

**Evaluation  
of  
“FIBERMESH” Synthetic Fibers  
in  
P.C. Concrete  
Bridge Barrier Rail**

**Final Report  
for  
MLR-88-17**

**November 1988**

**Highway Division**



Evaluation of "FIBERMESH" Synthetic Fibers  
in  
P.C. Concrete Bridge Barrier Rail

Final Report for  
Project MLR-88-17

By  
Bob Brandser  
Materials Tech Supervisor II  
515-239-1159

---

Iowa Department of Transportation  
Highway Division  
Office of Materials  
Ames, Iowa 50010

November 1988

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                          | Page |
|--------------------------|------|
| Abstract.....            | 1    |
| Introduction.....        | 2    |
| Project Description..... | 2    |
| Evaluation.....          | 3    |
| Cost.....                | 5    |
| Conclusions.....         | 5    |
| Recommendations.....     | 5    |

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Iowa Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

## ABSTRACT:

Ten bridges were chosen to have their concrete barrier rails constructed with one rail having "Fibermesh" synthetic fibers added and the other rail without the fibers. The rails were constructed in 1985, 1986, or 1987. All the bridges were inspected in 1988 and no consistent reduction in cracking was achieved using Fibermesh fibers in the p.c. concrete bridge barrier rails.

INTRODUCTION:

Cracks that develop in P.C. concrete bridge barrier rails soon after construction are a concern. The Fibermesh Company of Chattanooga, Tennessee markets a fiber reinforcing material for P.C. concrete. The company claims the following benefits:

- Inhibits Cracking
- Increases Impact Capacity
- Reduces Permeability
- Adds Shatter Resistance
- Reduces Construction Time

To determine if the addition of "Fibermesh" synthetic fibers to concrete bridge barriers would be effective at controlling cracking, ten bridge projects were constructed with fibers in the rails. Each bridge was to have one rail with fibermesh and one without fibermesh.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

In 1985, one barrier for one bridge was constructed with 2" long fibermesh fiber added at the rate of 1-1/2 lbs. per cubic yard of concrete.

In 1986, one barrier for one bridge was constructed with 3/4" long fibermesh fiber added at the rate of 1-1/2 lbs. per cubic yard of concrete.

In 1987, the barriers for the remaining eight bridges were constructed, one with 3/4" long fibermesh fibers and the remainder with 2" long fibermesh fibers at 1-1/2 lbs. per cubic yard of concrete. One of these bridges had fiber incorporated in both rails by mistake.

EVALUATION:

In October 1988, all ten bridges were inspected. All visible cracks were located and their positions recorded. No differences in surface conditions or crack widths were found. The results of the inspection are in Table I and Table II.

TABLE I

| <u>Location</u>                  | <u>County</u> | <u>Project</u> | <u>Length</u> | <u>Type</u> | <u>Fiber Rail</u>  | <u>Plain Rail</u> | <u>Fiber Size</u> | <u>Date Placed (Mo., Year)</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 149 over N. English River        | Iowa          | BRF-149-2(23)  | 278'          | PCC*        | West 33            | East 45           | 2"                | May 1987                       |
| 149 over Middle English River    | Iowa          | BRF-149-2(23)  | 143'          | PCC         | West 24<br>East 20 |                   | 2"                | May 1987                       |
| 20 E.B. over North Fork          | Dubuque       | F-20-9(56)     | 498'          | CWPG**      | South 109          | North 101         | 3/4"              | Oct. 1986                      |
| 22 over Cedar River              | Muscatine     | BRF-22-4(30)   | 963'-6        | PPC         | South 163          | North 224         | 2"                | Apr. 1987                      |
| 14 S.B. N. of Marshalltown       | Marshall      | BRF-14-5(38)   | 473'          | CWPG        | East 100           | West 93           | 2"                | June 1987                      |
| 117 1.5 Mi. N. of Prairie City   | Jasper        | BRF-117-1(11)  | 95'           | PPC         | West 2             | East 7            | 2"                | Oct. 1987                      |
| Local over 20 S. of Earlville    | Delaware      | F-20-8(24)     | 244'-6        | PPC         | East 67            | West 37           | 3/4"              | Apr. 1987                      |
| 218 over Ditch N. of Plainsville | Bremer        | BRF-218-8(13)  | 93'           | PPC         | East 5             | West 14           | 2"                | Sep. 1985                      |
| 149 1.2 Mi. N. of S. English     | Keokuk        | BRF-149-1(26)  | 218'          | PPC         | East 57            | West 45           | 2"                | Aug. 1987                      |
| 149 over So. Skunk River         | Keokuk        | BRF-149-1(28)  | 512'-6        | PPC         | West 90            | East 99           | 2"                | May 1987                       |

\* PPC Prestressed, Pretension Concrete Beam Bridge

\*\* CWPG Continuous Welded Plate Girder Bridge.

TABLE II Crack Summary

|                          | Number of Cracks in Rail |                     |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|                          | Side with Fibers         | Side without Fibers |
| Bridges with 3/4" fibers | 176                      | 138                 |
| Bridges with 2" fibers * | 450                      | 527                 |
| All bridges *            | 626                      | 665                 |

\* Does not include project BRF-149-2(23), Iowa County, over Middle English River.

On the two bridges with 3/4-inch fibers, more cracks appeared on the fiber reinforced rail than the plain rail. Five of the seven bridges with the 2-inch fibers did show fewer cracks on the fiber reinforced rail than the plain rail. The result is 28 percent more cracks with 3/4-inch fibers and 15 percent fewer cracks with 2-inch fibers overall than without fibers.

- - - - -

#### COST:

The total cost of adding fiber reinforcing averaged \$1.22/lineal foot of rail. The lowest rate was \$1.02/lin. ft. and the highest was \$2.19/lin. ft.

#### CONCLUSIONS:

No consistent reduction in cracking was achieved using Fibermesh fibers in p.c. concrete bridge barrier rail.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

Fibermesh fibers should not be used to control cracking on p.c. concrete bridge barrier rails.