

## STATE OF IOWA DELINQUENCY SERVICES REPORT - STATE FISCAL YEAR 2006

→ The following reports include data for youth discharging from graduated sanction services (Tracking and Monitoring, Supervised Community Treatment and Lifeskills) between the time period of July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006.

→ These graduated sanction programs are defined as follows:

- **Tracking and Monitoring** services provide individualized and intensive one-to-one intervention to a child to help the child establish positive behavior patterns and to help the child maintain accountability in a community-based setting.
- **Supervised Community Treatment** provides supervised educational support and treatment during the day to children who are experiencing social, behavioral, or emotional problems that place them at risk of group care or state institutional placement
- **Lifeskills** services provide individual or group instruction which includes, but is not limited to, specific training to develop and enhance personal skills, problem solving, accountability, acceptance of responsibility, victim empathy, activities of daily living, and job skills.

→ Most counts presented, whether statewide or by district, are derived from unique Case ID numbers within a county unless otherwise noted. Therefore, the numbers reported are a count of services delivered. Any given youth may have received more than one service and/or received a service more than once during the fiscal year. These youth would have been included in the count multiple times.

→ Of the 3,318 service records submitted during SFY2006, 2,957 records contained a unique Case ID number.

→ There were nine records not included due to involvement only with DHS and/or they did not match records within the Justice Data Warehouse.

→ Of the eight judicial districts, three districts utilize only Tracking & Monitoring services for delinquent youth. Therefore, numbers reported for Supervised Community Treatment and Lifeskills programming are significantly smaller.

→ Recidivists are those youth with a new complaint(s) between date of discharge from service and September 30, 2006. Only state charges are included in this report; no local ordinances were included (10 youth had local ordinance complaints).

→ Placement numbers include youth who were placed out of the home into boot camp, state institution or group care between the most recent date of discharge from service and September 30, 2006.



# STATEWIDE SFY06 - DELINQUENCY SERVICES

- Counts presented, unless otherwise noted, are derived from unique Case ID numbers within a county. The numbers reported are, therefore, a count of services delivered. Any given youth may have received more than one service and/or received a service more than once during the fiscal year and would have been included in the count multiple times.

- ✓ The overall success rate for the services combined was 72.1%
- ✓ Success rate by program varied:

	Success Rate(%)	Most Prevalent Reason For Failure
Tracking/Monitor	71.6	Removed to more restrictive service
SC Treatment	42.2	Other (most reached maximum benefits or went AWOL)
Lifeskills	86.1	Non-Attendance

**TOTAL SERVED 3318**

## Gender

	N	%
Male	2,413	72.7%
Female	905	27.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Gender By Race

	TOTAL		Male		Female	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cauc	2,641	79.6%	1919	79.5%	722	79.8%
Af Amer	369	11.1%	263	10.9%	106	11.7%
Hispanic	157	4.7%	124	5.1%	33	3.6%
Nat Amer	26	0.8%	18	0.7%	8	0.9%
Asian	21	0.6%	18	0.7%	3	0.3%
Other	104	3.1%	71	2.9%	33	3.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2413</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>905</b>	<b>27.3%</b>

## Race

	N	%
Caucasian	2,641	79.6%
Af Amer	369	11.1%
Hispanic	157	4.7%
Nat Amer	26	0.8%
Asian	21	0.6%
Other	104	3.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Program By Race

	TOTAL		Trk/Mon		SC Trmt		Lifeskills	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cauc	2,641	79.6%	2128	81.0%	117	62.6%	396	78.6%
Af Amer	369	11.1%	253	9.6%	54	28.9%	62	12.3%
Hispanic	157	4.7%	120	4.6%	2	1.1%	35	6.9%
Nat Amer	26	0.8%	23	0.9%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%
Asian	21	0.6%	18	0.7%	1	0.5%	2	0.4%
Other	104	3.1%	85	3.2%	13	7.0%	6	1.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2627</b>	<b>79.2%</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>15.2%</b>

## Program Type

	N	%
Track/Mon	2,627	79.2%
SCTrmt	187	5.6%
Lifeskills	504	15.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Gender By Program

