# DETERMINATION OF RUMBLE STRIP EFFECTIVENESS

IOWA HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD HR-184 Final Report

> Prepared by Design Safety Section Office of Road Design Highway Division Telephone 515-296-1184



January 1979

10WA

#### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of the Iowa Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation

#### DETERMINATION

#### OF

#### RUMBLE STRIP EFFECTIVENESS

Project HR-184

Final Report

by

Shyamal Basu

Conducted by Office of Road Design Highway Division Iowa Department of Transportation Ames, Iowa 50010 (515)296-1392

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

												Page
Introduct	ion	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Discussio	on	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
Saturated	l vs.	Con	ntrol	led	Cond	itic	ons	•	•	•	•	8
Nighttime	e Acc	ider	nts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
Conclusio	ons	•	• .	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	14
Appendix Rumble	A Stri	p St	anda	rd	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
Appendix Rumble	B Stri	p Lo	ocati	ons.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
Appendix Agreeme	C ents	with	Cou	Intie	es	•	•	•	•	•	•	27
Appendix An Acci	D Ident	Rep	ort		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31

#### ABSTRACT

Rumble strips are patches of specially treated pavement surfaces which are designed to produce aural and tactile stimuli inside vehicles. The intent is to alert drivers and when desirable, cause them to slow down or come to a stop. Installations were made in a three-county area in Iowa to study rumble strip effectiveness as an accident reducing measure. The investigation of accidents at the various test sites showed that rumble strips were effective in reducing certain types of intersection accidents. Although no statistically significant effect of the 'saturation' use was found on total accidents, there are indications that accidents may be reduced when used in low density i.e., rural type areas.

#### INTRODUCTION

#### Problem Statement

A considerable percentage of accidents at intersections are of the type where one or more of the drivers fail to stop at the stop sign. Both human and environmental factors may be contributing causes for most of these accidents. Unfamiliarity with the area, poor sight distance, inclement weather are examples of some of the factors. Rumble strips, a device to alert drivers by noise created by vehicle tires on specially treated pavement surfaces, are thought to be one of the appropriate solutions to the above mentioned accident problems. A rumble strip can consist of sawed grooves in the pavement, a series of transverse sprayed thermoplastic strips, or some other means of creating the 'rumble' effect. The Iowa Department of Transportation has been using a pattern of grooved sections cut in the pavement surface in advance of stop controls as shown in Appendix A, at a limited number of selected intersections as depicted in Appendix B. Some counties have adopted a similar program.

In addition to the benefits that may be obtained from accident reductions as a result of rumble strip installations, the effects of 'saturation' use of rumble strips on the total 'ran stop sign' type accidents were studied.

#### <u>Objectives</u>

The purpose of the research was to identify the effectiveness

-1-

of the rumble strip as a traffic safety device when used on a widespread basis in both rural and urban situations. Further, with installations at many types of intersections involving varying geometric and operational characteristics, the effect on total intersection related accidents in the three counties that participated in the above program could be analyzed.

#### Procedure

The rumble strips were cut by Iowa D.O.T. Maintenance personnel at all rural paved intersections in a three county area at which a stop condition existed and where the pavement design permitted. Locations included Primary to Primary, Primary to Secondary, and Secondary to Secondary highway intersections. Black Hawk, Bremer and Chickasaw counties participated in this research program. These counties offered the locations desired in that they are adjacent counties, one with urban characteristics, one with rural characteristics, and one with an intermediate environment.

All of the rumble strip sections were cut in a manner similar to the standard depicted in Appendix A. The locations where rumble strips were placed in 1976 are shown on the county maps and listings in Appendix B.

The analysis and evaluation of the program considers changes in the number and severity of accidents at intersections which had rumble strips placed. In addition, accidents at all intersections in the 'before' and 'after' period for Bremer and

-2-

Chickasaw Counties were compared with similar data for adjacent counties. Due to the unique characteristics of Black Hawk County in that area, its accident data could not be meaningfully compared with any other county.

The effectiveness of rumble strips as an accident reduction measure was studied by comparing 1975 accidents as the 'before' data with 1977 accidents as the 'after' data. For the second part of the study, i.e., the effect of the 'saturation use' of rumble strips on intersection accidents, the 'before' period used was from January 1, 1974 through June 30, 1975 while the 'after' period was from January 1, 1977 through June 30, 1978.

#### DISCUSSION

As previously stated, rumble strips were cut at all paved intersections at which a stop condition existed and where the pavement design permitted in Chickasaw, Bremer and Black Hawk Counties. These locations included Primary to Primary, Primary to Secondary and Secondary to Secondary highway intersections. The accident data for all three categories of intersections mentioned above were combined as there were no recognized differences among these locations and also because of low frequencies in the total accident occurrence.

In the first part, an evaluation is made of the effect rumble strips have on the number of accidents at locations receiving rumble strips as part of this evaluation program. As the accident reduction capability of rumble strips was studied in this part, only those locations that had previous accident experience were considered. Table I shows the 'before' and 'after' accidents at the above locations by severity class, i.e., property damage, nonfatal injury and fatal types. However, the total number of accidents, only, does not reflect differences in severities. Therefore, a method of weighting the accidents based on severities is needed. This is commonly done by assigning weights of 1, 3 and 12 to property damage, nonfatal injury, and the fatal accidents respectively. As shown in Table I, the total severity points are evaluated based on the above weighting factors. Table I also shows

-4-

# 'BEFORE' AND 'AFTER' ACCIDENTS FOR SELECTED LOCATIONS

	S	SEVERITY			Rumble	
County	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total	Strip Related	Severity Points●
Black Hawk	1	17	21	39	8	84
Bremer	0	5	3	8	2	18
Chickasaw	0	9	13	22	7	40
Total	1	31	37	69	17	142

#### BEFORE PERIOD

#### AFTER PERIOD

Black Hawk	1	13	19	33	. 2	70
Bremer	0	4	5	9	1	17
Chickasaw	0	1	1	2	0	4
TOTAL	1	18	25	44	3	91

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Black Hawk	0	23.5	9.5	15.4	75.0*	16.7
Bremer	0	20	-66.6	-12.5	50.0	5.6
Chickasaw	0	88.9*	92.3*	90.9*	100.0*	90.0
Total	0	41.9	32.4	36.2*	82.4*	35.9

• Fatal = 12, Injury = 3, Property Damage = 1

\* Denotes statistically significant change

1

-5-

:

that positive reduction in total accidents for all three counties combined occurred in all but the fatal category where there was no change.

