Improving the Foundation Layers for Concrete Pavements

TECHNICAL REPORT:

Field Assessment of Jointed Portland Cement Concrete Pavement with Premature Distresses – Iowa US 34 Field Study



February 2016

Sponsored by

Federal Highway Administration (DTFH 61-06-H-00011 (Work Plan #18)) FHWA TPF-5(183): California, Iowa (lead state), Michigan, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin

National Concrete Pavement Technology Center



CEER EARTHWORKS ENGINEERING RESEARCH

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Institute for Transportation

About the National CP Tech Center

The mission of the National Concrete Pavement Technology (CP Tech) Center is to unite key transportation stakeholders around the central goal of advancing concrete pavement technology through research, tech transfer, and technology implementation.

About CEER

The mission of the Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) at Iowa State University is to be the nation's premier institution for developing fundamental knowledge of earth mechanics, and creating innovative technologies, sensors, and systems to enable rapid, high quality, environmentally friendly, and economical construction of roadways, aviation runways, railroad embankments, dams, structural foundations, fortifications constructed from earth materials, and related geotechnical applications.

Disclaimer Notice

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the sponsors.

The sponsors assume no liability for the contents or use of the information contained in this document. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The sponsors do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

Iowa State University Non-Discrimination Statement

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, ethnicity, religion, national origin, pregnancy, sexual orientation, gender identity, genetic information, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. veteran. Inquiries regarding non-discrimination policies may be directed to Office of Equal Opportunity, Title IX/ADA Coordinator, and Affirmative Action Officer, 3350 Beardshear Hall, Ames, Iowa 50011, 515-294-7612, email eooffice@iastate.edu.

Iowa Department of Transportation Statements

Federal and state laws prohibit employment and/or public accommodation discrimination on the basis of age, color, creed, disability, gender identity, national origin, pregnancy, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation or veteran's status. If you believe you have been discriminated against, please contact the Iowa Civil Rights Commission at 800-457-4416 or the Iowa Department of Transportation affirmative action officer. If you need accommodations because of a disability to access the Iowa Department of Transportation's services, contact the agency's affirmative action officer at 800-262-0003.

The preparation of this report was financed in part through funds provided by the Iowa Department of Transportation through its "Second Revised Agreement for the Management of Research Conducted by Iowa State University for the Iowa Department of Transportation" and its amendments.

The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Iowa Department of Transportation or the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration.

