2,433.97	the my succession		

otals-----

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

264

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 7.

### Pridge Equipment, Unused aterial, Fulling Bridges and Culverts and Special Cases.—County Expenditures.—Annual Reports of County Engineers.

	Equipt	nent and M	aterial		1	Special	Cases	_	
County	Equipment fo- choling repairs to same	Material pur- chased and not used	Total	Filling bridges and cuiverts	Right of way	Moving and re-erecting old spans	Lengtheuing culverts	Miscellaneous	Total
dair	8 819.37		\$ 349.37	\$ 7.075.63	\$ 90,00				\$ 90.00
dams	600.90	4,815.68	5,416.58	7,525.32	The second second	Car and Carton	\$ 237.42		237.42
llamakee	568.64	3,611.83	4,180.47	495.75	200.00	\$ 1,189.00		880.80	2,269.80
ppanoose		3,884.40	3,884.40	9,559.25			97.50	268.38	365.88
udubon	400.00	2,524.21	3,924.21	2,976.02	689.58				689.58
enton	2,025.07	955.07	2,980.14	7,258.14	17.40				1,514.44
lack Hawk.		9,149.06	9,149.06	8,219.90			3,059.15	452.00	3,502.15
oone	487.33	4,058.15	4,545.48	3,655.69	500.00		*********	\$2.99	0.02,90
remer.	41.30	7,635.88	7,677.18				288.57	15.50	204.07
uchanan	105.60		33,33	1.142.30			288.04	15.80	783.90
utler	1,020,10	7,000,00	8,029,10	4,069,43	20,00			POSTAN	20.00
alhoun	152.85	12,635,41	12,788.26	\$43.35	20.00			1,696,46	1,696,46
arroll	569.00	4,700.00	5,269,00	867.93	29.50			9,969,65	14,489.97
n#5	567.94	8,284.32	8,852.26	10,768.67	20.00	4,4047.00		e ture to	24,4.0101
edar		6,106.63	6,106.63	145.88	15.00			616.08	621.08
erro Gordo	507.34	5,972.66	6,480.00	927.00				100.00	100.00
herokee	22,58	6,300,43	6,323.01	3,560.19				3,828,42	5.593.87
hickasaw	380.21	5,401.92	5,791.13	1.868.41			100000000	298,65	298.65
larke	2,257.38	2,853.12	5,110.50	7,223.30	672.61	143.00		26.25	841.80
lay	334.38	6,775.93	7,110.31	1,380.45				532.28	532.28
layton		949.36	949.36	3,187.23	99.25		129.65	385.00	595.90
linton	10.99	885.08	984.07	2,396.33	474.45	, 525.75		109.00	1,109.20
rawford	1,829,82	S,000.00 3,502.01	S,000.00 5,422.48	8,343.60 4,313.66	\$5.00	475,13	7,197.84		1,625,73
allas savle	35.18	3,502.00	1,143,32	1.331.85	ab.00	1297 88			637.23
ACULUT		8,270.37	10,743.92	5,978.08				2,870.05	37,462.21
belaware.	263.88	3,583,71	B,847.09	1,067.60	72.00	an he second to a	137.40		209.40
Mas Molnes	1,107.91	613.94	2,620,14	36.00	(antriabria)	447.80)	2,408.78	277.05	3,027 63

			mante and it	3,891.73 ].		7.00		240.00	247.50	
		500.00	500.00 8,759.27	7,100.92	5.00	245,00	and the second	778.91	778.53	
Dickinson		8,759.27	5,967.62	840.85	annen anne an		150.19	4,428,08	6.324.42	
Dickinson	36.03	5,930.99	1.219.98	446.25	13.00	124.15		377.16	407.10	
Dabuque	229.98	990,00	5,350.21	1.341.25	30.00 ~		LILLAND DO TO	806.35	895,35	
Emmet Fayette	1,632,85	\$,717.36	7,180.40	1,827.27			151.00	35.70	231.70	
Fayette Floyd	658.97	6,521.43	20,472.45	5.553.88	25,00 -			430.13	430.13	
Floyd	631.00	19,841.45		1.777.09				6.917.85	7,240.57	
Fremont	266.35	10,298,18	10,564.53	4.065.25	800.72			1.614.72	1.978.89	
	Section 1	14,345.61	14,345.61	9,051.79	10.00	349.92	4.25	2,960.23	3,476,58	1
Greene	1,045.43	1,423,45	2,468.88		516.25		an original space.		1,326.99	1.3
	*******			APR 00			1,320.00	And the second	305,05	12
Guthrie		466.22	466.22	178.90	50.00			256.06	10,714.65	1
Hamilton	286.55	8,178,59	3,465.14	79,68	1,804.77	6 100 78	C. C. Strangers	***********	116.50	1
Haneock		2,400,37	2,400.37	562.80		1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2		and the second second	30.00	1.3
Hardin	\$37.50	1,940,26	2.277.76	1,835.10	20,00		*********	ACCOUNTS AND ADDRESS.	380.40	
Harrison Henry	108.22	5,120.08	5,228.31	1,142.19	20,00		No			1
Henry		2,641.00	2,704.48	809.54		***********		246.19	246.19	1
Howard	63,48	2,970.00	3,129,88	3,729.63	AND DESCRIPTION OF		**********	750.00	911,67	1.18
Humboldt	159.88	12,685.19	13,445,89	972.88	161.67	000 00		127.25	831.06	17
	760.70		22,40	2,128.29	424.20			106.75	599.30	
	02,40	**********	484.14	16,543.43				2,515.59	3,048.12	
	484.14		4.714.18	2,057.05		532.53			789.00	
	156,57	4,557.56	2,934,18	6,030.19	127.25				151.50	
	515.33	2,418.85	2,934.18	327.50	151.50			A STREET BOOMS		
		3,172.95		2,769.55		(a) is a start a		251.00	2.611.45	
	59.77	9,155.21	9,214.98	2,483.81	A CONTRACTOR OF A D	9.238.85	28.00	and a second second	allerite	
		16,000.00	16,000.00	124.75		Contraction of the second		451.00	451.00	
	4,962.66	6,072.79	11,035.45	3.425.00				170.34	170.34	
		9,761.51	9,764.51	525.80				407.48	1,708.62	
Lee	1,082.82	216.93	1,299.75				342.87		2,135,43	
Louisa		2,352,14	2,383.79	13,018.41		1		2,061.43	1.630.51	
	000 00	1,300.88	1,634.20	4,804.48		1 Alexandre	254.04		2, 189, 91	
Lucas.	484.75	4,031.30	5,416.08	10,809.80			458.87	84.25	1,115.86	
		9,167,10	9,252.60	2,993.74				007.20	1,110.60	
Madison	10.40	11,403,58	11,413.98	11,961.06	505.00			914.50		
		174.91	\$,473.51	8,821.55			\$14.85		7,940,80	
		20,217.42	20,572.61	6,571.85	Constanting of the	9,001,00		2,372.98	2,518.98	
		2,099.82	5,512.25	7,501.8	146.00	1,821.70		14.75	2,163.45	
Mills. Mitchell	2,512.43	5,200.00		1,974.05	19.00			1,105.00	1,1(6.0)	
Mitchell	88.97	0,200,00	696.42	2,689.87	and a second second	909.33		1.237.18	2,2541,50	
Mitchell Monopa	796.42	ALC: AND 200			60.00	553.19	29.12	711.76	1,412.07	
Monona Monroe	348.33	19,037.79		534.2					863.10	
Montgomery	1,109.01	776.60		21.2	A			32.70	32.70	
		3,114.23		1,233.96		- dansa marre			2.511.20	
		4,119.10		13,154.60	2 Junior	805.00			1,079.35	
		750.72		1,133.3		1 002 25	*******	3,829.66	3,829.66	
		4,042.00		2,948.7	0				1,059.41	
		34,429.96	35,773.94	938.5	4	829.20		* didid 10	2,656.78	
Palo AltoPlymouth	507.97	5,227.80	5,735.83	14,244.9	86.35	644.25	Lastanian es	1,906.18		
		8,233.67		14,244.9			72.88	1,175.38		
			44,151.22	13,022.5	0 212.50			3,693.22	0,000.13	
	356.61		1,485.40	8,605.1	0 1 012.00					
Pottawattame.										

267

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 7 .- Continued.

	Equi	pment and	Material		1	Speci	al Cases	_	
County	Equipment in- cluding repairs to same	Material pur- chased and not used	Total	Filling bridges and culverts	Right of way	Moving and re-erecting old spans	Lengthening culverts	Miscellaneous	Total
inggold	120,00	0.505.0	1		1	1		-	
cott helby	286.29	3,874.91 3,483.27 3,729.45	4,161.20 4,969.71 3,729.45	1,039.55 1,616.45 8,590.30		708.68 150.90	1,776.68		116.60 4.778.55 3.781.58
ama aylor nion	105.00 724.50 201.87	14,359.45	105.00 3,533.92 14,561.32	857.75 2,521.34 11,671.92	610.00				560.61 9.45 1.076.60
apello	7,724.71 94.52	3,168.07 5,590.99 6,372.36 7,855.82	13,315.70 6,466,88	4,871.82 166.27 5,564.99 6,422.97			23.10		179.50 800.37 135.79
yne bster	40.00	9,473.02 15,274.81	9,513.02 15,274.81	102.60 8,054.30	37.29	167.50 1,020.00 273.55		117.96	117.96
odbury	160.44 505.80 6,475.48 198.12	2,798.82 1,965.48 11,145.39	2,471.28 17,620.87	1,896.71 3,298.00	221.00	190.58		1,349.92	374.20
	63.77	1,250.00	1,448.12 63.77	154.90 76.40		283.70 444.87	45.25	5,258.02 12.60	5,258.02 341.55 444.87
Totals	\$ 71,392.85	\$579,592.11	\$650,984.96	\$385,118.02	\$ 11,024.13	\$ 40,877.63	\$ 14,019.09	\$ 92,218.67	\$ 158,139.52

F.

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 8.

Comparison of Bridge and Culvert Construction .- 1914-1915-1916-1917-1918 .- Annual Reports of County Engineers.

County	November 1, 1913 to November 1, 1914	November 1, 1914 to January 1, 1916	January 1, 1916 to January 1, 1917	January 1, 1917 to January 1, 1018	January 1, 1918 to January 1, 1919	Total five-year period
dair.     \$       dams.     \$       llamakee.     \$       ppanoose.     \$       udubon.     \$       benton.     \$       Back Hawk.     \$       soone.     \$       Remer.     \$       Buchanan.     \$       Butler.     \$       Salhoun.     \$       Carroll.     \$       Cass.     \$       Cedar.     \$       Cherokee.     \$       Chickasaw.     \$       Clarke.     \$       Clarke.     \$       Clarke.     \$       Dallas.     \$       Davis.     \$       Deeatur.     \$       Delaware.     \$       Des Moines.     \$       Dickinson.     \$       Duduque     \$       Emmet.     \$	$\begin{array}{c} 28,942.00\\ 16,389.54\\ 21,648.86\\ 20,509.18\\ 22,582.80\\ 56,760.49\\ 16,560.82\\ 13,374.39\\ 10,808.60\\ 20,245.60\\ 45,952.05\\ 23,650.72\\ 34,231.90\\ 34,374.50\\ 734,374.05\\ 34,311.90\\ 34,765.27\\ 19,376.05\\ 48,604.57\\ 19,376.05\\ 48,604.57\\ 19,376.05\\ 48,604.57\\ 19,376.05\\ 48,520.34\\ 40,374.90\\ 49,00.78\\ 49,55.9\\ 48,872.99\\ 33,125.54\\ 33,331.45\\ 7,000.55\\ 28,002.00\\ 17,206.32\\ 37,751.24\\ 41,806.51\\ 27,015.48\\ 49,107.64\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>\$ 39,477.24</li> <li>\$ 36,764.09</li> <li>\$ 37,300.02</li> <li>\$ 40,028.99</li> <li>\$ 50,441.85</li> <li>\$ 62,481.69</li> <li>\$ 80,316.85</li> <li>\$ 24,513.51</li> <li>\$ 48,238.48</li> <li>\$ 45,102.40</li> <li>\$ 93,326.65</li> <li>\$ 73,666.27</li> <li>\$ 58,733.92</li> <li>\$ 9,707.51</li> <li>\$ 58,290.17</li> <li>\$ 60,068.88</li> <li>\$ 48,311.84</li> <li>\$ 56,329.15</li> <li>\$ 32,161.02</li> <li>\$ 18,238.49</li> <li>\$ 11,845</li> <li>\$ 56,290.15</li> <li>\$ 32,161.02</li> <li>\$ 18,238.99</li> <li>\$ 12,815.17</li> <li>\$ 102,244.00</li> <li>\$ 73,480.93</li> <li>\$ 81,088.44</li> <li>\$ 72,561.29</li> <li>\$ 38,498.71</li> <li>\$ 32,109.88</li> <li>\$ 47,314.84</li> <li>\$ 20,018.01</li> <li>\$ 45,349.26</li> <li>\$ 84,966.05</li> <li>\$ 82,211.32</li> <li>\$ 60,064.53</li> </ul>	41,916.84         \$           30,842.09         36,612.58           42,069.77         35,164.59           75,076.26         42,107.00           42,23,88.96         43,108.63           23,388.96         44,101           113,108.63         23,388.96           23,388.96         61,956.12           37,119.73         99,162.80           64,402.18         30,033.77           91,660.53         101,041.70           30,300.37         21,523.36           49,105.32         49,105.32           105.366.68         36,508.63           36,508.65         102,374.62           35,767.11         113,377.09	$\begin{array}{c} 60,781.88\\ 42,529.93\\ 83,150.24\\ 71,867.42\\ 85,581.09\\ 106,838.92\\ 69,57.59\\ 69,57.59\\ 69,60.21\\ 217,809.17\\ 106,242.82\\ 76,876.46\\ 83,106.61\\ 25,766.02\\ 51,066.02\\ 51,066.12\\ 32,676.02\\ 51,066.12\\ 33,847.49\\ 36,519.47\\ 106,412.99\\ 76,780.87\\ 76,780.87\\ 76,780.87\\ 76,780.87\\ 76,780.87\\ 76,684.79\\ 215,743.16\\ 67,006.19\\ 35,573.12\\ 37,011.45\\ 44,829.07\\ 30,807.88\\ 83,936.68\\ 107,007.39\\ 23,815.98\\ 104,411.45\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>\$ 59,356.53</li> <li>\$ 37,673,18</li> <li>\$ 37,673,18</li> <li>\$ 50,398.79</li> <li>\$ 59,231.63</li> <li>\$ 106,331.64</li> <li>\$ 54,074.56</li> <li>\$ 82,729.43</li> <li>\$ 41,018.54</li> <li>\$ 37,468.87</li> <li>\$ 28,509.95</li> <li>\$ 57,906.11</li> <li>\$ 47,902.49</li> <li>\$ 69,203.79</li> <li>\$ 83,701.40</li> <li>\$ 29,553.88</li> <li>\$ 69,178.67</li> <li>\$ 96,271.36</li> <li>\$ 57,267.36</li> <li>\$ 36,871.13</li> <li>\$ 51.858.65</li> <li>\$ 178,265.74</li> <li>\$ 76,464.06</li> <li>\$ 31,767.58</li> <li>\$ 50,141.06</li> <li>\$ 36,808.01</li> <li>\$ 30,665.98</li> <li>\$ 41,951.54</li> <li>\$ 84,119.99</li> <li>\$ 19,900.75</li> <li>\$ 60,521.75</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>\$ 230,474,49</li> <li>164,198,83</li> <li>227,930,23</li> <li>224,930,23</li> <li>224,930,15</li> <li>253,001,87</li> <li>407,489,00</li> <li>262,616,85</li> <li>222,650,79</li> <li>185,103,28</li> <li>240,681,09</li> <li>498,716,45</li> <li>284,983,88</li> <li>265,940,66</li> <li>283,754,61</li> <li>297,530,36</li> <li>501,003,34</li> <li>238,113,04</li> <li>133,686,57</li> <li>445,956,65</li> <li>314,225,636,73</li> <li>616,320,86</li> <li>350,439,78</li> <li>195,534,73</li> <li>147,876,29</li> <li>266,192,44</li> <li>124,048,77</li> <li>245,497,65</li> <li>442,204,156</li> <li>154,720,64</li> <li>397,672,46</li> </ul>

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 8 .- Continued.

County	November 1, 1913 to November 1, 1914	November 1, 1914 to January 1, 1916	January 1, 1916 to January 1,	January 1, 1917 to January 1, 1918	January 1, 1918 to January 1, 1919	Total five-year period
Floyd       Franklin         Fremont       Greene         Grundy       Grundy         Guthrie       Hamilton         Hardin       Hardin         Hartison       Hartison         Herry       Howard         Humboldt       Ida         Jowa       Jackson         Jasper       Jasper         Jones       Jones         Keckuk       Kossuth         Lee       Lionisa         Liona       Madison         Marins       Mation         Marins       Mathematic         Marins       Mathematic         Marins       Mathematic	$\begin{array}{c} 22,290.68\\ 45,045.41\\ 25,138.91\\ 47,179.44\\ 16,204.75\\ 22,360.59\\ 25,167.22\\ 22,844.36\\ 15,518.97\\ 30,284.10\end{array}$	18, 381, 21 36, 639, 46 25, 558, 43 69, 441, 92 43, 236, 06 65, 222, 64 22, 463, 45 36, 986, 10 36, 035, 81 27, 139, 92	$\begin{array}{c} 56,230,27\\ 40,517,87\\ 37,627,10\\ 28,631,87\\ 52,601,50\\ 32,273,23\\ 87,205,30\\ 24,399,42\\ 40,927,24\\ 113,705,57\\ 122,578,68\\ 46,323,07\\ 41,487,63\\ 35,747,22\\ 53,603,09\\ 36,113,87\\ 70,120,07\\ 60,190,63\\ 52,484,76\\ 35,698,73\\ 46,763,88\\ 175,838,19\\ 38,719,86\\ 60,743,64\\ 42,049,77\\ 30,808,02\\ 41,915,13\\ 21,830,42\\ 64,211,83\\ 117,906,25\\ 102,600,03\\ 36,002,83\\ 55,180,17\\ 48,180,82\\ 37,401,66\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56,071.78\\ 67,364.73\\ 76,873.15\\ 42,758.315\\ 42,758.32\\ 57,128.70\\ 128,909.08\\ 78,854.48\\ 43,639.91\\ 78,147.29\\ 105,411.99\\ 45,066.71\\ 46,576.56\\ 44,609.73\\ 57,039.63\\ 77,496.16\\ 107,567.67\\ 105,678.48\\ 68,803.02\\ 71,806.16\\ 67,549.99\\ 55,266.55\\ 65,589.68\\ 37,575.30\\ 82,844.37\\ 30,686.07\\ 70,0338.64\\ 58,646.80\\ 62,056.94\\ 102,338.64\\ 58,646.80\\ 62,056.94\\ 102,188.16\\ 64,630.89\\ 63,061.43\\ 99,032.27\\ 56,761.27\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42,671,61\\ 54,150,95\\ 90,520,32\\ 66,793,86\\ 100,094,43\\ 83,490,50\\ 70,873,73\\ 59,212,60\\ 104,982,01\\ 72,698,35\\ 53,314,63\\ 40,465,87\\ 50,518,54\\ 50,292,49\\ 161,465,13\\ 66,698,55\\ 156,044,64\\ 41,628,46\\ 60,421,78\\ 50,219,76\\ 82,607,09\\ 67,620,42\\ 46,342,75\\ 79,864,48\\ 30,347,89\\ 54,044,04\\ 60,386,19\\ 68,020,49\\ 65,470,44\\ 70,186,34\\ 165,334,99\\ 90,194,32\\ 65,314,86\\ 74,287,87\\ 49,365,71\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 248,646,71\\ 202,723,44\\ 286,705,44\\ 188,881,43\\ 326,505,00\\ 304,242,62\\ 324,579,74\\ 174,852,60\\ 285,887,00\\ 343,460,69\\ 178,384,04\\ 239,506,18\\ 183,612,85\\ 225,338,56\\ 380,749,83\\ 253,655,46\\ 225,338,565,46\\ 225,338,565,46\\ 225,403,99\\ 247,618,36\\ 229,403,99\\ 247,618,36\\ 252,403,99\\ 247,618,36\\ 252,403,99\\ 247,618,36\\ 252,128,72\\ 304,697,53\\ 178,429,40\\ 334,497,53\\ 161,111,93\\ 215,946,30\\ 225,118,41\\ 196,627,46\\ 298,734,51\\ 426,125,63\\ 497,317,643\\ 2967,833,98\\ 244,149,31\\ 271,716,44\\ 188,052,80\\ \end{array}$
ontgomery	$\begin{array}{c} 26, 424, 92\\ 83,610, 42\\ 40,102, 70\\ 80, 147, 60\\ 38, 134, 17\\ 30, 754, 80\\ 65, 023, 47\\ 10, 256, 63\\ 103, 299, 2\\ 120, 022, 65\\ 57, 083, 13\\ 10, 463, 07\\ 32, 016, 23\\ 31, 990, 60\\ 42, 317, 77\\ 32, 90, 60\\ 42, 317, 77\\ 23, 916, 46\\ 45, 129, 17\\ 61, 980, 98\\ 22, 385, 12\\ 10, 115, 41\\ 20, 583, 25\\ 20, 598, 88\\ 26, 662, 84\\ 22, 632, 44\\ 41, 994, 43\\ 29, 662, 52\\ 11, 516, 59\\ 66, 462, 52\\ 43, 656, 668, 668, 666, 662, 54\\ 21, 656, 566,$	$\begin{array}{c} 42,800.05\\ 29,101.39\\ 63,553.20\\ 15,785.12\\ 48,430.39\\ 35,471.57\\ 60,455.90\\ 65,472.22\\ 87,372.09\\ 108,140.35\\ 56,981.36\\ 21,951.40\\ 42,865.46\\ 18,001.42\\ 50,945.35\\ 57,547.48\\ 49,198.23\\ 55,251.98\\ 27,999.36\\ 45,988.36\\ 32,592.78\\ 43,832.58\\ 44,91,936\\ 35,398\\ 45,988.36\\ 35,398$	$\begin{array}{c} 34, 623, 62\\ 38, 402, 45\\ 50, 190, 94\\ 48, 611, 41\\ 45, 711, 80\\ 60, 782, 18\\ 72, 506, 49\\ 55, 606, 66\\ 50, 775, 50\\ 92, 809, 17\\ 90, 846, 45\\ 25, 755, 20\\ 65, 894, 25\\ 20, 930, 59\\ 66, 464, 23\\ 63, 052, 30\\ 66, 464, 23\\ 63, 052, 30\\ 59, 620, 43\\ 148, 141, 07\\ 38, 722, 59\\ 92, 333, 64\\ 41, 417, 49\\ 29, 333, 64\\ 41, 417, 49\\ 29, 333, 64\\ 41, 287, 88\\ 42, 089, 20\\ 335, 668, 59\\ 17, 432, 065\\ 51, 574, 30\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 76,064,77\\ 31,845,24\\ 59,545,58\\ 74,198,00\\ 90,443,18\\ 84,484,99\\ 105,804,21\\ 64,552,72\\ 103,157,02\\ 173,297,31\\ 135,171,69\\ 62,077,34\\ 91,778,57\\ 46,042,99\\ 55,159,39\\ 97,930,24\\ 90,473,08\\ 113,882,54\\ 71,556,19\\ 90,681,53\\ 71,151,45\\ 55,313,11\\ 31,925,18\\ 88,600,41\\ 60,626,18\\ 44,469,97\\ 29,303,72\\ 84,866,37\\ 85,177,16\\ 22,213,84\\ 59,833,23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77,080.51\\ 37,536.23\\ 76,546.14\\ 47,033.42\\ 91,760.07\\ 48,349.83\\ 114,521.50\\ 54,454.39\\ 119,628.33\\ 219,262.81\\ 103,484.48\\ 64,349.01\\ 110,168.38\\ 31,940.82\\ 78,551.22\\ 51,186.52\\ 66,651.78\\ 118,488.29\\ 69,639.46\\ 65,003.29\\ 81,633.62\\ 50,252.91\\ 54,266.86\\ 29,600.41\\ 55,267.92\\ 30,338.49\\ 46,658.56\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 254,094.77\\ 170,555,73\\ 289,947.56\\ 215,775.55\\ 314,488.61\\ 268,843.37\\ 418,371.57\\ 253,342.02\\ 544,232.16\\ 713,501.69\\ 443,507.11\\ 184,596.02\\ 342,722.89\\ 148,914.42\\ 293,467.96\\ 299,633.00\\ 250,971.69\\ 497,744.16\\ 230,272.67\\ 194,343.40\\ 236,748.17\\ 2960,023.63\\ 236,614.10\\ 238,137.56\\ 231,849.86\\ 210,040.44\\ 106,753.13\\ 333,327.36\\ 310,859.82\\ 105,686.65\\ 214,928.40\\ \end{array}$

GINEERS

SUMMARY TABLE NO. 9. Road Construction.—County Expenditures.—Annual Reports of County Engineers.

\*

County	Permanent work (county)	Permanent work (Fed. Aid)	Temporary work	Repairs	Maintenance	Equipment and unused material	Special cases railway cross- ings, gravel pits, etc.	Total
Adair. Adams Allamakee. Appanoose	55.85 2,617.14		$196.50 \\ 17.931.90$	\$ 2,451.87 4,477.68 11,493.72 12,045.62	\$ 9,506.04 4,818.40 5,700.30	\$ 5,672.80 1,923.82 5,064.15		-
lack Hawk oone nethaman nethaman inter alhoun alhoun arroll tros_Gordo dar terokee ikkasaw rke ay	$\begin{array}{c} 2,233,65\\ 1,037,33\\ 7,446,46\\ 4,213,02\\ 16,709,77\\ 28,794,73\\ 248,86\\ 26,953,00\\ 24,071,64\\ 219,42\\ 570,25\\ 18,368,84\\ 4,349,14\\ 13,162,38\\ \end{array}$	\$ 2,078.86 63,795.19	$\begin{array}{c} 8,901.06\\ 8,528.28\\ 5,063.85\\ 4,048.63\\ 4,553.49\\ 251.25\\ 614.57\\ 1,807.20\\ 13,061.80\\ 60.00\\ 2,938.15\\ 2,698.02\\ 1,171.06\\ 2,488.22\\ 2,317.95\\ \end{array}$	5,511.95 14,035.77 2,686.70 379.41 2,059.18 225.49 5,319.34 2,073.12 7,446.33 5,977.56 1,574.94 12,706.79 3,983.03 837.80 7,496.76	$\begin{array}{c} 8,036,06\\ 6,507,03\\ 5,962,35\\ 12,646,00\\ 9,213,73\\ 5,449,66\\ 7,107,59\\ 12,427,71\\ 12,773,48\\ 4,048,19\\ 5,229,47\\ 6,448,99\\ 7,751,10\\ 9,117,05\\ 5,352,11\\ 5,741,27\\ 2,933,98\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,219,05\\ 879,51\\ 10,378,80\\ 1,516,74\\ 990,03\\ 2,554,42\\ 5,337,72\\ 5,652,73\\ 3,017,74\\ 4,911,54\\ 1,092,10\\ 925,56\\ 2,227,34\\ 1,894,40\\ 2,416,78\\ 2,444,36\\ 1,162,98\end{array}$	2,270,47 351,00 215,00 1,712,85 2,125,80 2,360,64 2,288,560 8,345,23 339,70 5,564,93 176,05 1,600,54 1,158,10 535,45	$\begin{array}{c} 28, 501, 29, \\ 13, 203, 74, \\ 41, 726, 63, \\ 28, 127, 99, \\ 25, 220, 18, \\ 20, 734, 55, \\ 38, 391, 48, \\ 60, 794, 88, \\ 19, 301, 04, \\ 43, 138, 37, \\ 51, 241, 13, \\ 10, 196, 46, \\ 15, 237, 83, \\ 109, 773, 83, \\ 18, 430, 22, \\ 25, 200, 48 \end{array}$
nton awford Das	4,967,95 6,749,26 48,129,08 14,542,17 3,355,25 13,477,75 8,160,94 21,025,70 72,649,19		4,234.39 1,853.23 9,661.51 379.35 3,451.97 5,688.11 2,103.65	$1,272.60 \\ 416.35 \\ 5,134.30 \\ 16,856.72 \\ 561.79 \\ 1,996.32 \\ 1,364.76 \\ 1,737.56 \\ 7,677.47 \\ 1,737.56 \\ 7,677.47 \\ 1,737.56 \\ 1$	7,969,16 18,674,29 8,615,50 8,491,85 6,223,24 5,242,70 12,047,77 16,734,72 5,143,11 11,817,31	2,127,46 2,127,46 1,439,84 2,706,12 1,247,99 3,919,06 244,90 5,073,21 2,835,46 4,989,55 1,024,97	555.00 8,837.45 50.00 2,810.55 2,915.65 4,129.10 2,375.07 657.50 1,220.98	$\begin{array}{c} 14,466,67\\ 45,787,32\\ 20,782,82\\ 27,868,96\\ 77,641,29\\ 39,036,87\\ 7,853,27\\ 27,668,03\\ 399,303,57\\ 23,355,79\\ \end{array}$
imetyette	41,223.43		8,270.02 8,464.51	17,541.46 1,479.20 2,904.01	6,064.90 5,344.52 11,002.96	1,024.97 5,282.49 184.89 11,655.79	2,564,60 1,274.34 224,83 9,706.23	44,110.05 106,682.40 48,456.87 51,379.45

	Floyd	12,716.55		1.226.54	5,690.76	8,273.38	5,597.26	542.78	34,047.27	
	Franklin	21,796.94		3,223.75	9,145,36	4,453.14 12,961.07	1,392.03	10,092.74 2.348.26	49,080.48 25,010.50	
	Greene	11,548 23		2,056,80	2,635.08	4,478.03	2,001.75	1,338.06	24,057.95	
5	Grundy	1,119.27			4,252,04	4,255,56	5,625,92	*1000190	19.063.75	
	Guthrie	9,153.07			6,360,08	7,964,43	3,905,07	643.75	33,295.26	
	Hamilton	83,038.20		4,519,14	2,977.97	7,089,10	4,216.30	3,398.98	105,239.69	
	Hancoek	8,918.29		1,308,15	2,090.37	13,723.20	2,602.12	1,714,80	30,356,93	
		37,129,12		285.01	3.228.28	9,066,69	1,188.77	6,979,26	57,877.13	
	Hardin	2,368,60		9,547.80	6,876.86		995.62		31,055,84	
	Harrison		**********			7,191.38		4,075.58		(D)
	Henry	4,615.32			3,461.96	13,564.66	866.69	1,039.55	23,548.18	AN
	Howard	12,407.14		1,803.49	829.35	4,488.49	1,094.23	1,248.29	21,870.99	4
	Humboldt.	36,925.17	**********		815.60	6,864.82	305.41	957.25	45,868.25	4
	Ida			34.00	6,362.41	4,324.94	1,353.66	482.51	12,557.52	C
	Iowa	1,034.64		2,616.71	8,191.83	18,580.40	6,000.66		36,424.24	NUAL
	Jackson	3,884.00			10,933.61	5,023.89	775.07	1,629.96	22,246.53	-
	Jasper	14,225.02		9,795.38	14,704.85	13,048.04	7,676.32	2,682.99	62,132.60	
		2,373.19		and manage main	2,420.63	8,884.08	5.044.20	50.00	22,545.60	REPORTS
	Jefferson	120.90		12,447,70	2,951.62	14,342.43	1,667.32	351.17	31,911.14	-
	Johnson									10
	Jones	3,776.89			8,087.56	11,063.04	3,974.71	793.75	38,744.46	Ő
	Kcokuk	101.97			3,861.64	9,383.58	1,170.98	40.05	16,816.65	H
	Kossuth	21,041.52		1,575.50	6,146.91	13,287.29	1,300.26	1,901.76	45,253.24	5
	Lee	3,760.71		7,133.49	294.12	10,576.33	2,055.73		23,820.33	
	Linn	26,562.50		5,837.68	10,903.00	21,551.35	4,402.22	2,143.28	71,400.03	
	Louisa	2,767,49		7,217.80	458.02	9,085.70	1,473.30	2,300.71	23,303.02	0
	Lucas		1.	Constant of the second	4,013.97	7,674,64	2,326.32	1,501.00	15,515,93	OF
	Lyon	3,285,75		4,253.24	1,672.75	3,362.28	1,589.56	8,254.53	17,418,11	
	Madison	a standar sea		7,285,79	5,993,88	4,243,99	4,505,79	1,526.01	23,555,46	COUNTY
	Mahasha	8,815.69		8,947.05	4,906,96	11,125.00	3,873,80	476.20	38,144.61	0
	Mahaska	9,049,96	39,382,16	14,050,80	3,939,16	8,268.77	4,750,47	4,984,94	84,426,26	G
	Marion.	48,290,65		1,728.14	2,197.10					Z
	Marshall	101100000000000000000000000000000000000				13,655.26	3,147.51		69,018.63	H
	Mills.			5,828.98	9,701.25	7,257.61	5,830.00	625.00	29,242.81	1
	Mitchell	5,351 78	***********	6,202.28	5,278.04	3,617.78	4,947.92	177.62	25,575.42	
	Monons	4,927.61	************	4,175.76	2,499.72	13,248.92	2,741.98	2,665.45	30,259.44	E
	Monroe				6,645 90	9,646.80	2,094.52	857.60	19,244.82	Z
	Montgomery	4,191.40		4,588.55	9,760.10	7,537.49	665.32	1,443.12	28,185.98	9
	Muscatine	16,048.15	************	2,127.00	590.57	11,680.89	1,214.86	5,447.43	37,108.95	-
	O'Brien	18,312.13		3,054.15	1.510.62	5,519,04	2,826.23	3,313.57	34,535,74	Z
	Ösceola	1,303 63		4,268,00	1.622.98	6,685,70	884.32	230.00	14,994.63	INEERS
	Page	7,838.94		5,126.50	2,593 73	10,979.94	2,805.54	3,369.53	32,714.18	E.
	Palo Alto	41,249.15			1,889,33	6,193.22	294.65	2,373,13	51,999,48	H
	Plymouth.	6,709 45		2,660.78	9.517.92	13,706.01	2.714.37	1,729,94	37,088,47	Fo
	Pocahontas.	68,337.50		459.75	4,257.02	8,981.55	3,760.36	9,598,40	95,400.58	
	Polk	209,615.28			4.734.58	32,532.04	11,132.98	9,146.23	267,161.11	
				14,301.07	5,819.66	19,575,36	1,771.99			
	Pottawattamie			8,166,60	8,059.90	19,375.36	1,916.00	3,666.97 2,164.69	45,135.05	
	Poweshiek.								33,857.85	
	Ringgold				1,856.70	4,521.95	1,761.02		8,139.67	
	Sac	73,021.89			1,811.86	5,718.54	404.27	8,723.52	89,680,08	
	Scott.			7,334.62	596.07	6,191.29	3,796.33	266.00	35,093.91	12
	Shelby	09.85		2,749.91	13,154.65	9,929.84	1,313.01	420.80	27,638.06	23

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COM

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 9 .- Continued.