A rumble strip related accident is defined, for purposes of this study, as an accident which could be directly influenced by rumble strips. These accidents would involve at least one driver on the rumble strip leg of the intersection who is unaware of the stop control situation and the impending hazard.

Positive reductions occurred in rumble strip related accidents in each of the three counties as shown in Table I. The reductions for Black Hawk County (urban type) and Chickasaw County (rural type) are statistically significant at the 95% level of confidence using the Chi-square test of significance. The Chi-square test is a 'conservative test' which minimizes the chance of calling a reduction significant when it is not.<sup>1</sup> Statistically significant reductions occurred in Chickasaw County for total injury, total property damage and overall total accidents. Statistically significant reductions also occurred for the combined total for all accidents in the three counties and also for the combined total for all rumble strip related accidents. The reductions in the total injury and property damage accidents were significant by the 'liberal' test using the Poisson distribution but failed the Chi-square or the 'conservative' test.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R. M. Michaels, "Two Simple Techniques for Determining the Significance of Accident Reducing Measures". Traffic Engineering, September, 1966. -6-

A random check on the 'before' and 'after' traffic volumes at the various intersections revealed the change to be quite small. This fact is quite helpful in the 'before' and 'after' comparison, as it has been established by a study that marked differences in traffic volumes do affect the accident experience.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"The Interstate Highway Accident Study by Morton S. Raff", Highway Research Board Bulletin 74, 1955, pp 18-45.

#### 'SATURATED' vs. 'CONTROLLED' CONDITIONS

The total intersection related accidents for the 'saturated' counties, i.e., Black Hawk, Bremer and Chickasaw are shown in Table II. The intersection accidents that involve 'stop sign' conditions are shown in Table III. These latter type accidents would be the ones expected to be affected by rumble strip installations. A comparison of the 'before' and 'after' accidents in Tables II and III shows that while total accidents in Bremer County (intermediate type) increased somewhat and a small reduction occurred in Chickasaw County (rural type), stop sign related accidents those that could be influenced by rumble strips, were i.e., reduced considerably. These changes, however, are not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. In Black Hawk County (urban type), the accidents increased in both total number and 'stop sign' related types. The increases have been statistically significant for total injury and also for the 'stop sign' related injury accidents. However, the 'stop sign' related accidents as a percent of total accidents changed from 23.7% in the 'before' to 29.6% in the 'after' period which is not that pronounced. It seems like in urban areas, high volumes of traffic, resulting in delay and congestion in some cases, is a contributing factor in accident occurrences.

In order to evaluate the effect of the 'saturated' condition on accidents, two other counties, one for rural type (Floyd) and one for intermediate type (Butler) were selected as 'controlled' areas, to compare with Chickasaw and Bremer Counties. No comparable county for Black Hawk was found in the area.

Table IV shows the total accidents and Table V shows the accidents that were related to stop signs. As it is shown in Table IV, the total accidents decreased 7.3% in both Floyd and Butler Counties.

-8-

TABLE II

#### TOTAL INTERSECTION ACCIDENTS FOR 'SATURATED' CONDITION

	SEVERITY			• •		
County	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total	Night	Percent Night
Black Hawk	4	40	<b>7</b> 0	114	29	25.4
Bremer	1	28	36	65	22	33.8
Chickasaw	0	19	21	40	14	35.0

#### BEFORE PERIOD

#### AFTER PERIOD

Black Hawk	3	63	69	135	30	22.2
Bremer	1	32	46	79	23	29.1
Chickasaw	2	13	19	34	8	23.5

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Black Hawk	25	-57.5*	1.4	-18.4	-3.4	
Bremer	0	-14.3	-27.8	-21.5	-4.5	
Chickasaw	-	31.6	9.5	15.0	42.9	

Minus sign indicates increase

\* Indicates statistically significant change

TABLE I	I	T
---------	---	---

# STOP SIGN RELATED ACCIDENTS FOR 'SATURATED' CONDITION

	S	SEVERITY				
County	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total	Night	Percent Night
Black Hawk	2	9	16	27	3	11.1
Bremer	1	10	6	17	6	35.3
Chickasaw	0	6	8	14	3	21.4

#### BEFORE PERIOD

#### AFTER PERIOD

Black Hawk	1	21	18	40	4	10.0
Bremer	0	5	7	12	1	8.3
Chickasaw	0	3	3	6	2	33.3
						· · ·

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Black Hawk	50	-133.3*	-12.5	-48.1	-33.3	
Bremer	100	50.0	-16.7	29.4	83.3	-
Chickasaw	-	50.0	62.5	57.1	33.3	-

Minus sign indicates increase

\* Indicates statistically significant change

TABLE IV

# TOTAL INTERSECTION ACCIDENTS FOR 'CONTROLLED' CONDITION

## BEFORE PERIOD

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······	
	SEVERITY					
			Property			Percent
County	Fatal	Injury	Damage	Total	Night	Night
Butler	1	24	30	55	14	25.5
Floyd	0	27	28	. 55	15	27.3

#### AFTER PERIOD

Butler	0	18	33	51	13	<b>2</b> 5 <b>.</b> 5
Floyd	0	28	23 <sup>.</sup>	51	22	43.1

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Butler	100.0	25.0	-10.0	7.3	7.2	-
Floyd	0	-3.7	17.9	7.3	-13.4	_

Minus sign indicates increase

TABLE V

STOP SIGN RELATED ACCIDENTS FOR 'CONTROLLED' CONDITION

#### BEFORE PERIOD

	SEVERITY					
County	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total	Night	Percent Night
Butler	1	6	5	12	6	50
Floyd	0	7	5	12	2	16.7

#### AFTER PERIOD

Butler	0	3	2	5	0	0	1
Floyd	0	10	2	12	3	25	

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Butler	100	50	60	58.3	100	-
Floyd	-	-42.9	60	0	-50	-

Minus sign indicates increase

In comparison, Table V shows no reduction in the stop sign related accidents for Floyd County but does show a large reduction for Butler County. However, if the normal trends in accident occurrence (i.e., decrease of 7.3%) for these counties are taken into account and adjustments made accordingly, the reduction for Butler County will be less observable and for Floyd there will actually be an increase. Also, none of the changes were statistically significant at the 95% level using the Chi-square test for significance.

