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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

### Reported Dependent Adult Abuse by County

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| REGION I: Sioux City | | | | | | | | |
| **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |  | **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Buena Vista | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Lyon | 0 | 0 | - |
| Calhoun | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |  | O'Brien | 0 | 0 | - |
| Cherokee | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Osceola | 0 | 0 | - |
| Clay | 0 | 0 | - |  | Palo Alto | 0 | 0 | - |
| Crawford | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |  | Plymouth | 0 | 0 | - |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0 | - |  | Pocahontas | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Emmet | 0 | 0 | - |  | Sac | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| Hamilton | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Sioux | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Humboldt | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Webster | 9 | 3 | 33.3% |
| Ida | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Woodbury | 32 | 2 | 6.3% |
| Kossuth | 0 | 0 | - |  | Wright | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
|  |  |  |  |  | **TOTAL** | **58** | **8** | **13.8%** |
| REGION II: Waterloo | | | | | | | | |
| **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |  | **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Allamakee | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Fayette | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |
| Black Hawk | 56 | 10 | 17.9% |  | Floyd | 7 | 1 | 14.3% |
| Bremer | 7 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Franklin | 0 | 0 | - |
| Buchanan | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Grundy | 0 | 0 | - |
| Butler | 3 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Hancock | 0 | 0 | - |
| Cerro Gordo | 16 | 6 | 37.5% |  | Howard | 0 | 0 | - |
| Chickasaw | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Mitchell | 0 | 0 | - |
| Clayton | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Winnebago | 0 | 0 | - |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Winneshiek | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |
| Dubuque | 6 | 2 | 33.3% |  | Worth | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
|  |  |  |  |  | **TOTAL** | **110** | **22** | **20.0%** |
| REGION III: Des Moines | | | | | | | | |
| **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |  | **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Boone | 5 | 1 | 20.0% |  | Marion | 4 | 1 | 25.0% |
| Dallas | 6 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Marshall | 10 | 4 | 40.0% |
| Hardin | 5 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Polk | 203 | 70 | 34.5% |
| Jasper | 7 | 0 | 0.0% |  | Story | 9 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Madison | 0 | 0 | - |  | Warren | 4 | 1 | 25.0% |
|  |  |  |  |  | **TOTAL** | **253** | **77** | **30.4%** |
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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

**Reported Dependent Adult Abuse by County (cont)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| REGION IV: Council Bluffs | | | | | | | |
| **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Adair | 0 | 0 | - | Mills | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Adams | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | Monona | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Audubon | 2 | 0 | 0.0% | Montgomery | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Carroll | 3 | 0 | 0.0% | Page | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cass | 0 | 0 | - | Pottawattamie | 17 | 2 | 11.8% |
| Clarke | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | Ringgold | 0 | 0 | - |
| Decatur | 3 | 1 | 33.3% | Shelby | 0 | 0 | - |
| Fremont | 0 | 0 | - | Taylor | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Greene | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | Union | 1 | 1 | 100.0% |
| Guthrie | 0 | 0 | - | Wayne | 2 | 1 | 50.0% |
| Harrison | 4 | 2 | 50.0% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | **TOTAL** | **49** | **8** | **16.3%** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REGION V: Cedar Rapids | | | | | | | |
| **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **County** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Appanoose | 3 | 0 | 0.0% | Lee | 8 | 1 | 12.5% |
| Benton | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | Linn | 36 | 7 | 19.4% |
| Cedar | 0 | 0 | - | Louisa | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clinton | 10 | 5 | 50.0% | Lucas | 2 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Davis | 0 | 0 | - | Mahaska | 5 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Des Moines | 9 | 3 | 33.3% | Monroe | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| Henry | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | Muscatine | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Iowa | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | Poweshiek | 1 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Jackson | 6 | 2 | 33.3% | Scott | 90 | 18 | 20.0% |
| Jefferson | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | Tama | 3 | 1 | 33.3% |
| Johnson | 19 | 4 | 21.1% | Van Buren | 4 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Jones | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | Wapello | 16 | 1 | 6.3% |
| Keokuk | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | Washington | 4 | 1 | 25.0% |
|  |  |  |  | **TOTAL** | **229** | **47** | **20.5%** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | STATE Totals | | | |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |  |  |
|  |  | All Regions | 699 | 162 | 23.2% |  |  |
|  |  | Not Reported | 0 | 0 | - |  |  |
|  |  | **Total** | **699** | **162** | **23.2%** |  |  |
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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