County	Permanent work (county)	Permanent work (Fed. Aid)	Temporary work	Repairs	Maintenance	Equipment and unused material	Special cases railway cross- ings, gravel pits, etc.	Total
Sloux	14,880.00		11,623.30	2,083.58	11,021.03	5,527.69	2,146.77	47,282.37
Story	20,172.02		h 550.00	3,164.58	10,144.30	824.47	2,726.48	37,031.85
Tama Taylor	2,455.67 4,846.44 49,25		7,552.86 2,917.25 3,630.13	$\begin{array}{r} 4,808.29\\ 4,358.27\\ 3,398.34\\ 2,584.41\\ 3,924.48\\ 2,592.95\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 14,250.48\\ 4,719.45\\ 5,522.05\\ 4,547.11\\ 10,038.54\\ 7,839.53\end{array}$	6,321.15 1,228.38 685.33 2,555.39 3,326.57 404.80	851.10 562.00 403.07 2,405.59 3,526.59 1,371.58	52,728.74 13,785.35 16,094.59 18,425.74
Union								
Van Buren			6,333.24					
Wapello			7,366,69					25,662,62
Warren								19,624.80
Washington		************	9,514.47	2,234.75	29,258.76	8,972.32	1,754.80	51,735.10
Wayne			1,415.93	1,578.97	9,694.74	4,405.66	1,655.09	18,750.39
Webster	38,306.00		1,239.69	2,936.54	8,750.48	1,807.51	1,587.91	54,678.18
Winnebago	2,920.46		693.05	4,553.45	8,483.00	135.80	2,244.13	27,987.71
Winneshiek	4,166.88	30,300.50	9,295.90 081.75	5,468.21 8,801.15	8,003.79 10,873.31	1,970.31	302.24	27,960.91
Woodbury Worth		30,300.30	001.70	943.75	2,936.53	1,199.22 3,632.60	4.007.41 2.641.79	60,330.22 22,257.55
Wright			9,695.73	4,113.79	5,312.84	7,020.98	3,624.05	42,957.25
Totals	\$1,431,534.75	\$ 135,559.71	\$ 395,085.72	468,483.71	\$ 907,996.46	\$ 298,840.00	\$ 218,551.53	\$ 3,856,051.88

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 10

# Classification of Permanent Road Construction .- County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County	Built to Finished Grade 3-A		Built to Temporary Grade 3-B		Permanently Sur- faced. 3-C		Tile Drainage 3-D	Special Cases	Total
	Miles	Cost	Miles	Cost	Miles	Cost	Cost	Cost	
Adair	.4	\$ 997.50					\$ 70.18 55.35		55.3
Adams	1.3	2,617.14							2,617.1
appanoose udubon Senton	.36	1,714.56					$11.25 \\ 519.09 \\ 1,037.33$		11.2 2,233.6 1,037.3
Black HawkBoone	.25	1,854.58 2,909.47			2.65	\$ 3,980.14	1,438.04	1,303.55	7,446.4 4,213.0
BremerBuchananBuchana	1.25		.10	\$ 161.55	$\begin{smallmatrix}15.0\\25.0\end{smallmatrix}$	15,167.77 28,521.15	1,261.31 264.68 248.86	119.14 8.90	16,709.1 28,794.7 248.8
alloun	8.5 6.0				$6.25 \\ .5$	$12,318.00 \\ 187.40$	775.07 56.44	146.45	26,953.0 24,071.6 219.4
Cass						11,260.36			570.2 18,368.8
erro Gordo	10.9	5,553.44			3.55	100000			4,349.1
'hickasaw	11.44	7,712.78			9.87	5,311.75			13,162.38
larke	1.25	889.97	.35	2,193.95	24.25	24.25 23,116.34	1,228.34	346.00	25,580.63 4,967.95
Nayton	.45 .91	2,774.00 2,218.36			1.66	4,530.90	1,023.14		6,749.2 48,129.08
Dallas	9.61 3.3	48,129.08 8,401.87			2.75	5,117.16			14,542.1
)avis			1.70	3,355.25	7.75	6,948.00			3,355.25 13,477.78
Delaware Des Moines	4.75 2.12 5.9	6,211.70 7,160.60 5,624.27			2.0	356.69 11.065.76	$217.82 \\ 2.324.82$	425.83 2,010.85	
Dickinson Dubuque	5.9 .6 20.15	2,410.25		x	7.7	67,659.38 18,638.99	2,579.56 194.74		72,649.19 41,223.43
EmmetFayette	20.15	337.65	1.0	5,143.08	3.0	1,799.12	366.10		. 7,645.95

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

274

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 10 .- Continued.

County	Built to Finished Grade 3-A		Built to Temporary Grade 3 B		Permanently Sur- faced. 3-C		Tile Drainage 3-D	Special Cases	Total
	Miles	Cost	Miles	Cost	Miles	Cost	Cost	Cost	
•									
loyd	7.5	6,444.00			4.28	3,472.50	A day in		
ranklin	11.37	10,055.66			10.25		2,800.05		12,716.55
remont		***			10.25	11,470.40	248.23	22.65	21,796.94
reene	1.5	1,257,36							
rundy		234.60			10.5	9,359.53	739.34	192.00	$11,548.23 \\ 1,119.27 \\ 9,153.07 \\ 83,038.20 \\ 8,918.29$
athrie	1.5	5,840.01	.32				254.27	630.40 124.70 198.62 26.95	
amilton	30.47	35,313,79		2,744.04	.5	424.17	20.15		
ancock	4.0				25.81	44,665.19	3,059.22		
ardin	9.0	3,661.75			3.5		1,079.21 26.79		
ardin	24.10	33,927.66		***********	5.25				87,129.12
arrison	1.65	2,368.60					arrive a	20.00	2,368,60
enry	1.0	4,418.95					196.37		4.615.32
oward	7.0	8,646.37			1.5.1.6.5.		3,760.77 852.39		12,407.14 36,925.17
umboldt	25.75	22,709.13			17.3	13,363,65			
a			100 C	Contraction of the		and a second second		***********	
wa					.25	200.10			
ekson	1.16				.20	128.40	54.69	851.55	1,034.64 3,884.00
sper	2.0	7,400.00	3.0	6,700.00					
fferson		1,400.00			********		125.02		14,225.02
hnson	*********								2.373.19
nes							120.90		120.9)
okuk			1.1	3,451.64			325.25		3,776.89 101.97 21,041.52 3,760.71 26,562.50
ossuth.	*********	***********					101.97		
	7.41	12,945.30			6.53	7.363.67			
e					.25	1,157,89		2,602.82	
nn	.80	3,042.00			.8	21,663.71	46.75	1,810.04	
ouisa	.50	557.75			.33	875.38			
icas					.00		504.11	830.25	2,767.49
zon	.25	3,285.75	1000 - 1000 - 1						
adison		01000110						***********	3,285.75
anaska	3.75	7,787.20	1.0	250.00					
		7 8,579.58	1.9	and a start of the		***********	28.40	750.00	8,815.60
arsman.	14.0			75.48	394.90				
	11111 C	11,122.00			.74	471.40		97.25	48,290.65
itchell	2.0	831.20							
onona	4.3	4,922.01		***********	5.0 4,417.54				5,351.78
onroe	1.0	4,922.01							4.927.61
Unigomery									
usentine	7.55	0 081 48	7.0	4,170.60			20.80		4,101.40
n		7.55 9,281.46 23.27 16,539.05			3.18 6,089.17		677.52		16,048,15

Decola	1.0	2,067.80 38,131.16	5.0 1.0	1,060.00 5,758.14			106.13 10.00 3,117.99	137,50 2,00	1,303.63 7,838.94 41,249,15
Plymouth			3.0	6,769.45					6,709.45
Pocahontas	49.1 26.76	33,869.89 51,805.35			30.85 19.71	33,440.78 156,099.46	$997.93 \\ 449.82$	28.99 1,260.65	68,337.50 209,615.28
Pottawattamie			1.5	3,161.70			************		3,161.70
linggold									
ac	34.75 3.75	60,341.47 14,909.33	1.15	1,596.40 125.00	8.58	10,101.21 1.875.27			73,021.89 16,909,60
helby							69.85		69.85
louxtory	7.1	14,880.00 920.25			21.0	18,198,05	1.053.72		14,880.00 20,172.02
ama	12.10	13,466.74	.2	995.98	2.2	4,482.14			18,944.86
aylor		******	1.1	2,455.67					2,455.67
an Buren				-, 100101					4,300.01
Vapello	.2	2,960.69	. 33	1,175.71			710.04		
							49.25		49.25
Vayne									
Vebster Vinnebago	4.8	4,013.94 11,319.79			16.55	29,256.03	4,101.16 558.49	984.92	38,356.05 11,878,28
Vinneshiek.	.25	2,043.51							2,920,46
VoodburyVorth	9.5 6.8	3,082.93 7,117.41	.36	990.50	6.95	3,970.70	103.45 1,014.77		4,166.88 12,102.88
/right	*******	***********		************	4.0	11,847.22	1,342.64		13,189.86
Totals	470.06	\$ 694,601.39	29.51	\$ 52,248.66	343.39	\$ 617,298.80	\$ 48,651.24	\$ 18,731.66	\$ 1,431,534.75

# ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

277
## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 11.

Classification of Permanent Road Construction.—County, State and Federal Expenditures.—Federal Aid Projects.—Annual Reports of County Engineers.

	Transformet		o Finished Frade	Permaner	ntly Surfaced	Tile	Drainage	Per- centage Com-	Total
County	Project No.	Miles	Cost	Miles	Cost	Lin. Ft.	Cost	pleted	10000
Buchanan Cerro Gordo	5	4	\$ 7,027.58 39,382.16	2.6	\$ 56,770.61	15,623	\$ 2,078.86	100	\$ 2,078.86 63,793.15 39,382.16
Marion Woodbury	2	$     \begin{array}{r}       16.75 \\       17.52     \end{array} $	39,382.10						30,300.50
Total		38.27	\$ 76,710.24	2.6	\$ 56,770.61	15,623	\$ 2,078.86		\$ 135,559.71

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 12.

## Classification of Temporary Road Construction .- County Expenditures .- Annual Reports of County Engineers.

	Natur	allt to al Grade 2-A		Oili	ing		Special Cases	Total
County	Miles	Cost	Miles	Average Width Oiled	Average Cost Per Mile	Total Cost	Cost	Totat
		2 000 00						\$ 2,988,89
air	. 41.75	\$ 2,988.89			********			196.50
ams	. 3.00							17,931,95
lamakee	. 35.5	17,931.90						
ppanoose								
udubon								
enton	. 92.5	8,901.06						8,901.06
ack Hawk	- 40.8	8,528.28						8,528.28
oone	- 55.5	5,063.85						
remer	- 19.25	4.048.63						4,048.63
uehanan		4,553,49						4,553.49
uena Vista							\$ 251.25	251.25
utler		614.57						614.57
alhoun		1.807.20						1,807.20
arroll		13,035,60						13,061.80
1885		10,000100						60.00
Vedar		2,848.14						2,038,15
erro Gordo		2,698.02						2,698.02
herokee		1.171.06						1,171,06
hickasaw		2,488.22						2 488 22
larke		2,317.95		**********			***************************************	2,317.95
181 Ke		2,017.00						
layton		4.234.39				************		4,234.39
linton		587.45	3.2	16 ft.	\$ 363.03	8 1.161.68	8 104.10	1,853.23
Jrawford		001.40	0.4		9 000.00			a perior an
Dallas	- 53.65	9,661.51						9,661,51
Davis		379.35						\$79.35
Decatur		2,185.61						3,451.97
Delaware		5,688.11						5.688.11
Des Moines		2,095.25						2,103.65
		2,020,20					1.700.0.00	a. 100.00
Dickinson		1,763,60						3,270,02
Dubuque		1,703.00						0,210.02
Emmet		0 101 51						8,464.51
Fayette		8,464.51						1.226.54
Floyd Franklin		1,226.54						3,223,75

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 12 .- Continued.

	Natur	illt to al Grade 2·A		OI	ing		Special Cases	maria
County	Miles	Cost	Miles	Average Width Oiled	Average Cost Per Mile	Total Cost	Cost	Total
Fremont		0 050 00						
reene	9.75	2,056.80						2,056.8
rundy	18.0	3,810.96						3,810.9
uthrie	11.54							5,268 8
amilton	46.0	4,519.14					annere interest	4,519.1
ancock	13.0	1,308.15						1,338 1
ardin	2.0						65.01	295 1
arrison	17.4	9,547.80				100		9.517.8
enry	****	01041-00						oportion
oward	11.0	1,803,49						1.803.4
	11.0	1,803.49				***********		
amboldt	*********							
8	1.0	34.00						34.0
W8	16.25	2,616.71						2,616.
ckson								*********
sper	52.25	5,599.77	13.0	15 ft.	322.74	4,195.61		9,795.
fferson	27.8	3,474.46	4.0				299.04	3,773
hnson	60.7	12,447,70			CONTRACT STOLEN			12.447.
nes	82.0	8,53).70	13.0	14.7 ft.	165.615	2,276.05	241.76	11.048.
	31.0	2,258,43	13.0		446418.000		633.19	2,258
okuk							**********	
ssuth	10.0	1,575.50					**********	1.575.1
e	36.0	2,858.63	14.0			4,222.81	52.05	7,133.
nn	37.5	5,757.97	1.0		79.71	79.71		5,837.0
uisa	79.0	7,187.42				25.58	4.80	7,217.4
cas	a los					100000000000000000000000000000000000000	and the stand of the stand	Service - Contract
on	23.4	4,253.24						4,253.5
idison	47.5	5,783,79					1,502.00	7.995
ihaska	33.0	3,402.50					5,544,55	8,947.0
arlon.	43.9	14,050,80						
and and a	28.5							14,050.8
rshall								1,728.1
118	51.4	5,828.98						5,828.9
tchell	30.5							6,2 2 2
onona	31.0	4,175.76				*********	*************	4,175.7
onroe					*************			
ontgomery							4,588.55	4,588.5
ascatine	25 0					16.66		2,127.0
Brien.	19 0	8.054 15				0175		3,054.11
ceola	24.0	4,038 00					230,00	4,258.00
ige	51.0	5,128 50	C. COMPANY	ALCON MARCHINE				5,126.50

Palo Alto Plymouth Pocahontas	24.0 5.25	459.75						2,660.78 459.75
	14.5	5,198.30	11.0		269.84	2,968.30	14,301.07	14,301.07 8,166.60
Ringgold	3.5 23.0	606.10 2.749.91	17.5	16 ft.	447.47	6,439.52	289,00	7,334.62 2,749.91 11,623.30
Sloux	50.0 58.0 24.0 38.2 87.75	7,552.86 2,917.25 3,630.13					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7,552.86 2,917.25 3,630.13 6,333.24
Van Buren. Wapelo. Washington. Wayne	65.0 73.98 20.0 10.0							1.415.9
Webster	6.0 49.75 9.1	693.05 9,258.40 981.75						9,295.90 981.75
Worth	39.0 2,185.19	9,590.86 8 335,739.35			2 009 50			9,695.73 \$ 395,085.75

\*

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 13.

## Road Repairs and Maintenance-County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

	1					Mainten	ance	-			_		1			
			Dra	ging				Repair	rs by	Patrol	men		1	Rfr	e anir	mlle
County	No. of miles regularly dragged	Average No. of . times dragged	Average cost per mile of road	Average cost per mile one round trip	Total cost of dragging	No. of miles in county road system	No. of miles under patrol	No. of patrol district in county	Average length of patrol district	Average monthly salary paid patrolmen	Average cost per mile repairs and general mainten- ance by patrol	Total cost of ropair and general maintenance by patrol		Total cost of repair not done by patrolmen	Fotal cost of repair and maintenance	Average cost per repair and maintenance
dair dams llamakee 	172 126 125 166 140 214 184 157 125 175 175 170 185 173	34 42 25 37 13 40 30 32 49 38 27	\$ 27.72 34.14 25.73 36.84 20.99 19.75 85.34 29.22 28.63 17.46 34.77 20.59 23.54	\$0.80 .80 1.00 .75 .75 .75 .90 .90 .90 .90 .75	4,768.40 4,302.10 3,088.34 6,134.17 2,938.93 4,147.23 6,403.07 4,586.63 3,597.83 3,662.66 5,919.32 8,823.65 4,048.19	172 126 130 166 140 214 186 157 125 175 175 170 186 173	81 50 33 69 140 214 186 157 51 175 170 185	5 1 32 3 1 14 4 3 3 13 13	50 11 34 46 214 13 39 17 13 34 14	\$132.00 150.00 162.50 150.00 125.00 150.00 150.00 150.00 125.00 137.50 156.00 150.00	\$ 58.49 \$ 10.32 276.82 27.56 25.48 8.46 33.50 29.47 36.31 23.57 38.23 48.31	$\begin{array}{r} 516.30\\ 2,611.96\\ 1,901.89\\ 3,568.10\\ 1,815.12\\ 6,243.02\\ 4,627.10\\ 1,851.83\\ 4,134.93\\ 6,508.39\\ 8,949.83\end{array}$	*	$\begin{array}{c} 2,451.87 \\ 4,477.68 \\ 11,403.72 \\ 12,045.62 \\ 5,511.95 \\ 14,035.77 \\ 2,686.70 \\ 379.41 \\ 2,069.18 \\ 225.49 \\ 5,319.34 \\ 2,046.39 \\ 2,073.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,957,91\\ 9,206.08\\ 17,104.02\\ 20,081.68\\ 12,018.98\\ 19,908.12\\ 15,332.79\\ 9,593.14\\ 7,548.84\\ 7,423.08\\ 17,747.05\\ 15,419.87\\ 6,121.31\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
arrollass edar Perro Gordo berokee Dhickasaw larke	129 158 147 149 156 114	40 22 29 22 35	35.68 32.40 20.32 26.14 28.62 25.74	1.70 .80 .80 .90 1.01 .75	4,620.77 4,595.56 5,185.05 3,017.36 3,894.91 3,685.47 2,933.98	175 142 158 155 154 156 115	22 126 128 33 152	1 	22 31 14 11 9	162.50 150.00 150.00 130.00 132.00	27.67 20.36 47.40 44.16 13.53	$\begin{array}{c} 608.70\\ 1,853.43\\ 2,566.05\\ 6,099.69\\ 1,457.20\\ 2,055.80\end{array}$		7,446.33 5,977.56 1,574.94 12,796.79 3,983.03 837.80	12,675,80 12,426,55 9,326,04 21,913,84 9,335,14 6,579,07	72.43 87.05 58.91 141.06 60.32 42.04
Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay	119 150 201 188 150 172 150	35 21 49 35 44 14 25	20.43 39.82 24.79 33.62 24.42 27.40	.15 .90 .72 .75 .76 .85 .70	2,903.05 3,064.91 8,017.55 4,989.45 5,060.40 4,206.22 4,103.25	115 150 201 201 150 172 155	75 201 43 150 172 155	5 38 6 5 3 20	15 5 7 30 57 7	$\begin{array}{c} 135.00\\ 143.75\\ 150.00\\ 125.00\\ 162.50\\ 100.00 \end{array}$	65.50 52.90 82.75 22.80 11.72 7.31	$\begin{array}{r} 4,904.25\\10,656.74\\3,626.06\\8,431.45\\2,017.02\\1,139.45\end{array}$		7,496.76 1,272.60 416.35 5,134.30 16,856.72 561.79 1,986.32	$\begin{array}{r} 10,430.74\\ 9,241.76\\ 19,090.64\\ 13,749.80\\ 25,348.57\\ 6,785.03\\ 7,229.02 \end{array}$	90,01 61,61 94,83 68,32 168,43 39,42 46,40

Decatur	152 176	30 37	47.84	.40	7,279.26	152 176	152 170	8	22	120.00 150.00	30.44 64.98 27.73	4,768.51 11,455.78 2,266,76	1,364.70	13,412.53 16,734.72 6,880.67	88.23 94.92 84.16	
Delaware Des Moines	81	26 30	35.18	.80	2,876.35 6,000.00	81 111	81 110	5 11		150.00 130.00	52.88	5,817.31 2,569.65	7,677.47	19,494.78 24,206.36	174.95 140.23	
Dickinson	108 143	50	28.59	1.00	4,095.25	172 - 106	68	6	11	150.00. 135.00	61.41	4,175.81 5,955.35	1,479.20 2,904.01	6,823.72 13,906.97	64.37 68.84	
Emmet	103 202	16 26	24.99	.70	5,047.61	202 144	202	10 8	20 18	$112.50 \\ 120.00$	29.48 22.82	3,291.97	5,690.76	13,964.14 12,575.02	96.71 68.07	
Floyd	144 152	44 32	34.52 25.64	.75	4,981.41 3,896.74	184	9	1 3	9 51	$162.50 \\ 150.00$	61.82 54.71	556.40 8,370.13	8,121.88 9,145.36	22,106.43	143.20 53.69	>
Franklin	140	2	32.80 15.83	.80 .85	4,590.94 2,093.94	154 132	154 38	4	9	124.00	62.73	2,384.09 86.55	2,635.08 4,252.04	7,113.11 8,507.60	53.85	ANN
Greene Grundy	132 157	33	26.55	.80	4,169.01	158 196	60	4	15	168.75	37.20	2,231.59	6,360.08	14,324.51 10.067.07	73.08 52.06	NUA
Guthrie	196 165	19 31	29.25 35.10	1.00	5,732.84 5,788.10	193	12	17	12 23	150.00 135.00	$106.70 \\ 42.13$	1,301.00 7.020.66	2,977.97 2,090.37	15,813.57	94.90 68.96	AL
Hamilton	166	37	40.23 23.52	1.11	6,702.54 4,193.19	166 178	166 178	13	13	175.00	27.33	4,873.50 3,106.95	3,228.28 6,876.86	12,294.97 14,068.24	83.74	
Hardin Harrison	178 168	23 20	24.31	.75	4,084.43	168 142	168 142	37	56 20	175.00	18.50 53.09	7,554.45	3,461.96	17,026.62 5,317.84	119.65 43.48	E
Henry	142 120	30 19	42.23	.70	6,010.21 1,842.55	122	122	3 5	40 20	$137.50 \\ 155.00$	21.62 29.59	2,645.94 2,959.00	829.35 815.60	7,680.42	57.53	09
Howard	129	28	30.28 20.14	1.00	3,905.82 2,657.86	133 132	100 132	5	26	175.00	12.62	1,667.08 11,866.23	6,362.41 8,191.83	10,687.35 26,772.23	80.96 151.68	REPORT
Ida	132 176	22 47	38.04	.80	6,714.17	176 157	176	- 9	19	125.00	67.23		10,933.61	15,957.50 27,752.89	$101.33 \\ 135.51$	20
Jackson	157 204	40 18	31.89 29.61	.78	5,023.89 6,065.44	204	160	82	20 70	$175.00 \\ 145.00$	43.63 22.56	6,982.60 3,186.11	14,704.85 2,420.63	11,304.71	80.01	OF
Jasper Jefferson	141	29 31	40.32 47.89	.70	5,697.97 7,662.07	141 173	141 173	8	21	150.00	42.28	6,680.36 4,917.49	2,981.62 8,087.56	17,824.05 19,150.60	$100.14 \\ 103.51$	
Jones	160 180	29	34.15	.90	6,145.55 4,139.59	185 167	165 162	11 9	15 18	$125.00 \\ 156.00$	29.80 32.37	5,243.99	3,861.64 6,146.91	13,245.22 19,434.20	79.53 69.41	COUNT
Keokuk	167 280	17 32	24.78 32.36	.70 .97	9,061.67	280	280 150	5 23	56 6	150.00	15.09 29.36	4,225.62 4,404.29	294.12	10,870.45	72.67	Z
Lee	150 218	10 47	41.15 45.70	.80 1.00	6,172.04 10,000.65	150 218	168	21	8	150.00	68.75 38.77	11,550.69 4,341.82	10,903.00 458,02	32,454.35 9,543.72	85.21	PY
Linn Louisa	112	26 25	42.35 27.38	1.00	4,743.88 4,046.84	112 148	112 148	3 11	37 13	$115.00 \\ 120.00$	24.51	8,627.80	4,013.97 1,672.75	11,688.61 5,035.03	78.98 26.50	EN
Lucas	148 188	20	17.84	.90	3,362.28	190 162							5,993.88	10,237.87 16,031.96	63.19 103.39	NG
Madison	162 155	16 25	26.19 40.76	.80 ,80	6,319.00	155	155 47	63	25 15	$120.00 \\ 120.00$	30.99 35.39	4,806.00	4,906.96 8,939.16	12,207.93	71.87	GINE
Marion	169 165	31 42	38.83 31.59	.86 .75	6,596.77 5,213.87	169 189	189	11	17	$135.00 \\ 162.50$	44.71 36.72	8,441.39 4,039.06	2,197.10 9,701.25	15,852.36 16,958.86	83.85 154.17	EE
Marshall	110	12 26	29.26 23.00	.90	3,218.55 2,972.98	110 129	108	8	13 3	150.00	184.23	644.80 8,767.58	5,278.04 2,499.72	8,895.82 15,748.64	68.83 97.52	RS
Mitchell	129 161	28	27.75	.90	4,481.34 4,283.54	161 167	161 153	9	17	120.00	54.29 35.06	5,363.26	6,645.90	16,292.70 17,297.59	97.27 136.47	92
Monroe	167 126	27 46	24.00 35.00	.70	4,436.49	126	126 139	6 9	21	150.00	24.46 36.36	3,101.00 5,091.37	9,760.10 590.57	12,271.46	87.68	
Mucatine	136 189	53 22	48.45	.90	6,589.52 3,657.95	139 189	189	5	37	140.00	9.85	1,861.09 3,959.40	1,510.62 1,622.98	7,029.66 8,308.68	37.19 62.95	
O'Brien Osceola	125	29 22	21.81	.75	2,726.30 6,638.95	132 174	132 174	12 12	11 14	125.00	24.99	4,340.98 2,397.82	2,593.73	13,573.67 8,082.55	78.01 48.43	15
Page Palo Alto	174 164	27	22.74	.85	8,795.40 5,574.41	166 208	140 208	7	20 17	125.00 135.00		8,131.60	9,507.92	23,213.93 13,238.57	111.34 78.57	283
Plymouth	208 168	25 27			4,848.00	168	156	8	19	150.00	26.33	4,133.55	• 4,257.02	10,200.01	deite.	
I OCOMPANY COM																

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 13 .- Continued.

		-		_		Mainte	nance						1	1	-
	-		Dra	gging				Repair	rs by	Patrol	men		1	4	mile
County	No. of miles regularly dragged	Average No. of times dragged	Average cost per mile of road	Average cost per inile one round trip	Total cost of dragging	No. of miles in county road system	No. of miles under patrol	No. of patrol district in county	Average length of patrol district	Average monthly salary paid patroimen	Average cost per mile repairs and general mainten- ance by patrol	Total cost of repair and general maintenance by patrol	Total cost of repair not done by patrolmen	Total cost of repair and maintenance	Average cost per n repair and maintenance
Polk Pottawattamie Poweshiek Ringgold Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac Sac	255 138 183 150 135 157 216 132 207 172 135 133 133 137	255 39 255 255 37 39 27 25 20 31 24 39 20 20 20 21 31 49 28 22 28 22 20 30 31 31 31 31 32 31 31 31 31 32 31 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	40.83 40.33 12.69 25.65 33.45 29.06 26.75 33.052 21.57 22.66 34.19 56.60 34.59 45.54 43.452 31.72 31.72 31.72 31.77	$\begin{array}{c} .90\\ .85\\ .51\\ 1.00\\ .90\\ .85\\ 1.00\\ .85\\ 1.00\\ .80\\ .80\\ .80\\ .80\\ .80\\ .80\\ .80\\ $	9,407.19 10,241.29 5,577.54 2,321.95 3,851.04 4,516.18 4,584.91 5,770.98 4,498.91 6,338.71 3,710.45 3,071.24 4,547.11 7,767.40 5,850.79 8,767.47 5,954.72 5,859.45 5,764.88 5,860.05 8,175.21 2,150.83 3,351.69	191 255 138 183 150 136 137 216 132 207 172 133 137 172 133 137 172 172 135 133 137 172 172 135 133 137 172 172 135 133 137 172 143 141 141 141 144 144 144 144 144 144	$\begin{array}{c} 165\\ 255\\ 112\\ 108\\ 40\\ 113\\ 157\\ 77\\ 50\\ 132\\ 132\\ 132\\ 136\\ 170\\ 120\\ 172\\ 185\\ 190\\ 120\\ 172\\ 185\\ 86\\ 95\\ 36\\ 99\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 96\\ 9$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ 10\\ 7\\ 3\\ 2\\ 6\\ 8\\ 9\\ 7\\ 10\\ 1\\ 7\\ 10\\ 1\\ 7\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 4\\ 3\\ 17\\ 6\\ 2\\ 6\\ \end{array}$	16 18	$\begin{array}{c} 150.00\\ 162.59\\ 150.00\\ 143.00\\ 150.00\\ 212.50\\ 140.00\\ 150.00\\ 162.50\\ 126.00\\ 137.53\\ 100.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 150.00\\ 175.00\\ 175.00\\ 175.00\\ 175.00\\ 175.00\\ 175.00\\ 100.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 140.15\\ 36.53\\ 42.96\\ 20.37\\ 46.69\\ 14.75\\ 33.88\\ 30.65\\ 42.60\\ 38.22\\ 20.18\\ 18.50\\ 16.64\\ 11.52\\ 170.76\\ 21.50\\ 15.50\\ 122.65\\ 19.80\\ 28.10\\ 21.83\\ 21.67\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 23,124.85\\ 9,334.07\\ 4,811.42\\ 2,200.00\\ 1,867.50\\ 1,675.11\\ 5,344.93\\ 5,241.05\\ 5,645.39\\ 7,911.77\\ 1,009.00\\ 2,450.81\\ \hline 2,271.14\\ 1,958.74\\ 20,491.29\\ 3,740.02\\ 2,870.03\\ 2,718.12\\ 2,148.74\\ 2,698.10\\ 785.70\\ 1,961.15\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,734.58\\ 5,819.66\\ 8,059.90\\ 1,856.70\\ 1,811.86\\ 596.07\\ 13,154.65\\ 2,083.58\\ 3,164.58\\ 4,808.29\\ 4,358.27\\ 3,398.34\\ 2,584.41\\ 3,924.48\\ 2,592.96\\ 2,234.75\\ 1,578.97\\ 2,936.54\\ 4,553.45\\ 5,468.21\\ 8,801.15\\ 5,468.21\\ 8,801.15\\ 5,448.75\\ 4,113.79\\ 4,113.79\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37,266.62\\ 25,395.02\\ 18,448.86\\ 6,378.65\\ 7,530.40\\ 6,787.36\\ 23,084.49\\ 13,104.61\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194.86\\ 99.39\\ 133.39\\ 34.76\\ 50.14\\ 49.61\\ 146.33\\ 60.67\\ 100.44\\ 92.07\\ 52.72\\ 65.91\\ 101.83\\ 61.37\\ 163.60\\ 65.18\\ 61.37\\ 163.60\\ 65.18\\ 99.16\\ 63.05\\ 99.16\\ 66.54\\ 92.36\\ 33.89\\ 52.48\\ \end{array}$
Total	15,765	30	\$ 31.02	\$ .87	\$ 489,024.21	16,185	11,793	633	17 8	143.43	\$ 35.53 \$	418,972.25		\$1,376,480.17 \$	85.05

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 14.