	TOTAL		Male		Female	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Trk/Mon	2,627	79.2%	1933	80.1%	694	76.7%
SCTrmt	187	5.6%	158	6.5%	29	3.2%
Lifeskills	504	15.2%	322	13.3%	182	20.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2413</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>905</b>	<b>27.3%</b>

## Discharge Status

	N	%
Successful	2,393	72.1%
Unsuccess	925	27.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Reason For Failure

(N=925)

	TOTAL		NonAttend		MoreRestrictive		HigherTrmt		WaitList		Moved		SrvNotAmen		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>15.9%</b>
Caucasian	645	69.7%	43	6.7%	245	38.0%	53	8.2%	52	8.1%	41	6.4%	100	15.5%	111	17.2%
AfAmer	153	16.5%	30	19.6%	61	39.9%	14	9.2%	7	4.6%	4	2.6%	21	13.7%	16	10.5%
Hispanic	58	6.3%	4	6.9%	19	32.8%	10	17.2%	2	3.4%	4	6.9%	7	12.1%	12	20.7%
NatAmer	12	1.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%
Asian	7	0.8%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Other	50	5.4%	3	6.0%	21	42.0%	5	10.0%	10	20.0%	2	4.0%	3	6.0%	6	12.0%

## STATEWIDE SFY06 - TRACKING & MONITORING

(N=2627)


- ✓ Caucasians had a success rate of 74.6%, while the average success rate for minorities was 57.9%.
- ✓ The most prevalent reason for failure indicated in the data was the need for a more restrictive placement.

	Total		Caucasian		Af Amer		Hispanic		Nat Amer		Asian		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,627</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,128</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
Male	1,933	73.6%	1,569	73.7%	182	71.9%	93	77.5%	17	73.9%	16	88.9%	56	65.9%
Female	694	26.4%	559	26.3%	71	28.1%	27	22.5%	6	26.1%	2	11.1%	29	34.1%
Success	1,880	71.6%	1,588	74.6%	156	61.7%	66	55.0%	12	52.2%	12	66.7%	46	54.1%
Unsuccess	747	28.4%	540	25.4%	97	38.3%	54	45.0%	11	47.8%	6	33.3%	39	45.9%

### DISCHARGE STATUS

	Total		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Male</b>						
Caucasian	1,569	100.0%	1,153	73.5%	416	26.5%
AfAmer	182	100.0%	110	60.4%	72	39.6%
Hispanic	93	100.0%	49	52.7%	44	47.3%
NatAmer	17	100.0%	7	41.2%	10	58.8%
Asian	16	100.0%	12	75.0%	4	25.0%
Other	56	100.0%	33	58.9%	23	41.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,933</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,364</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>29.4%</b>
<b>Female</b>						
Caucasian	559	100.0%	435	77.8%	124	22.2%
AfAmer	71	100.0%	46	64.8%	25	35.2%
Hispanic	27	100.0%	17	63.0%	10	37.0%
NatAmer	6	100.0%	5	83.3%	1	16.7%
Asian	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Other	29	100.0%	13	44.8%	16	55.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>694</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>25.6%</b>

Tracking/Monitoring Discharge Status



### REASON FOR FAILURE

(N=747)

	TOTAL		NonAttend		MoreRestrictive		HigherTrmt		WaitList		Moved		SrvNotAmen		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>44.0%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>13.8%</b>
<b>Male</b>																
Caucasian	416	73.1%	8	1.9%	190	45.7%	35	8.4%	31	7.5%	26	6.3%	71	17.1%	55	13.2%
AfAmer	72	12.7%	7	9.7%	37	51.4%	5	6.9%	2	2.8%	3	4.2%	11	15.3%	7	9.7%
Hispanic	44	7.7%	2	4.5%	17	38.6%	7	15.9%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	5	11.4%	11	25.0%
NatAmer	10	1.8%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%
Asian	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Other	23	4.0%	0	0.0%	13	56.5%	2	8.7%	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%
<b>Subtot-Male</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>13.5%</b>
<b>Female</b>																
Caucasian	124	69.7%	7	5.6%	45	36.3%	8	6.5%	10	8.1%	10	8.1%	21	16.9%	23	18.5%
AfAmer	25	14.0%	1	4.0%	14	56.0%	2	8.0%	1	4.0%	1	4.0%	5	20.0%	1	4.0%
Hispanic	10	5.6%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%
NatAmer	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Other	16	9.0%	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%
<b>Subtot-Fem</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>14.6%</b>

# STATEWIDE SFY06 - SUPERVISED COMMUNITY TREATMENT

(N=187)


- ✓ The average failure rate for minorities in this program was 59.9%.
- ✓ The most prevalent reason for failure indicated in the data was that youth reached maximum benefits.