Technical Report Documentation Page

4. Title and Subitite 5. Report Date Improving the Foundation Layers for Powernents: February 2016 Field Assessment of Jointed Fordnad Cement Concrete Pavement with Premature February 2016 David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang In Trans Project 09-352 P.erforming Organization Name and Address In Owark Unit No. (TRAIS) National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and In Trans Project 09-352 Center for Farthworks Engineering Research (CEER) Io. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Low State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 The Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Los. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code L200 New Jersey Avenue SE 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code TDS Supplementary Nates 14. Sponsoring Agency Code TDS Supplementary Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Tobis technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WOII Statides. The Kowa Department of Transportation (DDT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Towa showed early detribution in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual starvey of the cracked panels andue t	1. Report No. DTFH 61-06-H-00011 Work Plan 18	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog N	No.	
4. Inte and Subtrite 5. Keport Date Improving the Foundation Layers for Pavements: February 2016 Field Assessment of Jointed Portland Cement Concrete Pavement with Premuture Distresses – Jowa US 34 Field Study 6. Performing Organization Report No. David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Iowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 211 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Advantsation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Advantes SE 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 10. Only State Visit and Modress The fowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Moant Pleasant, lowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Jowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the Intrad agography of the area and performed in situality weight constructed in online 1426 orgen to 10 in hit ki submarked panels, and center and sprage rescant (k) values between the cracked panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill ar					
Imploying the Polutation Layers for Favement with Premature Distresses – Iowa US 34 Field Study Performing Organization Code 7. Autor(s) 8. Performing Organization Report No. David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang InTrans Project 00-352 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Iowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 12. Dopatiment of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 13. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring agency Code 16. Abstract 15. Washington, DC 20590 17. Bow Oppartment of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, to was obvide address. 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Constructed on Video Address 17. Boy of opticat report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-0001: W018 stadies. <tr< td=""><td colspan="2">4. Little and Subtitle</td><td colspan="3">5. Report Date</td></tr<>	4. Little and Subtitle		5. Report Date		
Distresses - lowa US 34 Field Study 6. Performing Organization Code 7. Author(s) 8. Performing Organization Report No. InTrans Project 09-352 David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang 10. Work Uait No. (TRAIS) National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and Center for Earthworks Fingineering Research (CEER) 10. Work Uait No. (TRAIS) Lowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 7. Author(s) Ames, IA 50010-8664 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Total No. 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Total No. 13. Supplementary Notes 7. Howa Department of Transportation (DOI): Wolls studies. 14. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Total studies. 15. Neight end of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H- 00011: Wolls studies. 10. Work Wait Washeed Lowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 and work the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer and joint of 140 punels over a spon of about 380 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels blowed distresses. The stracked of no	Field Assessment of Jointed Portland Cement Concrete Pavement with Premature		6 Deeforming Organization Cala		
7. Author(s) 8. Performing Organization Report No. David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang InTrans Project 09-352 9. Performing Organization Name and Address InTrans Project 09-352 9. Devide The Author Station Concrete Pavement Technology Center and Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 10. workst University 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 11. Contract or Grant No. Ames, 1A 50010-8664 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE This technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 179-5(183) This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. 16. Abstract The Insural goography of the area and performed in situafiling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 m) thick jointed portand center to pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in jhick subbase layer: Based on the project fravings, grading in the tested pave required fills up to 10 m and cut about to 3 m. Of the 30 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed panels, and the unareal sociaphy of the area and uprearedking happer to oddition shan andeuse layer. B	Distresses – Iowa US 34 Field Study		6. Performing Organiza	ition Code	
David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang Zhang InTrans Project 09-352 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and 11. Contract or Grant No. Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 11. Contract or Grant No. Jowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 14. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Federal Highway Administration 14. Sponsoring Agency Code U.S. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Aremus SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cplechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstrat This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:NUOI 8 studies. The Insurger and about 330 m. Of the 140 panels. 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 200 mm (10 m) thick joined portand cement concrete pavement in stuf failing weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels vortan equired filts up to 10 m and cus about 0.3 m. Of the 330 m as padev1480 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed with 11 materials, about 30 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed to compare the measurement values obtained on yaber 480 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed to compare the measurement	7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organiza	tion Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and 11. Contract or Grant No. Coner for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 11. Contract or Grant No. Jowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 120. New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 14. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cptechecenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical Report to is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The lowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, lowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural gography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectorine resisted of nominal 260 nm (10 in) thick Subase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span requiruef files up to 10 n and cuts about to 3.	David J. White, Pavana Vennapusa, Yang	Zhang	InTrans Project 09-352		
National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER) 11. Contract or Grant No. Iowa State University 11. Contract or Grant No. 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 Ames, IA 50010-8664 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Technical Report 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 TPF-5(183) 15. Supplementary Notes TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H- 00011/WO18 studies. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H- 00011/WO18 studies. The low Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ failing weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 350 m. Of the 140 panels. 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted 0 mmin (10 in) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cut as bout 30 m. Of the 820 mm (10 sub distresses. The surface layer consisted 0 mm (10 sub subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed with measurement values obtained on panels	9. Performing Organization Name and	Address	10. Work Unit No. (TRA	AIS)	
Iowa State University 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 Ames, IA South 26064 II. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Report Technical Report 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Technical Report Federal Highway Administration Technical Report 12.00 New Jersey Avenue SE Technical Report Washington, DC 20590 TPF-5(183) 15. Supplementary Notes Technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and join of 140 panels. System panee showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in), thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cut show to 3 m. Of the 830 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed to (k) values between the cracked panels showed diatistresses. The surface layer consisted of compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut ar	National Concrete Pavement Technology Center for Earthworks Engineering Resea	Center and rch (CEER)	11. Contract or Grant N	No.	
2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 Ames, IA 50010-8664 12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Federal Highway Administration U.S. Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Washington, DC 20590 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.eptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The lowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, lowa showed carly deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural georgraphy of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and join of 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of andural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to revalue differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels, and the analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fil	Iowa State University				
Ames, LA 50010-8664 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Federal Highway Administration Technical Report U.S. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE TFF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 TFF-5(183) 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TFF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ failing weight defectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in with values between the cracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with	2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700				
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Federal Highway Administration Technical Report U.S. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code T200 New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 TPF-5(183) 15. Supplementary Notes TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa Show and Som (DCT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa Show and obstresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavemed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels. So panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of nominal subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of notions stude fill areas. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between cracked panels, with results on uncrac	Ames, IA 50010-8664				
Federal Highway Administration Technical Report U.S. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 TPF-5(183) 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. 17. Howa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels when all with cut cracks and the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked panels, with new without cracks and the rest subgrade conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and the test	12. Sponsoring Organization Name and	l Address	13. Type of Report and	Period Covered	
U.S. Department of Transportation 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 TPF-5(183) 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ failing weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (IPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span require fills up to 10 and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (<i>k</i>) values between the cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. RWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflectom basin parameters and th	Federal Highway Administration		Technical Report		
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE TPF-5(183) Washington, DC 20590 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels. 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about 03 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on ave	U.S. Department of Transportation		14. Sponsoring Agency	Code	
Washington, DC 20590 15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:W018 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels, orar span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portnad cement correcte pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were on average abou	1200 New Jersey Avenue SE		TPF-5(183)		
15. Supplementary Notes Visit www.eptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (PPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels (23%).	Washington, DC 20590				
Visit www.eptechcenter.org or www.ceer.iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and other research reports. 16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 30 m Cot the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values of the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were nigher under cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were analysis was conducted to avale about 1.3 times to uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were higher under cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k v	15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstract This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The low a Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels, 025 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked panels, with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels with and without cracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels, about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels. The set Words 18. Distribution Statement No restrictions. 19. Security Classification (of this report). 10 fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3	Visit www.cptechcenter.org or www.ceer	iastate.edu for color PDF files of this and	other research reports.		
This technical project report is one of the field project reports developed as part of the TPF-5(183) and FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011:WO18 studies. The lowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (PPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 30 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked panels with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were higher under cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%). 17. Key Words 20. Security Classification (of this page)	16. Abstract				
The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 350 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3 times lower areas (38%) than under uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were higher under cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%). 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade 20. Security Classification (of this page)	This technical project report is one of the 00011:WO18 studies.	field project reports developed as part of t	he TPF-5(183) and FHWA	DTFH 61-06-H-	
FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels (23%). 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade 18. Distribution Statement 19. Security Classification (of this report) 20. Security Classification (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price unclassified. 50 NA	The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality. The Iowa State University research team conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 480 m consisted of neutral with 410 metricle, about 250 m consisted of neutral events of a paverage of the subgrade constructed with 410 metricle.				
All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were higher under cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%). 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade No restrictions. 19. Security Classification (of this report) 20. Security Classification (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Solution Statement Solution Statement No No Parmeduction Solution (Statement) No Parmeduction (Statement) Solution (Statement) No Unclassified. Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) No Solution (Statement) Solution (Statement) No Solution (Stateme	FWD tests were conducted to evaluate difference between the cracked and uncracked panel values obtained on panels with and without the second	ferences in the deflection basin parameter s, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test ar ut cracks and in cut and fill areas.	s and the modulus of subgra alysis was conducted to con	de reaction (<i>k</i>) values mpare the measurement	
17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade No restrictions. 19. Security Classification (of this report) 20. Security Classification (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Unclassified. 50 NA	All cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Results showed statistically significant differences in the FWD test results between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels. Similarly, values in the fill areas showed support conditions that are better than in the cut areas. The k values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the k values were higher under cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%).				
17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade No restrictions. 19. Security Classification (of this report) 20. Security Classification (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Unclassified. 50 NA					
concrete pavement — pavement foundation — mechanistic property — seasonal variation — in situ testing — subgrade No restrictions. 19. Security Classification (of this report) 20. Security Classification (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Unclassified. 50 NA	17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statem	ent	
19. Security Classification (of this report)20. Security Classification (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. PriceUnclassified.50NA	concrete pavement—pavement foundation—mechanistic property—seasonal variation—in situ testing—subgrade		No restrictions.		
Image: page / Unclassified. page / Unclassified. 50 NA Form DOT F 1700 7 (8.72) Permeduction of completed page outborized	19. Security Classification (of this report)	20. Security Classification (of this	21. No. of Pages	22. Price	
Form DOT F 1700 7 (8.72)	Unclassified.	Unclassified.	50	NA	
	Form DOT F 1700 7 (9 72)	Benroduction of completed page outhorized			