Road Repairs and Maintenance-County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

	Equip	oment and Mat	erial	191		Spe	ecial Cases	(Miscellaneo	us		
	Cost of Equipment	Cost of Unused	Total	C	R. R. rossings nproved	10 C	Gravel Pits urchased	Right	Drainage Assess-	Miscel-	Total Special
County	Including Repairs to Same	Unused	Total	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	Way	ments	Ianeous	Cases
								2 000 50			> 0.0 50
dair	1. 19.00 40	0 014 70	5,672.80 1,923,82					\$ 903.58 140.00			\$ 933.58 526.20
lams			5,164.15			0.000		867.25		10) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 1	867.25
llamakee		************	6,209.65	10000000				1,292.16	\$ 49,00	929.31	2,270.47
ppanoose			879.51			1		190.00		the state of the state	351.00
udubon		***********						215.00			215.00
enton	- 10,378.80		10,378.80				\$ 116.09	215.00		1,596.76	1,712.85
luck Hawk		***********	1,516.74		*********				2,005.54	120,26	2,125.80
00De		220.00	990.93	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			925.00	780.00	2,000.04	664.64	2,369.64
remer		552.02	2,554.42	Charles and the			1,985.40	59.00	45.80	198.36	2,288.56
uchanan		1,466.80	5,337.72				3,257.45	51.00	4.232.46	808.21	8,349.12
uena Vista		**********	5,652.73 3,017.74	1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2		F	Contraction of the second	A CONTRACT OF	9,506,10	000.21	0,010.14
utler		19.20	4,911.54	*****					2,852.55	492.68	3,345.23
alhoun		83.46	1,092,19					339.70	a second second second	495.08	339.70
arroll		1000 C 1000	925.56					1,930.50		3,634,43	5,564.93
188			9,227.34					1,550.50		1.05	176.05
Vedar Verro Gordo		188.00	1,391.40					460,00	793.00	347.54	1,600.54
Therokee		584.80	2,416.78	100001001				455.33	125.00	702.80	1,158,10
Thickasaw		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	2,444.36				1000 A.M.	400+03			535.45
Jarke		17.12	1,162.98				2000	405.00		150,00	555.00
lar av		50.75	2,127.46				4,313.09	21.70	***********	4,502.66	8,837.45
layton		339.76	1,439.84				4,010.00	50.00		and an	50.00
Clinton		262.08	2,706.12			1	400.00	2,336.20		74.35	2.810.55
Crawford		AVA VOU	1,247.99				400.00	2,579.60		336.05	2,915.65
Dallas	A 444 44		3,919.06		\$ 1,565.29	2	460,95	802.53	939.58	360.75	4,129,10
Davis			244.90	1 1 2 2	9 1,000.20		100100	000000	000.00	000.10	41440.10
Decatur			5,073.21					970.80		1,404.27	2,375.07
Delaware		658.14	2,835.46	9	600.00		57.50				€57.50
Des Moines		6.20	4,989.55	L. E	000100					535,98	1,220.98
Dickinson		348,55	1,024.97	195			830.31			179.29	2,561.60
Dubuque		228.24	5,282,49							1.084.84	1,274 34

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 14 .- Continued.

	Equi	pment and Ma	terial	N ···		Sp	ecial Cases	(Miscellaned	ous		-
County	Cost of Equipment Including	Cost of Unused	Total	C	R. R. rossings nproved		Gravel Pits urchased	Right	Drainage	1	Total
	Repairs to Same		1.000	No.	Cost	No.	Cost	of Way	Assess- ments	Miscel- laneous	Special Cases
Smmet	184,89		101.00								
		0.000 80	184.89				210.00	***********		14.83	224.8
	9,562.00	2,093.79	11,655.79					651.00			9,706.2
		1,504.19	5,597.26						and the second	542.78	542.7
	1,162.63	229.40	1,392.03			1	250.00	21.00	9,269,69	552.05	10,092.7
	555.81		555.81					1,818.74			2,318.2
	1,832.20	169.55	2,001.75	win and		1	86.62		1	1,251,44	1,338.0
irundy	5,625.92		5,625.92				CONTRACT OF			1,201.99	1,000.0
uthrie	3,880.81	24.26	3,905.07	1000		10000		643.75			643.7
Lamilton	4,216.30		4,216.30					110.10	216.51	3,182.47	
lancoek	2,154.06	448.06	2,602.12			2	700.00		366.07	648.73	3,398.9
lardin	1,188.77		1,188.77			10000	100.00	717.75			1.714.8
arrison	995.62		995.62						3,360.60	2,900.91	6,979.2
lenry	866.69		\$66.69			*****	*****	2,152.20	50.00	1,873.38	4,075.5
loward	1,052.08	42.15	1,094.23	449.444	States	*****	000.00	52.00		987.55	1,039.5
lumboldt	305.41		305.41	******			990.00	45.00	213.29		1,248.2
Ia	1,353.66		1,353.66				600.00	246.75		110.50	957.2
owa	6,000.66		6,000.66	******		*****	**********			482.51	482.5
ackson		0.0	775.07			******	***********				
asper	AN		7,676.32	*****		*****		1,012.33	***********	617.63	1,629.9
efferson	and the second second		5.044.20	1	507.50			1,619.60		555.89	2.682.93
ohnson	1,667.32		1,667.32					50.00			59.0
ones			3,974.71					283.47		67.70	851.1
eokuk	1,170.98		1,170.98					793.75		and the second s	793.75
ossuth	1,300.26		1,300.26	itera.		******		40.05			40.03
00	1,972.86	82.87			***********	2	650.00		195.16	1,056.60	1,901.70
inn	66 601 1		2,055.73							********	A 3 0 1/A - 11
ouisa	1,423.17		4,402.22					1,868.28	275.00		2,143.25
UCas		50.13	1,473.30	water a		10000	10000000000		210100	248.38	2,300.71
yon	2,326.32		2,326.32	1	175.80			Ban on and in the other			1.501.00
adison	1,517.56	72.00	1,589.56	1	829.79	1	350.00	935,00		1,139.74	3,254.53
	4,505.79		4,505.79		and the second second	and a		357.00	440.00	729.01	
			3,873.8)		138.40			837.80	440.00	129.01	1,526.01 476.20
	4,446.17	304.30	4,750.47	1000						1,152,84	
	3,017.78	129.73	3,147.51	errore .				01004-10 .			4,984.94
	2,100.00	3,730.00	5,830.00					625,00			
	4,947.92		4,947.92							57.20	625.00
lonona	2,450.20	291.78	2,741.98	2						1,305,45	177.62 2.665.45

Ionroe	2,067.37	27.15	2,091.52 665.32	******						300.25 1.293.12	857.60
fontgomery					000 80		0 000 05			1,293.12 278.68	5,447,43
fuscatine	1,214.86		1,214.86	1	980.70	3	2,026.05	2,162.00			3,313.57
Brien	2,826.23		2,826.23							2,875.77	230,00
sceola	884.32		\$84.32		**********	*****		230.00	***********		
age	2,065.06	740.48	2,805.54				**********	1,043.70		2,325.83	8,869.53
alo Alto	294.65		294.65					90.00	2,283.13		2,373.13
lymouth	2.714.37		2,714.37	122.2	199			718.25		1,011.69	1,729.94
ocahontas	3.766.36		3,766.36	1	45.00	5	1,030.28	5,078.78			9,598,49
	11,032,11	100.87	11,132.98		1.390.05	-		1,520,40	245.80	5,989.98	9,146.23
		202000				100000000000000000000000000000000000000		243.65		A 1.44 A.A.A.	3,666.97
ottawattamie	1,771.99		1,771.99							A 054 40	
oweshiek	1,916.00		1,916.00					213.50		-1,951.19	2,164.6
inggold	480,00	1,281.02	1.761.02								
10	404.27		404.27	10000				4,783.90	2,198,47	1.741.15	8,723.55
	3,796,33		8,796.33			12012012		266.00			0.00
					**********		**********			and a state of the	420.8
helby	1,313.01		1,313.01					204.00			
oux	5,527.69		5,527.69	2	921.60			217.00			2,146.7
ory	824.47		824.47	1	1,409.05	1	200.00	75.00	971.33	71.10	2,726.4
	6,321.15		6,321.15			-				13.00	851.1
			1,228.38					ALL A REAL PROPERTY.		500 00	562.0
aylor	1,282.38	***********	1,220,05		*********	1110 010					
nion	685.33		685.33					'84.35	***********		403.0
an Buren	2,555.39		2,555.39			and a state		336.46		2,069.13	2,405.5
apello	3,326.57		3,826.57	1	150.50			1.598.21		1.777.88	8.526.5
arren	404.80		404.80					1,121.58			1,371.5
								1 M ( 1 M )			
ashington	8,972.32	***********	8,972.32	1	1,298.00	*****				**********	
ayne	4,405.66		4,405.66	2	1,159.03			496.06			1,655.0
ebster	1,480.81	326.70	1,807.51	-				48.25	275.00	1,264.66	1,587.9
Innebago	135.80		135.80			1	137.54	677.00	1,429.59		2,244.13
/inneshiek	1,970,31	1.19	1,970.31			1		86.74	4,400.00		362.24
	1,199.22		1,199.22		010 00	100000					
				1	318.06			2,040.65		823.70	4,007.41
Vorth	3,632.60		3,632.60	2	658.00		***********	1,437.57	363.93	182.29	2,641.79
Vright	2,848.12	4,172.86	7,020.98		1,178.70			44.00	1,784.54	616.81	3,624.05
Totals	\$277,898,87	\$ 20,941,13	\$ 298,840.00	01	\$ 13,456.97	10	2 90 0% 79	\$ 07 010 54	\$ 35,106.04	8 59 041 9E	8 918 551 55

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 15.

## Road Repairs and Maintenance-County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County	No. Twp. in County		Road Fund	Drag Fund	Drainage Fund	All Other Sources	Total
Adair	18	18	\$ 26,109.78	\$ 8,329.76	\$ 2,827.87		37,207.41
	12	12	17.084.71	4,966.71	in the second second		22,051.4
dams.	18	18	24.127.27	5,659.98			29,817,25
llan skee	10	17	17,859,99	5,763.92	1,950,19	\$ 3,418.35	28,902.43
ppB"oose	12	8	16,725.53	4,405,00	- Annual	11.00 .01.00	21,165 9
udi "Ch				12,697,70	257.07		
enton	20	20	47,391.00				60,544.7
lack Hawk	18	18	25,795.44	9,375.34	75.78	*******	35,246.5
0000	17	14	32,901.98	7,418.10	8,828.59		49,148.6
remer	14	.9	19,451.02	4,167.54	************	1,122.42	24,740.9
uchanan	16	14	25,645.25	6,539.20	403.14	**************	32,407.5
uena Vista	18	16	22,645.82	9,109.37	13,855.60	629.59	46,240.3
atler	16	14	27,168.98	6,802.13		4,234,79	38,205.9
alhoun	16	16	23,785.66	8,839.75	11.204.81		43,830.2
arcoll	16	15	23,628.54	6,667.34	4,097.52		34,393,4
888	16	16	27,959.53	9,198,44	1,001.00		37,216.2
sder.	17	17	30,733.58	9,892.82	And the second se		40,626,4
erro Gordo.	16	15	25,235.99	8,188.05	7,086,12	431.00	40,941.1
herokee	16	10	20,200.00	0,100.00		0.7.0.7.7.	40,041.1
			07 1HP 0H	5.847.77			01 000 0
hlekasaw	12	12	25,175.27				31,023.0
arke	12	5	7,001.10	1,896.49	*************		9,194.0
8y	16	11	13,923.33	3,824.82		********	24,035.6
avton	22	22	28,376.86	10,671.73		5,328.43	44,377.0
inton	20	19	34,954.73	13,096.08			48,050.8
r wtord	20	20	48,417,08	10,690,18	690.85		59,798.1
allas	16	16	35,143.36	8,801.77	1,737.68	4,384.20	50,067.0
avis	15	15	20,270.98	4,961.04			25,232.0
catur	16	14	18,217.02	4,746.09		13.80	22,976.9
laware	16	15	29,575.38	7,598,88		10.00	37,174.20
Moines	13	13	21,973.97	6,310.57			28,284.54
ekinson	12	9	14,200,22	3,798.05	5,059.34		
	17		10,685,60				23,057.61
abuque	12	12		1,786.30			12,471.90
nimet			15,726,96	5,423.19	11,584.64		32,734.79
ayette	20	20	36,789.88	11,257.92			48,047.80
loyd	12	12	27,358.23	7,236.67	1,233.55		35,828.45
ranklin	16	16	29,208.03	8,518.13			47,783.17
remont	12	12	24,712.69	8,616.11	192.66	666.92	34,188.38
ireene	15	15 .	30,416,73	6,354.54	18,936.11		55,707.38

mdy	14	9	28,194.61	7,000.61		
thrie	17	14	25,714.01	5,642.75		
milton	17	12	20,725.55	6,500.96		
ncock	16	8	11,790.43	4,372.43	1,001.01	
rdin	15	14	28,540.44	8,146.72		
rrison	20	20	30,828.08	10,591.77		
nry	12	10	15,892.81	6,984.67		
ward	12	12	15,254.92	5,835.52		
mboldt	12	12	17,058.64	8,888.16	11,920.00	*****
	12	12	20,348.19	5,122.33		
	18	17	25,530,47	10,525.14	997.96	460.93
a	18	14	19.886.42	7,238.57		
kson		19	51,780.83	10,018,76		
per	19		30,504,29	7,336.04		
ferson	12	12		9,687.59		
inson	21	15	25,012.78	9,528.39	920 77	
108	16	16	31,421.16			
kuk	17	15	26,206.84	9,623.76	04 000 74	
	28	14	14,957.76	7,155.23		
suth	15	15	23,458.18	8,038.18		************
	20	20	37,424.54	12,867.04	582.59	
n	12	10	20,260.32	6,968.89	335.51	522.00
188		19	17,918.26	4,531,40		
18	12		16,791.52	6,285.03		
Π	18	14		6,688,96		
llson	16	16	29,271.88			
naska	18	18	36,266.63	9,630.29	5.47 05	
ion	15	15	26,352.84	7,130.88	505.00	5,377.82
shall	18	18	29,754.48	9,481.45		
8	13	10	12,835.49	4,639.31		*********
	16	16	19,498.69	4,608.13		
chell	19	19	24,713.65	7,850.05	4,943.72	
nona	12	12	15,828,33	5,493.86	968.65	
nroe	12	6	13,536,38	2,630.81		
ontgomery	15	15	19,412.49	9,098,79	69.02	2,550.68
seatine	16	16	30,692.04	8,723,21		
Brien		10	12,213.60	3,881,32		
ceola	12	16	31,813,12	8,549.97	322.18	
ge	16		9,722.03	3,478,63	3,150.81	69.79
lo Alto	16	8		11,219,09		
ymouth	24	21	22,608.61		12,227.28	1,647.38
cahontas	18	18	26,201.82	7,746.71	4.14	1,017.00
)]k	19	19	36,862.33	8,976.50	1,289.27	
ottawattamle	28	13	47,958.03	13,049.99	1,289.27	
weshiek	16	15	36,992.28	8,332.45	795.27	
Wesnie k	18	18	14,379.76	4,299.68		
nggold	16	16	31,913.82	9,300.60		
e	14	14	27,898.41	8,607.44		
ott	16	16	25,829.13	8,922.86		
nelby	23	23	28,800,32	15,542.74		*************
loux	16	14	25,896,58	9,024.24	6,068.51	
tory	17	17	22,148,99	6,302.14	34.56	1,630.70
ania aylor	21	18	37,695,88	10,398.70		

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

288

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 15 .- Continued.

County	No. Twp. in County		Road Fund	Drag Fund	Drainage Fund	All Other Sources	Total
Union	$12 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 23 \\ 12 \\ 20 \\ 23 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 16$	9 13 14 15 14 16 23 12 19 13 12 12	$13,174.12\\22,980.72\\24,955.60\\28,011.11\\37,797.93\\18,910.19\\41,475.38\\16,006.21\\31,255.25\\17,303.252\\15,046.87\\$	3,709.15	569.40 14,999.64 9,139.78		35,313.81 48,605.82 26,398.81 67,570.57 31,668.93 38,498.72
Totals	1,613	1,412	\$ 2,425,952.05	\$ 726,281.67	\$ 244,035.78	\$ 34,613.07	\$ 3,430,882.57

290

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 16. Road Repairs and Maintenance-County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County	No. of Twp. in County	No. of Twp. Re- porting	Permanent Construction			Repairs	Maintenance	Equipment and Unused Material	Special Cases	Tota!
dair	18	18	\$ 10,296,28	\$ 4,313.02	8	13,040.19	\$ 7,814,12	\$ 1,773.80		37,267.41
dams	12	12	2,518,19	2,696.04	r	10,285.27	4,966.71	1,585.21	************	22,051.42
llamakee	18	18				23,523.28	5,689.98	603.99		29,817.25
ppanoose		17	1,928,38	1.833.00		15,516.81	6,577.70	1,362.15	\$ 1,774.41	28,992.45
udubon		8	11020100	5,894.95		7,653.38	4,619,64	2,962.56	35.37	21,165.90
enton	20	20	4,652.90	14,429.10	+	3,989,10	12,697,70	14,342.60	10,233,37	60.344.77
lack Hawk		18	1,400,42	4,650,46		8,596,47	10,092,63	8,682,45	1.824.13	35,246.56
oone		14	3,923,78	5,548.86		9,948.92	7,418,10	5,024.71	17,284.30	49,148.67
remer	1 14	9	01000110	10,375.35		5,127.27	6,943,41	1,808.96	485.99	24,740.98
uchanan		14	403.14	10,498.07		9,442.36	6,359,20	3,607.03	2,097.79	32,407.59
uena Vista	18	16	8,670.66	15.313.66		2,384.54	9,109.37	1,001,11	9,761.04	46,240.38
utler		14	1,688.42	10,410.08		14,351.35	6,802.13	4,953,92		38,205.90
alhoun	16	16	5,788.25	10,131,98		1.254.33	8,839.75	1,590.58	16,225.33	43,830.22
arroll		15	9,624.85	4,322.38		9,626.72	6,970.29	1,906.32	1,942.84	34,393.40
858		16		3,306.02		16,576.64	9,198,44	4,696,91	3,438,26	37,216.27
edar		17	325.83	5,763.03		9,183,35	9,823.87	5,407.38	10,122,94	40,626,40
erro Gordo	16	15	3,876.77	9,003.13		10,055,52	8,188.05	1,671.98	8,145.71	40,941.16
herokee	16									
hickasaw	12	12	1,860.73	8,704.38		13,487,40	5,847,77	1,122.76		\$1,023.04
larke		5				6,305.48	1,896.49	695.62	296.41	9,194.00
lay		11	2,970.11	5,807.56	1	1,215.28	3,833.82	668.12	9,540.71	24,035.60
layton	. 22	22	212.04	1,460.72		27,093.77	10,671.73	2,112.11	2,826.65	44,377.02
Ninton		19	1,689.78	6,694.59		17,563.14	13,096.08	7,101.39	1,905.83	48,050.81
rawford	20	20	************	22,500.00		14,068.00	10,329.30	5,886.49	7,014.32	59,798.11
Dallas		16	8,733.93	16,421.41		9,691.19	8,801.77	5,975.90	442.81	50,067.01
avis		15	3,506.70	2,036.16	1	12,601.38	4,961.04	2,126.74	************	25,232.02
Decatur		14	3,867.46	3,954.90		6,058.05	4,746.09	976.45	3,373.96	22,976.91
elaware		15	298.94	11,729.67		13,818.52	7,598.88	3,737.25		37,174.26
es Moines		13	6,269.92	2,670.31		6,435.04	6,310.57	4,479.12	2,119.58	28,284.54
lickinson	12	9	7,251.58	4,204.63		2,528.85	3,798.05	936.28	4,338.22	23,057.61
abuque		4	2,366.15	667.68		2,999.93	1,786.30	4,651.84		12,471.90
mmet		12 20	715.37	4,913.23 10,504.50		6,694.99 20,617.38	5,352.58	2,286.44 5,520.00	12,772.18 148.00	32,734.79
PayettePloyd		12	2,657.01	9,522,62	ł.	20,017.38	7,097,86	6,571.79	936.79	48,047.80 35,828.45
Franklin		16	8,020,46	5,793.23		9,263.77	8,518,13	0,5/1.79	9,623.81	47,783.17
Fremont		12	2,183.85	670.29		18,139,10	5,184.23	483,86	7,527.05	34,188,38
Greene		15	5,697,66	12,699.75		11,705.58	6,351.54	3,306.28	15,943.57	55,707.38

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 16 .- Continued.

County	Twp. in		Permanent Construction		Repairs	Maintenance	Equipment and Unused Material	Special Cases	Total
Grundy	14	9	2,032.94	14,256,18	5,833.31	7,000.61	6,072.18	91.86	35,287,08
Guthrie	17	14	4,603.34	4,931,53	9,782.39	5,642.75	2,221.67	5,220.97	32,402,65
Hamilton	17	12	8,464.97	7,016.63	1,634.05	6,871.99	2,166,41	10,603,18	36,757,23
Hannock	16	8	6,215,80	3,804.12	3,077.61	4,372.43	2,006.92	4,253.35	23,730.23
Hardin	15	14	5,352.02	9,763.25	10,477.57	8,146.72	4.114.55	3,035.10	40,889.21
larrison		20	1.357.49	2,758.77	26,098.76	10,591,77	613.06	3,938,69	45,358,54
	12	10	1,001.30	4,100.11	15,892.81	6,984.67	010.00		22,877.48
lenry	12	10	1.164.72	8,909.95	4.197.26	4,671.05	2,147.46		21,090.44
Howard	12	12		6.075.36	3,820.80	8,408.28	3,815,80	5,334.12	37,867.36
Humboldt	12		10,413.00				3,814,15	2,157.72	25,470.55
da		12	2,687.71	4,350.64	7,337.97	5,122.33			
owa	18	17	2,997.92	6,443.84	8,100.53	11,717.10	957.52	7,297.59	37,514.5
ackson	18	14	1,539.30	696.20	15,330.14	7,238.57	892.47	1,428.31	27,124.99
asper	19	19	7,453.03	12,423.43	19,053.69	10,018.76	9,546.35	3,304.33	61,799.50
efferson	12	12	2,782.02	984.89	11,569.46	7,336.04	6,825.87	8,342.05	37.840.3
ohnson	16	16	1,124.62	20,277.56	6,804.87	8,919.59	4,068.68		41,189.3
ones	21	15	844.18	8,764.22	7,893.16	9,687.59	7,511.22		34,700.3
eokuk	17	15	136.50		24,872.53	9,623.76	1,257.81		35,890.6
ossuth	28	14	2,166.77	6,522.39	4,234,47	6,992.88	4,273.28	22,613.74	46,803.5
ee	15	15	2,200.46	348.23	16,651.33	8,038.18	4,907.74		32,145.9
.inn	20	20	6,520,01	11.544.07	6,727.98	12,867.04	7,616.62	5,598.45	50,874.1
ouisa	12	10	7,224.59	3,602.36	2,832 07	6,968,89	1,430.82	6,027.99	28,086.7
Aucus	12	12	5,209,44	1.274.34	8,807,57	4,531,40	1,644.72	982.19	22,449.6
/yon	18	14	1,252,20	9,208.28	3,905.95	A 285.03	1,283.72	1,141.37	23,076.5
Iadison	16	16	6,130,29	1,333.94	17,199.56	6,688.96	2,706.46	1,901,63	35,960.8
fahaska		18	4,022.91	2,578.77	13,263.32	-9,630.29	10,871.38	5,530.25	45,896.9
farion	15	15	1,643.86	141.25	22,032.51	7,130.88	1,962.60	1,119.87	34,030.9
[arshall	18	18	9,972.53	9,587,44	10,954,80	10,218,07	3,985,91	1,113.01	44.718.7
	13	10		2,832.48	5,474.18	4,639,31		1.515.75	17,474.8
	10		1,550.9)				1,462.18		
litchell		16	1,018.55	5,584.18	5,622.29	6,760.81	2,145.38	2,975.61	24,106.8
lonona		19	4,780.75	4,302.20	5,708.40	7,850.05	5,162.10	9,703.92	37,507.4
lonroe		12	3,865.28	564.25	10,622.48	5,493.86	945.26	799.71	22,290.8
lontgomery		6	4,043.12	7,888.09	794.36	2,105.04	810.81	525.77	16,167.1
luscatine	15	15	1,503.07	6,103 92	10,168.31	10,328.75	1,723.65	1,303.28	31,130.9
Brien	16	16	7,626.79	12,457.87	4,631.30	8,723.21	2,694.28	3,281.80	39,415.2
sceola	12	10	1,275.10	6,258.25	1,897.25	3,881.32	2,783.00		16,094.9
'age	16	16	10,187.20	10,451.99	3,573.63	8,549.97	3,249.04	4,673.44	40,685.2
Palo Alto	16	8	2,396.86	2,890.96	2,122.80	3,478.63	2,381.20	3,150.81	16,421.20
Plymouth		21	2,310.82	4,668.70	9,380.68	11,219.09	2,354.21	3,894.20	33,827.70
Poeahontas	18	18	5,162.8)	14,963.15	5,172.11	7,735.20	1,635.45	13,154.48	47,823.15
Polk	19	19	7,469.21	8,040.43	18,886.68	9,189.86	1,403.57	853.22	45,842.97
Pottawattamie	28	13	3,671.86	10,619.70	31,407.62	13,049.99	2,258.85	1,289.27	62,297.29
Poweshiek	16	15	3,333.88	496.76	23,855.98	8,332.45	7,859.17	2,241.76	46,120,00

Ringgold	$\begin{array}{c} 18\\ 16\\ 23\\ 16\\ 21\\ 17\\ 12\\ 14\\ 14\\ 16\\ 15\\ 16\\ 23\\ 12\\ 20\\ 23\\ 12\\ 20\\ 23\\ 19\end{array}$	18 16 14 16 23 14 18 17 9 13 14 15 11 16 23 12 12 10 13 2	$\begin{array}{c} 2,550,00\\ 4,768,13\\ 3,985,59\\ 3,074,96\\ 1,561,41\\ 7,608,73\\ 6,691,89\\ 4,37,50\\ 2,061,92\\ 3,955,00\\ 2,443,83\\ 2,233,40\\ 5,003,00\\ -\\ 0,074,21\\ 8,233,83\\ 1,553,88\\ 4,343,47\\ 2,479,34\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,554.96\\ 16,169,74\\ 9,265.78\\ 526.66\\ 7,118.23\\ 7,255.47\\ 1,068.00\\ 2,574.56\\ 5,018.81\\ 386.2\\ 1,019.60\\ 8,000.00\\ 25,020.33\\ 10,157.65\\ 6,520.41\\ 7,257.66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,790,00\\ 4,984,17\\ 6,451,16\\ 21,350,63\\ 15,196,90\\ 9,189,81\\ 15,956,12\\ 17,375,56\\ 7,269,17\\ 10,264,53\\ 15,418,87\\ 16,538,90\\ 14,637,93\\ 18,579,00\\ 14,103,66\\ 6,602,39\\ 4,172,07\\ 8,747,01\\ 4,326,04\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,299.08\\ 9,300.60\\ 8,007.44\\ 8,922.86\\ 15,542.74\\ 9,003.94\\ 10,398.70\\ 6,302.14\\ 3,716.68\\ 3,709.15\\ 5,984.75\\ 7,302.70\\ 10,807.89\\ 6,719.23\\ 11,095.55\\ 6,522.94\\ 7,173.48\\ 6,554.26\\ 5,288.83\end{array}$	3,153.55 8,195.88 878.39 1,894.90 1,931.57 6,711.50 2,413.70	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{9,857.71}\\\hline \textbf{3,083.88}\\ \textbf{5,039.81}\\ \textbf{2,008.21}\\ \textbf{2,008.21}\\ \textbf{2,234.19}\\ \textbf{2,234.19}\\ \textbf{2,826.68}\\ \textbf{1,635.05}\\ \textbf{6,100.00}\\\hline \textbf{7,350.19}\\ \textbf{6,909.77}\\ \textbf{10,006,90}\\ \textbf{108.97}\\ \textbf{4,575.77} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,670-44\\ 48,233.90\\ 36,506.85\\ 34,751.99\\ 44,343.06\\ 40,989.33\\ 49,766.84\\ 28,451.13\\ 16,800.80\\ 22,205.46\\ 32,205.46\\ 35,313.81\\ 48,605.82\\ 26,398.81\\ 67,570.57\\ 31,668.93\\ 38,428.73\\ 26,626.29\\ 25,324.83\end{array}$
Worth	16		\$ 345,644.55	\$ 623,701.73		8 729,644.96	\$ 332,232.62	\$ 375,055.51	\$ 3,430,882.57

## ANNUAL REPO

**IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION** 

292

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 17.