	Total		Caucasian		Af Amer		Hispanic		Nat Amer		Asian		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
Male	158	84.5%	95	81.2%	49	90.7%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	11	84.6%
Female	29	15.5%	22	18.8%	5	9.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%
Success	79	42.2%	58	49.6%	16	29.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	4	30.8%
Unsuccess	108	57.8%	59	50.4%	38	70.4%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	69.2%

## DISCHARGE STATUS

	Total		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Male</b>						
Caucasian	95	100.0%	46	48.4%	49	51.6%
AfAmer	49	100.0%	15	30.6%	34	69.4%
Hispanic	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
NatAmer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Other	11	100.0%	4	36.4%	7	63.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>58.2%</b>
<b>Female</b>						
Caucasian	22	100.0%	12	54.5%	10	45.5%
AfAmer	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NatAmer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>55.2%</b>

SC Treatment Discharge Status



## REASON FOR FAILURE

(N=108)

	TOTAL		NonAttend		MoreRestrictive		HigherTrmt		WaitList		Moved		SrvNotAmen		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28.7%</b>
<b>Male</b>																
Caucasian	49	53.3%	3	6.1%	7	14.3%	7	14.3%	8	16.3%	2	4.1%	5	10.2%	17	34.7%
AfAmer	34	37.0%	9	26.5%	7	20.6%	6	17.6%	3	8.8%	0	0.0%	3	8.8%	6	17.6%
Hispanic	2	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	7	7.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%
<b>Subtot-Male</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28.3%</b>
<b>Female</b>																
Caucasian	10	62.5%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%
AfAmer	4	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Other	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Subtot-Fem</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>31.3%</b>

# STATEWIDE SFY06 - LIFESKILLS

(N=504)


- ✓ This program has the highest overall success rate at 86.1%.
- ✓ The most prevalent reason for failure indicated in the data was non-attendance by participants.
- ✓ The highest rate of success was found among Hispanic youth.
- ✓ African-American girls had a much higher rate of success than African-American boys.

	Total		Caucasian		Af Amer		Hispanic		Nat Amer		Asian		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
Male	322	63.9%	255	64.4%	32	51.6%	29	82.9%	1	33.3%	1	50.0%	4	66.7%
Female	182	36.1%	141	35.6%	30	48.4%	6	17.1%	2	66.7%	1	50.0%	2	33.3%
Success	434	86.1%	350	88.4%	44	71.0%	33	94.3%	2	66.7%	1	50.0%	4	66.7%
Unsuccess	70	13.9%	46	11.6%	18	29.0%	2	5.7%	1	33.3%	1	50.0%	2	33.3%

## DISCHARGE STATUS

	Total		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Male</b>						
Caucasian	255	100.0%	222	87.1%	33	12.9%
AfAmer	32	100.0%	19	59.4%	13	40.6%
Hispanic	29	100.0%	27	93.1%	2	6.9%
NatAmer	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Other	4	100.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>15.8%</b>
<b>Female</b>						
Caucasian	141	100.0%	128	90.8%	13	9.2%
AfAmer	30	100.0%	25	83.3%	5	16.7%
Hispanic	6	100.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%
NatAmer	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Asian	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Other	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>89.6%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10.4%</b>

Lifeskills Discharge Status



## REASON FOR FAILURE

(N=70)