Examining the severity of the accidents, the personal injury accidents for Floyd County have increased nearly 43% for stop sign related accidents although the injury accidents for all intersections in Floyd County increased only 3.7% in the same period.

#### Nighttime Accidents

It was felt that installation of rumble strips might be effective during nighttime conditions as an accident reduction measure. Thus a comparison was made between the 'saturated' and the 'controlled' counties on the 'before' and 'after' nighttime accidents. As seen in Table II, the percent nighttime accidents for the total intersection accidents did not show any marked change for the 'saturated' counties. However, as shown in Table III, the percent nighttime accidents for the stop sign related accidents only, in the same counties, did show a considerable reduction for Bremer County (intermediate type) and an increase for Chickasaw County (rural type). A very small change occurred for Black Hawk County.

It seems apparent from the above findings that this study did not establish any significant correlation between the existence of rumble strips and the frequency of nighttime accidents at an intersection.

-13-

#### CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study show that there is evidence of accident reductions on approaches to intersections that have a history of 'ran stop sign' type accidents. This can be interpreted to mean that drivers have been made more aware of the hazard ahead by the rumble strips. The benefit of such an aural stimulus is exemplified by the accident reports in Appendix In the first report, the driver who ran the stop sign in D. Chickasaw County told witnesses that he did not see the sign at In the other accident report, which occurred in a rural all. section of Pottawattamie County, the driver at fault stated that he thought the stop sign was located about three miles on down the road. Thus, the results of this study would justify recommending the installation of rumble strips for locations experiencing 'ran stop sign' type accidents.

The 'saturation use' of rumble strips, which makes up the second part of this study, did not seem to have any significant effect on the occurrences of all types of accidents in the intermediate type and urban type counties. In the rural type county, however, the results have indicated positive overall reductions of accidents. In rural areas, with low traffic volumes and relatively large distances between intersecting roads, the rumble strip should be helpful in alerting unsuspecting motorists as indicated in the accident reports in Appendix D. In a more developed area, factors other than the lack of awareness of hazards,

-14-

seem to be predominant. Though it is not possible to conclude definitely from this data alone, that the 'saturated' use had an effect on the accidents, it is apparent from the results of this study, that the greatest benefit of this type of use can be derived from areas of low densities and low traffic volumes.

# Appendix A



# Appendix B

-18-



#### RUMBLE STRIP EFFECTIVENESS PROGRAM

# BLACK HAWK COUNTY (Rumble Strips Cut During October, 1976)

# PRIMARY WITH SECONDARY

Int	ersection	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	US 20 & Co Rd V43	V43 (N & S)
2.	US 20 & Co Rd V51 (E)	V51 (S)
3.	US 20 & Co Rd V51 (W)	V51 (N)
4.	Ia 21 & Co Rd D35	D35 (E & W)
5.	Ia 21 & Co Rd D38	D38 (E)
6.	Ia 21 & Co Rd D46	D46 (E & W)
7.	Ia 21 & Co Rd D52	D52 (E)
8.	Ia 57 & Co Rd D19	D19 (E)
9.	US 63 & Co Rd C66	C66 (E & W)
10.	US 63 & Co Rd D35	D35 (E)
11.	US 63 & Co Rd D46	D46 (E & W)
12.	US 218 & Co Rd C57	C57 (E & W)
13.	US 218 & Co Rd D34	D34 (W)
14.	US 218 & Co Rd D46	D46 (W)
15.	US 281 & Co Rd V49	V49 (N & S)
16.	Ia 297 & Indian Creek Rd. (NW Corner, Sec. 14-88-12)	Indian Creek Rd. (W)
SECO	NDARY WITH SECONDARY	• •
Inte	rsection	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	Co Rd C55 & Co Rd T71	C55 (S)
2.	Co Rd C55 & Co Rd T75	T75 (S)

# BLACK HAWK COUNTY

SECONDARY WITH SECONDARY (continued)

Int	tersection	Route (Legs Cut)
3.	Co Rd C57 & Co Rd T75	C57 (E & W)
4.	Co Rd C57 & Co Rd V3C	C57 (W)
5.	Co Rd C57 & Co Rd V25	V25 (S)
6.	Co Rđ C57 & Co Rđ V49	C57 (E & W)
7.	Co Rd C66 & Co Rd V25	C66 (E)
8.	Co Rd C66 & Co Rd V49	V49 (N & S)
9.	Co Rd D16 & Co Rd V43	V43 (S)
10.	Co Rd D16 & Co Rd V49	D16 (W)
11.	Co Rd D34 & Co Rd V37	D34 (E & W)/V37 (N & S)
12.	Co Rd D35 & Co Rd V27	V27 (N & S)
13.	Co Rd D35 & Co Rd V37	D35 (W)
14.	Co Rd D38 & Co Rd V37	D38 (E)
15.	Co Rd D38 & Co Rd V51	D38 (E & W)/V51 (N & S)
16.	Co Rd D38 & Co Rd V62	D38 (E)
17.	Co Rd D46 & Co Rd V27	V27 (N)/D46 (E & W)
18.	Co Rd D46 & Co Rd V37	D46 (E & W)
19.	Co Rd D48 & Co Rd V51	V51 (N)
20.	Co Rd D52 & Co Rd V37	D52 (E & W)
21.	Co Rd V3C & Co Rd V62	V62 (N)