## Road Repairs and Maintenance.-County Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

				Per	rmanent We	ork	1		Tempora	ary Work		
County	No. Twps. in County	No. Twps. in County	Built to Finished Grade	Built to Temporary Grade	Per- manently Surfaced	Tile Drainage	Filling Bridges and Culverts	Bullt to Natural Grade	Olling	Hauling and Placing Temporary Culverts	Special Cases	Total Cost Township Construe'n
dair dams	18 12 18	18 12					2,518.19	462.00				
ppanoose	17 12	17									**********	
Benton Black Hawk Boone Bremer	12 20 18 17 14	20 18 14				168.80 229.55	4,484,10 1,170.87 2,679.35	5,804.05 13,786.90 4,364.24 4,799.13 10,375.35		642.20 286.22 749.73	••••••	19,082.00 6,050.88
uchanan uena Vista utler	16 18 16	14 16 14					2,246.56 1,688.42	9,121.93 10,875.96 8,974.33		1,376.14 183.90	\$ 4,253.80 1,055,75	10,901.2 23,984.3 12,098.5
alhoun	16 16 16	16 15 16	176.00	816.25	\$ 943.10 1,107.17	$3,655.02 \\ 4,232.21$	873.88 4,109.47	9,914.58 3,689.43 159.00		217.40 428.85 360.67	204.10 2,786.35	15,920.2 13,947.2 3,306.0
edar erro Gordo herokee	17 16 16	17 15				9.20	316.63 1,295.65	5,659.96 8,648.73	\$ 56.67	46.40 354.40		
hickasaw larke		12					1,379.14	8,335.48		368.90		10,565.11
lay layton linton	16 22 20	11 22 19 20		1,290.45	235.75	626.79	817.12 212.04 1,689.78	5,757.56 1,141.13 6,223.89		319.59		1.672.76 8.384.37
Dallas Davis	16 15	16 15		1,974.10		1,349.81	5,410.02 3,506.70	20,500.00 11,194.28 2,014.16		796.79 22.00	4,430.34	22,500.00 25,155.34 5,542.86
Decatur Delaware	16	14 15 13		3,317,15	183.00	42.14	3,867.46 247.80 2,374.58	3,027.31 11,553.68 2,236.01		927.59 175.99 434.30		7,822.36 12,019.61 8,940.23
Dickinson Dubuque	12	9 4 12	4,587.80	586.10 1,692.50	80.00	1,031.98	1,045.64 593.65 182.85	3,913.93 656.28 4,710.75		290.70		11,456.21 3,033.83 5,628.60

								8,578.31 -	La Strate	944.31	- interested	12,179.63	
		12				583.48	2,073.53 2,148.01	5,283.48				8,813.69 2,854.14	
Floyd	12	16 -			439.25	433.20	2,183.85	G. Doner and				18,397.41	
Franklin	16 12	12				2,992.54	1,832.97	12,170.17	*********			16,289.12	
Fremont		15	392.40		479.75	2,992.54	1,647.99	12,379.51				9.534.87	
Greene	15 14	9					4,353.09					15,481.60	
Grundy		14			239.75	10.50	1.411.08	6.939.63				10,019.92	
Guthrie	17	12 -	644.35	1,918.65	421.50	4,069.39	342.70					15,115.27	
Hamilton	17		367.25	979.36	630.31	3,896.18		0 479 55		289.70		10,110.41	
Hancock	16	8	201.00			1,322.85	4,029.17	9,910.00		131.37		4,116.26	>
Hardin	15	14 -	**********			*********	1,357.49			and the second second			z
Harrison	20	20 -								971 17		10,074.67	Z
	12	10 -				16.62	1.148.10	8,538.78				16,488.36	
Henry	12	12 -				8,886.00	1.527.00	5,870.88				7,038.35	D
Howard	12	10				element	2,687.71	3.810.82				9,441.76	AL
Humboldt	12	12 .				569.99	2,136.93	4,263.05		793.41	1,387.38	0 005 50	H
Ida		17			2011/04	and the second second	1,086.00					30 078 48	
Iowa	18				453.30 -			11,767.89		655.54	**********	19,876.46	72
Jackson	18	22		4 416 97		20.00	3,016.76	11,101.00		645.39		3,766.91	西
	19	19 .		alarona.		CONTRACTOR OF	2,782.02					9.608.40	REP
Jasper	12	12		**********			844.18			951.40	3,838.38	21,402.18	õ
Jefferson	21	32	And the second se			239.77	884,85				The second second second	100 50	$\simeq$
Johnson	16	16				136.50						57 000 0	RT
Jones		15					116.10	6.362.49				A # 113 DIS	-
Keokuk	17	14			a local and the local and the	2,050.67	858:22	0,000.00		348.23			th
Kossuth	28				032.00	649.58		11 105 70		348.28		18,064.08	0
Lee	15	15		992.92	1,505.77	1,214.04	2,299.33			403.18		10,826.95	$\leq$
Linn	20	20	507.95	D.C.W. A.W.		304.62	1,744.53	3,199.18		1.274.34		6,483.78	万
	12	10	5,175.44				5,209.44			232.85			0
Louisa	12	12					1,252.20	8,975.43	*********	1.333.94		7 464 92	Ő
Lucas	18						6,130.29					10 10101 10Q	G
Lyon	16	16				168.54	3,854.37	2,000.00		578.77		1 707 31	
Madison	18	18					1,096.61			141.25		20 220 197	Z
Mahaska		15					9,972.53					6 000 00	H
Marion	15	18						9 740 08		82.50			~
Marshall	18						1,550.90	E 050 98		333.80	********	6,602.73	
Mills	13	10			The second second second	GARBERT STREET	1,018.55	9,200,00		487.05	8.15	9,082.95	E
Mitchell	16	16		0 102 50	A COLOR OF A COLOR OF A COLOR	in the lot of the lot	2,287.25	3,807.00		564.25		4,429.53	Z
Monona	19	19		2,400.00			3,865.28					11,931.21	9
	12	12				S	2,379.71	6,074.93				7,606.99	-
Monroe	12	6		1,003.41		176.02	1.327.05	5,100.84		100 20		20,084.66	GIN
Montgomery	15	15				000 01	2,452.45	12,265.27					E
Muscatine	16	16	3,342.67	1,541.06		010 10	1,056,70		**********			AL 000 10	EE
O'Brien	12	10					4,173.28	9,317.05				T 007 00	R
Osceola	16	16	893.40	5,120.52		415.89	496.02	2,890.96					ŝ
Page		8		1,484.95		1	2,310.82	4.342.16				AN 100 00	
Palo Alto	16	21					1.761.77	14,760.32		202.83		20 200 24	
Plymouth	24	18				2,948.83	6,101.89	7 479 03		561.40		15,509.64	
Pocahontas	18			005 07	427.34	134.11		4.023.38		1,940.44	4,655.88	14,291.50	
Polk	19	19		-			3,671.86	4,020,00				3,830.64	
Pottawattamle	28	13					3,333.88	0.010 10		542.80		5,104.96	
Poweshiek	16	15						2,012.10				20,937.87	
Powestick	18	18		522.50	50.00	780.60	2,860.28	10,008.89	300.00	361.40	4,050.96	13,251.37	29
Ringgold	16	16					1,561.50	4,553.42		500 005			5
Sac	14	14	135.25	2,200.84		173.22	2,900.84			040.00	Internation of the second	an statement	20
Scott	16	16				and the second	and successive and a						
Shelby	1999	23											
Contraction of Contraction of Contraction													

				Pe	rmanent We	ork	1		Tempor	ary Work		
County	No. Twps. in County	No. Twps. in County	Built to Finished Grade	Built to Temporary Grade	Per- manently Surfaced	Tile Drainage	Filling Bridges and Culverts	Built to Natural Grade	Oiling	Hauling and Placing Temporary Culverts	Special Cases	Total Cost Township Construe'n
Sloux Story Fama Taylor	23 16 21 17 12 14	23 14 18 17				177.02	2,849.88 1,352.97 5,914.87 430.50	6,268.98 7,597.95 918.00		849.25 995.47		8,619.64 14,685.31 1,498.50
Union Van Buren Wanello	14	9 13 14		1,219.90			2,443.83	1,738.78		1,169.29	2,110.24	
Warren Washington Wayne	16 15 16	15 14 16		********			2,293,40 5,000.00					
Webster Winnebago Winneshiek	1.2.2.1	23 12 19		4,918.97		7,649.45 2,230.01	1,424.76 1,084.85				5,972.59	
Woodbury Worth Wright	23 12 16	13 13 12			255.00	2,864.54 1,245.49	1,558.88 1,478.93 531.15	5,889.06		631.35		
Totals	1,613	1,412	\$ 19,108.66	\$ 42,296.18	\$ 8,434.65	\$ 73,739.23	\$202,065.83	\$537,292.17	\$ 356.67	\$ 50,052.15	\$ 36,000.74	\$ 969,346.2

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 17 .- Continued.

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 18.

Road Repairs and Maintenance .-- Township Expenditures. ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

			1	daintenan	ice .				
County	No. of Twps. in county	No. of Twps. reporting	No. of miles regularly dragged	Average No. of times drigged	Average cost per mile of road	Average cost per mile one round trip	Total cost of dragging	Total cost of repairs	Total cost of repairs and maintenance
						8	7,814.12 \$		\$ 20,854.31
inir	18 12	18 12	500.0	14.2	\$ 9.98	\$ 0.70	4,966.71	10,285.27 23,523.28	15,251.98 29,213.20
ams.	18 17	18 17	580.0	18.0	11.34	0.63	6,577.70 4,619,64	15,516.81 7,653.38	22,094.5 12,273.05
manoose	12 20	8 20				0.75	12,697.70	3,989.10	16,686.8
anton-	18	18 14	692.0	17.2	15.35	0.84	10,092.63 7,418.10	8,596.47 9,948.92	17,367.0
ack Hawk	17 14	9		23.0	14.13	0.60	6,943.41 6,359.20	5,127.27 9,442.36	12,070.6
remer	16 18	14 16	450.0	20.0		0.86	9,109.37 6,802.13	2,384.54	11,493.9 21,153.4
uona Vista	16	14 16					8,839.75	1,254.33	10,094.08
atler	16 16	15	675.0	10.0	10.33	1.00 0.80	6,970.29 9,198,44	9,626.72 16,576.64	16,597.0
arroll	16 17	16 17	798.0	16.4	12.31	0.75	9,823.87 8,188.05	9,183.35 10,055.52	19,007.35
WOULD	16	15	718.0	13.0	11.40	0.75			
erro Gordo	16 12	12	712.0	8.0	8.21	0.85	5,847.77 1.896.49	13,487.40 6,305.48	19,335.17 8,201.97
whickasaw	$     12 \\     16   $	- 5				$0.90 \\ 0.72$	3,833.82 10,671.73	1,215.28 27,093.77	5,049.10 37,765.50
"lat"	22	22 19	700.0	21.0	15.24		13,096.08	17,563.14	30,659.21
lavion	20	20			10 20	0.75	10,329.30 8,801.77	14,068.00 9,691,19	24,397.3 18,492.90
trawford	10	16	820.0 300.0	7.8	10.73 16.54	0.50	4,961.04	12,601.38	17,562.42
Dollas	10	14				() PE(2)	4,746.09 7,598.88	6,058.05 13,818.52	10,804.14 21,417.40
Davis Decatur Delaware Des Moines	10	15 18	1,407.0		4 10.0		6,810.57	6,435.04	12,745.61

REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 18.-Continued.

62	Sel	2	÷	1	
AL.	温	2	i.	2.	2

			1	Maintena	ince					
County	No. of ThIS. In county	No. of Twps. reporting	No. of n ites regularly dragged	Average No. of thues dragged	Average cost per mile of road	Average cost per mile one round trip	Total cost of dragging	Total cost of repairs	Total cost of repairs and maintenance	
kinsonbuque buque yette yd mont ene mont ene hrie milton trison ry ry ry erard son sson	$\begin{array}{c} 12\\ 17\\ 12\\ 20\\ 12\\ 16\\ 12\\ 15\\ 14\\ 17\\ 17\\ 16\\ 15\\ 20\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 17 \\ 14 \\ 19 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \end{array}$	420.0 850.0 784.0 632.0 417.0 439.0 439.0 477.5 500.0 970.0 641.0 900.0 641.0 900.0 642.0 580.0 410.0		12.75 13.25 10.87 11.07 13.55 15.66 9.78 16.82 12.00 12.08 11.29 11.13 11.43 16.70 21.75 12.72 11.55	$\begin{array}{c} 0.70\\ \hline 0.80\\ 0.65\\ 0.77\\ 0.72\\ 0.72\\ 0.78\\ 0.80\\ 0.87\\ 0.75\\ 0.70\\ \hline 0.77\\ 1.12\\ 0.88\\ 0.50\\ 0.77\\ 1.12\\ 0.88\\ 0.50\\ 0.77\\ 0.75\\ 0.70\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 0.75\\ 0.69\\ 0.58\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,798.05\\ 1,786.30\\ 5,852.58\\ 11,257.92\\ 7,097.86\\ 8,518.13\\ 5,184.23\\ 6,354.54\\ 7,000.61\\ 5,642.75\\ 6,871.99\\ 4,372.43\\ 8,146.72\\ 10,591.77\\ 6,984.67\\ 4,671.05\\ 8,408.28\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,122.33\\ 5,123.35\\ 7,336.04\\ 9,687.59\\ 8,913.59\\ 9,623.76\\ 6,992.88\\ 8,038.18\\ 12,867.04\\ 6,968.89\\ \end{array}$	2,528.85 2,999.93 6,634.99 20,617.38 9,042.38 9,042.38 9,042.38 9,042.38 1,05.58 5,833.31 9,782.59 1,634.06 3,677.61 10,477.57 26,008.76 15,892.81 4,197.26 3,820.80 7,337.97 8,100.63 15,330.14 19,053.69 11,559.46 7,583.16 6,804.87 24,872.53 4,234.47 16,651.33 6,727.98 2,852.07	E 6,326,90 4,786,237 12,047,57 31,875,30 16,140,24 17,781,00 22,323,33 18,660,12 12,283,02 15,425,14 8,506,04 18,624,29 36,690,53 22,877,48 8,868,31 12,299,08 12,460,30 19,817,63 12,290,08 12,460,30 19,817,63 12,290,08 12,460,30 19,817,63 15,718,46 34,490,29 11,227,35 24,659,51 15,50,02	
aska		16		18.0	14.62	0.814	6,285.03	3,905.95	13,338.97 10,190.98	
lonshallhell	16 18 15 18 18 13 16 19 19	18 15 18 10 19	820.0 600.0 324.0 655.0	16.0 / 12.0 42.0	11.74 11.88 31.54 11.95 11.95	0.714 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	6,688.96 9,630.29 7,130.88 10,218.07 4,639.31 6,760.81 7,850.05	17, 199, 56 13, 263, 32 22, 032, 51 10, 954, 80 5, 474, 18 5, 622, 29 5, 778, 40	23,888.52 22,893.61 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
ton	18 15 18 13 16	15 18 10	600.0 324.0	12.0 42.0	11.88 31.54	0.75 0.75 0.70 0.75	9,630.29 7,130.88 10,218.07 4,639.31 6,760.81	13,263.32 22,032.51 10,954.80 5,474.18 5,622.29	22,803.61 • 29,103.39 21,172.67 10,113.40 12,383.10	
Ison	18 15 18 13 16 19 12 12 15 16 16 16 16 16 18 19 28 16 18 16	15 18 16 19 19 12 6 15 16 10 16 8 11 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	600.0 324.0 400.0 210.0 515.5 701.0 283.0 700.0 540.0 540.0 700.0 490.0 780.0	12.0 42.0 16.0 10.0 23.0 14.6 18.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 15.0	11.88 31.54 11.98 13.73 10.02 20.04 12.44 13.71 12.21 17.00 	0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.835 1.00 0.85 0.76 0.76 0.70 1.00 1.00 0.80 1.00 0.82 0.90 0.50 0.50	$\begin{array}{c} 9,630,29\\ \hline\\7,130,88\\ 10,218,07\\ 4,639,31\\ 6,760,81\\ 7,850,05\\ 5,403,86\\ 2,105,04\\ 10,328,75\\ 8,723,21\\ 3,881,32\\ 8,549,97\\ 3,478,63\\ 8,549,97\\ 3,478,63\\ 11,219,09\\ 7,785,20\\ 9,189,86\\ 13,049,99\\ 8,332,45\\ 4,299,68\\ 9,300,60\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,263,32\\ \hline 22,032.51\\ 10,954.80\\ 5,474.18\\ 5,622.29\\ 5,708.40\\ 10,962.48\\ 794.36\\ 10,168.31\\ 4,631.30\\ 1,807.25\\ 3,573.63\\ 2,122.80\\ 9,380.68\\ 5,172.11\\ 18,886.68\\ 5,172.11\\ 18,886.68\\ 8,790.00\\ 4,984.17\\ \end{array}$	22,803.61 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 19.

## Road Equipment and Material and Miscellaneous Items-Township Expenditures.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

			44		Specia	1 Cases		20
	Twps. in	p. re-	equipment used d		Crossings roved	nts	SIL	dal cases
County	No. of Ty county	No. of Twp. porting	Cost of equip and unused material	No.	Cost .	Drainage assessments	Miscellancous	Total special
air 1	18	18 \$	1,778.80					
105	12	12	1,585.21					
amakee	18 17	18 17	603.99 1,302.15				1.774.41 8	1,774.41
jubon	12	8	2,962.56			*	35.37	35.3
iton	20	20	14,342.60				10,233.37	10,233.37
ek Hawk	18	18	8,682.45				1,796.78	1,824.13
one	17	14	5,024.71				9,700.14	17,254.3
mer	14	9	1,808.96				485.99	485.9
hanan na Vista	16 18	14 16	3,607.03 1,001.11				2,097.79 629.59	9,761.0
ler	16	14	4,953.92				940+00	
houn	16	16	1,590.58			7,549.79	8,675.54	16,225.3
roll	16	15	1,906.32				1,942.84	1,942.8
8	16	16	4,696.91				3,438.26	3,438.2
10	17	17	5,407.38			25.50	10,097.44	10,122.94
ro Gordo	16 16	15	1,671.98			4,505.00	3,640.71	8,145.71
ckasaw	12	12	1,122.76					
rke	12	5	695.62				296.41	296.41
у	16	11	668.12				3,854,16	9,540.71
yton	22	22	2,112.11				2,826.65	2,826.65
wford	20 20	19 20	7,101.39 5,886.49				1,905.83 7,014.32	1,905.83 7.014.32
las	16	16	5,975.90			442.81	1,014.05	442.81
vis	15	15	2,126.74					
atur	16	14	976.45				3,373.96	3,373.96
aware	16 13	15	3,737.25 4,479.12				2,119.58	2,119.58
kinson	12	5	936,25 4,051.84	1		3,529.95	908.36 1,007.01	4,338
buque	12	12	2,286.44				148.00	148.0
met	20	20 12	5,520.00 6,571.79	1 Stanson		634.55	302.24	9,623.
vette	12 16	12	11,503.77			9,623.81	7,527.05	7,527
	10	12	483.86			15,943.57	1,000,000	15,943
anklin	15	15	3,306.28			91.86		91

Dubuque	12	12	2,296.44		The second s		148.00	936.79
Publique	20	20	5,520.00			634.55	302.24	
Payette	12	12	6,571.79			9.623.81		9,623.81
	16	16	11.563.77			0,000101	7.527.05	7,527.05
Floyd Franklin	12	12	483.86	Sussesses -		15,943.57 -		15,943.57
Fremont		15	3,306.28			15,943.57 -		91.86
Fremont	15		6.072.18			91.86 -		5,220.97
	14	9				1,045.89	4,175.08	
	17	14	2,221.67			5,933.53	4,669.65	10,603.18
	17	12	2,166.41			3,671.19	582.16	4,253.35
		8	2,006.92	Conservation and a				3,035.10
Hamilton	16		4,114.55			3,035.10		3,938.69
	15	14		at we have a set		3,938.69		9,000.00
	20	20	613.06					
	12	10						
		12	2.147.46				1,198.08	5,334.12
Harrison Henry Howard	12		3,815,80	and a second second	All and a second second	3,100.02		2,157.72
Howard	12	12		********			2,157.72	B 307 FO
	12	12	3,814.15	*********		Contraction of the second	7,297,59	7,297.59
	18	17	957.52			***************	1.428.31	1,428.31
		14	802.47		The second second	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	3,304.33	3,304.33
Jackson	18		9,546.35		States in the second second	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERT		8,342.05
	19	19					8,342.05	a,012.00
	12	12	6,825.87					
	21	15	7.511.22					
		16	4,068.68					
Johnson	16		1,257.81					22,613.74
	17	15						
	28	14	4,273.28					
	15	15	4,907.74				5,598.45	5,598.45
Lee		20	7,616.62	1 Designation			5,692.48	6.027.99
Lee	20		1,430.82			0.00 - 0.4		982.19
Linn	12	10			The second s			1,141.37
	12	12	1,644.72	********		No. Concerna		
	18	14	1,283.72				1,901.63	1,901.63
	16	16	2,706.46					5,530.25
Lyon Madison		18	10,871.38	I I I I	3 802.60		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,119.87
Madison	18		1,962.60					
	15	15		*********				1,515.75
	18	18	3,985.91					1,515.10
Marion Marshall	13	10	1,462.18			538.03	2,437.58	2,975.61
		16	2,145.38	3		1	4,760.20	9,703.92
		19	5,162.10				799.71	799.71
Mitchell	19		945.20	2		the state in the second s	ALC: NO. BOOM	525.77
Monona	12	12	\$10.8					1.3 3.28
Monona	12	6				6.11	1,297.17	
Monroe Montgomery	15	15	1,723.6				3,281.80	3,281.80
Montgomery	16	16	2,694.2	8				
Muscatine	10	10	2.783.0	0			4,353.34	4.673.44
O'Brien	12	16	3,249.0			320.10	9,000.01	3,150.81
O'Brien	16		2.381.2			3,150.81		3,894.20
OsceolaPage	16	8					3,894.20	
Page Palo Alto	24	21	2,354.2			0 000 10	3,793.29	13,154.48
Palo Alto	18	18	1,635.4	5				853.22
Piymouth Pocahontas	- 10	19	1,403.5	7				1,289.27
Pocahontas	- 19		2,258.8	<b>a</b>	and the second se	1,200.21		2,241.76
Pocahontas Polk	28	13	2,00.0	7			2,241.76	a1431.10
Polk Pottawattamie Poweshiek	16	15	7,859.1	I. Lansansan		CALCULATION DESCRIPTION		

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 19-Continued.

	sne	Drainage assessme Miscellanec	6, 238.88         3,618.88         9,567.11           2,559.19         3,618.88         9,567.71           2,559.19         3,088.88         5,088.88           2,559.19         3,081.82         5,093.83           2,610.81         3,081.81         5,088.88           3,081.82         3,081.81         2,008.21           2,082.13         2,041.12         2,042.13           2,041.13         2,043.21         2,043.21           2,043.21         2,244.19         2,324.19           2,234.19         2,234.19         2,324.19           2,244.10         2,234.10         2,324.10           2,539.68         3,539.68         3,539.68           3,1,160.06         6,100.00         6,100.00	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	167,464.49 \$ 206,788.42 \$ 375,055.51
Special Cases	R. Crossings Improved	JsoD			1 \$ 802.60 \$
31	agmqiup bəsi	Xo. of Tw porting Cost of e and unu material	8         18         484.80           6         14         8,195.85           6         14         8,195.83           6         14         8,195.83           6         14         8,195.83           7         15         1,281.57           13         1,283.77         1,283.77           14         1,283.57         1,283.77           15         1,293.47         1,293.47           16         1,293.47         1,293.47           17         1,293.47         1,293.47           18         8,211.18         1,293.47           19         1,293.47         1,293.47           14         14         6,524.16           15         6,524.18         1,293.47           16         6,524.16         1,293.47	23 3,926 12 3,926 13 5,529 13 5,571 12 1,397	3 1,412 \$ 332,232.63
		County Xo. of Ty	Ringgold         18           Sac         19           Sac         16           Shipy         16           Show         16           Taylor         17           Taylor         17           Wapello         18           Washington         16	Wayne Wayne Wayne Wayne Wayne Wayne Warnebago Winnebago Winnebago Winnebago Winnebhek Wanebhek Wathawa	Totals

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS 303

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 20.

progress Report .- County Road Surveys and Construction During 1918.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

	Surv		d Profile	2.8		Constru	ction	_
County	No. miles surveyed	No. miles platted	No. miles grade line approved by district engineers	No. miles pro- filed approved by commission	Built to natural grade, stand- ard width	Built to tem- porary grade, standard width	Built to per- manent grade. standard width	Surfaced
					\$ 41.75			
dair					3.00			
dams				********	35.50		1.30	******
llamakee			********				*******	
udubon	1.50	.75	.75	.75	00 50	*******	.36	
Install Concentration and the second s	.36	,36	.36	.36	$\begin{array}{r} 92.50 \\ 40.80 \\ 55.50 \\ 19.25 \\ 24.00 \end{array}$	******	.00	
lack Hawk		9.00	4.00		55 50		.25	3.4
00000	10.00	9.00	*.00		19.25		1.25	
tremer	1.00	*******			24.00	.10		15.0
uchanan								25.0
uena Vista					3.00			
tert last	12.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	16.00		8.50	6.
alhoun	$     \begin{array}{r}       12.00 \\       6.00     \end{array} $	6.00	6.00	6.00	41.50		6.00	
arroll	1.00	.50						
wist					32.23		16.90	6.
Arro Gordo	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	10.40 6.09		2.00	
'herokee		8.00		3.00	7.50	22	11.00	9.
'hickasaw	14.00	12.00	12.00	0.00	22.00			
larke							1.50	24.
lay	3.00				36.25	.85	.45	
Jayton	$\frac{3.00}{25.92}$	22.92	22.92	7.26	4.05			1.
linton	13.98	13.24	8.49	8.49			9.61	2.
Dallas			1.25	1.25	53.40		. 3.30	
Davis			a mana		2.25	1.75		
Docatur	14.00		-		16.75 29.50	1.10	4.75	7.
Delaware	5.00	5.00	5.00	6.00	30.70		1.75	
Des Moines			19.00				5.90	7.
Dickinson	20.00	20.00	13.00		8.50		20.15	7.
Dubuque	5.75	5.25	1.75	1.75			_ 20.15	18
Emmet	50	.5(			25.00	1.00	.25	34
Fayette	.50 2.50	13.00	13.00	13.00	6.00			10
Floyd Franklin	12.00	10.00	)		- 14.00		- 11.37	10
Fremont	.50	2.0	.50	.50			1.50	10
Greene	3.25	2.0	0 2.00		9.75		- 1.00	-
Grundy	1.00	17.0	0 3,60	- 1.00			1.50	
Guthrie	3.60	3.0	0 3.00	26.10			30.47	25
Hamilton	7.50	26.8	0		13.00		4.00	35
Hancock	18.00	10.0	0 4.00	4.00	33.00		_ 24.10	
Hardin	1.65				17.40	)	. 1.65	
Harrison	. 1.00				17.00		1.00	
Howard	12.00	11.0		9.00			25.75	17
Humboldt	33.00	35.0	0 21.00	21.0	1.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ida					16.2			
Iowa			0 3.0	3.0			1.1	
Jackson	- 3.00	3.0	0 4.0	4.0	0 52.2	5 3.0	0 2.00	
Jasper	5.00	4.0	8 19.7	8 19.7	8 27.8	0		
Jefferson	1 1 10	11.1	0 11.1	0 11.1	0 60.7	0		
Jones	1.10	1.1	10		31.0	0		
Keokuk							8.4	2 (
Kossuth	2.00	3.	00 8.0	a 5.0	36.0	0		
Lee			00 1.0	r 1.0		0		
Linn	_ 1.0	0 1.				0	.5	0
Louisa	5		50 .5					
Lucas			00 3.0	0 3.0	0 23.4	0	2	0
Lyon	7.5	0 0.	75		36.5		0 3.7	5
Madison Mahaska	3.0	0 2.	00 1.0	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0 & 1.0 \\ 0 & 17.0 \\ \end{array} $	0 33.0		13.7	
			17.0					

	Sur	Surveys a	and Profiles	les		Construction	ction	
County	No. miles surveyed	No. miles platted	No. miles grade line approved by district engineers	No. miles pro- filed approved by commission	Built to natural grade, stand- ard width	Built to tem- porary grade, standard width	Built to per- manent grade, standard width	Surfaced
Marshall	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	23.50		14.00	1
Mitchell	4.25	1.25	7.75	1.50	20.50		2.00	1
Monroe	8.18	8.25	8.25	8.25			10.2	11
Muscatine	8.10	6.30	7.20	7.90	25.00	7.00	7.55	1
Sceola	31.00	0.00		0.00	24.00	.50	00	11
Palo Alto	4.00	27.5)	26.25	26.25			32.48	11
ocahontas	3.00	3.00	1	14.00	5.95	3.00	49.10	1
Polk	17.00	18.75	11.00	10.25			26.76	20.2
Poweshiek	7.00	7.00	3.00		14.50	1.50		11
ac	1.15	18.00	33.50	33.50		1.15	34.75	1
Scott		.8.00	3.25	3.25	3.25	.25	3.75	.75
Sloux	9.00	10,00	10.00	10.00	49.50		7.10	11
Taina		10.00	11.00	11.00	58.00	.20	7.40	2.20
Union	40,00	23.00	11.00		24,00	.80		11
7an Buren			1		37.75	88	00	1
Warren					65.00			11
Vashington	-		-		73.98			1
Webster					10.00		2.80	16.55
Winnebago	12.00	7.00	7.00	6.00	6.00		5.50	1
Winneshiek Woodbury	1.25	12.50	9.3 M	54.75	49.75	.36	17.52	11
Worth	6 80		9,00				6.25	
Wright			110000					

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 21.

Progress Report .- County Road Surveys and Construction .- Total Work Done to Jan. 1, 1919.

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

20

		Surveys and	1 Profiles	1		Co	nstruction			ty
County	No. of 1 <sup>tles</sup> surreyed	No. of pulles	No. miles grade line approved by district engineers	No. miles 110- file approved by Commission	Built to natural grade stand- ard width	Built to tem- porary grade standard width	Built to rer- manent grade standard width	Surfaced	Maintenance and repairs	Total number o number in count system
lair	$\begin{array}{r} 37.49\\ 5.00\\ 25.96\\ 33.50\\ 25.00\\ 79.66\\ 86.10\\ 71.55\\ 61.27\\ 20.96\\ 170.25\\ 146.75\\ 135.75\\ 10.55\\ 16.23\\ 10.55\\ 16.23\\ 85.52\\ 56.35\\ 55.56\\ 35.50\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.00\\ 2.00\\ 25.26\\ 37.50\\ 82.50\\ 51.66\\ 79.98\\ 76.55\\ 54.77\\ 20.99\\ 141.03\\ 39.75\\ 132.75\\ 132.75\\ 5.50\\ 15.41\\ 90.40\\ 65.53\\ 53.26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.00\\ 2.00\\ 12.08\\ 6.00\\ 82.50\\ 43.91\\ 79.98\\ 38.75\\ 36.35\\ 15.21\\ 110.02\\ 18.35\\ 6.00\\ 13.17\\ 81.60\\ 49.54\\ 39.00\\ 1.$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00\\ 2.00\\ 7.67\\ 6.00\\ 82.00\\ 42.86\\ 324.00\\ 55.00\\ 22.36\\ 4.30\\ 117.67\\ 9.50\\ 25.55\\ 2.75\\ 2.57\\ 12.17\\ 79.30\\ 64.54\\ 25.00\\ 1.00\\ 1.00\\ \end{array}$	85.30 108.25 53.70 75.00 136.00 175.14 143.27 96.00 52.80 120.00 • 40 157.00 51.62 96.00 111.97 75.00 83.00 111.68	68.00 13.00 18.00 27.50 28 4.25 2.50 3.25 1.33 	2.00 8.50 8.86 4.595 8.00 11.75 94.500 8.500 42.000 2.255 14.400 39.100 57.500 21.000	1.00 13.43 29.00 75.50 1.50 33.50 1.25 ,5) 1.90 15.90 75.90	51.15	$\begin{array}{c} 172.00\\ 126.75\\ 130.25\\ 140.00\\ 214.55\\ 186.40\\ 125.55\\ 175.41\\ 177.44\\ 185.77\\ 173.62\\ 175.10\\ 142.73\\ 154.35\\ 154.75\\ 156.55\\ 115.85\\ 156.55\\ 115.85\\ 150.0\\ \end{array}$
Clarke Clay Clayton Clinton Crawford Dallas	150.00 68.25 95.96 115.47 70.33	$1.00 \\ 143.74 \\ 20.00 \\ 92.96 \\ 101.73 \\ 66.58$	$\begin{array}{r}1&00\\133&90\\6.75\\130.06\\25.79\\43.30\end{array}$	$119 \ 30 \\ 3.50 \\ 22.32 \\ 91.47 \\ 60.48$	70,00 77,75 .99 113.06 113.00	2.00 7.75 .50	80.75 .50 28.87 103.44 19.87	69.25 1.00 13.67 26.20	127.80 73.21 46.07 12.52 42.80 111.43	
Davis Devis Decatur Delaware Des Moines	16.75 50.13	3.00 29.87 37.62	2.00 26.50 14.50	20.00 10.57	30.72 138.00 55 00	9.85 1.00 1.54	20.00 8.11		2.30 11.60	176.30 81.75

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 21.-Continued.