	TOTAL		NonAttend		MoreRestrictive		HigherTrmt		WaitList		Moved		SrvNotAmen		Other	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>58.6%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18.6%</b>
<b>Male</b>																
Caucasian	33	64.7%	19	57.6%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%		0.0%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	8	24.2%
AfAmer	13	25.5%	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	1	7.7%
Hispanic	2	3.9%	2	100.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
Asian	1	2.0%	1	100.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
Other	2	3.9%	1	50.0%		0.0%		0.0%	1	50.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%
<b>Subtot-Male</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>Female</b>																
Caucasian	13	68.4%	5	38.5%			1	7.7%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%
AfAmer	5	26.3%	4	80.0%											1	20.0%
NatAmer	1	5.3%	1	100.0%												
<b>Subtot-Fem</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>52.6%</b>			<b>1</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21.1%</b>

# SFY06 - STATEWIDE RECIDIVISM

→ Recidivists are those youth with a new complaint(s) between date of discharge from service and September 30, 2006.  
Only state charges are included in this report, no local ordinances were included (10 youth had local ordinance complaints).

**Total Services Delivered = 3,318** (# of Clients based on Unique Case ID = 2,957)

**Total Number of Recidivists = 966** (32.6% based on Unique Case IDs)

Total Number of Complaints = 2,252 (Avg per youth = 2.33)

Total Number of Charges = 3,146 (Avg per youth = 3.26)

## Recidivists vs. Non-Recidivists

	TOTAL YOUTH SERVED				Successful (N=2,393)				Unsuccessful (N=925)			
	Successful		Unsuccessful		Recidivists		Non-Recidivists		Recidivists		Non-Recidivists	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,701	70.5%	712	29.5%	482	28.3%	1,219	71.7%	288	40.4%	424	59.6%
Female	692	76.5%	213	23.5%	128	18.5%	564	81.5%	68	31.9%	145	68.1%
Caucasian	1,996	75.6%	645	24.4%	504	25.3%	1,492	74.7%	246	38.1%	399	61.9%
Minority	397	58.6%	280	41.4%	106	26.7%	291	73.3%	110	39.3%	170	60.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,393</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>1,783</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>61.5%</b>

## Recidivists By Discharge - Tracking & Monitoring

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	636	79.4%	396	62.3%	240	37.7%
Female	165	20.6%	107	64.8%	58	35.2%
Caucasian	634	79.2%	416	65.6%	218	34.4%
Minority	167	20.8%	87	52.1%	80	47.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>503</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>37.2%</b>

- ✓Total Number of Clients (unique CaselD#) = 2,443
- ✓Total Number of Recidivists = 801
- ✓Recidivism Track Mon = 32.7%

## Recidivists By Discharge - SC Treatment

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	58	86.6%	21	36.2%	37	63.8%
Female	9	13.4%	1	11.1%	8	88.9%
Caucasian	34	50.7%	15	44.1%	19	55.9%
Minority	33	49.3%	7	21.2%	26	78.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>67.2%</b>

- ✓Total Number of Clients (unique CaselD#) = 184
- ✓Total Number of Recidivists = 67
- ✓Recidivism SC Trmt = 36.4%
- ✓2/3 of the SC Trmt recidivists were unsuccessful in program

## Recidivists By Discharge - Lifeskills

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	76	77.6%	65	85.5%	11	14.5%
Female	22	22.4%	20	90.9%	2	9.1%
Caucasian	82	83.7%	73	89.0%	9	11.0%
Minority	16	16.3%	12	75.0%	4	25.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13.3%</b>

- ✓Total Number of Clients (unique CaselD#) = 474
- ✓Total Number of Recidivists = 98
- ✓Recidivism Lifeskills = 20.6%
- ✓Most Lifeskills recidivists were previously discharged successfully

\*Unique Case ID numbers by program will not equal total unique Case ID numbers as some clients received more than one service

## SFY06 RECIDIVISTS - SUCCESSFUL DISCHARGE FROM PROGRAM

✓ The overall rate for felony charges is 14.4%, however, the felony rate for youth discharging from Day Treatment is 23.3%

### Total Number of Recidivists with Successful Discharge = 610

Total Number of Complaints = 1,279 (Avg per youth = 2.1)

Total Number of Charges = 1,809 (Avg per youth = 2.97)