IMPROVING THE FOUNDATION LAYERS FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENTS: FIELD ASSESSMENT OF JOINTED PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT WITH PREMATURE DISTRESSES – IOWA US 34 FIELD STUDY

Technical Report February 2016

Research Team Members Tom Cackler, David J. White, Jeffrey R. Roesler, Barry Christopher, Andrew Dawson, Heath Gieselman, and Pavana Vennapusa

Report Authors

David J. White, Pavana K. R. Vennapusa, Yang Zhang Iowa State University

Sponsored by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) DTFH61-06-H-00011 Work Plan 18 FHWA Pooled Fund Study TPF-5(183): California, Iowa (lead state), Michigan, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin

Preparation of this report was financed in part through funds provided by the Iowa Department of Transportation through its Research Management Agreement with the Institute for Transportation (InTrans Project 09-352)

National Concrete Pavement Technology Center and Center for Earthworks Engineering Research (CEER)

Iowa State University 2711 South Loop Drive, Suite 4700 Ames, IA 50010-8664 Phone: 515-294-5768 www.cptechcenter.org and www.ceer.iastate.edu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ix
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS	xi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2. EXPERIMENTAL TESTING METHODS	2
Falling Weight Deflectometer	2
Statistical Analysis	7
CHAPTER 3. FIELD TEST RESULTS, OBSERVATIONS, AND ANLAYSIS	9
CHAPTER 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	34
REFERENCES	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. FWD deflection sensor setup used for this study and an example deflection basin	2
Figure 2. Void detection using load-deflection data from FWD test	3
Figure 3. Static k _{PLT} values versus k _{FWD-Dynamic} measurements reported in literature	7
Figure 4. Longitudinal cracking near Sta. 350+00 (7/27/12)	9
Figure 5. Faulting measured along longitudinal crack near Sta. 350+00 (7/27/12)	10
Figure 6. Corner cracking observed near Sta. 350+25 (7/27/12)	10
Figure 7. Mid panel cracking observed near Sta. 349 (7/27/12)	11
Figure 8. Longitudinal cracking near Sta. 348+50 near mile post 194 (7/27/12)	11
Figure 9. Close-up views of the cracks near mile post 194 (7/27/12)	12
Figure 10. Midpanel cracking near on panel 32 near mile post 194 (7/27/12)	13
Figure 11. Corner cracking on panel 84 (7/27/12)	14
Figure 12. Longitudinal and mid-panel cracking on panel 87 (7/27/12)	15
Figure 13. Looking down the creek valley near Sta. 347+00 (7/27/12).	16
Figure 14. Cracks observed on embankment fill slope near Sta. 347+00 (7/27/12)	17
Figure 15. FWD D ₀ versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b)	
40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]	18
Figure 16. Joint LTE from FWD tests versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN	
(18,000 lb) and $(b) 40 kN (9,000 lb)$ [Distance $0 = Sta 350+50$]	19
Figure 17. I-value versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b)	
40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]	20
Figure 18. kFWD-Static-Corr versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb)	
and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance $0 = \text{Sta } 350+50$]	21
Figure 19. SCI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN	
(9,000 lb) [Distance $0 = Sta 350+50$]	22
Figure 20. BDI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN	
(9,000 lb) [Distance $0 = Sta 350+50$]	23
Figure 21. BCI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN	
(9,000 lb) [Distance $0 = Sta 350+50$]	24
Figure 22. AF versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN	
(9,000 lb) [Distance $0 = Sta 350+50$]	25
Figure 23. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-panel comparing	
panels with and without cracks: (a) D ₀ , (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) I-value, (f)	
<i>k</i> _{FWD-Static-Corr} , and (g) SCI	27
Figure 24. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near joint comparing panels with	• •
and without cracks: (a) D_0 , (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) joint LTE, and (f) SCI	28
Figure 25. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-panel comparing	
panels located in fill and cut areas: (a) D_0 , (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) I-value, (f)	.
<i>k</i> _{FWD-Static-Corr} , and (g) SCI	31
Figure 26. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near joint comparing panels	
located in cut and fill areas: (a) D_0 , (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) joint LTE, and (f)	~ ~
SC1	32

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of <i>t</i> test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-	
panel on cracked versus uncracked panels	29
Table 2. Summary of <i>t</i> test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near joint	
on uncracked versus cracked panels	29
Table 3. Summary of <i>t</i> test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-	
panel in cut versus fill areas	33
Table 4. Summary of <i>t</i> test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near joint	
in cut versus fill areas	33

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was conducted under Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) DTFH61-06-H-00011 Work Plan 18 and the FHWA Pooled Fund Study TPF-5(183), involving the following state departments of transportation:

- California
- Iowa (lead state)
- Michigan
- Pennsylvania
- Wisconsin

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the National Concrete Pavement Technology (CP Tech) Center, the FHWA, the Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT), and the other pooled fund state partners for their financial support and technical assistance.

Jay Ridlen, Douglas Swan, Kennth Morrow, and several others with the Iowa DOT provided background information on the project and access the field sections. Dustin Wheatley with Iowa State University provided assistance with field testing. Christianna White provided assistance with technical editing. We greatly appreciate their help.