		Surveys at	id Profiles			(	Construction	1		
Crunty	No. of miles surveyed	No. of miles platted	No. miles grade line approved by district engineers	No. miles pro- file approved by Commission	Built to netural grade stand- ard width	Built to tem- porary grade standard width	Built to per- manent grade standard width	Surfaced	Maintenance and repuits	Total number of miles in county system
Diekinson	126,43	126.43	100.59	103.59			76.31		35.12	111.43
Dubuque	82.14	82.14	53,00	29.00	21.50		4.85	28.75	117.45	172 55
immet	109.25	108.75	102.38	78.32	8.00	1.00	24.00	63.00	10.00	106.00
ayette	39.25	22.50	19.00	10.000000000000000000000000000000000000	165.00	1.00	22.00	6.00	8.00	202.00
loyd	41.25	45.86	34.67	36.06	122.34		12.00	7.78	12.13	144.25
		102.60		12.85	105.10	Internation				
	138.50		32.50		105.12		10.50	24.63	44.50	184.75
remont	9.22	10.72	4.62	3.62	30.00			*********		154.38
reene	80.25	75.00	42,00	64.50	35.75		6.50	51.63	38.62	132.50
rundy	130.58	59.58	2.00	8.00	149.00		3.50		5.50	158.00
uthrie	21.65	9.40	7.52	4.09	92.24	.32	2.78	2.50	98.16	196.00
amilton	124.60	114.35	70.15	95.00	77.61		60.27	51.50	4.00	193.38
ancock	100.14	80.86	62.38	66.50	43.75	9.25	21.33	17.75	74.55	166.63
ardin	140.50	119.97	112.72	104.72	69.70		43.60	45.00	20,00	178.30
arrison	31.90	26.00	19.13	13.25	86.55	1.40	14.75	101.00	10 m m	168.00
enry	87.10	64.00	16.00	3.00	105.00	3+20	1.50		35.80	142.30
oward	51.41	47.41	30.75	22.25	87.55	3.00	19.50	6.25	6,00	122.30
umboldt	105.85	104.10	83.50	66.50	60.75		30,00	42.75	0.00	133,50
	9.75	9.75	00,00	00.00	83.00		00.00	93.10		
			03.50			1.25	**********			132.00
wa	27.80	24.20	32.50	14.02	125.66	33.71	3.58	1.81	11.74	176.50
ackson	14.50	14.50	9.25	10.25		**********	5.15			157,50
asper	42.00	36.50	29.50	21.75	140.25	44.50	15.25			204.80
fferson	37.98	37.98	23.42	24.92	122.40		.60		18.30	141.30
ohnsön	158.10	150.14	86.83	16.60	154.50	2.00	- 9.50	.50	6.50	173.00
ones	24.20	25.40	11.08	7.75	107.00	10.00	6.00	7.50	55.00	185.50
okuk	41.85	34.80	19.34	16.07	31.00		4.09		132.71	167.80
ossuth	84.26	74.26	63.16	47.66	191.90	.50	20.60	33.00	34.00	280,00
99	72.84	40.84	5.84	5.81	77.00		.75	14.00	58.25	150.00
inn	23.55	19.20	3.40	1.00	63.75	2.90	6.65	3.22	142.28	218.80
ouisa	60.36	24.11	14.65	14.65	79.00	41.00	7.97	2.57	22.46	112.00
ucas	2.25	2.00			60.00	1.00			87.00	148.00
von	50.25	36.55	14.00	14.00	140.15	2.00	11.25		33.60	190,00
Indison	112.15	2.83	2.73	2.08	169.00	.90			50,60	162.00
dahaska	46.50	39.50	15.88	15.88	96,25	44.75	8.75	.25	5.05	155.05

Marion Marshall Mills Mitchell Monnoa Monroe Montgomery	$13.72 \\ 172.04 \\ 33.90 \\ 14.50 \\ 36.67 \\ 27.55 \\ 28.00$	$13.71 \\ 163.34 \\ 28.65 \\ 10.25 \\ 33.07 \\ 27.41 \\ 26.00 $		31.21 26.85 9.20 1.50 17.43 9.25 12.50 32.91	130.82 164.69 78.00 56.00 131.00 163.00	1.02 21.50 5.50 .50 7.00	20.00	4.38 15.50 	$     \begin{array}{r}       11.97 \\       10.50 \\       53.25 \\       10.15 \\       4.00 \\       119.75 \\       8.70 \\     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 169.85\\ 189.05\\ 110.00\\ 129.25\\ 161.50\\ 167.50\\ 126.75\\ 139.95 \end{array}$
O'Brien O'Brien Page Page Palo Alto	74.72 74.73 62.90 35.57 150.14	58.90 82.79 31.90 19.49 150.14	$50.22 \\ 73.22 \\ 30.90 \\ 3.25 \\ 141.54$	32.91 39.50 30.40 3.00 138.64	$135.42 \\ 86.00 \\ 130.00 \\ 8.30$	4.44 15.00 41.00 .10 7.00	49.14 30.00 3.00 131,46		24.19 201.50	189.00 132.00 174.00 166.88 208.5)
Plymouth Pochontas Polk Pottawattamle	36.41 131.65 88.98 118.93 19.55	36.41 131.65 82.88 107.03 18.05	105.90 48.68 28.51 11.50	102.60 38.25 23.80	$\begin{array}{r} 52.20 \\ 147.39 \\ 237.05 \\ 121.80 \end{array}$	10.24 11.50	38.00 6.80	65.90 27.75 2.00	12.40 9.25 5.00 146.00	168.50 191.25 255.50 138.30 183.50
Poweshiek Ringgold Sac Scott Shelby	16.00 150.88 38.73 20.77	$\begin{array}{r} 4.00 \\ 154.12 \\ 36.23 \\ 20.77 \\ 31.48 \end{array}$	150,55 24.98 21.98	142.55 24.98 11.00	35.25 19.00 95.00 104.50	1.75 1.15 3.60 .40	103.73 19.30 .30 9.10	42.67 37.60	3.30 57.30	150.85 136.80 157.75 216.00 132.50
Sioux	38.98 132.40 75.10 10.71 41.00	131.40 80.65 8.71 24.50	$     \begin{array}{r}       131.40 \\       66.40 \\       2.71 \\       11.00     \end{array} $	131.40 62.05 .71	$     \begin{array}{r}       169.50 \\       110.00 \\       71.00 \\       125.50     \end{array} $	2.00	80.50 28.70 	52.00 2.20	4.60 61.63 62.50 7.80	207.00 172.00 135.50 133.30
Van Buren Wapello Warren Washington	29.75 23.75 18.60 19.62	23.25 8.25 18.60 13.62	14.75 1.00 15.80 8.00	$     \begin{array}{r}       11.50 \\       4.75 \\       .50 \\       6.00     \end{array} $	97.47 155.75 16.96 141.00	8.33 .25		.50	$     166.64 \\     31.50   $	137.13 170.00 192.50 172.50 185.37
Wayne Webster Winnebago Winneshiek Woodbury	94.00 143.55 9.00 106.30 114.50	85.00 70.25 .25 99.50 114.50	77.00 49.75 .25 79.65 69.75	102.00 48.75 56.25	$119.62 \\ 75.00 \\ 181.40 \\ 119.80 \\ 50.50$	3.50 9.36 13.00	49.25	.17 42.00	3.71 20.15 49.67 56.63	131.46 202.3) 213.00 114.50 179.63
Worth Wright Totals	6,234.21	45,25	33.75 3,729-11	29.25	84.00	491.12	1,904.46	39.00	3,854.61	16,185.53

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

306

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

-
-
0
-
~
100
80
1.1
-
2
1.
-
-
1.54
1
-
_
-
22
in the second
1
-
~
100
>
1.0
100
0
1.4
0
-
$\leq$
-
_
100
0.2
70
-
-
0
100
de.

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 22.

Gravel Pits Owned by County, January 1, 1919.

ANNUAL REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County	Number	Value	County	Number	Value
amakee	1 8		Clinton	-	1
lack Hawk		3,400	Delaware	-1-	1.800.00
uchanan	9			-	1,0001.
sutler	10	2,000.00	Floyd		7 100.
'erro Gordo	-7	1,700.00	Greene		1,100.
y	20	9,847.00	ck	-19	10
Dallas	-	1,500.00	Howard	- 10	1 121
lekinson	50	3,000.00		Te :	0.500
mmet	6	1,000.00	Lyon		
ranklin	6	3,000.00	Mitchell	10.4	1.000.0
familton	19	400.00	to		100.00
Jardin	*	1,000.00	50	100,	1 000-0
umboldt	1	600,00	Scott	1	a,011.1
inn	7	3,000.00	-	9	1001
darion	1	1,060.00		-	2 000 0
duscatine	10	1,800.00		10	0,000.00
Benton	1	100.00	ry	0.	1 400 0
Bremer	5	1,500.00	Woodbury	- 3	4, 100.0
Buena Vista	13	5,250.00	Wright	10	0 202.00
1	12	3,100.00			6,010.1
Wabacaw	11	and the state of t			

Chickasaw

### SUMMARY TABLE NO. 23.

## Amount of Road and Bridge Work Planned or Constructed by County Engineers.

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

		Construction		1	Planned	
County	Road	Bridge	Total	Road	Bridge	Total
dair \$	30,000.00	\$ 41,804.31	\$ 71,804.31	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 66,022.92	\$ 76,022.93
ams	17,673.16	21,524.29	39,197.45		13,353.00	13,353.00
lam a kee.	25,608.00	30,000.00	55,608.00		12,913.00	15,530.14
panoose	25,000.00	35,000.00	60,000.00		8,910.00	8,910.00
idubon	6,756.71	48,555.06	55,311.77		36,739.00	41,739.00
nton	30,000.00	94,000.00	124,000.00		91,517.95	93,751.6
ack Hawk	16,724.24	32,619.56	49,343.80		13,361.27	13,361.2
oone	20,030.00	64,953.64	84,983.64		51,076.00	57,276.00
'emer	17,000.00	38,000.00	55,000.00		32,435.00	32,435.00
achanan	7,893.66	24,803.74	32,697.40		24,312.40	24,312.40
iena Vista	34,734.88	28,144.61	62,879.49		15,670.00	15,670.00
itler	12,500.00	26,120.70	38,620.70		536.00	536.0X
alhoun	31,050.21	29,693.93	60,744.14		14,808.05	65,922.54
arroll	43,578.00	37,500.00	81,078.00		17,927.80	45,427.80
<b>8</b> 89		58,426.97	58,426.97		57,386.50	57,386.50
edar	7,649.39	23,011.49	30,660.88		29.745.00	29,745.00
erro Gordo	97,963.82	67,879.00	165,842.82		28,596.49	29,596.49
herokee	10,960.43	73,385.06	84,345.49		76,517.00	116,687.00
hlekasaw	21,000.00	52,000.00	73,000.00		14,042.76	66,042.76
larke	12,748.69	20,326.58	33,075.27		11,185.00	11,185.00
lay	26,853.25	46,527.87	73,381.12		24,667.00	24,667.00
layton	15,500.00 9,853.00	52,528.44 40,748.58	68,028.44 50,601.58		11,730.00	14,730.00
linton	60,000,00	150,000,00	210,000.00		96,203.75	243,203.75
rawford	39,000.00	71,000.00	110,000.00		107,573.00 90,025,00	170,484.29 92,025,00
allas	9,185.12	30,435,73	39,620,85		15,937.23	92,025.00
beatur	10,000.00	32,906.67	42,9.6.67		22.175.86	26,775.86
elaware	19,165.00	22,100.00	41,265.00		17,930.00	30,630,00
Des Moines	12,000.00	22,000,00	34,000.00		16,811.55	16,811.55
Diekinson	40,520.17	33,000,00	73,520.17		18,029.30	48,029.30
Dubuque	56,650.00	75,000.00	131,650,00		8,079.00	16,094,00
Immet	43,070,00	12,550.00	55,620,00		8,929,49	14,929,49
Favette	30,000.00	45,000,00	75,000.00		44,112,00	50,112.00
Floyd	33,704.49	42,294,45	75,998.94		22,697.35	38,177.35
Franklin	32,509.00	38,460.52	70,960.52		19,930,00	41,030,00

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 23.-Continued.

		Construction			Planned	
County	Road	Bridge	Total	Road	Bridge	Total
remont	9,057.03	87,906.60	96,963.63	2,500,00	33,337,41	35,837,41
eene	28,480.30	42,218.08	70,698.38	3,870.00	32,960.66	36,830.66
undy	9,182.27	82,423.15	91,605.42	50,000,00	81,129.00	131,129.00
thrie	20,000.00	68,000.00	\$8,000.00	15,200,00	41.278.94	56,478.94
milton	92,079.78	58,700.06	150,779.84	34,681.80	83,697.00	118,378.80
ncock	30,356.93	59,212.60	89,569.53	and successive	22,870,42	22,870,42
rdin	45,000.00	80,000.00	125,000.00	20,060,00	68,724.63	88,724.63
Tison	15,416.40	48,601.00	64,017,40	3,000.00	39,108.50	42,108.50
nry	4.615.32	53,314,63	57,929.95		25,000.00	25,000,00
ward	15,510,00	40,465.87	55,975.87	14,700.00	30,500.00	45,200.00
mboldt	40,000,00	42.000.00	82,000.00	43,000.00	26,750.00	69,750.00
	4,760.00	31,600,00	36,360.00		52,900.00	52,900.00
8	15,028.00	130,599.03	145,627.03		117,852.04	117,852.04
kson	10,000.00	50,000.00	60,000.00	5,000.00	35,000.00	40,000.00
Der	62,000.00	134,140.00	196,140.00	20,000.00	49,329.60	69,329.60
erson	17,451,40	28,725.76	46,177.16		17,245.00	17,245.00
nson	30,000.00	55,600.00	85,600.00	65,250.00	24,710.90	89,960.90
68	11,677.00	35,230.00	46,907.00	3,451.64	26,187.00	29,638.64
kuk	16,816.65	82,607.00	99,423.65		52,972.89	52,972.89
suth	30,000.00	40,320.00	70,320.00	5,000.00	24,867.77	29,867.77
	21,764.65	32,717.97	54,482.62		4,560.00	4,560.00
n	30,543.39	43,753.00	74,296.39	24,705.71	47,152.73	71,858.44
ilsa	25,556.62	29,822.95	55,379.57	2,960.00	14,165.21	17,125.21
88	20,000.00	30,000.00	50,000.00		30,952.00	30,952.00
n	16,500.00	45,860.00	62,360.00	16,580.00	950.40	17,530.40
lison	8,082.79	39,192.44	47,275.23		28,498.18	28,498.18
iaska	40,000.00	65,000.00	105,000.00	8,000.00	17,822.30	25,822.30
ion	84,426.26	70,186.34	154,612.60		8,202.00	8,202.00
shall	52,215.89	161,861.48	214,077.37	47,722.00	86,376.20	134,098.20
8	35,000.00	83,622.47	118,622.47	***********	58,300.00	58,300.00
chell	11,554.06	46,952.90	58,506.96	5,000.00	29,715.00	34,715.00
iona	32,000.00	66,000.00	98,000.00	12,585.00	41,689.00	54,274.00
aroe	2,000.00	36,700.00	38,700.00		29,740.00	29,740.00
atgomery	25,000.00	52,245.67	77,245.67	10,000.00	20,023.65	30,023.65
catine	36,000.00	36,700.00	72,700.00	10,000.00	13,679.75	23,679.75
rien	43,025.12	70,494.75	113,519.87	35,000.00	44,715.61	79,715.61
ee	10,000.00	33,177.98	43,177.98	1,060.00	32,261.57 17,500.00	33,321.57 27,500,00
o Alto	25,000.00 42,000.00	75,000.00	77,000.00	33,000.00	55,481.09	88,481.09
mouth	18,505.72	90,300.00	108,805,72	6,759,45	37,155,91	43,915.36
	10,000.12	00,000.00	and the second as a	0,100140		1010100

Pockbontas         Polk         Pottawattamie         Poweshiek         Ringgold         Sac         Sott         Sott         Shelby         Sloux         Story         Tama         Taylor         Union         Van Buren         Washington         Wayne         Winnebago         Winnebago         Worth         Worth	$19,624.80 \\30,005.76 \\18,750.39 \\45,402.31 \\22,000,00$	$\begin{array}{c} 32,900,00\\ 199,628,33\\ 125,000,00\\ 73,000,00\\ 64,349,01\\ 103,055,00\\ 24,277,00\\ 63,400,00\\ 88,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,524,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,524,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,524,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,874,63\\ 38,522,12\\ 52,91\\ 49,804,59\\ 20,000,00\\ 53,806,20\\ 26,645,00\\ 22,648,34\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115,740.00\\ 399,628.33\\ 152,500.00\\ 38,328.00\\ 72,488.68\\ 184,055.00\\ 62,000.00\\ 76,190.00\\ 54,477,00\\ 101,400.00\\ 134,000.00\\ 83,428.20\\ 83,428.20\\ 83,428.20\\ 83,428.20\\ 84,020.00\\ 83,428.20\\ 00\\ 83,620.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,020.00\\ 84,000.00\\ 90,03.30\\ 95,206.90\\ 10,000\\ 90,03,00\\ 90,03,00\\ 95,000.00\\ 94,306.20\\ 94,300.20\\ 94,300.20\\ 94,300.20\\ 94,300.20\\ 94,300.20\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 20,400,00\\ 50,000,00\\ 12,000,00\\ 10,000,00\\ 25,000,00\\ 22,000,00\\ 10,000,00\\ 12,600,00\\ 12,600,00\\ 12,000,00\\ \hline \\ 2,900,00\\ \hline \\ 25,000,00\\ 1,280,99\\ 25,000,00\\ 10,000,00\\ 10,000,00\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,880.00\\ 48,301.04\\ 103,991.06\\ 23,900.00\\ 27,828.54\\ 69,680.35\\ 17,224.60\\ 41,326.00\\ 650.00\\ 33,125.00\\ 54,973.00\\ 25,744.64\\ 33,032.00\\ 19,878.70\\ 25,752.00\\ 14,518.72\\ 38,920.82\\ 6,265.00\\ 501.77\\ 7,834.50\\ 25,500.00\\ 49,559.00\\ 16,985.00\\ 18,522.81\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33,208.00\\ 98,301.04\\ 115,991.00\\ 33,990.00\\ 27,828.54\\ 134,680.35\\ 42,224.66\\ 41,326.00\\ 22,650.00\\ 43,125.00\\ 67,573.00\\ 67,573.00\\ 67,573.00\\ 67,573.00\\ 25,744.64\\ 45,032.00\\ 19,578.70\\ 28,652.00\\ 14,518.72\\ 38,920.82\\ 6,265.00\\ 501.77\\ 50,83.55\\ 26,700.99\\ 74,559.00\\ 26,985.00\\ 17,522.81\end{array}$
Totals	3 2,874,354.24	\$ 5,388,581.13	\$ 8,262,935.37	\$ 1,332,828.16	\$ 3,359,070.52 \$	4,691,898.68

# ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 24.

## Cost of Engineering.

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

		Road			Bridge		
County	County Engineer's Salary and Expense	Assistant Engineer's Salary and Expense	Total	County Engineer's Salary and Expense	Assistant Engineer's Salary and Expense	Total	Total Engineering Cost
Adair dairs dams Mamakee painoose udubon Senton Black Hawk Soone Stemer Steman Stema Vista Muter 'alhoun 'arsoll mass	$\begin{array}{c} \$ & 954.72 \\ 1.062.96 \\ 1.206.00 \\ 714.00 \\ 600.00 \\ 758.19 \\ 1.480.18 \\ 979.26 \\ 1.284.73 \\ 1.070.22 \\ 1.284.73 \\ 1.070.22 \\ 1.218.56 \\ 1.092.85 \\ 1.432.82 \\ 1.298.60 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,354.72\\ 1,104.61\\ 1,311.12\\ 1,044.50\\ 633.58\\ 774.00\\ 1,625.93\\ 2,028.25\\ 1,634.53\\ 1,087.32\\ 1,539.69\\ 1,346.35\\ 2,190.18\\ 1,918.23\\ \end{array}$	\$ 1,404.28 1,002.96 1,107.39 936.00 1,800.00 2,307.01 1,403.75 1,468.88 1,024.68 1,070.23 1,115.38 916.00 479.76 1,141.58	$\begin{array}{c} 41.65\\ 300.00\\ 454.00\\ 100.00\\ 15.00\\ 120.50\\ 368.97\\ 340.90\\ 17.10\\ 218.62\\ 25.00\\ 73.43\\ 691.65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,104.61\\ 1,497.39\\ 1,440.00\\ 1,990.00\\ 2,322.01\\ 1,534.25\\ 1,837.85\\ 1,365.58\\ 1,087.33\\ 1,334.00\\ 941.00\\ 553.19\\ 1,833.23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,209,22\\ 2,808,51\\ 2,484,50\\ 2,533,58\\ 3,096,01\\ 3,150,18\\ 3,866,10\\ 3,000,11\\ 2,174,65\\ 2,873,69\\ 2,287,35\\ 2,743,37\\ 3,751,46\end{array}$
ass edar erro Gordo	$\begin{array}{c} 555.13\\ 1,500.20\\ 1,027.78\\ 660.00\\ 595.27\\ 1,000.93\\ 161.75\\ 1,613.33\\ 1,080.05\\ 1,300.00\\ 600.00\\ 865.00\\ 1,090.18\\ 1,080.09\\ 962.57\\ 1,546.82\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 77.68\\808.00\\842.64\\425.00\\\hline 1.62.20\\\hline 1.325.67\\2.507.75\\46.86\\\hline 900.43\\189.70\\519.40\\1.362.98\\352.45\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 632.81\\ 2,308.20\\ 1,870.42\\ 1,085.00\\ 595.27\\ 1,223.13\\ 161.75\\ 2,939.00\\ 3,587.80\\ 1,346.86\\ 600.00\\ 1,765.43\\ 1,279.88\\ 1,599.49\\ 2,325.55\\ 1,899.27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,200,00\\ 1,797,04\\ 1,016,00\\ 0,00\\ 1,072,22\\ 660,00\\ 1,633,50\\ 1,211,72\\ 1,976,12\\ 987,92\\ 2,173,64\\ 1,620,00\\ 900,00\\ 1,304,33\\ 834,00\\ 954,36\\ 641,86\\ 2,302,23\\ \end{array}$	88.50 365.03 550.90 1,241.96 425.00 86.85 1,036.61 1,833.33 45.00 1,200.00 189.00 189.00 289.04 1,246.72 528.68	2,288.50 2,162.07 1,566.9) 2,314.18 1,085.00 1,633.50 1,298.57 1,976.12 2,024.53 4,006.97 1,665.00 900.00 2,504.33 1,023.70 1,353.40 1,888.58 2,880.91	$\begin{array}{c} 2,288.50\\ 2,794.88\\ 3,875.10\\ 4,184.60\\ 2,170.00\\ 2,228.77\\ 2,521.70\\ 2,137.87\\ 4,963.53\\ 7,594.77\\ 3,011.86\\ 1,500.00\\ 4,269.76\\ 2,303.58\\ 2,952.89\\ 4,214.13\\ 4,730.18\end{array}$

Fayette	620,80		620.80	1,243.88	300.00	1,541.88	2,162.68	
Floyd	958.41	602.80	1,561.21	1,243.39	240.45	1,483.84	3,045.05	
Franklin	758.16	1,409.22	2.167.38	1,254.87	1,187.64	2,442.51	4,609.89	
Fremont	259.00	247.00	506.00	2,102.00	352.80	2,454.80	2,960.80	
Greene.	1,437.88	817.32	2,255.20	1.057.97	450.62	1,508,59	2,763.79	
	499.75	408.90	908.65		1,636.60	3,789,60	4,698,25	
Grundy				2,153.00				
Guthrie	1,086.89	437.51	1,524.40	1,463.05	320.26	1,783.31	3,307.71	
Hamilton	1,205.48	2,114.86	3,320.34	1,376.40	726.98	2,103.38	5,423.72	
Hancock.	862.22	313.25	1.175.47	1,151,64	160.90	1.312.54	2,488,01	-
Hardin	1,100.00	2,623.96	3,723.96	1,565.00	1,150.00	2,715.00	6,438.96	
Uarricon	848.25	71.75	920.00	1,661.20	177.66	1,838,86	2.758.86	Z
Harrison		11.10						Z
Henry	75.00		75.00	1,700.00	3.00	1,703.00	1,778.00	4
Howard	837.88	703.35	1,541.23	826.18	510.55	1,336.73	2,877.96	C
Humboldt	1.875.00	1,125.00	3,000,00	625.00	375.00	1,000.00	4,000.00	$\mathbf{P}$
Ida	718.44	15.10	733.54	1,436.88		1,436.88	2,170,42	F
					1.077.00			-
Iowa	. 706.37	315.63	1,022.00	1,835.77	1,057.82	2,893.59	3,915.59	here
Jackson	1,048.30	69.25	1,117.55	1,534.24	137.00	1,671.24	2,788.79	RE
Jasper	1,014.05	214.65	1,228.70	1.291.02	624.03	1,915.05	3,143,75	E
Jefferson	1,117.00	496.33	1,613.33	1,149.00	80.00	1,229,00	2,842.33	5
Johnson	600.00	1.828.46	2,428,46	1,800.00	100.00	1,900.00	4.328.46	0
Johnson,								PORTS
Jones	630.01	40.00	670.01	945.02	60.00	1,005.02	1,675.03	~
Keokuk	896.98	168.33	1,065.31	1,793.47	337.67	2,131.14	3,196.45	-
Kossuth	1,200.00	1,205.81	2,405.81	2,064.16	341.66	2,405.82	4.811.63	20
Lee	570.00	197.65	767.65	1,140,00	430.00	1,570.00	2.337.65	-
	1,652.11	1.020.15	2,672.26					0
Linn				1,652.11	1,417.74	3,069.85	5,742.11	T
Louisa	510.00	200.00	710.00	510.00	200.00	710.00	1,420.00	100
Lucas	684.00	10.00	694.00	1,366.00	20.00	1,386.00	2,080.00	COUNT
Lyon	1,145.04	532.10	1,677.14	1,156.37	429.34	1,585,71	3.262.85	0
Madison	642.36	451.91	1,094.27	1,793.39	1,261.59	3,054.98	4,149.25	a
Mahaska	1,500,00	116,50	1,616.50	944.70	80.00	1.024.70	2,641.20	5
								-
Marion	1,451.80	1,226.17	2,677.97	1,391.70	257.29	1,648.99	4,326.96	-
Marshall	805.83	1,475.00	2,370.83	1,791.71	2,068.57	3,860.28	6,231.11	Y
Mills	874.07		874.07	1,748.14		1,748.14	2,622.21	100
Mitchell	900,00	350.00	1,250.00	1,400.00	300.00	1,700.00	2,950.00	3
Monona	1,194.00	300.06	. 1,494.06	1,700,69	173.06	1,873.75	3,367.81	Z
Monroe	500.00	151.50	651.50	1,100.00	82.65	1,182.65	1,834,15	õ
Montgomery	700.00	311.82			A 1999 1992			ENGINEE
			1,011.82			1,400.00	2,411.82	17
Muscatine	1,121.00	1,380.55	2,501.55	1,000.00	655.09	1,655.90	4,157.45	2
O'Brien	1,125.65	808.81	1,934.46	1,271.48	500.00	1,771.48	3,705.94	141
Osceola	431.41	551.45	982.86	748.52	825.35	1,573.87	2,556.73	E
Page	790.55	438.30	1,228,85	1,174.38	675.00	1.849.38	3,078,23	R
Palo Alto	1.188.32	1,315.40	2,503.72	1.077.43	276.59	1,354.02	3,857.74	07
	600.00	1,010.40	600.00					
Plymouth				2,400.00		2,400.00	3,000.00	
Pocahontas	1,796.00	2,365.24	4,161.24	1,028.74	397.33	1,426.07	5,587.31	
Polk	1,610.37	2,989.62	4,599.99	2,194.85	3,663.46	5,858.31	10,458.30	
Pottawattamie	730.00	275.00	1,005.00	2,190.00	825.00	3,015,00	4,020.00	
Poweshiek	790.22	214.76	1,004.98	1,538.07	107.39	1.645.46	2,650,44	
Ringgold	9,000.00	2,000,00	11.000.00	1,342.25	101.00	1.342.25	12.342.25	
	787.97	2,025,56	2,813.53		200 40			100
Sae				2,247.55	309.46	2,557.01	5,370.54	313
Scott	1,500.00	1,121.00	2,621.00	1,500.00	1,076.00	2,576.00	5.197.00	00

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

Con	
24	
NO	
TABLE	
~	
ы	
×	
X	
X	
YY	
RY	
RY	
RY	
ARY	
ARY	
ARY	
ARY	
IARY	
AARY	
MARY	
MARY	
MARY	
IMARY	
IMARY	
MMARY	
MMARY	
MMARY	
IMMARY	
JMMARY	
UMMARY	
UMMARY	
UMMARY	
SUMMARY	
SUMMARY	
SUMMARY	

		Road			Bridge		
County	County Engineer's Salary and Expense	Assistant Engineer's Salary and Expense	Total	County Engineer's Salary and Expense	Assistant Engineer's Salary and Expense	Total	Total Engineering Cost
Υ	1,921.85	79.57	2,001.42	613.60	* 39.78	653.38 850.00	2,654.80
lioux	1,224.31	1,551.16	3,251.10	2,040.37	15.60	2,055.97	3,331.13
ama	911.24 1777	610.00	1,521.24	1,800.00	353.35 454.82	2,103.30	2,865.52
Jnion	787.73	12.27	800.00	1,456.50	78.50	1,535.00	2,335.00
Van Buren.	500.00 871 41	167.50	1.088.91	14.020.00	167.50	1,038.91	2,077.82
Varren	700.00	236.00	936.00	2,100.00	707.95	2,807.95	3,743.95
Washington.	1,998.16	00.08	1.378.16	1.300.00	15.00	1,375.00	2,753.16
Waylle	2,073.77	603.17	2,676.94	1,067.18	576.21	1,643.39	4,320.33
Winnebago	898.65	461.58	1,360.23	800.008	400.00	1,200.00	2, 500.25
Vinneshlek	2,269.46	3,496.09	5,765.55	126.00	1,165.00	1,921.00	7,086.55
Worth Wright	1,150.00	650.00	1,067.50	1,007.10	210.00	510.00	2,1310.00
	0 100 100 69	2 60 670 69 G	S 166 760 06 8	71 061 191	2 49 489 80 2	178 619 06	S 240 281 19

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS. SUMMARY TABLE NO. 25. Financial Statement.