#### OFFENSE TYPE

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,503	83.1%	235	15.6%	1,136	75.6%	114	7.6%	9	0.6%	9	0.6%
Female	306	16.9%	26	8.5%	252	82.4%	26	8.5%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Caucasian	1,483	82.0%	222	15.0%	1,114	75.1%	129	8.7%	9	0.6%	9	0.6%
Minority	326	18.0%	39	12.0%	274	84.0%	11	3.4%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,809</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>1,388</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.6%</b>

#### RECIDIVISTS BY PROGRAM/OFFENSE TYPE

##### TRACKING & MONITORING

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,249	83.3%	202	16.2%	943	75.5%	96	7.7%	4	0.3%	4	0.3%
Female	251	16.7%	22	8.8%	205	81.7%	24	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caucasian	1,234	82.3%	192	15.6%	923	74.8%	113	9.2%	3	0.2%	3	0.2%
Minority	266	17.7%	32	12.0%	225	84.6%	7	2.6%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>1,148</b>	<b>76.5%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.3%</b>

##### SC TREATMENT

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	59	98.3%	14	23.7%	42	71.2%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%
Female	1	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caucasian	36	60.0%	8	22.2%	25	69.4%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%
Minority	24	40.0%	6	25.0%	18	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>

##### LIFESKILLS

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	195	78.3%	19	9.7%	151	77.4%	17	8.7%	4	2.1%	4	2.1%
Female	54	21.7%	4	7.4%	46	85.2%	2	3.7%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%
Caucasian	213	85.5%	22	10.3%	166	77.9%	15	7.0%	5	2.3%	5	2.3%
Minority	36	14.5%	1	2.8%	31	86.1%	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.0%</b>

## SFY06 RECIDIVISTS - UNSUCCESSFUL DISCHARGE FROM PROGRAM

✓ The overall rate for felony charges is 14.8%, however, the felony rate for youth discharging from Lifeskills is 24.3%

### Total Number of Recidivists = 356

Total Number of Complaints = 962 (Avg per youth = 2.7)

Total Number of Charges = 1,336 (Avg per youth = 3.75)

### OFFENSE TYPE

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,136	85.0%	181	15.9%	907	79.8%	28	2.5%	6	0.5%	14	1.2%
Female	200	15.0%	17	8.5%	170	85.0%	9	4.5%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%
Caucasian	831	62.2%	127	15.3%	658	79.2%	27	3.2%	6	0.7%	13	1.6%
Minority	505	37.8%	71	14.1%	419	83.0%	10	2.0%	2	0.4%	3	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,336</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>1,077</b>	<b>80.6%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.2%</b>

### RECIDIVISTS BY PROGRAM/OFFENSE TYPE

#### TRACKING & MONITORING

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	863	84.4%	133	15.4%	687	79.6%	26	3.0%	5	0.6%	12	1.4%
Female	160	15.6%	14	8.8%	135	84.4%	7	4.4%	2	1.3%	2	1.3%
Caucasian	711	69.5%	103	14.5%	565	79.5%	26	3.7%	5	0.7%	12	1.7%
Minority	312	30.5%	44	14.1%	257	82.4%	7	2.2%	2	0.6%	2	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,023</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.4%</b>

#### SC TREATMENT

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	206	86.2%	30	14.6%	171	83.0%	2	1.0%	1	0.5%	2	1.0%
Female	33	13.8%	3	9.1%	29	87.9%	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caucasian	78	32.6%	15	19.2%	60	76.9%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%
Minority	161	67.4%	18	11.2%	140	87.0%	2	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>83.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>

#### LIFESKILLS

	TOTAL		Felony		Misdemeanor		Sched Viol		Other		Unknown	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	67	90.5%	18	26.9%	49	73.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Female	7	9.5%	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caucasian	42	56.8%	9	21.4%	33	78.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Minority	32	43.2%	9	28.1%	22	68.8%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24.3%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>74.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>



## SFY06 - STATEWIDE RECIDIVISM PERIOD

- The following presents by program the period between the time of discharge from service and the date of complaint
- Average # of Days indicates the average number of days between discharge from service and date of complaint
- Records were counted by unique Complaint Sequence Number
- "Successful" and "Unsuccessful" denote discharge status

✓ The shortest average period of time between discharge and new complaint was 51.2 days for youth unsuccessfully discharging from Lifeskills. The longest average period of time between discharge and new complaint was 131.9 days for youth successfully discharging from Tracking & Monitoring.