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS

BCI	Base curvature index
BDI	Base damage index
COV	Coefficient of variation
D_0	Deflection measured under the plate
$\mathbf{D_0}^*$	Non-dimensional deflection coefficient
D_1 to D_7	Deflections measured away from the plate at various set distances
FWD	Falling weight deflectometer
Ι	Intercept
IRI	International roughness index
k	Modulus of subgrade reaction
kFWD-Dynamic	Dynamic modulus of subgrade reaction from FWD test
$k_{\rm FWD-Static}$	Static modulus of subgrade reaction from FWD test
$k_{\rm FWD-Static-Corr}$	Static modulus of subgrade reaction from FWD test corrected for finite
	slab size
L	Relative stiffness
LTE	Load transfer efficiency
n	Number of measurements
Р	Applied load
PCC	Portland cement concrete
r	Plate radius
SCI, BCI, BDI, AF	FWD deflection basin parameters
μ	Statistical mean or average
σ	Statistical standard deviation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Quality foundation layers (the natural subgrade, subbase, and embankment) are essential to achieving excellent pavement performance. Unfortunately, many pavements in the United States still fail due to inadequate foundation layers. To address this problem, a research project, Improving the Foundation Layers for Pavements (FHWA DTFH 61-06-H-00011 WO #18; FHWA TPF-5(183)), was undertaken by Iowa State University (ISU) to identify, and provide guidance for implementing, best practices regarding foundation layer construction methods, material selection, in situ testing and evaluation, and performance-related designs and specifications. As part of the project, field studies were conducted in several in-service concrete pavements across the country that represented either premature failures or successful long-term pavements. A key aspect of each field study was to tie performance of the foundation layers to key engineering properties and pavement performance. In situ foundation layer performance data, as well as original construction data and maintenance/rehabilitation history data, were collected and geospatially and statistically analyzed to determine the effects of site-specific foundation layer construction methods, site evaluation, materials selection, design, treatments, and maintenance procedures on the performance of the foundation layers and of the related pavements. A technical report was prepared for each field study.

The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality due to faulting, settlement, and longitudinal/transverse cracking. The identified sections were located between mile posts 208 and 200 and 194.5 to 193.7 on the west bound (WB) lane of US34. The section between mile posts 194.5 and 193.7 was selected for field testing in this study.

The Iowa State University (ISU) research team visited the site on June 25, 2012 and conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. The start location of the testing was at Sta. 350+50. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses ranging from longitudinal and transverse cracking, mid-panel cracking, corner cracking, and faulting. The ISU research team reviewed the as-built plans and cross-sections of the project site. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 300 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials, about 530 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut.

This report presents the field observations of the ISU research team and results and analysis of in situ falling weight deflectometer tests conducted on US34 WB between mile posts 194.5 and 196.7. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. Pictures documenting the distresses observed on the pavement surface and cracks observed on embankment fill slopes are presented in this report.

Follwing are the key findings from this study:

- All of the cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Distresses observed on the pavement surface included longitudinal cracks, transverse cracks, mid-panel cracks, corner cracks, and faulting.
- Tension cracks were observed on the slope where about 10 m thick embankment fill was placed, which suggest possibility of slope movements.
- The D₀, $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on the uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on the cracked panels.
- The D₀, *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cut and fill areas, with results in the fill areas showing better support conditions than in the cut areas. (Note that all cracked panels were located in the cut area).
- The $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$ values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the *k* values were higher under the cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%).
- The $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$ values were on average about 1.1 times lower in cut areas than in fill areas. The COV of the *k* values were higher in the cut areas (31%) than in the fill areas (21%).
- There was no statistically significant difference in the I values between the cracked and uncracked panels and the cut and fill areas. The I values were all very low ($\leq 1 \mu m$). I > 5 μm is typically considered a trigger value suggesting void beneath the pavement.
- The joint LTE at all panels was relatively high (> 91%), and there was no statistically significant difference between the cracked and the uncracked panels and the cut and fill areas.

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

The Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT) identified that a few sections of pavement on US Highway 34 near Mount Pleasant, Iowa showed early deterioration in ride quality due to faulting, settlement, and longitudinal/transverse cracking. The identified sections were located between mile posts 208 and 200 and 194.5 to 193.7 on the west bound (WB) lane of US34. The section between mile posts 194.5 and 193.7 was selected for field testing in this study.

The Iowa State University (ISU) research team visited the site on June 25, 2012 and conducted a visual survey of the cracked panels and the natural geography of the area and performed in situ falling weight deflectometer testing near center and joint of 140 panels over a span of about 830 m. The start location of the testing was at Sta. 350+50. Of the 140 panels, 25 panels showed distresses ranging from longitudinal and transverse cracking, mid-panel cracking, corner cracking, and faulting. Some of the cracked panels were patched with asphalt at the time of testing. All tests were conducted on the outside (right) lane. Traffic closure during testing was provided by Iowa DOT personnel.

The ISU research team reviewed the as-built plans and cross-sections of the project site. The surface layer consisted of nominal 260 mm (10 in.) thick jointed portland cement concrete pavement (JPCP) placed over 150 to 260 mm (6 to 10 in.) thick subbase layer. Based on the project drawings, grading in the tested span required fills up to 10 m and cuts about to 3 m. Of the 830 m test span, about 300 m consisted of subgrade constructed with fill materials and about 530 m consisted of natural subgrade constructed in cut.

This report presents the field observations of the ISU research team and results and analysis of in situ falling weight deflectometer tests. The FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas.

Chapter 2 describes the FWD test procedure, the parameters calculated from the FWD data, and the statistical analysis procedures used in this study. Chapter 3 presents the results and analysis. Chapter 4 presents the key findings from this study.

CHAPTER 2. EXPERIMENTAL TESTING METHODS

Falling Weight Deflectometer

Falling weight deflectometer (FWD) tests were conducted using a Kuab FWD setup with a 300 mm (11.81 in) diameter loading plate by applying one seating drop and three loading drops. The applied loads varied from about 27 kN (6,000 lb) to 54 kN (12,000 lb) in the three loading drops. The actual applied loads were recorded using a load cell, and deflections were recorded using seismometers mounted on the device, per ASTM D4694-09 *Standard Test Method for Deflections with a Falling-Weight-Type Impulse Load Device.* The FWD plate and deflection sensor setup and a typical deflection basin are shown in Figure 1. To compare deflection values from different test locations at the same applied contact stress, the values at each test location were normalized to a 40 kN (9,000 lb) applied force.



Figure 1. FWD deflection sensor setup used for this study and an example deflection basin

FWD tests were near mid panel and at joints. Tests conducted at the joints were used to determine joint load transfer efficiency (LTE) and voids beneath the pavement based on "zero"

load intercept values. Tests conducted at the center of the slab panels were used to determine modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values and the intercept values. The procedures used to calculate these parameters are described below.