		Cou	County Bridge F	Fund			County Mo	Motor Vehicle 1	Vehicle Road Fund	
County	Balance or overdraft Jan. 1, 1918	8fet stqi999A	[830T	Disbursements, Disbursements,	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	Receipts, 1918	Into'T	Disbursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919
Adate	\$ 91 080 66* \$	48 999	\$ 22.902.	\$ 51.441.	\$ 28,538.	\$ 279.	19,143.36	\$ 18,863.47	968.	\$ 894.95
Adams	284.76*	34,395	34,110.	30,916	3,194	4,610.	11,866.59	16,476.61	180	4,687.05
Allamakee	431.	46	33,878.	33, 773	1 979	4,010.	16.368.71	16.545.97	12,458.20	4,087.77
Appanoose	3,511.00	101	79.186	79.028	157	4,153.	11,507.88	15,661.27	136	2,524.41
Banton	563	28	122,896	119,078	3,817.	6,342.	18,746.64	25,088.91	349.	2,739.52
Black Hawk	71.82*	69,013.45	88	76,433.50	1-1	273.18*	16,769.39	17.658.02	4.696.78	12,961.24
Boone	2,082.89	15	190,00	80 510	385		12.601.00	12,601.00	180	6,120.06
Bremer	070	25	46, 345	49.528	3.182	-	16,000.00	11,920.93	548	372
Buena Vista	8.665.41	28	79,794	91,125	11,331	831.91	16,527.62	17,359.53	15,963.64	1,395.89
Butler	309	4	83,451	84,045	593		14,675:09	16,192.99	1000	0010
Calhoun	783	E	108,854	68,599	40,254		14 810 09	LI, U45.51	300	5.588.36
Carroll	- 2,109.29*	80	91,849	104,900	9 400		15.060.70	15.278.83	434	155
Cass	126	33	57.820	34.636	23.184		16,973.70	17,004.74	918.	086
Cerro Gordo	799	Sec.	66,301	88,234	21,932		25,690.87	31,862.36	912.	000
Cherokee	12	196	190,155	186,551	3,604		00.101.01	30,4/0.05	1990	1.587.84
Chickasaw	22	10	33,194	33,189	000 1		11 718 39	20.102.54	088	722
Clarke	200	90	23,1,82	100 504	1,202		15.189.06	14,429.34	144	284
Clay	000	201	55 064	53 536	1.528		20,993.49	23,328.41	263.	064
Clayton	22	61	63.024	46.676	16.348		18,000.00	16,899.27	269	302
Chawford	2.452.09*	1	206,721	206,581	139	-	19,392.23	18,753.58	196	138
Dallas	5	120	61,094	58,754	2,339		16,000.00	16,590.60	060	
Davis	99	16	27,184	26,335	848		15, 372.95	16,800.83	112	0,029.20
Decatur	4,783.97	41	36,205	36,990	187		15, 279, 05	16, 200, 39	10 971 55	6.539.28
Delaware	149.08	41	43,623	41.59	2,032	_	00 000 FL	18, 119, 00	205	10.407.40
Des Moines	4,993.19	8	140'67	He'ne 1	000		AAAAAAA	an and the state		

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 25.-Continued.

		Cour	ity Bridge Fu	nd			County Mo	tor Vehicle R	oad Fund	
County	Balance or overdraft Jan. 1, 1918	Receipts 1918	Total	Disbursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	tecelpts, 1918	Total	Disbursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919
Diekinson Dubuque Fayette Froyd Franklin Fremont Greene Grundy Guthrle Hamilton Handiton Harrison Harrison Harrison Harrison Henry Howard Howard Howard Howard Howard Howard Jasper Jasper Jasper Jefferson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Johnson Jones Lyon Madison Mahaska	$\begin{array}{c} 10,909.07\\ 1,241.40^*\\794.60\\ 3,197.18^*\\ 2,608.85^*\\875.31\\ 5,641.52\\ 3,800.17\\ 3,888.94\\ 2,430.25\\ 44.27\\ 529.91^*\\ 1,881.04\\ 13,003.37^*\\ 11,234.89\\ 1,011.04\\ 1,811.08\\ 499.61\\ 2,703.64^*\\ 1,260.58\\ 8,766.59^*\\ 5,749.34^*\\ 3,608.76^*\\ 4,773.09\\ 8,734.75\\ 4,991.07^*\\ 50.20^*\\ 901.15\\ 4,991.07^*\\ 50.20^*\\ 901.15\\ 4,20.64^*\\ 5,151.02\\ 829.22\\ 1,003.06\\ 809.13\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51, 145.78\\ 139, 635.64\\ 23, 168.56\\ 59, 608.50\\ 37, 776.81\\ 47, 794.79\\ 53, 389.91\\ 37, 571.83\\ 48, 122.49\\ 168, 794.97\\ 79, 320.00\\ 35, 371.05\\ 77, 373.91\\ 52, 102.61\\ 39, 068.54\\ 32, 205.10\\ 37, 140.27\\ 61, 299.17\\ 99, 675.68\\ 135, 457.02\\ 119, 150.30\\ 58, 776.86\\ 49, 022.65\\ 45, 446.67\\ 58, 531.19\\ 61, 461.13\\ 36, 152.09\\ 62, 648.27\\ 35, 031.54\\ 59, 816.42\\ 63, 033.55\\ 36, 035.58\\ 79, 684.69\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62,054.85\\ 138,394.24\\ 23,963.25\\ 56,411.32\\ 35,077.96\\ 48,670.10\\ 59,031.43\\ 41,372.00\\ 52,011.43\\ 171,225.22\\ 79,364.27\\ 34,841.14\\ 79,254.95\\ 30,099.24\\ 50,303.43\\ 33,306.14\\ 38,951.35\\ 61,798.78\\ 96,882.64\\ 136,717.60\\ 110,398.71\\ 153,027.52\\ 45,413.89\\ 50,219.76\\ 67,265.94\\ 56,470.06\\ 36,101.89\\ 63,549.42\\ 34,610.90\\ 64,967.44\\ 63,802.77\\ 37,129.06\\ 80,403.82\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59,050.22\\ 157,264,47\\ 21,013.30\\ 51,900.92\\ 40,674.96\\ 50,738,58\\ 59,524.59\\ 52,699.45\\ 51,073.87\\ 172,957.08\\ 76,896.34\\ 42,609.07\\ 77,477.97\\ 39,457.70\\ 44,341.04\\ 43,806.14\\ 29,214.61\\ 57,356.88\\ 92,846.24\\ 132,035.50\\ 105,735.72\\ 52,680.42\\ 54,854.84\\ 49,195.84\\ 61,902.30\\ 68,659.53\\ 43,006.81\\ 60,158.94\\ 43,2784.72\\ 60,142.42\\ 63,630.40\\ 89,603.46\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,004.63\\ 18,870.23^{*}\\ 2,949.65\\ 4,510.40\\ 5,507.00^{*}\\ 2,008.48^{*}\\ 493.16^{*}\\ 11,327.45^{*}\\ 937.56\\ 1,731.84^{*}\\ 2,467.93^{*}\\ 7,767.93^{*}\\ 1,776.98\\ 358.46^{*}\\ 5,962.39\\ 10,500.00^{*}\\ 9,736.74\\ 4,41.90\\ 4,936.40\\ 4,682.10\\ 5,622.99\\ 347.10\\ 9,440.95^{*}\\ 1,228.92\\ 5,363.64\\ 1,228.92\\ 1,288.92\\ 1$	711.18 8,361.48 27.33 2,966.83 2,120.23 40.14 5,858.22 1,969.42 3,967.18 1,536.51 2,216.23 193.94 16,594.22 48,47 8,044.41 4,451.14 5,121.05 7,459.52 12,085.42 4,855.55 9,532.55 4,692.58 1,159.61 11,368.28 745,84 5,982.12	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 144.27\\ 18, 000.00\\ 11, 440.37\\ 19, 584.64\\ 13, 762.68\\ 16, 773.24\\ 11, 817.10\\ 16, 000.00\\ 13, 283.47\\ 17, 000.00\\ 13, 283.47\\ 17, 000.00\\ 14, 903.28\\ 15, 311.13\\ 18, 508.91\\ 10, 888.37\\ 11, 278.78\\ 14, 003.35\\ 12, 000.00\\ 16, 884.75\\ 17, 659.17\\ 12, 000.00\\ 18, 000.00\\ 16, 884.75\\ 17, 659.17\\ 12, 000.00\\ 19, 677.02\\ 16, 700.91\\ 17, 000.00\\ 27, 979.70\\ 15, 300.00\\ 20, 000.00\\ 11, 711.79\\ 12, 000.00\\ 16, 977.40\\ 15, 627.72\\ 17, 635.23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,855,45\\ 26,301,48\\ 11,467,70\\ 19,584,64\\ 16,773,24\\ 13,937,33\\ 16,000,00\\ 13,323,61\\ 22,858,22\\ 16,842,70\\ 19,278,81\\ 20,145,42\\ 13,104,60\\ 11,472,72\\ 30,597,57\\ 12,048,47\\ 21,335,89\\ 22,780,22\\ 19,459,52\\ 31,762,44\\ 21,556,46\\ 26,532,55\\ 32,672,28\\ 34,140,39\\ 20,000,00\\ 23,080,07\\ 12,000,00\\ 16,376,56\\ 23,617,38\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,413.43\\ 15,027.54\\ 11,433.27\\ 7,550.82\\ 7,551.52\\ 11,258.59\\ 13,410.57\\ 16,000.00\\\\ 13,310.18\\ 13,200.67\\ 16,776.85\\ 11,963.11\\ 12,426.02\\ 12,002.43\\ 12,136.02\\ 14,707.42\\ 11,667.60\\ 15,430.20\\ 18,493.72\\ \hline 7,647.56\\ 3,740.55\\ 15,050.67\\ 26,459.46\\ 17,213.14\\ 8,570.33\\ 20,000,00\\\\ 21,733.48\\ 12,000.06\\ 16,347.10\\ 25,354.15\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,442.02\\ 11,333.94\\ 34.43\\ 12,013.82\\ 9,177.90\\ 5,514.65\\ 526.76\\ \hline 13.43\\ 9,657.55\\ \hline 65.85\\ 7,315.20\\ 7,619.40\\ 1,042.17\\ 663.30^*\\ 15,890.15\\ 380.87\\ 10,614.21\\ 2,842.17\\ 22,780.22\\ 11,811.96\\ 28,021.89\\ 6,565.79\\ 73.09\\ 15,459.14\\ 5,570.06\\ \hline 1,346.59\\ \hline 335.40\\ 263.29\\ \hline \end{array}$
Marion Marshall Mills Mitchell Monona Montgomery Muscatine O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien O'Brien Palo Alto Plymouth Pocahontas Polk Pottawattamie Powshiek	$\begin{array}{c} 4,612,04\\ 1,138,73^*\\ 1,931,93^*\\ 4,292,05^*\\ 7,488,60^*\\ 10,699,88^*\\ 560,26^*\\ 4,023,96\\ 404,37\\ 16,702,38^*\\ 13,771,68^*\\ 6,731,24\\ 882,34\\ 2,615,37^*\\ 1,497,88^*\\ 4,752,58\\ 2,455,05\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44,850,89\\ 178,996,77\\ 83,622,47\\ 42,65,599\\ 42,646,98\\ 37,958,47\\ 99,666,98\\ 37,958,47\\ 53,160,73\\ 75,940,80\\ 105,000,98\\ 105,000,$	$\begin{array}{c} 49, 592, 93\\ 177, 828, 04\\ 185, 823, 94\\ 38, 947, 29\\ 15, 916, 73\\ 39, 077, 72\\ 41, 982, 43\\ 70, 009, 38\\ 40, 587, 53\\ 39, 390, 05\\ 82, 672, 10\\ 105, 883, 30\\ 165, 883, 30\\ 165, 883, 37\\ 190, 702, 38\\ 76, 663, 63\\ 119, 908, 62\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46,387.21\\178,784.35\\81,495.17\\41,386.26\\41,180.60\\19,935.77\\49,289.40\\37,559.49\\63,850.72\\38,719.52\\47,920.80\\81,709.10\\101,801.48\\35,690.63\\186,704.15\\70,512.44\\117,892.18\\25,692.82\\180,292.80\\180,202$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,905.72\\ 956.81\\ 105.87\\ 105.87\\ 2,203.81\\ 2,203.81\\ 2,203.81\\ 2,211.74\\ 4,019.04\\ 4,422.98\\ 1,158.86\\ 1,868.01\\ 8,531.75\\ 963.00\\ 4,081.82\\ 6,817.74\\ 3,908.23\\ 6,121.19\\ 2,076.44\\ 2,076.44\\ 1,158.86\\ 1,208.20\\ 1,208.2$	8.04* 2,317,52* 367,93* 4,472.01 2,129.01 2,725.20 4,059.56 2,933.05 2,205.30 23,397.89 10,959.21 2,531.76*	$\begin{array}{r} 14,510.28\\ 16,311.17\\ 14,529.13\\ 17,706.24\\ 12,000.00\\ 11,003.95\\ 13,759.86\\ 16,926.38\\ 11,538.14\\ 14,406.08\\ 14,942.25\\ 33,148.65\\ 22,350.40\\ 22,554.40\\ 15,473.09\\ 15,473.09\\ 20,928\\ 22,929.40\\ 22,954.40\\ 23,534.40\\ 24,534.40\\ 24,534.40\\ 24,534.40\\ 24,534.40\\ 25,534.40\\ 24,534.40\\ 25,534.$	14,510.28 16,308.13 12,211,61 17,338.31 12,000.00 16,075.96 15,888.87 16,962.62 24,263.34 18,465.64 17,275.30 35,353.95 42,403.61 12,941.33 26,219.26	$\begin{array}{r} 14,510.28\\ 13,216.85\\ \hline 14,639.29\\ 14,819.18\\ 12,000.00\\ 3,316.22\\ 15,834.84\\ 16,678.58\\ 17,690.34\\ 18,525.29\\ 6,563.87\\ 4,720.34\\ \hline 28,603.00\\ 34,303.85\\ 12,775.08\\ 18,212.24\\ \hline 28,603.00\\ 34,303.85\\ 18,212.24\\ \hline 28,203.24\\ \hline 28,20$	3,080.28 2,427.05* 2,519.13 12,759.74 54.03 254.04 6,574.00 59.65 10,711.4 30,033.46 30,033.46 30,033.46 177,055.22 8,099.77 166.2 1,799.5

Mills         Mitchell.         Monroe.         Monroe.         Monscatine         O'Brien.         Osceola.         Page.         Palo Alto.         Pymouth.         Pocahontas.         Polk.	$\begin{array}{c} 1,331,36^{+}\\ 4,222,05^{*}\\ 7,488,66^{*}\\ 10,699,88^{*}\\ 569,26^{*}\\ 4,023,96\\ 404,37\\ 16,702,38^{*}\\ 13,771,68^{*}\\ 6,731,24\\ 882,34\\ 2,615,37^{*}\\ 1,497,88^{*}\\ 4,759,58\end{array}$	$^{85}_{42,455,99}$ $^{46}_{405,95}$ $^{26}_{66,61}$ $^{20}_{39,646,98}$ $^{37}_{3958,47}$ $^{69}_{605,21}$ $^{57}_{5,940,86}$ $^{105}_{5,000,96}$ $^{45}_{45,153,74}$ $^{102}_{9,200,26}$ $^{20}_{71,911,05}$	81,233.94 38,917.29 15,916.73 39,077.72 41,982.43 70,009.58 40,587.53 39,359.05 82,672.10 105,883.30 42,538.37 190,702.38 76,663.63	41,336,26 41,180,60 19,935,77 41,289,46 87,555,49 68,850,72 38,719,52 47,920,80 81,709,10 101,801,48 35,690,63 186,704,15 70,542,44	$\begin{array}{c} 3,162,32\\ 2,263,31^{*}\\ 4,019,04^{*}\\ 2,211,74^{*}\\ 4,422,94\\ 1,158,86\\ 1,868,01\\ 8,531,75^{*}\\ 903,00\\ 4,081,82\\ 6,847,74\\ 3,998,23\\ 6,121,19\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 2,317,52^{*}\\ 367,03^{*}\\ \hline 4,472,01\\ 2,129,01\\ 36,24\\ 12,725,20\\ 4,059,56\\ 2,333,05\\ 2,205,30\\ \hline 23,397,89\\ 19,959,21\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,529,13\\17,706,24\\12,000,00\\11,003,95\\13,759,86\\16,926,38\\11,558,14\\14,406,08\\14,942,25\\33,148,65\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,211.61\\ 17,338.31\\ 12,000.00\\ 16,075.96\\ 15,888.87\\ 16,962.62\\ 24,263.34\\ 18,465.64\\ 17,275.30\\ 35,353.95\\ \hline \\ 45,658.29\\ 42,493.61\\ \end{array}$	14,639.29 14,819.18 12,000.00 .3,316.22 15,834.84 16,675.58 17,689.34 18,525.29 6,563.87 4,720.34	$\begin{array}{c} 2,427,08^{-}\\ 2,519,13\\ \hline 12,759,74\\ 54,03\\ 284,04\\ 6,574,00\\ 59,65^{+}\\ 10,711,43\\ 30,633,61\\ \hline 17,055,29\\ 8,099,76\\ \end{array}$
Pottawattamie Poweshiek Ringgold Sac Sectt Shelby Sloux Story Tama Taylor Union	4,752.58 245.05 7,658.25* 548.68 16,159.82 339.55 47,997.62* 6,876.09 969.83 1,822.88 3,470.96*	$\begin{array}{c} 71,911.05\\ 119,723.57\\ 30,359.00\\ 90,241.49\\ 46,242.72\\ 50,046.61\\ 76,303.11\\ 47,604.21\\ 134,693.57\\ 90,881.81\\ 40,519.65\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 119,968,62\\ 22,700,75\\ 90,790,17\\ 62,402,54\\ 50,386,16\\ 28,305,49\\ 54,480,30\\ 135,663,40\\ 92,704,69\\ 37,048,69 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117,892.18\\ 21,841.68\\ 90,415.70\\ 35,112.16\\ 49,630.98\\ 34,719.61\\ 53,856.85\\ 129,348.80\\ 81,865.09\\ 32,407,66\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,076.44\\ 859.07\\ 374.47\\ 27,290.38\\ 755.18\\ 6,414.12^*\\ 623.45\\ 6,315.32\\ 10,839.60\\ 4,641.03\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.531.76^{*}\\ 314.49\\ 2.616.19\\ 86.16\\ 80.22\\ 5.656.70\\ 6.421.98\\ 6.090.00\\ 439.40\\ 1.348.11\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,473.09\\ 16,199.27\\ 14,936.62\\ 14,403.00\\ 21,265.72\\ 17,073.00\\ 21,000.00\\ 15,873.46\\ 13,596.37\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,941.33\\ 16,513.76\\ 17,552.81\\ 14,504.16\\ 14,480.22\\ 26,922.42\\ 23,494.98\\ 27,090.00\\ 16,312.86\\ 14,944.48 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,775.08\\ 18,313.34\\ 15,775.08\\ 14,445.89\\ 14,012.70\\ 530.22\\ 19,971.61\\ 24,925.85\\ 13,658.14\\ 13,788.41\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 166.25\\ 1.799.58^{*}\\ 1.777.55\\ 58.27\\ 467.52\\ 26.392.37\\ 2.164.15\\ 2.654.72\\ 1.156.07\\ 505.94 \end{array}$
Van Boren. Wapello. Warren. Washington. Wayne Webster. Winnebago. Winnebiek. Woodbury. Worth. Wright.	$\begin{array}{r} 934.94\\ 15,266.12\\ 22,637.14\\ 508.02\\ 1,425.66\\ 245.49\\ 2,627.96\\ 624.51\\ 137.38\\ 4,433.17\\ 4,380.64\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 28, 195, 90\\ 26, 501, 03\\ 38, 873, 12\\ 119, 094, 76\\ 35, 886, 77\\ 50, 476, 88\\ 25, 876, 75\\ 42, 743, 38\\ 88, 551, 41\\ 20, 563, 18\\ 48, 377, 45\\ \end{array}$	29,130,900 41,767,15 61,510,26 119,602,78 37,312,43 50,722,37 23,148,79 42,118,87 88,688,79 16,130,01 44,016,81	$\begin{array}{c} 26,690,22\\ 41,874,86\\ 55,856,55\\ 92,949,42\\ 40,076,70\\ 54,138,32\\ 29,921,66\\ 44,442,79\\ 94,962,32\\ 30,338,49\\ 46,898,05\\ \end{array}$	2,440.68 107.71* 5,653.71 20,653.36 2,764.27* 3,470.95* 6,772.87* 6,273.53* 14,208.48* 2,881.24*	48.06 338.46 1.663.73 1.293.27 8.906.25* 57.68* 228.24* 6.318.58 24.050.00	Presson and States			13).65 4.288.14* 850.77* 12.908.92* 1.908.50* 760.22 16.778.19 37,050.00
Totals	\$ 5,032.44	\$6,425,692.07	\$6,430,724.51	\$6,385,911.49	\$ 44,813.02	\$296,125.28	\$1,523,102.89	\$1,819,228.17	\$1,293,466.36	\$ 525, 161.81

"Overdraft.

316

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 26.

## Financial Statement.

County Road Cash Fund

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

		County	Rond Cash	runa		10	wiismp Road,	Ding and	brainage Fun	18	
County	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	Receipts, 1918	Total	Disbursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919	Receipts, 1918	Total	Disbursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919	IOWA STATE HIGHWAY
Adair. Adams. Allamakee. Appanoose. Audubon. Benton Biaek Hawk. Boone Bremer. Buchanan. Buchanan. Buchanan. Butler. Calhoun. Carroll Cass. Cedar. Cerro Gordo. Cherokee.	$\begin{array}{c} \$ \ 4,134.93 \ \$ \\ 10,375.96 \\ 3,475.94 \\ 3,901.03^{*} \\ 2,302.96 \\ 445.70 \\ 5,380.50 \\ 410.44 \\ 8,993.95 \\ 21,724.12 \\ 5,554.62 \\ 255.28^{*} \\ 3,651.61 \\ 18,047.73^{*} \\ 3,065.34 \\ 7,017.44 \\ 2,707.14 \\ 1,411.10^{*} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,124.76 \\ 24,614.16 \\ 17,444.48 \\ 39,089.87 \\ 27,089.10 \\ 36,769.17 \\ 37,381.01 \\ 27,647.59 \\ 15,945.16 \\ 30,007.03 \\ 97,612.59 \\ 45,615.52 \\ 84,150.80 \\ 27,544.41 \\ 27,376.06 \\ 25,602.20 \\ 59,162.20 \\ 49,683.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23,259.69\\ 34,900.12\\ 20,920.42\\ 35,188.31\\ 29,342.06\\ 37,214.87\\ 42,701.51\\ 28,058.03\\ 24,939.11\\ 52,331.15\\ 103,167.21\\ 45,300.24\\ 45,300.24\\ 45,200.24\\ 19,466.68\\ 30,441.40\\ 32,619.64\\ 48,271.94\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,340.48\\ 23,970.05\\ 43,218.86\\ 13,254.49\\ 34,809.23\\ 32,407.33\\ 25,677.53\\ 23,905.44\\ 38,728.96\\ 109,101.14\\ 32,628.09\\ 49,658.91\\ 53,002.59\\ 30,112.24\\ 26,5 8.90\\ 59,896,68\\ 46,533.46\\ \end{array}$	\$6,767.81 15,649.64 3,049.63* 8,030.52* 16,087.57 2,405.64 10,354.18 2,380.50 1,033.67 13,602.19 5,933.93* 12,732.15 38,143.50 43,596.91- 329.16 6,110.74 1,972.66 1,738.48	$\begin{array}{c} 3,486.37 \\ 6,411.31 \\ 795.55 \\ 4,962.57 \\ 7,477.27 \\ 6,168.40 \\ 6,776.53 \\ 11,687.32 \\ 199.90 \\ 2,488.96 \\ 4,493.16 \\ 7,473.23 \\ 23,282.26 \\ 6,177.14 \\ 15,742.27 \\ 5,497.50 \\ 4,667.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 43,600.81 \\ 22,934.72 \\ 35,048.32 \\ 34,953.35 \\ 28,207.55 \\ 69,292.49 \\ 47,529.87 \\ 56,006.62 \\ 23,800.09 \\ 36,662.14 \\ 59,045.47 \\ 46,999.63 \\ 75,030.21 \\ 48,556.70 \\ 52,472.88 \\ 50,898.33 \\ 62,748.92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 40,536.95 & \$\\ 22,451.42 \\ 34,188.35 \\ 29,116.78 \\ 21,165.90 \\ 60,344.77 \\ 35.946.56 \\ 48,579.43 \\ 23,618.56 \\ 48,579.43 \\ 23,618.56 \\ 45,781.68 \\ 38,630.50 \\ 45,830.22 \\ 35,343.69 \\ 37,316.27 \\ 42,323.71 \\ 45,516.99 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,063.86\\ 7,483.30\\ 859.97\\ 5,836.57\\ 7,131.65\\ 8,947.72\\ 11,553.31\\ 7,517.19\\ 241.53\\ 3,757.78\\ 13,263.79\\ 8,369.13\\ 31,190.99\\ 13,213.01\\ 15,156.61\\ 8,574.62\\ 17,231.93\end{array}$	HIGHWAY COMMISSION
Chickassw	440.99* 543.00* 69.64* 4.348.00 4.150.50 13.028.78 1.418.36 101.12* 145.58	$\begin{array}{c} 19,280.69\\ 14,147.65\\ 80,840.94\\ 27,632.15\\ 33,622.79\\ 106,635.46\\ 36,101.56\\ 19,046.58\\ 20,600.93\\ 32,425.62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,848.10\\ 13,604.65\\ 80,771.30\\ 31,980.15\\ 37,803.29\\ 179,664.24\\ 37,519.92\\ 20,179.74\\ 29,499.81\\ 32,571.20\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,025.36\\ 14,024.90\\ 76,935.85\\ 31,104.78\\ 28,055.27\\ 178,409.82\\ 28,733.74\\ 9,592.69\\ 26,673.95\\ 33,235.29 \end{array}$	3,812.74 $420.25^{*}$ 3,835.45 875.37 9,748.02 1,173.42 8,786.18 10,587.05 2,825.86 $664.09^{*}$	$\begin{array}{c} 922.22\\ 2,663.80\\ 10,119.47\\ 14,801.31\\ 8,603.28\\ 7,983.87\\ 5,218.94\\ 2,828.80\\ 2,504.23\\ 2,194.67\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34,286,45\\ 8,384,81\\ 27,902,82\\ 42,206,81\\ 47,017,78\\ 56,264,57\\ 56,269,95\\ 28,324,48\\ 24,164,88\\ 38,899,14\\ \end{array}$	35,208.67 10,448.61 38,112.29 57,198.12 55,621.06 64,247.94 61,488.89 31,153.28 26,669,11 41,093.81	31,069.89 8,997.59 24,035.60 39,048.59 48,140.59 59,798.11 50,771.21 25,232.02 23,303.58 37,502.53	$\begin{array}{c} 4,138.78\\ 1,451.02\\ 14,076.69\\ 18,149.53\\ 7,480.47\\ 4,449.83\\ 10,717.68\\ 5,921.20\\ 3,265.53\\ 3,591.28 \end{array}$	
Des Moines	4,057.27 4,829.33 6,611.95* 14,661.66* 5,651.44 17,256.18	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 393, 75\\ 63, 950, 33\\ 117, 905, 54\\ 47, 997, 36\\ 33, 719, 06\\ 41, 605, 45\\ 41, 914, 20\\ 26, 307, 62\\ 41, 141, 40\\ 25, 722, 10\\ 23, 448, 14\\ 98, 795, 30\\ 26, 636, 63\\ 39, 188, 29\\ 27, 945, 43\\ 22, 726, 30\\ 15, 673, 17, 20\\ 22, 763, 30\\ 15, 673, 17, 20\\ 22, 763, 30\\ 15, 673, 17, 20\\ 23, 808, 75\\ 26, 585, 46\\ 44, 852, 44\\ 28, 757, 72\\ 49, 215, 30\\ 17, 901, 85\\ 14, 100, 36\\ 36, 896, 24\\ 22, 488, 07\\ 31, 885, 06\\ 49, 751, 54\\ 56, 623, 78\\ 14, 856, 41\\ 22, 488, 07\\ 31, 885, 06\\ 49, 751, 54\\ 56, 623, 98\\ 35, 871, 72\\ 18, 561, 95\\ 25, 977, 38\\ 20, 302, 26\\ 19, 933, 61\\ 22, 018, 96\\ 29, 854, 92\\ 36, 535, 37\\ 33, 019, 91\\ 58, 219, 916\\ 33, 172, 19\\ 56, 581, 79\\ 351, 374, 68\\ 43, 303, 61\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25, 649, 01\\ 83, 804, 23\\ 118, 026, 68\\ 45, 510, 74\\ 45, 718, 04\\ 41, 57, 18, 04\\ 41, 57, 18, 04\\ 41, 57, 18, 04\\ 41, 200, 50\\ 24, 206, 58\\ 24, 785, 70\\ 98, 643, 52\\ 23, 280, 04\\ 39, 298, 57\\ 21, 896, 42\\ 27, 405, 33\\ 13, 514, 63\\ 29, 000, 67\\ 22, 315, 46\\ 43, 405, 75\\ 21, 986, 58\\ 94, 303, 21\\ 29, 800, 67\\ 22, 815, 46\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 37, 826, 19\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 37, 826, 19\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 37, 826, 19\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 37, 826, 19\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 35, 596, 58\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 37, 826, 19\\ 32, 524, 85\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 35, 596, 58\\ 24, 493, 79\\ 35, 596, 590, 34\\ 35, 163, 85\\ 16, 262, 91\\ 31, 835, 117\\ 59, 767, 48\\ 34, 158, 45\\ 16, 262, 91\\ 31, 835, 117\\ 59, 767, 48\\ 35, 102, 68\\ 34, 158, 45\\ 16, 262, 91\\ 31, 835, 117\\ 59, 767, 48\\ 35, 102, 68\\ 34, 158, 45\\ 16, 262, 91\\ 31, 835, 117\\ 59, 767, 48\\ 35, 102, 92\\ 35, 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 28,176.58\\ 80,400.13\\ 158,317.98\\ 451,379.45\\ 26,169,21\\ 47,326.46\\ 51,379.45\\ 26,169,21\\ 47,172.41\\ 24,218.82\\ 38,851.81\\ 25,018.83\\ 21,902.96\\ 101,115.48\\ 23,087.46\\ 38,774.09\\ 21,998.80\\ 26,295.02\\ 12,809.50\\ 33,153.25\\ 9,971.88\\ 43,436.83\\ 21,42.13\\ 92,513.02\\ 29,119.02\\ 36,562.56\\ 23,763.49\\ 17,045.25\\ 29,139.26\\ 23,763.49\\ 17,045.25\\ 29,139.26\\ 23,763.49\\ 35,255.11.86\\ 46,667.50\\ 6,148.97\\ 11,028.06\\ 33,205.11\\ 16,533\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,265.51.57\\ 18,722.97\\ 34,633.83\\ 35,106.88\\ 35,106.88\\ 34,210.51\\ 24,656.31\\ 21,212.48\\ 29,144.88\\ 34,210.51\\ 24,656.31\\ 22,555.31\\ 21,212.48\\ 29,144.88\\ 34,210.51\\ 24,656.31\\ 21,212.48\\ 29,144.88\\ 34,210.51\\ 24,656.31\\ 21,212.48\\ 35,106.88\\ 3$	9,697.87	$\begin{array}{c} 4,811.00\\ 3,940.81\\ 6,780.68\\ 5,981.24\\ 4,600.45\\ 1,527.22\\ 10,201.72\\ 3,647.66\\ 17,558.25\\ 7,437.18\\ 1,4,641.51\\ 25,294.21\\ 6,303.76\\ 10,685.42\\ 8,784.30\\ 2,923.87\\ 4,254.14\\ 11,052.82\\ 11,404.88\\ 21,227.43\\ 7,512.52\\ 6,576.79\\ 851.61\\ 5,080.48\\ 2,824.36\\ 5,757.42\\ 10,983.57\\ 4,254.14\\ 4,204.44\\ 3,212.68\\ 5,757.42\\ 10,983.57\\ 1,340.16\\ 4,398.97\\ 6,830.77\\ 4,558.22\\ 6,5582.26\\ 14,335.00\\ 5,153.15\\ 1,340.16\\ 4,398.97\\ 6,830.77\\ 4,503.45\\ 5,582.26\\ 14,335.00\\ 5,153.37\\ 3,529.09\\ 9,9244.41\\ 1,22.68\\ 317.20\\ 9,551.23\\ 2,907.11\\ 19,570.66\\ 8,461.94\\ 10,889.59\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28,770\cdot23\\ 27,829\cdot03\\ 44,228,26\\ 38,620,42\\ 55,400,74\\ 37,342,37\\ 61,015\cdot36\\ 29,152.00\\ 60,886.50\\ 36,889.70\\ 32,458.89\\ 43,620,72\\ 27,195,75\\ 44,868,25\\ 54,793.60\\ 23,743.40\\ 42,682.36\\ 32,452.02\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,805.82\\ 32,452.02\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 00,640,57\\ 37,388.16\\ 34,201,72\\ 23,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,806.82\\ 39,745.58\\ 25,821.89\\ 25,821.89\\ 25,821.89\\ 25,821.89\\ 25,824.82\\ 25,821.89\\ 25,824.82\\ 35,866.82\\ 26,829.47\\ 35,206.72\\ 28,706.19\\ 28,706.19\\ 28,706.19\\ 29,745.28\\ 20,828\\ 44,282.83\\ 19,626.53\\ 40,988.24\\ 45,889.86\\ 66,552.68\\ 06,552.68\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33,581,23\\ 31,770,44\\ 44,601,66\\ 55,601,19\\ 38,869,59\\ 711,217,08\\ 32,769,66\\ 32,769,66\\ 33,700,66\\ 33,700,66\\ 33,700,40\\ 33,499,51\\ 55,553,67\\ 63,577,90\\ 25,928,49\\ 27,907,54\\ 53,735,18\\ 43,866,90\\ 52,273,01\\ 36,318,34\\ 46,323,77,36\\ 33,239,77\\ 39,201,20\\ 43,731,03\\ 44,492,60\\ 56,608,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 631,433,44\\ 45,933,33\\ 56,069,26\\ 53,57,30\\ 33,297,73\\ 44,492,80\\ 55,255,42\\ 33,255,90\\ 30,913,33\\ 31,974,66\\ 55,567,33\\ 31,974,56\\ 55,567,33\\ 31,974,56\\ 55,567,56\\ 55,567,56\\ 55,567,56\\ 55,567$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 29,576,64\\ 29,682,61\\ 29,682,64\\ 29,682,64\\ 39,116,19\\ 22,773,89\\ 35,828,45\\ 44,233,34\\ 39,089,58\\ 35,684,45\\ 32,950,57\\ 37,673,98\\ 23,730,23\\ 23,730,23\\ 23,730,23\\ 23,730,23\\ 23,730,23\\ 23,730,23\\ 41,730,50\\ 45,646,08\\ 22,877,48\\ 21,100,44\\ 37,867,36\\ 25,470,52\\ 37,653,57\\ 27,124,99\\ 22,2877,48\\ 21,900,44\\ 37,867,36\\ 25,470,52\\ 37,653,57\\ 27,124,99\\ 22,2877,48\\ 37,867,36\\ 25,470,52\\ 37,653,57\\ 27,124,99\\ 22,2877,48\\ 37,867,36\\ 25,470,52\\ 37,653,57\\ 27,124,99\\ 22,277,540\\ 22,775,40\\ 22,775,40\\ 24,773,89\\ 37,507,42\\ 22,775,40\\ 24,773,89\\ 37,507,42\\ 32,290,84\\ 45,996,92\\ 34,219,68\\ 37,507,42\\ 32,290,84\\ 40,994,29\\ 27,648,35\\ 44,805,27\\ 17,247,62\\ 38,27,70\\ 47,775,81\\ 45,829,70\\ 47,775,81\\ 45,829,70\\ 47,775,81\\ 47,85,92\\ 47,85,92$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.024.59\\ 8.087.82\\ 9.080.67\\ 11.980.67\\ 12.963.74\\ 2.710.08\\ 21.087.37\\ 8.642.52\\ 4.149.83\\ 31.241.00\\ 9.767.28\\ 13.823.17\\ 17.931.82\\ 3.061.01\\ 6.907.10\\ 15.867.82\\ 5.191.82\\ 339.44\\ 4.500.83\\ 2.541.71\\ 8.602.00\\ 9.809.51\\ 13.287.38\\ 4.170.00\\ 3.868.72\\ 2.400.02\\ 3.868.72\\ 2.450.02\\ 13.855.52\\ 9.810.89\\ 4.926.30\\ 2.890.24\\ 9.884.52\\ 9.810.89\\ 12.424.51\\ 12.424.51\\ 12.424.51\\ 12.424.51\\ 10.084.16\\ 7.715.129.64\\ 10.084.16\\ 7.715.33\\ 14.432.10\\ \end{array}$	ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS 319

318

Township Road, Drag and Drainage Funds

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 26 .- Continued.