GENERAL HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION MAP RUMBLE STRIPS CUT: BREMER COUNTY. AT PRIMARY WITH PRIMARY NTER-SECTIONS **IOWA** IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION INVENTORY PHONE (315) 200-1200 AT PRIMARY WITH SECONDARY INTERSECTIONS AT SECONDARY WITH SECONDARY IN COOPERATION WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION 1975 ശ്രം CHICKASAW CO. R-12W P-14 wheen the second s R-13 W R-IIW 218 63 <u>\_</u> 121 182 8 . • UTLES T-93N 17 h ۰ z HORTOF ÷ ٩Å 01 Ē 13 2 E BUCK LINES. 25 1) 26 ¥, ж 164 () ĒĒ ĥ BREMER STATION BEC (17)4 WAREN TWR T-12 H, 8-13 W DRAMCED 7 THES 1-9IN ſ., N 'n 8 BUTLER 43 ъſ 33 C 50 R-IAW TOZINA TALLA 1. 190 ₩28 R~13W c0 R-12W 8-11W -----LALER PH SEA SWA -----ADAMS ADDITION MC 27 TOIN RISH EN ARGED & TIMES SACOR HINDS ADDITION MURPHY SUBOVISION MC 35 TO2N NAW ENLARGED & & TIMES SEC. P. TON TIMES

BREMER COUNTY

N

> ROAD CONDITION JANUARY 1, 1976 POLYCONIC PROJECTION

रिक्र सिर्म सिर्म

## RUMBLE STRIP EFFECTIVENESS PROGRAM

## BREMER COUNTY (Rumble Strips Cut During October, 1976)

PRI	IMARY WITH PRIMARY	
Jur	nction	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	Ia 3 & Ia 241	241 (S)
2.	US 63 & Ia 93	93 (E)
PRI	IMARY WITH SECONDARY	
Int	resection	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	Ia 3 & Co Rd V43	V43 (N)
2.	Ia 3 & Co Rd V49	V49 (S)
3.	Ia 3 & Co Rd V56	V56 (N & S)
4.	Ia 3 & Co Rd V62	V62 (N & S)
5.	US 63 & Co Rd C33	C33 (W)
6.	US 63 & Co Rd V5C	V5C (S & E)
7.	Ia 93 & Co Rd V5C (NE)	V5C (W)
8.	Ia 93 & Co Rd V5C (SW)	V5C (N)
9.	Ia 93 & Co Rd V48	V48 (N)
10.	Ia 93 & Co Rd V56	V56 (N & S)
11.	Ia 188 & Co Rd V21	V21 (N & S)
12.	US 218 & Co Rd C14	Cl4 (W)
13.	US 218 & Co Rd C33	C33 (E)
SEC	CONDARY WITH SECONDARY	
Int	resection	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	Co Rd C33 & Co Rd V14	C33 (E & W)/V14 (N & S)
2.	Co Rd C33 & Co Rd V21	V21 (N)
3.	Co Rd C50 & Co Rd V49	C50 (E & W)





ROAD CONDITION JANUARY I, 1978

Ħ

## RUMBLE STRIP EFFECTIVENESS PROGRAM

## CHICKASAW COUNTY

(Rumble Strips Cut During September & October, 1976)

PRI	MARY WITH PRIMARY	
June	ction	<u>Route (Legs Cut)</u>
1.	US 18 & US 63 & Ia 346 (Recut)	18 (E)/346 (W)
2.	<b>US</b> 63 & Ia 289	289 (W)
PRI	MARY WITH SECONDARY	
Inte	ersection	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	US 18 & Airport Rd	Airport Rd (N)
2.	US 18 & Co Rd V18	V18 (N)
3.	US 18 & Co Rd V48	V48 (N)
4.	US 18 & Co Rd V56	V56 (N & S)
5.	Ia 24 & Co Rd V48	V48 (S)
6.	Ia 24 & Co Rd V56 (NE)	V56 (N)
7.	Ia 24 & Co Rd V56 (SW)	V56 (S)
8.	US 63 & Old US 63 ( $W_{3}^{1}$ Cor., Sec. 32-96-12)	Old 63 (S)
9.	US 63 & Co Rd B22	B22 (E)
10.	US 63 & Co Rd B54	B54 (E)
11.	Ia 346 & Co Rd T76	T76 (S)
12.	Ia 346 & Co Rd V14	V14 (N)
13.	Ia 346 & Co Rd V21	V21 (S)

CHICKASAW COUNTY (continued) SECONDARY WITH SECONDARY

# Intersection

Int	ersection	• • • •	Route (Legs Cut)
1.	Co Rd B16	& Co Rd V56	Bl6 (E)/B56 (S)
2.	Co Rd B16	& Co Rd V64	V64 (S)
3.	Co Rd B22	& Co Rd V56	B22 (W)
4.	Co Rd B28	& Co Rd T76	T76 (N)
5.	Co Rd B28	& Co Rd V18	B28 (W)
6.	Co Rd B33	& Co Rd V62 (N)	B33 (W)
7.	Co Rd B33	& Co Rd V62 (S)	B33 (E)
8.	Co Rd B33	& Co Rd V46	V46 (S)
9.	Co Rd B33	& Co Rd V56	B33 (E)
10.	Co Rd B44	& Co Rd V56	B44 (E)
11.	Co Rd B54	& Co Rd V38	B54 (E & W)
12.	Co Rd B54	& Co Rd V48	B54 (W)
13.	Co Rd B57 (NW Cor.,	& Local Rd Sec. 13-95-13)	B57 (W)
14.	Co Rd B57	& Co Rd T76 (E)	T76 (N)
15.	Co Rd B57	& Co Rd T76 (W)	т76 (S)
16.	Co Rd T76 (NW Cor.,	& Local Rd. Sec. 27-97-14)	т76 (Е)
17.	Co Rd T76	& Co Rd V18	V18 (N)

# Appendix C

/

#### AGREEMENT

Agreement by and between <u>Black Hawk</u> County, Iowa, Board of Supervisors (County) and the Division of Highways of the Iowa Lepartment of Transportation (DOT).

The purpose of the agreement is to produce a study of the use of "rumble strips" as a traffic safety device. At designated locations approved by county engineers of the counties involved in the study "rumble strips" will be cut. A "before" and "after" accident study will be conducted by DCT using study periods of two years before and two years after placement of the rumble strips.

It is proposed by DOT and agreed by County that rumble strips will be cut by DOT in the locations indicated on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and in the manner indicated in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

In consideration of the mutual agreements of the parties contained herein, it is hereby agreed:

1. County hereby grants permission to DOT to enter the County road right of way to cut said strips.

2. The County will be under no obligation for any of the construction costs involved with the cutting of the rumble strips.