### Characteristics of the Cases

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Characteristics of the Reporter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Type of Reporter** | | **Number Reported** | | | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** | **Type of Reporter** | | | | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** |
| Victim, self-referral | | 4 | | | 0 | | 0.0% | Friend, Neighbor | | | | 38 | 14 | | 36.8% |
| Caretaker, self-referral | | 0 | | | 0 | | - | Anonymous | |  | | 16 | 2 | | 12.5% |
| Caretaker, not perpetrator | | 44 | | | 16 | | 36.4% | Other | |  | | 406 | 119 | | 29.3% |
| Sibling |  | 6 | | | 0 | | 0.0% |  | |  | |  |  | |  |
| Other Relative |  | 43 | | | 6 | | 14.0% | Not Reported | |  | | 142 | 5 | | 3.5% |
|  |  |  | | |  | |  | **Total** | | | | **699** | **162** | | **23.2%** |
| Characteristics of the Dependent Adult | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Age at Time of Abuse** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** | | **Number of Abuse Related Fatalities** | | **Ethnicity** | | | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **Number of Abuse Related Fatalities** | |
| Under 21 | 17 | 5 | | 29.4% | | 0 | | White, Not Hispanic | | | 504 | 145 | 28.8% | 3 | |
| 21 - 30 | 34 | 10 | | 29.4% | | 0 | | Black, Not Hispanic | | | 32 | 7 | 21.9% | 0 | |
| 31 - 40 | 34 | 15 | | 44.1% | | 0 | | American Indian or Alaskan Native | | | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | |
| 41 - 50 | 52 | 19 | | 36.5% | | 1 | | Asian or Pacific Islander | | | 4 | 3 | 75.0% | 0 | |
| 51 - 60 | 48 | 13 | | 27.1% | | 0 | | Hispanic | | | 3 | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | |
| 61 - 64 | 27 | 7 | | 25.9% | | 0 | | Indo-Chinese | | | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | |
| 65 and Over | 335 | 88 | | 26.3% | | 2 | | Other | | | 2 | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | |
| Not Reported | 152 | 5 | | 3.3% | | 0 | | Unknown | | | 153 | 6 | 3.9% | 0 | |
| **Total** | **699** | **162** | | **23.2%** | |  | | **Total** | | | **699** | **162** | **23.2%** |  | |
| **Sex** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** | | **Number of Abuse Related Fatalities** | | | | |  |  |  |  | |
| Male | 266 | 69 | | 25.9% | | 1 | | | | |  |  |  |  | |
| Female | 403 | 89 | | 22.1% | | 2 | | | | |  |  |  |  | |
| Not Reported | 30 | 4 | | 13.3% | | 0 | | | | |  |  |  |  | |
| **Total** | **699** | **162** | | **23.2%** | | **3** | | | | |  |  |  |  | |
| Number of Previous Founded Reports | | | | | |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
|  | **Number Reported** | | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
| None | 666 | | 145 | | 21.8% |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
| One or Two | 31 | | 16 | | 51.6% |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
| Three or More | 2 | | 1 | | 50.0% |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
| Unknown | 0 | | 0 | | - |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
| **Total** | **699** | | **162** | | **23.2%** |  | | |  | |  |  |  |  | |
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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

**Characteristics of the Cases (cont)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristics of the Primary Caretaker** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Relationship to Dependent Adult** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **Relationship to Dependent Adult** | | | **Number Reported** | | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** |
| Parent | 36 | 5 | 13.9% | State Facility Staff (Non-health care facility) | | | 0 | | 0 | - |
| Brother/Sister | 20 | 5 | 25.0% | Private Facility Staff (Non-health care facility) | | | 49 | | 33 | 67.3% |
| Son/Daughter | 118 | 23 | 19.5% | Health Care Facility | | | 1 | | 0 | 0.0% |
| Niece/Nephew | 9 | 3 | 33.3% | Other | | | 72 | | 26 | 36.1% |
| Other Relative | 56 | 12 | 21.4% | Unknown | | | 140 | | 6 | 4.3% |
| Friend | 23 | 5 | 21.7% | Not Reported | | | 0 | | 0 | - |
| Guardian/Conservator | 4 | 4 | 100.0% | No Caretaker | | | 171 | | 40 | 23.4% |
| **Sub-Total** | **266** | **57** | 21.4% | **Sub-Total** | | | **433** | | **105** | 24.2% |
|  |  |  |  | **Total** | | | **699** | | **162** | 23.2% |
| **Characteristics of the Non-Facility Caretaker** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Age of Caretaker** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **Ethnicity of Caretaker** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** | | |
| Under 21 | 5 | 1 | 20.0% | White, Not Hispanic | 235 | 50 | | 21.3% | | |
| 21 - 30 | 14 | 1 | 7.1% | Black, Not Hispanic | 21 | 3 | | 14.3% | | |
| 31 - 40 | 45 | 9 | 20.0% | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0 | | - | | |
| 41 - 50 | 41 | 11 | 26.8% | Asian or Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | | 0.0% | | |
| 51 - 60 | 51 | 11 | 21.6% | Hispanic | 3 | 0 | | 0.0% | | |
| 61 - 64 | 12 | 3 | 25.0% | Indo-Chinese | 1 | 1 | | 100.0% | | |
| 65 and Over | 43 | 10 | 23.3% | Other | 2 | 1 | | 50.0% | | |
| Not Reported | 55 | 11 | 20.0% | Unknown | 3 | 2 | | 66.7% | | |
| **Total** | **266** | **57** | **21.4%** | **Total** | **266** | **57** | | **21.4%** | | |
| **Sex of Caretaker** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | **Percent Determined** | **Employment Status** | **Number Reported** | **Number Determined** | | **Percent Determined** | | |
| Male | 124 | 28 | 22.6% | Employed Full-time | 63 | 8 | | 12.7% | | |
| Female | 138 | 25 | 18.1% | Employed Part-time | 32 | 10 | | 31.3% | | |
| Not Reported | 4 | 4 | 100.0% | Unemployed, Available | 26 | 4 | | 15.4% | | |
|  |  |  |  | Not in Labor Force | 115 | 28 | | 24.3% | | |
|  |  |  |  | Unknown | 26 | 5 | | 19.2% | | |
|  |  |  |  | Not Reported | 4 | 2 | | 50.0% | | |
| **Total** | **266** | **57** | **21.4%** | **Total** | **266** | **57** | | **21.4%** | | |
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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