		1	nty Rond Ca	sh Fund		-	Township Ro	ad, Drag an	d Drainage 1	Funds
County	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	Receipts, 1918	Total	Distursements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1918	Belance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 1919	Receipts, 1918	Total	Distarsements, 1918	Balance or overdraft, Jan. 1, 3919
weshiek nggold c c e dt elby ory ory ya ylor ion ma ylor ion n Buren upello urren upello tren shington shington yne bster odbury rth ight Totals	$\begin{array}{c} 1,937,69\\ 2,396,40\\ 3,520,84\\ 7,016,13\\ 26,301,08\\ 825,49\\ 825,49\\ 9,4\\ 825,49\\ 2,202,24\\ 1,423,44\\ 1,423,44\\ 1,423,44\\ 3,77\\ 3,629,97\\ 2,189,71\\ 42,85\\ 7,620,12^2\\ 10,505,77\\ 5,445,45\\ 1,055,77\\ 5,445,45\\ 1,055,77\\ 8,479,34\\ 8,479,34\\ 7,410,54\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>17.365.44</li> <li>47.356.81</li> <li>20,427.76</li> <li>26,599.74</li> <li>27,000.18</li> <li>43,359.20</li> <li>35,149.50</li> <li>51,335.46</li> <li>16,245.37</li> <li>14,912.66</li> <li>16,242.19</li> <li>27,059.55</li> <li>27,059.85</li> <li>27,059.85</li> <li>27,059.81</li> <li>29,081.39</li> <li>40,210.51</li> <li>59,77</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 15,427,89\\ 49,753,21\\ 16,906,92\\ 33,615,87\\ 53,301,26\\ 45,229,20\\ 35,975,08\\ 53,538,70\\ 14,821,93\\ 14,916,43\\ 19,852,16\\ 29,249,26\\ 27,885,38\\ 14,461,27\\ 50,806,28\\ 74,601,22\\ 29,681,93\\ 57,175,15\\ 5,760,78\\ 46,258,49\\ \end{array}$	16,505,77 50,671,84 177,462,55 33,273,68 49,147,73 36,034,60 42,682,52 53,007,48 18,552,42 22,266,27,29 44,638,00 17,353,40 31,442,04 77,583,47 31,346,85,47 31,346,451,201,47 22,257,55 47,192,11	1,077,88 918,63 555,67 342,19 4,153,53 9,194,51 6,707,44 531,27 1,364,01 2,914,11 2,914,11 2,914,11 2,921,11 2,921,11 2,921,11 2,922,13 1,964,24 2,982,13 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 1,964,24 2,982,25 2,973,68 1,964,24 2,973,68 1,964,24 2,973,68 2,973,68 2,973,68 2,973,68 2,974,10 2,975,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,10	10,549,49	24,436.8,55,597.71 37,095.33 4,2038.39 47,2038.39 47,874.40 55,114.87 40,599.75 33,114.34 22,858.89	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 47,449.02 3 18,679.12 5 0,273.21 3 0,505.85 3 4,751.99 4 5,171.13 4 2,444.10 4 0,850.68 2 8,461.13 2 3,183.86 2 6,589.04 3 2,852.63	$\begin{array}{c} 4,012,40\\ 8,308,71\\ 15,873,92\\ 8,368,96\\ 15,700,11\\ 24,828,90\\ 19,545,96\\ 4,585,59\end{array}$

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 27.

## Bonded Indebtedness of Counties.

브

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

		Road	Funds			Bridge	Funds		
County	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1918	Bonds issued in 1918	Bonds 1 aid in 1918	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1919	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1918	Bonds issued in 1918	Bonds paid in 1918	Bonds aut- standing Jan. 1, 1919	Total road and bridge bonds outstanding Jan. 1, 1919
dair									
dams									
llamakee					\$ 126,000.00		\$ 5,000.00	\$ 121,000.00	
ppanoose	. \$ 4,800.00	\$ 21,720.00		\$ 26,520.00	35,000.00	\$ 9.339.52		44,339.52	70,859.55
udubon					89,000.00			129,000.00	129,000.00
udubon	6,400.00	3,000.00		9,400.00	29,600.00			87,600.00	97,000.00
lack Hawk					13,000.00			37,000.00	37,000.00
oone					37,450,00			29,450.00	29,450.00
remer									
uchanan				27,377.70	34,093,61			34,093.61	61,471.31
uena Vista		63,000,00		193,500.00	273,000,00	49,000.00	6,000.00	316,000.00	509,500.00
utler		7,000.00		17,000.00	20,000.00	33,000,00		53,000.00	70,000.00
alboun			\$ 10,000,00	72,000,00	40,125.00	53,000.00	5,000,00	88,125.00	160,125.00
arroll			10,000100		95,000,00	40,000,00		135,000,00	135,000.00
lass				11. A.	71,000,00	10,000,000	3,000.00	68,000.00	68,000.00
edar					5,000.00		5,000.00		
erro Gordo					27,000.00	18,000.00		45,000.00	102,037.27
herokee		19,587.40		19,587.40	100,228.05	136,028,41	2,362.50	233,893.96	253,481.36
hickasaw					75,500.00			75,500.00	75,500.00
larke				10,000.00	32,000.00			32,000.00	42,000.00
nay	. 67,529.57	50,000,00		117,529.57	226,470.43	40,000.00		266,470,43	384,000.00
layton				17,000.00	62,000.00			62,000.00	79,000.00
linton					107,000.00		5,000.00	102,000.00	102,000.00
trawford	. 59,000.00	120,000.00		179,000.00	130,000.00	140,000.00	8,000.00	262,000.00	441,000.00
Dallas					96,000.00			96,000.00	96,000.00
avis					56,500.00		2,000.00	54,500.00	54,500.00
Doon true	10 074 90	10 045 00		00 010 20	51,585.99	************	5,000.00	46,585.99	69,505.31
Delaware					and the second sec		and the second s	and a state of the	

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

320

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 27 .- Continued.

.

		1	Funds			Dridg	e Funds		
County	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1918	Bonds issued in 1918	Bonds paid in 1918	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1919	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1918	Bonds issued in 1918	Bonds paid in 1918	Bonds out- standing Jan. 1, 1919	Total road and bridge bonds outstanding Jan. 1, 1919
Dickinson	1		le lan	1		1			
Subuque	77,500.00	77,300.00			188,000.00	88,000.00		276,000.00	353,300.0 110,500.0
Payette	17,486.49			17.486.49	147,000.00 182,234.14		7,000.00	140,000.00 182,234.14	140,000.0
remont		6,500.00		6,500.00	26,500.00 241,000.00	16,500.00		25,200.00	25,200.0
rundy					30,000.00			30,000,00	30,000.0
uthrie Iamilton Iancock	_ 37,000.00	47,200.82		84,200.82	28,000.00 46,000.00	124,000.00 30,119,18	2,000.00	150,000.00 76,119,18	150,000.0
lardin	18,000,00	11 294.10		29,294.10				22,704.22	51,998.3
larrison lenry loward			1990721-2011-2011-2011-2011-2011-2011-2011-	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A	168,051.00			168,051.00	168,051.0
lumboldt			and the second second		56,200.00			56,200.00	73,500.0
la owa ackson	1,463.85	17.721.15		19,185.00	55,800.00	52,278.85	10,000.00	20,000.00 98,078.85	20,000.0 117,263.8
asper efferson		56,000.00		56,000.00	118,000.00 65,000.00	90,000.00 52,000.00	10,000.00	198,000.00 117,000.00	198,000.00 173,000.00
ohnson	8,000.00			8,000.00	42,632.00 107,000.00	24,200.00	8,880.00	66,832.00 98,120.00	119,500.00 106,120.00
eokuk ossuth	16,514.28			16.514.28	35,394.26			35,394.26	51,908.54
ee					201,500.00 15,000.00		7,000.00 5,000.00	194,500.00 10,000.00	194,500.00 10,000.00
Aucas					33,500.00 66,600.00	30,000,00	5,500,00	\$3,500.00 91,100.00	33,500.00 91,100.00
yon Iadison Iabaska				30,523,81	37,068.38 90,872.77	24,500.00	2,000.00	35,068.38	35,068.38 138,896.58

Marton Marshall Mills				25,442.39 22,000.00 5,000.00	315,448.88 29,000.00 76,000.00	185,000.00	10,000.00	305,448.88 232,000.00 116,000.00	330,891.27 254,000.00 121,000.00
Mitchell				6,556.00	22,444.00			22,444.00	29,000.00
Monroe Montgomery	27,500.00 40,545.71			27,500.00 40,545.71	10,000.00 30,409.29			30,409.29	37,500.00 70,955.00
luscatine "Brien						13,000.00		13,000.00	13,000.00
sceola		20,000.00		20,000.00 25,000.00	47,000.00 88,260.00	50,000.00	1,000.00	96,000.00 82,260.00	116,000.00
alo Alto		32,000.00		32,000.00	67,000.00 2,839.14	40,000.00		107,000.00	139,000.0
ocahontas					111,000.00		3,000.00	108,000.00	108,000.0
olk ottawattamie		298,000.00		298,000.00	333,000.00 225,000.00	138,000.00	6,000.00	465,000.00 225,000.00	
oweshiek				11,500.00	50,000.00	63,000.00			124,500.0
ac	28,800.00	10,000.00		38,800.00	35,200.00	35,000.00			
helby									
tory			a second s	and the second se					
ama					100,500.00	68,000.00		#A AAM 44	
aylor					1 99,000.00	. 50,097.11 20,000.00	11,000.00	50,097.11 108,000.00	80,000.0 108,000.0
an Buren	10 000 00				163,500.00		7.000.00	156,500.00	205,500.0
Varren					82,500.00	27 000 00	20,000.00	62,500.00	62,500.00 65,000.00
Vayne	. 930.20			930.20	18,388.40		************	18,388.40	19,318.60
Vebster Vinnebago					**********				50,000.00
Vinneshiek					237,000.00 27,000.00		18,000.00	237,000.00 47,000.00	237,000.00 47,000.00
Vorth							8,000.00	111,500.00	111,500.00
Totals	\$ 964,260.32	\$1,103,760.63	\$ 14,000.00	\$2,054,020.95	\$6,206,475.34	\$1,979,767.29	\$ 231,962.50	\$7,954,280.13	\$10,008,301.08

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 28.

## Total Indebtedness of Counties for Road and Bridge Work, January 1, 1919.

ANNUAL REPORTS BY COUNTY ENGINEERS.

			Roa T Fun	ds				dge Funds	3		
County	Outstanding	Warrants issued and stamped by treasurer	Warrants issued and not pre- sented for payment	Bonds outstanding	Total	Outstanding . bills	Warrants issued and stamped by treasurer	Warrants issued and not pre- sented for payment	Bonds outstanding	Total	Total indebted- ness of county
Adair	\$ 175.32	971.00	\$ 237.88		1,384.20 \$	1,638.98	4,956.90	\$ 205.56		6,801.44 \$	8,185.64
Adams					472.50	419.21	20,388.76			20,810.02	81,282.52
llamakee		14.483.23			14,483.23		15,807.50		\$ 121,000.00	136,807.50	151,290.73
ppanoose			272.48 \$	26,520.00	26,792.48				\$ 121,000.00 44,339.52	44,339.52	71,132.00
udubon	200.00 .		200.00		400.00	500.00	5,766.14	300.00	129,000.00	135,566.14	135,966.14
lenton	2,000,00	12, 189, 20	128 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9,400,00	23,589.20	2,000.00			87,600.00	89,600.00	113,189.20
lack Hawk	1,508.23		1,944.47		3,452.70	1,932.20		4,149.46	37,000.00	43,081.66	46,534.36
soone	848.50	1,718.16			2,566.66	6,099.47	53,181.06		29,450.00	88,730.53	91,297.19
Bremer							856.28			856.28	856.28
Suchanan	G # 000 F			27,377.70	29,203.88	850.55			34,093.61	35,245.07	64,448.95
Suena Vista	300.00	3,273.89 28,941.51		193,500.00	197,073.89	300.00			316,000.00	316,300.00	513,373.89
sutler	2.020.55	1.112		17,000.00	19,020.55	1,491.66	27.826.52		53,000.00	82,318.18	99,338.73
alhoun		28,941.51	2,589.94	72,000.00	103,531.45		16,548,09		88,125.00	104,673.09	208,204.54
larroll	2,450.00		50.00		2,500.00	500.00	7,270.89		135,000.00	143,253.47	145,753.47
ass	988.00				988.00	442.87			68,000.00	94,682.31	95,670.31
edar	381.64	Gast States	163.75 .	100	545.39	1,707.11	1002	64.45		1,771.56	2,316.95
erro Gordo	263.90	5,890,98		57,037.27	63,192.15	836.69			45,000.00	47,014.70	110,206.85
berokee			602.20	19,587,40	20,189.60	4,441.74		1.209.17	233,893.96	163,544.87	283,734.47
hickasaw	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	275.00			275.00	210.00	26,299.51	100.00	75,500,00	102,109.51	102,384.51
larke		2,807.95		10,000.00	13,316.00	21.40	7,193.66		32,000.00	39,215.06	52,531.06
lay		33,570.40	2,136.28	117,529.57	153,236.25		15,111.67	1,485.97	266,470.43	283,068.07	436,304.32
layton	100.00 .		780.00	17,000.00	17,880.00	100.00	9,302.60	700.00	62,000.00	72,102.60	89,982.60
linton	940.00		250.75 .		1,190.75	4,200.00		112.07	102,000.00	106,312.07	107,502.82
trawford	500.00	11,682.32 157.18	198.17	179,000.00	191,380.49	1,000.00	94,138.39	10,585.80	262,000.00	367,724.19	559,104.68
Dallas	2,000.00		309.38		2,466.56	3,500.00	30,853.99	20.80	96,000.00	130,374.79	132,841.35
Davis			300.69.		829.30	363.30	5,849.75	462.05	54,500.00	61,175.10	62,004.40
Decatur	79.40	8,409.06	865.48	22,919.32	32,273.26	7,568.61	2,221.10	776,98	46,585.99	57,152.68	91,425.94
Delaware			4,044.80 -	12,500,00	4,644.95	94.68		38,35	15,500,00	94.68 15.538.35	4,739.63 28,083.80
Des Moines			45,45	12,000.00	12,045.451_			08.30	10.000.00	10,000.00	20,000.00

					19,228.80	500,00	11,176,46	والمحمديد		11,676.46	30,905.26	
Dickinson		19,228.80 -	300.00	77,300.00	77,650.00			35.00	276,000.00	276,235.00	353,885.00	
Dubuque			54.55	110,500.00	130,971.39	400.00		211.78 _		611.78	131,583.17	
Emmet		14,416.84	545.25	110,000.00	1,345.25	1,000.00	29,538.67	330.18	140,000.00	170,868.85	172,214.10	
Fayette		********	599.80	17,486.49	18,125.13	162.57	252.80	482.00	182,234.14	183,131.51	201,256.64	
Floyd			282.10	11,100110	1,764.67	1,724.35	7,760.17	53.95	25,200.00	34,738.50	36,503.17	
Franklin		10.000.00	187.00	6,500.00	17,967.00	400.00	63,153.00	287.00	246,500.00	310,340.00	328,307.00	
Fremont	300.00	10,980.00		0,000.00	1,143.11	2,158.33	11,781.17			13,939.50	15,082.61	
Greene	1,143.11 .				10,203.13	6,365.65	38,937.33	708.58	30,000.00	76,011.56	86,214.69	
Grundy	350.00	8,413.26			16,472.25	1,500.00	5,832.17		150,000.00	157,332.17	173,804.42	A
Guthrie	1,500.00	14,972.25 -		84,200.82	122,017.39	7. 10 March 100 March	26,196.91	649.45	76,119.18	102,965.54	224,982.93	Z
Hamilton		36,784.33	1,032.24		1,499.09	500.00	10,809.07	2,739.95		14,049.02	15,548.11	ANNUAL
Hancock	400.00	1,099.09			56,121.42	2,500.00	42,443.85	3,525.15	22,704.22	71,173.22	127,294.64	2
Hardin	3,500.00	22,982.87	344.45	29,294.10		1,500.00			168,051.00	218,349.60	224,898.13	h
Harrison	500.00	6,048.53			6,548.53		40,100.00	87.85	1001000000	2,287.85	3,869.60	2
Henry	1,431.00 -				1,581.75	2,200.00 667.47		16.53	56,200.00	56,884.00	74,733.94	5
Howard			4.55	17,300.00	17,849.94		47 007 01		00,000.00	48,337.31	53,337.31	-
Humboldt	5,000.00				5,000.00	1,000.00			20,000.00	32,401.19	34,646,39	2
Ida	2,245,20 .				2,245.20	3,103.08			98,078.85	168,926.39	191,134.39	10
Iowa	1,400.84	1.622.16		19,185.00	22,208.00	11,000.00	55,343.99			212,155.13	214,989.29	9
	1,100.01	2,834,16			2,834.16				198,000.00	168,661.18	263,757.69	9
Jackson	1,500.00	35,196.25	2.400.26	56,000.00	95,096.51	2,500.00			117,000.00	71,379.76	125,535.76	REPORTS
Jasper	1.488.00	00,100.20		52,668.00	54,156.00	1,023.77	3,523.99		66,832.00		107,389.40	E
Jefferson	200.00		561.60	8,000.00	8,861.60	350.00		1,057.80	98,120.00	99,527.80	48,337.95	20
Johnson	609.32	6 109 97	001.00		6,801.59	779.50	40,756.86		************	41,536.36	54,855.11	-
Jones		0,102.21			19,000.71	460.14			35,394.26	35,854.40		OF
Keokuk			1 040 60		4.040.60			110.40	194,500.00	195,110.40	199,151.00	-T
Kossuth	3,000.00				986.49			726.54		10,726.54	11,713.03	0
Lee		8,872.95			15,047.95	1,200.00	32,234.59	2,500.00		35,934.59	50,982.54	COUNTY
Linn	3,675.00				563.08	4,000.00	16,139.93	49.86		53,689.79	54,252.87	ē
Louisa	300.00	0 704 44	200.00		9.864.44	600.00			91,100.00	110,301.12	120,165.56	7
Lucas	100.00	9,764.44	70. 205		1,471.35	2,450.00		810.39		59,551.39	61,022.74	4
Lyon	675.00	10 015 01	190.00		20,780.31	31.91			35,068.38	35,100.29	55,880.60	
Madison	2,565.00	18,215.31	409.36		31,851.80	23,000,00		19.41	108,372.77	131,392.18	163,243.98	R
Mahaska	918.63	000 000	200.00	25,442.39	41,025.07	3,000.00		260.00		332,398.43	373,423.50	int.
Marion	15,000.00	382.68	200.00	22,000.00	41,971.86	249.42			232,000.00	296,313.30	338,285.16	17
Marshall	26.90	19,869.96		5,000.00	19,819.62	1,600.00			116,000.00	137,928.75	157,748.37	0
Mills			4.31		14,443.14	1,000.00	44 000 00		22,444.00	39,888.83	54,331.97	ENGINEERS
Mitchell		7,365.36	521.78	10000000000	17.838.64		10 100 01			45,402.34	63,240.98	Z
Monona		17,838.64		27 500 00	51,010.39		4,019.04		10,000.00	16,059.97	67,070.36	T
Monroe		23,510.39		27,500.00	65,942.15					131,312.30	197,254.45	1H
Montgomery	200.00		2,250.98	40,545.71	710.80	100.00		80.18		180.18	890.98	H
Muscatine	700.00				1,636.90	1,692.25		1,713.20	13,000.00	19,753.10	21,390.00	En.
O'Brien			205.55		21,000.00	500.00				97,000.00	118,000.00	
Osceola	500.00		500.00		40,745.34	841.25			88,260,00	111,934.86	152,680.20	
Page	505.87				72,035.16				107,000.00	111,814.81	183,849.97	
Palo Alto		39,671.66	275.00	32,000.00	12,035.10	1,200.00			2,839.14	39,587.97	39,587.97	
Plymouth					69,727.49	800.00			108,000.00	134,565.52	204,293.01	
Pocahontas	200.00				366.627.96				460,500.00	510,327.20	876,955.16	
Polk	1,000.00		14,316.17		95,718.05			. 800.00		408,666.12	504,384.17	325
Pottawattamie	800.00			## TOO OD					113,000.00	146,418.87	166,961.45	01
Poweshiek	400.00	8,642.58		11,500.00	20,542.58	2,007.00				Concession and a los		

IOWA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 28 .- Continued.

			Road Fu	inds							
County	Outstanding bills	Warrants issued and stamped by treasurer	Warrants Issued and not pre- sented for payment	Bonds outstanding	Total	Outstanding bills	Warrants issued and stamped by treasurer	Warrants issued and not pre- sented for payment	Bonds outstanding	Total	Total indebted- ness of county
tinggold eott belby	383.74	945.87 33,988.91 3,574.30	633.03 41.25	38,800.00	9 574 101	234.44	57,819.73 5,582.05	9.16	70,200.00	46,509.48 128,703.28 243.60 5,582.05	47,464.3 202,215.2 670.5 9,156.3
tory 'ama 'aylor	5,792.55 909.59	20,073.15	698.75	29,902,89	3,693.75 25,845,70 30,812,48	4,500,00 5,905.88 812.46	46,182.89		50.097.11	4,500.00 220,588.77 50,909.57	1,182.7 8,198.7 246,454.4 81,722.0
an Buren Vapello Varren	750.00	546.49 25,756.23 17,132.47	1,159.52	49,000.00	1,294.94 25,756.23 68,641.99 575.20		76,068.76 60,570.64	423.08	156,500,00	$\frac{124,956.45}{76,068.76}\\217,603.72$	81,722.0 126,257.3 101,824.9 285,645.7 63,343.2 84,478.7
Vashington Vayne	4,000.00	5,926.60 263.00 2,915.00	200.00	930.20	5,926.65 1,193.26 7,115.00		13,552.18 9,446.31		62,500.00 65,000.00 18,388.40	62,768.05 78,552.18 27,834.71 13,053.33	63,343.2 84,478.7 29,027.9 20,168.3
Vinnebago /inneshiek /orth /oodbury	2,528.84 200.00	4.00	117.50		51,500 00 2,650.34 200.00	2,500.00 1,168.90 2,500.00	31,229.14 20,639,11		237 000 00	2,500.00 269,398.04 70,139.11	20,100.3 54,000.0 272,048.3 85,970.7
Totals			108.73		15,831.60 108.73		742.96	151:47	111,500.00	500.00 112,394.43	700.00

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 29-PART I.

Inventory of Equipment and Machinery Showing Estimated Value January 1, 1919.-Owned by County.

ANNUAL REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County	г	ractors		Trucks		Ooncrete Mixers	1	Pile Drivers		Blade Graders	Wheeled Scrapers		Slip Scrapers	
	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value
dair dams	2	\$ 2,582.34	5	\$ 3,625.00	5	\$ 1,200.00	1	\$ 120.00 200.00	63	\$ 2,488.00 830.00	16 12	\$ 300.00 425.00	20 21	\$ 40.00 125.00
llamakee	3 1	3,750.00 3,000.00	1	400.00			1	125.00 60.00 1,000.00	7 11 6	1,390.00 1,175.00 1,850.00	8 12 5	100.00 500.00 250.00	9 6 6	120.00 40.00 65.00
udubon enton lack Hawk	22	2,000.00	3	8,020.60 2,300.00	2 2	800.00 80.00	î	100.00	7 6	1,200.00	11 10	300.00	6 19	25.00
oone remer uchanan		4,500.00 2,500.00 3,000.00	2		3 91 1	125.00 375.00 200.00	21	150.00 60.00 125.00	9 4 5	2,442.00 1,500.00 1,800.00	7 15 30	50.00 275.00 500.00	4	8.00
utler	34	4,700.00 3,500.00	2	2,000.00	3 3	300.00 350.00	2	175.00	10 8	1,565.00 1,450.00	12 22	200.00 1,350.00	12 25	85.00 200.00
alhoun arroll ass		500.00	the second second						9 1 4	3,300.00 98.00 1,500.00	29 1	525.00 14.10 4.00	14	20.00
edar erro Gordo					2	490.00		AF 49	7 10	1,774.00 2,200.00	12 12	150.00 200.00	10	20.00
herokee hickasaw larke	. 1	400.00		1,000.00	4	600.00		25.00 500.00 100.00	10 18 4	2,094.00 4,853.00 600.00	1 17 21	148.00 928.96 300.00	12 35 16	80.00 338.22 32.00
lay layton linton	. 1	2,000.00			3	415.00	1	225.00	8 23 16	2,350.00 2,100.00 2,005.00	17 18	395.00 280.00	10 30	40.00 200.00
allas						750.00		75.00	75	625.00 2,200.00	6	50.00	3	13.00
ecatur elaware	. 1	4,000.00	2	2,150.00	321	120.00 700.00 50.00	4	· 125.00	- 10 - 10	1,100.00 1,572.00 1,750.00	16 11 19	50.00 220.00 350.00	6 17	48.00 100.00
es Moines	. 1	2,000.00	1	2,000.00	2	700.80	1	25.00	8	1,000.00	5	75.00	11	30.00
mmet	1 2	800.00 5,500.00	1		1 3	300.00 630.00	1 2	50.00 640.00	4 8 6	1,060.00 1,125.00	20 15	200.00 600.00	20 15	40.00 100.00
Noyd	. 2	2,000.00			4	740.25	1 1	200.00	11	1,800.00	12	100.00	18	30.00

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

327

SUMMARY	TABLE	NO.	29-PART	IContinued.
SUMMARY	TUDLE	110.	20 I ART	r.—continueu.

