### **Juvenile Recidivism Report**

# Iowa Department of Human Rights Division of Criminal and Juvenile Justice Planning

Richard G. Moore, Administrator

#### Authors:

Candice Bennett, 6<sup>th</sup> District-Chief Juvenile Court Officer Laura Roeder-Grubb, Criminal and Juvenile Justice Planning Vickie Troester, 6<sup>th</sup> District-Juvenile Court Services

December, 2005



## Juvenile Recidivism - Complaints December 2005

The following report contains district-level numbers for the percent of juveniles in lowa's Juvenile Court System and the number who re-offended (subsequent complaint/s). These numbers represent the data available in the ICIS system for initial complaints in 2002, and subsequent complaints in 2002, 2003, and 2004. The report also includes recidivism numbers for those counties that had more than 180 initial complaints during 2002.

These numbers are representative, but are not state totals. All districts are represented, but not all counties. The 5<sup>th</sup> District only includes Polk County due to a data migration issue that, at this time, does not allow the remaining 5th District counties data to be analyzed.

The report was generated using the following criteria:

- 1. All juveniles who had an <u>initial</u> juvenile delinquency complaint in that county between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2002 were counted.
- 2. A count of any subsequent additional complaints for the target group, where those additional complaints occurred between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2004.
- 3. Case role from the system was JVIN (juvenile involved).
- 4. All cases consisted of delinquency allegations. No adult court criminal violations were included.
  - Some youth may have had initial complaints in multiple counties during this time period.
  - Most, but not all, subsequent complaints can be "matched" to the initial complaint and will be included.
  - In some cases the subsequent complaint may have occurred out-of-state and therefore cannot be tracked.
  - Subsequent complaints are often sent from the originating county to the home county of
    the youth, so when the initial complaint occurs in the home-county, most subsequent
    complaints will be "matched" to the initial complaint. When the initial complaint occurs
    outside the home-county, some, but not all, subsequent complaints will still be "matched"
    to the initial complaint.

These numbers include all initial delinquency complaints and all subsequent delinquency complaints. The numbers include every complaint, even if the complaint was later deemed to be unfounded. Other reports may use different rates and criteria to define recidivism.

### STATEWIDE RECIDIVISM - Cases Initiated in 2002 Complaints from January 1, 2002 - December 31, 2004

(Note: This report does not include district five.)

	Juveniles New					Percentage		
	to the System	Juveniles	Juveniles	Juveniles	Juveniles	of Chronic		Percentage
	Who Were	with 0	with 1	with 2	with 3/More	Reoffenders	Percentage	of Those
	Referred in	Subsequent	Subsequent	Subsequent	Subsequent	(3/More Sub	of All	who Did NOT
	2002	Complaints	Complaint	Complaints	Complaints	Complaints)	Reoffenders	Reoffend
DISTRICT 1 Totals	1,934	1,295	328	142	169	9%	33%	67%
DISTRICT 2 Totals	2,182	1,448	402	166	166	8%	34%	66%
DISTRICT 3 Totals	1,874	1,207	354	148	165	9%	36%	64%
DISTRICT 4 Totals	973	696	156	67	54	6%	28%	72%
POLK CO Totals	1,723	1,113	322	151	145	8%	36%	64%
DISTRICT 6 Totals	1,508	1,035	240	122	111	7%	31%	69%
DISTRICT 7 Totals	1,529	1,022	240	111	156	10%	33%	67%
DISTRICT 8 Totals	1,309	829	252	129	99	8%	37%	63%
TOTALS	13,032	8,645	2,294	1,036	1,065	8%	34%	66%

### STATEWIDE RECIDIVISM - Cases Initiated in 2002 Complaints from January 1, 2002 - December 31, 2004

(Note: This report only includes counties where initial complaints were greater than 180)

	Juveniles New					Percentage		
	to the System	Juveniles	Juveniles	Juveniles	Juveniles	of Chronic		Percentage
Top 16 Totals	Who Were	with 0	with 1	with 2	with 3/More	Reoffenders	Percentage	of Those
COUNTIES	Referred in	Subsequent	Subsequent	Subsequent	Subsequent	(3/More Sub	of All	who Did NOT
	2002	Complaints	Complaint	Complaints	Complaints	Complaints)	Reoffenders	Reoffend
MUSCATINE	181	120	26	16	19	10%	34%	66%
WEBSTER	184	123	29	20	12	7%	33%	67%
WAPELLO	211	119	37	35	20	9%	44%	56%
DES MOINES	232	153	42	18	19	8%	34%	66%
CLINTON	235	161	36	22	16	7%	31%	69%
CERRO GORDO	274	162	47	25	40	15%	41%	59%
STORY	299	210	60	12	17	6%	30%	70%
MARSHALL	320	186	62	28	44	14%	42%	58%
JOHNSON	418	277	65	36	40	10%	34%	66%
DUBUQUE	509	308	98	41	62	12%	39%	61%
POTTAWATTAMIE	547	402	87	30	28	5%	27%	73%
BLACK HAWK	660	410	132	53	65	10%	38%	62%
LINN	715	488	111	63	53	7%	32%	68%
WOODBURY	819	494	162	75	88	11%	40%	60%
SCOTT	983	653	154	66	110	11%	34%	66%
POLK	1,723	1,113	322	151	145	8%	36%	64%
Top 16 Totals	8,310	5,379	1,470	691	778	9%	35%	65%