✓ Females tended to recidivate faster than males (most females recidivated within 90 days from discharge)

✓ Youth discharging unsuccessfully recidivated more quickly than youth discharging successfully

### TRACKING & MONITORING

	TOTAL		Avg # Days	<= 90 days		91-120 days		121-150 days		151-180 days		> 180 days	
	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,474	82.1%	126.7	671	45.5%	183	12.4%	119	8.1%	105	7.1%	396	26.9%
Female	322	17.9%	103.2	177	55.0%	33	10.2%	25	7.8%	21	6.5%	66	20.5%
Caucasian	1,370	76.3%	123.7	627	45.8%	171	12.5%	125	9.1%	98	7.2%	349	25.5%
Minority	426	23.7%	118.7	221	51.9%	45	10.6%	19	4.5%	28	6.6%	113	26.5%
Successful	1,046	58.2%	131.9	445	42.5%	132	12.6%	93	8.9%	75	7.2%	301	28.8%
Unsuccessful	750	41.8%	109.4	403	53.7%	84	11.2%	51	6.8%	51	6.8%	161	21.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,796</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>122.5</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>25.7%</b>

### SC TREATMENT

	TOTAL		Avg # Days	<= 90 days		91-120 days		121-150 days		151-180 days		> 180 days	
	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	187	87.8%	125.4	85	45.5%	4	2.1%	19	10.2%	8	4.3%	71	38.0%
Female	26	12.2%	86.7	20	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	23.1%
Caucasian	79	37.1%	128.8	35	44.3%	4	5.1%	2	2.5%	7	8.9%	31	39.2%
Minority	134	62.9%	115.9	70	52.2%	0	0.0%	17	12.7%	1	0.7%	46	34.3%
Successful	44	20.7%	112.2	23	52.3%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	4.5%	15	34.1%
Unsuccessful	169	79.3%	122.9	82	48.5%	0	0.0%	19	11.2%	6	3.6%	62	36.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>120.7</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>36.2%</b>

### LIFESKILLS

	TOTAL		Avg # Days	<= 90 days		91-120 days		121-150 days		151-180 days		> 180 days	
	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	191	78.6%	113.6	102	53.4%	22	11.5%	16	8.4%	4	2.1%	47	24.6%
Female	52	21.4%	91.2	30	57.7%	7	13.5%	6	11.5%	2	3.8%	7	13.5%
Caucasian	194	79.8%	116.3	99	51.0%	23	11.9%	14	7.2%	6	3.1%	52	26.8%
Minority	49	20.2%	79.2	33	67.3%	6	12.2%	8	16.3%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%
Successful	192	79.0%	124.1	87	45.3%	23	12.0%	22	11.5%	6	3.1%	54	28.1%
Unsuccessful	51	21.0%	51.2	45	88.2%	6	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>108.8</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>22.2%</b>

## SFY 2006 - STATEWIDE OUT OF HOME PLACEMENTS

→ Out of home placements include youth who discharged from one or more of the delinquency services and were subsequently placed in boot camp, a state institution or group care between the date of discharge from service and September 30, 2006.

→ Counts on this page are based on unique Case ID numbers. Youth with more than once placement were only counted once. However, youth receiving multiple services (i.e. SC Treatment and Lifeskills) were counted multiple times.

→ There were 11 youth with more than one placement after discharge from service. There were 26 youth receiving multiple delinquency services with only one subsequent placement.