LTE was determined by obtaining deflections under the plate on the loaded slab (D_0) and deflections of the unloaded slab (D_1) using a sensor positioned about 305 mm (12 in.) away from the center of the plate (Figure 1). The LTE was calculated using Equation 4.

$$LTE(\%) = \frac{D_1}{D_0} \times 100$$
 (4)

Voids underneath pavements can be detected by plotting the applied load measurements on the X-axis and the corresponding deflection measurements on the y-axis and plotting a best fit linear regression line, as illustrated in Figure 2, to determine the "zero" load intercept (I) values. AASHTO (1993) suggests I = 0.05 mm (2 mils) as a critical value for void detection. According to von Quintus and Simpson (2002), if I = -0.01 and +0.01 mm, then the response would be considered elastic. If I > 0.01 then the response would be considered deflection hardening, and if I < -0.01 then the response would be considered deflection softening.



Figure 2. Void detection using load-deflection data from FWD test

Pavement layer temperatures at different depths were obtained during FWD testing, in accordance with the guidelines from Schmalzer (2006). The temperature measurements were used to determine equivalent linear temperature gradients (T_L) following the temperaturemoment concept suggested by Jannsen and Snyder (2000). According to Vandenbossche (2005), I-values are sensitive to temperature induced curling and warping affects. Large positive temperature gradients (i.e., when the surface is warmer than the bottom) that cause the panel corners to curl down result in false negative I-values. Conversely, large negative gradients (i.e., when the surface is conversely, large negative gradients (i.e., when the bottom) that cause the panel corners to curl upward result in false positive I-values. Interpretation of I-values therefore should consider the temperature gradient. Concerning LTE measurements for doweled joints, the temperature gradient is reportedly not a critical factor (Vandenbossche 2005).

The SCI, BDI, BCI, and AF measurements are referred to as deflection basin parameters and are determined using the following equations:

$$SCI(mm) = D_0 - D_2 \tag{5}$$

$$BDI(mm) = D_2 - D_4 \tag{6}$$

$$BCI(mm) = D4 - D5 \tag{7}$$

AF (mm) =
$$\frac{152.4 \times (D_0 + 2D_2 + 2D_4 + D_5)}{D_0}$$
 (8)

where, D_0 = peak deflection measured directly beneath the plate, D_2 = peak deflection measured at 305 mm away from the plate center, D_4 = peak deflection measured at 510 mm away from the plate centre, and D_5 = peak deflection measured at 914 mm away from the plate centre.

According to Horak (1987), the SCI parameter provides a measure of the strength/ stiffness of the upper portion (base layers) of the pavement foundation layers (Horak 1987). Similarly, BDI represents layers between 300 mm and 600 mm depth (base and subbase layers) and BCI represents layers between 600 mm and 900 mm depth (subgrade layers) from the surface (Kilareski and Anani 1982). The AF is primarily the normalized (with D_0) area under the deflection basin curve up to sensor D_5 (AASHTO 1993). AF has been used to characterize variations in the foundation layer material properties by some researchers (e.g., Stubstad 2002). Comparatively, lower SCI or BDI or BCI or AF values indicate better support conditions (Horak 1987).

The *k* values were determined using the AREA₄ method described in AASHTO (1993). Since the *k* value determined from FWD test represents a dynamic value, it is referred to here as $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$. Deflections obtained from four sensors (D₀, D₂, D₄, and D₅ shown in Figure 1 were used in the AREA₄ calculation. The AREA method was first proposed by Hoffman and Thompson (1981) for flexible pavements and has since been applied extensively for concrete pavements (Darter et al. 1995). AREA₄ is calculated using Equation 5 and has dimensions of length (in inches), as it is normalized with deflections under the center of the plate (D₀):

$$AREA_{4} = 6 + 12 \times \left(\frac{D_{2}}{D_{0}}\right) + 12 \times \left(\frac{D_{4}}{D_{0}}\right) + 6 \times \left(\frac{D_{5}}{D_{0}}\right)$$
(5)

where D_0 = deflections measured directly under the plate (in.); D_2 = deflections measured at 305 mm (12 in.) away from the plate center (in.); D_4 = deflections measured at 610 mm (24 in.) away from the plate center (in.); and D_5 = deflections measured at 914 mm (36 in.) away from the plate center (in.). The AREA₄ method can also be calculated using different sensor configurations and setups, (i.e., using deflection data from 3, 5, or 7 sensors), and those methods are described in detail in the literature (Substad et al. 2006, Smith et al. 2007)

In early research conducted using the AREA method, the ILLI-SLAB finite element program was used to compute a matrix of maximum deflections at the plate center and the AREA values by varying the subgrade k, the modulus of the PCC layer, and the thickness of the slab (ERES Consultants, Inc. 1982). Measurements obtained from FWD tests were then compared with the ILLI-SLAB program results to determine the k values through back calculation. Barenberg and Petros (1991) and Ioannides (1990) proposed a forward solution procedure based on Westergaard's solution for loading on an infinite plate to replace the back calculation procedure. This forward solution presented a unique relationship between AREA value (for a given load and sensor arrangement) and the dense liquid radius of relative stiffness (L) in which subgrade is characterized by the k value. The radius of relative stiffness (L) is estimated using Equation 6:

$$L = \left[\frac{\ln\left(\frac{x_1 - AREA_4}{x_2}\right)}{x_3}\right]^{x_4}$$
(6)

where $x_1 = 36$, $x_2 = 1812.279$, $x_3 = -2.559$, $x_4 = 4.387$. It must be noted that the x_1 to x_4 values vary with the sensor arrangement and these values are only valid for the AREA₄ sensor setup. Once, the L value is known, the $k_{\text{FWD-Dynamic}}$ value can be estimated using Equation 7:

$$k_{FWD-Dynamic} \quad (pci) = \frac{PD_0^*}{D_0 L^2} \tag{7}$$

where P = applied load (lbs), $D_0 =$ deflection measured at plate center (inches), and $D_0^* =$ nondimensional deflection coefficient calculated using Equation 8:

$$D_0^* = a \cdot e^{-be^{-cL}} \tag{8}$$

where a = 0.12450, b = 0.14707, c = 0.07565. It must be noted that these equations and coefficients are valid for an FWD setup with an 11.81 in. diameter plate.