3. The State will bear the full responsibility for traffic control during the cutting operation, and the removal of any debris caused by said construction.

4. Upon completion of construction the State of Iowa shall be held harmless by County from any damages or liability incurred as a result of the cutting of said strips.

5. Upon completion of construction, the County shall assume full responsibility for the maintenance of said rumble strips.

Approved 9/7/76

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

, Cutla

Iowa Department of Transportation

By Of Chief Engineer Director - Chief Engineer Highway Division

#### AGREEMENT

Agreement by and between <u>Bremer</u> County, Iowa, Board of Supervisors (County) and the Division of Highways of the Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT).

The purpose of the agreement is to produce a study of the use of "rumble strips" as a traffic safety device. At designated locations approved by county engineers of the counties involved in the study "rumble strips" will be cut. A "before" and "after" accident study will be conducted by DOT using study periods of two years before and two years after placement of the rumble strips.

It is proposed by DOT and agreed by County that rumble strips will be cut by DOT in the locations indicated on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and in the manner indicated in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

In consideration of the mutual agreements of the parties contained herein, it is hereby agreed:

1. County hereby grants permission to DOT to enter the County road right of way to cut said strips.

2. The County will be under no obligation for any of the construction costs involved with the cutting of the rumble strips.

3. The State will bear the full responsibility for traffic control during the cutting operation, and the removal of any debris caused by said construction.

4. Upon completion of construction the State of Iowa shall be held harmless by County from any damages or liability incurred as a result of the cutting of said strips.

5. Upon completion of construction, the County shall assume full responsibility for the maintenance of said rumble strips.

Approved \_ 9/7/76

Iowa Department of Transportation

Bv

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ard A.B.k. Bv

Chairman

Director - Chief Engineer Highway Division

-29-

#### AGREEMENT

Agreement by and between Chickasaw County, Iowa, Board of Supervisors (County) and the Division of Highways of the Iowa Department of Transportation (DOT).

The purpose of the agreement is to produce a study of the use of "rumble strips" as a traffic safety device. At designated locations approved by county engineers of the counties involved in the study "rumble strips" will be cut. A "before" and "after" accident study will be conducted by DOT using study periods of two years before and two years after placement of the rumble strips.

It is proposed by DOT and agreed by County that rumble strips will be cut by DOT in the locations indicated on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and in the manner indicated in Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

In consideration of the mutual agreements of the parties contained herein, it is hereby agreed:

County hereby grants permission to DOT to enter the County 1. road right of way to cut said strips.

2. The County will be under no obligation for any of the construction costs involved with the cutting of the rumble strips.

The State will bear the full responsibility for traffic 3. control during the cutting operation, and the removal of any debris caused by said construction.

Upon completion of construction the State of Iowa shall 4. be held harmless by County from any damages or liability incurred as a result of the cutting of said strips.

5. Upon completion of construction, the County shall assume full responsibility for the maintenance of said rumble strips.

Approved 9/7/16

Iowa Department of Transportation

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

a & Stace By Z

Venner

Director - Chief Engineer Highway Division

-30-

Bv

# Appendix D

	PLACE WHERE COUNTY Chickasaw	CITY, TOWN OR TOWNSHIP		DO NO WRITE
L	If Accident Was Outside City Limits Indicate Dis- City Limits Indicate Dis-	TS OF		
0	Two Distances and Two Directions, If Neces- sary. East - West OF	TER OF Fredericks	burg	NO
L A	ROAD ON WHICH Highway # 18			100 08:1900
T T	Give Name of Street or Highway Number (U. S.	or State). If No Highway Numb	er Identify by Name.	P.D. Co. Town
0		Street or Highway Number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>10 74</u> Sec. Twp. Rng.
N	N & E W Show Nea Bridge, R Underpass	rrest Intersecting Street or High tailroad Crossing. Alley, Drivews , or Other Landmark.	iway, House Number, ay, Culvert, Milepost,	0300018
	DATE OF DAY OF ACCIDENT 9-39-73 WEEK Sunday	HOUR_7:30 A		CONTROL SECTION Rte.
AC INV	CIDENT /OLVED <u>2 Passencers Cars</u> Passenger Car, Truck, Train, Motorcycle, Animal, Pedestrian,	NUMBER . KILLED	NUMBER	
DA OT	MAGE TO PROPERTY 1 Interstate Power Pole	APPROXIMA	EPAIR	<u>,00</u>
VEF DRI	VER	VEHICLE NO. 2		
AD	Print Full Name DRESSSEX	ADDRESS	Print Full Name	SEX ●
	Street and Number BIRTH DATE	S	treet, and Number	BIRTH DATE
DRI	City State OPERATORS		State	
	Number State Specify Mer	LICENSE Number	State	1]Sgecify Other
00				
ADI	Print Full Name	ADDRESS	Print Full Name	
MA	KE OF TYPE 2 DRPinto YR 1972	MAKE OF Ford	TYPE Muster	η <u>ε</u> γ <mark>. 1967</mark>
REC NU	MBER of ATEVR1973	REGISTRATION	STATE	a y <sub>R.</sub> 197
SPE AC	ED BEFORE 55-60 DAMAGE TO Total CIDENT VEHICLE Approximate	ACCIDENT 55-6	O VEP CLE TO	tel Approximate
	COST TO 1503.00		COST TO REPAIR \$	1000.00
WI NA	INESS	WITNESS NAME		
AD	DRESS	ADDRESS		
I	NAMEADDRESS	treet		ON FATAL - VICTIM ARRIED FROM SCENE
N.	AGE DID PERSON NATURE & EVTENT L. and KINDERACITY	State 3 SCHOOL 4 PEDESTR	BUS PASS. RIAN $4 \Box N$	ON FATEL - BRUISED, BRA., LIMP, SWELLING ON FATAL - COMPLAINT
.	DIE ?OF INJURIES_L 6 DULGER	6 OTHER		JURY ACTION VISIBLE
5 U			NO 9.200	ATAP ON FATAL TVICTIM
5 U R	NAME ADDRESS		PASS.	ON TATAL BRUISED, BRED LIME SWELLING
5 U R E D	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28	2 UVEHICLE 3 School	BUS PASS.	
5 U R E D	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28	State 2 UVEHICLE 3 SCHOOL 4 PEDESTF 5 BICYCLIS 6 OTHER	BUS PASS.	OFFATAL COMPLAINT F PAIN, BUT NO VISIBLE HUEY
J U R E D	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28 City OF INJURIES OF OCCUPANTS VEHICLE 1 VEHICLE 2	State     2 □ VEHICLE       3 □ SCHOOL       4 □ PEDESTF       5 □ BICYCLIS       6 □ OTHER       2     VEHICLE 3	BUS PASS.	CLE 4
J U R E D NUA	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28	State       2       VEHICLE         3       SCHOOL         4       PEDESTF         5       BICYCLIS         6       OTHER         2       VEHICLE 3         STIGATION       YES         SCENE?       NO	BUS PASS.	CLE 4 ES REPORT FORMS O GIVEN TO:
5 U R E D	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28	State     S	BUS PASS.	COMPLAINT F PAIN, BUT NO VISIBLE NULLY ICLE 4 ES REPORT FORMS O GIVEN TO: DRIVER 1
J U R E D	AGE 19 SEX F OCCUPATION 28 DID PERSONDO NATURE & EXERCISE & BRUNNER DIE ?OF INJURIES BRUNNER ABER OF OCCUPANTS VEHICLE 1 VEHICLE 2 ABER OF OCCUPANTS VEHICLE 1 VEHICLE 2 ESTIGATION TIME NOTIFIED OF ACCIDENT 7:30 Date 9/30/73 NAME 9/30/73	State       2 □ VEHICLE         3 □ SCHOOL       4 □ PEDESTF         5 □ BICYCLIS       6 □ OTHER         2       VEHICLE 3         ESTIGATION       □ YES IS         SCENE?       □ NO CC         CHARGE       32         CHAPGE       32	BUS PASS.     A To N       IT     A To N       IT     IT       VEH       INVESTIGATION       INPLETE?       IN       1.322	COMPLAINT F PAIN, BUT NO VISIBLE NULLY CLE 4 ES REPORT FORMS O GIVEN TO: DRIVER 1 DRIVER 2 DRIVER 3 DRIVER 4