✓ The placement rate for youth discharging from SC Treatment is significantly higher than the rate for those discharging from Tracking & Monitoring or Lifeskills

### Placements by Discharge Status

	TOTAL YOUTH SERVED				Successful (N=2,393)				Unsuccessful (N=925)			
	Successful		Unsuccessful		Placement		No Placement		Placement		No Placement	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	1,701	70.5%	712	29.5%	45	2.6%	1,656	97.4%	115	16.2%	597	83.8%
Female	692	76.5%	213	23.5%	8	1.2%	684	98.8%	14	6.6%	199	93.4%
Caucasian	1,996	75.6%	645	24.4%	40	2.0%	1,956	98.0%	93	14.4%	552	85.6%
Minority	397	58.6%	280	41.4%	13	3.3%	384	96.7%	36	12.9%	244	87.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,393</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>2,340</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>796</b>	<b>86.1%</b>

### Placements By Discharge - Tracking & Monitoring

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	122	88.4%	36	29.5%	86	70.5%
Female	16	11.6%	7	43.8%	9	56.3%
Caucasian	102	73.9%	32	31.4%	70	68.6%
Minority	36	26.1%	11	30.6%	25	69.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>68.8%</b>

✓ Total Number of Clients (unique CaseID#) = 2,443  
 ✓ Total Number of Youth Placed = 138  
 ✓ Placement Rate = 5.6%

### Placements By Discharge - SC Treatment

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	29	82.9%	2	6.9%	27	93.1%
Female	6	17.1%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%
Caucasian	22	62.9%	1	4.5%	21	95.5%
Minority	13	37.1%	2	15.4%	11	84.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>91.4%</b>

✓ Total Number of Clients (unique CaseID#) = 184  
 ✓ Total Number of Youth Placed = 35  
 ✓ Placement Rate = 19.0%

### Placements By Discharge - Lifeskills

	TOTAL		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	9	100.0%	7	77.8%	2	22.2%
Female	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Caucasian	9	100.0%	7	77.8%	2	22.2%
Minority	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22.2%</b>

✓ Total Number of Clients (unique CaseID#) = 474  
 ✓ Total Number of Youth Placed = 9  
 ✓ Placement Rate = 1.8%

## SFY06 - PLACEMENT PERIOD

→ Placement period was calculated as the number of days between the most recent date of discharge from service and the placement start date.

→ Counts on this page are by unique placement. Therefore, a youth discharging from multiple services with only one subsequent placement would be counted only once.

- ✓ Of the youth placed, over 75% went into group care
- ✓ Females and minorities are placed into group care at a higher rate than males

	TOTAL		Avg # Days	< 60 days		60-120 days		121-180 days		> 180 days	
	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	147	89.1%	69.7	97	66.0%	18	12.2%	12	8.2%	20	13.6%
Female	18	10.9%	62.7	11	61.1%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%
Caucasian	120	72.7%	57.4	85	70.8%	14	11.7%	11	9.2%	10	8.3%
Minority	45	27.3%	99.6	23	51.1%	8	17.8%	3	6.7%	11	24.4%
Successful	34	20.6%	109.1	14	41.2%	7	20.6%	4	11.8%	9	26.5%
Unsuccessful	131	79.4%	58.5	94	71.8%	15	11.5%	10	7.6%	12	9.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>68.9</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>12.7%</b>

### Average Length of Placement (in days)

	Overall	Boot Camp	State Inst	Group Care
Male	111.1	74.3	146.5	100.8
Female	124.9	0.0	0.0	124.9
Caucasian	116.4	83.0	148.1	106.9
Minority	102.8	65.5	139.0	99.6
Successful	81.9	84.0	97.0	79.9
Unsuccessful	119.6	71.0	151.2	111.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>112.8</b>	<b>74.3</b>	<b>146.5</b>	<b>104.8</b>

Note - There were 46 youth still in group care and 14 still in State Institutions as of September 30, 2006. These youth are not included in the calculations for length of placement.

### Placement Counts by Type

	Total		Boot Camp		State Inst		Group Care	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Male	147	89.1%	4	2.7%	36	24.5%	107	72.8%
Female	18	10.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	17	94.4%
Caucasian	120	72.7%	2	1.7%	31	25.8%	87	72.5%
Minority	45	27.3%	2	4.4%	6	13.3%	37	82.2%
Successful	34	20.6%	1	2.9%	6	17.6%	27	79.4%
Unsuccessful	131	79.4%	3	2.3%	31	23.7%	97	74.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>75.2%</b>