The advantages of the AREA₄ method are the ease of use without back calculations and the use of multiple sensor data. The disadvantages are that the process assumes that the slab and the subgrade are horizontally infinite. This assumption leads to underestimating the k values of

jointed pavements. Crovetti (1993) developed the following slab size corrections for a square slab that is based on finite element analysis conducted using the ILLI-SLAB program and is for use in the $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$:

Adjusted
$$D_0 = D_0 \left(1 - 1.15085 e^{-0.71878 \left(\frac{L'}{L}\right)^{0.80151}} \right)$$
 (9)

Adjusted
$$L = L\left(1 - 0.89434e^{-0.61662\left(\frac{L'}{L}\right)^{1.04831}}\right)$$
 (10)

where L' = slab size (smaller dimension of a rectangular slab, length or width). This procedure also has limitations: (1) it considers only a single slab with no load transfer to adjacent slabs, and (2) it assumes a square slab. The square slab assumption is considered to produce sufficiently accurate results when the smaller dimension of a rectangular slab is assumed as L' (Darter et al. 1995). Darter et al. (1995) suggested using $L' = \sqrt{Length \times Width}$ to further refine slab size corrections. However, no established procedures for correcting for load transfer to adjacent slabs have been reported, so accounting for load transfer remains as a limitation of this method.

AASHTO (1993) suggests dividing the $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$ value by a factor of 2 to determine the equivalent $k_{FWD-Static}$ value. The origin of this factor 2 dates back to Foxworthy's work in the 1980s. Foxworthy (1985) reported comparisons between the $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$ values obtained using Dynatest model 8000 FWD and the Static k values (Static k_{PLT}) obtained from 30 in. diameter plate load tests (the exact procedure followed to calculate the Static k_{PLT} is not reported in Foxworthy 1985). Foxworthy used the AREA based back calculation procedure using the ILLI-SLAB finite element program. Results obtained from Foxworthy's study (Figure 3) are based on 7 FWD tests conducted on PCC pavements with slab thicknesses varying from about 10 in. to 25.5 in. and plate load tests conducted on the foundation layer immediately beneath the pavement over a 4 ft x 5 ft test area. A few of these sections consisted of a 5 to 12 in. thick base course layer and some did not. The subgrade layer material consisted of CL soil from Sheppard Air Force Base in Texas, SM soil from Seymour-Johnson Air Force Base in North Carolina, and an unspecified soil type from McDill Air Force base in Florida. No slab size correction was performed on this dataset.

Data from Foxworthy (1985) yielded a logarithmic relationship between the dynamic and the static *k* values. On average, the $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$ values were about 2.4 times greater than the Static k_{PLT} values. Darter et al. (1995) indicated that the factor 2 is reasonable based on results from other test sites (Figure 3). Darter et al. (1995) also compared FWD test data from eight long-term pavement performance (LTPP) test sections with the Static k_{PLT} values and reported factors ranging from 1.78 to 2.16, with an average of about 1.91. The $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$ values used in that comparison were corrected for slab size. For the analysis conducted in this research project, the corrected $k_{FWD-Dynamic}$ values (for finite slab size) were divided by 2 and are reported as $k_{FWD-Static-Corr}$ values.



Figure 3. Static *k*_{PLT} values versus *k*_{FWD-Dynamic} measurements reported in literature

Statistical Analysis

Student *t*-test analysis (Ott and Longnecker 2008) was conducted to assess differences between results obtained on cracked and uncracked panels, using the following equations:

$$= \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_1}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{n_1}}}$$
(6)

where,

$$S_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{(n_{0} - 1) \times s_{0}^{2} + (n_{1} - 1) \times s_{1}^{2}}{n_{0} + n_{1} - 2}}$$
(7)

 n_0 and n_1 = number of measurements obtained on cracked or uncracked section, respectively; S_p = pooled standard deviation; and s_0 and s_1 = standard deviation of measurements obtained on cracked or uncracked sections, respectively. The observed *t*-values were compared with the minimum t-value for a one-tailed test with degree of freedom (df) = $n_0 + n_1 - 2$, for 95% confidence level (i.e., $\alpha = 0.05$). When comparing measurements from cracked or uncracked sections, if the *t*-values were greater than the minimum *t*-value, then it was concluded that there is sufficient evidence that the measurements were statistically different.

CHAPTER 3. FIELD TEST RESULTS, OBSERVATIONS, AND ANLAYSIS

Pictures in Figure 4 to Figure 12 show the various distresses observed on the pavement surface layer, such as longitudinal cracks, transverse cracks, mid-panel cracks, corner cracks, and faulting.

Figure 13 and Figure 14 show pictures of embankment slope on the north side of US34 near Sta. 347+00 that was built up with about 10 m thick embankment fill material. Tension cracks were observed on the slope as shown in Figure 14, which suggests the possibility of slope movements.

FWD test results measurements obtained near joints and mid-panel are presented in Figure 15 to Figure 22. The figures identify zones of cracked panels and cut or fill. All of the cracked panels are located in the cut areas.



Figure 4. Longitudinal cracking near Sta. 350+00 (7/27/12)



Figure 5. Faulting measured along longitudinal crack near Sta. 350+00 (7/27/12)



Figure 6. Corner cracking observed near Sta. 350+25 (7/27/12)



Figure 7. Mid panel cracking observed near Sta. 349 (7/27/12)



Figure 8. Longitudinal cracking near Sta. 348+50 near mile post 194 (7/27/12)



Figure 9. Close-up views of the cracks near mile post 194 (7/27/12)



Figure 10. Midpanel cracking near on panel 32 near mile post 194 (7/27/12)



Figure 11. Corner cracking on panel 84 (7/27/12)



Figure 12. Longitudinal and mid-panel cracking on panel 87 (7/27/12)



Figure 13. Looking down the creek valley near Sta. 347+00 (7/27/12).