## Service Information

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Service Status** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | **Case Status** | **Abuse Determined** | **Abuse Not Determined** | **Total Determined** |  | **Case Status** | **Abuse Determined** | **Abuse Not Determined** | **Total Determined** |
| Case Closed: | |  |  |  | Case Open: | |  |  |  |
|  | No need for service | 65 | 266 | 331 |  | Further assessment pending | 41 | 20 | 61 |
|  | Service not accepted by dependent adult | 6 | 26 | 32 |  | Service accepted voluntarily by the dependent adult | 38 | 79 | 117 |
|  | Service not available | 3 | 1 | 4 |  | Service ordered by the court | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Status Not Reported | | 7 | 144 | 151 |
|  |  |  |  |  | **Total** | | **162** | **537** | **699** |
|  | **Resulting Services Planned** | **Abuse Determined** | **Abuse Not Determined** | **Total Determined** | |  |  |  |  |
| No Services | | 21 | 66 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |
| At least one service | | 47 | 85 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Reported or an Invalid Code Used | | 94 | 386 | 480 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total** | | **162** | **537** | **699** |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Type of Services Planned** | **Abuse Determined** | **Abuse Not Determined** | **Total Determined** | | **Type of Services Planned** | **Abuse Determined** | **Abuse Not Determined** | **Total Determined** |
| Alternative Living for Adults | |  |  |  |  | Client Assessment/Case Management | 1 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Residential Treatment | 5 | 5 | 10 |  | Chore | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Residential Care | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | Employment/Education | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Family Life Homes | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | Homemaker | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| Day Care Activities for Adults | |  |  |  |  | Housing | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Adult Day Care | 2 | 2 | 4 |  | Legal Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Work Activity | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | Material Aid | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Shelter Work | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Mobile/Congregate Meals | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Family Planning | | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Substance Abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health Related Services | | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | Court Ordered Client Oversight | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Home Management Services | | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | In-Home Health Care | 3 | 12 | 15 |
| Mental Health Service | | 5 | 18 | 23 |  | Allied - Not Listed Above | 7 | 11 | 18 |
| Adult Protective Service | | 11 | 26 | 37 |  | Childrens Services | 18 | 2 | 20 |
| Transportation Service | | 0 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | **Total** | **58** | **103** | **161** |
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## Dependent Adult Abuse Report

### January 2002 – June 2002

**Service Information (cont)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Results | | |  | **Investigative Results** | | |
| **Type of Abuse Reported** | **Number of Cases** | **Percent of Total** |  | **Type of Abuse** | **Number of Cases** | **Percent of Total** |
| Physical Injury | 75 | 10.7% |  | Physical Injury | 6 | 0.9% |
| Unreasonable Confinement | 2 | 0.3% |  | Unreasonable Confinement | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cruel Punishment | 1 | 0.1% |  | Cruel Punishment | 1 | 0.1% |
| Sexual | 7 | 1.0% |  | Sexual | 3 | 0.4% |
| Exploitation | 136 | 19.5% |  | Exploitation | 40 | 5.7% |
| Denial of Critical Care | 285 | 40.8% |  | Denial of Critical Care | 72 | 10.3% |
| Self-Denial of Critical Care | 175 | 25.0% |  | Self-Denial of Critical Care | 40 | 5.7% |
| Unknown/Not Reported | 18 | 2.6% |  | **Type of Abuse Sub-Total** | **162** | **23.2%** |
| **Total** | **699** | **100%** |  | None | 482 | 69.0% |
|  |  |  |  | No Abuse - Service Need Identified | 3 | 0.4% |
|  |  |  |  | **None/No Abuse Sub-Total** | **485** | **69.4%** |
|  |  |  |  | Not Reported | 52 | 7.4% |
|  |  |  |  | **Grand Total** | **699** | **100%** |
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