County		Fractors		Trucks		Soncrete Mixers		Pile Drivers		Blade Graders	Wheeled Scrapers		s	Slip crapers
	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value
Franklin Fremont					32	300.00	4	280.00	76	1,100.00 1,650.00	22	$375.00 \\ 42.00$	12	35.00 14.00
Greene	1 2				2	50.00	1	150.00	93	1,200.00	16 30	400.00	7	2.00
Juthrie	23	2,000.00	1	2,000.00	1	200.00	1	125.00	4	475.00 2,500.00	11	400.00		
lancock	1			10.00		300.00	1	170.00	10	780.00	14	240.00	14	40.00 70.0
farrison		500.00			1	90.00 700.00		25.00	52	900.00 800.00	13	150.00	20 12	75.0
lenry Ioward Iumboldt	1	500.00			1	100.00	1	250.00	73	975.00 600.00	12 16 25	200.00	11 11 19	25.0
da owa	1	800.00 2,500.00			1	90.00 600.00	2	150,00	7 15	2,050.00 1,800.00	13 29	200.00 520.00	19 12 15	40.0
ackson asper efferson	8 1	6,300.00 3,400.00	100000		23	300.00 750.00	1	$50.00 \\ 150.00$	2 3 17	1,553.00 125.00 2,275.00	18 14	1,075.00	5 10	70.0 30.0
ohnson	22	2,649.00 1,600.00				30.88	1	30.85	2 12 7	503.15 1,643.09 1,400.00	28	70.00 111.00	10 5	114.0 10.0
ee	1	500.00	1	3,649.37		450.00	1	75.00	11 4	1,898.00 350.00	7	100.00	12	60.0
inn ouisa	1	1,000.00			1	644.00	2	200.00	25 4	3,800.00 2,200.00	9		18	125.0
yon Iadison	1 2	2,300.00			1	300.00 300.00	1	100.00	13 6 6	1,900.00 2,030.00	16 27	350.00 375.00	18 36	72.5 60.0
ladison lahaska larion	221	4,200.00 4,200.00 2,500.00	1	1,300.00	1.	300.00	2	140.00	13 8	2,225.00 4,025.00 1,200.00	7 18 24	125.00 900.00 400.00	11	75.0 205.0
Iarshall	i	2,000.00	1	1,750.00	3	350.00	1 3	60.00 150.00	15	4,486.00	16	586.00 100.00	6	48.0
litchell	2	3,500.00	1	3,000.00	6	875.00 350.00		45.00	97	2,100.00	2	55.00	5	40.00
Ionroe Iontgomery	2	2,400.00	1	200.00		600.00	12	75.00 200.00	9	1,470.00	14 19	500.00 255.00	14 51	70.00 176.40
fuscatine D'Brien		1,296.88	1	1,000.00 2,407.10			1	300.00	6 1	2,172.00 190.00	3	60.00	8	65.00
Osceola	10000000			********	5	500.00		*******	12	1,800.00	12	150.00	13	50.00

Palo Alto Plymouth Pocahontas Polt awattamle	233	3,480.00			8 1 1 1 1	300.00 360.00 175.00 400.00 600.00 450.00	1 2 2 2 2 0	50.00 300.00 150.00 750.00 100.00	$     \begin{array}{r}       10 \\       18 \\       11 \\       13 \\       13 \\       5     \end{array} $	1,600.00 2,275.00 960.00 2,700.00 7,375.00 1,380.00	46 4 24 18 10 12	$     \begin{array}{r}       100.00 \\       100.00 \\       224.00 \\       250.00 \\       200.00 \\       200.00 \\       200.00 \\       \end{array} $	29 44 19 6 15 15	20.00 172.00 32.00 30.00 200.00 35.00
Poweshiek	1 1 1	500.00 500.00 1,200.00		3,900.00	8 3 1 3	430.00 200.00 25.00 400.00	100000	100.00	6 5 7 8	$1,450.00 \\210.00 \\720.00 \\1,431.14$	10 13 12	150.00 100.00 180.00	7 6 13	13.00 20.00 73.75 96.00
Shelby Sioux Story Tama Taylor	1 2 2	2,000.00 1,800.00				300.00	2121	370.00 100.00 210.00 60.00	16 10 15 6 7	3,370.00 1,660.00 2,660.00 1,980.00 1,500.00	4 1 16 16 2	175.00 40.00 250.00 351.00 102.00	7 24 45	45.00 250.00 28.00 750.00
Van Buren Wapello Warren Washington	319199	3,658.72 1,700.00 4,000.00 6,572.50 4,199.97	2 2 1	and the second se	331	324.00 225.00 150.00 100.00 150.00	 1 1 1	50.00 75.00 50.00 25.00	3 10 6 11 6 10	$\begin{array}{r} 300.00 \\ 1,735.00 \\ 1,800.00 \\ 4,075.00 \\ 730.00 \\ 2,050.00 \end{array}$	4 10 24 18 22	$\begin{array}{r} 30.00\\ 150.00\\ 400.00\\ 333.00\\ 250.00\end{array}$	4 21 19 13 8	10.00 89.00 125.00 64.00 45.00
Webster Winnebago Winneshiek	13	2,100.00 1,200.00		25.00		725.00		350.00	5 7 17	700.00 1,425.00 2,540.00	9	270.00	24 29	225.00 122.00
Woodbury	1	2,000.00			2	350.00 150.00		90.00	6 4	1,500.00 1,525.00	35	400.00	7	14.00
Wright	106	\$159,339.41		\$ 52,107.07	137	\$ 22,589.93	84	\$ 10,070.85	787	\$163,822.38	1,143	\$ 25,684.06	1,123	\$ 6,474.90

ANNUAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ENGINEERS

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

328

## SUMMARY TABLE NO. 29-PART II.

## Inventory of Equipment and Machinery Showing Estimated Value January 1, 1919 .- Owned by County.

ANNUAL REPORT OF COUNTY ENGINEERS.

County		Drags	F	resnoes	1	Road Planers	-	Plows	1	Gas Engines	Small Tools and Misc'llaneous	Total Estimated
	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	Estimated Value	Value
ıdalr	61	\$ 650.00	23	\$ 250.00	1	\$ 425.00	6	80.00				2,909.54 \$ 14,586.5
dams llamakee ppanoose	48 21 18	300.00 200.00 450.00	6 4	30.00 150.00			9 2 7	\$ 27.20 10.00 110.00			500.00	7,856.0 5,835.0
enton	58 80	675.00 814.00	2	40.00	2	500.00	42	75.00 30.00			650.00 757.00	4,565.0
lack Hawk	42 25 14	351.00 250.00 90.00					6 4 5	72.00	2	\$ 25.00	900.00 1,285.00 587.00	7,083.0 8,914.0 5,427.0
remer uchanan uena Vista	53 34	300.00			2	60.00	7	40.00 35.00			569.00	6,541.0 8,690.0
alhoun	3 69	200.00 600.00	12	80.00	2	50.00		100.00			1,665.00 2,255.00	10,890.0 7,430.0
arroll 888 edar	2 15 36	80.00 350.00 238.00						3.00			472.83	664.9 2,354.0 2,185.0
erro Gordo	29 42	490.00 623.00					3	53.00			350.00 65.50	3,730.0
arke	25 12 29	642.50 240.00				700.00	98	141.00 30.00 27.00			45 00	9,503.7 2,367.0 7,242.0
lay layton	49 61	505.00 700.00 208.00			2	147.50	2 18	140.00			2,710.00	8,130.0 2,360.5
rawford	58 88	345.00 350.00			17	700.00 100.00					750.00	1,670.00 9,288.00
ecaturelaware	39 103	195.00 580.00	3	10.00 30.00			5 13 11	35.00 245.00 70:00			35.00 740.00 220.00	1,670.00 10,285.00 4,040.00
es Moines	20	300.00					9	103.00			973.00	7,206.80
Subuque	21	90.00			3	45.00		50.00			584.00	3,234.00

ayette						26.75	2	65.00	1	50.00	1,233.00 2,187.40	9,878.00 7,299.40 3,187.50
lovd	27 5	150.00			î	10.00	4	30.00	1	100.00	887.50 585.00	2,621.00
anklin							3	36.00	1	75.00	188.00	4,761.00
emont	11	260.00			1	600.00 20.00	3	15.00			505.00	8,130.00
eene	44	540.00			1		0	30.00			1,383.00	7,513.00
undy	71	900.00					0	10.00	a long and the		1,375.00	10,695.00
thrie	42	450.00					3	80.00			1,260.00	2,640.00
amilton	17	70.00					1		1	450.00	2.040.00	5,775.50
ancock	50	200,00			1	700.00	6	55.50		100 C 100	415.00	2,130.00
urdin	41	425.00	6	60.00			8	15.00		***********	1,082.50	5,640.50
rrison		640.00	2	25.00			3	40.00			952.00	3,255.00
nry	46		-				6	50.00		**********	902.00	1,065.00
	22	203.00			7	70.00	4	30.00				
mboldt	8	75.00					9	35.00			485.00	4,185.00
imbolut	83	335.00		**********			8	175.00			1,099.00	7,389.00
8	62	345.00					0	and the second second			797.47	2,350.47
wa						1 200 00		37.00			1,515.00	10,682.00
ickson	9	145.00	3	40.00	3	1,700.00	2		*****	*********	305,00	8,450.00
sper		300.00	5	15.00			12	150.00			1,137.77	2,183.65
fferson	72	297.00	-							NE (V)	1,170.00	6,123,09
hnson	5								1	75.00		3,915.00
ones	30	465.00					6	100.00			265.00	2,688.00
eokuk	76	550.00			5	200.00	1	13.00				
	72	577.00			9	200.00	ŝ	15.00	1	500.00	320.00	6,229.37
ossuth	34	210.00				***********	4	50,00			250.00	6,215.00
	56	740.00						00100	1	130.95	1,642.45	6,317.40
inn	35	700.00					177	265.00	1	and the second		3,120.50
ouisa	49	397.00	10	136.00			17	15.00	1	250.00	1.617.00	7,107.00
ucas	2	60.00	eres and				3			200100	1,828.00	8,718.00
yon	6	30:00					2	10.00			1,025.00	12,290.00
ladison		575.00	3	60.00			13	130.00		810.00	1,270.00	7,310.00
fahaska	60	460.00	10	75.00			16	250.00	1		1.180.70	10,992.70
farion	65	400.00	1	\$0.00			16	300.00	3	250.00	857.19	2,455.19
farshall		100.00		00.00			1			anananananan		14,050.00
fills	13	100.00	ana ana						. 3	650.00	3,925.00	5,668.40
ditchell				54.00			1	5.00			621.00	6,120.00
Monona	3	48.40	3				2	90,00			700.00	
Monroe	-44	595.00	2	20.00				74,80			1,295.00	2,601.20
Montgomery							3	50.00			1,162.80	5,335.80
dontgomery	43	510.00	1	16.00	and the second second		1	15.00	1	197.00	1,133.39	5,361.57
Muscatine	3	122.20					8	80.00	î	45.00	945.00	3,970.00
O'Brien	33	400.00					a	00.00	1			
Osceola								30.00			1,124.00	3,324.00
Page	3	100.00					1	30.00			2,635.00	7,382.00
Palo Alto	40	960.00	6	180.00	******			E.0. (10)			2,305.00	7,842.00
Plymouth	40	614.00					8	52.00			975.00	8,277.00
Pocahontas		747.00					7	25.00			2.170.00	14,760.00
Polk	13	850.00			3	2,400.00	5	125.00	1	90.00	4,135.00	6,700.00
Pottawattamle	40	400,00										2,600.00
Poweshiek	15	and the second sec	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				7	75.00		**********	125.00	2,016.50
Ringgold							1	25.00			653.50	12,877.00
	53	490.00					3	30.00			5,627.00	12,011.00
Sect	33	200.00	. lasess		-lanen-		4 0.76			Contraction of the local distance of the loc		

10WA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION

# SUMMARY TABLE NO. 29-PART II.-Continued.

.

County		Drags	A	Fresnoes	F	Road		Plows	9	Gas Engines	Misc'llaneous	Total
*	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	Estimated Value	No.	No. Estimated Value	Estimated Value	Value
		737.61 92.00	16	316.90 88.00			-1 00	61.11 98.00			1,041.75	2,705.1
	98815	710.00 710.00 443.00	2	60.00		15.00 23.00	00 00 00 00	20.08 100.08			1,530.00	4,348.
vanga Wapello Warren	10.28	54.00 575.00 300.00					0 0 4	130.00 15.00			1,737.99 1,725.00 937.00	6,074.71 10,355.00 10,666.00
wasnington Wayne Webster	2158	245.00	63	10.00			4 Be e	326.20 69.700			2,243.00 1,295.80 695.00	13,690. 9,153. 6,104.
Winneshlek Woodbury	E	695.00	2	57.00			12	80.00	14.01	30.00 45.00		2,378.
							8	85.00			400.00	5,834.
Totals	3,103	\$ 33,113.71	137	\$ 1,832.90	46	\$ 8,492.25	433	18.711,8 \$	53	\$ 3,772.95	3,772.95 \$ 104,575.74 \$	\$ 597,293.96

## INDEX

	Page	
accounting for Commission Expenditures	34	
Accounting for comment		
Accidents: Prevention of	27	
Administrative Department	124	
Benefit Assessment Against Property	23	
i Metemiol Contracts		
Bridge and Material Lettings	. 56	
Bridge and Material Lettings		
Bridges Built During 1918: Number and Cost	. 48	
Number and Cost Bridges Built—Number and Cost	. 21	
Bridge Construction Work During 1918	. 56	
Bridge Construction Work During 1918		
Bridge Contracts:	. 54	
Average Price of	. 54	
Average Price of	. 48	
Standard Form for Bridge Department	, 126	
The set Cohodnic No in the second sec	11 The 12 The 12	
General Field Work	. 53	
Trips by Members of	49	5
Comparison of Work of 1916-1917-1918		
Bridge Designs:	. 50	0
a second se	4	
Standard Plans		-
		0
Bridge Expenditures for 1916-1911-1915. Summary of		
		7
Bridge Piling: Average Price of		-
		7
Bridge Lumber: Average Price of		•
		57
Bridge Material: Comparison of Cost of		55
		53
Standard Specifications for		10
		55
	;	52
		52
		54
		10
Approval of Buchanan County Project Delayed by High Prices		10

	Page
Cement	
Average Frice Faid For.	
Cerro Gordo Federal Ald Project No. 1	
Changes in County System	10
Commissioners.	
Appointments of	
Expenditures	1.00
Summary of	
Schedule NO. 13	
Complaints	1 D.
Conference with Bridge Companies	1.1
Conference With Grading Contractors	
Contract Approval	
Contracts for Construction Decreased	
Contracts for Construction Approved	80
Contractors:	
Need of Additional.	
Co-operation of Local Officials and Contractors	
Corrugated Metal Culverts:	7
Average Price of	
County Engineer's Reports	58
Cash Balances, Jan. 1, 1919	34
Comparison of Classified Bridge Expenditures	137
Comparison of Permanent & Temporary Drainage Expenditures	140
Comparison of Classified Bridge Construction	141
Comparison of Classified Road Expenditures	142
Comparison of Road Construction, 1914-1918	149
Chart Showing Comparative Mileage of Improved Roads	149
Chart Showing Comparative Mileage of Surfaced Roads	150
Chart Showing Mileage of Permanent Graded Roads	151
Culvert Material Purchased for Township	154
County Road Expenditures-Summary	144
Distribution of Total Expenditures	145
Equipment and Tools on Hand	137
Expenditures for State Roads and Bridges	138
Indebtedness	136
Percentages of Class of Road Work.	137
Percentages of Construction of County Road System	1.10
Total Indebtedness for Road and Bridge Work	149
Township Road Expenditures—Summary	138
State Summary	147
County Road Systems:	136
Permanent Grading of Completed	-
Profiles Approved	35
Changes In.	80
Mileage of.	34
Mileage of	18
Damage by Floods	6
Deficit in Road and Bridge Funds	27
	2.

	rage	
elaware County Project Delayed by High Prices	. 10	
at a shad by H190Way Commission	4	5
a Dame of 19898	1.2	Ř
stailed Plans for 1918 Bridges	. 9	6
Expenditures of-Schedule 19	127	le l
Summary of Work of	12	
Increased from 5 to 6	30	
Increased from 5 to 0		
Women Employed in	25	
Women Employed In		
rainage Department:	197	
Expenditures of—Schedule 18	115	
Gaging Stations.	115	
Co-Operation With Geological Survey	. 110	
Keokuk and Odessa Lakes	. 115	2
Purpose of	110	,
Carth Excavation: Price of	. 6	4
Price of Engineering Assistance Rendered State Departments	. 37	
Engineering Assistance Rendered State Departments		
Capitol Grounds Extension Improvements Fish and Game Department		
Fish and Game Department		
Lake Bed Surveys	37	-
Roads at State Institutions	37	
Surveys for Camp Dodge Road.	3	
Walnut Street Entrance to Fair Grounds		
Equipment and Supplies:	12	7
Expenditures for	12	7
Schedule No. 20	14	•
Expenditures for Road and Bridge Work:	. 3	R
Restrictions	19	(E)
Expenditures for Federal Aid Engineering	12	9
Expenditures:	19	4
Summary of-July 1, 1917, to July 1, 1918	11	7
Experimente Tosts and Investigations		
No of Toste Made		2.1
Experimental Work	11	7
Inspection of Oil Roads	11	0
Specification for Road Oil		
Farm Town Traffic	10	1
Federal Aid:		
Annonvictions		22
Apportionment Likely to be Increased	2	23
Number of Projects Approved	1	10
Projects		80
Company and Diang	2.2.2	84
Cast of Designts		93
Inter-County Road System	\$	93
Mileage of Projects	1	93
mneage of Projects		

INDEX

.

335

IN	ND	E	х	
	1000	_		

.

FEDERAL AID-Continued.	Page
Paving Projects	93
Permanent Grading Projects	
Projects Outlined—Summary of	
No. 22—Linn County	No. 21 August Market Street
No. 23—Marshall County	and the second se
No. 24—Polk County	
No. 25—Blacknawk County	
No. 26—Adams County	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
No. 27—Appanoose County	
No. 28—Sloux County	
No. 29—Wright County	
No. 30—Winnebago County	
No. 31—Howard County	
No. 32-Des Moines County	
No. 33—Clarke County	
No. 34—Keokuk County	
No. 35—Chickasaw County	Non-
No. 36—Palo Alto County	
No. 41-Floyd County	
No. 42—Wapello County	
No. 44—Cass County	
Surveys for	
Traffic Census Counts	
Federal Restrictions During War	
Federal Aid Engineering Fund	
Federal Aid Project Account	
Financial Report:	
Audit and Payment of Bills	
Bridge Department Expenditure	121
Drainage Department	
Equipment and Supplies.	122
Expenditures for Federal Aid Projects	120
Federal Aid Engineering Expense	123
Maintenance Expenditures for Highway Commi	ission 120
Purchase of Supplies	123
Road Department Expenditure	121
Testing Department Work	122
Field Examinations	80
Flood Damage	6
Florida Plan for Financing Road Construction	24
Freight Rates and Prices	
Funds for Hard Surfacing:	
Sources of	23
Grades:	
Rise and Fall	
Quantity of Earth Work	
Comparison	
Comparison	
Hard Surfacing Program for 10-year Period	

г	NT	n	L,	х
Ł	1.4	υ	E.	Δ

	Pa	age
Hardin County Riveted Truss Span		50
munnel at State Capitol Grounds.		11
uighway Traffic Census		18
Drawn Vehicles:		
Percentage of.		20
Indebtedness		07
Statutory Limit of.		27
Inspection and Supervision of:		~
Camp Dodge Road, Polk County		83
Colby Road, Polk County.	83,	
Dubuque Post Road, Dubuque County		83
Sageville Road, Dubuque County		83
Seedling Mile, Linn County	83,	84
Inter-county Road System:		
Mileage of		18
Selection of		38
Intercounty Traffic		101
Interurban Traffic		101
Interstate Traffic		101
Lakebed Surveys:		
Spirit Lake		11
Okoboji Lake		11
Legislation:		
New		21
Needed for Hard Surfacing		21
Letter of Transmittal		3
Lettings Attended	.80, 82,	87
Local Initiative in Road Improvement	*****	20
Lumber Prices		6
		10
Marion County Federal Aid Project No. 7		50
Marshall County Riveted Truss Span		00
Material:		21
New Sources Needed		50
Manchester Concrete Arch		6
Material Prices During 1918	*****	9
Men in Military Service	*****	9
Miles of Road Profiles Approved		18
Mileage of County Road System		10
Mileage of Federal Aid Road Projects		18
Mileage of Iowa Highways	*****	18
Mileage of Township System	******	10
Military Mans:		97
Preparation of		37
Motor Driven Vehicles:	100	
Percentage of	*****	20
Motor Trucks Have Not Paid Fair License Fee		
Motor Truck Regulations		20
Motor Vehicle Fees Should Total \$5,000,000.00	*****	23

## INDEX

Motor Vehicles License Fees	Page
Motor Venicies License rees	22
Motor Vehicle Operators	27
Motor Vehicles:	
Number Registered	22
and a second s	0.2
Number of Bridges Designed	9
Official Communications of Highway Commission	33
Organization of Commission:	
Bridge Department	14
Commissioners	13
Administrative Department	13
District Engineers	
District Engineers	1.27
Drainage Department	15
Road Department	14
Women's Drafting Department	15
Distant Thiladian	
Patent Litigation:	
Bridge	
Assistance Given Other States	
Bone vs. Walsh Construction Co., Davenport, Iowa	39
Text of Decision by Federal Judge Wade	40
"Pay as You Go," policy for Road Construction	
Permanent Graded Roads on Intercounty System	
Permanent Grading:	-11
Total Mileage of	-
Plans Completed	
Plans for Federal Aid Projects	
Prices of Material	
Cement	7
Reinforcing Steel	7
Steel Trusses	
Profiles Approved:	
Total of	85
Program for Road Construction	8
Projects Under Construction:	
Project No. 1—Cerro Gordo County	
Project No. 2-Woodbury County	
Project No. 7-Marion County	100
Publications of Iowa Highway Commission	34
Railroad Crossing Accidents	
Railroad Crossing Complaints	65
Railroad Crossings	9
Dangerous	66
Railroad Crossing Improvement:	
Distribution of	69
Distribution by Type	00
Railroad Crossing Improvements-Recommendations for:	-
Grade Crossing	68
Undergrade Crossing	69

IN			

	Pa	ge
Railroad Crossing Improvements.	. !	64
- ctowilly Admisted		67
a Grossing Listed for Improvement.	· 1	66
and Grossings-Method of Improving.		
grade Crossings	. 1	67
Overhead Crossings		68
Undergrade Crossings		68
and Grossing Work:		
Comparative Statement of	. 1	65
a manual of		9
a lagod Crossing Work Checked by War Restrictions	. 1	64
" Transportation During War Times	2	8
nonmended Highway Legislation	a) (i	16
Registration of Highway Routes	. 1	46
Reinforcing Steel		7
Average Price of		58
Restrictions on Bond Issues	1	27
Restrictions on bond issues		
Report Blanks: Annual		84
Annual	• 1 G	13
	۳. ·	10
Road Conference: Fifth Annual		20
	*< 77	20
Road Department: Expenditures of		95
Expenditures of	. 1	20
Schedule 15	. 1	20
Road Guide Posts on Main Roads	• •	29
Road Improvements:		
Cost of	•	22
Plan for Distributing		22
Roads:		
Funds Avaliable for 1919		
Price of Earth Work Increased		80
Road Construction at State Institutions:		
Clive Custodial Farm8	5, 1	12
Colony for Epileptics at Woodward11, 8	5, 1	.09
Iowa Soldiers' Orphans' Home at Davenport11, 85, 11	0, 1	11
Iowa State College at Ames11, 8	5, 1	08
School for the Deaf at Council Bluffs	. 1	11
State Capitol at Des Moines	* *	11
State Hospital at Mt. Pleasant11, 8	5, 1	.11
State Hospital for Inebriates at Knoxville	5, 1	.12
State Hospital at Cherokee	10,	85
State Penitentiary at Ft. Madison1	1, 1	12
Road and Bridge Expenditures by Counties:		
Adair	. 1	57
Adams	. 1	.58
Allamakee	. 1	58
Appanoose	. 1	159
Audubon	. 1	60
Benton	. 1	161

IN	n	$\mathbf{r}$	v	
114	υ	r_	2	

	O AND BRIDGE EXPENDITURES BY COUNTIES-		Page
I	Blackhawk		161
F	Boone		169
E	Bremer		163
E	Buchanan		. 164
I	Buena Vista		. 165
E	Butler		. 165
C	alhoun		. 166
(	Carroll		. 167
	Cass		. 168
C	Cerro Gordo		. 168
C	Cherokee		. 169
C	edar	**********	. 170
	hickasaw		
	larke		
	llay		
	layton		
	Clinton		
	rawford		
	Dallas		
	Davis		
	Decatur		
	elaware		
	Des Moines		
	Dickinson		
	Dubuque		
	Cmmet		
	ayette		10.000
30	Floyd		
	Franklin		
	Premont		
	Freene		
	Frundy		
	fatiliton		
	Hamilton		
	Iardin		
	Harrison		
	lenry		
T	loward		192
î	Humboldt		193
Ť	da		194
	owa		. 194
	ackson		. 195
	asper		. 196
1	efferson		. 197
J	ohnson		. 198
3	ones		. 198
J	Keokuk		. 199
	Cossuth		. 200

	ıge
AND AND DIVISION	01
Lee	02
Linn 2	03
Louisa 2	03
	04
Lyon	205
Madiber	06
Mahaska	207
Marion	207
Maisian 2	208
Mills	209
Mitchen	210
Monona	210
Monioe	
Montgomery Muscatine	212
	213
	214
Uscella	214
Page Palo Alto	215
	216
Plymouth Pocahontas	217
Polk	218
Potkawattamie	219
Poweshiek	220
Ringgold	220
Sac	221
Scott	222
Shelhy	223
Sioux	224
Story	225
Тата	225
Taylor	226
Union	227
Van Buren	228
Wapello	229 229
Warren	230
Washington	231
Wayne	232
Webster	233
Winnebago	233
Winneshiek	234
Woodbury	
Worth	
Wright	
Schedule No. 1:	
Bridge Designs for Specific Locations	59
Schedule No. 2:	- 22
Bridge Contracts Submitted for Approval	59

8.71	<b>n</b> 1	1.7	v.
IN I		82	х.

schedule ind. a.	Page
Material Contracts Submitted	62
Schedule No. 4: Detailed Statement on Individual R. R. Crossings:	02
No. 24-Clarke County	70
No. 66-Marion County	70
No. 74—Lee County	70
No. 125-Story County	71
No. 126—Scott County	71
No. 146—Plymouth County	71
No. 148—Keokuk County	71
No. 181—Webster County	72
No. 212—Jefferson County	
No. 216—Muscatine County	72
No. 227—O'Brien County	72
No. 243—Jefferson County	72
No. 245—Story County	73
No. 247—Jones County	73
No. 256—Mahaska County	73
	73
No. 264—Wapello County	73
No. 272—Wright County	73
No. 275—Iowa County	73
No. 276—Boone County	74
No. 277—Sioux County	74
No. 278—Monroe County	74
No. 279—Monroe County	74
No. 280-Monroe County	74
No. 281—Monroe County	75
No. 282—Poweshiek County	75
No. 283—Union County	75
No. 284—Clarke County	75
No. 285—Jefferson County	75
No. 286—Keokuk County	76
No. 287—Wayne County	76
No. 288-Wayne County	76
No. 289-Wayne County	76
No. 290-Monona County	76
No. 291—Hancock County	76
No. 292—Des Moines County	76
No. 293-Pottawattamie County	77
No. 294—Bremer County	77
No. 295-Ringgold County	77
No. 296—Monroe County	77
No. 297—Cass County	77
No. 298—Lee County	78
No. 299—Decatur County	78
No. 300—Decatur County	78
No. 301-Woodbury County	78
No. 302—Fremont County	78
No. 303—Story County	79
no. do Story County	10

IN	11	1	4	з	Ľ
1.1.1		.,	9	d,	۶.

Continued	x 100510	
CHEDULE NO. 4—Continued. No. 304—Mahaska-Poweshiek Counties	79	
No. 304—Manaska Foweshiek Country.	79	
No. 305-Bremer County		
chedule No. 5: Road Profiles Approved	85	
Road Profiles Approved	00	
chedule No. 6:	87	
Road Lettings Attenueu	0.	
chedule No. 7:	. 88	
Road Contracts Approved	00	
Road Complaints		
chedule No. 9:	91	
County Road Changes		
Summary of Trame Data on Federal Ald Flojects.	102	
Days Spent by District Engineers in each county	. 101	
Schedule No. 12: Summary of Expenditures July 1, 1917, to July 1, 1918	. 144	
Commissioners—July 1, 1917, to July 1, 1918	. 144	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Expenditures of Administrative Department	. 124	
Expenditures of Road Department	. 120	
Expenditures of Bridge Department	. 120	
Schedule No. 17: Expenditures of Testing Department	. 140	
Expenditures of Drainage Department	. 161	
21.1.1.3Y- 10.		
Expenditures of District Engineers	. 101	
Expenditures for Equipment and Supplies	. 121	
Expenditures for Special Assignments	1-0	
at 1.1. M. 00.		
Comparison of Expenditures for Four Years	150	
A 1 1 1 17 AD.		
State Highway Commission Appropriation	11	
Selection of Intercounty Road System		
Specifications		
Glammad III amonth		
Standard Bridge and Culvert Plans Effective Jan. 1, 1915		1
Standard CreatBootibra:		1
Bridge Paint	12	
Dridge Lumber and Diling	100	
Corrugated Metal Culverts		

F 16	. 7	T	1.7	3.0	
11	N	υ	E	х	

Standard Plans and Specifications:	Page
Low Riveted Truss Spans with Joints "Y" Series	
Reinforced Concrete Abutments	
Standard Specifications for Federal Aid Projects	
Thru Riveted Truss Spans-"T" Series	
State Capitol Grounds:	12
Retaining Wall at	
State Fair Exhibit.	. 11
State Summary of County Engineers' Reports	35
Steel:	136
Price of	
Steel Trusses.	
Stream Gaging	
	. 38
Structural Steel:	
Average Price of	58
Summary of Work for 1918	
Summary Tables for State:	
No. 1-Amount Spent for Bridge and Road Work, All County	r .
Funds	. 231
No. 2-Bridge & Culvert Construction, County Expenditures	. 24(
No. 3-Part I-Classification of Permanent Bridge and Culver	
Work	
No. 3—Part II	
No. 3—Part III	
No. 3—Part IV	
No. 4-Part I, Classification of Temporary Bridge and Culver	
Construction	
No. 4—Part II	
No. 5-Repairs to Bridges and Culverts	
No. 6-Culvert Material Bought for Townships	
No. 7-Bridge Equipment, Unused Material, etc	
No. 8-Comparison of Bridge and Culvert Construction 1914-1918	
No. 9-Road Construction	
No. 10-Classification, of Permanent Road Construction, County	1
Expenditures	
No. 11-Classification of Permanent Road Construction, Federa	
Aid Projects	
No. 12-Temporary Road Construction, County Expenditures	
No. 13-Road Repairs and Maintenance, County Expenditures	
No. 14-Road Repairs and Maintenance, County Expenditures	
No. 15-Road Repairs and Maintenance, County Expenditures	
No. 16-Road Repairs and Maintenance, County Expenditures	. 29
No. 17-Road Repairs and Maintenance, County Expenditures	. 29
No. 18-Road Repairs and Maintenance, Township Expenditure	s 29
No. 19-Road Equipment and Material, Township Expenditures.	. 30
No. 20-Progress of County Road Surveys and Construction	. 30
No. 21-Progress of County Road Surveys and Construction	. 30
No. 22-Gravel Pits Owned by Counties	. 30
No. 23-Amount of Road and Bridge Work Planned by Engineer.	. 30
No. 24-Cost of Engineering.	. 31

÷	8.7	n	123	v
r	14	D	r,	Δ

	Page
SUMMARY TABLES FOR STATE-Continued.	915
SUMMARY TABLES FOR STATE Continued. No. 25—Financial Statement of Individual Counties	315
No. 25—Financial Statement of Individual Counties No. 26—Financial Statement of Individual Counties	318
No. 26—Financial Statement of Individual Counties No. 27—Bonded Indebtedness of Counties for Road and Bridge	321
No. 28—Total Indeptedness of Country	324
No. 29—Inventory of Equipment and Machinery No. 29—Part II—Inventory of Equipment and Machinery	330
No. 29—Part II—Inventory of Equipment and Machinery	84
Surveys for Federal Aid Projects Survey of Keokuk and Odessa Lakes	12
Survey of Reokuk and	
Testing Department:	
Testing Department: Expenditures of	. 120
Expenditures of Schedule No. 17	, 120
Scheuure Hot and	1
Testing Materials: Summary of	. 12
Total Cost of Bridges Designed Townships in Iowa	. 18
Townships in lowa	
Township Road Funds: Accounting for	. 28
Township System: Mileage of	. 18
a 1010 1017 1918	
Cleasification 10	
Destination Classification to	
e Cabedula No 10	
Summary of Schedule No. 1977	18
Summary of—During 1917 Summary of—During 1918	., 19
Average for 87 Stations	19
Uniformity in Considering Highway Projects	8
Water Level Survey at Lake Okoboji	11
Water Level Survey at Spirit Lake	11
Water Level Survey at Spirit Lake	10
Work of the District Engineers: Changes in Personnel	104
Days Spent in Each County-Schedule II	103
Days Spent on Highway Work	103
Field Examinations	103
Field Examinations Lettings Attended	104
Re-organization of Districts	105
Summary of Field Work for C. Coykendan	105
" " " W. H. ROOL	
" " W. F. Beard	

\$45

## INDEX

						distanto Continueu.	Page
Summary	of	Field	Work	for	L.	M. Martin	100
**	**	64			J.	S. Morrison	100
	**	**		**	н.	. L. Phelps	100
**	**				E.	W. Dunn	100
· · · · · ·					W	ill M. Lee	100
Work of the	U. \$	S. Hig	ghway	Co	und	cil	107