Figure 14. Cracks observed on embankment fill slope near Sta. 347+00 (7/27/12)



Figure 15. FWD D₀ versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 16. Joint LTE from FWD tests versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 17. I-value versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 18. kFWD-Static-Corr versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 19. SCI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 20. BDI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 21. BCI versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]



Figure 22. AF versus distance with applied loads of (a) 80 kN (18,000 lb) and (b) 40 kN (9,000 lb) [Distance 0 = Sta 350+50]

Box plots showing FWD test measurements obtained on panels with and without cracks are shown along with number of measurements (n), mean, and standard deviation statistics in Figure 23 and Figure 24 for tests conducted near mid-panel and joint, respectively. Summaries of t-test analysis results that compare measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks are provided in Table 1 for tests conducted near mid-panel and Table 2 for tests near joint.

Following are the key findings from the statistical analysis test results:

- The D₀, *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on cracked panels.
- The *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr} values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the *k* values were higher under cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%).
- There was no statistically significant difference in the I values between the cracked and uncracked panels. The I values were all very low ($\leq 1 \mu m$). I > 5 μm is typically considered a trigger value suggesting void beneath the pavement.
- The joint LTE at all panels was relatively high (> 91%) and there was no statistically significant difference between the cracked and the uncracked panels.



Figure 23. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-panel comparing panels with and without cracks: (a) D₀, (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) I-value, (f) *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, and (g) SCI



Figure 24. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near joint comparing panels with and without cracks: (a) D₀, (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) joint LTE, and (f) SCI

Parameter	No crack or crack	Mean	COV (%)	t-value	Pr
D ₀ (μm)	No Crack	99	20	4.07	.0.001
	Crack	135	32	-4.07	< 0.001
I ()	No Crack	< 1	760	0.1	0.212
I (μm)	Crack	1	473	-8.1	
1- (1- D -/)	No Crack	29	23	1.00	< 0.001
KFWD-Static-Corr (kPa/mm)	Crack	22	38	4.06	< 0.001
SCI (um)	No Crack	6	23	2 02	0.005
SCI (µIII)	Crack	8	41	-2.82	0.005
	No Crack	9	14	2 71	< 0.001
δDI (μΙΙΙ)	Crack	12	31	-3.71	< 0.001
$\mathbf{DCI}(\mathbf{um})$	No Crack	9	109	1.00	0.025
	Crack	11	41	-1.99	0.023
AF (mm)	No Crack	808	2	0.02	0.19
	Crack	812	3	-0.93	0.18

Table 1. Summary of *t* test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near midpanel on cracked versus uncracked panels

Note: Highlighted cell indicates statistically significant difference at 95% confidence level between the cracked and the uncracked panels

Table 2. Summary of <i>t</i> test analysis results on	FWD deflection basin parameters near joint
on uncracked versus cracked panels	

Parameter	No crack or crack	Mean	COV (%)	t-value	Pr
\mathbf{D}_{i} (um)	No Crack	106	20	2 72	< 0.001
D_0 (µIII)	Crack	147	35	-3.75	< 0.001
$\mathbf{ITE}(0/1)$	No Crack	96	2	0.11	0.46
LIE(70)	Crack	96	3	-0.11	0.40
SCI (um)	No Crack	10	32	2 72	0.006
SCI (µIII)	Crack	13	36	-2.15	0.000
PDI (um)	No Crack	12	22	251	< 0.001
ΒΟΙ (μΠ)	Crack	16	32	-3.34	
PCI (um)	No Crack	10	24	2 00	< 0.001
BCI (µm)	Crack	13	32	-3.00	< 0.001
AF (mm)	No Crack	777	2	1.25	0.004
	Crack	783	3	-1.33	0.094

Note: Highlighted cell indicates statistically significant difference at 95% confidence level between the cracked and the uncracked panels

Box plots showing FWD test measurements obtained in cut and fill areas are shown along with number of measurements (n), mean, and standard deviation statistics in Figure 25 and Figure 26 for tests conducted near mid-panel and joint, respectively. Summary of t-test analysis results comparing measuremet values obtained on panels in cut and fill areas as provided in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

Following are the key findings from the statistical analysis test results:

- The D₀, *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cut and fill areas, with results in fill areas showing better support conditions than in cut areas. As indicated earlier, all cracked panels were located in the cut areas.
- The *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr} values were on average about 1.1 times lower in cut areas than in fill areas. The COV of the *k* values were higher in the cut areas (31%) than in the fill areas (21%).
- There was no statistically significant difference in the I values cut and fill areas. The I values were all very low ($\leq 1 \mu m$).
- The joint LTE at all panels was relatively high (> 91%) and there was no statistically significant difference between tests conducted in cut and fill areas.



Figure 25. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near mid-panel comparing panels located in fill and cut areas: (a) D₀, (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) I-value, (f) *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, and (g) SCI



Figure 26. Box plots of FWD deflection basin parameters near joint comparing panels located in cut and fill areas: (a) D₀, (b) BCI, (c) BDI, (d) AF, (e) joint LTE, and (f) SCI

			COV		
Parameter	Fill or Cut	Mean	(%)	t-value	Pr
D (um)	Fill	101	25	1 77	0.030
$D_0(\mu m)$	Cut	109	28	-1.//	0.039
I (um)	Fill	0	791	0.47	0.319
Ι (μπ)	Cut	1	644	-0.47	
1_{r} $(1_r \mathbf{D}_0 / \mathbf{m}_r)$	Fill	29	21	2.04	0.022
KFWD-Static-Corr (KPa/mm)	Cut	27	31	2.04	
SCI (um)	Fill	6	30	2 46	0.008
SCI (µIII)	Cut	7	32	-2.40	
	Fill	9	14	0.15	0.017
BDI (µm)	Cut	10	26	-2.15	0.017
	Fill	10	142	0.242	0.267
BCI (µm)	Cut	9	34	0.342	0.307
AF (mm)	Fill	808	2	0.42	0.337
	Cut	809	2	-0.42	

Table 3. Summary of *t* test analysis results on FWD deflection basin parameters near midpanel in cut versus fill areas

Note: Highlighted cell indicates statistically significant difference at 95% confidence level between the cut and fill areas

Table 4. Summary of t test analysis r	results on FWD deflect	ion basin parameters	near joint
in cut versus fill areas			