INDICATE ON THIS DIAGRAM WHAT HAPPENED INDICATE Use one of these outlines to sketch the scene of your NORTH BY ARROW arcident, writing in street or highway names or numbers. E. Number each vehicle and 3. Show pedestrian by: show direction of travel by anow 4. Show railroad by: ++++++ →1X2 ← 5. Show distance and direction 2. Use solid line to show path to landmarks, identify land before accident ->[2] : 12 marks by name or number dotted line after acadent 6. Indicate north by arrow, as: 🖉 ----> 2> ect or Highway Street or Highway DESCRIBE WHAT HAPPENED Direction of travel must be indicated DO NOT WRITE (Refer to vehicles by number) If more space is needed use another form or a sheet of paper the same size IN THIS SPACE Vehicle # 1 West bound. Vehicle # 2 Dir, Anal. North bound. Vehicle # 2 Ran Stop sign 1.0 Dir. Ped. ket to and struck vehicle # 1 in the left side. Both went off the road 12 and into ditch on the North side. Vehicle #1 Struck Highline pole. # 2 Landed on its top. Driver #2 told (itness Its all my fault I didin # see the ston Sign. 12 . ; ? ? TYPE OF ACCIDENT 11 TRAFFIC CONTROL WEATHER CHARACTER OF ROAD DRIVER VIOLATION [7] Police Officer MOTOR VEHICLE WITH: 0 . Clear Straight Road DRIVER NO.  $\Box$ Stop and Go Light Cloudy Foggy Ran Off Road Stop Sign Warning Sign STREET INTERSECTION 1 . 2 3 4 3 2 Overturned in Road 3 B [ Primary with Primary Raining 173 No Violation 3 Pedestrian 5 Railroad Watchman 🕱 Motor Vehicle in Traffic Snowing []L Primary with Street  $\Box$ Speed Too Fast Railroad Crossing Gate Parked Motor Vehicle 5 [] Sleeting S [] Street with Street 2 🗌 Failed to Yield R. O. W. Ü Railroad Auto. Signal 6 🗍 Misting **Railroad Train** 3 🗌 Drove Left of Center 8  $\overline{\Box}$ No Control HIGHWAY INTERSECTION Bicyclist X 4 🗌 [] Improper Passing 9 Other 8 Animal Primary with Primary 5 [] Special School Signals SURFACE Ran Stop Sign Fixed Object Q Primary with Stop Sign on School CONDITION 6 Ran Troffic Signal 12 🔲 Other Object 10 Secondary 7 🗌 Bus 🖌 Dry Followed Too Closely 11 Other Non-Collision Secondary with тП A [] Yield 8  $\Box_{i}$ 12 Motorcycle Wet . [] Made Improper Turn 5 Secondary 9 [] Improper Start 6 ] Snowy SURFACE TYPE lcy DRIVEWAY INTERSECTION 10 [] X [7] Improper Backing 1 | | Portland Cement ROAD CONDITIONS 8 | | Muddy 11 11 []] 1 Not Under Control D | | Business Concrete 2 | ] Asphalt Concrete 12 | | | | Illegal Parking . Holes, Deep Ruts, Bumps MIL Residential or Farm 3 Loose Material on Surface 13 [ ] [ ] Had Been Drinking 4 Alley Intersection 3/ Bituminous GRADIENT 4 Road Under Construction 6 Curve 14 11 [] Hit and Run 4 1 Brick 5 Overhead Clearing Limited 3 | Level 7 Railpord Crossing 11 15 [] [] [] Other Violations 5 Gravel 611 Unsignaled Obstruction || Upgrade 4 я | | In Alley | | Bridge, Overpriss Steel Bridge Floor 6 Previous Accident Hillaest Wood Bridge Floor Downgrade 7 Gravet or Blacktop Windra 6 11 || Underpass DRINKING CONDITION 8 | | Dirt 8 [ ] Flood, Landslide, or Wate DRIVER NO. Standing on Road KIND OF LOCALITY VEHICLE DEFECTS 9 [] Snowdrifts, or One Lane 1 2 3 4 [] Mfg. and Ind. District Snow Plowed VEHICLE NO. No Unusual Conditions Other Conditions or **Business** District Obviously Drunk **Residential District** .1 2 3 4 2 🗌 🗍 3 🗌 🗍 0 Other Conditions or Ability Impaired 4 School & Playground 11 C Defective Brakes Not Stated Ability Not Impaired District 2 📋 Open Country Other []  $\Box$  $\Box$ Defective Lights 4 🔲 Not Known If Drinking 3 🗍 叉X П VISION DESCURED Tire Failure Π Had Not Been Drinking 6 Not Obscured Trees, Grops Byndings 4 📋 []] []] [] Failure of Trailer Hitch 0 LIGHT 5 🗍 Dower Failure 2 Byttlings Enbankments Signboard 0 🗍 Daylight 6  $\Box$ C Accelerator Stuck PHYSICAL CONDITION 3 ŏ 1 Dusk 7 🗌 []] Load Projecting DRIVER NO. 2 🛄 Dawn Õ 4 8 🗌  $\square$ Towed or Pushed Darkness----St. Lighted 3 1 2 3 5 Hillcrest 3 Darkness---St. Light 4 Darkness---St. Not 4 9 [] Other Defects Normal 6  $\Box$ Parked Cars 0 🕅 7 Lighted []] No Defects Blinded by Headlights 11 E Fatigued Blinded by Sunlight 8 2 [] -E1 E) Asleep SEAT BELTS INSTALLED [] Windshield Windows SEAT BELTS IN USE 3 L] [] [] [] III Obscured VEHICLE 1 VEHICLE 3 [ ] VEHICLE 1 []] VEHICLE 3 [] 4 [] [] [] [7] Physical Defect 12 [] Smoke or Dust VEHICLE 2 VEHICLE 2 [] VEHICLE 4 [ ] VEHICLE 4 1 1 5 [] [] [] Unknown 200M 10-72 -33-PD F-5077