Parameter	Cut or Fill	Mean	COV (%)	t-value	Pr	
\mathbf{D}_{i} (um)	Fill	105	21	2 78	0.002	
D_0 (µm)	Cut	118	31	-2.78	0.005	
ITE(0/2)	Fill	96	2	0.08	0.467	
LIE(%)	Cut	96	3	-0.08		
SCI (um)	Fill	10	36	2 25	0.010	
SCI (µIII)	Cut	11	33	-2.55	0.010	
DDI (um)	Fill	12	25	2 42	0.008	
σσι (μπ)	Cut	13	28	-2.42		
DCI (um)	Fill	9	24	2.07	0.001	
BCI (µm)	Cut	11	31	-3.07	0.001	
	Fill	780	2	0.02	0 1 9 0	
Ar (mm)	Cut	777	2	0.92	0.180	

Note: Highlighted cell indicates statistically significant difference at 95% confidence level between the cut and fill areas

CHAPTER 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report presented the field observations of the ISU research team and results and analysis of in situ falling weight deflectometer tests conducted on US34 WB between mile posts 194.5 and 196.7. FWD tests were conducted to evaluate differences in the deflection basin parameters and the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) values between the cracked and uncracked panels, and cut and fill areas. Statistical t-test analysis was conducted to compare the measurement values obtained on panels with and without cracks and in cut and fill areas. Pictures documenting the distresses observed on the pavement surface and cracks observed on embankment fill slopes are presented in this report.

Follwing are the key findings from this study:

- All of the cracked panels were located in the cut areas. Distresses observed on the pavement surface included longitudinal cracks, transverse cracks, mid-panel cracks, corner cracks, and faulting.
- Tension cracks were observed on the slope where about 10 m thick embankment fill was placed, which suggest possibility of slope movements.
- The D₀, $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cracked and uncracked panels, with results on the uncracked panels representing better support conditions than on the cracked panels.
- The D₀, *k*_{FWD-Static-Corr}, SCI, BDI, and BCI values showed statistically significant differences between cut and fill areas, with results in the fill areas showing better support conditions than in the cut areas. (Note that all cracked panels were located in the cut area).
- The $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$ values were on average about 1.3 times lower under cracked panels than under uncracked panels. The COV of the *k* values were higher under the cracked panels (38%) than under the uncracked panels (23%).
- The $k_{\text{FWD-Static-Corr}}$ values were on average about 1.1 times lower in cut areas than in fill areas. The COV of the *k* values were higher in the cut areas (31%) than in the fill areas (21%).
- There was no statistically significant difference in the I values between the cracked and uncracked panels and the cut and fill areas. The I values were all very low ($\leq 1 \mu m$). I > 5 μm is typically considered a trigger value suggesting void beneath the pavement.
- The joint LTE at all panels was relatively high (> 91%) and there was no statistically significant difference between the cracked and the uncracked panels and the cut and fill areas.

REFERENCES

- AASHTO. (1993). *AASHTO design guide for design of pavement structures*. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington DC.
- ASTM D4694-09. (2009). "Standard Test Method for Deflections with a Falling-Weight-Type Impulse Load Device." American Standards for Testing Methods (ASTM), West Conshohocken, PA.
- Barenberg, E. J., and Petros, K. A. (1991). Evaluation of Concrete Pavements Using NDT Results, Illinois Highway Research Project IHR-512, University of Illinois and Illinois Department of Transportation, Report No. UILU-ENG-91-2006, IL.
- Crovetti, J. A. (1994). "Evaluation of jointed concrete pavement systems incorporating opengraded bases." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL.
- Darter, M. I., Hall, K. T., and Kuo, C-M. (1995). *Support under Portland Cement Concrete Pavements*, NCHRP Report 372, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C.
- ERES Consultants Inc. (1982). *Techniques for Pavement Rehabilitation: A Training Course*. U.S. Department of Transportation, FHWA, Washington, D.C.
- Foxworthy, P. T. (1985). "Concepts for the Development of a Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation System for Rigid Airfield Pavements." Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL.
- Hoffman, M. S., and Thompson, M. R. (1981). *Mechanistic Interpretation of Nondestructive Pavement Testing Deflections*. Transportation Engineering Series No. 32, Illinois cooperative Highway and Transportation Research Series No. 190, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL.
- Horak, R. (1987). "The use of surface deflection basin measurements in the mechanistic analysis of flexible pavements," *Proc., 5th Intl. Conf. on Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements,* Vol. 1, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Ioannides, A. M. (1990). "Dimensional analysis in NDT rigid pavement evaluation", *Transportation Engineering Journal*, ASCE, Vol. 116, No. TE1.
- Jannsen, D., and Snyder, M. (2000). Temperature-Moment Concept for Evaluating Pavement Temperature Data. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 6(2), 81–83.
- Kilareski, W. P., and Anani, B. A. (1982). "Evaluation of in-situ moduli and pavement life from deflection basins." *Proc., 5th Int. Conf. on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements*, Delft Univ. of Technology, Delft, Netherlands.
- Ott, R. L., and Longnecker, M. 2008. *An introduction to statistical methods and data analysis*, 6th Ed., Duxbury, Pacific Grove, CA.
- Schmalzer, P. (2006). LTPP Manual for Falling Weight Deflectometer Measurements–Version 4.1 (Report No. FHWA-HRT-06-132). Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- Smith, K. D., Wade, M. J., Bruinsma, J. E., Chatti, K., Vandenbossche, J. M., Yu, H. T., Hoerner, T. E., Tayabji, S. D. (2007). Using Falling Weight Deflectometer Data with Mechanistic-Empirical Design and Analysis, Draft Interim Report, DTFH61-06-C-0046, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.
- Substad, R. N., Jiang, Y. J., and Lukanen, E. O. (2006). Guidelines for Review and Evaluation of Backcalculation Results, FHWA-RD-05-152, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.

- Vandenbossche, J. M. (2005). "Effects of slab temperature profiles on the use of falling weight deflectometer data to monitor joint performance and detect voids." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. No. 2005, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, DC, pp. 75-85.
- von Quintus, H. L., and A. L. Simpson. (2002). Backcalculation of Layer Parameters for LTPP Test Sections, Volume II: Layered Elastic Analysis for Flexible and Rigid Pavements, Research Report, Long-Term Pavement Performance Program, Report No. FHWA-RD-01-113, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, October 2002.