Dr Lu	ML REPORTS TO: pt. of Transportation ivers License Office cas Office Bidg. s Moines, Towa 50319	REPORT NUMBER	S INVESTIGA OF MOTO PLE	TATE OF IOWA TING OFFICERS REPOF R VEHICLE ACCIDENT ASE TYPE OR PRINT		
M	Total Number Persons Killed Month Day	Total Number Persons Injured Oly Year Day of Week Coc	Total Number Vehicles Involved 02	Total Amount of Property Damage \$ 240		V O.O.
Ť.	Accident 10 08	75 Sun Mon Tue Wee	5 6 7 4 Tir	me9_:_1.0		0625 iter-
Ļ	ΡΟΤΤΑΨΑΤΤΓΑΝ	AIE (78)	rate limits of (City):		Rou	te Road Inter- Class Class
1	outside of City Limits show general vicinity		of Neares	t city <u>Oakland</u>		ersection identifier
S P	On Road, Street or Highway	#6	ROAL CLAS CODE	S 2 ROAD CLASS 1=Interstate/F 2=US or State	CODES reeway Highway	HADDE H
	At Inter- section with	L-66	ROAD CLAS CODE	4=City Street 5=Other 0=Unknown		Astance Indicator
- -	NOTE: Unless acuident c exact location from a M necessary	occurred at an intersection w ilepost or definable intersect	nich is completely described ab- on, bridge or railroad crossing,	ove, use the space below to gi using two distances and direc	ve the 2	Direction Note
- 0 - 0	Feet Miles		v Feet		W NW	EM -
	Milepost Number 20 OR	Definable Intersection, bridge				
	DRIVERS NAME - LAS	r, FIRST, MIDDLE		DATE Month OF	n Day Year	Male Female SEX:
	STREET OR RFD	<u></u>		BIRTH:		ZIP CODE
e	DRIVERS LICENSE NU	MBER	STATE	LICENSE 1=0 TYPE 7 2=0	Dperator 4=TI Chauffeur 5=TI	DP (Chauffeur) 7=School DP (Probationary) 8=Other
	LICENSE RESTRICTIO	NS RESTRI		CODE: [-] 3=7 CHEMICAL 1=Yes TEST 2 2=No	TYPE TEST	st. Permit 9=None 1=Blood TEST RESULTS 2=Breath 0/
	OWNERS NAME - LAST	, FIRST, MIDDLE	<u>3=Unknown</u>	GIVEN? <u>3=Ref</u>	used GIVEN	3≘Urine 70
No.	CITY & STATE	ZIP	LICENSE PLATE NUM	MBER STATE YEA		NUMBER YEAR
BER V	VEHICLE MAKE	YEAR BOD	TYPE COLOR	TOTAL OCCUPANTS THIS UNIT OL	(01)	
	VEHICLE 01=Pass TYPE CODE 02=Car	enger Car 07=Straight & Trailer 08=Truck T	CAL GL'II Truck 13=Bus actor 14=School Bus	19=Maint/Const Veh	08 Front 02	(Using one of the Codes from diagram at left)
e	03=Pan 04=Pick 05=Pick 05=Pick	el Truck 09=Truck T (up Truck 10=Double   (up & Trailer 11=Tow Tru (up Camper 12=Motor H	actor/Semi 15=Farm Veh/E Bottom Truck 16=Motorcycle ck/Wrecker 17=Bicycle, Etc ome 18=Becreation 1	quip 21=Other (Describe)		AREA OF VEHICLE
	SPECIAL USE CODE:	' 0=Unknown 3=Fire 1=None 4=Taxi 2=Police 5=Coult	6=Ambulance 9=Oth 7=Towing	ner (Describe)		damaged, show the four
Γ		0=Unknown 3=Moder	ate Approx Cost to Repair or	Replace SPEED LIMIT	(05) (10) Under-	most severely damaged}
-	CODE: 4	2=Light	\$ 1/100	DATE Mont	00 Unknown h Day Year	0,10,20009 Mate Female
				OF BIRTH:		
	DRIVERS LICENSE N	JMBER 017	STATE		Operator 4=T	Chauffeur) 7=School
r	LICENSE RESTRICTIO			CHEMICAL	Chauffeur 5=T CDP (Operator) 6=In TYPE	DP (Probationary) 8=Other st. Permit 9=None 1=Blood TEST RESULTS
N	A OWNERS NAME - LAS	COMPL WITH	ED 2=No 3=Unknown	TEST GIVEN? 2 2=No 3=Re STREET OR RED	TEST fused GIVEN	2=Breath 3=Urine %
Ť.	CITY & STATE	ZIP	LICENSE PLATE NU	MBER STATE YE	AR VALIDATIO	
M B E	VEHICLE MAKE	YEAR BOD	Y TYPE COLOR			
R e	VEHICLE 01=Pas	senger Car 07=Straight	Trk.tractorRedTruck13=Bus	THIS UNIT ()]	08) Front 02	INITIAL IMPACT 0,6
	03=Par 04=Pic 05=Pic	rairailer 08=Truck T hel Truck 09=Truck 1 kup Truck 10=Double kup & Trailer 11=Tow Tr	ractor 14°°School Bus ractor/Semi 15°Farm Veh/B Bottom Truck 16° Motorcycle Jck/Wrecker 17°Bicycle. Fir	Equip 20° Train 21° Other (Describe)	07	INDICATE DAMAGED
.  2 	SPECIAL	kup Camper 12=Motor H 0=Unknown 3=Fire 1=None 4=Tavi	lome 18=Recreation 6=Ambulance 9-Ot 7=Towing	Veh. 00=Unknown her (Describe)		(Using up to four codes from diagram at left - If more than four areas are
	DAMAGE	2=Police 5=Gov't 0=Unknown 3=Mode	8= Driver Trng.		(05) [10] Under-	da haged, show the four most severely damaged)
	SEVERITY CODE: 3	1=None 4=Sever 2=Light	\$ 3,000	55	Carriage (00) Unknown	0,610

DI	AGRAM WHAT	HAPPENED:				INDIC	
Fo ou of Nu sho arr Us be do	INSTRUCT bilow dotted lines titine of roadway accident, umber each vehic ow direction of t row. 1000 1000 1000 1000 se solid line to she fore accident. 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	IONS to draw at place le and ravel by 2 ww path			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	************** ***********************	
Sh Sh Sh Sh Sh	now pedestrian by now railroad by: now utility poles h now motorcycle b now animal by:	и:О ++++++++ by:-Ф Ру:-Ф-Ф- Р			INITIAL DIF Veh 1 Veh 5 3 1=North 2=Northeast 3=East 4=Southeast 5=South	RECTION TRAVE 2 Veh 3 Veh 4 6=Southwest 7=West 8=Northwest 0=Unknown	iL k
DESCI	RIBE WHAT HA	PPENED (Refer to	vehicles by number)	iolo #1 woo oo	with he and an	the county	
	venicle #	∠ was eastb	ouna on #o ana ven	лсте #1 Mas sc	ournoomia on	one county	road.
	Vehicle #	l ran the s	stop sign and ran i	nto the side c	of Vehicle #	2 as it was	going by
	the inter	section. I	Driver #1 stated he	thought the s	stop sign wa	s about 3 n	niles or so
1							
	away yet	and wasn't	even thinking about	t having to st	top until he	was going	by the sto
	away yet	and wasn't	even thinking abou	t having to st	top until he	was going	by the sto
	away yet sign.	and wasn't	even thinking abou	t having to st	top until he	was going	by the sto
Name,	away yet sign.	and wasn't	even thinking abou	t having to st	top until he	was going	by the sto
Name,	away yet sign。 Last, First Last, First	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD	t having to st cny City	top until he	was going State	by the sto Zip
Name, Name,	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD	t having to st	top until he	Was going State State State	by the sto Zip Zip Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name,	away yet Signo Last, First Last, First Last, First Last, First	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD	t having to st City City City City	top until he	Was going State State State State State State	by the sto Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No.	away yet Signo Last, First Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No.	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD	t having to st City City City City City	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State State State Ordn Recommu	by the sto Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Véhicle Inspec Vés
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No.	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J18553	statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City	Violation of: State Code City	Was going State State State State Ordn Recommune Ves	by the sto Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, 1	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1/18553	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City City	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State Ordn Recommune CL_Reexc State	by the sto Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No.	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J18553	and wasn't Statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City City	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State Ordn Recommune Recommu	by the sto Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No.	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1/18553	and wasn't Statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City	Violation of: State Code City	Was going State State State State State	by the sto
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, 1	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1/18553	and wasn't	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State Ordn Recommune Recommune State	by the sto
Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No.	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J48553	statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City City City	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State St	by the sto
Name, Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na Na	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J48553	and wasn't Statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign 	t having to st City City City City City City City Cit	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State Cordn Co	by the stop         Zip         Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No. ]	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1),18553	statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City City City Cit	Violation of: State Code City	Was going State State State State State Cordn Recomme L Reex State Cordn Recomme L Reex Cordn Re	by the stop         Zip         Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Thise, Name, Name, Name, Name, Thise, Name, Na Na Na N	away yet signo Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J48553 D1485553 D1485555 D14855555 D1485555 D148555555 D14855555 D148555555 D14855555 D148555555 D148555555555 D148555555555555555555555555555555555555	statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char	t having to st City City City City City City City Cit	Violation of: Stats Code City	Was going State State State State State Cordn QL_Reexit Recommunity Recommunit	by the stop         Zip         Zip
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No. 1	away yet signo Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1J,18553 B1J,18553 Investigation At Scener [1] cocation 2 Officer Notified officer Arrived A yre of Officer	statute No. 321.322	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char Char Stop sign Char C	t having to st City City City City City City City Cit	Violation of: State Code City	Was going State State State State Cordn Charlen State State Cordn Charlen Char	by the sto
Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Name, Driver No. 1	away yet sign. Last, First Last, First Last, First Citation No. B1),18553 	statute No. 321.322 1 Scientific Inter 3 Excentific	even thinking abou Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Street or RFD Char Stop sign Char Stop sign Stop sign	t having to st City City City City City Gity City City City City City City City C	Violation of: State Code City	Was going State State State State State State Cordn Cureexa Cu	by the sto